

Ganoderma sichuanense (Ganodermataceae, Polyporales) new to Thailand

Anan Thawthong^{1,2,3}, Kalani K. Hapuarachchi^{1,2,3}, Ting-Chi Wen¹, Olivier Raspé^{5,6}, Naritsada Thongklang², Ji-Chuan Kang¹, Kevin D. Hyde^{2,4}

1 The Engineering Research Center of Southwest Bio-Pharmaceutical Resources, Ministry of Education, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China **2** Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand **3** School of science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand **4** Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 132 Lanhei Road, Kunming 650201, China **5** Botanic Garden Meise, Nieuwe-laan 38, 1860 Meise, Belgium **6** Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles, Service général de l'Enseignement universitaire et de la Recherche scientifique, Rue A. Lavallée 1, 1080 Bruxelles, Belgium

Corresponding author: Ting-Chi Wen (tingchiwen@yahoo.com)

Academic editor: R.H. Nilsson | Received 5 April 2017 | Accepted 1 June 2017 | Published 7 June 2017

Citation: Thawthong A, Hapuarachchi KK, Wen T-C, Raspé O, Thongklang N, Kang J-C, Hyde KD (2017) *Ganoderma sichuanense* (Ganodermataceae, Polyporales) new to Thailand. MycoKeys 22: 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.22.13083>

Abstract

Ganoderma sichuanense (Ganodermataceae) is a medicinal mushroom originally described from China and previously confused with *G. lucidum*. It has been widely used as traditional medicine in Asia since it has potential nutritional and therapeutic values. We collected 8 specimens of *Ganoderma* species from Thailand and show that they represent the first record of *G. sichuanense* for Thailand. In this paper, we describe our specimens of *Ganoderma sichuanense* based on fresh basidiomes, and provide line drawings and photographs. The data from macro- and microscopic features are consistent with the characteristics of the species. Analysis of ITS sequence data indicates that the Thai collections cluster in same species clade as the epitype of *G. sichuanense*.

Key words

Ganoderma lingzhi, *Ganoderma lucidum*, Phylogeny, Taxonomy

Introduction

The genus *Ganoderma* was established by Karsten (1881) based on *Ganoderma lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst. The genus *Ganoderma* includes the subgenera *Ganoderma* (which in turn includes sections *Ganoderma* and *Phaenema*), *Elfvigia*, and *Trachyderma* (Zhao and Zhang 2000). Many members of this genus are found in subtropical and tropical regions and appear to thrive in hot and humid conditions (Pilotti et al. 2004). *Ganoderma* species grow as facultative parasites of trees but can also live as saprobes on rotting stumps and roots (Turner 1981, Pilotti 2005). Basidiomes are commonly in the form of a bracket (Pilotti et al. 2004). Bioactive compounds from *Ganoderma* show a huge structural and chemical diversity (Deepalakshmi and Mirunalini 2011). These bioactive constituents are reported to be responsible for anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor, anti-oxidant, immunomodulatory, immunodeficiency, anti-diabetic, anti-viral, anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-hypertensive, anti-atherosclerotic, anti-aging, anti-androgenic, hepatoprotective, radical scavenging properties, neuroprotection, sleep promotion, cholesterol synthesis inhibition, preventing hypoglycemia, inhibition of lipid peroxidation/oxidative DNA damage, maintenance of gut health, prevention of obesity, and stimulation of probiotics (Paterson 2006, De Silva et al. 2012a, b, De Silva et al. 2013, Bishop et al. 2015, Hapuarachchi et al. 2016a, 2016b). The traditional taxonomy of *Ganoderma* is based on morphological traits, and the genus was divided into two distinct groups, the laccate (*G. lucidum* complex) and the non-laccate (*G. applanatum* complex) groups, which correspond to the subgenera *Ganoderma* and *Elfvigia*, respectively (Zheng et al. 2007). There are 437 epithets listed in Index Fungorum (2017) for *Ganoderma*, of which 414 are accepted by Species Fungorum in May, 2017) (<http://www.speciesfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp>).

“Lingzhi” is the Chinese name mainly referring to *G. lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst, which has been widely used in China for medicinal purposes for over two millennia (Sliva 2006). However, this species was originally described from Europe (Ryvarden and Gilbertson 1993). Patouillard (1907) reported *G. lucidum* from China for the first time and Teng (1934) described collections of *G. lucidum* from different regions in China. Liu (1974) compiled a monograph of traditional Chinese medicinal fungi, and he reported *G. lucidum* in his book. Since then, *G. lucidum* was accepted as the scientific binomial of “Lingzhi” in many reports on Chinese edible and medicinal mushrooms (Ying et al. 1987, Mao 1998, Dai et al. 2009). Moncalvo et al. (1995) mentioned that *G. lucidum sensu stricto* was distributed in northern and southern Europe, and probably extended to China. However, their further studies confirmed that the species named *G. lucidum* from both Europe and mainland China was not conspecific based on analyses of ITS and 25S ribosomal DNA sequences. Later, other authors (Pegler and Yao 1996, Smith and Sivasithamparam 2000, Hong and Jung 2004) have confirmed the same idea.

Hawksworth (2005) suggested to conserve the name *G. lucidum* for an Asian type and introduce a new name for the European species. Later, it was found that *G. lucidum* from tropical Asia is not conspecific with *G. lucidum sensu stricto*, and not even

conspecific with the real “Lingzhi” distributed in East Asia, and was named *G. multipileum* Ding Hou, (Wang et al. 2009). Cao et al. (2012) named the medicinal species *G. lucidum* from China as *G. lingzhi*. Among the Chinese *Ganoderma* species, *G. flexipes* Pat, *G. multipileum* D. Hou, *G. sichuanense* J.D. Zhao and X.Q. Zhang, *G. tropicum* (Jungh.) Bres. and *G. tsugae* Murrill are the most similar species to *G. lingzhi*. However, the validity of the separation of *G. lingzhi* and *G. sichuanense* has been debated recently. Wang et al. (2012) proposed that ‘*G. lucidum*’ for Chinese species is incorrect and this should be corrected to *Ganoderma sichuanense*. Furthermore, Cao et al. (2012) proposed the name *G. lingzhi* for “Lingzhi” species which has an eastern Asian distribution based on strong morphology and molecular evidence. Yao et al. (2013) proposed *G. lingzhi* and *G. sichuanense* as synonyms based on morphological data from an epitype of *G. sichuanense*. However, Zhou et al. (2015) again challenged this opinion, with *G. lingzhi* and *G. sichuanense* being an independent and taxonomically valid species by stressing that species types depends on their ecological environments. Richter et al. (2015) stated that the new taxon *G. lingzhi* is taxonomically superfluous because the rules of fungal nomenclature require that the oldest valid name of any given taxon should be given preference. In 2016, Mark Stadler annotated this record in Mycobank (<http://www.mycobank.org/>). Now *G. lingzhi* is regarded as a later synonym of *G. sichuanense* in Species Fungorum (<http://www.indexfungorum.org/names/names.asp>) and Mycobank. Despite all this taxonomic work, the Chinese “Lingzhi” has continuously been referred to as *G. lucidum* in monographs of Ganodermataceae in China (Hapuarachchi et al. 2015).

The aim of this study is to report and illustrate the new findings of this medicinal species in Thailand and further, to improve the understanding of species delimitation in the genus *Ganoderma*.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Eight *Ganoderma* specimens growing up from soil were collected in a single site in Mae On District, Chiang Mai Province, northern Thailand (18°52.02'N, 99°18.18'E) during the rainy season between June 2015 and September 2015.

Macroscopic and microscopic characterization

Macro-morphological characters were described based on fresh material, and on the photographs provided here. Colour codes (e.g. 3A3) are from Kornerup and Wanscher (1978). Specimens were dried and placed separately in plastic bags. Material was deposited at Mae Fah Luang University herbarium (MFLU), Chiang Rai, Thailand. Living cultures were not obtained in this study. For micro-morphological examina-

tion, basidiomes were examined under a stereo dissecting microscope (Motic SMZ 168 series) and sections were cut with a razor blade, mounted in 5% KOH, and then observed, measured, and illustrated under a compound microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE 80i) equipped with a camera (Canon 600D). Measurements were made using Tarosoft (R) Image Frame Work v. 0.9.7. At least 20 basidiospores were measured from each mature specimen except for very scanty materials. The basidiospore size was measured both with and without the myxosporium based on those with collapsed apex, but only spore sizes with myxosporium were used for comparisons. The cuticle sections were taken from the mature pileus portion and mounted in Melzer's reagent for observations. In the description of the basidiospores: n indicates the number of spores which were measured; L_m is the mean spore length over a population of spores; W_m the mean spore width over a population of spores; Q the length/width ratio (L/W) of a spore in side view; and Q_m the average Q of all spores measured. The Facesoffungi number is provided as explained in Jayasiri et al. (2015).

DNA Extraction, PCR and sequencing

Dried samples of basidiome were used to extract genomic DNA. Genomic DNA was extracted using an EZgene Fungal gDNA Kit (Biomiga, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. DNA concentrations were estimated visually in agarose gel by comparing band intensity with a DNA ladder 1Kb (Invitrogen Biotech). The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) was amplified using primers ITS5 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). Reaction mixtures (20 μ l) contained 1 μ l template DNA (ca. 10 ng), 10 μ l distilled water, and 1 μ l (10 μ M) of each primer (ITS5/ITS4) and 7 μ l 2 \times BenchTop Taq Master Mix (Biomigas). Amplification conditions were 35 cycles of 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 s, 59 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 s and 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. Amplified PCR products were verified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide in 1x TBE. The PCR products were sequenced by Invitrogen Biotechnology (Beijing).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Other sequences used in the analyses (Table 1) were obtained from GenBank based on ITS BLAST searches in GenBank (Benson et al. 2017) and recently published data. Sequences that had possibly been contaminated by fungi or other unnamed species (such as those with aff. in the species name) were discarded, ambiguous regions were excluded and gaps were treated as missing data in the analysis (Nilsson et al. 2012). 110 strains representing 40 species of Ganodermataceae from Asia, America and Europe were retrieved and those retrieved sequences and the newly generated sequences were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 (<http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html>; Katoh and Standley 2013). The resulting alignment was improved manually when necessary

Table 1. Sequences used in the phylogenetic analysis.

Species	Voucher /strain	Origin	5.8 ITS	Reference
<i>Ganoderma adpersum</i>	ITA 39	Unknown	EF060011	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma adpersum</i>	PF263	Italy	JN176908	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma applanatum</i>	GA165	Unknown	DQ425009	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma applanatum</i>	K(M)120829	UK	AY884179	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma annulare</i>	KCTC 16803	Unknown	JQ520160	Park et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma atrum</i>	7	Unknown	JQ886403	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma australe</i>	HMAS86595	England	AY884184	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma australe</i>	GDGM25831	China	JX195200	Genbank
<i>Ganoderma boninense</i>	WD2085 (FFPRI)	Japan	KJ143906	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma boninense</i>	WD2028 (FFPRI)	Japan	KJ143905	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma carnosum</i>	K(M) 109415	UK	AY884175	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma cupreum</i>	HMAS130804	Australia	JX840345	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma curtisii</i>	CBS 100131	NC, USA	JQ781848	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma curtisii</i>	CBS 100132	NC, USA	KJ143967	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma destructans</i>	CMW43672	South Africa	KR183858	Coetzee et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma destructans</i>	CMW43671	South Africa	KR183857	Coetzee et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma flexipes</i>	Wei5200 (IFP)		JN383978	Cao and Yuan 2013
<i>Ganoderma flexipes</i>	Wei5494 (IFP)	Hainan, China	JN383979	Cao and Yuan, 2013
<i>Ganoderma fornicatum</i>	TN23	India	FJ655476	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma fornicatum</i>	KR20	India	FJ655474	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma fornicatum</i>	BCRC35374	Taiwan	JX840349	Wang et al. 2014
<i>Ganoderma fornicatum</i>	TNM-F0010592	China	JX84034	Wang et al. 2014
<i>Ganoderma fornicatum</i>	TNM-F0009926	China	JX840348	Wang et al. 2014
<i>Ganoderma fulvellum</i>	xsd08051	Unknown	FJ478088	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma gibbosum</i>	XSD-34	Unknown	EU273513	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma hoebnelianum</i>	Dai 12096	China	KU219989	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma hoebnelianum</i>	Dai 11995	China	KU219988	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma leucocontextum</i>	GDGM44303	China	KJ027607	Li et al. 2014
<i>Ganoderma leucocontextum</i>	TL-2013	China	KF011548	Li et al. 2014
<i>Ganoderma lipsiense</i>	FIN 131R610	Unknown	EF060004	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lipsiense</i>	NOR74/67/5	Unknown	EF060002	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	HKAS76642 (Iso type)	Yunnan, China	KC222318	Yang and Feng 2013
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Dai12574 (IFP)	Liaoning, China	KJ143908	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	HSD06B	Taihang mountains, China	KC511557	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Dai3583	China	JQ781868	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Dai12374	China	JQ781867	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Li245	China	JQ781863	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Dai12426	China	JQ781870	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Cui6982	China	JQ781862	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lingzhi</i>	Cui4018	China	JQ781856	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lobatum</i>	JV 0402/24	Unknown	KF605677	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lobatum</i>	JV 1212/10J	Unknown	KF605676	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	Dai11593 (IFP)	Finland	JQ781852	Cao et al 2012
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	K175217	UK	KJ143911	Zhou et al. 2015

Species	Voucher /strain	Origin	5.8 ITS	Reference
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	MT2610 (BRNM)	Czech Republic	KJ143912	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	Dai2272 (IFP)	Sweden	JQ781851	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	HKAS76455	Yunnan, China(Cultivated)	KC222320	Yang and Feng 2013
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	HKAS76643	Yunnan, China	KC222323	Yang and Feng 2013
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	HKAS71088	Yunnan, China	KC222321	Yang and Feng 2013
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	OE-234	India	AY636059	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	GICN04	Italy	AM906058	Guglielmo et al. 2008
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	XZ-G-B	Unknown	HQ235632	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	CSAAS0801	Unknown	FJ940919	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	XZ-G-A1	Unknown	HQ235630	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	CSAAS0801	Unknown	FJ940919	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>	GIT 099	Italy	AM269773	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma mastoporium</i>	CMU-HM1	Thailand	JN643730	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma mastoporium</i>	TNM-F0018838	China	JX840350	Wang et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma mastoporium</i>	Gma-1	Unknown	GU213486	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma multipileum</i>	HMAS242384	Sichuan Province, China	JF915409	Wang et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma multipileum</i>	CWN04670	Taiwan, China	KJ143913	Wang et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma multipileum</i>	Dai9447	Hainan, China	KJ143914	Wang et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma multipileum</i>	DYU	Taiwan, China	KJ868083	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma multiplicatum</i>	URM83346	Brazil	JX310823	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma neojaponicum</i>	ASI 7032	Unknown	JQ520193	Park et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma orbiforme</i>	BCC22324	Thailand	JX997990	Isaka et al. 2013
<i>Ganoderma oregonense</i>	CBS 265.88	OR, USA	JQ781875	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma oregonense</i>	CBS 266.88	OR, USA	JQ781876	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma oerstidii</i>	GO138	Argentina	DQ425011	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma parvulum</i>	URM83343	Brazil	JQ618246	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma parvulum</i>	URM80765	Brazil	JX310822	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma pfeifferi</i>	CBS 747.84	Netherlands	JQ520198	Park et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma pfeifferi</i>	K(M)120818	UK	AY884185	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma pfeifferi</i>	874 (CAS-IM)	Czech Republic	AM906059	Guglielmo et al. 2008
<i>Ganoderma philippi</i>	E7098	Indonesia, Sumatra islands	AJ536662	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma philippi</i>	E7425	Malaysia, Selangor	AJ608713	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma ramosissium</i>	xsd08085	Unknown	FJ478127	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma ramosissium</i>	xsd08032	Unknown	EU918700	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma resinaceum</i>	BR 4150 (Rivoire)	France	KJ143915	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma resinaceum</i>	Gre4	Italy (Modena)	KJ509598	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma resinaceum</i>	CBS 194.76	Netherlands	KJ143916	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2667	Thailand	KY244061	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2668	Thailand	KY244062	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2669	Thailand	KY244063	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2709	Thailand	KY244068	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2670	Thailand	KY404119	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2671	Thailand	KY244064	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2672	Thailand	KY244065	This study

Species	Voucher /strain	Origin	5.8 ITS	Reference
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	MFU 16-2673	Thailand	KY244066	This study
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	CGMCC5.2175 (epitype)	Sichuan, China	KC662402	Yao et al. 2013
<i>Ganoderma sichuanense</i>	Cui 7691 (BJFC)	Guangdong, China	JQ781878	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma sinense</i>	GS175	Unknown	DQ425014	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma sinense</i>	GS92	Unknown	DQ424982	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma subresinosum</i>	T162	Unknown	KJ654376	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma subresinosum</i>	7-SU-3-C-70(M)-B	Indonesia	KJ654472	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma subresinosum</i>	3C-29	Indonesia	KJ654406	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma subresinosum</i>	5-D-3-D-26	Indonesia	KJ654467	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma tornatum</i>	NPG1	Malaysia	KJ767488	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma tropicum</i>	BCRC37122 (TNM)	Taiwan, China	EU021457	Wang et al. 2009
<i>Ganoderma tropicum</i>	He 1232	China	KF495000	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma tropicum</i>	Dai9724	China	JQ781879	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma tropicum</i>	API7	India	FJ491960	GenBank
<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	Dai3937 (IFP)	China	JQ781853	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	12751b (BJFC)	USA(CT)	KJ143919	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	AFTOL-ID771	Unknown	DQ206985	Matheny et al. 2007
<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	Yuan5649	China	JQ781854	Cao et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma tsugae</i>	Dai12760	USA	KJ143920	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma valesiacum</i>	CBS 428.84	USA	JQ520218	Park et al. 2012
<i>Ganoderma zonatum</i>	FL02 (TNM)	USA(FL)	KJ143921	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Ganoderma zonatum</i>	FL03 (TNM)	USA(FL)	KJ143922	Zhou et al. 2015
<i>Tomophagus colossus</i>	TC-02 (TNM)	Vietnam	KJ143923	Zhou et al. 2015

using BioEdit v. 7.0.5.2 (Hall 1999). The Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses were performed using RAxML-HPC2 (Stamatakis 2014) on the CIPRES Science Gateway V. 3.3 (Miller and Blair 2009), with default settings except that the number of bootstrap replicates was set to 1,000. A partitioned model analysis was performed with ITS1+ITS2 and 5.8S. For Bayesian analysis (BY), the GTR+I+G model of nucleotide evolution was selected with the help of MrModeltest 2.2 (Nylander 2004) as the best-fit model and posterior probabilities (PP) (Rannala and Yang 1996) were determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling (BMCMC) using MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). BY analyses were conducted with six simultaneous Markov chains and trees were summarized every 100th generation. The analyses were stopped after 5,000,000 generations when the average standard deviation of split frequencies was below 0.01. The convergence of the runs was checked using TRACER v1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2013). The first 25% of the resulting trees were discarded as burn-in, and PP were calculated from the remaining sampled trees. In both ML and BY analyses, *Tomophagus colossus* was selected as the outgroup. ML bootstrap values and BY posterior probabilities greater than or equal to 70% and 0.95, respectively, were considered as significant support. The phylogenetic tree was visualized with FigTree version 1.4.0 (Rambaut 2012) available at <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>.

Results

Phylogeny

The tree topologies obtained from ML and BY were identical. Therefore, only the ML tree is shown (Fig. 1). Six major clades were identified in *Ganoderma* (Fig. 1). Our eight collections of *Ganoderma sichuanense* from Thailand clustered with all *G. sichuanense* sequences, including the epitype, in a well-supported clade (BS=98%; BPP=1.0).

Taxonomy

***Ganoderma sichuanense* J.D. Zhao & X.Q. Zhang, Acta Mycologica Sinica 2:159. 1983.**

Facesoffungi number. FoF 02721

Ganoderma lucidum sensu S.C. Teng, Sinensia 5: 198. 1934. **Misapplied name.**

Ganoderma lingzhi Sheng H. Wu, Y. Cao & Y.C. Dai, in Cao, Wu & Dai, Fungal Diversity 56, 1: 54, 2012. **Synonymy.**

Description. *Basidiome* annual to perennial, with distinctly contracted base to stipitate, corky, becoming hard corky to woody hard when dry. *Pileus* 4.5–8 cm, up to 0.5 cm thick at the base, dimidiate, subreniform; upper surface when young pale yellow (3A3) to light orange (5A5), becoming brownish orange (7C8) when old, strongly lacate to partly lacate, distinctly concentrically sulcate, distinctly radially rugose. *Spore deposit* usually pale orange; margin abruptly paler, pale yellow, slightly lobate. *Context* duplex, not completely homogeneous in color, greyish orange (5B3) corky; generative hyphae (1.1–1.3 μm diam, colorless, thin-walled; binding hyphae (2.1–3.1) μm in diam., branched, with clamp-connections, skeleton hyphae (3.05–3.1) μm in diam. thick walled, sometimes branched, reddish brown in KOH, dextrinoid. *Pore surface* pale yellow when young, becoming brownish orange (6C4) when old; tubes up to 0.2 cm long in total, pale brown or smoky brown, without context layer between tube layers; pores sub circular. *Basidiospores* with a dark brown eusporium bearing thick echinulae, overlaid by a hyaline myxosporium, (8.2)8.3–9.8(10.2) \times (5.6)5.7–6.8(7.3) μm (with myxosporium), (5.3)6.2–7.5(7.7) \times (4.2)4.2–5.3(5.7) μm (without myxosporium), ellipsoid, $Q_m = 1.37$ ($n = 20$). $L_m = 9.09 \mu\text{m}$, $W_m = 6.27 \mu\text{m}$, *Cutis* 4–12 mm thick, pale brown streaks the cutis, a closely-packed palisade, yellowish brown, clavate terminal elements, about 15–30 μm long. *Stipe* flattened or sub cylindrical to cylindrical, lateral to horizontally lateral or eccentric, (6–9) \times (1.5 along stipe) cm, dark brown (8F5).

Material examined. THAILAND, Chiang Mai Province, Mae On District, (18°52.02'N, 99°18.18'E), eight specimens (MFU 16-2667, MFU 16-2668, MFU 16-2669, MFU 16-2670, MFU 16-2671, MFU 16-2672, MFU 16-2673, MFU 16-2709).




Figure 1. Phylogram generated by maximum likelihood analysis of 5.8S-ITS rDNA sequences. Bootstrap support values for maximum likelihood (in black) greater than 70% and Posterior Probabilities (PP) from Bayesian Inference (in blue) ≥ 0.95 are given above branches. The tree was rooted with *Tomophagus colossus*. The strain numbers are mentioned after the species names. Specimens of the newly recorded species are indicated in red and type specimens are indicated in black bold. (Treebase ID 20740)

Habitat. Rotten wood, in dry dipterocarp forest and in upper mixed deciduous forest and growing up from soil.

Distribution. Tropical and temperate regions of China; Thailand (this study).

Discussion

Ganoderma strains used in this study were clustered in six major clades (*G. applanatum*, *G. sichuanense*, other laccate and non laccate *Ganoderma*, *G. lucidum* species complex, *G. orbiforme* and *Ganoderma* species). Sequences obtained from the eight Thai collections clustered in the well-supported *G. sichuanense* group. The Thai specimens are closely related to the originally described Chinese *G. lingzhi* taxa (Cui 9166, Cui 6982 and Dai 12426) and the epitype of *G. sichuanense* (CGMCC5.2175), forming a monophyletic group with *G. sichuanense* from China with 98% bootstrap support. However, one of the strains of *G. sichuanense* (Cui7691) of which we retrieved a sequence from GenBank clustered in the *G. lucidum* species complex. This strain was most likely wrongly identified. The specimens have been collected at some geographical distance (min. 100 m between two collection points), which makes it unlikely that all come from the same mycelium. Nevertheless it is interesting to note that all new isolates cluster together and could not be segregated based on our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1). Given the phylogenetic results obtained herein where our new collections are found in a clade with *G. sichuanense* – including the type specimen – we believe that it would taxonomically more appropriate to establish them as new records of *G. sichuanense*. Furthermore, the deep nodes are not supported well in the tree, but this does not affect the final conclusions of the study. However, to obtain a better view of the evolution of the genus, a phylogeny with more genes, and in particular single-copy nuclear genes such as *tef1* or *rpb2* would be recommended.

Ganoderma sichuanense was originally described from the Sichuan Province in 1983 and was diagnosed as having a distinctly radially rugose pileus, with a verrucose or tuberculose upper surface; pore surface yellowish when young, becoming brown or black when bruised; and small spores (Fig. 2) distinguished from other *Ganoderma* species (Zhao and Zhang 2000). The size range of basidiospores was described as $(7.4\text{--}9.5 \times 5\text{--}7) \mu\text{m}$ cum myxosp., in the original description (Zhao et al. 1983). Later, this range was updated to $(7.8\text{--}10.4 \times 5.2\text{--}6.4) \mu\text{m}$ cum myxosp. (Zhao et al. 1989, Zhao and Zhang 2000) and $(9\text{--}11.5 \times 6.5\text{--}8) \mu\text{m}$ cum myxosp. (Wang et al. 2012). In this study basidiospores were $(8.2)8.3\text{--}9.8(10.2) \times (5.6)5.7\text{--}6.8(7.3) \mu\text{m}$ cum myxosp., which lies within the range given by the original authors and is not distinct from those of basidiospores found in other specimens. Cao et al. (2012) stated that *G. sichuanense* differs from *G. lingzhi* in its sessile basidiocarps and smaller basidiospores $(7.4\text{--}9.2 \times 5\text{--}6.6) \mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 3). Furthermore, they revealed that the original description was a mixture of *G. sichuanense* and *G. weberianum* especially with the small spores and smooth




Figure 2. *Ganoderma sichuanense* (MFU 16-2668). **A** upper surface **B** lower surface **C** cut side of pileus **D** pore surface **E–H** spore **I** generative hyphae **J** binding hyphae **K** skeleton hyphae. Scale bars: 10 μ m.




Figure 3. Microscopic structures of *Ganoderma sichuanense* (MFU 16-2668) **A** basidiospores **B** hyphae from trama **C** hyphae from context. Scale bars: 5 µm.

or slightly echinulate eusporium. *Ganoderma curtisii*, originally described from North America (Moncalvo and Ryvarden 1997) is a sister taxon to *G. sichuanense* in the phylogenetic estimate. *Ganoderma flexipes*, *G. multipileum* and *G. tropicum* are also closely related with *G. sichuanense* and are reported from China.

Conclusion

Macroscopic, microscopic, and molecular data all confirm that the collections from Thailand belong to *G. sichuanense*. This is the first discovery of the species in Thailand. The study of more collections of this species is needed to better estimate the variability of this taxon.

Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the Science Research Foundation of Guizhou University (No. 201309), the featured microbial resources and diversity investigation in Southwest Karst area (2014FY120100), Thailand Research Fund grant – Taxonomy, Phylogeny and biochemistry of Thai Basidiomycetes (BRG 5580009), Research and research for industries (RRi) (PhD5710036), and Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand, Office of the Higher Education Commission (2559A30762012).

References

- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW (2017) GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45(D1): D37–D42. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1070>
- Bishop KS, Kao CHJ, Xu Y, Glucinac MP, Paterson RRM, Ferguson LR (2015) From 2000 years of *Ganoderma lucidum* to recent developments in nutraceuticals, *Phytochemistry*. Special Issue: *Ganoderma* *Phytochemistry* 114: 56–65. doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.02.015
- Cao Y, Wu SH, Dai YC (2012) Species clarification of the prize medicinal *Ganoderma* mushroom “Lingzhi”. *Fungal Diversity* 56(1): 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-012-0178-5>
- Cao Y, Yuan HS (2013) *Ganoderma mutabile* sp. nov. from southwestern China based on morphological and molecular data. *Mycological Progress* 12(1): 121–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-012-0819-9>
- Coetzee MP, Marincowitz S, Muthelo VG, Wingfield MJ (2015) *Ganoderma* species, including new taxa associated with root rot of the iconic *Jacaranda mimosifolia* in Pretoria, South Africa. *IMA Fungus* 6(1): 249–256. <https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2015.06.01.16>

- Dai YC, Yang ZL, Cui BK, Yu CJ, Zhou LW (2009) Species diversity and utilization of medicinal mushrooms and fungi in China. *The International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms* 11(3): 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushr.v11.i3.80>
- De Silva DD, Rapior S, Fons F, Bahkali AH, Hyde KD (2012a) Medicinal mushrooms in supportive cancer therapies: an approach to anti-cancer effects and putative mechanisms of action. *Fungal Diversity* 55(1): 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-012-0151-3>
- De Silva DD, Rapior S, Hyde KD, Bahkali AH (2012b) Medicinal mushrooms in prevention and control of diabetes mellitus. *Fungal Diversity* 56(1): 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-012-0187-4>
- De Silva DD, Rapior S, Sudarman E, Stadler M, Xu J, Alias SA, Hyde KD (2013) Bioactive metabolites from macrofungi: ethnopharmacology, biological activities and chemistry. *Fungal Diversity* 62(1): 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-013-0265-2>
- Deepalakshmi K, Mirunalini S (2011) Therapeutic properties and current medical usage of medicinal mushroom: *Ganoderma lucidum*. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research* 2(1): 1922–1929.
- Guglielmo F, Gonthier P, Garbelotto M, Nicolott G (2008) A PCR-based method for the identification of important wood rotting fungal taxa within *Ganoderma*, *Inonotus* s.l. and *Phellinus* s.l. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 282(1): 228–237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01132.x>
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In: *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.
- Hapuarachchi KK, Wen TC, Deng CY, Kang JC, Hyde KD (2015) Mycosphere Essays 1: Taxonomic confusion in the *Ganoderma lucidum* species complex. *Mycosphere* 6(5) : 542–559. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/6/5/4>
- Hapuarachchi KK, Wen TC, Jeewon R, Wu XL, Kang JC, Hyde KD (2016a) Mycosphere Essays 7: *Ganoderma lucidum* – are the beneficial anti-cancer properties substantiated. *Mycosphere* 7(3): 305–332. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/7/3/6>
- Hapuarachchi KK, Wen TC, Jeewon R, Wu XL, Kang JC (2016b) Mycosphere Essays 15: *Ganoderma lucidum* - are the beneficial medical properties substantiated. *Mycosphere* 7(3): 687–715. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/7/6/1>
- Hawksworth DL (2005) Reflections on changing names and related nomenclatural issues in edible and medicinal mushrooms. *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms* 7(1–2): 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushr.v7.i12.50>
- Hong SG, Jung HS (2004) Phylogenetic analysis of *Ganoderma* based on nearly complete mitochondrial small-subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycologia* 96(4): 742–755. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2005.11832922>
- Index Fungorum (2017) <http://www.indexfungorum.org> [accessed 10 May 2017]
- Isaka M, Chinthanom P, Kongthong S, Srichomthong K, Choeyklin R (2013) Lanostane triterpenes from cultures of the Basidiomycete *Ganoderma orbiforme* BCC 22324. *Phytochemistry* 87: 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2012.11.022>
- Jayasiri SC, Hyde KD, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat J, Buyck B, Cai L, Dai YC, Abd-Elsalam KA, Ertz D, Hidayat I, Jeewon R, Jones EBG, Bahkali AH, Karunarathna SC, Liu JK, Luangsa-ard JJ, Lumbsch HT, Maharachchikumbura SSN, McKenzie EHC, Moncalvo, JM, Ghobad-

- Nejhad M, Nilsson H, Pang KA, Pereira OL, Phillips AJL, Raspé O, Rollins AW, Romero AI, Etayo J, Selçuk F, Stephenson SL, Suetrong S, Taylor JE, Tsui CKM, Vizzini A, Abdel-Wahab MA, Wen TC, Boonmee S, Dai DQ, Daranagama DA, Dissanayake AJ, Ekanayaka AH, Fryar SC, Hongsanan S, Jayawardena RS, Li WJ, Perera RH, Phookamsak R, de Silva NI, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Wijayawardene NN, Zhao RL, Zhao Q, Kang JC, Promputtha I. (2015) The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts. *Fungal Diversity* 74(1): 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0351-8>
- Karsten PA (1881) Enumeralio boletinearum et polypore arum fennicarum, systemate novo dispositarum. *Revue de Mycologie* 3: 16–19.
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular biology and Evolution* 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Kornerup A, Wanscher JH (1978) *Methuen handbook of colour* (3rd edn.) Methuen. London, England.
- Li TH, Hu HP, Deng WQ, Wu SH, Wang DM, Tsering T (2014) *Ganoderma leucocontextum*, a new member of the *G. lucidum* complex from southwestern China. *Mycoscience* 56(1): 81–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc.2014.03.005>
- Liu B (1974) *The Chinese medical fungi*. Shanxi Peoples Press, Taiyuan, 1–196.
- Matheny PB, Wang Z, Binder M, Curtis JM, Lim YW, Nilsson RH, Hughes KW, Hofstetter V, Ammirati JF, Schoch CL, Langer E, Langer G, McLaughlin DJ, Wilson AW, Frøslev T, Ge ZW, Kerrigan RW, Slot JC, Yang ZL, Baroni TJ, Fischer M, Hosaka K, Matsuura K, Seidl MT, Vauras J, Hibbett DS (2007) Contributions of *rpb2* and *tefl* to the phylogeny of mushrooms and allies (Basidiomycota, Fungi). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 43(2): 430–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.08.024>
- Mao XL (1998) *Economic fungi of China*. Science Press, Beijing, 762 pp. [In Chinese]
- Miller RE, Blair PD (2009) *Input-output analysis: foundations and extensions*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626982>
- Moncalvo JM, Wang HF, Hseu RS (1995) Gene phylogeny of the *Ganoderma lucidum* complex based on ribosomal DNA sequences. Comparison with traditional taxonomic characters. *Mycological Research* 99(12): 1489–1499. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80798-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80798-3)
- Moncalvo JM, Ryvarden L (1997) A nomenclatural study of the Ganodermataceae Donk. *Fungi flora* 10: 1–114.
- Mycobank (2016) Mycobank. www.mycobank.org/ [accessed 16 September 2016]
- Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K (2012) Five simple guidelines for establishing basic authenticity and reliability of newly generated fungal ITS sequences. *MycKeys* 4: 37–63. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.4.3606>
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2.2. Program distributed by the author: 2. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, 1–2.
- Park YJ, Kwon OC, Son ES, Yoon DE, Han W, Nam JY, Yoo YB, Lee CS (2012) Genetic diversity analysis of *Ganoderma* species and development of a specific marker for identification of medicinal mushroom *Ganoderma lucidum*. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 25(6): 5417–5425. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.846>

- Paterson RRM (2006) *Ganoderma* a therapeutic fungal bio factory. *Phytochemistry* 67(18): 1985–2001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.07.004>
- Patouillard N (1907) Champignons du Kouy-tcheou. *Le Monde des Plantes* 2: 31.
- Pegler DN, Yao YJ (1996) Oriental species of *Ganoderma* section *Ganoderma*. In: Wasser SP (Ed.) *Botany and mycology for the next millennium: collection of scientific articles devoted to the 70th Anniversary of Academician Sytnik KM*. Kholodny NG Institute of Botany. National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, 336–347.
- Pilotti CA, Sanderson FR, Aitken AB, Armstrong W (2004) Morphological variation and host range of two *Ganoderma* species from Papua New Guinea. *Mycopathologia* 158(2): 251–265. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MYCO.0000041833.41085.6f>
- Pilotti CA (2005) Stem rots of oil palm caused by *Ganoderma boninense*: Pathogen biology and epidemiology. *Mycopathologia* 159(1): 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-004-4435-3>
- Rambaut A (2012) FigTree version 1.4.0. <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>
- Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ (2013) Tracer version 1.6. University of Edinburgh. <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer> [Accessed on 19.11.2016]
- Rannala B, Yang Z (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of molecular evolution* 43(3): 304–311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839>
- Richter C, Wittstein K, Kirk MP, Stadler M (2015) An assessment of the taxonomy and chemotaxonomy of *Ganoderma*. *Fungal Diversity* 71(1): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61(3): 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Ryvarden L, Gilbertson RL (1993) *European Polypores. (1) Abortiporus – Lindtneria*. *Fungi flora*, Oslo, 387 pp.
- Sliva D (2006) *Ganoderma lucidum* in cancer research. *Leukemia Research* 30: 767–768. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2005.12.015>
- Smith BJ, Sivasithamparam K (2000) Internal transcribed spacer ribosomal DNA sequence of five species of *Ganoderma* from Australia. *Mycological Research* 104(8): 943–951. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756200002458>
- Species Fungorum (2017) Species Fungorum. <http://www.speciesfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp> [accessed 10 May 2017]
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30(9): 1312–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
- Teng SC (1934) Notes on Polyporaceae from China. *Sinensia* 5: 198–200. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
- Turner PD (1981) *Oil Palm Diseases and Disorders*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.1999.00390.x>
- Wang DM, Wu SH, Su CH, Peng JT, Shih YH (2009) *Ganoderma multipileum*, the correct name for ‘*G. lucidum*’ in tropical Asia. *Botanical Studies* 50: 451–458.

- Wang DM, Wu SH, Yao YJ (2014) Clarification of the concept of *Ganoderma orbiforme* with high morphological plasticity. PLoS ONE 9: e98733. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098733>
- Wang XC, Xi RJ, Li Y, Wang DM, Yao YJ (2012) The species identity of the widely cultivated *Ganoderma*, '*G. lucidum*' (Ling-zhi), in China. PLoS ONE 7: e40857. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040857>
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Yang LZ, Feng B (2013) What is the Chinese "Lingzhi"? Mycology 4(1): 1–4. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21501203.2013.774299>
- Yao YJ, Wang XC, Wang B (2013) Epitypification of *Ganoderma sichuanense* J. D. Zhao and X. Q. Zhang (Ganodermataceae). Taxon 62(5): 1025–1031. <https://doi.org/10.12705/625.10>
- Ying JZ, Mao ZL, Ma QM, Zong LC, Wen HA (1987) Icons of medicinal fungi from China, Science Press, Beijing, 1–579. [In Chinese]
- Zhao B, Li X, He R, Cheng S, Wenjuan X (1989) Scavenging effect of extracts of green tea and natural antioxidants on active oxygen radicals. Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics 14(2): 175–185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02797132>
- Zhao JD, Xu LW, Zhang XQ (1983) Taxonomic studies on the family Ganodermataceae of China II. Acta Mycologica Sinica 2: 159–167.
- Zhao JD, Zhang XQ (2000) Ganodermataceae. Flora Fungorum Sinicorum Science Press, Beijing 18: 1–178.
- Zheng L, Jia D, Fei X, Luo X, Yang Z (2007) An assessment of the genetic diversity within *Ganoderma* strains with AFLP and ITSPCR–RFLP. Microbiological Research 164(3): 312–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2007.02.002>
- Zhou LW, Cao Y, Wu SH, Vlasák J, Li DW, Li MJ, Dai YC (2015) Global diversity of the *Ganoderma lucidum* complex (Ganodermataceae, Polyporales) inferred from morphology and multilocus phylogeny. Phytochemistry 114: 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.09.023>