



## Strathprints Institutional Repository

Riches, Philip (2012) *Sensitivity analysis of permeability parameters of bovine nucleus pulposus obtained through inverse fitting of the nonlinear biphasic equation : effect of sampling strategy*. Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering, 15 (1). pp. 29-36.

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: <mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk>



**Sensitivity analysis of permeability parameters of bovine nucleus pulposus obtained through inverse fitting of the nonlinear biphasic equation: effect of sampling strategy**

Journal:	<i>Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering</i>
Manuscript ID:	GCMB-2010-0235.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Nov-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Riches, Philip; University of Strathclyde, Bioengineering Unit
Keywords:	intervertebral disc, articular cartilage, poroelasticity

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Sensitivity analysis of permeability parameters of bovine nucleus pulposus obtained through inverse fitting of the nonlinear biphasic equation: effect of sampling strategy**

Philip E. Riches

*Bioengineering Unit, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom.*

Dr P.E. Riches  
Bioengineering Unit  
Wolfson Building  
106 Rottenrow  
Glasgow  
G4 0NW  
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 141 548 5703

Fax: +44 141 552 6098

Email: philip.riches@strath.ac.uk

## **Sensitivity analysis of permeability parameters of bovine nucleus pulposus obtained through inverse fitting of the nonlinear biphasic equation: effect of sampling strategy**

Permeability controls the fluid flow into and out of soft tissue, and plays an important role in maintaining the health status of such tissue. Accurate determination of the parameters that define permeability is important for the interpretation of models that incorporate such processes. This paper describes the determination of strain-dependent permeability parameters from the nonlinear biphasic equation from experimental data of different sampling frequencies using the Nelder-Mead simplex method. The ability of this method to determine the global optimum was assessed by constructing the whole manifold arising from possible parameter combinations. Many parameter combinations yielded similar fits, with the Nelder-Mead algorithm able to identify the global maximum to within the resolution of the manifold. Furthermore, the sampling strategy affected the optimum values of the permeability parameters. Therefore, permeability parameter estimations arising from inverse methods should be utilised with the knowledge that they come with large confidence intervals.

Keywords: intervertebral disc; articular cartilage; poroelastic

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank (name to be inserted) for their skill and patience in collecting the experimental data presented in this paper.

## Introduction

Constitutive equations that describe the mechanical behaviour of cartilaginous tissue, such as the intervertebral disc, are often parameterised by fitting a biphasic, or poroelastic, model to experimental confined compression data. Such inverse techniques extract stiffness and permeability values in a given direction, which are necessary for implementation in larger scale multi-dimensional mathematical models. Such finite element models, for example of a spinal motion segment, typically assess the nutritional and mechanical functioning of the tissues (e.g. Ferguson et al., 2005) which then give rise to theories as to the mechanical aetiology of tissue degeneration. Given that fluid flow, which dictates the time dependent processes in such tissue, is principally governed by the tissue's permeability, accurately characterising tissue permeability is a necessity for the correct analysis and interpretation of these large models.

However, whenever an inverse technique is used to determine a tissue's parameters, questions arise concerning the topography of the manifold, defined by the parameter-space, which describes the goodness of fit of the model to the experimental data. Two key questions are: (1) does the manifold contain a clearly defined global optimum or do many parameter combinations yield similar fits, and (2) how smooth is the manifold: do local maxima exist as a result of surface roughness or functional variation that could affect an optimising strategy finding the global maximum? A understanding of this manifold is therefore an important aspect of utilising an inverse technique.

The biphasic theory that is able to describe the deformation of soft tissue was derived in its current form by Mow et al. (Mow et al., 1980) but is ultimately of a similar form to poroelastic theory originally developed much earlier (Biot, 1947,

1  
2  
3 1956). This linear form, where strains are assumed infinitesimal and Hookean, and  
4 permeability is independent of strain, has been extended to finite deformations  
5  
6 (Holmes, 1986). In the finite deformation model, nonlinear constitutive equations  
7  
8 relating the matrix stress and permeability with strain can be implemented, resulting  
9  
10 in better numerical fits to experimental data (Périeré et al., 2005). In 1997, Ateshian et  
11  
12 al. used this finite deformation model to characterise articular cartilage, noting that the  
13  
14 model was rather insensitive to one permeability parameter in particular. With regards  
15  
16 to spinal tissues, a few sensitivity analyses have been made which assess the effect of  
17  
18 varying material parameters on the larger models of spinal motion (Jones and Wilcox,  
19  
20 2008). Most have concentrated on the Young's moduli of the various components of  
21  
22 the spine (Guo et al., 2009; Rao and Dumas, 1991); whilst Martinez et al. (1997) and  
23  
24 more recently Malandrino et al. (2009) have highlighted the importance and  
25  
26 sensitivity of permeability to the poromechanical functioning of the disc.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 Despite the importance of permeability in the mechanical functioning of the  
35  
36 being known for some time, the effect of the experimental methodologies and inverse  
37  
38 techniques adopted to identify these material parameters has not been examined. For  
39  
40 example, the methodology adopted to extract the material parameters is rarely  
41  
42 presented (Ateshian et al., 1997; Johanessen et al, 2005; Périeré et al., 2005) and this  
43  
44 may have considerable effect on the parameters obtained. Secondly, it has been  
45  
46 recently shown that the sampling methodology may have an effect on the material  
47  
48 parameters obtained from such inverse methods (Riches, 2010). This latter work  
49  
50 alludes to the question whether there is an optimum sampling methodology for the  
51  
52 determination of such parameters?  
53  
54  
55  
56

57  
58 This paper aims to clarify the sensitivity of the nonlinear biphasic model to  
59  
60 sampling strategies and to changes in the permeability parameters of bovine nucleus

1  
2  
3 pulposus by specifically investigating the extent of the manifold of the permeability  
4  
5 parameter space which describes the fit to experimental data. Furthermore, the ability  
6  
7 of a common optimising technique, the Nelder-Mead simplex method, to identify the  
8  
9 global maximum of the goodness of fit criterion and the number of local maxima of  
10  
11 the goodness of fit criterion are also investigated.  
12  
13

## 14 15 16 **Methods**

### 17 18 *Experimental Methods*

19 Motion segments from bovine tails (aged 14–30 months) were isolated, and the three  
20  
21 discs adjacent to the tail base were dissected using a scalpel. Discs were frozen at -20  
22  
23 °C within 9 hours of slaughter. From the frozen specimens, axially oriented plugs of  
24  
25 NP tissue, diameter 10mm, were obtained using a cork borer, and plugs were further  
26  
27 microtomed to cylindrical specimens to approximately 1mm in height at -14 °C. Eight  
28  
29 samples were obtained via this protocol and were tested in 0.15M NaCl in confined  
30  
31 compression in a custom built apparatus (Heneghan and Riches, 2008a). The  
32  
33 apparatus contained an impermeable acrylic confining chamber, with upper and lower  
34  
35 compression platens made from rigid, porous, sintered 316L stainless steel (average  
36  
37 pore size: 100 µm). The permeability of the porous platens was four orders of  
38  
39 magnitude greater than tissue permeability, causing negligible additional resistance to  
40  
41 flow. The upper platen was connected to the 1kN load cell (KAP-S, Angewandte  
42  
43 System Technik AST, Wolnzach, Germany) of a materials testing machine (Model  
44  
45 Z005, Zwick Roell, Ulm, Germany).  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 Each frozen sample was placed in the chamber and the porous platen was  
54  
55 lowered until a force reading of 0.3N was achieved and the sample thickness was  
56  
57 found from the platen-to-platen separation ( $1.14 \pm 0.08$  mm, mean  $\pm$  S.D.), which was  
58  
59 denoted the zero strain condition. The saline was added and samples thawed at room  
60

temperature and at zero strain for 2 hours to reach stress equilibrium. A ramp-hold compression was applied to 10% compressive strain (stretch ratio,  $\lambda = 0.9$ ), with a ramp speed of 2  $\mu\text{m/s}$  and a hold time of 2000s. The stress and displacement were recorded nonlinearly with a data collected every change in stress of 0.1 N, or a displacement of 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ , or an elapsed time of 5 s, and the stress–displacement relationship of the chamber was deduced from the overall displacement. All data were then resampled in Matlab (The Mathworks, Inc., U.S.) using the linear interpolation function, to linear sampling frequencies of 0.1, 0.5, and 1 Hz.

### *The nonlinear biphasic equation*

The finite deformation biphasic model of Holmes (1986) was used in this analysis,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{k}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \sigma_s}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $U$  is the displacement of the tissue in the  $Z$  direction at time  $t$ , and  $\lambda$  is the

stretch ratio ( $\lambda = 1 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z}$ ). The hydraulic permeability,  $k$ , has units of  $\text{m}^4/\text{Ns}$  and is

defined as the permeability of the matrix divided by the viscosity of the fluid. In this

case, the viscosity of aqueous 0.15M NaCl is 0.001015 Pa.s (Lide, 1991). The

hydraulic permeability has been related to deformation using (Lai and Mow, 1980):

$$k = k_0 e^{M(\lambda-1)} \quad (2)$$

and is characterised by an initial zero-strain permeability,  $k_0$ , and a nonlinear

coefficient,  $M$ , describing the loss of permeability with compression. The stress in the

solid matrix,  $\sigma_s$  due to compression, was given as (Holmes and Mow, 1990):

$$\sigma_s = \frac{1}{2} H_{A0} \left( \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^{2\beta+1}} \right) e^{\beta(\lambda^2-1)} + \sigma_{\pi 0} \quad (3)$$

This equation includes the initial swelling stress,  $\sigma_{\pi 0}$ , which can be thought of as a

combination of the osmotic stress and residual stress in the solid matrix in the zero

strain condition (Heneghan and Riches, 2008a).



**Parameter Estimation:**

For each sample,  $\sigma_{\tau=0}$  was taken as the experimental stress at  $t = 0$ , and  $H_{A0}$  was determined from the equilibrium stress at the end of each experiment, using  $\beta = 0.256$  (Heneghan and Riches, 2008a). Thus  $H_{A0}$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\sigma_{\tau=0}$  were fixed for each sample, leaving only the permeability parameters to be determined using the time-dependent data. Equations 1 to 3 were then solved using custom written code in Matlab (The Mathworks, Inc., U.S.), using finite differences. At each time step, the experimental displacement was prescribed to the model, and the stress at the surface was calculated and compared to the experimental surface stress value. A coefficient of determination was used to characterise the goodness of fit in the stress relaxation phase, where in equation 5 the dash represents the model data, and no dash represents experimental data (Riches et al., 2002; Soltz and Ateshian, 2000):

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum(\sigma_s - \sigma'_s)^2}{\sum(\sigma_s - \bar{\sigma}_s)^2} \quad (5)$$

To describe the permeability parameter manifold, permeability values were systematically increased from  $k_0 = 1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$  to  $k_0 = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$  in steps of  $1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$ . Within each  $k_0$  step,  $M$  was stepped between 0 and 15 by an increment of 0.1, creating a mesh of a possible 30000 iterations, however, within each  $M$ -step, once the  $R^2$  had reached a maximum and the decreased below zero, the  $M$  step was ended, significantly reducing the number of iterations required to be computed. A global maximum,  $R^2_{\max}$ , was determined from this data and the manifold was extended in the  $k_0$  direction if  $R^2$  values at  $k_0 = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$  were greater than or equal to  $0.95R^2_{\max}$ . This extension was required for two specimens. Local maxima were determined from the final manifold if the  $R^2$  value of a solution using parameters

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

$k_{0,i}$  and  $M_j$  was greater than its surrounding 8 neighbours on the manifold and if the  $R^2$  value was greater than or equal to  $0.95R_{\max}^2$  (Figure 1).

In addition to the manifold mapping, a custom-written Nelder-Mead simplex scheme was used to obtain optimal values of  $k_0$  and  $M$  which minimised  $1 - R^2$ , always starting the simplex in the same place, with vertices in  $(k_0, M)$  space of  $(1 \times 10^{-14}, 0)$ ,  $(1.25 \times 10^{-14}, 1)$  and  $(1.5 \times 10^{-14}, 0)$ . The scheme iterated until it converged, which was defined by the coordinates of the three vertices and the  $R^2$  values at each vertex differing by less than 0.1% from the vertex with the current highest  $R^2$  value.

The above parameter estimation procedures were repeated for each sampling strategy. Optimum  $k_0$  and  $M$  values and the resulting  $R^2$  were assessed with respect to sampling strategy and the methodology (mapping or Nelder Mead) using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Furthermore, the difference in coordinates in  $(k_0, M)$  space of the maximum  $R^2$  between the mapping methodology and the Nelder-Mead methodology, the number of local maxima in the mapping methodology and the range and area of the region containing all values that were equal to or greater than  $0.95R_{\max}^2$  were determined and assessed with respect to sampling strategy using an one-way repeated measures ANOVA. Statistical significance was taken at  $p \leq 0.05$ , and where significant differences with sampling were found, these were further probed using t-tests with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons.

## Results

The nonlinear sampling strategy resulted in a distribution of sampling frequencies throughout the stress relaxation phase (Figure 2). In the first 10 seconds of relaxation, the experimental data were sampled at rates in excess of 1Hz with 10% of this epoch being sampled at  $> 50$  Hz. In the subsequent 90 seconds, approximately half the data were sampled at rates under 1 Hz, whilst after 100s of relaxation, nearly all the data

1  
2  
3 were sampled at sub 1Hz (usually 0.2 Hz). Thus the nonlinear sampling strategy  
4  
5 focuses the data collection toward the initial moments of stress relaxation.  
6  
7

8 Figure 3 depicts the solution manifold for a typical sample together with the  
9  
10 route the Nelder Mead scheme took over this manifold from its starting position.  
11  
12 Contours of constant  $R^2$  are provided at  $R^2 = 0.9, 0.95$  and  $0.99$ . The general shape of  
13  
14 the manifold was the same for all samples. For any value of  $k_0$  on the manifold, the  
15  
16 goodness of fit slowly increased with increasing  $M$  up to a maximum value. Once that  
17  
18 maximum had been reached, further increase in  $M$  dramatically reduced  $R^2$ . A ridge  
19  
20 existed which may be described as following a logarithmic path, i.e.  $M \propto \log(k_0)$ , with  
21  
22 all local and global maxima existing on this ridge.  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Figures 4, 5 and 6 depict the manifold for the same sample as figure 3, but  
28  
29 using linear sampling frequencies of 0.1, 0.5 and 1 Hz. Qualitatively, these figures  
30  
31 suggest that as the sampling frequency increases, the range and area of  $k_0$  and  $M$   
32  
33 values than contain  $R^2 \geq 0.95R^2_{\max}$  decreases.  
34  
35

36  
37 Figure 7 depicts a typical sample stress history which has been fitted by the  
38  
39 models. Figure 7a shows the whole time history through the ramp to equilibrium,  
40  
41 whilst Figure 7b zooms in on the first two minutes of the hold phase. Over the length  
42  
43 of the experiment, there is no discernable difference in fitting due to the sampling  
44  
45 scheme (Figure 7a), whilst focussing in on the initial phase of the hold phase shows  
46  
47 that fitting to the 0.1Hz sampled data does not provide an adequate response in this  
48  
49 region.  
50  
51  
52

53 The permeability parameters that describe the  $R^2_{\max}$  for the Nelder Mead  
54  
55 scheme and for the mapping scheme are provided in Table 1.  $k_0$ ,  $M$  and  $R^2$  varied with  
56  
57 sampling strategy ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.01$  and  $p < 0.001$  respectively), but only  $R^2$  varied  
58  
59 with curve-fitting methodology ( $p < 0.05$ ) despite Table 1 indicating otherwise. The  
60

1  
2  
3 absolute difference between the groups, however, was of the order of  $10^{-5}$  (Table 2).  
4  
5 Further post hoc analysis revealed no specific differences between each sampling  
6  
7 strategy for  $k_0$ , but the nonlinear strategy created a greater  $M$  than sampling at 0.5 Hz  
8  
9 and 1 Hz (both  $p < 0.05$ ). The nonlinear  $R^2$  values were significantly greater than all  
10  
11 of the linear strategies (all  $p < 0.05$ ), with the linear strategies being statistically  
12  
13 equivalent to each other.  
14  
15

16  
17 Differences in the parameters between the curve fitting schemes did not vary  
18  
19 across the sampling strategies, however the range of  $k_0$  ( $p < 0.05$ ) and  $M$  ( $p < 0.001$ )  
20  
21 and the number of local maxima ( $p < 0.001$ ) all varied with sampling strategy. Post  
22  
23 hoc analysis suggested that no differences existed between the strategies for the range  
24  
25 of  $k_0$ , but the nonlinear sampling strategy created a larger  $M$  range ( $p < 0.001$ ), area ( $p$   
26  
27  $< 0.05$ ) and exhibited a higher number of local maxima ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the linear  
28  
29 strategies, which were statistically equivalent.  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 35 **Discussion**

36 The rationale concerning using nonlinear sampling is that it would capture the most  
37  
38 important aspects of the data shape, in the least number of data points. Indeed the  
39  
40 adopted nonlinear sampling protocol was intended to fully capture the fast stress  
41  
42 relaxation portion of the data, which would focus the goodness of fit algorithm in this  
43  
44 region. The sampling frequency distribution (Figure 2) attests to this fact. It was  
45  
46 envisaged that increasing the data collected in the time period where there is high  
47  
48 fluid flux would make the numerical model more sensitive to the permeability  
49  
50 parameters. However, this wasn't found to be the case as discussed later. Furthermore,  
51  
52 the fact that sampling strategy affected the permeability parameters obtained from the  
53  
54 Nelder-Mead algorithm and manifold is also cause for concern. Nevertheless, since  
55  
56 permeability affects the time-dependent processes, which is in this case the stress  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 relaxation, it is felt that nonlinear sampling which focuses the experimental data in  
4  
5 this area should provide a more appropriate parameterisation (Riches, 2010).  
6  
7

8 The manifolds of Figures 3 to 6 highlight the fact that, irrespective of  
9  
10 sampling strategy, many combinations of permeability parameters can yield similar  
11  
12 goodness of fit scores. For example, for the sample shown in Figure 2 to 5, when  
13  
14 using the nonlinear sampling strategy, a  $k_0$  of  $3.5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$  and an  $M$  of 6.2 yield  
15  
16 the same goodness of fit as when using  $k_0 = 8.3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$  with an  $M$  of 8.8 ( $R^2 =$   
17  
18 0.994 in both cases). Consequently, whilst Ateshian et al. (1997) suggested that the  
19  
20 nonlinear biphasic equation was insensitive to  $M$ , mapping the whole manifold has  
21  
22 indicated that it is insensitive to both  $k_0$  and  $M$ , if they are varied appropriately. It  
23  
24 must be noted that two samples had very high ranges of  $k_0$ , which have skewed the  
25  
26 results somewhat. Removing these from the analysis suggests that the range of  $k_0$   
27  
28 values that can results in an  $R^2$  of 95%  $R^2_{\text{max}}$  are approximately half those presented  
29  
30 in Table 2. However, since there is no experimental reason why these samples should  
31  
32 be removed from the analysis, it may be presumed that their high ranges reflect an  
33  
34 inherent issue with curve-fitting to some biological samples. Using a linear sampling  
35  
36 strategy reduces the extent and area of the area covering 95% of  $R^2_{\text{max}}$  but whilst  
37  
38 statistically significant, the author's opinion is that it is not dramatic: the number of  
39  
40 possible combinations of  $M$  and  $k_0$  that yield a good fit to the data are high no matter  
41  
42 what sampling strategy is used. Figures 7a and 7b adds weight to this statement, with  
43  
44 visibly good fitting overall, and it is only the model data arising from fitting to the 0.1  
45  
46 Hz sampling scheme which does not adhere well to the experimental data at early  
47  
48 times in the hold phase.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

57 What is also of concern is the number of local maxima that exist on the  
58  
59 manifold, in particular when the nonlinear sampling strategy was used. These local  
60

1  
2  
3 maxima may prevent numerical schemes finding the global optimum set of  
4  
5 parameters. When expressed as a percentage of the optimum parameters determined  
6  
7 by the Nelder Mead scheme, these differences between the curve fitting schemes was  
8  
9 approximately 2% for  $k_0$  and 1% for  $M$ , and for most cases were within the resolution  
10  
11 of the manifold itself. The significant difference between the  $R^2_{\max}$  determined by the  
12  
13 two curve fitting schemes demonstrated that the Nelder Mead methodology always  
14  
15 found the highest  $R^2$  value. The number and nature of the local maxima suggest that  
16  
17 these are more due to a surface roughness of the manifold, possibly as a consequence  
18  
19 of experimental variation in the applied stress, as opposed to any large functional  
20  
21 features on the manifold. Consequently, for this data set, it can be stated that the  
22  
23 Nelder Mead scheme was not overtly hindered by the local maxima, and that it is able  
24  
25 to determine the global maximum for the nonlinear biphasic equation. Linear  
26  
27 sampling strategies reduced the number of local maxima on the manifold, with a  
28  
29 minimum number occurring using a sampling frequency of 0.5 Hz. This may suggest  
30  
31 that the process of resampling the data into linear forms had an effect similar to low  
32  
33 pass filtering. If the experimental data are smooth it is hypothesised that there would  
34  
35 be fewer local maxima on the solution manifold.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 One limitation of this study is that only one level of compression ( $\lambda = 0.9$ ) was  
44  
45 compared. Although this was the case, the model solutions demonstrate that  
46  
47 significant localised deformations occurred up to  $\lambda = 0.4$ , which indicates that a wide  
48  
49 range of permeabilities existed within each sample during testing. It may be  
50  
51 suggested, therefore, that the parameters obtained from fitting equation 2 were  
52  
53 determined over a considerable range of  $\lambda$ , and not just up to  $\lambda = 0.9$ . A further  
54  
55 limitation was that only the solution manifold regarding the permeability parameters  
56  
57 was analysed. Indeed the stiffness constants were kept as constant as possible to limit  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 their effect on the permeability parameters. Since the stiffness and permeability terms  
4 are multiplied together in equation 1 relating the displacement diffusion with the rate  
5 of displacement, it would seem sensible that the two sets of parameters would interact  
6 with each other affecting the parameterisation of the best fit. Simplistically, halving  
7 stiffness would double permeability etc. However only a four dimensional sensitivity  
8 analysis ( $k_0$ ,  $M$ ,  $H_{A0}$  and  $\beta$ ) would be able to extract this information, which is beyond  
9 the scope of the current paper.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 This paper has highlighted some issues with the inverse determination of  
21 permeability parameters of cartilaginous tissues from experimental data and the  
22 nonlinear biphasic equation. The other alternative is the direct measurement of  
23 permeability using a permeation chamber (Mansour and Mow, 1976; Gu et al, 1999;  
24 Heneghan and Riches, 2008b). However, due to the compliance of these tissues, an  
25 applied fluid pressure across a tissue sample would impart significant strain on the  
26 tissue. Thus the zero-strain permeability of the tissue is very difficult to determine  
27 using direct methods (Heneghan and Riches, 2008b). Consequently, whilst direct  
28 permeation tests are important for the construction of the constitutive equation linking  
29 permeability with matrix strain, parameter determination may be best using indirect  
30 methods.

### 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 **Conclusion**

48 Manifolds describing the goodness of fit of the nonlinear biphasic equation to  
49 confined compression data suggest that many combinations of parameters that  
50 describe permeability yield similar fits. Furthermore, the manifold surface may  
51 contain multiple local maxima, associated with surface roughness of the manifold,  
52 however, in the cases considered here, the Nelder-Mead simplex method was able to  
53 focus on the global maximum, and not converge to a local maximum. Different  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 sampling strategies obtained different permeability parameters, and it has been argued  
4  
5 that focussing the data collection on the time-dependent data will provide a more  
6  
7 appropriate parameterisation than linear sampling strategies. However, the nonlinear  
8  
9 sampling strategy creates the greatest uncertainty in the optimum parameters. It is  
10  
11 therefore concluded that such inverse methods, together with any sensible sampling  
12  
13 strategy, provide the current best way to determine these parameters but the values  
14  
15 determined should be thought of as having large confidence intervals associated with  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 them.

## 21 22 23 **References**

- 24  
25  
26 Biot MA. 1941. General theory of three-dimensional consolidation. *J Appl Phys.* 12:  
27 155–165.  
28  
29 Biot MA. 1956. General solutions of the equations of elasticity and consolidation for  
30 a porous material. *J Appl Mech T-ASME.* 23: 91–96.  
31  
32 Ferguson SJ, Keita I, Nolte L-P. 2004. Fluid flow and convective transport of solutes  
33 within the intervertebral disc, *J Biomech.* 37(2): 213–221.  
34  
35 Heneghan P, Riches PE. 2008a. The strain-dependent osmotic pressure and stiffness  
36 of the bovine nucleus pulposus apportioned into ionic and non-ionic  
37 contributors, *J Biomech.* 41(11): 2411-2416.  
38  
39 Heneghan P, Riches PE. 2008b. Determination of the strain dependent hydraulic  
40 permeability of the compressed bovine nucleus pulposus. *J Biomech.* 41(4):  
41 903–906.  
42  
43 Holmes MH. 1986. Finite deformation of soft tissue: analysis of a mixture model in  
44 uni-axial compression. *J Biomech Eng.* 108(4): 372-381.  
45  
46 Holmes MH, Mow VC. 1990. The nonlinear characteristics of soft gels and hydrated  
47 connective tissues in ultrafiltration. *J Biomech.* 23(11): 1145-1156.  
48  
49 Guo L-X, Wang Z-W, Zhang Y-M, Lee K-K, Teo E-C, Li H, Wen B-C. 2009.  
50 Material Property Sensitivity Analysis on Resonant Frequency Characteristics  
51 of the Human Spine. *J Appl Biomech.* 25(1): 64-72.  
52  
53 Johannessen W, Elliott DM. 2005. Effects of degeneration on the biphasic material  
54 properties of human nucleus pulposus in confined compression. *Spine.* 30(24):  
55 E724–E729.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Jones AC Wilcox RK. 2008. Finite element analysis of the spine: towards a  
4 framework of verification, validation and sensitivity analysis. *Med Eng Phys.*  
5 30(10): 1287-1304.  
6  
7  
8 Lai WM, Mow VC. 1980. Drag-induced compression of articular cartilage during a  
9 permeation experiment. *Biorheology.* 17(1-2): 111–123.  
10  
11 **Lide DR (Ed.) 1991 CRC Handbook of Chemistry & Physics, 72<sup>nd</sup> Ed. CRC Press,**  
12 **Boca Raton.**  
13  
14  
15 Malandrino A, Planell JA, Lacroix D. 2009. Statistical factorial analysis on the  
16 poroelastic material properties sensitivity of the lumbar intervertebral disc  
17 under compression, flexion and axial rotation, *J Biomech.* 42(16): 2780-2788.  
18  
19  
20 Martinez J, Oloyede A, Broom ND. 1997. Biomechanics of load-bearing of the  
21 intervertebral disc: an experimental and finite element model. *Med Eng Phys*  
22 19(2): 145-156.  
23  
24  
25 Mow VC, Kuei SC, Lai WM, Armstrong CG. 1980. Biphasic creep and stress  
26 relaxation of articular cartilage in compression: Theory and experiments. *J*  
27 *Biomech Eng T ASME* 102(1): 73–84.  
28  
29  
30 Périé D, Korda D, Iatridis JC. 2005. Confined compression experiments on bovine  
31 nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosus: sensitivity of the experiment in the  
32 determination of compressive modulus and hydraulic permeability. *J Biomech.*  
33 38(11): 2164–2171.  
34  
35  
36 Rao AA, Dumas GA. 1991. Influence of material properties on the mechanical  
37 behaviour of the L5-S1 intervertebral disk in compression – a nonlinear finite  
38 element study. *J Biomed Eng.* 13(2): 139-151.  
39  
40 Riches PE (2010) Indirectly determining permeability: effect of experimental  
41 sampling and hold time. Paper presented at CMBBE 2010. Proceedings of the  
42 9<sup>th</sup> International symposium on Computer Methods in Biomechanics and  
43 Biomedical Engineering; 24-27 February; Valencia, Spain.  
44  
45  
46 Riches PE, Dhillon N, Lotz J, Woods AW, McNally DS. 2002. The internal  
47 mechanics of the intervertebral disc under cyclic loading. *J Biomech.* 35(9):  
48 1263-1271.  
49  
50  
51 Soltz MA, Ateshian GA. 2000. Interstitial fluid pressurization during confined  
52 compression cyclical loading of articular cartilage. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 28(2):  
53 150–159.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Tables

Sampling	NM $k_0$ $\times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$	Map $k_0$ $\times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$	NM $M$	Map $M$	NM $R^2$	Map $R^2$
Nonlinear	$12.3 \pm 4.91$	$12.2 \pm 4.90$	$6.86 \pm 0.29$	$6.86 \pm 0.30$	$0.995 \pm 0.001$	$0.995 \pm 0.001$
0.1 Hz	$11.5 \pm 5.35$	$11.4 \pm 5.36$	$6.34 \pm 0.34$	$6.34 \pm 0.34$	$0.962 \pm 0.008$	$0.962 \pm 0.008$
0.5 Hz	$9.68 \pm 4.47$	$9.63 \pm 4.42$	$5.64 \pm 0.38$	$5.64 \pm 0.39$	$0.971 \pm 0.006$	$0.971 \pm 0.006$
1 Hz	$9.63 \pm 4.41$	$9.64 \pm 4.41$	$5.64 \pm 0.38$	$5.64 \pm 0.39$	$0.972 \pm 0.006$	$0.972 \pm 0.006$

Sampling	$\Delta k_0$ $\times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$	95% Range $k_0$ $\times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^4/\text{Ns}$	$\Delta M$	95% Range $M$	$\Delta R^2$	Area (# pixels)	# local maxima
Nonlinear	$0.109 \pm 0.027$	$31.5 \pm 11.0$	$0.057 \pm 0.017$	$8.63 \pm 0.568$	$2.37 \times 10^{-5}$	$2103 \pm 755$	$38.8 \pm 5.19$
0.1 Hz	$0.092 \pm 0.016$	$22.1 \pm 10.2$	$0.050 \pm 0.013$	$6.30 \pm 0.499$	$7.89 \times 10^{-5}$	$1701 \pm 709$	$13.8 \pm 5.63$
0.5 Hz	$0.093 \pm 0.046$	$13.9 \pm 5.19$	$0.038 \pm 0.006$	$6.13 \pm 0.518$	$4.25 \times 10^{-5}$	$1417 \pm 524$	$5.38 \pm 2.53$
1 Hz	$0.040 \pm 0.007$	$14.0 \pm 5.27$	$0.045 \pm 0.014$	$6.11 \pm 0.501$	$6.06 \times 10^{-5}$	$1432 \pm 540$	$6.25 \pm 2.89$

**Table titles**

Table 1: Permeability parameters for  $R^2_{\max}$  (mean  $\pm$  standard error) for the two curve-fitting schemes and for each sampling strategy. NM = Nelder Mead methodology, Map = Mapping methodology.

Table 2: Differences in parameters for  $R^2_{\max}$  between the two schemes, their range to cover the 95%  $R^2_{\max}$  area, the area of the region  $\geq 95\%$   $R^2_{\max}$  and the number of local maxima within that range for each sampling strategy (mean  $\pm$  standard error).

For Peer Review Only

**Figure titles**

Figure 1: Diagram of local maximum determination; if the  $R^2$  value using the parameters in the shaded box was greater than all 8 of its immediate neighbours and greater than or equal to  $0.95R_{\max}^2$ , then a local maximum was declared.

Figure 2: Bar chart displaying the average number of sampling frequencies, expressed as a percentage within each epoch, for the nonlinear sampling strategy according to time during the stress relaxation phase.

Figure 3: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the nonlinear sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and isolines are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

Figure 4: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 0.1 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

Figure 5: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 0.5 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

Figure 6: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 1 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

Figure 7a: Typical stress response of a sample over the whole testing period. Dotted line indicates the experimental nonlinear data, whilst the solid lines show the model fits depending on the sampling methodology.

Figure 7b: Depiction of the same stress response as in Figure 7a, but only in the first two minutes of the hold phase. Dotted line indicates the experimental nonlinear data, whilst the solid lines show the model fits depending on the sampling methodology.

$k_{0,i-1} , M_{j+1}$	$k_{0,i} , M_{j+1}$	$k_{0,i+1} , M_{j+1}$
$k_{0,i-1} , M_j$	$k_{0,i} , M_j$	$k_{0,i+1} , M_j$
$k_{0,i-1} , M_{j-1}$	$k_{0,i} , M_{j-1}$	$k_{0,i+1} , M_{j-1}$

Figure 1: Diagram of local maximum determination; if the  $R^2$  value using the parameters in the shaded box was greater than all 8 of its immediate neighbours and greater than or equal to  $0.95R_{max}^2$ , then a local maximum was declared.  
289x91mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 2: Bar chart displaying the average number of sampling frequencies, expressed as a percentage within each epoch, for the nonlinear sampling strategy according to time during the stress relaxation phase.  
203x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 3: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the nonlinear sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and isolines are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

203x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 4: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 0.1 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

203x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)






Figure 5: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 0.5 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

203x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 6: Solution manifold for sample #5 for the 1 Hz sampling strategy. Shading represents  $R^2$  values, and contours are provided at the 0.9, 0.95 and 0.99 levels. The black thick line describes the travel of the best vertex of the simplex in the Nelder Mead scheme from the original (white) simplex.

203x152mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 7a: Typical stress response of a sample over the whole testing period. Dotted line indicates the experimental nonlinear data, whilst the solid lines show the model fits depending on the sampling methodology.  
279x215mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 7b: Depiction of the same stress response as in Figure 7a, but only in the first two minutes of the hold phase. Dotted line indicates the experimental nonlinear data, whilst the solid lines show the model fits depending on the sampling methodology.

279x215mm (600 x 600 DPI)