

1	
2	Comparison of effects of vegetable oils blended with southern
3	hemisphere fish oil and decontaminated northern hemisphere fish oil on
4	growth performance, composition and gene expression in Atlantic
5	salmon ( <i>Salmo salar</i> L.)
6	
7	
8	J. Pratoomyot <sup>1,*</sup> , E.Å. Bendiksen <sup>2</sup> , J.G. Bell <sup>1</sup> and D.R.Tocher <sup>1</sup>
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK.
11	<sup>2</sup> BioMar AS, Nordregt. 11, N-7484 Trondheim, Norway.
12	
13	
14	
15	Keywords: Fish oil; vegetable oil; growth performance; composition; contaminants; Atlantic salmon
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	*, Correspondence to: Jarunan Pratoomyot, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling
23	FK9 4LA, United Kingdom. Tel. No: +44 1786 467993; Fax No: +44 1786 472133; Email:
24	jarunan.pratoomyot@stir.ac.uk
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

### 29 Abstract

30 Replacement of fish oil with sustainable alternatives, such as vegetable oil, in aquaculture diets has to 31 be achieved without compromising the nutritional quality, in terms of n-3 highly unsaturated fatty acid 32 (HUFA) content, of the product. This may be possible if the level of replacement is not too high and oil 33 blends are chosen carefully but, if high levels of fish oil are substituted, a fish oil finishing diet prior to 34 harvest would be required to restore n-3HUFA. However, a decontaminated fish oil would be required 35 to avoid increasing undesirable contaminants. Here we test the hypotheses that blending of rapeseed 36 and soybean oils with southern hemisphere fish oil will have a low impact upon tissue n-3HUFA 37 levels, and that decontamination of fish oil will have no major effect on the nutritional quality of fish 38 oil as a feed ingredient for Atlantic salmon. Salmon (initial weight ~0.8 kg) were fed for 10 weeks with 39 diets in which 60% of fish oil was replaced with blends of soybean, rapeseed and southern hemisphere 40 fish oil (SVO) or 100% decontaminated northern fish oil (DFO) in comparison with a standard northern 41 fish oil diet (FO). Decontamination of the oil was a two-step procedure that included treatment with 42 activated carbon followed by thin film deodorisation. Growth performance and feed efficiency were 43 unaffected by either the SVO or DFO diets despite these having lower gross nutrient and fatty acid 44 digestibilities than the FO diet. There were also no effects on the gross composition of the fish. Liver 45 and, to a lesser extent flesh, lipid levels were lower in fish fed the SVO blends, due to lower 46 proportions of neutral lipids, specifically triacylglycerol. Tissue lipid levels were not affected in fish 47 fed the DFO diet. Reflecting the diet, flesh eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and total n-3 fatty acids were 48 higher, and 18:1n-9 lower, in fish fed DFO than FO, whereas there were no differences in liver fatty 49 acid compositions. Flesh EPA levels were only slightly reduced from about 6% to 5% although 50 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) was reduced more severely from around 13% to about 7% in fish fed the 51 SVO diets. In contrast, the liver fatty acid compositions showed higher levels of n-3 HUFA, with DHA 52 only reduced from 21% to about 18% and EPA increased from under 8% to 9-10% in fish fed the SVO 53 diets. The evidence suggested that increased liver EPA (and arachidonic acid) was not simply retention, 54 but also conversion of dietary 18:3n-3 and 18:2n-6. Increased HUFA synthesis was supported by 55 increased hepatic expression of fatty acyl desaturases in fish fed the SVO diets. Flesh n-3HUFA levels 56 and desaturase expression was significantly higher in fish fed soybean oil than in fish fed rapeseed oil. 57 In conclusion, partial replacement of fish oil with blends of vegetable oils and southern hemisphere fish 58 oil had minimal impact on HUFA levels in liver, but a greater effect on flesh HUFA levels. Despite

- 59 lower apparent digestibility, decontamination of fish oil did not significantly impact its nutritional
- 60 quality for salmon.
- 61

### 61 1. Introduction

62 Fish are the most important source of the n-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFA) in the human diet 63 that have been shown to have beneficial effects in a number of inflammatory and pathological 64 conditions, including cardiovascular and neurological diseases (Brower et al., 2006; Givens and Gibbs, 65 2006; Eilander et al., 2007). Demand for fish products is increasing such that an increasing proportion 66 of fish are derived from aquaculture production (Tidwell and Allan, 2002). Lipids are a major source of 67 energy, and fish oils are the main source of dietary lipid, providing essential polyunsaturated fatty acids 68 (PUFA), specifically the HUFA, eicosapentaenoic (EPA; 20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic (DHA; 22:6n-69 3) acids, to promote normal growth and development (Sargent et al., 2002). However, the composition 70 of fish oils varies among sources both in terms of nutrition (n-3HUFA) and also undesirable 71 contaminants (e.g. persistent organic pollutants, POPs) that may affect human health. Fish oil supplies 72 are finite and at their sustainable limit (FAO, 2006) and so continued aquaculture development requires 73 replacement of fish oil with alternative nutritionally suitable and sustainable oils (Tacon, 2004; Pike, 74 2005).

75 Presently, vegetable oils (VOs) are the only viable, cost competitive alternative lipid source for 76 aquaculture diets and a number have been used as partial and complete replacements for fish oil (Bell 77 et al., 2005a). As VOs are devoid of n-3HUFA, they have a major impact on the fatty acid composition 78 of the final products if added at high inclusion levels. For that reason, some studies have investigated 79 the use of "finishing diets" containing fish oil to restore levels of n-3HUFA in the flesh (Bell et al., 80 2003a,b, 2004; Robin et al., 2003; Caballero et al., 2004; Izquierdo et al., 2005; Mourente et al., 2005; 81 Torstensen et al., 2005; Mourente and Bell, 2006). However, the use of FO finishing diets has a 82 conceptual drawback in that, in addition to adding back the valuable and highly desirable n-3HUFA, it 83 could be challenged as a viable solution due to the potential for increasing POPs in a previously low 84 contaminant load product (Bell et al., 2005a). Although the content of POPs in farmed fish, including 85 salmon, has been shown to be below all national and international limits (FDA, UK and European FSA, 86 WHO, EU) (Bell et al., 2005a,b; Berntssen et al., 2005), their presence in farmed fish, especially 87 salmon, has received some negative reporting (Hites et al., 2004). To avoid this conundrum, it may be 88 highly desirable to formulate finishing diets with decontaminated fish oil. Therefore, although 89 availability of decontaminated fish oil is still limited, market demand for this oil may change this 90 situation in the near future, and so it is important to evaluate potential effects of the decontamination 91 process on the nutritional quality of the oil and how it may affect growth performance and product

92 quality.

93 At lower inclusion levels, dietary VOs have correspondingly less impact on flesh fatty acid 94 compositions (Robin et al., 2003). Therefore, an alternative to finishing diets would be the use of lower 95 levels of fish oil substitution, particularly if the oil blends used are carefully chosen to limit HUFA 96 reduction (Torstensen et al., 2004). For instance, southern hemisphere fish oils contain higher levels of 97 n-3HUFA, especially EPA, and so can deliver similar levels of n-3HUFA at lower inclusion levels than 98 the northern hemisphere fish oils traditionally used in salmonid diets (Sargent et al., 2002). On the 99 other hand, the southern fish oils are also lower in C20 and C22 monoenes, traditionally regarded as 100 excellent energy sources for salmonids (Tocher, 2003) as well as containing higher levels of saturated 101 fatty acids (Karalazos et al., 2007). Different VOs also have contrasting nutritional and economic 102 qualities. Rapeseed oil is nutritionally balanced but is relatively expensive, whereas soybean oil is 103 readily available and its price favourable, although it contains high levels of 18:2n-6, which limits the 104 use of this oil due to greater reduction of the n-3/n-6 ratio in the product. In addition, EU legislations limit the commercial use of sovbean oils to non-GM products containing a maximum of 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 105 106 endosulfane (pesticide residue).

107 In the present study, we test two hypotheses. Firstly, that blending of rapeseed and soybean oils with 108 southern hemisphere fish oil is a strategy that may result in lower impact upon tissue n-3HUFA levels 109 in Atlantic salmon. Secondly, that the decontamination (stripping) of fish oil will have no major effect 110 on the nutritional quality of the fish oil as a feed ingredient for Atlantic salmon. Specifically, we 111 investigated the effects of replacement of northern hemisphere fish oil by decontaminated northern fish 112 oil and blends of southern hemisphere fish oil with rapeseed and soybean oils on growth performance 113 and composition of Atlantic salmon. The use of decontaminated fish oil and VO substitution are also 114 two alternative methods for reducing contaminant loads in the flesh of farmed Atlantic salmon. The 115 effects of these diets on flesh contaminant levels will be reported separately.

116

### 117 **2. Materials and methods**

118

# 119 *2.1. Diets and animals*

120 Five diets (9 mm pellets) with the same basal composition but coated with five different oils were

121 prepared at the BioMar TechCentre, Brande, Denmark. The diets were formulated to satisfy the

122 nutritional requirements of salmonid fish (National Research Council 1993), and to contain 33% crude

123 protein and 34% crude lipid (Table 1). The northern hemisphere and decontaminated northern 124 hemisphere fish oils were both sprat (Sprattus sprattus) and were obtained from FF Skagen, Skagen, Denmark. Decontamination of the oil was a two-step procedure that included treatment with activated 125 126 carbon followed by thin film deodorisation to remove persistant organic pollutants. The southern 127 hemisphere fish oil was from anchovetta (*Engraulis rigens*), and the rapeseed (low in erucic acid and glycosinolates) and soybean oils were both non-GM, degummed quality. The diets produced were 128 129 100% northern fish oil (FO) as control, 100% decontaminated northern fish oil (DFO), and three blends 130 of the VOs with southern hemisphere fish oil (collectively termed the SVO diets), specifically 40% southern fish oil/60% rapeseed oil (SRO), 40% southern fish oil/30% rapeseed oil/30% soybean oil 131 (SRO/SO) and 40% southern fish oil/60% soybean oil (SSO). The fatty acid compositions of the 132 133 experimental diets are given in Table 2. Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L) of initial mean weight  $0.78 \pm$ 0.01 kg were fed for 10 weeks one of the five diets in triplicate  $5 \times 5 \times 5$  m net pens (n = 3 per 134 135 treatment) with 120 fish/pen at Fjord Research Station, Dønna, Norway. The experiment was 136 performed between July and October under natural photoperiod and when the mean seawater 137 temperature was  $11.5 \pm 2.7$  °C and salinity was  $31.9 \pm 0.8$  ‰. Feed was supplied manually to apparent satiation twice a day with waste feed collection via an up-lift system. Feed fed, waste feed and the 138 139 resulting net feed intake were registered daily, as were any mortalities.

140

### 141 *2.2. Sampling protocols*

142

143 At the start and end of the trial, all the fish in each pen were bulk weighed. At the end of the trial, 6 fish 144 per pen (18 per dietary treatment) were anaesthetised by metacaine (50 mg/l) and faeces collected by 145 stripping. Fish were then killed by a blow to the head and samples taken for compositional analyses. 146 The gut cavity was opened on three fish per pen and any remaining contents of the intestine removed 147 before each whole fish was cut into pieces and minced thoroughly and samples collected and stored at -20 °C prior to proximate analyses. The remaining three fish per pen were used for lipid and fatty acid 148 149 analyses. Flesh was sampled by taking the Norwegian Quality Cut (NQC) and immediately frozen at -20 °C. Livers were dissected and three samples collected. Approximately 0.5 g of liver was placed into 150 151 a glass vial containing ice-cold chloroform/methanol (2:1, by vol.) and then stored at -20 °C prior to lipid class analysis. A further piece of < 0.5 g was collected into a microcentrifuge tube for RNA 152 153 analysis, and the remaining liver for fatty acid analysis placed in a plastic test tube, with both these

samples being immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen before being stored at -70 °C and -20 °C,
respectively, prior to analyses.

- 156
- 157 2.3. Proximate analyses
- 158

159 The proximate compositions of diets and minced whole fish were determined by standard procedures 160 (AOAC, 2000). Thus, moisture content was determined after drying to constant weight in an oven at 105 °C for 24h. The samples were then rigorously blended into a homogeneous crumb/meal and used 161 162 for determination of diet or whole body lipid, protein and ash contents. Lipid content in 1 g samples of 163 dried crumb was determined using the Soxhlet method with extraction in petroleum ether at 120 °C 164 (Avanti Soxtec 2050 Auto Extraction apparatus; Foss, Warrington, UK). Crude protein content (N x 165 6.25) was determined in the crumb using the automated Kjeldahl method (Tecator Kjeltec Auto 1030 166 Analyser; Foss, Warrington, UK). Ash contents were determined after heating portions of the crumb at 160 °C for 48 h. The gross energy content of the diets was determined by Bomb Calorimetry 167 168 (Gallenkamp Autobomb System).

169

# 170 2.4. Pigment analyses

171

172 Astaxanthin was extracted from salmon muscle largely by the method of Barua et al. (1993). Tissue 173 samples were homogenised in 5 ml of absolute ethanol and 5 ml of ethyl acetate using an Ultra-Turrax 174 tissue disrupter. The homogenate was centrifuged (1000 x g, 5 min) and the supernatant removed to a 175 stoppered glass tube. The pellet was re-homogenised in 5 ml of ethyl acetate, centrifuged, and the 176 supernatant combined with the first supernatant. Finally, the pellet was re-homogenised in 10 ml of 177 hexane, centrifuged, and the supernatant combined with the pooled supernatant. The pooled 178 supernatant was dried under  $N_2$  and vacuum desiccated for 2 h before dissolving the residue in 2 ml of 179 hexane containing 0.2% (w/v) BHT. Measurement of astaxanthin was carried out using a 5-µm 180 SYNERGI 4µ MAX-RP column (4.6 x 150 mm, Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK). The 181 chromatographic system was equipped with a Waters Model 501 pump and astaxanthin was detected 182 at 470 nm using a Waters 490E multiwavelength UV/vis detector (Millipore UK, Watford). An 183 isocratic solvent system was used containing acetonitrile/methanol (95:5, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.8

184 ml/min. Astaxanthin was detected at 470 nm and quantified using an external standard of astaxanthin
185 obtained from Roche (Heanor, UK).

186 Carotenoid in diets was extracted using the same solvent mixtures as described above but after 187 enzymatic digestion with Maxatase (International Biosynthetics, Rijswijk, Netherlands). Portions of ground diet (1g) were mixed with 10 ml water and 110 mg Maxatase in a 50 ml stoppered glass tube 188 189 followed by incubation in a water bath at 50°C for 30 min. Measurement of diet carotenoids was 190 carried out using a 5-µm Lichrosorb 5µ Silica 60 column (4.0 x 125 mm, Phenomenex, Macclesfield, 191 U.K.). The chromatographic system was equipped with a Waters Model 510 pump and astaxanthin 192 was detected at 470 nm using a Waters 486 multiwavelength UV/vis detector (Millipore U.K., 193 Watford). An isocratic solvent system was used containing iso-hexane/acetone (86:14, v/v) at a flow 194 rate 1 ml/min.

195 2.5. Apparent nutrient digestibility

196

197 Yttrium oxide  $(Y_2O_3)$  was determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry 198 (ICP-OES). The diet (0.2-0.5g) or faeces (0.1g) were weighed into pre-cleaned beakers and 4 ml of 199 concentrated nitric acid added. The beakers were covered with clean watch glasses and placed in a 200 fume cupboard for 24h. The partially digested samples were placed on a hotplate and boiled for 1h 201 before being transferred quantitatively to pre-cleaned 25 ml volumetric flasks and made to volume with 202 2% v/v nitric acid. The digested samples were then analysed by ICO-OES using a Varian 725-ES 203 instrument. Standards of between 0.5 and 120 mg/l Y were prepared as calibrants and the Y signal was 204 monitored at two different wavelengths. Apparent digestibility coefficients (ADC) were estimated 205 according to the formula:

 $ADC = 100-100*((Y_{feed}/Y_{faeces})*(N_{faeces}/N_{feed}))$ 

where  $Y_{feed} = Yttrium$  oxide in feed,  $Y_{faeces} = Yttrium$  in faeces,  $N_{faeces} =$  nutrient in faeces,  $N_{feed} =$ nutrient in feed. All data were based on calculated dry weight of the samples. For fatty acid digestibilities, data were based on the amount of the individual component in µg mg<sup>-1</sup> of total lipid.

- 210
- 211 2.6. Lipid analyses
- 212

Liver and skinned and deboned flesh samples from the three fish per pen were prepared as pooled

214 homogenates. Total lipid was extracted from diets or 1g portions of tissue homogenates by

215 homogenising in 20 volumes of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) in an Ultra-Turrax tissue

disrupter (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, U.K.). Total lipid was prepared according to the method of
Folch et al. (1957) and non-lipid impurities removed by washing with 0.88% (w/v) KCl. The weight of
lipid was determined gravimetrically after evaporation of solvent and overnight desiccation *in vacuo*.

219 Separation of lipid classes was performed by high-performance thin-layer chromatography 220 (HPTLC). Approximately 10 µg of total lipid was applied as 2 mm streaks and the plate developed to two-thirds distance with methyl acetate/isopropanol/chloroform/methanol/0.25 % aqueous KCl 221 222 (25:25:25:10:9, by vol.). After desiccation, the plate was fully developed with isohexane/diethyl 223 ether/acetic acid (85:15:1, by vol.). The lipid classes were quantified by charring at 160 °C for 15 min 224 after spraying with 3 % (w/v) aqueous cupric acetate containing 8 % (v/v) phosphoric acid, followed by 225 densitometry using a Shimadzu CS-9000 dual-wavelength flying spot scanner and DR-13 recorder 226 (Henderson and Tocher, 1992).

227 Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) from diets and tissue total lipid were prepared by acid-catalysed 228 transesterification of total lipid according to the method of Christie (1993). Extraction and purification 229 of FAME was performed as described by Tocher and Harvie (1988). FAME were separated and 230 quantified by gas-liquid chromatography (Carlo Erba Vega 8160, Milan, Italy) using a 30m x 0.32 mm 231 i.d. capillary column (CP Wax 52CB, Chrompak, London, U.K.) and on-column injection. Hydrogen was used as carrier gas and temperature programming was from 50°C to 150°C at 40°C min<sup>-1</sup> and then 232 to 230°C at 2.0°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Individual methyl esters were identified by comparison with known standards 233 234 and by reference to published data (Ackman, 1980; Tocher and Harvie, 1988). Data were collected and 235 processed using the Chromcard for Windows (version 1.19) computer package (Thermoquest Italia 236 S.p.A., Milan, Italy).

237

# 238 2.7. Gene expression

239

240 RNA was extracted from liver samples by homogenising in 5ml of TriReagent using an Ultra-Turrax 241 tissue disrupter (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, U.K.). First strand cDNA synthesis of total RNA 242 aliquots from pooled biological replicates was conducted using the Reverse-It Max reverse 243 transcriptase kit (ABgene Ltd). Real-time qPCR was performed using a Quantica thermocycler 244 (Techne). Reactions comprised a total volume of 15 $\mu$ l containing 5 $\mu$ l cDNA (10<sup>-2</sup> dilution of 1<sup>st</sup> strand 245 synthesis), 10 pmol each PCR primer and 7.5  $\mu$ l 2 × Absolute QPCR SYBR Green Mix (ABgene Ltd).

Primer sequences for the three target genes are given in Table 3. An initial incubation at 95°C for 15

- 247 min was employed in order to activate the Thermo-Start<sup>®</sup> DNA Polymerase. Forty-five cycles of PCR
- were performed. Each PCR cycle consisted of a denaturation step of 15 s at 95°C; annealing step of 15
- s at 60°C and an extension step of 30 s at 72°C. Following PCR melting curve analysis was performed
- 250 to confirm the production of a single product in these reactions. Standard curves were established using
- 251 five different dilutions (in triplicate) of cDNA sample solutions. Real-time efficiency was determined
- for each gene from the slopes given by Quantsoft software, applying the equation  $E = 10^{(-1/\text{slope})}$ . The
- relative expression ratio of each gene was calculated using REST© software (<u>http://www.gene-</u>
- 254 <u>quantification.info/</u>). The relative expression ratio for a considered gene is based on the PCR efficiency
- (E) and CT of a sample compared with the control, and expressed in comparison to the reference genes
- 256 (ie normalization with housekeepers), according to Pfaffl's mathematical model (Pfaffl, 2001):

257 Ratio =  $(E_{target})^{\Delta CT?target(control-sample)}/(E_{reference})^{\Delta CTreference(control-sample)}$ 

for each gene by plotting CT values against the  $log_{10}$  of the serial dilutions.

- 259 Statistical differences in gene expression between the control and samples were evaluated in group
- 260 means by randomization tests (Pfaffl et al., 2002) using REST© software. Five thousand random
- allocations were performed and differences were considered to be significant at P < 0.05.
- 262

### 263 *2.7. Materials*

- BHT and TriReagent were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (Poole, U.K.). HPTLC (10 cm x 10 cm x 0.15 mm) and TLC (20 cm x 20 cm x 0.25 mm) plates, precoated with silica gel 60 (without
  fluorescent indicator) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All solvents were HPLC
  grade and were obtained from Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, England.
- 269 2.8. Statistical analysis
- 270
- All data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD (n value as stated). The effects of dietary treatment were
- determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed, where appropriate, by Tukey's
- 273 comparison test (Zar, 1999). Percentage data and data which were identified as non-homogeneous
- 274 (Bartlett's test) were subjected to arcsine transformation before analysis. Differences were regarded as
- significant when P < 0.05.
- 276

### 277 **3. Results**

278

# 279 *3.1 Fatty acid composition of the diets*

- 280 The fatty acid composition of the DFO diet showed some differences compared to the FO diet
- including lower levels of 18:1n-9 and 18:3n-3, but higher levels of 18:0, 20:1, 22:1, 18:2n-6 and EPA
- 282 (Table 2). However, most of these differences although significant were relatively minor. The SVO
- diets all showed increased 18:0, 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3, and lower levels of 14:0, 16:0, ARA, EPA and
- 284 DHA compared to the FO and DFO diets. Within the SVO diets, 16:0, 18:0 and 18:2n-6 increased with
- increasing inclusion of soybean oil so that the rank order for their levels was SSO > SRO/SO > SRO,
- whereas 18:1n-9 and 18:3n-3 increased with increasing inclusion of rapeseed oil such that the rank
- order for their levels was SRO > SRO/SO > SS) (Table 2). There was no significant difference
- 288 between these diets in their levels of n-3HUFA.
- 289

# 290 *3.2. Growth performance, whole body composition and pigment content*

There were no significant differences in growth performance (final weights, SGR and TGC) among the dietary treatments (Table 4). There was also no dietary effect on feed efficiency (FCR). Other than slight differences in moisture, the different dietary oils had no effect on the gross composition of the whole salmon or the concentration of astaxanthin (Table 4).

295

# 296 *3.3. Apparent digestibility of gross nutrients and fatty acids*

The apparent digestibility of the DFO was significantly lower than all the other diets as evidenced by the lower values for crude protein, crude lipid and dry matter digestibility (Table 5). Consistent with this, the apparent digestibility of virtually every fatty acid was lower in fish fed the DFO diet compared to fish fed the FO diet (Table 5). In addition, the digestibility of many fatty acids was often lower in fish fed the DFO diet compared to fish fed the SVO diets. In general, fatty acid digestibility was highest in fish fed the FO diet. There were few differences in fatty acid digestibilities between the fish fed the SVO diets.

304

305 *3.4. Flesh and liver lipid contents and class compositions* 

307 Liver lipid content was significantly lower in fish fed the SVO diets compared to fish fed either the FO 308 or DFO diets (Table 6). There was a similar trend for flesh lipid content to be lower in fish fed the SVO 309 diets compared to fish fed the FO or DFO diets, although this was only significant in the case of fish 310 fed the SRO diet. There were no significant differences in tissue lipid contents between fish fed the FO 311 and DFO diets. Consistent with this, there were no significant effects on flesh or liver lipid class 312 composition between fish fed the FO and DFO diets other than slightly higher cholesterol in liver of 313 fish fed DFO (Table 7). In contrast, the proportions of neutral lipids were reduced and polar lipids 314 increased in both flesh and liver of salmon fed the SVO diets compared to fish fed the FO or DFO diets 315 (Table 7). The lower neutral lipid was primarily due to decreased proportions of triacylglycerol (TAG) 316 in both tissues and also steryl esters in liver. The greater proportions of polar lipids in fish fed the SVO 317 diets were mainly due to increased proportions of the two main phosphoglycerides, 318 phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine, in both flesh and liver. One of the few differences 319 between the fish fed the SVO diets was that the flesh of fish fed the diet with highest level of soybean 320 oil (SSO) had a lower proportion of TAG and a higher proportion of cholesterol (sterol) than fish fed 321 the other SVO diets. The proportion of free fatty acids in the flesh also increased with increasing

322323

# 324 *3.5. Flesh and liver fatty acid compositions*

inclusion of dietary soybean oil.

325

326 Flesh fatty acid compositions were largely changed corresponding to the fatty acid compositions of the 327 diets with fish fed the SVO diets having reduced 14:0, 16:0, EPA, DHA and arachidonic acid (ARA), 328 but increased 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 compared to fish fed the FO and DFO diets (Table 8). The 329 proportions of 18:0 and 18:1n-9 were only increased in fish fed the diets containing soybean oil and 330 rapeseed oil, respectively. Therefore, as with the diets, flesh 16:0, 18:0 and 18:2n-6 increased with 331 increasing inclusion of soybean oil so that the rank order for their proportions was SSO > SRO/SO >332 SRO, whereas flesh 18:1n-9 and 18:3n-3 increased with increasing inclusion of rapeseed oil such that 333 the rank order for their proportions was SRO > SRO/SO > SSO. Interestingly, the proportions of EPA 334 and DHA were significantly higher in fish fed the diet with highest level of soybean oil (SSO) 335 compared to the other SVO diets. Reflecting the diet, EPA and total n-3PUFA were higher in fish fed 336 DFO than FO, whereas 18:1n-9 was lower (Table 8). In contrast, there were no significant differences in fatty acid composition of liver between fish fed the FO and DFO diets (Table 9). The proportions of 337

338 18:0, 18:1n-9, 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 in liver of fish fed the SVO diets were similar to those described 339 above for flesh, and reflected the dietary fatty acid compositions. However, it was noteworthy that 340 increased proportions of desaturated and elongated products of 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 were clearly 341 observed in liver of fish fed the SVO diets. Thus, proportions of 20:2n-6, 20:3n-6 and 20:4n-6 were all increased in fish fed the SVO diets compared to fish fed the FO and DFO diets. Even more striking 342 343 was the increased proportions of EPA in the livers of fish fed the SVO diets. In contrast, proportions of 344 22:5n-3 and, to a lesser extent, DHA were reduced in fish fed the SVO diets compared to fish fed FO or 345 DFO (Table 9).

#### 346

### 347 *3.6. Gene expression*

348

The expression of both the  $\Delta 6$ - and  $\Delta 5$ -fatty acyl desaturase genes in liver was increased in fish fed the SVO diets, with the highest expression observed in liver of fish fed the highest inclusion of soybean oil (diet SSO) (Fig.1). In contrast, there was no dietary effect on the hepatic expression of the PUFA elongase gene. There were also no significant differences in expression of any of the genes between fish fed the FO and DFO diets.

354

### 355 **4. Discussion**

#### 356

357 There were no deleterious effects on growth as measured by final weights, SGR or TGC, or on feed 358 efficiency (FCR) of any of the SVO diets. This was consistent with the results of several previous 359 trials in which partial replacement of fish oil by VO, or even 100% replacement, have had no 360 significant effects on growth performance or feed efficiency in Atlantic salmon when using fish meal-361 based diets (see Bell and Waagbø, 2008). It was also consistent with the analytical data on the 362 digestibilities of gross nutrients and individual fatty acids that were all very similar to those of the FO 363 diet. In contrast, the apparent digestibility of the DFO diet was significantly lower than that of all the 364 other diets, including the FO diet. There were some significant differences in fatty acid composition 365 between the DFO and FO diets, and so some differences in fatty acid and, consequently lipid, 366 digestibility may not be entirely unexpected. However, the lower digestibilities of the DFO diet also 367 included the crude protein and dry matter and so it appeared to be a general effect. It is not known if 368 the decontamination process per se was responsible for these effects, but the fish oil and

369 decontaminated fish oil used in the diet manufacture were exactly the same oil, simply decontaminated 370 or not. Therefore, it is probable that the decontamination process affected the digestibility, but we 371 cannot be certain without further evidence. Furthermore, it was surprising that the lower digestibilities 372 of the DFO diet did not result in lower growth, as the fish fed the DFO diet attained the same final 373 weight and showed the same SGR and TGC as fish fed the FO diet, and the SVO diets. Feed 374 efficiencies were also unaffected and so the lower digestibilities with the DFO diet were not reflected 375 in any gross growth performance parameter. This apparent dichotomy between nutrient digestibilities, 376 and growth and feed efficiency has been observed before in dietary trials in salmon (Torstensen et al., 377 2000). Thermal growth coefficient (TGR) was higher and FCR lower in Atlantic salmon held in 378 seawater compared to those in freshwater, despite lower ADC values for dry matter and crude protein 379 (Krogdahl et al., 2004). In comparison to the FO diet, the SVO diets also showed lower lipid 380 digestibility related to lower digestibilities of a number of fatty acids, but crude protein digestibility 381 was not affected by feeding VOs. Lipid and fatty acid digestibility in salmon fed VOs appears to be 382 variable (Torstensen et al., 2000; Bendiksen et al., 2003; Ng et al., 2004), but lower lipid/FA 383 digestibility of diets formulated with VO has been reported previously in trials with salmon (Menoyo et 384 al., 2003) and other fish species including Murray cod (Francis et al., 2007). As with the DFO diet, the 385 lower lipid digestibility did not result in any deleterious effect on growth performance or feed 386 efficiency.

387 The composition of the fish was unaffected by feeding the DFO diet compared to the FO diet, with 388 whole body proximate compositions, liver and flesh lipid contents and lipid class compositions all 389 being unaffected. Although the SVO diets also had no effect on the gross composition of the fish, their 390 effect in lowering liver lipid was highly significant. Although, not statistically significant, it appeared 391 that the liver lipid lowering effect was greater with the diets containing soybean compared to rapeseed 392 oil. Differences between VOs in their effects on tissue lipid contents have been observed previously. 393 Thus earlier trials on salmon smolts showed that substitution of FO with graded levels of palm oil had 394 no significant effect on liver lipid (Bell et al., 2002), whereas graded substitution with rapeseed oil 395 tended to increase liver lipid (significantly so at 100% replacement) (Bell et al., 2001). Liver lipid was 396 not increased in salmon fed soybean oil at higher water temperatures (Ruyter et al., 2006). 397 Furthermore, liver lipid content was lower in salmon fed a VO blend containing rapeseed, palm and 398 linseed oils, for 16 months but not at 14 and 22 months of feeding (Jordal et al., 2007). In that study, 399 the relative proportions of TAG and cholesterol were increased and decreased, respectively, at all

stages. Thus, the effects of VO diets on liver lipid levels can vary perhaps related to type of oil, water
temperature, season and duration of trial. In the present study, the lower liver lipid level in fish fed the
SVO diets was associated with decreased neutral lipids, especially TAG and steryl esters whereas the
major membrane lipids, phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine and, possibly,

404 cholesterol/sterol were all increased. In Table 7 we have reported sterols rather than cholesterol as the 405 TLC method is not able to separate cholesterol from many plant sterols. The VO diets are likely to 406 contain lower levels of cholesterol but, conversely, may contain a range of other plant sterols (Padley et 407 al., 1986). Plant sterols are generally not absorbed by animals and, indeed, are used to block 408 cholesterol uptake in humans. The situation with fish is not known but it is likely similar. Therefore, it 409 is likely that cholesterol is the predominant sterol in fish tissues, but the presence of plant sterols cannot 410 be excluded completely. However, the higher cholesterol level in liver (and flesh) of fish fed the SVO 411 diets may be a compensatory mechanism, the result of increased cholesterol synthesis in response to 412 reduced dietary cholesterol (Taggart et al., 2008).

413 Possibly more important in terms of product quality, is the effect that different VOs have on flesh 414 lipid contents in salmon. In the present trial, although there was a trend for flesh lipid content to be 415 lower in fish fed the SVO diets, it was only significantly reduced in fish fed the SRO diet, that is, with 416 60% rapeseed oil substitution. Previously, graded rapeseed oil substitution in salmon smolts tended to 417 decrease flesh lipid, although this effect was only significant at the 50% replacement level. (Bell et al., 418 2001). With palm oil substitution in salmon smolts, the flesh lipid lowering effect was very 419 pronounced, with lipid levels decreasing in a graded manner as the level of palm oil substitution 420 increased (Bell et al., 2002). In a study with larger post-smolts, feeding palm oil reduced flesh lipid 421 content compared to fish fed fish, sunflower or a blend of fish and sunflower oil (Torstensen et al., 422 2000). In a trial feeding salmon a VO blend containing rapeseed, palm and linseed oils over the entire 423 2-year growth cycle, flesh lipid contents were generally lower at all points in both the freshwater and 424 seawater phases (Torstensen et al., 2005). In that trial, neutral lipid and TAG were reduced in white 425 muscle of salmon fed the VO blend compared to fish fed FO (Nanton et al., 2007). Similarly, in the 426 present trial, the lipid class composition of the flesh in fish fed the SVO diets was characterized by 427 decreased proportions of neutral lipid and TAG. Overall, it is apparent that the effect that substitution 428 of FO with VO has on tissue lipid contents and compositions is dependent upon a number of factors 429 including the specific VO or VO blends used as well as other factors including the specific tissue itself 430 and possibly growth stage and/or season as well as the genetic origin of the stock.

431 The small, but significant, differences in the fatty acid compositions of the FO and DFO diets 432 cannot be directly attributed to the decontamination process but it is possible. For instance, certain fatty 433 acids could be slightly more adsorbed by the activated carbon than other fatty acids resulting in lower 434 proportions in DFO compared to FO. However, the differences observed, lower levels of 18:1n-9 and 435 18:3n-3, and higher levels of 18:0, 20:1, 22:1, 18:2n-6 and EPA in DFO compared to FO, did not 436 follow a pattern that could be easily explained by differential adsorption. Some of these differences, 437 particularly the lower level of 18:1n-9 and higher levels of 20:1, 22:1 and EPA were reflected in flesh 438 lipids but not liver lipids, that showed identical compositions in fish fed the FO and DFO diets. The 439 fact that flesh was more affected than liver by differences in dietary fatty acid composition is 440 predictable based on the lipid content of flesh compared to liver in salmon. The higher lipid content is 441 associated with higher neutral (storage) lipid, specifically TAG, that is more readily influenced by diet 442 than phospholipid (Bell and Waagbø, 2008). This simple fact is why changes in dietary lipid have such 443 an impact on salmon flesh composition and why it is, as a consequence, difficult to minimize these 444 effects. This was clearly demonstrated in the present trial where the strategy with VO substitution was 445 to blend them with southern hemisphere fish oil in an attempt to minimize the impact of the VO 446 inclusion on n-3HUFA was, unfortunately only partially successful with flesh. Thus, despite 60% of 447 the FO being replaced by VOs, the EPA levels in the salmon flesh were only slightly reduced from 448 about 6% to 5%. However, DHA was reduced more severely from around 13% to about 6.5 to 7.5%. 449 This simply reflected the diets that also showed quite significantly reduced DHA but relatively less 450 effect on EPA, which was expected as, although southern hemisphere fish oils have higher n-3HUFA 451 than northern hemisphere fish oils, this is due to high percentages of EPA and a high EPA:DHA ratio, 452 but reduced or similar DHA compared to northern FO.

453 In contrast, the strategy was largely successful in liver as fatty acid compositions of fish fed the 454 SVO diets showed impressive levels of HUFA with ARA and EPA both being significantly increased, 455 and DHA only reduced from around 21% to about 18-19%. EPA actually increased from 7.7% in fish 456 fed the FO and DFO diets to 9-10% in fish fed the SVO diets. The only negative impact being 457 increased 18:2n-6 and reduced 18:1n-9 in the diets containing soybean oil. It is important to emphasize 458 that the increased EPA and ARA were not simply the result of increased deposition and retention, but 459 were also the result of conversion of dietary 18:3n-3 and 18:2n-6, respectively. This contention is 460 supported by other evidence. The SVO diets, although formulated with 40% southern hemisphere fish 461 oil, which is known to be richer in EPA than northern hemisphere fish oils (Sargent et al., 1989), were

462 still lower in EPA than the FO and DFO diets. Similarly, the ARA levels in the SVO diets were lower 463 than in the FO and DFO diets. Further evidence of active desaturation was provided by the increased 464 level of the intermediate 20:3n-6 in the liver of fish fed the diets containing soybean, although there 465 was also increased production of the dead-end fatty acid 20:2n-6, the direct elongation product of 466 18:2n-6. Increased proportions of 20:2n-6 and 20:3n-6 have been observed previously in salmon when 467 fed diets containing high levels of 18:2n-6 (Bell et al., 1991, 2001, 2002, 2003b; Lie et al., 1993; 468 Tocher et al., 2003). Thus, the increased proportions of EPA and ARA in liver were the result of 469 enhanced desaturation and elongation of dietary 18:3n-3 and 18:2n-6. The increased hepatic 470 expression of fatty acyl desaturase genes observed in the present trial is entirely consistent with this 471 assertion. We have previously demonstrated that increased expression of fatty acyl genes in liver of 472 salmon fed VOs was associated with increased activity of the HUFA biosynthesis pathway (Zheng et 473 al., 2004, 2005a).

474 The increased percentages of EPA observed in fish fed the SVO diets was especially noteworthy 475 as it is unusual that dietary VO did not reduce EPA levels, let alone increase them. As mentioned 476 above, it is common to observe increased levels of desaturation products of dietary 18:2n-6 including, 477 most commonly, 20:3n-6, in trials with salmon fed VO (Bell et al., 1991, 2001, 2002, 2003b; Lie et al., 478 1993; Tocher et al., 2003). Bell et al. (1991) also found increased levels of ARA in phospholipids from 479 liver, heart and retina in salmon fed sunflower oil. Increased ARA was also observed in total lipid from 480 liver of salmon fed a rapeseed/palm/linseed blend (Zheng et al., 2005a). As essentially all VO diets will 481 increase dietary 18:2n-6 in comparison to FO diets, this has been a widely reported observation in 482 salmon tissues, especially liver. However, a similar phenomenon has not been generally observed with 483 18:3n-3. Therefore, increasing dietary 18:3n-3 levels have not been able to maintain tissue EPA or 484 DHA at levels obtained in salmon fed FO. Indeed, even increased levels of 18:4n-3 or 20:4n-3, 485 intermediates in the pathway from 18:3n-3 to EPA, have been only rarely been reported. In a trial 486 using graded substitution of FO with linseed oil, 18:4n-3 and 20:4n-3 were increased in salmon liver 487 lipids in a graded manner (Tocher et al., 2002). However, EPA, 22:5n-3 and DHA all decreased as the 488 level of linseed oil substitution increased. Direct biochemical measurements of fatty acyl desaturation 489 activities in hepatocytes and enterocytes have conclusively confirmed that HUFA synthesis is up-490 regulated in salmon fed diets containing VOs, including rapeseed (Bell et al., 2001), palm (Bell et al., 491 2002) and linseed oils (Tocher et al., 2002). Molecular studies demonstrated that the mechanism 492 includes increased desaturase gene expression (Zheng at al., 2004, 2005a: Jordal et al., 2005). Thus, in

493 previous trials when dietary FOs were substituted by VOs, the reductions in the levels of dietary EPA 494 and DHA have been too great for the conversion of dietary 18:3n-3 by the HUFA synthesis pathway to 495 maintain the tissue levels of EPA or DHA. The precise reason for this observation remains 496 unexplained but it was very interesting that EPA levels in salmon fed the SVO diets were higher than 497 the levels of EPA in fish fed the FO and DFO diets in the present trial.

498 Another interesting factor in the observation above is that there was a difference between rapeseed 499 and soybean oil substitution, with the latter, especially the SSO diet with sole replacement by soybean 500 oil, showing the greatest effect. Thus, the percentages of DHA and EPA in both liver and flesh were 501 higher in fish fed the SSO diet compared to fish fed the SRO diet with rapeseed oil substitution. The 502 difference between fish fed SSO and SRO was highly significant in flesh with total n-3HUFA levels of 503 15.3 versus 13.4%, respectively, with reciprocal differences in substrate 18:3n-3 levels of 3.2 versus 504 5.6%. Consistent with this, the induction of fatty acyl desaturase expression in liver was greatest in the 505 fish fed the SSO diet. It is not clear why this should be. Previous trials on salmonids using substitution 506 of FO with soybean oil have not shown such an effect (Hardy et al., 1987; Guillou et al., 1995; Ruyter 507 et al., 2006). The current paradigm is that it is low dietary HUFA that induces increased desaturase 508 expression (Tocher, 2003), but there was very little difference between the SRO and SSO diets in terms 509 of HUFA content. In contrast, the SSO diet was characterized by very high levels of 18:2n-6 and lower 510 18:3n-3 than the SRO diet. In a study comparing different proportions of 18:1n-9, 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 511 (supplied by olive, sunflower and linseed oils, respectively) in diets for Atlantic salmon parr, the 512 authors suggested that the desaturation and elongation of 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 may be stimulated by 513 substrate availability as they noted the extent of conversion differed despite dietary HUFA levels all 514 being identical (Rollin et al., 2003). Although it is known that, like most vertebrate desaturases, the 515 salmon desaturases are more active towards n-3 than n-6 substrates (Hastings et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 516 2005b), how this particular combination of 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3 could have such a significant effect is 517 unclear. Certainly, previous trials that used VOs blends to produce a better balance of 18:2n-6 and 518 18:3n-3 including rapeseed/linseed oils (Bell et al., 2003b; Tocher et al., 2003) or 519 rapeseed/palm/linseed oils (Zheng et al., 2005a) have not been effective in maintaining EPA. However, 520 these trials were of longer duration and there may have been a transient increase in HUFA, following 521 change of diet, that was not observed due to the frequency of sampling used. 522 In conclusion, we tested the hypotheses that blending VOs with southern hemisphere fish oil is a

523 strategy that may result in lower impact upon tissue n-3HUFA levels, and that the decontamination of

524	fish oil will have no major effect on the nutritional quality of fish oil as a feed ingredient for Atlantic
525	salmon. Neither the SVO diets nor the DFO diet had any deleterious effects on growth as measured by
526	final weights, SGR or TGC, or on feed efficiency (FCR). Therefore, despite lower apparent
527	digestibility, decontamination of fish oil did not significantly impact on its nutritional quality for
528	salmon. The partial replacement of fish oil with blends of VOs and southern hemisphere fish oil had
529	minimal impact on HUFA levels in liver, but a greater effect on flesh HUFA levels. Soybean oil had
530	significantly less impact than rapeseed oil. Decontamination of fish oil had no effect on the n-3HUFA
531	content of flesh of salmon fed the oil.
532	
533	Acknowledgement
534	
535	JP was funded by a Royal Thai Government Scholarship.
536	
537	References
538	
539	Ackman R.G., 1980. Fish lipids. In: Connell, J.J. (Ed.), Advances in Fish Science and Technology.
540	Fishing News Books, Farnham, pp. 87-103.
541	AOAC, 2000. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg,
542	Maryland, USA.
543	Barua, A.B., Kostic, D., Olson, J.A., 1993. New simplified procedures for the extraction and
544	simultaneous high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of retinol, tocopherols and
545	carotenoids in human serum. J. Chromatogr. 617, 257-264.
546	Bell, J.G., Waagbø, R., 2008. Safe and nutritious aquaculture produce: benefits and risks of alternative
547	sustainable aquafeeds. In: Holmer, M., Black, K.D., Duarte, C.M., Marba, N., Karakassis, I. (Eds.),
548	Aquaculture in the Ecosystem. Springer Verlag BV, pp.185-225.
549	Bell, J.G., McVicar, A.H., Park, M.T., Sargent, J.R., 1991. High dietary linoleic acid affects the fatty
550	acid compositions of individual phospholipids from tissues of Atlantic salmon (Salmo
551	salar): Association with stress susceptibility and cardiac lesion. J.Nutr. 121, 1163-1172.
552	Bell, J.G., McEvoy, J., Tocher, D.R., McGhee, F., Campbell, P.J., Sargent, J.R., 2001. Replacement of
553	fish oil with rape seed oil in diets of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) affects tissue lipid
554	compositions and hepatocyte fatty acid metabolism. J. Nutr. 131, 1535-1543.

- 555 Bell, J.G., Henderson, R.J., Tocher, D.R., McGhee, F., Dick, J.R., Porter, A., Smullen, R., Sargent,
- 556 J.R., 2002. Substituting fish oil with crude palm oil in the diet of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
- affects tissue fatty acid compositions and hepatic fatty acid metabolism. J. Nutr.132, 222-230.
- Bell, J.G., McGhee, F., Campbell, P.J., Sargent, J.R., 2003a. Rapeseed oil as an alternative to marine
  fish oil in diets of post-smolt Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): changes in flesh fatty acid
- 560 composition and effectiveness of subsequent fish oil "wash out". Aquaculture 218, 515-528.
- 561 Bell, J.G., Tocher, D.R., Henderson, R.J., Dick, J.R., Crampton, V.O., 2003b. Altered fatty acid
- compositions in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets containing linseed and rapeseed oils can be
   partially restored by a subsequent fish oil finishing diet. J. Nutr. 133, 2793-2801.
- Bell, J.G., Henderson, R.J., Tocher, D.R., Sargent, J.R., 2004. Replacement of dietary fish oil with
  increasing levels of linseed oil; modification of flesh fatty acid compositions in Atlantic salmon
  (*Salmo salar*) using a fish oil finishing diet. Lipids 39, 223-232.
- Bell, G., Torstensen, B., Sargent, J., 2005a. Replacement of marine fish oils with vegetable oils in feeds
  for farmed salmon. Lipid Technology 17, 7-11.
- Bell, J.G., McGhee, F., Dick, J.R., Tocher, D.R., 2005b. Dioxin and dioxin-like polychlorinated
  biphenyls (PCBs) in Scottish farmed salmon: effects of replacement of dietary marine fish oil with
  vegetable oils. Aquaculture 243, 305-314.
- Bendiksen, E.Å., Berg, O.K., Jobling, M., Arnesen, A.M., Måsøval, K., 2003. Digestibility, growth and
  nutrient utilisation of Atlantic salmon parr (*Salmo salar* L.) in relation to temperature, feed fat
  content and oil source. Aquaculture 224, 283-299.
- Berntssen, M.H.G., Lundebye, A.K., Torstensen, B.E., 2005. Reducing the levels of dioxins and dioxinlike polychlorinated biphenyl levels in farmed Atlantic salmon by dietary substitution of fish oils
  with vegetable oils; a life cycle study. Aquacult. Nutr. 11, 219-232.
- Brouwer, I.A., Geelen, A., Katan, M.B., 2006. *n*-3 Fatty acids, cardiac arrhythmia and fatal coronary
  heart disease. Prog. Lipid Res. 45, 357-367.
- 580 Caballero, M.J., Izquierdo, M.S., Kjorsvik, E., Fernandez, A.J., Rosenlund, G., 2004. Histological
- 581alterations in the liver of sea bream, Sparus aurata L., caused by short- or long-term feeding with
- 582 vegetable oils. Recovery of normal morphology after feeding fish oil as the sole lipid source. J.
- 583 Fish Dis. 27, 531-541.
- 584 Christie, W.W., 1993. Preparation of derivatives of fatty acids for chromatographic analysis. In:
- 585 Christie, W.W. (Ed.), Advances in Lipid Methodology Two. The Oily Press, Dundee. pp.69-111.

- 586 Eilander, A., Hundscheid, D.C., Osendarp, S.J., Trander, C., Zock, P.L., 2007. Effects of n-3 long chain
- 587 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on visual and cognitive development throughout
- childhood: A review of human studies. Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent. Fatty Acids 76, 189203.
- Folch, J., Lees, M., Sloane-Stanley, G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of
  total lipids from animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. 226, 497-509.
- Food And Agricultural Organisation (FAO), 2006. State of world aquaculture 2006. FAO Fisheries
  Technical paper No. 500. 134 pp. FAO, Rome.
- Francis, D.S., Turchini, G.M., Jones, P.L., De Silva, S.S., 2007. Effects of fish oil substitution with a
  mix blend vegetable oil on nutrient digestibility in Murray cod, *Maccullochella peelii peelii*.
  Aquaculture 269, 447-455.
- Givens, D.I., Gibbs, R.A., 2006. Very long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the food chain in the
  UK and the potential of animal-derived foods to increase intake. Br. Nutr. Foundation Bull. 31,
  104-110.
- 600 Guillou, A., Soucy, P., Khalil, M., Adambounou, L., 1995. Effects of dietary vegetable and marine
- 601 lipid on growth, muscle fatty acid composition and organoleptic quality of flesh of brook charr
- 602 (*Salvelinius fontalis*). Aquaculture, 136, 351-329.
- Hardy, R.W., Scott, T.M., Harrell, L.W., 1987. Replacement of herring oil with menhaden oil, soybean
- oil, or tallow in the diets of Atlantic salmon raised in marine net-pens. Aquaculture 65, 267-277.
- Hastings, N., Agaba, M.K., Tocher, D.R., Zheng, X.Z., Dickson, C.A., Dick, J.R., Teale, A.J., 2004.
- 606 Molecular cloning and functional characterization of fatty acyl desaturase and elongase cDNAs
- 607involved in the production of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids from α-linolenic acid in608Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Mar. Biotechnol. 6, 463-474.
- Henderson R.J., Tocher D.R., 1992. Thin-layer chromatography. In: Hamilton, R.J., Hamilton, S.
- 610 (Eds.), Lipid Analysis: A Practical Approach. IRL Press, Oxford, pp. 65-111.
- Hites, R.A., Foran, J.A., Carpenter, D.O., Hamilton, M.C., Knuth, B.A., Schwager, S.J., 2004. Global
  assessment of organic contaminants in farmed salmon. Science 303, 226-229.
- 613 Izquierdo, M.S., Montero, D., Robaina, L., Caballero, M.J., Rosenlund, G., Ginés, R., 2005. Alterations
- 614 in fillet fatty acid profile and flesh quality in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) fed vegetable oils
- for a long term period. Recovery of fatty acid profiles by fish oil feeding. Aquaculture 250, 431-

616 444.

- Jordal, A.-E.O. Torstensen, B.E., Tsoi, S., Tocher, D.R. Lall, S.P., Douglas, S., 2005. Profiling of genes
  involved in hepatic lipid metabolism in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) Effect of dietary
  rapeseed oil replacement. J. Nutr. 135, 2355-2361.
- Jordal, A.-E.O., Lie, Ø., Torstensen, B.E., 2007. Complete replacement of dietary fish oil with a
- vegetable oil blend affect liver lipid and plasma lipoprotein levels in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*L.). Aquacult. Nutr. 13, 114-130.
- Karalazos, V., Bendiksen, E.Å., Dick, J.R., Bell, J.G. 2007. Effects of dietary protein and fat level and
  rapeseed oil on growth and tissue fatty acid composition and metabolism in Atlantic salmon
  (*Salmo salar* L.) reared at low water temperatures. Aquacult. Nutr. 13, 256-265.

Krogdahl, A., Sundby, A., Olli, J.J., 2004. Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and rainbow trout
 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) digest and metabolize nutrients differently. Effects of water salinity and

dietary starch level. Aquaculture 229, 335-360.

- Lie, Ø., Sandvin, A., Waagbø, R., 1993. Influence of dietary fatty acids on the lipid composition of
  lipoproteins in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Fish Physiol. Biochem. 12, 249-260.
- 631 Menoyo, D., Lopez-Bote, C.J., Bautista, J.M., Obach, A., 2003) Growth, digestibility and fatty acid
- utilization in large Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed varying levels of n-3 and saturated fatty acids.
  Aquaculture 225, 295-307.
- Mourente, G., Bell, J.G., 2006. Partial replacement of dietary fish oil with blends of vegetable oils
  (rapeseed, linseed and palm oils) in diets for European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) over a
  long term growth study: effects on flesh and liver fatty acid composition and effectiveness of a fish
  oil finishing diet. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 145B, 389-399.
- Mourente, G., Good, J.E., Bell, J.G., 2005. Partial substitution of fish oil with rapeseed, linseed and
   olive oils in diets for European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) effects on flesh fatty acid
   composition, plasma prostaglandins E<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>2a</sub>, immune function and effectiveness of a fish oil
- 641 finishing diet. Aquacult. Nutr. 11, 25-40.
- Nanton, D.A., Vegusdal, A., Røra, A.M.B., Ruyter, B., Baeverfjord, G., Torstensen, B.E., 2007. Muscle
  lipid storage pattern, composition, and adipocyte distribution in different parts of Atlantic salmon
  (*Salmo salar*) fed fish oil and vegetable oil. Aquaculture 265, 230-243.
- 645 National Research Council, 1993. Nutrient Requirements of Fish, National Academic Press,

646 Washington, DC.

- Ng, W.-K., Sigholt, T., Bell, J.G., 2004. The influence of environmental temperature on the apparent
  nutrient and fatty acid digestibility in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fed finishing diets
  containing different blends of fish oil, rapeseed oil and palm oil. Aquacult. Res. 35, 1228-1237.
- 650 Padley, F.B., Gunstone, F.D., Harwood, J.L., 1986. Occurrence and characteristics of oils and fats. In:
- Gunstone, F.D., Harwood, J.L., Padley, F.B. (Eds.), The Lipid Handbook. Chapman and Hall,
  London, pp.49-170.
- Pfaffl, M.W., 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.
  Nucleic Acids Res. 29, E45.
- Pfaffl, M.W., Horgan, G.W., Dempfle, L., 2002. Relative expression software tool (REST<sup>©</sup>) for groupwise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. Nucleic
  Acids Res. 30, E36.
- Pike, I., 2005. Eco-efficiency in aquaculture: global catch of wild fish used in aquaculture.
  International Aquafeed 38-40.
- Robin, J., Regost, C., Arzel, J., Kaushik, S., 2003. Fatty acid profile of fish following a change in
  dietary fatty acid source: model of fatty acid composition with a dilution hypothesis. Aquaculture
  225, 283-293.
- Rollin, X., Peng, J., Pham, D., Ackman, R.G., Larondelle, Y., 2003. The effects of dietary lipid and
  strain difference on polyunsaturated fatty acid composition and conversion in anadromous and
  landlocked salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 134B, 349-366.
- Ruyter, B., Moya-Falcon, C., Rosenlund, G., Vegusdal, A., 2006. Fat content and morphology of liver
  and intestine of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Effects of temperature and dietary soybean oil.
- 668 Aquaculture 252, 441-452.
- Sargent, J. R., Henderson, R. J., Tocher, D. R., 1989. The lipids. In: Halver, J. E. (Ed.), Fish Nutrition,
   2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 154-219.
- Sargent, J.R., Tocher, D.R., Bell, J.G., 2002. The lipids. In: Halver, J.E., Hardy, R.W. (Eds.), Fish
   Nutrition 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 181-257.
- Tacon, A.G.J., 2004. Use of fish meal and fish oil in aquaculture. Aquatic Resources, Culture and
  Development 1, 3-14.
- Taggart, J.B., Bron, J.E., Martin, S.A.M., Seear, P.J., Hoyheim, B., Talbot, R., Villeneuve, L.,
- 676 Sweeney, G.E., Houlihan, D.F., Secombes, C.J., Tocher, D.R. and Teale, A.J. (2008. A description

- of the origins, design and performance of the TRAITS/SGP Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.)
  cDNA microarray. J. Fish Biol. In press.
- Tidwell, J.H. and Allan, G.L. (2002. Fish as food: aquaculture's contribution. World Aquaculture 33,
  44-48.
- Tocher, D.R., 2003. Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish. Rev. Fisheries
  Sci. 11, 107-184.
- Tocher, D.R., Harvie, D.G., 1988. Fatty acid compositions of the major phosphoglycerides from fish
  neural tissues : (n-3) and (n-6) polyunsaturated fatty acids in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*,
  L.) and cod (*Gadus morhua*) brains and retinas. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 5, 229-239.
- Tocher, D.R., Fonseca-Madrigal, J., Bell, J.G., Dick, J.R., Henderson, R.J., Sargent, J.R., 2002. Effects
  of diets containing linseed oil on fatty acid desaturation and oxidation in hepatocytes and intestinal
  enterocytes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Fish Physiol. Biochem. 26, 157-170.
- Tocher, D.R., Bell, J.G., Dick, J.R., Crampton, V.O., 2003. Effects of vegetable oil diets on Atlantic
   salmon hepatocyte desaturase activities and liver fatty acid compositions. Lipids 38, 723-732.
- Torstensen, B.E., Lie, Ø., Frøyland, L., 2000. Lipid metabolism and tissue composition in Atlantic
  salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) effects of capelin oil, palm oil, and oleic acid-enriched sunflower oil as
  dietary lipid sources. Lipids 35, 653-664.
- Torstensen, B.E., Frøyland, L., Ørnsrud, R., Lie, Ø., 2004. Tailoring of a cardioprotective fillet fatty
  acid composition of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed vegetable oils. Fd. Chem. 87, 567-580.
- 696 Torstensen, B.E., Bell, J.G., Rosenlund, G., Henderson, R.J., Graff, I.E., Tocher, D.R., Lie, Ø., Sargent,
- 597 J.R., 2005. Tailoring of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) flesh lipid composition and sensory
- quality by replacing fish oil with a vegetable oil blend. J. Agric. Fd. Chem. 53, 10166 10178.
- 699 Zar J.H., 1999. Biostatistical Analysis 4<sup>th</sup> Edition; Prentice-Hall; Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Zheng, X., Tocher, D.R., Dickson, C.A., Bell, J.G., Teale, A.J., 2004. Effects of diets containing
- vegetable oil on expression of genes involved in highly unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in liver
  of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture 236, 467-483.
- 703 Zheng, X., Tocher, D.R., Dickson, C.A., Bell, J.G., Teale, A.J., 2005b. Highly unsaturated fatty acid
- 504 synthesis in vertebrates: new insights with the cloning and characterization of a  $\Delta 6$  desaturase of 505 Atlantic salmon. Lipids 40, 13-24.
- 706 Zheng, X., Torstensen, B.E., Tocher, D.R., Dick, J.R., Henderson, R.J., Bell, J.G., 2005a.
- 707 Environmental and dietary influences on highly unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis and expression

- of fatty acyl desaturase and elongase genes in liver of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Biochim.
- 709 Biophys. Acta 1734, 13-24.



710

Fig.1. Effects of diet on the hepatic expression of fatty acyl  $\Delta 6$  (Delta-6) and  $\Delta 5$  (Delta-5) desaturases,

and polyunsaturated fatty acid elongase (Elongase) in Atlantic salmon. Results were calculated by

713 REST 2005 software with  $\beta$ -actin as reference (normalization) gene and expressed relative to

respression in fish fed the fish oil diet (FO). Asterisks indicate that the expression of the specific gene in

fish fed a particular diet was significantly difference (P < 0.05) to its expression in fish fed the FO diet.

716 DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil;

717 SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed oil and soybean oil; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

			Diets		
	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
Fish meals	378	378	378	378	378
Legume/oilseed	149	149	149	149	149
meals					
Northern fish oil	325	-	-	-	-
Northern fish oil	-	325	-	-	-
(decontaminated)					
Southern fish oil	-	-	130	130	130
Rapeseed oil	-	-	195	98	-
Soybean oil	-	-	-	98	195
Binder	140	140	140	140	140
Premixes	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2
<b>Composition</b>					
Crude protein	$326 \pm 1.0^{a}$	$322 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$314 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$	$328\pm1.0^{a}$	$326 \pm 1.0^{a}$
Crude lipid	$336 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$	$343 \pm 2.2^{ab}$	$345\pm3.9^{ab}$	$342 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$347 \pm 1.0^{a}$
Moisture	$60 \pm 1.0^{a}$	$55 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$63 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$55 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$52 \pm 1.0^{c}$
Fiber	$21 \pm 2.0$	$22 \pm 1.9$	$19 \pm 1.4$	$20 \pm 1.0$	$20 \pm 2.1$
Ash	$78 \pm 1.0^{ab}$	$77 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$76 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$	$78 \pm 0.2^{ab}$	$78 \pm 1.0^{a}$
Digestible energy	$25.3 \pm 0.3$	$25.3 \pm 0.1$	25.2 ± 0.1	25.2 ± 0.1	$25.3 \pm 0.2$
Astaxanthin	$22.6\pm0.1^a$	$18.1 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$15.2 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$20.1 \pm 1.9^{b}$	$18.5 \pm 0.3^{b}$

Fable 1. Formulations, proximate compositions (g kg<sup>-1</sup>), energy (kJ g<sup>-1</sup>) and pigment contents (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of experimental diets fed to Atlantic salmon for 10 weeks

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. DFO, decontaminated northern ish oil; FO, northern fish oil; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed oil and soybean oil; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

718

	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
14:0	$5.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$5.6 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 0.0^{c}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$2.9 \pm 0.2^{c}$
16:0	$19.2 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$18.8 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$10.9 \pm 0.0^{d}$	$13.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$15.0 \pm 0.7^{b}$
18:0	$2.2 \pm 0.0^{e}$	$3.3 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$3.8 \pm 0.1^{c}$	$4.4 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$4.8 \pm 0.2^{a}$
22:0	$0.3 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.6 \pm 0.7^{ab}$	$1.5 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$1.4 \pm 0.2^{ab}$	$1.4 \pm 0.3^{ab}$
Total saturated <sup>1</sup>	$27.8 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$29.3\pm0.5^a$	$20.0 \pm 0.5^{c}$	$23.1 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$24.9 \pm 1.5^{b}$
16:1n-7	$6.0 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$5.8 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$2.7\pm0.0^{ m c}$	$2.7 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$	$2.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
18:1n-9	$25.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$21.6 \pm 0.7^{d}$	$41.2 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$29.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$21.2 \pm 2.0^{d}$
18:1n-7	$2.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$2.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$2.9\pm0.1^{a}$	$2.6 \pm 0.0^{b}$	$2.2 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$
20:1n-9	$2.1 \pm 0.0^{b}$	$3.2 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.2 \pm 0.0^{\rm c}$	$0.9 \pm 0.0^{d}$	$0.6 \pm 0.0^{e}$
22:1n-11	$2.4 \pm 0.0^{b}$	$4.1 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.3 \pm 0.0^{d}$	$0.3\pm0.0^d$	$0.4 \pm 0.0^{c}$
24:1n-9	$2.0\pm0.2^{a}$	$1.6 \pm 0.0^{a}$	$0.3 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.3\pm0.0^{b}$	$0.2\pm0.0^{b}$
Total monoenes <sup>2</sup>	$42.0 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$40.0 \pm 0.8^{b}$	$48.9 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$36.5 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$27.5 \pm 1.8^{d}$
18:2n-6	$3.8 \pm 0.1^{e}$	$4.3\pm0.0^{d}$	$12.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$23.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$32.0 \pm 0.4^{a}$
20:4n-6	$0.6\pm0.0^{a}$	$0.6\pm0.0^{a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.0^{b}$	$0.4\pm0.0^{b}$	$0.4\pm0.0^{b}$
Total n-6 PUFA <sup>3</sup>	$5.6 \pm 0.2^{e}$	$5.9 \pm 0.0^{d}$	$13.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$23.9 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$32.7 \pm 0.5^{a}$
18:3n-3	$2.7 \pm 0.0^{d}$	$2.3 \pm 0.1^{e}$	$6.3\pm0.1^{a}$	$5.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$3.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$
18:4n-3	$2.2\pm0.0^{a}$	$2.3\pm0.1^{a}$	$0.9\pm0.0^{ m b}$	$0.9\pm0.0^{ m b}$	$0.9\pm0.0^{ m b}$
20:5n-3	$6.7 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$7.2\pm0.3^{a}$	$5.4 \pm 0.1^{c}$	$5.2 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$5.1 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
22:5n-3	$0.7 \pm 0.0$	$0.7\pm0.0$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.6 \pm 0.0$	$0.6 \pm 0.0$
22:6n-3	$11.6 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$11.4\pm0.8^{\text{a}}$	$4.3 \pm 0.0^{b}$	$4.3 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$4.2 \pm 0.0^{b}$
Total n-3 PUFA <sup>4</sup>	$24.7\pm0.4^a$	$24.7 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$17.7 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$16.5 \pm 0.4^{bc}$	$14.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
Total PUFA	$30.3\pm0.5^{\text{c}}$	$30.6 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$	$31.1 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$40.4 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$47.7\pm0.4^a$

Table 2. Fatty acid compositions (percentage of total fatty acids) of the experimental diets

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. <sup>1</sup>Includes 15:0 and 20:0 at up to 0.7%; <sup>2</sup>Includes 16:1n-9, 20:1n-11, 20:1n-7 and 22:1n-9 at up to 0.5%; <sup>3</sup>Includes 18:3n-6, 20:2n-6, 20:3n-6, 22:4n-6 and 22:5n-6 at up to 0.6%; <sup>4</sup>Includes 20:3n-3 and 20:4n-3 at up to 0.5%. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed oil and soybean oil; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

719

Gene	Primer	Sequence 5' - 3'	Size (bp)
$\Delta 5$ Desaturase	F	GTGAATGGGGATCCATAGCA	192
	R	AAACGAACGGACAACCAGA	
Δ6 Desaturase	F	CCCCAGACGTTTGTGTCAG	181
	R	CCTGGATTGTTGCTTTGGAT	
Elongase	F	TGATTTGTGTTCCAAATGGC	219
	R	CTCATGACGGGAACCTCAAT	
Elongation factor-1 $\alpha$	F	CTGCCCCTCCAGGACGTTTACAA	
(Housekeeping)	R	CACCGGGCATAGCCGATTCC	
β-Actin	F	ACATCAAGGAGAAGCTGTGC	141
(Housekeeping)	R	GACAACGGAACCTCTCGTTA	

Table 3. Primer sequences for target and housekeeping (normalisation) genes used in real-time qPCR

\_\_\_\_

720

	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
Growth					
Initial weight (kg)	$0.77 \pm 0.02$	$0.78 \pm 0.03$	$0.78 \pm 0.03$	$0.77 \pm 0.01$	$0.79 \pm 0.11$
Final weight (kg)	$2.19 \pm 0.05$	$2.18 \pm 0.07$	$2.18 \pm 0.10$	$2.19 \pm 0.05$	$2.20 \pm 0.07$
SGR	$1.35 \pm 0.05$	$1.34 \pm 0.01$	$1.34 \pm 0.01$	$1.35 \pm 0.03$	$1.33 \pm 0.03$
TGC	$3.92 \pm 0.15$	$3.86 \pm 0.04$	$3.87 \pm 0.07$	$3.89 \pm 0.10$	$3.87 \pm 0.10$
FCR	$0.98 \pm 0.00$	$0.98 \pm 0.02$	$0.98 \pm 0.00$	$0.96 \pm 0.02$	$0.96 \pm 0.01$
Composition					
Protein	$169 \pm 3$	$167 \pm 4$	$167 \pm 5$	$170 \pm 7$	$170 \pm 4$
Lipid	$191 \pm 10$	$189~\pm~18$	$188 \pm 14$	$194 \pm 20$	$178 \pm 27$
Ash	$2.8 \pm 0.3$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	$2.9\pm0.4$
Moisture	$616 \pm 11^{a}$	$611 \pm 12^{ab}$	$614 \pm 8^{ab}$	$601 \pm 5^{b}$	$606 \pm 13^{ab}$
Astaxanthin	$4.7\pm0.3$	$4.3\pm0.3$	$4.8 \pm 0.4$	$4.8\pm0.6$	$4.4\pm0.6$

Table 4. Growth performance, proximate composition of whole fish  $(g kg^{-1})$  and pigment content  $(mg kg^{-1})$  of Atlantic salmon fed the experimental diets for 10 weeks

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FCR, feed conversion ratio; FO, northern fish oil; SGR, specific growth rate; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed oil and soybean oil; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil; TGC, thermal growth coefficient.

721

Parameters	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
Protein	$83.2 \pm 1.3^{ab}$	$79.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$83.4 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$84.2\pm0.6^{a}$	$81.5 \pm 1.5^{b}$
Lipid	$95.0 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$91.2 \pm 0.4^{d}$	$94.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$93.6 \pm 0.1b^{c}$	$92.8 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$
Dry matter	$69.3 \pm 1.9^{ab}$	$62.3 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	$70.4\pm0.8^{a}$	$70.8 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$67.0 \pm 2.7^{b}$
Fatty acid					
14:0	$98.0\pm0.3^{a}$	$94.5 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$94.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$94.6 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$94.9 \pm 1.2^{b}$
16:0	$97.2\pm0.2^{a}$	$92.4 \pm 1.2^{\circ}$	$93.9\pm0.2^{\text{b}}$	$94.7 \pm 1.2^{b}$	$96.2\pm0.5^{a}$
18:0	$86.2\pm1.2^{b}$	$73.3\pm4.5^{c}$	$93.2\pm0.6^a$	$94.3 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$94.6\pm2.2^{a}$
Total saturated	$95.7\pm0.3^{a}$	$89.0 \pm 1.7^{\circ}$	$94.0\pm0.4^{\text{b}}$	$94.7 \pm 1.2^{ab}$	$95.6\pm0.8^{ab}$
16:1n-7	$98.8\pm0.2^{a}$	$97.5\pm0.6^{b}$	$97.4\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	$97.6\pm0.3^{b}$	$97.7\pm0.3^{b}$
18:1n-9	$99.0\pm0.1^{a}$	$97.9\pm0.5^{\rm c}$	$98.4\pm0.2^{\text{b}}$	$98.2\pm0.3b^{c}$	$98.3\pm0.3^{\rm bc}$
18:1n-7	$98.4\pm0.3^{a}$	$96.6 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$97.4 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$97.4\pm0.4^{\rm b}$	$97.5 \pm 0.4^{b}$
20:1n-9	$98.5\pm0.1^{a}$	$96.6 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	$97.4 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$96.7 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$97.0 \pm 0.3^{bc}$
22:1n11	$97.1 \pm 3.0$	$97.2 \pm 1.6$	$96.5 \pm 0.3$	$95.8 \pm 1.0$	$96.6 \pm 0.4$
Total monoenes	$98.7\pm0.1^a$	$97.3 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$98.2\pm0.2^{ab}$	$98.0\pm0.3^{\rm b}$	$98.0\pm0.3^{b}$
18:2n-6	$99.8 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$99.8 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$99.8\pm0.1^a$	$99.8\pm0.1^a$	$99.8\pm0.1^{a}$
20:4n-6	$98.8 \pm 0.1$	$97.4 \pm 0.5$	$99.2 \pm 1.5$	$98.3 \pm 1.9$	$99.2 \pm 1.5$
Total n-6 PUFA	$98.8\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	$97.6 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	$98.8 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$99.1 \pm 0.3^{ab}$	$99.3\pm0.2^{a}$
18:3n-3	$99.3\pm0.1^a$	$98.4 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$98.8\pm0.2^{\text{b}}$	$98.7 \pm 0.2^{bc}$	$98.6 \pm 0.3^{bc}$
18:4n-3	$99.3\pm0.1^a$	$98.6 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$98.7 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$99.0\pm0.1^{ab}$	$98.8\pm0.2^{\rm b}$
20:5n-3	$99.2\pm0.1^a$	$98.2\pm0.4^{c}$	$98.7 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$98.7 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$98.5\pm0.3^{\rm bc}$
22:5n-3	$98.9\pm0.1^a$	$97.5 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$96.0 \pm 1.8^{b}$	$97.8\pm0.2^{a}$	$97.8\pm0.3^{a}$
22:6n-3	$98.8\pm0.1^a$	$97.2 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$96.9 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$96.8 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$96.7 \pm 0.4^{b}$
Total n-3 PUFA	$99.0\pm0.1^a$	$97.8\pm0.4^{\rm c}$	$98.3\pm0.2^{b}$	$98.2\pm0.2^{\rm b}$	$98.0\pm0.3^{\text{b}}$
Total PUFA	$99.0\pm0.1^a$	$97.8\pm0.4^{c}$	$98.4\pm0.2^{b}$	$98.4\pm0.2^{b}$	$98.4 \pm 0.3^{b}$

Table 5. Apparent digestibility coefficients (ADC) of gross nutrients and fatty acids in salmon fed the experimental diets

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 4). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids. SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil and rapeseed and soybean oils; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

Diet	Flesh	Liver
FO	$11.3 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$8.0 \pm 2.8^{\mathrm{a}}$
DFO	$11.2 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$7.0 \pm 2.0^{a}$
SRO	$9.8 \pm 0.8^{b}$	$5.0 \pm 1.0^{b}$
SRO/SO	$10.9 \pm 1.1^{ab}$	$4.7\pm0.8^{ m b}$
SSO	$10.6 \pm 1.2^{ab}$	$4.4\pm0.7^{\mathrm{b}}$

Table 6. Lipid contents (percentage of wet weight) of flesh and liver of salmon at the end of the dietary trial

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a column with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids. SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil and rapeseed and soybean oils; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

723

Lipid class	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
Flesh					
PC	$4.6 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$5.0 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$6.5 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$5.6 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$5.4 \pm 0.6^{a}$
ΡE	$3.1 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$3.3 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$4.1 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$4.2 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$4.4 \pm 0.6^{a}$
P S	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
ΡI	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
S M	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Total PL	$7.7 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$8.3 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$10.6 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$9.8 \pm 1.2^{a}$	$9.7 \pm 1.1^{a}$
Total NL	$92.2\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	$91.7 \pm 1.0^{ab}$	$89.5 \pm 1.3^{d}$	$90.9 \pm 1.9^{bc}$	$90.3 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$
TAG	$83.9 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$83.2 \pm 1.7^{a}$	$80.3 \pm 2.5^{b}$	$80.2 \pm 2.8^{b}$	$75.4 \pm 1.9$
Sterol	$6.0 \pm 0.4^{bc}$	$5.6 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$6.1 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$6.4 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$8.3 \pm 2.0^{a}$
FFA	$3.1 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	$3.0 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	$3.1 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	$4.4 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$6.6 \pm 1.0^{a}$
Liver					
РC	$13.4 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$15.3 \pm 2.5^{b}$	$19.5 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$18.4 \pm 1.5^{a}$	$19.9 \pm 2.3$
ΡE	$8.7 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$10.8 \pm 2.4^{b}$	$13.5 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$13.9\pm0.8^a$	$13.4 \pm 1.3$
P S	$2.4 \pm 0.7$	$2.1 \pm 0.0$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$2.8 \pm 0.6$	$2.6 \pm 0.4$
ΡI	$5.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$5.5\pm0.3^{a}$	$5.4 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$4.1 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$4.2 \pm 0.3^{b}$
S M	$2.4 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$2.2 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$2.3 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$1.9 \pm 0.3^{b}$
UPL	$1.5 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$0.6 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$1.3 \pm 2.3^{b}$	$3.8\pm0.6^{a}$	$3.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$
Total PL	$34.1 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$36.4 \pm 4.0^{b}$	$44.4 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$45.0 \pm 3.2^{a}$	$45.7 \pm 4.5$
Total NL	$65.9 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$63.6\pm4.0^a$	$55.6 \pm 1.4^{b}$	$56.0 \pm 3.2^{b}$	$54.3\pm4.5$
TAG	$39.6 \pm 1.6^{a}$	$37.2 \pm 3.8^{ab}$	$32.4 \pm 2.7^{bc}$	$32.1 \pm 3.4^{bc}$	$28.8 \pm 4.5$
Sterol	$9.1 \pm 0.4^{d}$	$10.7 \pm 1.2^{c}$	$12.7 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$12.3 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$14.5 \pm 1.0$
FFA	$1.6 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$1.6 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$0.5\pm0.9^{b}$	$1.6 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$0.1 \pm 0.1^{c}$
Steryl ester	$15.6 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$14.2 \pm 1.4^{a}$	$10.1 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$9.1 \pm 1.0^{b}$	$11.0 \pm 1.1$

Table 7. Lipid class composition (percentage of total lipid) of salmon flesh and liver at the end of the feeding trial.

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 9). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FFA, free fatty acid; n.d., not detected; FO, northern fish oil; NL, neutral lipids; PC, p hosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PL, polar lipids; PS, p hosphatidylserine; SM, sp hingomyelin; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed and soybean oils; SSO, s outhern fish oil and soybean oil; TAG, triacylglycerol; UPL, unidentified polar lipid.

724

	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
14:0	$4.7 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$4.9 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$2.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$3.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
16:0	$17.1 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$16.8 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$10.9 \pm 0.6^{d}$	$12.2 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$	$13.5 \pm 0.5^{b}$
18:0	$2.8 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$2.7 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$2.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$3.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$3.6 \pm 0.2^{a}$
Total saturated <sup>1</sup>	$25.3 \pm 0.8^{a}$	$25.1 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$17.2 \pm 0.8^{d}$	$19.0 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$21.1 \pm 0.8^{b}$
16:1n-7	$6.0 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$5.8 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$2.9 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	$2.9 \pm 1.1^{bc}$	$3.4 \pm 0.1^{b}$
18:1n-9	$25.1 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$21.9 \pm 0.3^{d}$	$39.0 \pm 1.2^{a}$	$28.9 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$19.9 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$
18:1n-7	$3.1 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$3.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$3.3\pm0.2^{a}$	$3.0 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$2.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
20:1n-9	$2.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$3.3 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$1.5 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$1.1 \pm 0.1^{e}$
22:1n11	$1.8 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$3.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
24:1n-9	$1.3 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.4 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$	$0.4 \pm 0.0^{c}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
Total monoenes <sup>2</sup>	$40.8 \pm 0.8^{b}$	$39.3 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$49.0 \pm 1.1^{a}$	$38.1 \pm 0.3^{d}$	$28.6 \pm 0.5^{e}$
18:2n-6	$5.3 \pm 0.2^{d}$	$5.8 \pm 1.0^{d}$	$11.9 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$20.9 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$28.1 \pm 1.1^{a}$
20:4n-6	$0.6\pm0.0^{a}$	$0.6 \pm 0.0^{a}$	$0.5\pm0.0^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.5\pm0.0^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.5\pm0.0^{\mathrm{b}}$
Total n-6 PUFA <sup>3</sup>	$7.3 \pm 0.3$	$7.7 \pm 1.0$	$13.8 \pm 0.5$	$23.0 \pm 0.6$	$30.5 \pm 1.2$
18:3n-3	$2.3 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$2.3 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$5.6 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$4.5 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$3.2 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
18:4n-3	$1.5 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$1.8 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.8 \pm 0.1^{d}$	$0.8\pm0.0^{ m d}$	$0.9 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
20:4n-3	$1.2 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$1.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$0.7 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$	$0.7\pm0.0^{ m c}$
20:5n-3	$5.9 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$6.9 \pm 0.3^{a}$	$4.6 \pm 0.4^{d}$	$4.9\pm0.1^{cd}$	$5.2 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
22:5n-3	$2.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$2.0 \pm 0.1^{ab}$	$1.7 \pm 0.2^{d}$	$1.8 \pm 0.1^{cd}$	$1.9 \pm 0.2^{bc}$
22:6n-3	$13.1 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$13.6 \pm 0.6^{a}$	$6.4 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$	$6.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	$7.5 \pm 0.5^{b}$
Total n-3 PUFA <sup>4</sup>	$26.6 \pm 1.2^{b}$	$28.0\pm1.0^{a}$	$20.0 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	$20.0 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$19.8 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$
Total PUFA	$34.0 \pm 1.4^{d}$	$35.7 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$	$33.8 \pm 1.8^{d}$	$43.0 \pm 0.7^{b}$	$50.4 \pm 1.1^{a}$

Table 8. Fatty acid composition (percentage of total fatty acid) of salmon flesh at the end of the feeding trial

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. <sup>1</sup>Includes 15:0, 20:0 and 22:0 at up to 0.5%; <sup>2</sup>Includes 16:1n-9, 20:1n-11, 20:1n-7 and 22:1n-9 at up to 0.6%; <sup>3</sup>Includes 18:3n-6, 20:2n-6, 20:3n-6, 22:4n-6 and 22:5n-6 at up to 0.9%; <sup>4</sup>Includes 20:3n-3 at up to 0.3%. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish oil, rapeseed oil and soybean oil; SSO, southern fish oil and soybean oil.

725

	FO	DFO	SRO	SRO/SO	SSO
14:0	$2.2\pm0.2^{a}$	$2.2 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$1.3 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$1.1 \pm 0.1^{b}$
16:0	$11.2 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$10.7 \pm 1.5^{ab}$	$9.7 \pm 2.1^{b}$	$10.7 \pm 1.3^{ab}$	$12.1 \pm 1.1^{a}$
18:0	$4.1 \pm 0.6^{b}$	$4.0\pm0.4^{b}$	$4.4\pm0.5^{b}$	$5.5\pm0.7^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.0 \pm 0.6^{a}$
Total saturated <sup>1</sup>	$18.0\pm2.0^{ab}$	$17.4 \pm 2.2^{ab}$	$15.9 \pm 2.8^{b}$	$17.6 \pm 1.4^{ab}$	$19.4 \pm 1.6^{a}$
16:1n-7	$3.7 \pm 0.5^{a}$	$3.4\pm0.7^{a}$	$1.7\pm0.4^{b}$	$1.3\pm0.5^{b}$	$1.0 \pm 0.8^{c}$
18:1n-9	$23.1 \pm 3.3^{b}$	$24.3\pm3.8^{b}$	$28.3 \pm 5.9^{a}$	$20.7 \pm 4.0^{b}$	$15.7 \pm 1.8^{\circ}$
18:1n-7	$3.8\pm0.3^{a}$	$3.7\pm0.3^{a}$	$3.0\pm0.3^{b}$	$2.6 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$2.2\pm0.2^d$
20:1n-9	$3.3\pm0.4^{ab}$	$3.6 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$3.1 \pm 0.5^{b}$	$2.1 \pm 0.4^{c}$	$1.4 \pm 0.2^{d}$
22:1n11	$0.9\pm0.3^{a}$	$1.0\pm0.5^{a}$	$0.1\pm0.3^{b}$	n.d.	n.d.
24:1n-9	$0.9\pm0.1^{ab}$	$1.0 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$0.8\pm0.2^{ab}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
Total monenes <sup>2</sup>	$36.7 \pm 3.9^{a}$	$38.2 \pm 3.3^{a}$	$37.6 \pm 5.5^{a}$	$27.8 \pm 4.1^{b}$	$21.6 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$
18:2n-6	$3.6 \pm 0.4^{d}$	$4.0 \pm 1.7^{d}$	$7.5 \pm 2.1^{\circ}$	$14.1 \pm 2.0^{b}$	$17.7 \pm 2.4^{a}$
20:2n-6	$1.1 \pm 0.1^{c}$	$1.2 \pm 0.3^{c}$	$1.7 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$3.2 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$3.5\pm0.4^{a}$
20:3n-6	$0.4\pm0.2^{bc}$	$0.3\pm0.0^{c}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{bc}$	$0.5\pm0.1^{a}$	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{a}$
20:4n-6	$1.7 \pm 0.4^{b}$	$1.6 \pm 0.3^{b}$	$2.0\pm0.4^{a}$	$2.3\pm0.3^{\text{a}}$	$2.3\pm0.3^{a}$
Total n-6 PUFA <sup>3</sup>	$7.3 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$7.7 \pm 1.9^{c}$	$12.1 \pm 2.0^{b}$	$20.5 \pm 2.0^{a}$	$24.5 \pm 2.2^{a}$
18:3n-3	$1.6 \pm 0.2^{c}$	$1.7 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	$3.0 \pm 0.8^{a}$	$2.4\pm0.4^{a}$	$1.6 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$
20:4n-3	$1.9 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$1.7 \pm 0.4^{a}$	$0.9\pm0.2^{b}$	$0.8 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	$0.7 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
20:5n-3	$7.7 \pm 1.4^{b}$	$7.7 \pm 1.1^{b}$	$9.1 \pm 0.9^{a}$	$10.0 \pm 1.3^{a}$	$9.7 \pm 0.9^{a}$
22:5n-3	$4.3\pm0.8^a$	$3.7\pm0.7^{a}$	$2.5\pm0.8^{b}$	$2.7\pm0.2^{b}$	$2.6\pm0.2^{b}$
22:6n-3	$21.5 \pm 1.8^{a}$	$20.7\pm3.0^{ab}$	$17.7 \pm 4.6^{b}$	$17.6 \pm 2.1^{b}$	$19.1\pm2.5^{ab}$
Total n-3 PUFA <sup>4</sup>	$38.1 \pm 2.3^{a}$	$36.7\pm2.8^{ab}$	$34.5\pm4.5^{b}$	$34.1 \pm 3.0^{b}$	$34.5 \pm 2.9^{b}$
Total PUFA	$45.4 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$	$44.4 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$	$46.6 \pm 2.9^{\circ}$	$54.6 \pm 3.1^{b}$	$59.0 \pm 1.3^{a}$

 Fable 9. Fatty acid composition (percentage of total fatty acids) of salmon liver at the end of the feeding trial

Results are means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). Values within a row with different superscript letters are significantly different as determined by ANOVA. <sup>1</sup>Includes 15:0, 20:0 and 22:0 at up to 0.3%; <sup>2</sup>Includes 16:1n-9, 20:1n-11, 20:1n-7 and 22:1n-9 at up to 0.6%; <sup>3</sup>Includes 18:3n-6, 22:4n-6 and 22:5n-6 at up to 0.4%; <sup>4</sup>Includes 18:4n-3 and 20:3n-3 at up to 0.8%. DFO, decontaminated northern fish oil; FO, northern fish oil; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SRO, southern fish oil and rapeseed oil; SRO/SO, southern fish

726

727