Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but dos not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Demographic and genetic consequences of disturbed sex determination

Authors: Wedekind C.

Journal: PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B-BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

Year: 2017

Volume: 372(1729)

Pages: 20160326

DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0326

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie et de médecine

1 Demographic and genetic consequences of disturbed sex determination

- Claus Wedekind 2
- 3 Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne,
- 4 Switzerland
- 5 claus.wedekind@unil.ch

11

12 Abstract

13 During sex determination, genetic and/or environmental factors determine the cascade of

14 processes of gonad development. Many organisms therefore have a developmental window in

15 which their sex determination can be sensitive to, for example, unusual temperatures or

16 chemical pollutants. Disturbed environments can distort population sex ratios and may even

17 cause sex reversal in species with genetic sex determination. The resulting genotype-

18 phenotype mismatches can have long-lasting effects on population demography and genetics.

19 I review the theoretical and empirical work in this context and explore in a simple population

20 model the role of the fitness v_{vv} of chromosomally aberrant YY genotypes that are a

21 consequence of environmentally-induced feminization. Low v_{vv} is mostly beneficial for

population growth. During feminization, low v_{yy} reduces the proportion of genetic males and 22

23 hence accelerates population growth, especially at low rates of feminization and at high

fitness costs of the feminization itself (i.e. when feminization would otherwise not affect 24 25

population dynamics much). When sex reversal ceases, low v_{vv} mitigates the negative effects of feminization and can even prevent population extinction. Little is known about v_{yy} in 26

natural populations. The available models now need to be parametrized in order to better 27

28 predict the long-term consequences of disturbed sex determination.

29

30 **Keywords:** sex determination, environmental sex reversal, climate change, endocrine

31 disrupting chemicals, population growth, extinction 32

1. Introduction

33 34 Sex determination is strictly genetic in nearly all mammals and birds, mostly with male (XY) 35 or female (ZW) heterogamety, and purely environmental in, for example, many reptiles. 36 However, in various taxa sex determination is neither purely genetic nor purely environmental 37 [1, 2]. It is therefore often useful to see the phenotypic sex as the result of the three major drivers of phenotypic variation, namely genes, the environment, and developmental noise 38 39 (stochasticity due to random factors) [3]. It is then easy to see why disturbed environments 40 can affect sex determination and hence population sex ratios. Such disturbances have genetic

41 and demographic consequences that can sometimes threaten the viability of populations.

42 Authors often make a distinction between sex determination, i.e. the developmental step 43 that decides whether an individual becomes female or male, and sex differentiation, i.e. the 44 subsequent steps in developmental pathways during which the female or male phenotype is 45 built up after the initial step of sex determination has occurred. However, abandoning a

46 fundamental distinction between sex determination and gonad differentiation may help to

47 better understand the evolution of sex determining systems [1, 4]. Sex is then still a threshold

48 trait, with processes early in development regulating later processes, and with some of these

- 49 processes occurring directly in the gonads, while others occurring elsewhere in the organism.
- 50 While sex is often a trait that has a single main trigger (e.g. DMRT1 expression above critical

51 level in chicken [5]), there are many species with several master triggers, for example, in 52 plants [6], fishes [7] or gastropods [8]. It is therefore more useful to understand sex 53 determination as a developmental switch that is composed of various regulatory elements. 54 These elements can be both genetic and non-genetic and may even include maternal strategies 55 [1, 4]. 56 Thinking of sex determination as a developmental process with one or several initial 57 triggers raises interesting questions, including (i) what prevents in some taxa the emergence 58 of a single master trigger of sex, i.e. why do so many species have several types of factors that

59 determine sex [9], (ii) how do novel sex determining systems arise from existing single or multi-factorial systems, and (iii) what are the demographic and genetic consequences of 60

61 different sex-determining systems in changing environments? This article focuses on the latter 62 question.

63

2. Sex determination in disturbed and undisturbed environments

64 65 Many environmental factors can affect sex determination in species with primarily 66 environmental or genetic sex determination. Temperature is certainly the most important 67 environmental factor that can potentially influence sex determination and hence adult sex ratios (ASR) in undisturbed environments [2, 10, 11]. In pure temperature-dependent sex 68 69 determination (TSD), temperature during a thermosensitive period triggers male or female 70 gonad development. TSD occurs in crocodiles, most turtles, and some fish [12, 13]. Other 71 factors that drive environmental sex determination in undisturbed environments are 72 photoperiod in some amphipods and barnacles [14], social influences in some fish and aquatic 73 snails [15, 16], pathogens [17], and pH or oxygen levels [13]. Temperature often acts in 74 combination with other environmental effects on sex determination [1, 18]. These other 75 factors include maternal environmental effects like egg size [19] and yolk steroid hormones 76 [20], which appear to reflect differential maternal investment [21, 22]. It may therefore not be 77 surprising that several endocrine disrupting chemicals have also been found to interfere with 78 sex determination in species with TSD [23]. For instance, embryos of the turtle Trachemys 79 scripta that are incubated at male-producing temperatures often turn into females when 80 exposed to estradiol [24], different types of PCBs [25], the herbicide atrazine [26], or other compounds of which the insecticide chlordane is synergistic with estradiol when applied in 81 82 combination [24].

Sex determination can also be altered in species with genetic sex determination. In this 83 84 context, the most important anthropogenic changes to the environment are temperature (due 85 to climate change or, for example, power plants that increase river water temperatures) and 86 micropollutants [27]. Various endocrine disrupting chemicals have been shown to interfere 87 with the endocrine system and affect sex determination. Exogenous chemicals are therefore 88 often used in aquaculture and research to override genetic sex determination [28]. Piferrer 89 [28] lists over 50 fish species and hybrids whose sex determination has been successfully 90 manipulated. The estrogens used most often in such treatments are natural estrone (E1), 17β-91 estradiol (E2), the synthetic 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE2). However, fishes vary in their 92 susceptibility to exogenous chemicals, i.e. the potential of a given estrogen to feminize needs 93 to be separately evaluated for each species [13, 28].

94 While many of these estrogens usually play a minor role in aquatic systems because of 95 their low prevalence and relatively short half-life, EE2, is a prevalent pollutant that is globally 96 relevant. It is used in most formulations of oral contraceptives, and its half-life in aquatic 97 environments is around 14 days [29]. EE2 is now commonly found in surface and 98 groundwater at concentrations around 1 ng/L [30], but concentrations of up to 273 ng/L have

99 been reported [31]. Concentrations as low as 1 ng/L are known to affect embryo growth and to induce vitellogenin production, i.e. the precursor protein of egg yolk, in fish [32-34]. EE2
is also a potential endocrine disrupting chemical in amphibians [35].

102 Other micropollutants that can affect sex determination are pesticides, including atrazine 103 that has been shown to interfere with sex determination ([36, 37], see also [38] and 104 subsequent discussion in the same journal), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)[23], and some 105 of the most widely used plasticizers (additives that increase the viscosity or plasticity of 106 certain industrial products), including phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA) that can interfere 107 with hormone systems and may hence affect sex determination [39]. Within aquatic systems, 108 molluscs, crustacean, and amphibians generally seem to be more susceptible to these 109 plasticizers than fish, but disturbance of fish spermatogenesis has also been found even at low 110 concentrations of BPA [39].

111 There are many cases of unusual temperatures or micropollutants overriding genetic 112 factors of sex determination and causing environmental sex reversal, resulting in a mismatch 113 between an organism's phenotype and genotype. Figure 1 illustrates possible patterns of 114 genetic versus environmental contributions to sex determination. The figure only illustrates 115 the principles. The link between sex determination and environment need not be linear or 116 even continuous, the variance need not be constant in different environments, and 117 environmental inputs may completely override genetic sex determining factors. The resulting 118 phenotype-genotype mismatches can then affect sex ratios in subsequent generations, as 119 explained below. The potential significance of the interaction between genetic and 120 environmental factors is further explored in Bokony et al. [11] who argue that male 121 heterogametic and female heterogametic amphibians are likely to respond differently to 122 temperature-induced sex reversal.

There are other types of anthropogenic changes of the environment that can influence individual sex determination and hence population sex ratios, for example, non-random exploitation of sequential hermaphrodites that can affect their life history and their timing of sex change [40]. These other anthropogenic changes will not be further discussed here. In the following section I concentrate on environmental changes that override genetic sex determination.

129 130

3. Relevance of different mating types after disturbed sex determination

While environmental sex reversal can immediately affect the phenotypic sex ratio of a population, it also creates phenotype-genotype mismatches that can have potentially counterintuitive consequences for future generations (e.g. environmental feminization may sometimes explain male-biased ASR). Such long-term consequences depend on the various possible mating types. Some of these mating types can therefore be relevant for the management of wild and captive populations.

Table 1 shows the effect of environmental sex reversal on all possible mating types in an XY sex determination system with environmental sex reversal (ESR; both masculinization and feminization), the frequency of the sex chromosomes in the resulting offspring, and the family sex ratios (here defined as frequency of the male phenotype before possible further sex reversal; assuming that the YY genotype naturally leads to the male phenotype). These family sex ratios will equal the ASR in the F1 if there is no further sex reversal and no sex-specific mortality.

144 Apart from the $F_{XX} \times M_{XY}$ mating (scenario 1 in Table 1), there are 8 further possible 145 mating types that can result from ESR. Some scenarios are only possible after sex reversal 146 occurs in a previous generation (e.g. M_{YY} and F_{YY} must be offspring of sex-reversed F_{YY} or 147 F_{XY}). The 9 scenarios vary in their genetic and demographic effects on future generations [41, 148 42]. They also vary in their potential relevance for population management, including the 149 management of threatened wild populations that may [43] or may not suffer from distorted sex ratio [44], the management of undesired populations (e.g. invasive species) [45], and the
 management of captive populations (e.g. in aquaculture) [28].

152 In aquaculture, one-generation mono-sex cultures are often economically advantageous 153 because, for example, they avoid the problems of early maturation and uncontrolled 154 reproduction [28]. Masculinization of XX individuals (via hormone treatment) and mating 155 scenario 2 could be relevant for the production of female mono-sex cultures in fish farming 156 [46]. They may also be relevant in managing wild populations, for example, for boosting 157 population growth to above-critical levels in order to reduce the risk of extinction [44]. 158 Scenarios 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 (all based on feminization of XY or YY individuals, e.g. via 159 hormones) pertain to population management that is based on "Trojan Y chromosomes" [45, 160 47]. The idea here is to produce YY individuals and release them into natural populations in 161 order to distort population sex ratios towards the male sex in order to control growth of 162 undesired populations (e.g. of invasive fish or amphibians). This type of population 163 management would ideally be based on broodstocks of YY males and YY females (if males 164 are the heterogametic sex) or of ZZ males and ZZ females (if females are the normally 165 heterogametic sex, see below).

166 YY-broodstocks would ideally aim for mating scenario 3 in Table 1 if the release of 167 hormone-treated individuals into a natural population is to be avoided, for example, to avoid 168 anglers catching and consuming hormone-treated fish [48]. Consumption of non-treated 169 offspring of hormone-treated fish seems accepted from a food-safety standpoint, as made 170 evident by the large amounts of commercially grown offspring of sex-reversed fish that have 171 been consumed over the last decades [49]. Scenarios 7 and 9 could become relevant if 172 progeny of a YY-broodstock can be released after hormone-treatment [45, 47], with scenario 9 as a possibility when wild-born offspring of F_{YY} mate with introduced F_{YY} . Scenarios 4 and 173 174 9 also describe stages in a YY broodstock production [48]. Scenarios 5 and 8 seem to have no 175 or limited relevance in aquaculture or for the control of undesired natural populations but 176 could be used in experimental research to study, for example, viability effects of sex reversal 177 in the different karyotypes. These are crucial parameters in various types of population 178 models [41, 42, 45, 50]. Scenario 6 could become relevant if the second phase in a YY-179 broodstock production needs to be repeated, for example, in order to increase the genetic 180 diversity of the broodstock.

Table 2 shows the analogous demographic and genetic effects of all other possible mating 181 182 types in a ZW sex determination system with wild-type and artificially constructed genotype-183 phenotype combinations on the subsequent generation, assuming that the WW genotype 184 naturally leads to the female phenotype (analogous to the assumption above that the YY genotype naturally leads to the male genotype). Scenario 10 describes the natural F_{ZW} x M_{ZZ} 185 186 mating. The release of sex-reversed ZW and WW individuals into a natural population with 187 ZW females (scenarios 11 and 12) would be expected to bias the population sex ratio towards 188 the female sex and hence boost population growth. This could potentially be an option for 189 boosting population growth to above-critical levels in order to reduce the risk of extinction 190 [44], analogously to scenario 2 in Table 1. Scenario 13 offers such a potential boost in 191 population growth while avoiding the release of hormone-treated individuals. Such non-192 hormone treated F_{WW} would ideally be produced in scenario 15. Scenarios 12, 13, 14, 15, and 193 18 would be possible broodstocks for mono-sex cultures in fish farming if females are the 194 preferred sex. Scenarios 17 seems of no or limited relevance in aquaculture or for the 195 management of natural populations but could potentially be used in experimental research to 196 study viability effects of sex reversal in the different karyotypes, analogously to scenarios 5 197 and 8 in Table 1. Scenario 16 is an interesting one: it may not only be the ideal broodstock for 198 mono-sex cultures if males are the preferred sex in fish farming, but it could also describe the 199 type of mating that a release of sex-reversed ZZ individuals into a natural population with ZZ

males would lead to if the Z-chromosome is used as Trojan element to control the growth of
 an undesired population.

203 4. Demographic and genetic consequences of phenotype-genotype mismatches 204 If sex determination is predominantly genetic but can be reversed by environmental factors, 205 immediate shifts in population sex ratios and in the frequencies of the sex chromosomes are 206 likely and can extend over several generations [51, 52]. The demographic and genetic 207 consequences then need to be modelled. They depend on the frequencies of the all possible 208 mating types that were discussed in section 3 (Relevance of different mating types after 209 disturbed sex determination) and that are likely to change over time, depending on the fitness 210 (viability and reproductive success) of the various possible combinations of phenotypes and 211 genotypes. The present section summarizes the available models and later meta-analyses and 212 case studies that help to better define the relevant parameter space of such models. Recent 213 empirical work suggests that the fitness of sex-reversed individuals is probably not as decisive 214 as previously assumed in some models. However, the fitness of aberrant karyotypes (YY and 215 WW) may be more important than sometimes assumed. Section 5 (Modelling effects of ESR 216 and YY karyotypes on population dynamics) will therefore focus on the fitness of aberrant 217 karyotypes and demonstrate its relevance for demographic and population-genetic models.

218 Environmental masculinization (Fig. 1a) reduces the proportion of genetic males and 219 can eventually lead to the extinction of Y-chromosomes, while environmental feminization 220 (Fig. 1b) can elevate the proportion of genetic males and can theoretically drive X-221 chromosomes to extinction [41, 42, 53] (but extinction of X chromosomes requires far 222 stronger rates of ESR than extinction of Y chromosomes [42]). Ceasing sex reversal (e.g. by 223 stopping pollution) could then lead to extreme population sex ratios and quickly drive 224 populations to extinction [42]. Another important consequence of environmentally induced 225 sex reversal can be a switching between sex-determination systems, for example, switching 226 from XY/XX to ZW/ZZ or from genetic to environmental sex determination [11, 54-56].

227 Apart from these extreme scenarios, ESR can have marked effects on population 228 growth, depending on the kind of sex reversal and on the fitness costs of the sex reversal [42]. 229 If these fitness costs are small and males are not needed for parental care, population census 230 sizes (N_c) tend to react positively to environmental feminization. Genetically effective 231 population sizes (N_e , i.e. the size of a model population that loses genetic variation at the same 232 rate as the study population [57]) suffer from distorted sex ratios. However, this effect is 233 likely to be compensated in subsequent generations by increased census sizes [58, 59]. On the 234 other hand, masculinization is generally expected to reduce population growth [42]. Moreover, N_e is negatively affected if masculinization increases the variance in reproductive 235 236 success among phenotypic males, for example, because sexual selection may act differently 237 on XX- and XY- males or because of possible effects of distorted sex ratios on male and 238 female life history [60, 61]. This is because N_e also decreases with increasing variation in 239 family size among males [57].

240 The viability of sex-reversed individuals has been assumed to be a key variable 241 determining the dynamics of populations that are exposed to ESR [42, 62]. However, a first 242 meta-analysis of the available data concluded that ESR by itself does generally not seem to 243 significantly reduce individual health and vigor [62]. Exposure to endocrine-disrupting 244 chemicals often reduces individual growth during some developmental stages, but individuals 245 seem often able to recover from such temporary effects [62]. In a more recent review, Senior 246 et al. [63] found little evidence for significant effects of ESR on sperm characteristics. They concluded that "...masculinized genotypic females may enjoy reproductive success 247 248 comparable to genotypic males" [63], and hence that ESR is more likely to influence the 249 genetics and demography of wild populations than has previously been assumed. On the same line, Holleley *et al.* [64] argue in their review that ESR is unlikely to reduce viability andfertility in reptiles.

While the effects of masculinization or feminization on individual viability and fertility may typically be smaller than previously assumed [42], the effects of aberrant karyotypes (YY or WW) on viability and fertility can still be significant. Sex chromosomes evolve from autosomes and are likely to become heteromorphic because of repressed recombination on Y and W chromosomes [65]. Repressed recombination reduces the efficiency of natural selection and is expected to cause the kind of degeneration of Y and W chromosomes that is observed in many taxa, including humans [66].

259 Taxa in which ESR occasionally occurs under natural conditions (e.g. many fish and 260 amphibians) typically show lower levels of degeneration of Y and W chromosomes than taxa 261 that are less susceptible to ESR (e.g. birds and mammals). This may be because such taxa 262 benefit from phenotype-specific recombination of sex chromosomes (e.g. X-Y recombination 263 in F_{XY}). Perrin [9] suggested that this phenotype-specific recombination in sex-reversed 264 individuals (e.g. recombination between X and Y in phenotypic females), followed by selection, is a "fountain of youth" for sex chromosomes and may explain the high rate of 265 homomorphic sex chromosomes in fish and amphibians. Indeed, viable and fertile YY and 266 267 WW genotypes could repeatedly be produced in some fish and amphibians [13, 67]. Such 268 aberrant genotypes could even be sex-reversed for subsequent breeding programs (recent 269 examples include Liu et al. [68] and Schill et al. [48]). However, because of their reduced 270 recombination rate and their relatively small effective size compared to X and Z 271 chromosomes (Y and W are rarer in natural populations than X and Z). Y and W 272 chromosomes will generally show higher levels of degeneration than X and Z chromosomes. 273 Therefore, the aberrant YY and WW karyotypes usually suffer from reduced individual 274 fitness as compared to the XX, XY, ZZ, and ZW genotypes.

275 Not much is known about the relative viability and reproductive success of karvotypes 276 within fishes and amphibians. When Schill et al. [48] produced a YY-broodstock of brook 277 trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) for potential use in eradication programs, they found the expected 278 number of YY offspring in F_{XY} x M_{XY} matings, i.e. YY individuals did not seem to suffer 279 from higher embryo or juvenile mortality under the protected hatchery conditions. However, 280 feminization of YY individuals was more difficult than feminization of XY individuals, and 281 E2-treatment led to higher rates of individuals with intersex characteristics among the YY 282 than the XY individuals. Theoretical treatments of the long-term demographic and genetic 283 effects of environmentally induced sex reversal should therefore distinguish between (the possibly minor) fitness effects on sex-reversed normal genotypes (e.g. F_{XY} or M_{XX}) and (the 284 possibly higher) fitness effects of chromosomally aberrant individuals (e.g. Myy or the sex-285 286 reversed F_{yy}). Fitness reduction in aberrant karvotypes are predicted to affect an evolutionary 287 transition from one sex-determining system to another [55, 69]. They have also been 288 predicted to affect population sex ratios [41].

289 290

5. Modelling effects of ESR and YY karyotypes on population dynamics

To study the demographic and genetic effects of reduced fitness in chromosomally aberrant individuals, I adopt Cotton and Wedekind's [42] deterministic model and largely followed their settings (Box 1). Cotton and Wedekind's [42] analyses were based on the assumption that ESR-linked individual fitness was identical for YY and XY genotypes. In order to relax this assumption, YY genotypes now have a fitness of $v_{YY} \le 1$. I analyzed 20 generations, with a constant feminization rate during the first 10 generations and no feminization in the remaining 10 generations (i.e. a cease of ESR at generation 10).

298 Environmental feminization has first a positive effect on the population census sizes 299 N_c (Fig. 2). However, ESR changes the population sex ratio and hence reduces the genetically 300 effective population size N_e , at least in the first generation after ESR has started (Fig. 2).

- 301 Environmental masculinization generally reduces population sizes (both N_c and N_e) because of 302 the high arts of malagin the negative field.
- 302 the high rate of males in the population [42], and, at the present parameter setting, quickly 303 leads to population extinction at high rates of masculinization (Supplementary Fig. S1). In

leads to population extinction at high rates of masculinization (Supplementary Fig. S1). In environmental feminization, the negative effects on N_e can be compensated later by the

 N_c , depending on the strength of the feminization and the population's carrying

306 capacity (Fig. 2). However, ceasing sex reversal after generation 10 reduces population sizes

307 (both N_c and N_e). The higher the feminization rate in the first 10 generations, the more

pronounced is this drop in population sizes (approaching $N_c = 0$ with high *p*, v_{ESR} , and v_{YY} ; Fig. 2b). This effect is mitigated with increased reduction of v_{ESR} , and especially so with increased reduction of v_{YY} (Fig. 2), because low v_{YY} cause low ratios of genetic males (Y-carriers) in the

311 population during feminization (Fig. 3).

The role of v_{YY} on population growth during feminization depends both on *p* and v_{ESR} . At high *p*, variation in v_{YY} has little effects on population growth during feminization. At low *p* and low v_{ESR} , overall population grow is nearly unaffected by the feminization when v_{YY} is high. However, population growth then increases with declining v_{YY} (Fig. 2e) because declining v_{YY} reduce the rate of male genotypes in the population (Fig. 3).

317 318 6. Rapid evolutionary responses to environmentally disturbed sex determination? 319 The mechanisms of sex determination are rapidly evolving in many animal and plant clades 320 [2]. The diversity of sex determination systems within fish, for example, extends deep into 321 families [13], and there are several cases of within-species population differences in fish and 322 other taxa [70]. Pen et al. [71] found, for example, sex determination to be mostly 323 temperature-dependent in snow skink (Niveoscincus ocellatus) living in the lowlands of 324 Tasmania, while it was predominantly genetic in adjacent highland populations. The authors 325 argued that warm incubation temperatures lead to earlier births in the year and hence an 326 improved opportunity for growing to large body until maturation. In lowland populations, 327 females seem to profit more from large body sizes than males, and this might have selected 328 for TSD. In their simulation models they assumed sex to be determined by a combination of 329 incubation temperature and of the alleles at four diploid loci. Under lowland conditions, 330 genetic sex determination is then likely to turn into TSD within few thousands generations 331 [71].

332 Such a transition from genetic to temperature-dependent sex determination can be 333 dramatically faster if temperature induces sex reversal. The Australian bearded dragon 334 (*Pogona vitticeps*), for example, has a ZW sex determination system that can be overridden 335 by warm temperatures such that ZZ individuals turn into females who seem to be at least as 336 viable and fertile as the wildtype ZW females [56]. By mating sex-reversed individuals, 337 Holleley et al. [56] could experimentally induce a transition from genetic to solely 338 temperature-dependent sex determination within only one generation (because sex-reversed 339 ZZ females mated to wild-type ZZ males can only produce ZZ offspring). The environmental 340 temperatures that allow for such transitions are within the range the species is currently 341 exposed to, i.e. sex-reversed ZZ female bearded dragons can be found in the wild, and 342 probably in increasing frequencies as observations between 2003 and 2011 suggest [56]. This 343 species is hence susceptible to local extinction of W chromosomes due to extreme 344 environmental conditions, especially if combined with small population sizes (drift effects). 345 Analogous rapid transitions are possible in a XY sex determination system when XX 346 individuals are masculinized and mate with wild-type XX females to produce only XX 347 offspring [41, 42, 69].

Further examples of diversity in sex determination system within species include the recent work of Rodrigues *et al.* [72, 73] who found significant difference in sex determination 350 among populations of the common frog (*Rana temporaria*), Ribas et al. [74] who found the 351 masculinizing effects of elevated environmental temperatures to be family-specific in zebra 352 fish (Danio rerio), and Shen et al. [75] who found strain-specific reaction norms in TSD in 353 four strains of bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus). In the latter example, the authors 354 suggested that the genotype-temperature interactions they found could be exploited to more 355 efficiently manipulate sex determination in aquaculture, because males grow faster and larger 356 than females in this species. 357 Given that even populations of the same species can differ in sex determination, it

Given that even populations of the same species can differ in sex determination, it seems unsurprising that closely related species often differ in their reaction norms in feminization rate after exposure to micropollutants. A recent example includes Tamschick et al. [35] who found species-specific reaction norms in the response of three amphibians to exposure to EE2. Mizoguchi and Valenzuela [23] discuss possible species-specific reaction norms to various micropollutants in reptiles.

363 The evolutionary potential of natural populations to adapt to anthropogenic changes in 364 the environment critically depends on the existence of additive genetic variation in the 365 response to the change [76, 77]. Such heritabilities are typically difficult to estimate, especially in the presence of non-genetic parental effects [78]. However, recent analyses of 366 367 genome sequences and transcriptomes of Atlantic killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus) and of blue 368 mussel (Mytilus edulis) populations sampled from polluted sites and from geographically 369 paired non-polluted sites suggest pollution-induced genetic differentiation [79, 80]. Brazzola 370 et al. [32] used full-factorial in vitro breeding experiments (i.e. several males crossed with 371 several females in all possible combination to control for maternal environmental effects and 372 for any form of differential parental investments) and found significant additive genetic 373 variance in the tolerance to EE2 pollution within two whitefish species (Coregonus sp.). And 374 Hamilton et al. [81] found roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) populations to be self-sustaining in heavily 375 polluted habitats of Southern England despite widespread feminization (see also discussion in 376 [82, 83]).

These examples suggest that rapid genetic adaptation to some forms of pollution could be possible in some taxa. The basis of such tolerances needs to be further studied in order to better understand the potential for rapid adaptive evolution in response to environmentally disrupted sex determination. Data about the lability of sex determination and about the critical heritabilities are often lacking, and it is possible that many taxa might not be capable of rapid adaptation to environments that disturb sex determination [84].

383 384

7. Conclusions and implications for conservation and pest management

385 Fishes, amphibians, and reptiles are often susceptible to anthropogenic disturbance of sex 386 determination caused either by extreme temperatures or various types of micropollutants. This 387 may occur either because their sex determination is environmental, or because their sex 388 determination has a genetic basis that can be overruled. Such environmental sex reversal 389 creates phenotype-genotype mismatches that are often exploited in aquaculture to produce 390 more profitable mono-sex cultures. In natural populations, phenotype-genotype mismatches 391 can sometimes boost population growth if they reduce the ratio of males in the population and 392 if females are limiting population growth. However, in most cases, disturbed sex 393 determination and environmental sex reversal is a threat to natural populations because it 394 distorts the rates of sex chromosomes. Distorted rates of sex chromosomes can severely affect 395 population growth and even cause extinction, for example, during masculinization or when an 396 environmental force that induces feminization ceases after sex reversal over several 397 generations. 398 Recent meta-analyses suggest that environmental sex reversal has little effect on

individual survival and reproduction, and that the significance of v_{ESR} for population

- 400 dynamics is sometimes overrated. However, the extended model presented here reveals that
- 401 the fitness (survival and reproduction) of individuals with the aberrant YY genotype (v_{yy})
- 402 plays an important role especially when feminization ceases and populations experience a
- 403 sudden consequent drop in N_c and N_e . Low v_{yy} significantly mitigates population decline. 404 During feminization, v_{yy} has little effect on population growth except when the rate of
- 404 During feminization, v_{yy} has little effect on population growth except when the rate of 405 feminization is small and feminization affects individual fitness. Low v_{yy} then boosts
- 406 population growth because it reduces the rate of individuals carrying Y chromosomes.
- 407 While environmental sex reversal commonly threatens natural populations, it also
- 407 while chylomitential sex reversal commonly infeatens natural populations, it also
 408 creates interesting management options for problem populations, such as invasive fish or
 409 amphibians. This is true for both species with a ZW/ZZ and species with a XY/XX sex
 410 determination system. In ZW/ZZ species, the release of sex-reversed ZZ females into natural
- 411 populations (and the subsequent mating of ZZ females with wild-type ZZ males) is expected
- 412 to increase the rate of males in future generations and hence to reduce population growth.
- 413 Analogously, in XY/XX species, the release of sex-reversed XY females and especially of
- 414 YY males or even of sex-reversed YY females into a natural population is also expected to
- 415 increase the ratio of males to females in future generations and to reduce population growth.
- 416 This idea is based on the assumption that v_{ESR} and v_{yy} are high, which is often the case for
- 417 v_{ESR} , but needs to be further examined for v_{yy} . The potential of this "Trojan Y chromosome
- 418 hypothesis" then needs to be evaluated in field trials.
- 419

420 Acknowledgements

I thank Michael Jennions, Karen Kramer, Ryan Schacht, Tamás Székely, and an anonymous
reviewer for helpful comments, the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support,
and the participants of a workshop on sex ratios at the Wissenschaftskolleg Berlin for

- 424 discussion.
- 425

426 **References**

- 427 [1] Beukeboom, L. W. & Perrin, N. 2014 *The evolution of sex determination*. Oxford, Oxford
 428 University Press; 1-222 p.
- 429 [2] Bachtrog, D., Mank, J. E., Peichel, C. L., Kirkpatrick, M., Otto, S. P., Ashman, T. L., Hahn, M.
 430 W., Kitano, J., Mayrose, I., Ming, R., et al. 2014 Sex determination: why so many ways of doing 431 it? *PLoS Biol.* 12. (DOI:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001899).
- 432 [3] Perrin, N. 2016 Random sex determination: When developmental noise tips the sex balance.
 433 *Bioessays* 38, 1218-1226. (DOI:10.1002/bies.201600093).
- 434 [4] Uller, T. & Helantera, H. 2011 From the origin of sex-determining factors to the evolution of sex-determining systems. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 86, 163-180. (DOI:10.1086/661118).
- 436 [5] Smith, C. A., Roeszler, K. N., Ohnesorg, T., Cummins, D. M., Farlie, P. G., Doran, T. J. &
 437 Sinclair, A. H. 2009 The avian Z-linked gene DMRT1 is required for male sex determination in
 438 the chicken. *Nature* 461, 267-271.
- 439 [6] Shannon, R. K. & Holsinger, K. E. 2007 The genetics of sex determination in stinging nettle
 440 (Urtica dioica). Sexual Plant Reproduction 20, 35-43. (DOI:10.1007/s00497-006-0041-5).
- [7] Liew, W. C., Bartfai, R., Lim, Z. J., Sreenivasan, R., Siegfried, K. R. & Orban, L. 2012 Polygenic
 Sex Determination System in Zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* 7. (DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0034397).
- [8] Yusa, Y. 2007 Nuclear sex-determining genes cause large sex-ratio variation in the apple snail
 Pomacea canaliculata. *Genetics* 175, 179-184. (DOI:10.1534/genetics.106.060400).
- 445 [9] Perrin, N. 2009 Sex reversal: a fountain of youth for sex chromosomes? *Evolution* 63, 3043-3049.
 446 (DOI:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00837.x).
- 447 [10] Valenzuela, N. & Lance, V. A. 2004 Temperature-dependent sex determination in vertebrates.
 448 (Washington D.C., Smithsonian Books.
- [11] Bókony, V., Kövér, S., Nemesházi, E., Liker, A. & Székely, T. 2017 Climate-driven shifts in adult sex ratios via sex reversals. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* in press.

- [12] Merchant-Larios, H. & Diaz-Hernandez, V. 2013 Environmental sex determination mechanisms
 in reptiles. *Sex. Dev.* 7, 95-103. (DOI:10.1159/000341936).
- [13] Devlin, R. H. & Nagahama, Y. 2002 Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an
 overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. *Aquaculture* 208, 191-364.
 (DOI:10.1016/s0044-8486(02)00057-1).
- 456 [14] Guler, Y., Short, S., Kile, P. & Ford, A. T. 2012 Integrating field and laboratory evidence for
 457 environmental sex determination in the amphipod, *Echinogammarus marinus*. *Mar. Biol.* 159, 2885-2890. (DOI:10.1007/s00227-012-2042-2).
- 459 [15] Walker, G. 2005 Sex determination in the larvae of the parasitic barnacle Heterosaccus lunatus:
 460 an experimental approach. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* 318, 31-38.
 461 (DOI:10.1016/j.jembe.2004.12.008).
- 462 [16] Geffroy, B. & Bardonnet, A. 2016 Sex differentiation and sex determination in eels:
 463 consequences for management. *Fish Fish.* 17, 375-398. (DOI:10.1111/faf.12113).
- 464 [17] Bouchon, D., Rigaud, T. & Juchault, P. 1998 Evidence for widespread Wolbachia infection in
 465 isopod crustaceans: molecular identification and host feminization. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 265,
 466 1081-1090. (DOI:10.1098/rspb.1998.0402).
- 467 [18] Sarre, S. D., Georges, A. & Quinn, A. 2004 The ends of a continuum: genetic and temperature 468 dependent sex determination in reptiles. *Bioessays* 26, 639-645. (DOI:10.1002/bies.20050).
- 469 [19] Warner, D. A., Lovern, M. B. & Shine, R. 2007 Maternal nutrition affects reproductive output
 470 and sex allocation in a lizard with environmental sex determination. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*471 274, 883-890. (DOI:10.1098/rspb.2006.0105).
- [20] Ding, G. H., Yang, J., Wang, J. & Ji, X. 2012 Offspring sex in a TSD gecko correlates with an
 interaction between incubation temperature and yolk steroid hormones. *Naturwissenschaften* 99, 999-1006. (DOI:10.1007/s00114-012-0981-6).
- 475 [21] Horvathova, T., Nakagawa, S. & Uller, T. 2012 Strategic female reproductive investment in
 476 response to male attractiveness in birds. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 279, 163-170.
 477 (DOI:10.1098/rspb.2011.0663).
- 478 [22] Gil, D., Graves, J., Hazon, N. & Wells, A. 1999 Male at attractiveness and differential
 479 testosterone investment in zebra finch eggs. *Science* 286, 126-128.
 480 (DOI:10.1126/science.286.5437.126).
- 481 [23] Mizoguchi, B. A. & Valenzuela, N. 2016 Ecotoxicological perspectives of sex determination.
 482 Sex. Dev. 10, 45-57. (DOI:10.1159/000444770).
- 483 [24] Willingham, E. & Crews, D. 1999 Sex reversal effects of environmentally relevant xenobiotic
 484 concentrations on the red-eared slider turtle, a species with temperature-dependent sex
 485 determination. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 113, 429-435. (DOI:10.1006/gcen.1998.7221).
- 486 [25] Bergeron, J. M., Crews, D. & McLachlan, J. A. 1994 PCBs as environmental estrogens turtle
 487 sex determination as a biomarker of environmental contamination. *Environ. Health Persp.* 102, 780–781. (DOI:10.1289/ehp.94102780).
- 489 [26] Willingham, E. J. 2005 The effects of atrazine and temperature on turtle hatchling size and sex ratios. *Front Ecol Environ* 3, 309–313.
- 491 [27] Johnson, A. C. & Sumpter, J. P. 2014 Putting pharmaceuticals into the wider context of
 492 challenges to fish populations in rivers. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 369.
 493 (DOI:10.1098/rstb.2013.0581).
- 494 [28] Piferrer, F. 2001 Endocrine sex control strategies for the feminization of teleost fish. *Aquaculture* 495 197, 229-281. (DOI:10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00589-0).
- 496 [29] Shore, L. S., Gurevitz, M. & Shemesh, M. 1993 Estrogen as an environmental pollutant. *Bull.* 497 *Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 51, 361-366. (DOI:10.1002/jssc.200800673).
- 498 [30] Vulliet, E. & Cren-Olive, C. 2011 Screening of pharmaceuticals and hormones at the regional
 499 scale, in surface and groundwaters intended to human consumption. *Environm. Pollut.* 159, 2929500 2934. (DOI:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.04.033).
- [31] Kolpin, D. W., Furlong, E. T., Meyer, M. T., Thurman, E. M., Zaugg, S. D., Barber, L. B. &
 Buxton, H. T. 2002 Response to Comment on,"Pharmaceuticals, hormones, and other organic
 wastewater contaminants in US streams, 1999-2000: A national reconnaissance". *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 4007-4008. (DOI:10.1021/es020136s).

- 505 [32] Brazzola, G., Chèvre, N. & Wedekind, C. 2014 Additive genetic variation for tolerance to
 506 estrogen pollution in natural populations of Alpine whitefish (*Coregonus* sp., Salmonidae). *Evol.* 507 *Appl.* 7, 1084-1093. (DOI:10.1111/eva.12216).
- [33] Rose, J., Holbech, H., Lindholst, C., Norum, U., Povlsen, A., Korsgaard, B. & Bjerregaard, P.
 2002 Vitellogenin induction by 17 beta-estradiol and 17 alpha-ethinylestradiol in male zebrafish
 (*Danio rerio*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 131, 531-539.
 (DOI:10.1016/S1532-0456(02)00035-2).
- 512 [34] Kaptaner, B., Kankaya, E. & Unal, G. 2009 Effects of 17 alpha-ethynylestradiol on
 513 hepatosomatic index, plasma vitellogenin levels and liver glutathione-S-transferade activity in
 514 Lake Van fish (*Chalcalburnus tarichi* Pallas, 1811). *Fresen. Environ. Bull.* 18, 2366-2372.
- 515 [35] Tamschick, S., Rozenblut-Koscisty, B., Ogielska, M., Lehmann, A., Lymberakis, P., Hoffmann,
 516 F., Lutz, I., Kloas, W. & Stock, M. 2016 Sex reversal assessments reveal different vulnerability to
 517 endocrine disruption between deeply diverged anuran lineages. *Sci. Rep.* 6.
 518 (DOI:10.1038/srep23825).
- [36] Hayes, T. B., Khoury, V., Narayan, A., Nazir, M., Park, A., Brown, T., Adame, L., Chan, E.,
 Buchholz, D., Stueve, T., et al. 2010 Atrazine induces complete feminization and chemical
 castration in male African clawed frogs (Xenopus laevis). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 46124617. (DOI:10.1073/pnas.0909519107).
- [37] Hayes, T., Haston, K., Tsui, M., Hoang, A., Haeffele, C. & Vonk, A. 2002 Herbicides:
 Feminization of male frogs in the wild. *Nature* 419, 895-896. (DOI:10.1038/419895a).
- [38] Jooste, A. M., Du Preez, L. H., Carr, J. A., Giesy, J. P., Gross, T. S., Kendall, R. J., Smith, E. E.,
 Van der Kraak, G. L. & Solomon, K. R. 2005 Gonadal development of larval male Xenopus
 laevis exposed to atrazine in outdoor microcosms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 5255-5261.
 (DOI:10.1021/es048134q).
- [39] Oehlmann, J., Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Kloas, W., Jagnytsch, O., Lutz, I., Kusk, K. O.,
 Wollenberger, L., Santos, E. M., Paull, G. C., Van Look, K. J. W., et al. 2009 A critical analysis
 of the biological impacts of plasticizers on wildlife. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 364, 2047-2062.
 (DOI:10.1098/rstb.2008.0242).
- [40] Provost, M. M. & Jensen, O. P. 2015 The impacts of fishing on hermaphroditic species and
 treatment of sex change in stock assessments. *Fisheries* 40, 536-545.
 (DOI:10.1080/03632415.2015.1093471).
- [41] Hurley, M. A., Matthiessen, P. & Pickering, A. D. 2004 A model for environmental sex reversal
 in fish. *J. theor. Biol.* 227, 159-165. (DOI:10.1016/j.jtbi.2003.10.010).
- 538 [42] Cotton, S. & Wedekind, C. 2009 Population consequences of environmental sex reversal. *Cons.* 539 *Biol.* 23, 196-206. (DOI:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01053.x).
- [43] Wedekind, C., Evanno, G., Székely, T., Pompini, M., Darbellay, O. & Guthruf, J. 2013 Persistent
 unequal sex ratio in a population of grayling (Salmonidae) and possible role of temperature
 increase. *Cons. Biol.* 27, 229-234. (DOI:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01909.x).
- 543 [44] Cotton, S. & Wedekind, C. 2007 Introduction of Trojan sex chromosomes to boost population
 544 growth. *J. theor. Biol.* 249, 153-161. (DOI:10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.07.016).
- 545 [45] Gutierrez, J. B. & Teem, J. L. 2006 A model describing the effect of sex-reversed YY fish in an
 546 established wild population: The use of a Trojan Y chromosome to cause extinction of an
 547 introduced exotic species. *J. theor. Biol.* 241, 333-341. (DOI:10.1016/i.itbi.2005.11.032).
- [46] Razmi, K., Naji, T., Alizadeh, M. & Sahafi, H. H. 2011 Hormonal sex reversal of rainbow trout
 (Oncorhynchus mykiss) by ethynylestradiol-17 alpha (EE2). *Iran. J. Fish. Sci.* 10, 304-315.
- [47] Cotton, S. & Wedekind, C. 2007 Control of introduced species using Trojan sex chromosomes.
 Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 441-443. (DOI:10.1016/j.tree.2007.06.010).
- [48] Schill, D. J., Heindel, J. A., Campbell, M. R., Meyer, K. A. & Mamer, E. 2016 Production of a
 YY male brook trout broodstock for potential eradication of undesired brook trout populations. *N. Am. J. Aquac.* 78, 72-83. (DOI:10.1080/15222055.2015.1100149).
- [49] Bye, V. J. & Lincoln, R. F. 1986 Commercial methods for the control of sexual maturation in
 rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Aquaculture* 57, 299-309. (DOI:10.1016/0044-8486(86)902085).
- [50] Cotton, S. & Wedekind, C. 2010 Male mutation bias and possible long-term effects of human activities. *Cons. Biol.* 24, 1190-1197. (DOI:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01524.x).

- 560 [51] Stelkens, R. B. & Wedekind, C. 2010 Environmental sex reversal, Trojan sex genes, and sex ratio
 adjustment: conditions and population consequences. *Mol. Ecol.* 19, 627-646.
 (DOI:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04526.x).
- 563 [52] Senior, A. M., Lokman, P. M., Closs, G. P. & Nakagawa, S. 2015 Ecological and evolutionary
 applications for environmental sex reversal of fish. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 90, 23-44.
 (DOI:10.1086/679762.).
- [53] Kanaiwa, M. & Harada, Y. 2002 Genetic risk involved in stock enhancement of fish having
 environmental sex determination. *Pop. Ecol.* 44, 7-15. (DOI:10.1007/s101440200001).
- [54] Quinn, A. E., Sarre, S. D., Ezaz, T., Marshall Graves, J. A. & Georges, A. 2011 Evolutionary
 transitions between mechanisms of sex determination in vertebrates. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 443-448.
 (DOI:10.1098/rsbl.2010.1126).
- 571 [55] Grossen, C., Neuenschwander, S. & Perrin, N. 2011 Temperature-dependent turnovers in sex572 determination mechanisms: a quantitative model. *Evolution* 65, 64-78. (DOI:10.1111/j.15585646.2010.01098.x).
- 574 [56] Holleley, C. E., O'Meally, D., Sarre, S. D., Graves, J. A. M., Ezaz, T., Matsubara, K., Azad, B.,
 575 Zhang, X. W. & Georges, A. 2015 Sex reversal triggers the rapid transition from genetic to
 576 temperature-dependent sex. *Nature* 523, 79-+. (DOI:10.1038/nature14574).
- 577 [57] Allendorf, F. W. & Luikard, G. 2007 Conservation and the genetics of populations. Malden, MA,
 578 USA, Oxford University Press.
- 579 [58] Wedekind, C. 2002 Manipulating sex ratios for conservation: short-term risks and long-term
 580 benefits. *Anim. Conserv.* 5, 13-20. (DOI:10.1017/S1367943002001026).
- [59] Lenz, T. L., Jacob, A. & Wedekind, C. 2007 Manipulating sex ratio to increase population
 growth: the example of the Lesser Kestrel. *Anim. Conserv.* 10, 236-244. (DOI:10.1111/j.14691795.2007.00099.x).
- [60] Clutton-Brock, T. H. 2017 Reproductive competition and sexual selection. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* in press.
- 586 [61] Fromhage, L. & Jennions, M. D. 2017 Not all sex ratios are equal: the Fisher condition, parental
 587 care and sexual selection. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* in press.
- 588 [62] Senior, A. M., Lim, J. N. & Nakagawa, S. 2012 The fitness consequences of environmental sex
 589 reversal in fish: a quantitative review. *Biol. Rev.* 87, 900-911. (DOI:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00230.x).
- 591 [63] Senior, A. M., Johnson, S. L. & Nakagawa, S. 2016 Sperm traits of masculinized fish relative to
 592 wild-type males: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Fish Fish.* 17, 143-164.
 593 (DOI:10.1111/faf.12096).
- 594 [64] Holleley, C. E., Sarre, S. D., O'Meally, D. & Georges, A. 2016 Sex reversal in reptiles:
 595 reproductive oddity or powerful driver of evolutionary change? *Sex. Dev.* 10, 279-287.
 596 (DOI:10.1159/000450972).
- 597 [65] Bachtrog, D. 2013 Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-chromosome
 598 degeneration. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 14, 113-124. (DOI:10.1038/nrg3366).
- [66] Hughes, J. F., Skaletsky, H., Brown, L. G., Pyntikova, T., Graves, T., Fulton, R. S., Dugan, S.,
 Ding, Y., Buhay, C. J., Kremitzki, C., et al. 2012 Strict evolutionary conservation followed rapid
 gene loss on human and rhesus Y chromosomes. *Nature* 483, 82-U124.
 (DOI:10.1038/nature10843).
- [67] Wallace, H., Badawy, G. M. I. & Wallace, B. M. N. 1999 Amphibian sex determination and sex reversal. *CMLS Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 55, 901-909.
- [68] Liu, H. Q., Guan, B., Xu, J., Hou, C. C., Tian, H. & Chen, H. X. 2013 Genetic manipulation of
 sex ratio for the large-scale breeding of YY super-male and XY all-male Yellow Catfish
 (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco* (Richardson)). *Mar. Biotechnol.* 15, 321-328. (DOI:10.1007/s10126012-9487-7).
- 609 [69] Schwanz, L. E., Ezaz, T., Gruber, B. & Georges, A. 2013 Novel evolutionary pathways of sex610 determining mechanisms. *J. Evol. Biol.* 26, 2544-2557. (DOI:10.1111/jeb.12258).
- [70] Sarre, S. D., Ezaz, T. & Georges, A. 2011 Transitions between sex-determining systems in
 reptiles and amphibians. In *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics* (eds. A.
 Chakravarti & E. Green), pp. 391-406.

- [71] Pen, I., Uller, T., Feldmeyer, B., Harts, A., While, G. M. & Wapstra, E. 2010 Climate-driven
 population divergence in sex-determining systems. *Nature* 468, 436-U262.
 (DOI:10.1038/nature09512).
- [72] Rodrigues, N., Vuille, Y., Loman, J. & Perrin, N. 2015 Sex-chromosome differentiation and 'sex races' in the common frog (*Rana temporaria*). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 282.
 (DOI:10.1098/rspb.2014.2726).
- [73] Rodrigues, N., Vuille, Y., Brelsford, A., Merilä, J. & Perrin, N. 2016 The genetic contribution to
 sex determination and number of sex chromosomes vary among populations of common frogs
 (*Rana temporaria*). *Heredity* 117, 25-32. (DOI:10.1038/hdy.2016.22).
- [74] Ribas, L., Liew, W. C., Diaz, N., Sreenivasan, R., Orban, L. & Piferrer, F. 2017 Heat-induced
 masculinization in domesticated zebrafish is family-specific and yields a set of different gonadal
 transcriptomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (DOI:10.1073/pnas.1609411114).
- [75] Shen, Z. G., Wang, H. P., Yao, H., O'Bryant, P., Rapp, D. & Zhu, K. Q. 2016 Sex determination
 in Bluegill Sunfish *Lepomis macrochirus*: effect of temperature on sex ratio of four geographic
 strains. *Biol. Bull.* 230, 197-208. (DOI:10.1086/BBLv230n3p197).
- [76] Eizaguirre, C. & Baltazar-Soares, M. 2014 Evolutionary conservation-evaluating the adaptive
 potential of species. *Evol. Appl.* 7, 963-967. (DOI:10.1111/eva.12227).
- [77] Hamilton, J. A. & Miller, J. M. 2016 Adaptive introgression as a resource for management and
 genetic conservation in a changing climate. *Cons. Biol.* 30, 33-41. (DOI:10.1111/cobi.12574).
- [78] Warner, D. A., Uller, T. & Shine, R. 2013 Transgenerational sex determination: the embryonic
 environment experienced by a male affects offspring sex ratio. *Sci. Rep.* 3.
 (DOI:10.1038/srep02709).
- [79] Larsson, J., Lönn, M., Lind, E. E., Swiezak, J., Smolarz, K. & Grahn, M. 2016 Sewage treatment
 plant associated genetic differentiation in the blue mussel from the Baltic Sea and Swedish west
 coast. *PeerJ* 4, e2628. (DOI:10.7717/peerj.2628).
- [80] Reid, N. M., Proestou, D. A., Clark, B. W., Warren, W. C., Colbourne, J. K., Shaw, J. R.,
 Karchner, S. I., Hahn, M. E., Nacci, D., Oleksiak, M. F., et al. 2016 The genomic landscape of
 rapid repeated evolutionary adaptation to toxic pollution in wild fish. *Science* 354, 1305-1308.
 (DOI:10.1126/science.aah4993).
- [81] Hamilton, P. B., Nicol, E., De-Bastos, E. S. R., Williams, R. J., Sumpter, J. P., Jobling, S.,
 Stevens, J. R. & Tyler, C. R. 2014 Populations of a cyprinid fish are self-sustaining despite
 widespread feminization of. *BMC Biol.* 12. (DOI:10.1186/1741-7007-12-1).
- [82] Johnson, A. C. & Sumpter, J. P. 2016 Are we going about chemical risk assessment for the aquatic environment the wrong way? *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 1609-1616.
 (DOI:10.1002/etc.3441).
- 649 [83] Wedekind, C. 2014 Fish populations surviving estrogen pollution. *BMC Biol.* 12, 10
 650 (DOI:10.1186/1741-7007-12-10).
- [84] Mitchell, N. J. & Janzen, F. J. 2010 Temperature-dependent sex determination and contemporary
 climate change. Sex. Dev. 4, 129-140. (DOI:10.1159/000282494).
- 653

654 **Box 1: Settings of the model**

The present analysis of potential effects of ESR and YY karyotypes on population dynamics

is based on Cotton and Wedekind's [42] deterministic model (i.e. excluding mutation-based

657 evolution and random sex determination). Their settings were as follows: discrete generations,

- male heterogamety, population size at generation 0 = 1000, initial 1:1 sex ratio, random
- 659 mating, females mate only once and contribute *r* offspring to the next generation,
- 660 environmental feminization $p \le 1$ (identical for YY and XY genotypes), environmental
- 661 masculinization $q \le 1$, and ESR-linked individual fitness $v_{ESR} \le 1$ (with fitness including
- 662 survival and reproduction). In the new model, YY genotypes have a fitness of $v_{YY} \le 1$, and the
- 663 following simplifications are implemented: (i) no limitations on male mating ability
- 664 (including the extreme case when one male is sufficient to fertilize all available eggs), (ii) 665 carrying capacity K = 2000, and (iii) number of offspring per female r = 2 when $N_F \le K/2$,
- 666 otherwise $r = K/N_F$ (ceiling model of density dependent reproduction).
- 667 The effects environmental feminization and a ceasing of sex reversal are then analyzed
- 668 with regard to the population census sizes (N_c) and the genetically effective population sizes 669 (N_e). N_e corrects for the effects of unequal sex ratios by $N_e = 4N_M N_F / (N_M + N_F)$ and for the
- 609 (N_e) . N_e corrects for the effects of unequal sex ratios by $N_e = 4N_M N_F / (N_M + N_F)$ and for the 670 effects of variation of population size over time, e.g. of population bottlenecks, by using the
- 671 harmonic mean each over all N_e since generation 0 [57].

672 Table 1 Mating types with XY sex determination and environmental sex reversal

The expected consequences of all possible mating types in a XY sex determination system,

674 i.e. of males or females with no phenotype-genotype mismatch (open symbols), sex-reversed

675 individuals (black symbols), or with karyotypes that can results from sex reversal in the

- 676 parental generation (gray symbols), assuming that all mating types are possible and have the
- same effect on the viability of all types of offspring, and that the YY genotype naturally leads
- to the male phenotype, i.e. sex reversal is necessary to produce YY females. The figure givesthe expected frequencies of XX-female, XY-male, and YY-male offspring, the expected
- 680 frequencies of Y chromosomes, and the expected frequencies of male phenotypes in the F1.
- 681 See text for a discussion of the various mating scenarios.
- 682

		Mating type								
		Ŏ,	O+× - •×	Ğ	Ŏ,		, Č	Š,		, Č
Frequency of	P	50%	100%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ŏ	50%	0%	100%	50%	50%	50%	50%	100%	0%
	Ŏ	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%	50%	0%	100%
	Y-chromosomes	25%	0%	50%	50%	25%	75%	75%	50%	100%
	male phenotype*	50%	0%	100%	75%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Mating scenario	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)

683

*before possible futher sex reversal

Table 2 Mating types with ZW sex determination and environmental sex reversal

The expected consequences of all possible mating types in a ZW sex determination system,
analogous to Table 1 (assuming that the WW genotype naturally leads to the female
phenotype, i.e. sex reversal is necessary to produce WW males). See text for a discussion of
the mating scenarios.



*before possible futher sex reversal





693 Figure 1. Illustrating the continuum of genetic and environmental sex determination.

694 Examples of possible effects of environmental factors (e.g. temperature or concentration of 695 endocrine-disrupting micropollutants) on sex determination in (A) a hypothetical population 696 with genetic sex determination and the female genotype being susceptible to environmental 697 factors that masculinize (i.e. turning some XX or ZW individuals into males), and (B) a 698 population with genetic sex determining factors and the male genotype being susceptible to 699 environmental factors that feminize (i.e. turning some XY or ZZ individuals into females). 700 The shaded area indicates the within-population variance that could be due to additive genetic 701 variance in the reaction norms or due to random effects at the start of the sex determination 702 cascade. The hatched line gives the population sex ratio (proportion of males) if all clutches 703 experience the same environmental conditions. This population sex ratio will equal adult sex 704 ratio (ASR) if there is no sex-specific mortality.





Figure 2. The effects of environmental feminization and various types of fitness reduction on population size and genetics

709 Low fitness of YY genotypes (v_{YY}) can significantly mitigate the negative long-term effects of

710 feminization when sex reversal ceases. Low v_{YY} can also produce positive effects on

711 population growth during feminization, especially at low rates and high costs of feminization.

712 The figure shows the population census sizes N_c (non-hatched lines) and the genetically

713 effective population sizes N_e (hatched lines) when sex reversal (here only feminization, i.e. q

- 714 = 0) causes no fitness reduction (v_{ESR} = 1; panels A and B) or fitness reductions of v_{ESR} = 0.75
- 715 (panels C and D) or $v_{ESR} = 0.5$ (panels E and F). Feminization is either weak (p = 0.25; panels
- 716 A, C, and E) or strong (p = 0.75; panels B, D and F) during the first 10 generations (q always
- 717 = 0). Feminization ceases from generation 10 on (p = 0). The aberrant YY karyotype either
- 718 causes no additional fitness reduction ($v_{YY} = 1$; thick black lines) or a fitness of $v_{YY} = 0.5$ (thin 710 black lines) or $v_{YY} = 0.6$ (thin grave lines). See Boy 1 for the settings of the model
- 719 black lines) or $v_{YY} = 0$ (thin gray lines). See Box 1 for the settings of the model.





721 722

Figure 3. The effects of environmental feminization and various types of fitness reduction on phenotypic and genetic sex ratio

Feminization reduces the proportion of phenotypic males while it increases the proportion of genetic males. Both effects are dependent on the fitness of YY genotypes (v_{YY}). Low v_{YY} can

significantly reduce the proportion of genetic males, especially so at high rates of

feminization. The figure shows the phenotypic population sex ratio (proportion of males; non-

- hatched lines) and the genetic sex ratio, i.e. the rate of individuals with Y chromosomes
- (hatched lines). The parameter setting are as in Fig. 2, i.e. the fitness effect of sex reversal is
- either $v_{ESR} = 1$ (panels A and B), $v_{ESR} = 0.75$ (panels C and D), or $v_{ESR} = 0.5$ (panels E and F), feminization is either weak (p = 0.25; panels A, C, E) or strong (p = 0.75; panels B, D, F)
- during the first 10 generations, feminization ceases from generation 10 on (p = 0), and the
- aberrant YY karyotype has a fitness of either $v_{YY} = 1$ (thick black lines), $v_{YY} = 0.5$ (thin black
- 735 lines), or $v_{YY} = 0$ (thin gray lines).

736 Supplementary Material

737

738 Demographic and genetic consequences of disturbed sex determination

- 739
- 740 Claus Wedekind
- 741 Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne,
- 742 Switzerland; claus.wedekind@unil.ch
- 743
- 744



- 745 746
- 747

Supplementary Figure S1. The effects of environmental masculinization on demography and genetics.

- The population size (panels A and B) as census sizes N_c (non-hatched lines) and effective
- sizes N_e (hatched lines), and the population sex ratios (panels C and D) when $v_{ESR} = 1$ (black
- lines) or $v_{ESR} = 0.5$ (grey lines), and when masculinization is weak (q = 0.25; panels A and C)
- or strong (q = 0.75; panels B and D) during the first 10 generations. Masculinization ceases
- from generation 10 on (q = 0). Panels C and D give the phenotypic sex ratio (proportion of
- 755 males; non-hatched lines) and the genetic sex ratio, i.e. the rate of individuals with Y
- chromosomes (hatched lines). All other parameters are set as in Fig. 2 (but p = 0).