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#### Abstract

Although long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have emerged as novel regulator of cell fate and gene expression, the regulation of vascular specific transcription factor by lncRNA in generation of induced endothelial cells (iEndo) has not been studied yet. In this study, ETS variant 2 (ETV2) converts human fibroblasts into iEndo, and smooth muscle and endothelial cell enriched migration/differentiationassociated long non-coding RNA (SENCR) was identified as a regulator of ETV2. iEndo showed similar morphology, endothelial cell markers, and tubular structure formation compared to human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC). Furthermore, over-expression of SENCR increased ETV2 gene and protein expression by enhancing ETV2 promoter activity through recruitment of PSPC1. This is the first study demonstrates the role of SENCR contributed to ETV2 activation in generation of iEndo.
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## I. Introduction

## 1. Pluripotent stem cells

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are pluripotent stem cells derived from the inner cell mass of blastocysts. The essential characteristics of ESCs are self-renewal and pluripotency ${ }^{1}$. In 1998, human blastocyst-derived, ESCs having normal karyotypes, high levels of telomerase activity, pluripotent stem cell surface markers were established ${ }^{2}$. Due to its self-renewal and pluripotency, human ESCs are expected to possess a high potential to treat incurable diseases. However, human ESCs have been limited in stem cell research and therapy by a number of issue such as immune rejection ${ }^{3}$ and ethical issues ${ }^{4-5}$.

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) emerged as a propitious alternatives which can avoids ethical concerns and immune rejection. Because it was generated from adult somatic cells, researchers have been able to use iPSCs as an alternative to ESCs to avoid ethical issue. In addition, since the origin of the cells is the patient's own, the problem of immune rejection is eliminated. In 2006, Yamanaka and his college developed a method to generate iPSCs by retroviral transduction of Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4 and $c$-Myc into mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and mouse tail-tip fibroblasts (TTFs) ${ }^{6}$. Then, human iPSCs were generated from adult human fibroblast with same approach by same group ${ }^{7}$. iPSCs shares similar morphology with ESCs, and have similar characteristics of ESCs including self-renewal, telomerase activity marker gene expression and pluripotency. Four major factors $O c t 3 / 4^{8-9}, S_{0} 2^{10}$, $K l f 4^{11}$ and $c-M y c^{12}$ which have been named as Yamanaka factors or OSKM factors, have been exhibited to contribute to the maintenance of pluripotency and the rapid proliferation.
Although iPSCs were spotlighted as an alternative of ESCs to generate patient-specific stem cells to study developmental biology, drug development, and a new cell-based therapies, it has not become entrenched in therapeutic application yet ${ }^{13}$. One of the major concerns of iPSCs is the tumorigenicity. iPSC clones transduced by retrovirus contain numerous random integrations of transduced genes that have potential to be reactivation. Approximately $20 \%$ of germline chimeric mice from iPSCs exhibited tumor occurrence result from reactivation of the $c-M y c$ transgene ${ }^{14}$. Thus, non-integrating induction methods using adeno-viral plasmid vector ${ }^{15-16}$, Sendi-viral plasmid vector ${ }^{17}$, episomal vector ${ }^{18-19}, \mathrm{mRNA}^{20}$, protein ${ }^{21}$ and transposons ${ }^{22-23}$ have been developed to generate iPSCs. However, their efficiency and reliability is lower than the lenti- or retro-virus reprogramming approach ${ }^{24}$, and the non-integrating induction methods are not completely free from safety risks also ${ }^{25}$.
Numerous methods of the differentiation from PSCs into vascular cells have been established ${ }^{26-29}$. Conventionally, two methods are generally used to different human PSCs to vascular cells. First one is embryoid body (EB) formation method ${ }^{30-31}$. However, it is often time-consuming since the peak expression of endothelial genes occurs after $10-15$ days of induction ${ }^{32}$, and is inefficient $(1 \%-5 \%)^{31,}$ ${ }^{33-34}$. The other method is monolayer-directed differentiation ${ }^{35-36}$. It offers increased efficiency (5-
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## 2. Direct conversion

Direct reprogramming or direct conversion is a method to convert one cell into another with bypassing the pluripotent state. Since this method bypasses pluripotent stage, it does not require further differentiation into specific cell lineage, and also can eliminate tumorigenic potential caused by any residual undifferentiated cells ${ }^{41}$.

The first discovery of direct conversion is the change of mouse fibroblasts into myoblasts through transfection of DNA isolated from induced or primary myoblasts ${ }^{42}$. Subsequent studies identified that MyoD1, a critical transcription factor for specification of the skeletal muscle lineage during early development, could induce muscle-specific characteristics when delivered into fibroblasts. After that, a number of studies reported conversion of one type of cells into another by delivering transcription factors, proteins of RNA, and this method is called as direct conversion or direct reprogramming ${ }^{43}$.
Direct conversion of somatic cells such as fibroblasts into other cell types holds a great therapeutic promise. To date, many types of somatic cells such as hepatocyte ${ }^{44-46}$, cardiomyocyte ${ }^{47-48}$, astrocyte ${ }^{49}$, renal tubular epithelial cell ${ }^{50}$ and macrophage ${ }^{51}$ as well as adult stem cells such as oligodendrocyte progenitor cell ${ }^{52}$, hepatic stem cell ${ }^{53}$, neural stem cell ${ }^{54}$, epiblast stem cell ${ }^{55}$ and hematopoietic stem cell ${ }^{56}$ were generated from fibroblast by direct conversion.

Another notable concept of reprogramming is in vivo reprogramming. A number of previous studies demonstrated reprogramming of cell in vivo such as pancreatic acinar cells into $\beta$-cells ${ }^{57}$, cardiac fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes ${ }^{58}$ and astrocytes into neuroblasts ${ }^{59}$. Recently, in vivo hepatic reprogramming of myofibroblasts with adenoviral vector ${ }^{60}$ and adeno-associated viral vector ${ }^{61}$ were reported.


Diagram 1. Definition of direct conversion.

## 3. Development of blood vascular and endothelial cell

In mammalian development, vascular progenitors emerge from the lateral and posterior mesoderm ${ }^{62}$. The development of blood vessel system occurs by two distinct processes. One is the vasculogenesis, defined as de novo vessel formation through differentiation of angioblasts. The other is the angiogenesis defined as primary vessel remodeling secondary from pre-existing vessels and the stage of specification of the arterial, venous vessels ${ }^{63-65}$.

The basic constituents of the vascular system, endothelial and blood cells are determined very early during ontogeny ${ }^{64}$. The first structure of endothelial and hematopoietic cells is the blood islands of the extraembryonic yolk sac at embryonic day (E) 7.5 in mice ${ }^{66}$. Blood islands are mesoderm cell aggregates of the common progenitor of endothelial and blood progenitor cells which is called as hemangioblast ${ }^{67-68}$. The inner cells become blood progenitor cells, while the outer cells become angioblast, the progenitor of blood vessel. Angioblast is a multipotent cell which is capable of giving rise to the key elements cells (pericytes, smooth muscle cells, fibroblasts) during 'angiogenesis'. Although, the concept and term are imprecise yet, this cell constitutes endothelial progenitor cell (EPC) or vascular progenitor cells (VPC). Nonetheless, researchers focused on generation of EPC or VPC in vitro through differentiation of PSCs and direct conversion has been progressed since these cells are proliferative and can give rise to many offspring ${ }^{69-72}$.

The hemangioblast co-expresses $\operatorname{BRACHUURY}(T)$ and the receptor tyrosine kinase FLK1 (VEGFR2, KDR $)^{73}$. FLK1 is essential for yolk-sac blood-island formation and vasculogenesis in embryo ${ }^{74}$. BMP, Notch, and Wnt signaling is necessary for efficient FLK1+ mesoderm formation from ESC ${ }^{75}$. $E T V 2$ is required for the differentiation of $F L K 1 / P D G F R \alpha$ cells into vascular/hematopoietic mesoderm ${ }^{76}$.

The synthesis of new vessels through endothelial cells has an enormous potential in clinical application such as treatment of ischemic vascular disease. However, adult stem cell therapy has problems of immune rejection and is limited in supply of donor cells ${ }^{77-78}$. Thus, patient-specific endothelial cell or early stage progenitor cell from induced from hPSCs or direct conversion is a promising cell source for cell therapy. Recently, two studies showed the feasibility of OSKM in direct conversion of fibroblast into induced endothelial cell (iEndo) (Table1).

| Group | Starting cell | Final cell | TFs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Margariti, A <br> $(2012, \text { PNAS })^{79}$ | Human fibroblasts <br> $($ CRL-2097, CCL-186, CCL-153) | Partial iPSC <br> and iEndo | OCT4, SOX2, <br> c-MYC, KLF4 |
| Li, J (2013, Arterioscler <br> Thromb Vasc Biol) | Human fibroblasts (CRL-2097, BJ) | iEndo | OCT4, KLF4 |

Table 1. Direct conversion from fibroblasts into iEndo using Yamanaka factors.

## 4. ETS variant 2 (ETV2) gene

ETS variant 2 (ETV2) gene, a member of erythroblast transformation-specific (ETS) family, is an important transcription factor in development of hematopoietic and endothelial lineage. Members of ETS family transcription factors have been implicated in vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, and hematopoiesis ${ }^{81}$. Knock-down of Etsrp, the zebrafish homologue to mammalian ETV2, resulted in major vascular defects in zebrafish ${ }^{82-83}$. Similarly, Etv2 deficient mice lead to complete loss of embryonic blood and vascular structure ${ }^{75}$ and embryonic lethality at approximately E9.0 to E9.5 ${ }^{75,84}$. ETV2 cooperatively interact with many transcript factors in development of hemato-endothelial lineage ${ }^{85}$. GATA2 is co-expressed and interacts with ETV2 via protein-protein interaction between ETV2 and GATA2 which is mediated by the interaction of Ets and Gata domains ${ }^{86}$. Ets factors function combinatorially with FOXC transcription factors through a composite DNA binding site, the FOX:ETS motif, which is bound robustly by FOXC2 and ETV2, and the two proteins bind the element simultaneously ${ }^{87}$. ETV2 is also regulated by other transcription factors. ETV2 is considered as a downstream target gene of $N K X 2.5^{84}$ and VEGF/Flkl signaling ${ }^{88}$. Nkx2.5 binds to an evolutionarily conserved $N k x 2.5$ response element in the Etsrp 71 promoter and induces the Etsrp 71 gene expression in mouse embryo. FLK1 is upstream of ETV2 and is necessary for ETV2 expression. The ETV2 promoter activity is increased by VEGF in the presence of their ligand FLK1. There are many other direct downstream targets of ETV2 such as $T I E 2^{84}, S O X 7^{89}, L M O 2^{90}, F L I 1^{91}$ and miR-130a $a^{92}$ that contribute endothelial lineage specification.

ETV2 has an enormous power to induce vascular endothelial cells. There are many studies that demonstrated that generation of iEndo using ETV2 alone or ETV2 with other transcription factors (Table 2). Also, the efficiency of endothelial cell derived from human ESCs were increased by addition of exogenous $E T V 2^{93}$. Furthermore, reactivation of $E T V 2$ transduced by lenti-virus contributed vascular repair and regeneration in adult hindlimb ischemia mouse model ${ }^{94}$. Similarly, reactivation of ETV2 gene in mature iEndo induced immature iEndo ${ }^{95}$.

| Group | Starting cell | Final cell | TFs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ginsberg, M <br> $(2012, \text { Cell })^{96}$ | Human mid-gestation amniotic <br> cells | Endothelial cells | ETV2, FLII, ERG |
| Han, J.K <br> $(2013, \text { Circulation })^{97}$ | Mouse adult fibroblasts | Endothelial cells | Foxol, Er71, Klf2, <br> Tall, and Lmo2 |
| Morita, R <br> $(2015, ~ P N A S)^{98}$ | Human adult skin fibroblasts | Endothelial cells | ETV2 |
| Lee, S <br> $(2017, \text { Circ Res })^{95}$ | Human postnatal dermal fibroblasts | Early and late <br> endothelial cells | ETV2 |

Table 2. Direct conversion of iEndo using endothelial lineage transcription factors.

## 5. Long non-coding RNA

Although $\sim 90 \%$ of the eukaryotic genome is transcribed, mRNAs account only for $1 \%-2 \%$ of total RNAs. The rapid development and application of high-throughput deep sequencing suggested that a large number of RNA molecules are non-coding RNAs ${ }^{99}$. Also, the genome-wide transcriptome analyses have identified that human genome contains thousands of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) ${ }^{100}$. These RNAs are classified as long RNA (processed transcript length >200nucleotide) that are different from short RNAs (processed transcript length <200nucleotide) such as tRNA, miRNA and snoRNA ${ }^{101}$.

Wherase lncRNAs are types of RNA which lack protein-coding potential, transcription and post transcription modification of these lncRNAs is similar to the protein-coding mRNAs in which are transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II), and transcribed RNAs are modifeied by 5'-capping, polyadenylation, and histone modifications associated with Pol II transcriptional elongation ${ }^{102}$.

Although localization of mRNAs is specifically distributed to ribosomes in the cytoplasm, localization of $\operatorname{lncRNA}$ is more varied than mRNA. The location of $\operatorname{lncRNA}$ were identified in XIST on the inactive X chromosome ${ }^{103}$, Gomafu, also known as MIAT, in the subnuclear domains ${ }^{104}, B O R G$ restricted to the nucleus ${ }^{105}$ and GAS5 exported to the cytoplasm ${ }^{106}$.


Diagram 2. Localization of IncRNA.
LncRNAs have emerged as novel regulators of gene expression and played roles in diverse biological process, such as proliferation, differentiation, and development through various modes of action ${ }^{107-108}$. With developmental expression patterns of tissue specific genes, lncRNA may be orchestrators and some reports have already related their role in specification of germ-layer and adult cells ${ }^{109-111}$. Especially, there are many studies of lncRNAs in cell-fate programming and reprogramming. Some $\operatorname{lncRNAs}$ have role in maintaining of the pluripotency ${ }^{106,112}$, adult stem cell state ${ }^{113}$, while others promote or regulate lineage specification ${ }^{112,114}$. Also, some lncRNAs regulate chromatin states for activation ${ }^{115-116}$ or repression ${ }^{117}$ of epigenome. Their functions are often facilitated by protein partners that impart the ability to activate or repress gene expression or posttranscriptionally regulate other RNAs.

## 6. Smooth muscle and endothelial cell enriched migration/differentiation-associated long non-coding RNA (SENCR)

SENCR is a human vascular-enriched lncRNA located on the chromosome 11 and exists as 2 isoforms which are a full length, SENCR variant 1 (S1) and an alternative spliced variant, SENCR variant $2(\mathrm{~S} 2)^{118}$. Although $S E N C R$ is placed in antisense orientation from within the first intron of a protein-coding gene called Friend leukemia virus integration 1 (FLII), an important transcription factor of endothelial cell and blood cell formation, no significant correlation is found between FLII and SENCR yet. Regardless of the similarity of expression of two genes in developmental time course over time, knockdown of SENCR with small interfering RNA (siRNA) had little or no effect on FLII expression ${ }^{118-119}$. Also, S2 seemed to be more specific to vascularization than S1 since S1 expressed more broadly expressed in various tissues ${ }^{118}$.

SENCR was considered as an early lncRNA in mesodermal and endothelial cell commitment and function. During endothelial cell differentiation from human ESCs, exogenously introduced SENCR reduced the expression of pluripotent, endodermal and ectodermal genes, but it enhanced the expression of mesodermal genes ${ }^{119}$.

In addition to endothelial cells, SENCR is also involved in smooth muscle cells proliferation and migration ${ }^{120}$. Also, SENCR expression is down regulated in patients with endothelial cell dysfunction and atherosclerotic vascular disease ${ }^{119}$. As a result of the previous finding, SENCR is considered as a novel candidate target molecular for treatment of vascular diseases.

## 7. Objective

There are studies of lncRNAs that regulate pluripotency and differentiation. Furthermore, various lncRNAs involved in vascular function and specification have been demonstrated. LncRNA SENCR and ETV2, a transcription factor related to vasculature formation, is widely studied in several fields such as vascular specification and generation of iEndo. However, the study demonstrated the relationship of lncRNA and vascular specific transcription factor is unclear. In this study, vascular specific lncRNA SENCR was investigated in regulation of ETV2, a potent transcription factor in vascular development.

## II. Materials and Method

## 1. Cell culture

CRL-2097 fibroblasts from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured on $0.1 \%$ gelatin coated dishes (sigma, G1890) in MEM media : Minimum Essential Medium 1X (Gibco, 11095-080) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco. 10099-141), 1mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, 11360-070), 1X MEM non-essential amino acids (NEAA, Gibco, 11140-050) and Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140-122). HEK293T from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) (ATCC® CRL-3216 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) were cultured in DMEM media: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium 1X (Gibco, 10313-021) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco, 25030-081), and Penicillin/Streptomycin. HUVEC from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) (ATCC® CRL1730 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) were cultured EGM2 Bullet kit (EGM2) (EBM2 supplemented with FBS, heparin, hydrocortisone, ascorbic acid, Gentamycin/amphotericin, FGF2, VEGF, IGF, and EGF) (Lonza, cc-3162).

## 2. Cloning plasmids

Plasmid containing human ETV2 was a gift from RIKEN (W01A065G01). ETV2 was amplified with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, M05305). SENCR variant1 and 2 were amplified with KOD plus polymerase (TOYOBO, KOD-2011) from HUVEC cDNA. The cDNA were inserted lentiviral vector through sub-cloning using TOPO TA cloning kit with pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid (Invitrogen, 45-0641). Episomal vector plasmid (pCXLE-hOCT3/4-shp53-F) was a gift from Shinya Yamanaka (Addgene plasmid \#27077). After remove shp53 and hOCT3/4 region, SENCR variant 1 and 2 were inserted into the backbone. ETV2 promoter sequence ( $+1 \sim-1.5 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) was amplified using KOD plus polymerase from genomic DNA of human H9 cell line. The sequence was inserted into pGL3-basic vector after TA cloning. PSPC1 sequence was amplified from HEK293T cDNA using KOD plus polymerase. Then, it was inserted into p3XFLAG-CMV vector after TA cloning.

## 3. Lentivirus packaging

Before transfection, HEK293T cells were confluent with $40 \sim 60 \%$ on the 10 cm plate. A lentiviral expression vector containing human ETV2 gene was co-transfected with psPAX2 and VSV-G constructs into HEK293T using X-tream Gene 9 DNA Transfection Reagent (Roche, 06365787001). Cells were incubated for 48 hours $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lentivirus was harvested from the culture supernatant and concentrated using ultra-centrifuge (Beckman) for 1 hour 30 minute at 80000 g .

## 4. Endothelial-like cells induction

Human fibroblasts (HF134, CRL-2097) are seeded at 10,000 cells on $0.1 \%$ gelatin-coated 6-well dish. Next day, $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ protamine sulfates (Sigma, P4505) were added for approximately 30min before infection. After 24 hours, media containing virus was removed and changed into EGM2 supplemented with BMP4 (Peprotech, 120-05ET), CHIR99021 (Sigma, SML1046), FGF2 (Peprotech, 100-18B), VEGF (Peprotech, 100-20) for 5 days. On Day6, media was changed into EGM2 supplemented with FGF2 and VEGF.

## 5. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA from cultured cell lysate were extracted with RiboEx (GeneAll, 301-001). Mix vigorously with Chloroform (Merck, 102445) and centrifuge at 12000 xg for 15 minutes in $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RNA in aqueous phase was precipitated after adding iso-propanol (Merck, 109634). After washing with $75 \%$ Ethanol (Merck, 100983) and drying, RNA was dissolved by RNase-free water. For synthesis of the complementary DNA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA was transcribed with M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (NEB, M0253L) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## 6. Gene expression analysis

The detection of complementary DNA expression for the specific genes was performed using reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). For qRT-PCR, the relative expression of different sets of genes was quantified to GAPDH mRNA. qRT-PCR was performed using with $\mathrm{iQ}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ SYBR green supermix (Bio-RAD, 170-8882AP). For, RT-PCR, taq polymerase (Thermo, 10342-020) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## 7. Immunofluorescence staining

Cells were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde (PFA) (WAKO, 163-20145) in 1X PBS (Biosesang, P2007) for 10 min . Cells were washed with $0.05 \%$ Tween-20 (Sigma, P9749)/PBS (PBS-T) and permeabilized with $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 (Sigma, T9284) in 1X PBS for 10 min. After blocking with 4\% FBS/PBS for 30 min , cells were incubated with primary following antibodies; anti-PECAM (CD31) (DSHB, P2B1), anti-VE-cadherin (SantaCruz, sc-9989), anti-vWF (Abcam, AB6994) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or 1 hr at RT. After washing with PBS-T and incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa-488 or Alexa-594 (Invitrogen) and visualized by fluorescence microscopy after counterstaining with Hoechst 33342 (Thermo, 62249).

## 8. Tubule formation assay

Matrigel should be thawed before experiment at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 96well plates was coated with $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ matrigel (Corning, 354234) and it should be on ice. Solidify the gel at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . $3 \times 10^{4}$ cells were seeded on matigel coated 96 well plates and cultured in EGM2 supplemented with FGF2 and VEGF.

## 9. Western blotting

Cells were washed with PBS and lysed by RIPA buffer. Protein concentration was determined by the BCA assay (Thermo, 23228). Equal amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies, anti-ETV2 (Abcam, ab170821) and anti- $\beta$ actin (Sigma, A1978), in 7\% non-fat dry milk (BIO-RAD, 170-6404) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRP- anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies were used as $1: 5000 \mathrm{in} 7 \%$ milk. Membranes were imaged using Clarity ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ western ECL substrate (BIO-RAD, 170-5061) and a ChemiDoc ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ MP imaging system (BIO-RAD).

## 10. Luciferase assay

Promoter activity was assessed by transiently transfecting HEK293T cells with plasmids of dualluciferase containing firefly (pGL3-basic) and Renilla (pRL-TK) luciferase reporters using the Xtream Gene 9 transfection reagent according to manufacturer's instructions. 36 hours later, cells were lysated through passive lysis 5X buffer (Promega, E1941). After reagent with substrates was added, the luciferase activity in cell lysate was measured by GLOMAX 96 micrometer luminometer (Promega). Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to that of Renilla.

## 11. RNA pulldown

RNAs were synthesized from linearized pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid encoding SENCR variant1 and 2 through T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, 10881767001) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA biotinylation was carried out using biotin RNA labeling mix (10X) (Roche, 11685597910) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNAs and protein lysate of CRL-2097 was bound at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Then, washed streptavidin magnetic particles (Roche, 11641778001) was added into the mixture and bound at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hours. After washing of particles which capture RNA-protein complex, 4X SDS dye and NP40 buffer ( $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Tween-20, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0 ) were added and boiled at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The samples are loaded into the acrylamide gel and visualized with coomassie staining solution ( $0.025 \%$ Coomassie Brilliant blue R250, $40 \%$ methanol, $10 \%$ glacial acetic acid).

## 12. Database searching

Charge state deconvolution and deisotoping were not performed. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Sequest (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA; version 1.0) and X! Tandem (The GPM, thegpm.org; version CYCLONE (2010.12.01.1)). Sequest was set up to search the Human-201601 _contam database (unknown version, 150364 entries) assuming the digestion enzyme stricttrypsin. X! Tandem was set up to search a reverse concatenated Human-2016-01_contam database (unknown version, 300728 entries) also assuming stricttrypsin. Sequest and X! Tandem were searched with a fragment ion mass tolerance of 1.00 Da and a parent ion tolerance of 50 PPM . C of cysteine was specified in Sequest and X! Tandem as a fixed modification.

## 13. Criteria for protein identification

Scaffold (version Scaffold_4.7.5, Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to validate MS/MS based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than $87.0 \%$ probability to achieve an FDR less than $1.0 \%$ by the Scaffold Local FDR algorithm. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than $94.0 \%$ probability to achieve an FDR less than $1.0 \%$ and contained at least 2 identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm (Nesvizhskii, Al et al Anal. Chem. 2003;75(17):4646-58). Proteins that contained similar peptides and could not be differentiated based on MS/MS analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of parsimony. Proteins were annotated with GO terms from NCBI (downloaded Feb 19, 2017) and NCBI (downloaded Feb 20, 2017). (Ashburner, M et al Nat. Genet. 2000;25(1):25-9).

Table 3. Primer sets used for gene analysis in RT-PCR and qRT-PCR.

| Gene | Accession. No or Reference | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD31 | NM_000442.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F : GCTGTTGGTGGAAGGAGTGC } \\ & \text { R : GAAGTTGGCTGGAGGTGCTC } \end{aligned}$ |
| ERG | NM_182918.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F : TGGGCGGTGAAAGAATATGG } \\ & \text { R : TGAGGTAGTGGAGATGTGAGAG } \end{aligned}$ |
| FLK1 | NM_002253.2 | F : CCCCTTGAGTCCAATCACAC <br> R : TTCCTCCAACTGCCAATACC |
| vWF | NM_000552.4 | F: CTGGACGTGATCCTTCTCCT <br> R : CTCAGCAAATGGGCTTTCTC |
| VE-CADHERIN | NM_001795.4 | F : ACGGGATGACCAAGTACAGC <br> R : ACACACTTTGGGCTGGTAGG |
| NOS3 | NM_000603.4 | F: GGGTCCTGTGTATGGATGAG <br> R : GGGGCTGAAGATGTCTCGG |
| GAPDH | NM_002046.5 | F: GACCCCTTCATTGACCTCAACTACATG <br> R : GCCTTCTCCATGGTGGTGAACAC |
| NORAD | NR_027451.1 | F : TCCTGTTTACAGCGAGGCAA R : CCATCTCCATCAACCCAGAAGA |
| MALAT1 | NR_002819.4 | F: GTGATGCGAGTTGTTCTCCG <br> R : CTGGCTGCCTCAATGCCTAC |
| LINC00323 | NR_024100.1 | F: TTCAGGAGGAGGGTTGGTCA <br> R : GCTCACTGCTAAGAGGAGGC |
| sONE | NR_133652.1 | F: CTCCATGTCATCTACCTGCAC <br> R : CTTCTGGGTGTCTGTGGTC |
| TUG1 | NR_110492.1 | F: TAACAGCCCTCCACTCCAGAT <br> R : AGGCACCAGCTTCAAAACCC |
| LINC01529 | NR_104176.1 | F: CACTCACCTATGACCCTTAACC <br> R : AGCTTCCTCAAACTGTTCCG |
| LINC01531 | NR_040046.1 | F: CAAGTGATTCTCCTACCTCAGC R : GCAGATCACTTTAGGTCAGGAG |
| TIE-AS1,3 | Li et al, 2010, Blood | F: CAGCAGACACAGAAAAAGCATC <br> R : TGGCTAGGACCTCCAGTATGAT |


| TIE1-AS2 | Li et al, <br> 2010, Blood | F : GATGCCCAGGATAGCTATGAG <br> R : TGACCAGTCTGACCCTTACAG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MIR503HG | NR_024607.1 | F : AGGTAGAAGGTGGGGTCTGC <br> R : ACTGGAGGAAGCCGGATG |
| PUNISHER | NR_027032.1 | F : GTCCTCCACTCCACCTCAAA <br> R : TGAGTTCCTGATCGTGTCCA |
| LOC105375568 | XR_956358.1 | F : CAAAACACGTACATATGCCCTG <br> R : GCCCTCCATACCAATAGTTCTC |
| LOC1079686861 | XR_001758717.1 | F : CAATACTGACCATCGGACTGAC <br> R : ATTCATGTGCCTGTCCTAAG |
| SENCR | NR_038908.1 | F : GCTCTACCGACCTTCAAACTAC <br> R : AGTCCTTTCTGGCTGAATGAG |
| ETV2 | NM_014209.3 | F : GGACCTGTGGAACTGGGATG <br> R : ATGTCTCTGCTGTCGCTGTCG |

## III. Result

## 1. Direct conversion of iEndo from human fibroblasts

Several reports have demonstrated the contribution of ETV2 in induction of endothelial cell fate. To directly convert from human fibroblasts (CRL-2097 and HF134) into iEndo, fibroblasts were transduced with lenti-viral plasmid encoding coding region of human ETV2 gene (Fig. 1.1a). Cells were infected by lenti-virus encoding human ETV2 gene for 24 hours and cultured in endothelial induction media for 5 days. After that, the media was switched to endothelial maintenance media until endothelial morphology was emerged. Endothelial characteristics were exhibited after 14 days (Fig. 1.1b). Cells remained spindle shape at 1 day after infection. After cells were cultured in endothelial induction media for 5days, bright morphology was emerged but they still remained elongated shape. At day 14 after infection, the morphology of fibroblasts was changed into cobble-stone like shape(Fig. $1.2 \mathrm{~b})$. However, the control groups including no switch into endothelial media after ETV2 infection or no ETV2 infection with endothelial media did not change their morphology (Fig. 1.2b). iEndo expressed endothelial cell-related genes such as CD31, ERG, FLK1, vWF and VE-CADHERIN after day 14. However, nitric oxide synthase 3 (NOS3), also known as endothelial NOS (eNOS), was not expressed in iEndo (Fig. 1.3a). iEndo also exhibited protein expression of endothelial cell markers, vWF, VE-CADHERIN, and CD31 (Fig. 1.3b). Furthermore, iEndo formed tubular structure which is an intrinsic function of endothelial cell when cultured on matrigel-coated dishes (Fig. 1.4). These results demonstrate ectopic expression of ETV2 alone is sufficient to directly convert fibroblasts into iEndo which exhibit primary endothelial characteristics.


Figure 1.1 Illustrations of exprerimental procedure. (a) The scheme of direct conversion for the generation of iEndo from fibroblast by ETV2 induction . (b) Lent-viral vector construct encoded ETV2 transcription factor.


Figure 1.2. Morphological change of fibroblasts into iEndo during direct conversion. (a) Experimental groups are fibroblasts which are infected to ETV2 lenti-virus and cultured in endothelial media. D1: 1day after ETV2 infection. D6: 6days after infection and cultured in endothelial induction media for 5days, D14: 14days after infection of the cells. After endothelial induction media was switched into endothelial maintenance media on D6, the cells are cultured in endothelial maintenance media for 8 days. (b) Control groups are included no ETV2 induction and no endothelial induction media and maintenance media. Scale bars: $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Figure 1.3. Endothelial cell specific marker expressions. (a) Gene expression was analyzed by RTPCR and (b) protein expression was analyzed by immunocytochemistry. Positive controls: HUVEC (human umbilical vein endothelial cells). Negative controls: parental fibroblasts, HF134 and CRL2097. HE: HF134 infected ETV2. CE: CRL2097 infected ETV2. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Figure 1.4. Tubule-structure formation of iEndo. Tubule-structure formation assay iEndo on matrigel-coated dishes 3 days after culture on matrigel. Scale bars: $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

## 2. Screening of endothelial cell specific IncRNA

To find the relationship with vascular specific lncRNA, expression of lncRNAs were also checked. Several lncRNAs reported to be related to vascular endothelial lineage development or function was selected as the candidate IncRNAs. Candidate lncRNAs were screened through RT-PCR amplification from primary endothelial cell (HUVEC) and iEndo cDNA template. Some lncRNAs were specifically expressed in endothelial cells but not in the fibroblasts (Fig.2.1).


Figure 2.1. Screening of candidate IncRNA. Expression of lncRNA which are related to vascular development or function was screened by RT-PCR.

Among them, $S E N C R$ was focused on the further analysis in this study. To determine the relationship between SENCR and ETV2, lenti-viral vector and episomal vector was inserted to SENCR lncRNA both isoforms for induction (Fig. 2.2). Because SENCR have two isoforms, variant 1 and variant 2, both S1 and S2 were amplified with different length. S1 consist of 3 exons with a length of 1060bp. But, S2 consist of 2 exons with a length of 539bp respectively (Fig. 2.3).


Figure 2.2. Vector constructs for SENCR IncRNA induction. (a) Lenti-viral vector constructs encoded $S E N C R$ variant 1 or 2 (b) Episomal vector constructs encoded $S E N C R$ variant 1 or 2.

## 3. ETV2 is regulated by lncRNA SENCR

To determine which vascular specific transcription factor have the potential to be regulated by SENCR, two cell lines, HEK293T, and CRL-2097, were infected with SENCR. Over-expression of SENCR resulted in increase of ETV2 gene expression in both cell lines. A similar pattern was observed in S1 and S2 (Fig. 3.1a). Also, ETV2 protein expression level was slightly increased in ETV2 and SENCR co-infected group more than ETV2 single infected groups. However, ETV2 protein expression was not detected when S1 or S2 were over-expressed alone (Fig. 3.1b). Furthermore, ETV2 promoter activity was estimated using dual-luciferase promoter assay. 1.5 kb region of ETV2 promoter was used for estimation of ETV2 promoter activity and this sequence was inserted in luciferase promoter reporter vector. When S 1 or S 2 was overexpressed, ETV2 promoter activity was enhanced in HEK293T cells dose-dependently. The highest ETV2 promoter activity was found when 200ng of S2 RNA plasmid was transfected (Fig 3.2). These findings indicate that SENCR activates ETV2 promoter and increases ETV2 gene and protein expression.
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Figure 2.3. SENCR gene structure and isoform sequences. (a) Schematic representation of exons of S1 and S2. S1 consist of 3 exons but S2 consist of 2 exons (b) Whole sequence are references form NCBI (S1: KF806591.1, S2: KF806590.1).


Figure 3.1. ETV2 gene expression and protein expression after infection of SENCR. (a) aRT-PCR analysis of ETV2 gene expression at 3 days after infection of SENCR in HEK293T and CRL2097 cell lines. (b) Western blot analysis of ETV2 protein expression at 3 days after infection of ETV2, SENCR of ETV2 and SENCR in HEK293T cell lines. Con: no infection, S1: SENCR variant 1, S2: SENCR variant 2, E: ETV2, ES1: ETV2+SENCR variant1, ES2: ETV2+SENCR variant 2.


Figure 3.2. Estimation of $\boldsymbol{E T V} 2$ promoter by dual-luciferase promoter assay. The fold change of ETV2 promoter activity after overexpression of S1 or S2. Con: no infection, S1: SENCR variant 1, S2: SENCR variant 2, 100: 100ng, 200 200ng

## 4. Detection of SENCR binding proteins

To demonstrate the mechanism of $S E N C R$ in regulation of ETV2 expression, SENCR binding protein candidates were screened. SENCR RNAs were successfully synthesized in vitro from plasmid encoding SENCR gene sequence. Also, anti-sense RNA was generated and used as a negative control (Fig. 4.1a). Through RNA pulldown analysis, some proteins bound to the SENCR sense RNA were detected. However, the negative controls, bead-only and antisense RNA, also bound to proteins non-
specifically (Fig. 4.1b). So, additional experiment was needed to distinguish SENCR sense RNA specific proteins. The binding proteins were analyzed by shot gun in gel digestion for the LC-MS analysis. Within the proteins identified 33 proteins categorized into the RNA binding proteins in gene ontology (GO) term. Also, the proteins were categories into other ontology categories biological process and cellular component (Fig. 4.2). Also, the results suggest 683 proteins bound to SENCR sense RNA only without binding of bead (Fig. 4.3 and 4.4).


Figure 4.1. ETV2 gene expression and protein expression after infection of SENCR. (a) Gel images of SENCR RNA transcripts. S1: SENCR variant 1, S2: SENCR variant 2 (b) Coomassie blue stained SDS gel image of RNA binding proteins.

## 5. SENCR recruits PSPC1 to ETV2 promoter for activation

To further investigate how SENCR activate ETV2 gene, protein, and promoter, the candidate proteins were applied to the ETV2 promoter luciferase assay. Within 53 candidate protein, the rate of PTBassociated proteins and proteins which formed complex with PTB-associated proteins was high. Among them, higher activation of ETV2 promoter was observed after addition of PSPC1, one of the 53 candidate protein. Only S 1 or S 2 RNAs activated ETV2 promoter 1.9- and 1.4-fold respectively in consistence with previous result. Furthermore, co-transfection of PSPC1 with RNAs showed increased ETV2 promoter activity by 3.9 -fold and 3.6 -fold when co-transfected with S1 or S2 respectively. However, there was a slight increase in PTBP1, a negative control that was not on the list, transfected group (Fig. 3f). The results indicate that SENCR RNAs bind to PSPC1 protein and recruit it to ETV2 promoter for activation (Fig. 3g).


Figure 4.2. GO term analysis of SENCR binding proteins. Pie charts indicate SENCR binding proteins are analyzed as molecular function, biological process, and cellular component of GO term category.


Figure 4.3. The number of RNA-binding proteins. Venn diagram of SENCR-binding proteins analyzed by LC-MS. Yellow color indicates the number of $S E N C R$ sense RNA specific proteins.
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Table 4. SENCR RNA binding proteins analyzed by LC-MS
Identified Proteins (157/472)
Splicing factor, proline- and glutamine-rich $O S=$ Homo sapiens $G N=S F P Q$ PE $=1 \quad$ SV $=2$
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17 OS=Homo sapiens GN=DDX17 $P E=1 \quad$ SV $=1$ ATP-dependent RNA helicase A OS=Homo sapiens $G N=D H \times 9 \quad P E=1 \quad S V=4$
ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX36 OS=Homo sapiens $G N=D H X 36$ PE $=1 \quad \mathrm{SV}=2$ Titin OS=Homo sapiens GN=TTNPE=1 SV=1

Nucleoporin 153 kDa , is oform CRA a OS=Homo sapiens GN=NUP $153 \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX 5 (Fragment) OS $=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DDX} 5 \mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$ Junction plakoglobin, isoform CRA a OS=Homo sapiens GN=JUP PE=4 SV=1
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX35 OS $=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DHX} 35 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ Microtubule-associated protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=MAP4 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ PAX3- and PAX7-binding protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PAXBP1 PE $=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$
X -ray repair cross-complementing protein $5 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{XRCC} 5 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ Ubiquititn-activating enzyme E1 (A1S9T and BN75 temperature sensitivity complementing
Ribosomal biogenesis protein LAS1L OS $=$ Homo sapiens GN=LAS1L PE $=1$ SV $=2$ Ribosomal biogenesis protein LAS1L OS=Homo sapiens $G N=L A S L L E=1 S V=2$
Ribosomal protein L29, isoform CRA a OS $=$ Homo sapiens $G N=$ RPL29 $P E=4 S V=1$

Nucleolin, isoform CRA b OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{NCLPE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $F$, isoform CRA_a $O S=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=H$ HRPF $P \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
X -ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 6 (Ku autoantigen, 70 KDa ), isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{XRCC6}$ PE $=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
HIV Tat-specific factor 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=HTATSF1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
CDNA FLJ51771, highly similar to SWVSNF-related matrix-associatedactin CDNA FLJ78483, highly similar to Homo sapiens elongation factor Tu GTP binding domain contain 2 =

ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX3X OS=Homo sapiens GN=DDX3X PE=1 $\mathrm{SV}=1$
Guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 3 (Nucleolar)-like, isoform CRA a OS $=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{GNL} 3 \mathrm{~L}$ PE=4 SV=1 Guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 3 (Nucleolar)--ike, is
RPL14 protein OS $=$ Homo sapiens $G N=$ RPL14 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$

Methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (NADP+ dependent) 1 , methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase, formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase,
isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens $G N=$ MTHFD1 $\mathrm{PE}=3 \mathrm{SV}=1$ Interleukin enhancer binding factor $3,90 \mathrm{kDa}$, isoform $\mathrm{CRA}=1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{LLF} 3 \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Desmoplakin $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DSP} \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ Desmoplakin OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DSP} \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$
Cisplatin resistance-associated overexpressed protein, is CDNA, FLL95650, highly similar to tomo sapiens kary, isoform CRA (importin) beta 1 (KPNB1), mRNA $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$ cDNA FLJ56274, highly similar to Transketolase (EC 2.2.1.1) OS=Homo sapiens PE=2 SV=1
Synaptosomal-associated protein (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=SNAP23 PE $=1$ SV=1 P37 AUF1 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Nucleolar RNA helicase $2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DDX} 21 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5$ Nucleolar RNA helic ase $2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DDX} 21 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5$
Interleukin enhancer-binding factor $2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{LLF} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ Transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase OS=Homo sapiens $G N=V C P \quad P E=1 \quad$ SV $=4$
U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 200 kDa helicase OS=Homo sapiens $G N=S N R N P 200$ PE $=1 \quad$ SV=2 Proteasome subunit alpha type-5 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PSMA5 $P E=1 \quad \mathrm{SV}=3$
Pre-mRNA-processing factor 40 homolog A OS=Homo sapiens GN=PRPF $40 \mathrm{APE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$

cDNA FLJ60424, highly similar to Junction plakoglobin OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
39 S ribosomal protein L 22 , mitochondrial $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{MRPL} 22 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Cytoplasmic dynein 1 heavy chain 1 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DYNC} 1 \mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5$
A (IV) collagen (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{COL} 4 \mathrm{~A} 6 \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
A6(IV) collagen (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=COL4A6 PE=4 SV=1
Chaperonin containing TCP1, subunit 6 A (Zeta 1), isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=CCT6A PE=3 SV=1
Endoplasmin OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=$ HSP90B1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Bifunctional glutamate/proline--tRNA ligase $\mathrm{OS}=\mathrm{Homo}$ sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=$ EPRS $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5$
Bifunctional glutamate/proline-tRNA ligase $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=$ EPRS $P \mathrm{EE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5$
Paraspeckle component 1 , isoform CRA $\mathrm{bOS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{PSPC1} \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
cDNA FLJ78586, highly similar to Homo sapiens VAMP (vesicle-associated membrane protein)-associated protein A, 33kDa (VAPA),
mRNA OS=Homo sapiens $P E=1 S V=1$
Chaperonin contaianing TCPI, subunit 8 (Theta) variant (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Family with sequence similarity 32 , member A , isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=FAM32A PE=4SV=1 Nuclease-sensitive element-binding protein 1 (Fragment) OS=Homo
Vinculin, isoform CRA_C OS=Homo sapiens GN=VCLPE=4 SV=1


| Accession Number |
| :---: |
| AOAOAOMRPO_HUMAN (+1) |
| COPA HUMAN |
| D3DTW3_HUMAN (+1) |
| LAP2A HUMAN |
| A0A024R2PO_HUMAN (+3) |
| A0A024R9Y7_HUMAN (+2) |
| B4EOR6_HUMAN (+1) |
| C1PHA2_HUMAN |
| Q13344_HUMAN |
| Q53HV2_HUMAN (+2) |
| A4DOS8_HUMAN |
| AKP8L_HUMAN |
| A0A024RDW4_HUMAN (+2) |
| A8K614_HUMAN (+2) |
| EIF3M HUMAN |
| A0A024R592_HUMAN (+8) |
| A6NCQO_HUMAN (+3) |
| B4DS43_HUMAN |
| B2R5W2_HUMAN (+4) |
| Q53XM7_HUMAN (+1) |
| PRP6_HUMAN |
| ROA3_HUMAN |
| RBMX_HUMAN |
| B2R8R5_HUMAN (+1) |
| Q53FS6_HUMAN (+1) |
| B3KTJ9_HUMAN (+1) |
| DDHD1_HUMAN |
| SAHH_HUMAN |
| A0A087WZ13_HUMAN (+1) |
| gi\|109048|pir||S22025 |
| Q619T8_HUMAN |
| A0A024RD93_HUMAN (+2) |
| DNLI3_HUMAN |
| A0A024R2T6_HUMAN (+2) |
| A0A087WZV1_HUMAN |
| MFAP1_HUMAN |
| RBM14_HUMAN |
| A0A024RD01_HUMAN (+1) |
| Q53HU8_HUMAN (+2) |
| G3V359_HUMAN (+1) |
| SF3B5_HUMAN |
| J3QRU4_HUMAN (+2) |
| PDIA1_HUMAN (+2) |
| XPO2_HUMAN |
| CPSF1_HUMAN (+1) |
| B2R6H6_HUMAN (+1) |
| B7Z8Z6_HUMAN |
| A0A024R814_HUMAN (+1) |
| E9PKZO_HUMAN (+1) |
| A2A3R6_HUMAN (+2) |
| CALL5_HUMAN (+1) |
| Q53FA5_HUMAN ( +1 ) |
| Q5T670_HUMAN (+1) |
| AOA024R1N1_HUMAN (+1) |

dentified Proteins (157/472)


| Accession Number | Molecular | Anti | Bead | Sense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HNRH2 HUMAN | 49 kDa | 1 |  | 1.59E+08 |
| D6W507_HUMAN | 17 kDa | 2159400 | 1 |  |
| B5BU08_HUMAN (+1) | 28 kDa | 1 |  | $1.39 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| gil125644\|sp|P02441|KRA3_SHEEP | 14 kDa | 995020 | 1 |  |
| B4DPH9_HUMAN (+4) | 22 kDa | 609410 | 1 | 61476 |
| A0A024RDH8_HUMAN ( +1 ) | 13 kDa | 1 |  | 102810 |
| PYRG1_HUMAN | 67 kDa | 3831400 | 1 |  |
| IF6_HUMAN | 27 kDa | 583180 | 1 |  |
| B4DH02_HUMAN (+2) | 94 kDa | 1 | 1 | 528 |
| B5BUB1_HUMAN (+1) | 50 kDa | 1569700 | 1 |  |
| A0A024RBB7_HUMAN (+9) | 45 kDa | 1910000 | 1 |  |
| PDIAG HUMAN | 48 kDa | 2498100 | 1 |  |
| A0A024R158_HUMAN (+4) | 136 kDa | 277990 | 1 |  |
| THOC2_HUMAN | 183 kDa | 1 | 1 | 739410 |
| DHX57_HUMAN | 156 kDa |  |  | 4413900 |
| A0AO24R713_HUMAN (+4) | 54 kDa | 3826000 | 1 |  |
| A0AO24R1A4_HUMAN (+2) | 18 kDa | 239370 | 1 |  |
| H0Y4R1_HUMAN (+1) | 51 kDa | 1 |  | 218 |
| ABK4C8_HUMAN (+2) | 24 kDa | 1 |  | 729750 |
| DYH2 HUMAN | 508 kDa | 1 |  | 1252700 |
| GOG8J_HUMAN (+1) | 72 kDa |  |  | 4571300 |
| HOYL69 HUMAN (+2) | 26 kDa |  |  | 1115500 |
| Q8TBK5_HUMAN | 33 kDa | 219100 | 1 |  |
| F8VPD4_HUMAN (+1) | 236 kDa | 330180 | 1 |  |
| B1AK87 HUMAN (+4) | 29 kDa | 1024900 |  | 239710 |
| C9JJ19_HUMAN (+1) | 26 kDa | 1 |  | 69520 |
| AOAO24ROL2 HUMAN (+1) | 45 kDa | 1 |  | 175970 |
| A8K5K0_HUMAN (+2) | 96 kDa | 1 |  | 1611000 |
| A0A024R6W0_HUMAN (+3) | 47 kDa | 2394100 | 1 |  |
| B4DS57_HUMAN (+4) | 75 kDa | 413040 | 1 |  |
| AOAO24R5H8_HUMAN (+3) | 24 kDa | 730210 | 1 |  |
| PCNP_HUMAN | 19 kDa | 387070 | 1 |  |
| AOAO9ONBY2 HUMAN ( +1 ) | 73 kDa | 640850 | 1 |  |
| EIF3I HUMAN (+2) | 37 kDa | 934180 | 1 |  |
| CALX HUMAN | 68 kDa | 1 | 1 | 152270 |
| A0A024R2Z6 HUMAN ( +1 ) | 61 kDa | 2463400 |  |  |
| RUVB2 HUMAN | 51 kDa | 1126200 | 1 |  |
| Q9H273 HUMAN | 20 kDa | 462620 | 1 |  |
| A0A087XOH9 HUMAN ( +1 ) | 114 kDa | 129110 | 1 |  |
| A0AO24R5AT_HUMAN ( +1 ) | 68 kDa | 2963200 | 1 |  |
| A0A024QZK8 HUMAN ( +1 ) | 37 kDa | 1 | 1 | 408170 |
| A7E2Y5_HUMAN (+1) | 254 kDa | 229360 | 1 |  |
| SYAC_HUMAN | 107 kDa | 298830 | 1 |  |
| HV320 HUMAN | 13 kDa | 1 | 1 | 11728 |
| A0A087WTP3_HUMAN (+2) | 73 kDa | 1 | 1 | 1127900 |
| B5BU25_HUMAN (+2) | 53 kDa | 1 | 1 | 213060 |
| B7Z3E3_HUMAN (+2) | 101 kDa | 1354100 | 1 |  |
| A0A024R895_HUMAN (+6) | 32 kDa | 1402600 | 1 |  |
| A0A087WWK8_HUMAN (+3) | 124 kDa | 48447 | 1 |  |

Identified Proteins (157/472)
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens GN=HNRNPH2 PE=1 SV=1 HCG1990625, isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=hCG_1990625 PE=4 SV=1 U2 small nuclear RNA auxilary factor 1 is oform a OS=Homo sapiens GN=U2AF KERATIN, HIGH-SULFUR MATRIX PROTEIN, IIIA3 gi|71384|pir||KRSHA3 keratin high-sulfur
cDNA FLJ55895, highly similar to Ras-related protein Rab-7 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$ Ribosomal protein L34, is oform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=RPL34 PE=4 SV=1
CTP synthase $1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=$ CTPS1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$

CTP synthase $1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{CTPS} 1 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor $6 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{EIF} 6 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=EIF6 PE=1 SV=1
cDNA FLJ50510, highly similar to Heat shock 70 kDa protein $4 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
DNA helicase (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=RUVBL1 PE $=2$ SV $=1$ Protein disulfide-isomerase A6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PDIA6 PE=1 $\quad \mathrm{SV}=1$

THO complex subunit $2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{THOC} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2 \mathrm{SV}=2$ Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase OS=Homo sapiens GN=DLD PE=4 SV=1 Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2L 3, isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=UBE2L3 PE=3 SV=1
Inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase 2 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=IMPDH2 PE=1 SV=1 60 s ribosomal protein L13 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RPL13 PE=2 SV $=1$
Dynein heavy chain 2, axonemal OS=Homo sapiens $G N=D N A H 2 ~ P E=2 S V=3$


Proteasome subunit alpha type (Fragment) $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{PSMA4} \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
60 r ribosomal protein $L 6 O S=$ Homo sapiens $G N=R P L 6 \quad P E=2 S V=1$
CAD protein $O S=$ Homo sapiens $G N=C A D ~ P E=1 S V=1$
CAD protein OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{CAD} \mathrm{PE=1} \mathrm{SV}=1$
Capping protein (Actin filament) muscle Z-line, beta, is oform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=CAPZB PE=1 $\mathrm{SV}=1$
Uncharacterized protein OS = Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{MGC20255} \mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$
cDNA FLJ78309, highly similar to $\mathrm{SV}=1$
mRNA OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Aspartate aminotransferase OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{GOT}, \mathrm{PE}=3 \mathrm{SV}=1$
cDNA FLJ53176, highly similar to Nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
cDNA FLJ53176, highly similar to Nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein OS=Homo sapiens $P E=2$ SV $=1$
RAB6A, member RAS oncogene family, isoform CRA_b OS $=$ Homo sapiens $G N=$ RAB6A $P E=3 S V=1$
PEST proteolytic signal-containing nuclear protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=PCNP PE=1 SV=2
Protein disulfide-isomerase A4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ERP70 PE=3 SV=1
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit I OS=Homo sapiens GN=EIF3I PE=1 SV=1
Calnexin OS=Homo sapiens GN=CANX $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$
Guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 3 (Nucleolar), is oform CRA_b OS=Homo sapiens GN=GNL3 PE=4 SV=1
RuvB-like 2 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{RUVBL} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$
Serologically defined breast cancer antigen NY-BR-87 (Fragment) OS
RNA-binding protein 26 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=$ RBM26 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ TAF6-like RNA polymerase II, p300/CBP-associated factor (PCAF)-associated factor, 65 kDa , isoform CRA_a OS=H
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $\mathrm{H} 3(2 \mathrm{H} 9)$, isoform CRA_a OS=Homo sapiens GN=HNRPH3 PE=4 SV=1 DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily C, member 13 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{DNAJC} 13$ PE=2 SV=1
Alanine--tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic OS=Homo sapiens GN=AARS PE=1 SV=2

Alanine--tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic $O S=H o m o$ sapiens $G N=A A R S \quad P E=1 \quad S V=2$
Far upstream element-binding protein $2 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{KHSRP}$ PE=1 SV=1
U2 small nuclear RNA auxiliary factor 2 is oform b OS $=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{U} 2 \mathrm{AF} 2 \mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Reticulon $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$
SET translocation (Myeloid leukemia-associated), isoform CRA_b OS=Homo sapiens GN=SET
Q motif and SEC7 domain-containing protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=IQSEC1 PE=1 SV=1


Figure 5.1. Estimation of ETV2 promoter by dual-luciferase promoter assay. The fold change of ETV2 promoter activity after overexpression of SENCR, binding protein or SENCR and binding protein.


Figure 5.2. Schematic mechanism of ETV2 regulated by SENCR and PSPC1. SENCR is seemed to recruit PSPC1 protein to ETV2 promoter for activation.

## IV. Discussion

Recent direct conversion studies of endothelial cells have revealed the importance of the ETV2 gene in generation of induced endothelial cells ${ }^{95-98}$. In this study, human fibroblasts switched cell fate into endothelial cells by inducing lentiviral vector encoding single transcription factor ETV2. In previous study, generation of iEndo by induction of ETV2 results from synergistic interaction between ETV2 and FOXC2. Endogenous expression of the FOXC2 in fibroblast coordinate with exogenously introduced ETV2 through a composite DNA-binding site, the FOX:ETS motif. Conserved FOX:ETS motif is identified as numerous endothelial enhancer and promoters ${ }^{87}$. Moreover, knockdown of FOXC2 in fibroblasts resulted in not only significantly reduced ERG and FLII expression after ETV2 infection, but also markedly suppressed endothelial induction ${ }^{98}$. Consistent with previous studies, this report demonstrate that ETV2 single factor has enough power to generate iEndo from two fibroblast cell lines, CRL-2097 and HF134.

After endothelial induction, some population showed cobble-stone like morphologies but other population remained spindle shape. To increase the efficiency of endothelial conversion, two step endothelial induction protocol was developed in this study by modifying the previous protocol ${ }^{96-98}$. In this study, the efficiency of endothelial cell generation was up to $29.4 \%$ (data not shown), which higher efficiency than the previous studies ( $4 \sim 20 \%)^{96-98}$. iEndo also showed endothelial lineage gene expression, protein expression and tubule formation function. However, the expression of NOS3 gene was not detected. Although mouse iEndo generated by direct conversion from fibroblast successfully produced nitric oxide (NO) ${ }^{97}$, the human NOS3 gene has never been expressed in other studies ${ }^{95-96,98}$. Production of NO by NOS3 is important in vivo because the endothelium plays a central role in regulating smooth muscle tone ${ }^{121}$, blood pressure ${ }^{122-123}$, plasma levels of cholesterol ${ }^{124-125}$ and maintaining homeostasis ${ }^{123,}{ }^{126}$ through the production of NO by NOS3 which is regulated by mechanical stimuli, cytokines and growth factors. Therefore, a further study to discover additional transcription factors or stimuli for acquirement of NOS3 function in iEndo is needed. Another function of endothelial cell is tubular structure formation. iEndo successfully formed capillary structure that means iEndo is considered as functional endothelial cells.
iEndo also expressed vascular specific lncRNAs similar to HUVEC. Among the lncRNAs, we focused on SENCR. SENCR was identified as vascular cells, endothelial cell and smooth muscle cell, regulator ${ }^{118,}{ }^{120}$. Also, SENCR plays a role of commitment and function during human ESCs differentiation into endothelial cells ${ }^{119}$. Regardless of the overlap of the chromosomal location of SENCR and FLII gene, the influence of SENCR modulation on FLI expression and vice versa has not yet been described. Boulberdaa et al. demonstrated that two genes were irrelevant through gain- and loss-of-function experiment in endothelial cells ${ }^{119}$. Therefore, we anticipated that there would be a vascular transcription factor that is regulated by SENCR. Since ETV2 was found to be a strong
transcription factor in vascular formation, we examined whether there was a interaction between SENCR and ETV2. In this report, SENCR was identified as an activator of ETV2 through recruitment of PSPC1 protein to ETV2 promoter. This is the first study demonstrates ETV2 activation by lncRNA and their binding protein. PSPC1 was first identified as a structural protein of the subnuclear structure called the paraspeckle ${ }^{127}$. Previous studies found PSPC1 have capacity to bind other noncoding RNAs ${ }^{128-129}$. This protein have important role in other mesoderm lineage cell such as RNA maturation in adipogenesis ${ }^{130}$ and regulation of androgen receptor(AR)-mediated transcriptional activity in spermatogenesis ${ }^{131}$. AR is a ligand-inducible transcription factor. Expression of AR in vascular cells was identified in previous reports ${ }^{132}$. Therefore, it may be expected that there is a correlation of AR mediated endothelial transcription regulation through $\operatorname{lnc}$ RNA.

Upon vascular development, expression of ETV2 was consistently increased on the first day of differentiation and silenced in fully differentiated endothelial cells. SENCR expression was not dependent on ETV2 silencing at the end of differentiation. This asks the question of how SENCR remained expressed as well in endothelial cell and raises the need for further experimentation to reveal other related mechanisms.
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