

## EUROPEAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMUNITY - EURATOM

# NEUTRON TRANSPORT IN A SPHERICAL REACTOR, A STUDY IN THE APPLICATION OF THE j<sub>N</sub> APPROXIMATION

# OF THE MULTIPLE COLLISION METHOD

by T. ASAOKA

1966



Joint Nuclear Research Center Ispra Establishment - Italy

Reactor Physics Department Reactor Theory and Analysis Service

### LEGAL NOTICE

This document was prepared under the sponsorship of the Commission of the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM).

Neither the EURATOM Commission, its contractors nor any person acting on their behalf:

Make any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document may not infringe privately owned rights; or

Assume any liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this document.

This report is on sale at the addresses listed on cover page 4

THE PARTY STATES AND	14.41 1471 1811 1811 1811 1811 1811 1811	· Faller Print & Phillippin	1616 tank 16 19 44 19 12 1 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	66月48666416段超短以144	La Stand Dan Films me La 15	DAC	T'1 (00	17 2 60
at the price of FF	TB	50	DM 4	Lit. 020	F1. 5.00
at the price of an	"当时,计算行我们也能能能好。"马。	I WE TREAM IS AND THE SHALL SHE STATE	新品牌(引)影响转行物像(专力)	行前口 2333 34637887 计434 44 计4 建金	1 Coll Pda v Littles erfb1 k
at the price of FF	13. 我们们的能够好了。			PRODUCTION STATES	

When ordering, please quote the EUR number and the title, which are indicated on the cover of each report.

Printed by Smeets sprl Brussels, January 1966

#### EUR 2627.e

NEUTRON TRANSPORT IN A SPHERICAL REACTOR, A STUDY IN THE APPLICATION OF THE  $\mathbf{j}_{\rm N}$  APPROXIMATION OF THE MULTIPLE COLLISION METHOD by T. ASAOKA

European Atomic Energy Community - EURATOM Joint Nuclear Research Center - Ispra Establishment (Italy) Reactor Physics Department - Reactor Theory and Analysis Service Brussels, January 1966 - 32 Pages - FB 50

The  $j_N$  approximation to the solution of neutron transport problems has emerged in the course of developing the multiple collision method, which is based on the random walk approach. By means of this new method, transport problems for a multiregion spherical reactor, where the total neutron crosssections are independent of the spatial region (position), are treated in the

#### EUR 2627.e

NEUTRON TRANSPORT IN A SPHERICAL REACTOR, A STUDY IN THE APPLICATION OF THE  $j_{\rm N}$  APPROXIMATION OF THE MULTIPLE COLLISION METHOD by T. ASAOKA

European Atomic Energy Community - EURATOM Joint Nuclear Research Center - Ispra Establishment (Italy) Reactor Physics Department - Reactor Theory and Analysis Service Brussels, January 1966 - 32 Pages - FB 50

The  $j_N$  approximation to the solution of neutron transport problems has emerged in the course of developing the multiple collision method, which is based on the random walk approach. By means of this new method, transport problems for a multiregion spherical reactor, where the total neutron crosssections are independent of the spatial region (position), are treated in the context of a multigroup model under the assumption that the scattering of neutrons is spherically symmetric in the L system.

The critical radii for bare spheres, calculated by using the  $j_N$  approximation in combination with a one-group model, are compared with those obtained from the  $S_N$  method and the exact values. In addition, by fixing the radius, the values of  $k_{eff}$  and the asymptotic time-constant (or the so-called Rossi- $\alpha$ ) are calculated and the flux distributions corresponding to these two calculations are compared with each other. For a subcritical system, the flux obtained from the time-constant calculation decreases more slowly as the radial coordinate increases than that obtained from the  $k_{eff}$  calculation. In order to give a numerical illustration of the multigroup model, calculations are performed on two fast neutron critical assemblies, Godiva and Jezebel, and the results are compared with those of the  $S_4$  approximation and experiment.

It can be seen from these results that the  $j_3$  approximation gives a comparably accurate result to the  $S_4$  calculation for all bare systems of interest.

context of a multigroup model under the assumption that the scattering of neutrons is spherically symmetric in the L system.

The critical radii for bare spheres, calculated by using the  $j_N$  approximation in combination with a one-group model, are compared with those obtained from the  $S_N$  method and the exact values. In addition, by fixing the radius, the values of  $k_{eff}$  and the asymptotic time-constant (or the so-called Rossi- $\alpha$ ) are calculated and the flux distributions corresponding to these two calculations are compared with each other. For a subcritical system, the flux obtained from the time-constant calculation decreases more slowly as the radial coordinate increases than that obtained from the  $k_{eff}$  calculation. In order to give a numerical illustration of the multigroup model, calculations are performed on two fast neutron critical assemblies, Godiva and Jezebel, and the results are compared with those of the  $S_1$  approximation and experiment.

It can be seen from these results that the  $j_3$  approximation gives a comparably accurate result to the  $S_4$  calculation for all bare systems of interest.

# EUR 2627.e

## EUROPEAN ATOMIC ENERGY COMMUNITY - EURATOM

## NEUTRON TRANSPORT IN A SPHERICAL REACTOR, A STUDY

# IN THE APPLICATION OF THE **j**<sub>N</sub> APPROXIMATION OF THE MULTIPLE COLLISION METHOD

by

## T. ASAOKA

1966



Joint Nuclear Research Center Ispra Establishment - Italy

Reactor Physics Department Reactor Theory and Analysis Service

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	3
2.	General Formulations	4
3.	Numerical Results for a Bare Sphere and Discussion	10
	3.1 Numerical results in a one-group model	11
	3.2 Numerical examples in a multigroup model	17
4.	Conclusion	18
	References	19
	Appendix	21

#### Summary

The  $j_N$  approximation to the solution of neutron transport problems has emerged in the course of developing the multiple collision method, which is based on the random walk approach. By means of this new method, transport problems for a multiregion spherical reactor, where the total neutron crosssections are independent of the spatial region (position), are treated in the context of a multigroup model under the assumption that the scattering of neutrons is spherically symmetric in the L system.

The critical radii for bare spheres, calculated by using the  $j_N$  approximation in combination with a one-group model, are compared with those obtained from the  $S_N$  method and the exact values. In addition, by fixing the radius, the values of  $k_{eff}$  and the asymptotic time-constant (or the so-called Rossi- $\alpha$ ) are calculated and the flux distributions corresponding to these two calculations are compared with each other. For a subcritical system, the flux obtained from the time-constant calculation decreases more slowly as the radial coordinate increases than that obtained from the  $k_{eff}$  calculation. In order to give a numerical illustration of the multigroup model, calculations are performed on two fast neutron critical assemblies, Godiva and Jezebel, and the results are compared with those of the  $S_4$  approximation and experiment.

It can be seen from these results that the  $j_3$  approximation gives a comparably accurate result to the  $S_4$  calculation for all bare systems of interest.

#### 1. Introduction

By means of the multiple collision method developed by the author, neutron transport problems for a homogeneous slab have been solved with reasonable accuracy, the solution applying equally to large and small systems (Asaoka et al., 1964). This method is an analytical approach based on a viewpoint different from that of Boltzmann equation, namely, the life-cycle in contrast to the neutron-balance viewpoint.

As was shown in the previous paper, the essential point of the method lies not only in the adoption of a viewpoint different from the usual transport equation, but also in the introduction of discontinuity factors with which one can easily take into account the finiteness of the system and fix the point of measurement. As a result, problems for a finite system can be dealt with in a similar manner to those for an infinite system. In addition, it has been shown that the application of the method is greatly simplified by the appropriate employment of expansions in spherical Bessel functions. When this expansion is truncated beyond the N-th order spherical Bessel function,  $j_N$ , the resulting approximation has been called "the  $j_N$  approximation".

It was shown in the previous paper that these mathematical techniques arising from the life-cycle approach can be used to solve problems based on the neutron-balance viewpoint more easily.

The present work is concerned with a further development of the multiple collision method. By applying the above-mentioned mathematical techniques directly to an equation governing the balance of neutrons, a theory valid for spherical systems is obtained. A part of this work, connected with the critical condition and flux distribution for a bare sphere (in the constant cross-section approximation), has already been presented in a EURATOM report (Asaoka et al., 1963).

Manuscript received on November 5, 1965.

#### 2. General Formulations

We consider here, within the context of a multigroup (G energy-groups) model, a multiregion (M regions) spherical reactor in which the neutron scattering is spherically symmetric in the L system.

Let  $\gamma$  be the radial co-ordinate,  $\mu$  the cosine of the angle between the neutron velocity and direction of  $\gamma$ ,  $\Sigma_g$  and  $V_g$  the macroscopic total cross-section and speed of the neutrons in the g-th energy group respectively and  $C(g \rightarrow g)$  the mean number of secondary neutrons produced in the g-th group as a result of a collision in the g'-th group.

The number of neutrons which, due to collisions in the g'-th group, are born in the g-th group with directions in the range  $(\mu, \mu + d\mu)$ , positions in the spherical shell of volume  $4\pi \gamma^2 d\gamma'$  around  $\gamma'$  and at times in the interval dt' around t-t' is given by

$$\frac{C(q' \neq q)}{2} \sum_{g \in V_{q'}} n_{g'}(r', t-t') 4\pi r'^2 dr' dt' d\mu.$$

Assuming that  $\Sigma_{g}$  and  $V_{g}$  are constant and independent of the spatial region, the probability that these neutrons travel for a time t' without further collision is  $Mp(-\Sigma_{g}V_{g}t')$  and the radial co-ordinate after this time is expressed by the relation:

$$\gamma^{2} = \gamma^{2} + (v_{3}t')^{2} + 2\gamma^{\prime}v_{3}t'\mu$$

Hence, the number of neutrons in the g-th group in the spherical shell  $4\pi \gamma^2 d\gamma$  around  $\gamma$  at time t can be written as

$$n_{g}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}) 4\pi \mathbf{r}^{2} d\mathbf{r} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{R_{j-1}}^{R_{j}} d\mathbf{r}' 4\pi \mathbf{r}'^{2} \int_{0}^{t} d\mathbf{t}' \int_{-1}^{t} exp(-\Sigma_{g} v_{g} \mathbf{t}') \\ \times \sum_{j=1}^{G} c_{j}(q^{2} + q) \Sigma_{g} v_{g} n_{g'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}') [S(S_{g} - \mathbf{r}) + S(S_{g} + \mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r},$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

where  $R_{j-1}$  and  $R_j$  are the inner radius and outer radius of the j-th region, respectively,  $C_j(q' > q)$  stands for C(q' > q) for this j-th region and  $S_q = \sqrt{\gamma'^2 + (\gamma_2 t')^2 + 2\gamma' \gamma_2 t' \mu}$ .

Replacing  $\mu$  by  $5_q$ , rewriting  $S(5_q\mp\gamma)$  in the form of the Fourier representation:

$$\frac{\sum_{q}}{2\pi} d_{\mathcal{Z}} \exp\left[i \sum_{g} \mathcal{Z}(\pm 5_{g} - \Upsilon)\right],$$

and performing the integration over  $5_g$  from  $|\gamma' - v_g t'|$  to  $\gamma' + v_g t'$ , we get:

$$\begin{split} \gamma V_{q} \mathcal{N}_{q}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \int_{-R_{j}}^{-R_{j-1}} d\mathbf{r}' + \int_{R_{j-1}}^{R_{j}} d\mathbf{r}' \right)_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt'}{t'} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{Z_{q} \mathbf{r}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathbf{z} \, e^{-iZ_{q} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{z}} \\ &\times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \left[ \frac{\sin(\Sigma_{q} \mathbf{v}_{q} \mathbf{t}' \mathbf{z})}{\mathbf{z}} e^{iZ_{q} \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{z}} \right]_{\ell} e^{-\Sigma_{q} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{t}' \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{j}(q' \ge q) \sum_{q'} \mathcal{V}_{q'} \mathbf{r}' \mathcal{N}_{q'}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}')}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(2) \end{split}$$

where the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_q(r,t)$  has been extended to Y < 0 by putting  $\mathcal{N}_q(-r,t) = \mathcal{N}_q(r,t)$ . Equation (2) can be written in the form:

$$\begin{split} \gamma V_{q} N_{q}(\gamma, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{Z} e^{-iZ_{q} \gamma Z} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt'}{t'} e^{-Z_{q} V_{q} t'} sin(Z_{q} V_{q} Z t') \\ & \times \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \int_{-R_{j}}^{-R_{j-1}} \frac{R_{j}}{dt'} \right) e^{iZ_{q} Z \gamma' \frac{G}{Z}} C_{j}(q \neq q) \Sigma_{q} N_{q} \gamma' N_{q'}(\gamma', t - t'). \end{split}$$
(3)

Next, by taking the Laplace transform of  $V_g N_g(r,t) e^{Z_i v_i t}$ , we get:

$$Y L_{q}(Y, \Delta) = Y \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, \bar{e}^{st} \, V_{q} n_{q}(Y, t) e^{\Sigma_{i} V_{i} t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathfrak{R}}{\tilde{e}} \, \bar{e}^{i\Sigma_{q} \mathcal{R}Y} tan^{-i} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{q} V_{q} \mathcal{R}}{d - \Sigma_{i} V_{i} + \Sigma_{q} V_{q}} \right) \sum_{g=1}^{G} F(q \rightarrow q, \frac{\Sigma_{q}}{\Sigma_{i}} \mathcal{R}, \Delta), \qquad (4)$$

where

$$F(q \neq q, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \int_{-R_{j}}^{-R_{j+1}} dY' + \int_{R_{j-1}}^{R_{j}} dY' \right) e^{i \mathcal{Z}_{i} \mathcal{Y} Y'} c_{j}(q \neq q) \mathcal{Z}_{j} \mathcal{Y}' \mathcal{L}_{q'}(Y' \mathcal{A})$$

$$= c_{M}(q' \neq q) \mathcal{Z}_{j} \int_{dY' Y'}^{R_{M}} dY' Y' e^{i \mathcal{Z}_{i} \mathcal{Y} Y'} \mathcal{L}_{q'}(Y' \mathcal{A})$$

$$-R_{M} \qquad (5)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=M-1}^{4} [c_{j}(q \neq q) - c_{j+1}(q' \neq q)] \mathcal{Z}_{q'} \int_{dY' Y' e^{i \mathcal{Z}_{i} \mathcal{Y} Y'} \mathcal{L}_{q'}(Y' \mathcal{A}).$$

It follows from equations (4) and (5) that the function  $F(q^{\prime} \rightarrow q, \gamma, \Lambda)$  satisfies the following integral equation:

$$F(q \rightarrow q'', \frac{\Sigma_{q}}{\Sigma_{1}}, s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dR}{2} tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{q}V_{q}R}{4 - \Sigma_{1}V_{1} + \Sigma_{q}V_{q}} \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ C_{M}(q \rightarrow q'') \Sigma_{q}R_{M} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \Sigma_{q}R_{M}(q - R) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (C_{j}(q \rightarrow q'') - C_{j+1}(q \rightarrow q'')] \Sigma_{q}R_{j} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \Sigma_{q}R_{j}(q - R) \right] \right\} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F(q \rightarrow q, \frac{\Sigma_{q}}{\Sigma_{1}}, s), \quad (6)$$

where  $j_n(x)$  is the *N*-th order spherical Bessel function. If  $F(q \neq q, q, s)$  is now expanded in spherical Bessel functions:

$$F(q \neq q'', y, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m^{j}(q \neq q'', s) f_m(z; R_j y), \qquad (7)$$

$$f = 1, 2, \dots, M-1 \text{ or } M,$$

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{r},t)$  can be transformed into the following form derived from equation (4):

$$YV_{\overline{g}}N_{g}(Y,t)e^{\Sigma_{1}V_{1}t} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d2}{2} e^{i\Sigma_{\overline{g}}2Y} \int_{m}^{\infty} (\Sigma_{\overline{g}}R_{\overline{g}}Z) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} ds e^{st} tan \left(\frac{\Sigma_{\overline{g}}V_{\overline{g}}Z}{d-\Sigma_{1}V_{1}+\Sigma_{\overline{g}}V_{\overline{g}}}\right)_{\overline{g}=1}^{G} b_{m}^{j}(q \neq q, s).$$

$$(8)$$

The asymptotic behaviour of  $\mathcal{N}_{j}(r,t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  can thus be written:

$$rv_{g}n_{g}(r,t) \sim e^{Z_{i}v_{i}(J_{i}-1)t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m}^{j}(q,J_{i}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d2}{2} t_{e}^{-iZ_{1}Y_{2}} \int_{m}^{\infty} (Z_{g}R_{j}^{\prime}Z), \qquad (9)$$

where

$$\Sigma_{g} \Upsilon' = \Sigma_{g} \Upsilon \left\{ 1 + (J_{i} - 1) \frac{\Sigma_{i} V_{i}}{\Sigma_{g} V_{g}} \right\}, \quad \Sigma_{g} R_{j}' = \Sigma_{g} R_{j} \left\{ 1 + (J_{i} - 1) \frac{\Sigma_{i} V_{i}}{\Sigma_{g} V_{g}} \right\},$$

and  $\mathcal{L} = \Sigma_i \mathcal{V}_i \mathcal{L}_i$  is a pole of the quantity  $\mathcal{B}_m^i(q, \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mathcal{L}_m^i(q^{i,j}q, \mathcal{L})$ which has the largest real part and  $\mathcal{B}_m^i(q, \mathcal{L}_i)$  is the residue at  $\mathcal{L} = \Sigma_i \mathcal{V}_i \mathcal{L}_i$ . As is easily seen from equation (9), only the terms with odd values of  $\mathcal{M}$ remain on the right hand side because  $\gamma \mathcal{N}_i(\gamma, t)$  is an odd function of  $\gamma$ . The explicit expression for the integral (on the right side of equation (9) ) with odd values of  $\mathcal{M}$  is shown in the Appendix.

According to equation (7), a relation between the coefficients  $f_m^{\dagger}(q \rightarrow q'', s)$  with different values of j can be obtained in the form:

$$f_{m}^{k}(q \rightarrow q'', s) = (2m+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}^{j}(q \rightarrow q'', s) I_{jk}(n,m), \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_{jk}(n,m) &= \frac{\alpha_{k}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{m}^{\alpha_{k}} (\alpha_{k}y) \int_{n}^{j} (\alpha_{j}y) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2})^{n}m} \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)^{n+1} \frac{nm}{1=0} \frac{(-1)^{l} (m+n+2l-1)!!}{(2m+2l+1)!! (\frac{n-m}{2}-l)! l!} \left(\frac{\alpha_{k}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)^{2l}, \\ &\alpha_{j} \geq \alpha_{k}, n \geq m \text{ and } m+n = \text{even}, \\ \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2})^{m}n} \left(\frac{\alpha_{j}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{n} \frac{\frac{m-n}{2}}{1=0} \frac{(-1)^{l} (m+n+2l-1)!!}{(2n+2l+1)!! (\frac{m-n}{2}-l)! l!} \left(\frac{\alpha_{j}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{2l}, \\ &\alpha_{k} \geq \alpha_{j}, m \geq n \text{ and } m+n = \text{even}, \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

in which  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\alpha_{j}$  stand for  $\Sigma_{1}R_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\Sigma_{1}R_{j}$  respectively (the function  $I_{jk}(m,n)$  is independent of  $\Sigma_{1}$  and when  $\alpha_{j} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$ , that is, j = k, the expression reduces to S(m-n)/(2m+1) ). Now, by applying Gegenbauer's addition theorem:

$$\dot{J}_{o}(Y-Z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (2p+1) \dot{J}_{p}(Y) \dot{J}_{p}(Z)$$

to the kernel on the right side of equation (6) and using the orthogonality relation for spherical Bessel functions, the following infinite set of linear equations satisfied by the  $B_m^i(q, \Sigma_i V_i A_i)$  can be derived

$$\frac{1}{2m+1} B_{m}^{i}(q, \Sigma_{i} v_{i} s_{i}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{q} E_{nnj}^{i}(q \rightarrow q) B_{n}^{i}(q', \Sigma_{i} v_{i} s_{i}), \qquad (11)$$

where

$$E_{mnj}(q \rightarrow q) = C_{m}(q \rightarrow q) \frac{R_{i}}{R_{m}} \sum_{\substack{p,q=0 \\ p,q=0}}^{\infty} (2p+1)(2q+1)I_{jm}(m,p)I_{jm}(n,q)J_{q'm}(p,q)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k=M+1 \\ k=M+1}}^{J} \left[ C_{k}(q \rightarrow q) - C_{k+1}(q \rightarrow q) \right] \frac{R_{i}}{R_{k}} \sum_{\substack{p,q=0 \\ R_{k}}}^{\infty} (2p+1)(2q+1)I_{jk}(m,p)I_{jk}(n,q)J_{q'k}(p,q) ,$$

in which

$$C_{k}^{\prime}(q \rightarrow q^{\prime}) = C_{k}(q \rightarrow q^{\prime}) / |1 + (J_{i} - 1) \frac{\Sigma_{i} V_{i}}{\Sigma_{q} V_{q}}|,$$
 (13)

$$J_{qj}(p,q) = \frac{Z_{q}R_{j}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{t_{an}}{y} j_{p}(Z_{q}R_{j}'y) j_{q}(Z_{q}R_{j}'y).$$
(14)

The explicit expressions for the  $J_{qj}(p, q)$  with p and  $q \leq 7$  have been given in the appendix of a previous paper (Asaoka et al., 1964). Since  $J_{gj}(p,q) = J_{gj}(q,p)$ , it follows from equation (12) that  $E_{mnj}(q \rightarrow q)$  $= E'_{nmj}(q \rightarrow q).$ 

The condition that  $B_m^i(q, \Sigma_i v_i J_i)$  should diverge beyond all bounds is thus

det 
$$(2m+1)E_{mnj}(q \rightarrow q) - \delta_{qq}\delta_{mn} = 0$$
,  $q,q'=1,2,\cdots,G$  for  $m,n=1,3,5,\cdots$ , (15)

which gives a relation between the physical properties of a reactor (as contained for example in the parameter C ), geometrical dimension

and asymptotic time-constant  $J_1$  (see equation (9)). For a critical reactor,  $\mathcal{J}_{i}$  must be equal to unity and equation (15) with 1=1 therefore gives the critical condition, that is a relation between the physical properties and geometrical dimension of a critical reactor. In order to obtain the value of the effective multiplication factor key for a given reactor,  $C_{k}(q \rightarrow q')$  is divided into two parts; the scattering part  $C_{fk}(q \rightarrow q') = \sum_{fk}(q \rightarrow q')/\sum_{q}$  and the fission part  $C_{fk}(q \rightarrow q')$ =  $\chi_{q'}(V\Sigma_{f})_{q}/\Sigma_{q}$ . Using this separation the value of  $k_{eff}$  is obtained by solving equation (15) with  $J_i = 1$  and

$$C_{k}(q \rightarrow q') = C_{jk}(q \rightarrow q') + C_{fk}(q \rightarrow q')/k_{iff}$$

R

The ratios between the  $B_m(q, \Sigma_i V_i A_i)$ 's can now be determined by the use of equations (11) and (15) for any of the above-mentioned problems, that is, the evaluation of the time-constant, critical condition or the value of  $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ . Having thus obtained the  $B_{m}^{\dagger}$ 's, the flux distribution or neutron spectrum can be obtained from equation (9) for each problem.

- 9 -

### 3. Numerical Results for a Bare Sphere and Discussion

For a bare sphere (M = 1), equations (9), (11) and (15) reduce to

.

$$\Upsilon V_{g} N_{g}(\mathbf{r}, t) \sim e^{\Sigma_{1} V_{i}(\Delta_{1}-1) t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m}(g, S_{1}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dZ}{2} tan^{2} e^{-i\Sigma_{g} \mathbf{r}' \mathbf{z}} j_{m}(\mathbb{Z}_{g} \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{z}), \qquad (9^{\circ})$$

$$\frac{1}{2m+1}B_m(q,\Sigma_iv_iA_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{q} c'(q' \rightarrow q) J_{q'}(m,n) B_n(q',\Sigma_iv_iA_i), \qquad (11^{\circ})$$

det 
$$(2m+1)c'(q' \rightarrow q)J_{q'}(m,n) - \delta_{q'q} S_{mn} = 0, \ q, q'=1, 2, \dots, G \text{ for } m, n=1, 3, 5, \dots$$
 (15\*)

In a one-group model (G = 1), these equations reduce even further to the following results respectively:

$$\gamma \nu n(\mathbf{r},t) \sim e^{\Sigma \nu (J-1)t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(J_1) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dZ}{2} t_{an} Z e^{-i\Sigma r' 2} j_m(\Sigma R' 2), \qquad (9")$$

$$\frac{1}{2m+1}B_m(\Sigma v s_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c' J'(m,n) B_n(\Sigma v s_1),$$
(11")

det 
$$(2m+1)c'J'(m,n) - S_{mn} = 0, m, n = 1, 3, 5, \cdots,$$
 (15")

which for a critical system ( $J_i = 1$ ) have already been derived in a previous report (Asaoka et al., 1963).

#### 3.1. Numerical results in a one-group model

The numerical values of C for critical bare spheres with various values of  $\Sigma R$  between 0.005 and 50 are given in Table 1. Even the results of the  $j_3$  approximation (containing just two terms on the right hand side of equation (9"))have shown that the difference between Carlson's result and ours is indistinguishable on a figure (Asaoka et al., 1963). By using a quadratic trial function in the single-iteration moments method, Carlson calculated the critical radius for various values of C between 1.1 and 3.0 (Carlson, 1949). In Table 1 are also shown the values of the so-called extrapolation distance d, the distance between the boundary and the point at which the asymptotic neutron flux expressed in the form  $Mn[\pi Y/(R+d)]/Y$  would extrapolate to zero. These are calculated from the following equation (see Asaoka, 1961):

$$\Sigma d = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3(1-1/c)}} - \Sigma R.$$
 (16)

In the  $j_3$  approximation, the asymptotic expressions for these quantities are

$$\frac{1}{C} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{5}{144} (15 + \sqrt{57}) \propto -\frac{2}{9} (1 + \frac{1226}{11875} \sqrt{57}) \propto^2, & \propto \ll 1, \\ 1 - \frac{7}{20} \times +\frac{1799}{24003}, & \propto \gg 1, \end{cases}$$
(17)

$$\Sigma d \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} - (1 - 5\pi \frac{5\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{19}}{288}) \alpha, & \alpha \ll 1, \\ -(1 - \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{42}}) \alpha + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{42}} \frac{257}{120}, & \alpha \gg 1, \end{cases}$$
(18)

where  $\alpha = \Sigma R$ . For large  $\alpha$ , the corresponding expressions in the  $j_5$  approximation are as follows:

$$\frac{1}{C} \sim 1 - \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}{\alpha^2} + \frac{6975 - 2769\sqrt{5}}{168\alpha^3},$$

$$\Sigma d \sim -(1 - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{30 - 9\sqrt{5}}}) \propto + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{30 - 9\sqrt{5}}} - \frac{171 - 41\sqrt{5}}{112}$$

$$= -0.00029288 \propto + 0.7080177.$$
(19)

Table 2 shows the numerical values of the flux distribution in critical spheres with  $\Sigma R = 0.005$ , 0.5 and 10. For large  $\Sigma R$ , the results obtained from elementary diffusion theory by using  $\Sigma d = 0.71$  show good agreement with ours except in the region within about a mean free path from the boundary. The asymptotic expressions for the flux distribution in the j<sub>3</sub> approximation are given by

$$\frac{2i\alpha R}{B_{1}} \nu n(\xi) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{5}{18} (\sqrt{57} - 3) \alpha \left(1 - \frac{25 + 3\sqrt{57}}{8} \left(\frac{5}{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right], & \xi, \alpha \ll 1, \\ \frac{5}{18} (\sqrt{57} - 3) \alpha \left(1 - \frac{5}{8} + \frac{5}{8} + \frac{57}{8} + \frac{1}{4}\right] \xi_{o}, \\ & \xi_{o} = \alpha - \xi, & \alpha \ll 1, \\ & \xi_{o} = \alpha - \xi, & \alpha \ll 1, \\ \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{5}{2\alpha^{2}} (1 + \frac{1}{2} \xi^{2}), & \xi \ll 1, & \alpha \gg 1, \\ & \frac{23}{12\alpha} + \frac{2}{3\alpha} (\ln \frac{1}{\xi_{o}} - \gamma + \frac{17}{2}) \xi_{o}, & \xi_{o} = \alpha - \xi \ll 1, & \alpha \gg 1, \end{cases}$$
(20)

where  $\xi = \Sigma \gamma$  and  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. For large  $\alpha$ , the asymptotic expressions in the j<sub>5</sub>approximation can be written in the forms:

$$\frac{2i\alpha R}{B_{1}} \mathcal{V}\mathcal{N}(\xi) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{189\sqrt{5}-140}{88} - \frac{36090-4881\sqrt{5}}{3872\alpha} - \frac{315\sqrt{5}-490}{22\alpha^{2}}(1+\frac{1}{2}\xi^{2}), \\ \xi \ll 1, \\ \frac{235-67\sqrt{5}}{44\alpha} + \frac{15-\sqrt{5}}{11\alpha} \left(\ln\frac{1}{\xi}-\chi+\frac{23-7\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\xi_{o}, \quad \xi_{o} \ll 1. \end{cases}$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

Furthermore, the extrapolated end-point, that is, the distance beyond the boundary of the medium at which the asymptotic flux (due to the pole  $\Xi = 0$  in equation (9") ) vanishes (see Appendix), takes the value  $(3+\sqrt{5})/7 = 0.7480$  in the j<sub>5</sub> approximation for infinite X, this being measured in units of the total mean free path.

As can be seen from the above-mentioned results, the infinite series on the right hand side of equation (9") converges faster for smaller  $\Sigma R$  and hence the results obtained from the j<sub>3</sub> approximation can be regarded as accurate for the smaller values of  $\Sigma R$ . On the other hand, for large  $\Sigma R$ , the infinite series does not converge very quickly but the j<sub>5</sub> approximation will give a sufficiently accurate result for practical purposes.

Additional test calculations with a one-group model were performed on several bare spheres and the results were compared with the exact ones and with those of the  $S_{N}$  calculations. In Table 3 are shown the results for bare spheres with C equal to 1.02, 1.2 or 1.8. The exact critical radius  $\sum R_c$  is given in the first column of the table and the critical radius obtained from the  ${\rm S}_{_{\rm N}}$  approximation with various values of N is shown in the second column. The deviation from the exact result is shown in parenthesis. All these values are obtained from a paper by C.E. Lee (1962). In the third column are shown the critical radii obtained from the  $j_{N}$  approximation with several values of N, the deviation from the exact result being given in parenthesis. It will be noted from these results that the  $j_3$  approximation gives a reasonably accurate result while the  $j_5$  approximation is exact for all systems of interest. In the fourth and fifth columns of Table 3 are shown respectively the values of the time-constant  $J_1-1$  (see equation (9")) and  $k_{eff}$  for a fixed value of the radius. These were calculated by means of the  $j_{N}$  approximation. The asymptotic expressions for the critical radius  $\alpha_c = \sum R_c$  are, in the j<sub>3</sub> approximation,

$$\alpha_{c} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{6(15-\sqrt{57})}{35c} \Big[ 1+ \big(1-\frac{1753\sqrt{57}}{2087\sqrt{57}}\big) \frac{105456}{153\sqrt{57}} \Big]_{j} \quad c \gg 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{7/2}{1-1/c}} \Big[ 1-\frac{257}{840} \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}} \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{c}} \Big]_{j} \quad 1-\frac{1}{c} \ll 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(22)$$

and in the  $j_5$  approximation,

$$\mathcal{X}_{c} \sim \sqrt{\frac{10-3\sqrt{5}}{1-1/c}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1881-451\sqrt{5}}{1232\sqrt{10-3\sqrt{5}}} \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{c}} \right\}, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{c} \ll 1.$$
<sup>(23)</sup>

In addition, the asymptotic expressions in the  $j_3$  approximation for the time-constant and  $k_{eff}$  of a sphere with a radius  $\chi = \chi_c(1+\varepsilon)$  with  $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$  are

$$\mathcal{A}_{1}-1 \sim \begin{cases} \frac{3261171875}{2236912896} \left(1+\frac{1753}{2087115}\sqrt{57}\right) c \varepsilon = 1.550336 c \varepsilon, \quad c \gg 1, \\ 2(c-1)\varepsilon \left[1-2\varepsilon(1-2\varepsilon)+2(c-1)(1-6\varepsilon)+4(c-1)^{2}\right], \\ c-1\ll 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{eff}-1 \sim \begin{cases} \varepsilon \frac{c}{c_{f}} \left(1+\varepsilon \frac{c_{4}}{c_{f}}-\frac{105456}{153125}\left(1-\frac{1753\sqrt{57}}{2087115}\right)\frac{1+\varepsilon}{c}\right], \quad c \gg 1, \\ 2\varepsilon (c-1)\frac{c}{c_{f}} \left[1-\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon-\frac{257}{240}\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{c}}(1-3\varepsilon)-\frac{66049}{33600}(1-\frac{1}{c})(1-\frac{9}{4}\varepsilon) -\frac{16974593}{3456000\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}}(1-\frac{1}{c})^{3/2}+2\varepsilon\frac{c_{4}c}{c_{f}}(1-\frac{1}{c})\right], \quad c-1\ll 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(24)$$

where  $(C-1)C/C_f$  can be rewritten in terms of  $k_{\infty} = V\Sigma_f/\Sigma_a$  in the form:

$$(c-1)\frac{C}{C_{f}} = c \frac{k_{0}-1}{k_{0}}.$$

For small C-1 , the asymptotic expression for  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}}$  in the j<sub>5</sub> approximation is:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{249195 - 40923\sqrt{5}}{172480} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{9}{4}\epsilon\right) - \frac{4250130 - 1434569\sqrt{5}}{681920\sqrt{10} - 3\sqrt{5}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{3/2} + 2\epsilon \frac{c_{4}c}{c_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

while the expression for  $\mathcal{A}_i - 1$  in the j<sub>5</sub> approximation is the same as the second of equations (24).

From equations (24) and (25), the mean lifetime  $\int dr dr$  of neutrons can be obtained in the following form by using the relation  $\int \frac{1}{2\nu(4-1)}$ 

$$\int \frac{1}{\Sigma v c_{f}} \frac{105456}{153125} \left(1 - \frac{1753\sqrt{57}}{208715}\right) \left[1 + \varepsilon \frac{c_{4}}{c_{f}} - \frac{105456}{153125} \left(1 - \frac{1753\sqrt{57}}{208715}\right) \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{c}\right] \\
= \frac{0.64502148}{V \Sigma_{a} k_{\infty}} \left[1 + \varepsilon \frac{c_{4}}{c_{f}} - 0.64502148 \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{c}\right], \quad c \gg 1, \\
\frac{1}{\Sigma v c_{f}/c} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \left(1 - 6\varepsilon\right) - \frac{257}{240}\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c}} \left(1 - \varepsilon\right) - \frac{660749}{33600} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \right] \\
-2c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\left(1 - \frac{7}{2}\varepsilon\right) - \frac{16974593}{3456000\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{257}{120}\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\varepsilon \frac{c_{4}c}{c_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\right] \\
= \frac{1}{v \Sigma_{a} k_{\infty}/c} \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(1 - 6\varepsilon\right) - 0.57238449\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c}} \left(1 - \varepsilon\right) - 1.96574405 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) - 2c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) - 2.62537663 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 1.14476898 c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\varepsilon \frac{c_{4}c}{c_{5}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)\right] \\
= \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{c}} \ll 1,$$

and the expression for small  $I = \frac{1}{C}$  in the j<sub>5</sub> approximation is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{\Sigma v c_{f}/c} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E} \left(1 - \delta \mathcal{E} \right) - \frac{171 - 41\sqrt{5}}{112\sqrt{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c}} \left(1 - \mathcal{E} \right) - \frac{249195 - 40923\sqrt{5}}{172480} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{4}\right) \right. \\ \left. - 2c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{7}{2}\mathcal{E} \right) - \frac{425030 - 1434569\sqrt{5}}{689920\sqrt{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{1711 - 41\sqrt{5}}{56\sqrt{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}} c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{3/2} \right. \\ \left. + 2\mathcal{E} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{4C}}{c_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \right] \\ \left. + 2\mathcal{E} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{4C}}{c_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \right] \\ \left. = \frac{1}{v \Sigma_{a} \mathcal{R}_{oo}}/c \left[ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \left(1 - \delta \mathcal{E}\right) - 0.39035061 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c}} \left(1 - \mathcal{E}\right) - 0.91424159 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{4}\right) \right] \\ \left. - 2c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{7}{2}\mathcal{E}\right) - 0.83270717 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{3/2} + 0.78070122c \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)^{3/2} + 2\mathcal{E} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{4C}}{c_{f}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) \right] . \end{split}$$

The expressions for  $|-1/c \ll 1$  show that, as expected,  $\int \sim 1/(v \Sigma_a)$  for an infinite system.

Tables 4 and 5 show the flux distributions in spheres calculated by means of the  $j_3$  and  $j_7$  approximations respectively. In each case, C takes on the values 1.02 and 1.8 and the flux is evaluated three times on the basis of criticality, time-constant and  $-k_{eff}$  calculations. An interesting feature to be seen from these tables is that calculation the flux obtained from the time-constant  $_{Aeff}$  calcula of  $J_1-1$  (subcritical system) decreases more slowly as the radial co-ordinate increases than that obtained from the  $-k_{eff}$  calculation. This tendency can be demonstrated analytically for large  $N=\Sigma R$ by using equation (20) or (21).

The flux distribution in the time-constant calculation is given by equation (20) or (21) by replacing  $\chi$  and  $\xi$  by  $\chi' = \chi \left[ 1 + (A_i - 1) \right]$  and  $\xi' = \xi \left[ 1 + (A_i - 1) \right]$ , respectively, while that in the  $k_{uj}$  calculation is given by the unmodified equation. Hence, in the time-constant calculation the ratio of the flux value at the outer boundary of the sphere with a large radius  $\chi$  to that at the centre is given by

$$\frac{\gamma(\alpha)}{\eta(0)} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{23}{30\Lambda(1+(A_{1}-1))} \left\{ 1 + \frac{4}{5\Lambda(1+(A_{1}-1))} \right\}, & \text{in the } j_{3} \text{ approximation,} \\ \\ \frac{182\sqrt{5} - 158}{413\Lambda(1+(A_{1}-1))} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1143 + 15942\sqrt{5}}{18/72\Lambda(1+(A_{1}-1))} \right\}, & \text{in the } j_{5} \text{ approximation.} \end{cases}$$
(29)

This means that, for large  $(X , the ratio in the time-constant calculation is obtained approximately by dividing that in the <math>k_{\mu\mu}$  calculation by a factor of  $1+(4_i-1)$  . On the other hand, for small  $(X , the first term of the asymptotic expression for this ratio is a constant. <math>(1+3\sqrt{57})/64 = 0.36952349$ , in the j<sub>3</sub> approximation (see equation (20)) and hence the flux distribution stays nearly the same independently of whether the distribution is obtained from the time-constant or  $k_{\mu\mu}$  calculation.

#### 3.2. Numerical examples in a multigroup model

As numerical examples of a multigroup model, calculations were performed on two fast neutron critical assemblies, Godiva and Jezebel. The numerical results are summarised in Table 6. For all these calculations, the 18-group (10 MeV-thermal) set of cross-sections of LASL (Mills, 1959) is adopted in the transport approximation. A 10-group model has been constructed by extracting just the higher 10 energy-groups out of the 18 groups. Since the contribution of slow neutrons to the reactor behaviour can be neglected, it is better to reduce the number of energy-groups G by cutting out the lower energies so that the rounding error in the evaluation of a determinant of order G(N+1)/2 can be reduced (see equation (15')), N being the order of  $j_N$  approximation.

Since the radii of these assemblies are equivalent to 2-3 fast neutron mean free paths, the  $S_4$  approximation will overestimate  $-k_{\text{eff}}$ slightly while the j<sub>3</sub> approximation should give an accurate value with a very slight underestimation (see Table 3). Although this tendency cannot be seen clearly from the results shown in Table 6 because of the transport approximation and the rounding error in the  $j_N$  approximation (the transport approximation has resulted in an overestimate of the number of secondary neutrons per collision  $C(q \rightarrow q')$  ), all the calculated values except those of the j, approximation agree quite well with the experimental results (Hansen, 1958 and Jarvis et al., 1960). The mean lifetime of prompt neutrons in the  $j_N$  approximation was calculated by using (see equation (9?) ), while the formula  $l = (k_{\text{H}} - 1) / [\Sigma_i v_i (J_i - 1)]$ that in the  $S_A$  approximation is given by the total importance divided by the rate of destruction of importance (see Goertzel, 1955).

In Table 7, our results for the number of leakage neutrons (the total number of fission neutrons produced in the reactor has been normalized to unity) are compared with those of the  $S_4$  calculation and with the experimental values (Stewart, 1960). The experimentally observed total number of leakage neutrons with energies between 3 and 0.4 MeV has been normalized to the value of the  $S_4$  calculation and the error shown is

estimated from the values given by Stewart as a result of counting statistics alone. The experimental values are approximately extrapolated to 10 MeV and to 0.1 MeV (for Godiva) by the author, though the observed upper limit was about 9 MeV and the lower limit was 0.2 MeV (for Godiva). As is seen from this table, the  $j_N$  results coincide quite well with those of the  ${\bf S}_{\underline{4}}$  calculation for both the assemblies. In addition, they agree satisfactorily with the observed values in the case of Godiva, though the calculated values depend on the adopted nulcear cross-sections. For Jezebel, the calculated values for the highest energy-group are too small but the agreement is, on the whole, reasonably good (the  $j_5$  approximation has failed to give satisfactory values because of the rounding error arising in the course of calculating the flux distribution (see Table 8) ). Table 8 shows the calculated neutron spectra (the total number of fission neutrons produced being normalized to unity) at the centre of the assemblies ( Y/R = 0) and near the boundary ( Y/R = 0.95). It is seen here again that the  $\boldsymbol{j}_{N}$  results coincide very well with those obtained from the  $S_4$  calculation, if we exclude the  $j_5$  values for Jezebel as mentioned already.

#### 4. Conclusion

The neutron transport problems for a spherical reactor dealt with in this report have been solved satisfactorily by the  $j_N$  approximation (or the multiple collision method). In particular, it has been shown that the  $j_3$  approximation (keeping just first two terms of expansions in spherical Bessel functions) gives results (critical condition,  $k_{eff}$ , mean lifetime of neutrons, neutron spectrum etc.) comparable in accuracy to the  $S_4$  approximation of transport theory. The computer code which has been used is designed to obtain the results of an (up to) 18 energy-group model in (up to) the  $j_5$  approximation for a bare sphere. As will be clear from the formalism presented above, this code calculates, in contrast to the  $S_N$  code, first the eigenvalue (that is the critical radius,  $k_{eff}$  or time-constant) and then the flux distribution (or neutron spectrum) corresponding to this eigenvalue. A typical running time on the IBM-7090 is nearly 15 min. to obtain all the three eigenvalues by the use of the  $j_3$ approximation and 18-group model. The  $-k_{eff}$  and the corresponding flux calculations take about 10 min.

A computer code for a two-region sphere is now under test running which seems to show that the infinite series in spherical Bessel functions converges rather slowly in this case. Later, it is hoped to extend the method to more general problems.

<u>Acknowledgments</u> - The author wishes to thank Miss M.C. Dubujadoux of TCR, EURATOM-CCR, Ispra for writing the computer code for the present work.

#### References

Asaoka T. (1961)	J. Atomic Energy Soc. Japan, 3, 531.
Asaoka T. et.al.(1963)	Neutron Distribution in a Critical Slab by the Multiple Collision Method, Report EUR 479.e.
<b>A</b> saoka <b>T.</b> et al.(1964)	J. Nuclear Energy, Parts A/B, 18, 665.
Carlson B. (1949)	Neutron Diffusion Theory; Integral Theory Methods and Formulae, AECD-2835 (LA-990), or see Reactor Handbook, Vol. III, Part A, Chap. 4, 154, 1962, Interscience Publishers.
Goertzel G. (1955)	Reactor Handbook; Physics, Chap. 1.6. Reactor Dynamics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.

Hansen G.E. (1958)	Properties of Elementary Fast Neutron Critical Assemblies, Proc. Geneva Conf., Vol.12, P/592.
Jarvis G.A. et al.(1960)	Nucl. Sci. Engng., 8, 525.
Lee C.E. (1962)	The Discrete S. Approximation to Transport Theory, LA-2595.
Mills C.B. (1959)	Physics of Intermediate Reactors, LAMS-2288.
Stewart L. (1960)	Nucl. Sci. Engng., 8, 595.

•,

.

.



Explicit expression for

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, \overline{\ell}^{i32} \, \frac{\tan^2 z}{z} \, j_{2m+1}(\alpha z)$$

Reforming the integration, we get:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathbb{P} e^{-i\mathbb{F} \mathbb{P}} \frac{t_{an} \mathbb{P}}{\mathbb{P}} \int_{2m+1}^{1} (\alpha \mathbb{P}) \\ &= i \mathcal{R} \left\{ \left( \mathbb{P}^{i(\alpha-\mathbb{F})\mathbb{P}} - \mathbb{P}^{i(\alpha+\mathbb{F})\mathbb{P}} \right) \frac{t_{an} \mathbb{P}}{\mathbb{P}} \int_{2m+1}^{1} (\alpha \mathbb{P}) \right\}_{\mathbb{P}=0} \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{\mathbb{P}} \left( \mathbb{P}^{i(\alpha-\mathbb{F})\mathbb{P}} - \mathbb{P}^{i(\alpha+\mathbb{F})\mathbb{P}} \right) \int_{2m+1}^{1} (i\alpha \mathbb{P}) , \end{split}$$

where

$$\int_{2m+1}^{2m+1} (Z) e^{iZ} + \int_{2m+1}^{2} (Z) e^{iZ}$$
(note that  $\int_{2m+1}^{2} (Z) e^{iZ} + \int_{2m+1}^{2} (Z) e^{iZ} + \int$ 

and  $\Re (f(2))_{z=0}$  stands for the residue of f(2) at Z = 0.

Hence, the explicit expression can be obtained in the following form by introducing the abbreviation  $E'_n = E_n(\alpha - \beta) - E_n(\alpha + \beta)$ , where  $E_n(\chi) = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} dz \, z^{-\chi_{B}} z^{-n}$ :

$$\begin{split} m=0; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\chi}{25} E_{2}' - \frac{1}{25} E_{3}'\right), \\ m=1; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{5}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{\chi}{25} E_{2}' + \frac{3}{5} E_{3}' + \frac{15}{2\alpha^{5}} E_{4}' + \frac{15}{2\alpha^{2}5} E_{5}'\right), \\ m=2; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{15}{8} - \frac{35}{4} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{63}{8} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{35}{2\alpha^{2}} + \frac{105}{2\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{189}{\alpha^{4}} \right), \\ m=3; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{35}{16} - \frac{315}{25} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{693}{16} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{429}{\alpha^{2}5} \frac{\xi^{6}}{2\alpha^{2}} - \frac{315}{2\alpha^{2}5} \frac{\xi^{6}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{3003}{8\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{2079}{2\alpha^{4}} \right), \\ m=3; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{35}{16} - \frac{315}{16} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{693}{16} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{429}{16} \frac{\xi^{6}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{315}{8\alpha^{2}} + \frac{1155}{4\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{3003}{8\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{2079}{2\alpha^{4}} \right), \\ m=3; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{35}{16} - \frac{315}{16} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{693}{16} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{429}{16} \frac{\xi^{6}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{315}{8\alpha^{2}} + \frac{1155}{4\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{3003}{8\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{2079}{2\alpha^{4}} \right), \\ m=3; \quad \frac{1}{1\alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left(\frac{35}{16} - \frac{315}{16} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{693}{16} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{429}{16} \frac{\xi^{6}}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{315}{8\alpha^{2}} + \frac{1155}{4\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{3003}{8\alpha^{2}} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{2079}{2\alpha^{4}} \right), \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha^{4}} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{11305}{16} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{\xi}{2\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{\xi}{\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{\xi}{2\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{\xi}{2\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{\xi}{2\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{\xi}{2\xi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha^$$

When  $\alpha$  is small, these expressions can be reduced to the following asymptotic formulae:

$$\begin{split} m &= 0; \quad \frac{1}{i \chi} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 - \xi^2}{4 5} \int_{\alpha}^{1} \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha^2 - \xi} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (i^2 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2}) \right), \\ m &= 1; \quad \frac{1}{i \alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 - \xi^2}{165} (i - 5 - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2}) \int_{m} \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha^2 - \xi} + \frac{13}{24} \alpha (i - \frac{15}{13} - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2}) - \frac{\alpha^2}{8} (i - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2})^2 \right], \\ m &= 2; \quad \frac{1}{i \alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 - \xi^2}{32\xi} (1 - 14 - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2} + 21 - \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^4}) \int_{m} \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha^2 - \xi} + \frac{113}{240} \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{420}{113} - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{315}{113} - \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^4} \right) \right], \\ m &= 3; \quad \frac{1}{i \alpha} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{5}{256} - \frac{\alpha^2 - \xi^2}{\xi} (1 - 27 - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2} + 97 - \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^4}) \int_{m} \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha^4 - \frac{429}{5}} + \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^6} \right) \int_{m} \frac{\alpha + \xi}{\alpha^2 - \xi} \\ &+ \frac{1873}{4480} \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{4273}{1873} - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{27335}{1873} - \frac{429}{\alpha^4} - \frac{150/5}{1873} - \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^6} \right) \\ &- \frac{5}{12\xi} \alpha^2 (1 - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2})^2 (1 - \frac{22}{3} - \frac{\xi^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{143}{15} - \frac{\xi^4}{\alpha^4}) \right]. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, the asymptotic expressions for small  $\mathfrak F$  are:

$$\begin{split} m=0; \quad \frac{1}{10} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} - \frac{2+\alpha}{b\alpha} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{2} - \frac{g+g\alpha+4\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}}{l^{2}0\alpha^{3}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{4} \right), \\ m=1; \quad \frac{1}{10} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{5}{2\alpha^{2}} - \frac{5}{2\alpha^{2}} + (1 + \frac{5}{\alpha} + \frac{5}{2\alpha}) \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} + \frac{15 + 7\alpha + \alpha^{2}}{b\alpha^{2}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{2} + \frac{48 + 33\alpha + 9\alpha^{2} + \alpha^{3}}{l^{2}0\alpha^{3}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{4} \right], \\ m=2; \quad \frac{1}{10} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left( \frac{15}{8} - \frac{35}{2\alpha^{2}} + \frac{189}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{35}{4} (1 - \frac{6}{\alpha^{2}}) \frac{\xi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{63}{8} \frac{\xi^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} - (1 + \frac{14}{\alpha} + \frac{71}{\alpha^{2}} + \frac{189}{\alpha^{3}} + \frac{189}{\alpha^{4}}) \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \right), \\ -\frac{315 + 315\alpha + 105\alpha^{2} + 16\alpha^{3} + \alpha^{4}}{6\alpha^{4}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{2} - \frac{945 + 351\alpha + 141\alpha^{2} + 18\alpha^{3} + \alpha^{4}}{120\alpha^{4}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{4} \right], \\ m=3; \quad \frac{1}{10} \frac{\xi}{\alpha} \left( \frac{35}{16} - \frac{315}{8\alpha^{2}} + \frac{2079}{2\alpha^{4}} - \frac{19305}{\alpha^{4}} - \frac{315}{16} (1 - \frac{44}{3\alpha^{2}} + \frac{1144}{\alpha^{3}} + \frac{17305}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{19305}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{19305}{\alpha^{4}} \right) \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \\ + \frac{45045 + 45045\alpha^{4} + 17325\alpha^{2} + 2072\alpha^{3} + 378\alpha^{4} + 27\alpha^{5} + \alpha^{6}}{6\alpha^{6}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{2} \\ + \frac{45045 + 45045\alpha^{4} + 17325\alpha^{2} + 3590\alpha^{3} + 410\alpha^{4} + 31\alpha^{5} + \alpha^{6}}{120\alpha^{4}} \bar{\varrho}^{-\alpha} \xi^{4} \right]. \end{split}$$

Table 1 Mean number of secondaries per collision and extrapolation distance for critical spheres of radius R

.

Radius $\Sigma R$	Mean num	ber of second	aries, C	Extrapolation distance, $\Sigma d$			
	j <sub>3</sub> approx.	j <sub>5</sub> approx.	j <sub>7</sub> approx.	j <sub>3</sub> approx.	j <sub>5</sub> approx.	j approx. 7	
0.005	256 <b>.0</b> 795	256.0748	256.0727	1.8124	1.8124	1,8124	
0.05	26,1915	26.1909	26,1907	1.7995	1.7995	1,7995	
0.25	5.77785	5.77764	5.77759	1.7446	1.7446	1,7446	
0.5	3.23740	3.23729	3.23728	1.6818	1.6818	1.6818	
1	1,988696	1,988413	1.988391	1.5724	1.5726	1.5726	
2	1,396344	1.395896	1.395876	1.4045	1.4059	1.4059	
5	1.096470	1.095779	1.095763	1.1149	1.1350	1,1355	
10	1,028710	1.028160	1.028150	0.8572	0.9598	0.9616	
50	1.001342	1.001279	1.001278	-0.4602	0.7506	0.7607	

	Radius $\Sigma R = 0.005$			$\Sigma R = 0.5$			$\Sigma R = 10$			
τ∕R	j <sub>3</sub>	j <sub>5</sub>	j <sub>7</sub>	J <sub>3</sub>	j <sub>5</sub>	J <sub>7</sub>	Diff,	j <sub>3</sub>	j <sub>5</sub>	j <sub>7</sub>
о	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.2 0.4	0,97282 0,89270	0.97211 0.89032	0.97127 0.88795	0.96962 0.88323	0.96843 0.87872	0.96787 0.87713	0.9436 0.7859	0.96142 0.84572	0.94670 0.79480	0,94261 0,78298
0.6	0.76379	0.76000	0.75732	0,73651	0.72990	0.72815	0,5581	0.65315	0,56828	0,55480
0.8 0.9	0.59244 -	0.58892	0.58743	0.54740	0.54144 -	0.54052 -	0.3042 0.1821	0.38588 0.22857	0.30713 0.18022	0.30177 0.17847
0.96	-	-		-	-	-	0.1136	0.13120	0.10880	0.10731
1	0.36879	0,36722	0.36611	0.30810	0.30579	0.30515	0.0705	0,06528	0.05698	0.05571

<u>Table 2</u> Scalar flux distribution in critical spheres of radius R (normalized to unity at the centre,  $\gamma = 0$ )

- 25 -

<u>Table 3</u> Critical radius, time-constant and  $\mathcal{R}_{H}$  by the j<sub>N</sub> approximation and the comparison with the S<sub>N</sub> and exact results

	S approximation			j approximation			
	N	Critical radius ∑R (% error)	N	Critical radius ZR (% error)	Time-const. J <sub>1</sub> -1	ky	
<i>C</i> = 1.02	2 4	11.9168(-0.916) 12.0203(-0.056)			for ZR	= 15.0	
$(C_{4} = 0.82)$	6	12.0310(+0.033)	1	38,2852(+218.3)	-0,0326058	0.86014	
$(C_{f}=0.2)$	8	12.0334(+0.053)	3	12,1821(+1,290)	0.0064922	1.03314	
$\Sigma R_{c}^{\prime} = 12.0270$	12	12.0345(+0.062)	5	12.0291(+0.017)	0.0068721	1.03515	
	16	12.0346(+0.063)	7	12.0266(-0.003)	0,0068786	1.03518	
C = 1.2	2	3.0606(-3.512) 3.1426(-0.927)			for ZR	= 3,3	
$(C_{4} = 0.8)$	6	3,1591(-0,407)	1	4,3831(+38,181)	-0,0807481	0.83841	
$\left(\begin{array}{c} c_{f} = 0.4 \end{array}\right)$	8	3,1639(-0,255)	3	3.1783(+0.199)	0,0126375	1.02909	
$\Sigma R_{c} = 3.1720$	12	3.1679(-0.129)	5	3,1722(+0,006)	0.0133343	1.03071	
	16	3.1695(-0.079)	7	3.1719(-0.003)	0.0133546	1.03076	
	2	1,1173(-5.578)			for SR	= 1.15	
	4	1.1622(-1.783)	1	1.33370(+12.710)	-0.177468	0.89668	
	6	1,1732(-0,854)	3	1,18369(+0,033)	-0,038497	0.97755	
C = 1.8	8	1.1767(-0.558)	5	1,18334( - )	-0.038117	0.97777	
$C_{a} = 0.5$	12	1.1796(-0.313)	7	1.18332( - )	-0.038090	0.97779	
$  c_{f}=1.3 $	16	1.1809(-0.203)			for ZR	= 1.10	
$\Sigma R_{c} = 1.1833$			1	-	-0.237721	0.86668	
			3	-	-0.101117	0.94352	
ļ	1		5	-	-0.100767	0.94372	
			7	-	-0.100747	0.94374	

<u>Table 4</u> Flux distributions obtained from three different  $j_3$  calculations (normalized to unity at the centre of the sphere,  $\gamma = 0$ )

с		1,02			1.8	
Radius $\Sigma R$	12,1821	15.	.0	1,18369	1.	10
τ∕R	Critical	<b>√</b> -1=0.0064922	ky=1.03314	Critical	\$,- <b>1</b> =-0.101117	kg=0,94352
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.2 0.4	0.96127 0.84509	0.96110 0.84441	0.96111 0.84442	0.96655 0.86796	0.96729	0.96684 0.86912
0.5 0.6	0.75797 0.65154	0.75689 0.64994	0.75692 0.64998	0.79583 0.70986	0.80044 0.71645	0.79763 0.71245
0.7 0.8	0.52596 0.38181	0.52361 0.37821	0.52367 0.37829	0.61153 0.50260	0.62035 0.51379	0.61501 0.50704
0.9 0.95	0.22160 0.13831	0.21548	0.21562 0.13037	0.38462 0.32171	0.39807 0.33607	0.39000 0.32749
1	0.05509	0.04559	0.04584	0.24811	0.26307	0.25418

- 27 -

## <u>Table 5</u> Flux distributions obtained from three different $j_7$ calculations

(normalized to unity at the centre of the sphere,  $\gamma = 0$ )

С		1.02			1.8	
Radius $\Sigma R$	12.0266	15	•0	1,18332	1.1	10
Y/R	Critical	J₁-1 =0.0068786	$k_{eff} = 1.03518$	Critical	<b>√_1==0.</b> 100744	<i>k<sub>app</sub> =</i> 0. <b>9</b> 4374
о	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.2	0.94149	0,94049	0,93960	0.95990	0.96304	0.96263
0.4	0.77887	0.77506	0.77249	0.84768	0.85754	0.85578
0.5	0.66880	0.66312	0.66009	0.77042	0.78327	0.78041
0.6	0.54698	0.53933	0.53643	0.68262	0.69744	0.69323
0.7	0.41932	0.40989	0.40777	0.58637	0.60209	0.59638
0.8	0.29139	0.28071	0.27976	0.48275	0.49878	0.49161
0.9	0.16792	0.15690	0.15686	0.37118	0.38782	0.37955
0.95	0.10892	0.09829	0.09833	0.31101	0.32819	0.31959
1	0.04685	0.03772	0.03773	0.23978	0.25706	0.24829

- 28 -

		Godiva	Jezebel
Core	Composition	U(93.8% U-235)	Pu(4.5% Pu-240)
	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	18.75	15.66
Observed critical	Mass (kg)	52.04 U	16.28 <u>+</u> 0.05 Pu
core for ideal	Radius (cm)	8.717	6.284
homog. sphere	Volume (£)	2.774	1.040
Calculated Ray	18-group <sup>5</sup> 4	1.0046	0,9916
	j <sub>3</sub>	1.0037	0,9935
75	j <sub>1</sub>	0.8490	0.8824
	10-group j <sub>3</sub>	0.9934	0.9965
	j <sub>5</sub>	0.9933	0.9973
	18-group j <sub>3</sub>	0,001000	-0.004198
Calculated time- constant 3,-1	j <sub>l</sub> 10-group j <sub>3</sub> j <sub>5</sub>	-0.03687 -0.002071 -0.001812	-0.04340 -0.002135 -0.002143
	Experiment	0.60	0.298
Mean lifetime of prompt neutrons	18-group <sup>S</sup> 4	0.588	0.358
	j <sub>3</sub>	0.632	0.324
<b>χ(10 sec)</b>	j <sub>1</sub>	0.707	0.567
	10-group j <sub>3</sub>	0.547	0.342
	j <sub>5</sub>	0.642	0.264
Calculated crit-	84	8.669	6.346
	18-group j <sub>3</sub>	8.680	6.340
ical radius (Cm)	j <sub>1</sub>	11.014	7.406
	10-group j <sub>3</sub>	8.788	6.323
	j <sub>5</sub>	8.770	6.320

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{Table\ 6} \\ \text{ numerical results obtained from the } j_N \text{ approximation} \\ \text{ and the comparison with } S_4 \text{ and experimental values} \end{array}$ 

<u>Table 7</u> Leakage spectra obtained from the j approximation and the comparison with  $S_4$  and experimental results

		Godiva				Jezebel			
Ene	ergy group	S <sub>4</sub> 18-group	j <sub>3</sub> 10-group	j <sub>5</sub> 10-group	Experiment	S <sub>4</sub> 18-group	j <sub>3</sub> 10-group	j <sub>5</sub> 10-group	Experiment
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	10-3 MeV 3-1.4 1.4-0.9 0.9-0.4 0.4-0.1 100-17 keV 17-3 3-0.454	0.07861 0.14450 0.09012 0.14631 0.09181 0.01052 $0.0^{4}7665$ $0.0^{6}2786$ $0.9^{9}4201$	0.07928 0.14574 0.09096 0.14763 0.09232 0.01050 $0.0^47704$ $0.0^62782$ $0.0^94000$	0.07900 0.14539 0.09094 0.14793 0.09268 0.01056 $0.0^{4}7816$ $0.0^{6}2832$ $0.0^{9}4545$	0.0812 <u>+</u> 15% 0.1391 <u>+</u> 8% 0.0902 <u>+</u> 5% 0.1516 <u>+</u> 4% 0.1042 <u>+</u> 4% - -	0.11036 0.19179 0.10686 0.13541 0.08669 0.01198 $0.0^{4}1472$ $0.0^{7}1726$ $0.0^{11}4001$	0.11439 0.19860 0.11033 0.13929 0.08861 0.01220 $0.0^{4}1489$ $0.0^{7}1745$ $0.0^{12}2297$	-	0.1455 <u>+</u> 17% 0.1945 <u>+</u> 9% 0.0987 <u>+</u> 7% 0.1407 <u>+</u> 6% - -
9 10	434-01.44 ev 61.44-	0.0 <sup>12</sup> 3196	-	-	_	0.0 <sup>14</sup> 3049	-	-	-

٠

.

	Energy		Godiv	ra		Jezebel	
	group	S <sub>4</sub> 18-group	j <sub>3</sub> 10-group	j <sub>5</sub> 10-group	S <sub>4</sub> 18-group	<sup>j</sup> 3 10-group	j <sub>5</sub> 10-group
Spectrum at the core centre $(\gamma/R=0)$	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	$0.0^{3}6232$ 0.0011713 $0.0^{3}7377$ 0.0012554 $0.0^{3}9783$ $0.0^{3}1426$ $0.0^{5}1244$ $0.0^{8}5575$ $0.0^{10}1135$ $0.0^{13}1130$	$0.0^{3}6140$ 0.0011520 $0.0^{3}7239$ 0.0012258 $0.0^{3}9460$ $0.0^{3}1374$ $0.0^{5}1190$ $0.0^{8}5303$ $0.0^{10}1078$	$0.0^{3}5553$ 0.0010500 $0.0^{3}6705$ 0.0011625 $0.0^{3}9150$ $0.0^{3}1322$ $0.0^{5}1182$ $0.0^{8}5340$ $0.0^{10}1084$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001278\\ 0.002257\\ 0.001280\\ 0.001718\\ 0.001292\\ 0.0^{3}2130\\ 0.0^{6}3096\\ 0.0^{9}3946\\ 0.0^{12}1636\\ 0.0^{16}9648 \end{array}$	0.001377 0.002428 0.001370 0.001823 0.001351 $0.0^{3}2214$ $0.0^{6}3129$ $0.0^{9}3907$ $0.0^{12}2604$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.001518\\ 0.002632\\ 0.001400\\ 0.001713\\ 0.001119\\ 0.0^{3}1874\\ 0.0^{6}1815\\ 0.0^{9}1876\\ 0.0^{12}2874\\ \end{array}$
Spectrum near the core boundary (r/R=0.95)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	$0.0^{3}1708$ $0.0^{3}3178$ $0.0^{3}1996$ $0.0^{3}3323$ $0.0^{3}2315$ $0.0^{4}3059$ $0.0^{6}2390$ $0.0^{9}9892$ $0.0^{11}1908$ $0.0^{14}1846$	$0.0^{3}1685$ $0.0^{3}3134$ $0.0^{3}1965$ $0.0^{3}2272$ $0.0^{4}3000$ $0.0^{6}2339$ $0.0^{9}9633$ $0.0^{11}1839$	$0.0^{3}1695$ $0.0^{3}3156$ $0.0^{3}1982$ $0.0^{3}2297$ $0.0^{4}3042$ $0.0^{6}2373$ $0.0^{9}9756$ $0.0^{11}1889$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0^{3}4154\\ 0.0^{3}7271\\ 0.0^{3}4086\\ 0.0^{3}5306\\ 0.0^{3}3632\\ 0.0^{4}5434\\ 0.0^{7}7021\\ 0.0^{10}\textbf{g352}\\ 0.0^{13}3132\\ 0.0^{16}1740\end{array}$	$0.0^{3}4146$ $0.0^{3}7265$ $0.0^{3}4089$ $0.0^{3}5327$ $0.0^{3}3674$ $0.0^{4}5517$ $0.0^{7}7212$ $0.0^{10}8594$	

.

<u>Table 8</u> Neutron spectra at the core centre and near the core boundary obtained from the  ${\bf j}_N$  and  ${\bf S}_4$  calculations

To disseminate knowledge is to disseminate prosperity - I mean general prosperity and not individual riches - and with prosperity disappears the greater part of the evil which is our heritage from darker times. 

Alfred Nobel

### SALES OFFICES

All Euratom reports are on sale at the offices listed below, at the prices given on the back of the cover (when ordering, specify clearly the EUR number and the title of the report, which are shown on the cover).

### PRESSES ACADEMIQUES EUROPEENNES

98, Chaussée de Charleroi, Bruxelles 6

Banque de la Société Générale - Bruxelles compte N° 964.558, Banque Belgo Congolaise - Bruxelles compte N° 2444.141, Compte chèque postal - Bruxelles - N° 167.37, Belgian American Bank and Trust Company - New York compte No. 22.186, Lloyds Bank (Europe) Ltd. - 10 Moorgate, London E.C.2, Postcheckkonto - Köln - Nr. 160.861.

### OFFICE CENTRAL DE VENTE DES PUBLICATIONS DES COMMUNAUTES EUROPEENNES

2, place de Metz, Luxembourg (Compte chèque postal Nº 191-90)

BELGIQUE — BELGIE MONITEUR BELGE 40.42, rue de Louvain - Bruxelles BELGISCH STAATSBLAD Leuvenseweg 40.42 - Brussel

DEUTSCHLAND BUNDESANZEIGER Postfach · Köln 1

FRANCE

SERVICE DE VENTE EN FRANCE DES PUBLICATIONS DES COMMUNAUTES EUROPEENNES 26, rue Desaix - Paris 15° GRAND-DUCHE DE LUXEMBOURG OFFICE CENTRAL DE VENTE DES PUBLICATIONS DES COMMUNAUTES EUROPEENNES 9, rue Goethe - Luxembourg

ITALIA LIBRERIA DELLO STATO Piazza G. Verdi, 10 - Roma

NEDERLAND STAATSDRUKKERIJ Christoffel Plantijnstraat - Den Haag

> EURATOM — C.I.D. 51-53, rue Belliard Bruxelles (Belgique)

## CDNA02627ENC