Re-localisation of Microscopic Lesions in their Macroscopic Context for Surgical Instrument Guidance

Baptiste Allain

A dissertation submitted for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** of the **University College London - UCL**

Centre for Medical Image Computing - CMIC Department of Medical Physics and Bioengineering University College London

Submitted in July 2011

I, Baptiste Allain, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the thesis.

Abstract

Optical biopsies interrogate microscopic structure *in vivo* with a 2mm diameter miniprobe placed in contact with the tissue for detection of lesions and assessment of disease progression. After detection, instruments are guided to the lesion location for a new optical interrogation, or for treatment, or for tissue excision during the same or a future examination. As the optical measurement can be considered as a point source of information at the surface of the tissue of interest, accurate guidance can be difficult. A method for re-localisation of the sampling point is, therefore, needed.

The method presented in this thesis has been developed for biopsy site re-localisation during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus. The biopsy site, invisible macroscopically during conventional endoscopy, is re-localised in the target endoscopic image using epipolar lines derived from its locations given by the tip of the miniprobe visible in a series of reference endoscopic images. A confidence region can be drawn around the relocalised biopsy site from its uncertainty that is derived analytically. This thesis also presents a method to improve the accuracy of the epipolar lines derived for the biopsy site relocalisation using an electromagnetic tracking system.

Simulations and tests on patient data identified the cases when the analytical uncertainty is a good approximation of the confidence region and showed that biopsy sites can be re-localised with accuracies better than 1mm. Studies on phantom and on porcine excised tissue demonstrated that an electromagnetic tracking system contributes to more accurate epipolar lines and re-localised biopsy sites for an endoscope displacement greater than 5mm. The re-localisation method can be applied to images acquired during different endoscopic examinations. It may also be useful for pulmonary applications. Finally, it can be combined with a Magnetic Resonance scanner which can steer cells to the biopsy site for tissue treatment.

The patient data collection was undertaken with the ethical approval 08/H0808/08 in the Department of Gastroenterology of University College London Hospitals, UCLH NHS.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors and employers Dr. Richard J. Cook, Dr. Mingxing Hu, and Professor David J. Hawkes. They welcomed me in the department of Biomaterials, Dental Institute, King's College London, and in the Centre for Medical Image Computing, University College London. They encouraged and guided me, but they also helped me find my own solutions and make contributions. They put a lot of effort to correct and to suggest improvements to my publications and my thesis. I am grateful to Dr. Richard Cook who provided me with my salary through the Department of Health, UK, in order to accomplish my work and my thesis and who gave me full access to his Fibered Confocal Microscope (Cellvizio®, Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France).

My acknowledgements go as well to Dr. Tom Vercauteren who helped me find the clinical application of the surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus and who participated actively to the corrections of the publications, to Dr. Laurence Lovat who gave me the patient data that he acquired during the surveillance examinations of Barrett's Oesophagus, and to Dr. Frederic Festy and Julien Festy who assisted me for the preparation of the experiments on phantom and on excised organs of pigs and who gave me access to their optics lab in the department of Biomaterials, Dental Institute, King's College London.

I had also the chance to do some collaborative work with Johannes Riegler, Manfred Junemann Ramirez, Dr. Anthony Price, and Dr. Mark Lythgoe. I would like to thank them for providing me with tissue samples and cells in order to perform microscopic observations with the Fibered Confocal Microscope or for giving me access to the 9.4T MR scanner at the Centre for Advanced Biomedical Imaging, University College London. Our collaborative work resulted in common publications.

I would like to thank all of my colleagues at the Centre for Medical Image Computing, especially Yipeng Hu, Daniel Heanes, and Dr. Xiahai Zhuang, who were open to discussions and who helped me at any stage of my project.

Finally, I would like to thank my family Professor Hervé Allain, Nicole Allain, Pierre-Yves Allain, Bertrand and Jae-Hyun Allain, Solene and Adriano Zammito, my dear Katy Ordidge as well as my friends, in particular Yvan and Lorraine Wibaux, Mathieu Lemaire, Caroline Rodier, Jamie Brothwell, Tom MacDermott, Maria Antonia Gabarro Aurell, and Lehna Hewitt for their encouragements over the last three years.

Table of contents

ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS	6
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	
NOMENCLATURE AND ABBREVIATIONS	

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION: THE NEED FOR ACCURATE RE-LOCALISATIO	N OF
MICROSCOPIC LESIONS IN THEIR MACROSCOPIC CONTEXT AFTER DETECTIO	N BY
OPTICAL BIOPSY	29
1.1 INTRODUCTION	29
1.2 BACKGROUND: DETECTION OF LESIONS STARTING AT THE SUPERFICIAL LAYERS OF TISS	UE BY
OPTICAL BIOPSY	29
1.2.1 Development of cancers	30
1.2.2 In vivo and in situ detection of lesions by optical biopsy	31
1.3 EXAMPLES OF INFORMATION EXTRACTED BY OPTICAL BIOPSY	32
1.3.1 Optical biopsy for the study of the spectrum of light after interaction with the tissue	32
1.3.2 Optical biopsy for the study of the morphology of the cells	32
1.3.3 Detection of lesions based on functional imaging	34
1.4 Motivation	36
1.4.1 Extensions of the field of view of microscopic images	36
1.4.2 Need for accurate re-localisation of microscopic lesions detected by optical biopsy	in the
macroscopic space of the organ of interest	37
1.5 STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION	38
1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	39

	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION	.43
2.2 FIBERED CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY	.43
2.2.1 Confocal microscopy	.43
2.2.2 Fibered confocal microscopy	.45
2.2.3 Experiment: Level of details reached by the fibered confocal microscope	.46
2.2.3.1 Materials and method	.46
2.2.3.2 Results and discussion	.47
2.3 POTENTIAL COMBINATION WITH MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING FOR THE MONITORING OF T	ΉE
DELIVERY OF MAGNETIC CELLS TOWARDS A SITE OF INTEREST	.49
2.3.1 Delivery of cells using a magnetic resonance imaging system	.49
2.3.1.1 Materials and method	.49
2.3.1.2 Results and discussion	. 50
2.3.2 Localisation of the fibered confocal microscope miniprobe in high-field Magne	etic
Resonance images	.52
2.3.2.1 Materials and method	. 52
2.3.2.2 Results	. 53
2.3.3 Tracking of the FCM miniprobe in an MR scanner	.54
2.4 CONCLUSION	.55

3.2 Re-localising microscopic lesions in their macroscopic context	57
3.2.1 Re-localising lesions within a pre-operative image	57
3.2.2 Re-localising lesions in endoscopic images	59
3.2.3 Re-localising lesions in interventional Magnetic Resonance Images	62
3.3 A CLINICAL APPLICATION: DETECTION OF CANCERS IN BARRETT'S OESOPHAGUS	64
3.3.1 Cancers in Barrett's Oesophagus and conventional diagnosis	64
3.3.2 Detection of the biopsy sites in BO by optical biopsy	66
3.3.3 Need for accurate re-localisation of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination	of BO
	67

	0
3.4 COMPUTATION OF A MAPPING BETWEEN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES	69
3.4.1 Endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO	70
3.4.2 Possible mappings	72
3.4.3 Computation of a mapping by recovery of the epipolar geometry	74
3.5 REVIEW OF THE METHODS FOR THE RECOVERY OF THE EPIPOLAR GEOMETRY	76
3.5.1 Endoscope camera calibration and correction of image distortions	76
3.5.2 Feature detection and matching	78
3.5.2.1 Feature trackers: the example of the Lucas-Kanade tracker	78
3.5.2.2 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors: the example o Invariant Feature Transform	f the Scale
3.5.3 Computation of the fundamental matrix	
3.5.3.1 Properties of the fundamental matrix	
3.5.3.2 Computation of the fundamental matrix from a minimal set of matches	85
3.5.3.3 Robust estimations of the fundamental matrix	
3.5.3.4 Optimisation of the computation of the fundamental matrix	91
3.5.3.5 Summary of the computation of the fundamental matrix for a pair of images	
3.6 CONCLUSION	94
3.6 Conclusion HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT	94 CAMERA 95
3.6 Conclusion	94 CAMERA 95 95
3.6 CONCLUSION	94 CAMERA 95 95 95
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT	94 CAMERA 95 95 96 96
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT	94 CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 90
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT	CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 90
 3.6 CONCLUSION	CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 96 91
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT	CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 96 96 9100
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT. 4.1 INTRODUCTION. 4.2 ANALYSIS OF FEATURES IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES 4.2.1 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors. 4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features. 4.2.1 Materials and method. 4.2.2.2 Results. 	94 CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 96 91
 3.6 CONCLUSION	94 CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 91
 3.6 CONCLUSION	CAMERA
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT. 4.1 INTRODUCTION. 4.2 ANALYSIS OF FEATURES IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES 4.2.1 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors. 4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features. 4.2.2.1 Materials and method. 4.2.2 Results. 4.3 ANALYSIS OF THE METHODS FOR THE ESTIMATION OF THE CAMERA MOVEMENT	94 CAMERA 95 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 90
 3.6 CONCLUSION HAPTER 4 FEATURE ANALYSIS IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES AND ENDOSCOPE COVEMENT. 4.1 INTRODUCTION 4.2 ANALYSIS OF FEATURES IN ENDOSCOPIC IMAGES 4.2.1 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors. 4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features. 4.2.2.1 Materials and method. 4.2.2.2 Results. 4.3 ANALYSIS OF THE METHODS FOR THE ESTIMATION OF THE CAMERA MOVEMENT	CAMERA 95 95 96
 3.6 CONCLUSION	CAMERA

9
4.4 CONCLUSION
CHAPTER 5 RE-LOCALISATION OF BIOPSY SITES DURING ENDOSCOPY EXAMINATIONS
5.1 INTRODUCTION
5.2 RE-LOCALISATION PRINCIPLE
5.2.1 Re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines
5.2.2 Limits of the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines due to their uncertainty
5.2.3 Extension of the re-localisation with <i>N</i> epipolar lines
5.3 EXPERIMENT 1: STUDY BY SIMULATIONS OF THE RE-LOCALISATION PRECISION AND BIAS WITH THE
locations of the matches perturbed by a Gaussian noise and with the presence of
OUTLIERS
5.3.1 Method
5.3.2 Results
5.4 Experiment 2: Study of the influence of the angle of the epipolar lines on the
ACCURACY OF THE RE-LOCALISED BIOPSY SITE USING PATIENT DATA
5.4.1 Materials and method
5.4.2 Results
5.5 CONCLUSION
CHAPTER 6 UNCERTAINTY OF THE RE-LOCALISED BIOPSY SITE
6.1 INTRODUCTION
6.2 EXPERIMENTAL AND ANALYTICAL COMPUTATIONS OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF A VECTOR
6.2.1 Confidence ellipse and precision
6.2.2 Experimental estimation of the uncertainty and of the precision
6.2.3 Error propagation for the analytical estimation of the uncertainty
6.3 DERIVATION OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE RE-LOCALISED BIOPSY SITE
6.3.1 Discussion of the hypotheses of the experimental and analytical derivation of the
uncertainty in the case of the re-localised biopsy site computed with N epipolar lines

6.3.2 Analytical estimation of the uncerta	ainty of the biopsy site re-localised with $N > 2$ epipolar
lines	

6.4	EXPERIMENT:	COMPARISON	OF	THE	UNCERTAINTIES	DERIVED	ANALYTICALLY	AND
STA	FISTICALLY BY S	IMULATIONS						138
6	.4.1 Method							139
6	.4.2 Results and	discussion						140
	6.4.2.1 Results of	of the simulations						140
	6.4.2.2 Results of	on patients						143

5.5 CONCLUSION

CHAPTER 7 TEST OF THE RE-LOCALISATION METHODS ON PHANTOM AND PATIENT

DATA	
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Method	
7.3 Results	
7.4 Conclusion	

8.1 Introduction	154

8.2 RE-LOCALISATION WITH AN EM TRACKING SYSTEM	155
8.2.1 Context, hypotheses, and description of an EM tracking system	155
8.2.2 Combination of the EM tracking system with the re-localisation algorithm	158
8.2.3 Computation of $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{EM}}$ during the first step of the hybrid method	160

8.3	3 Experiments and results	161
	8.3.1 Experiment 1: error of an EM tracking system for the determination of the disp	lacement
	and of the orientation of the EM sensor	162
	8.3.1.1 Materials and method	
	8.3.1.2 Results and discussion	164

system	
8.3.2.1 Methods and materials	
8.3.2.2 Results and discussion	
8.3.3 Experiment 3: test of the method on excised organs from pigs	
8.3.3.1 Materials and method	177
8.3.3.2 Results	179
8.4 CONCLUSION	
CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	
9.1 CONCLUSION	
9.2 RE-LOCALISATION IN REAL TIME	184
9.3 BIOPSY SITE RE-LOCALISATION IN A FUTURE EXAMINATION	
9.4 BIOPSY SITE RE-LOCALISATION IN LUNGS AND FUSION OF IMAGING MODALITIES	
9.5 Integration of the re-localisation method in a Magnetic Resonance-gu	JIDED SYSTEM
	190
PUBLICATIONS	191
BIBLIOGRAPHY	

List of Figures

Fig. 1-2: Example of an observation of the oesophageal tissue by OCT and comparison with histologic images: a) corresponds to healthy tissue and shows a regular glandular pattern; b) is the observation of the same piece of tissue by histopathology; c) shows large and irregular glands corresponding to lesions (indicated with the arrows); d) is the observation of the same piece of tissue by histopathology.

Fig. 2-3: a) Structure of the carotid artery: the thickness from the collagen layer to the endothelium layer is approximately 70 μ m, b) whole tissue reconstruction after the scanning with the conventional confocal microscope. The artery was scanned by moving the microscope objective lens. The mosaic shows a series of connected patches. Each patch has been acquired for one position of the microscope objective lens. The reconstructed mosaic is a maximum intensity projection of the slices acquired at each depth of the tissue for each position of the objective lens. The bright green points correspond to macrophages labelled with Invitrogen stain. A repetitive pattern is visible at the junction of the patches: this is due to distortions from the objective lens (Courtesy Manfred Junemann Ramirez)......46

Fig. 2-4: Ex vivo interrogation of the cellular processes involved in the healing in a rat carotid artery wound healing model by conventional confocal fluorescence microscopy (left) and by FCM (right) (Courtesy for images acquired by conventional confocal microscopy Manfred Junemann Ramirez). The inside walls of the carotid artery were damaged in vivo. A fluorochrome was administered after injury to provide macrophage specific fluorescence staining. The macrophages moved to the damaged regions. The artery was excised and scanned under a conventional confocal microscope and a year later by FCM. Both imaging techniques showed similar groups of macrophages (bright green or white dots in the images). The image acquired by conventional confocal microscopy was a z-projection of a xyz tile scan stack. The image resolution was 1.46μm/pixel and the dimensions of one acquired image were 512 pixels x 512 pixels. The resolution of the FCM image was 0.83μm/pixel and its dimensions were 336 pixels x 480 pixels.........47

Fig. 2-10: Miniprobe in an axial view of the MR images: a) Centroid for one slice; b) Intensity of each centroid along the MR image slices.

Fig. 3-3: Second approach for lesion re-localisation: the positions of the miniprobe tip are tracked in the endoscopic images while the endoscope keeps moving. By tracking of the endoscope camera movement in a pre-operative image, the positions of the miniprobe can be recorded in this image. Here the miniprobe is used in combination with the endoscope in order to localise its position within the endoscopic images. If the endoscope movement is tracked in the pre-operative image, the positions of the miniprobe are known in the space of the image.

Fig. 3-4: Illustration of the re-localisation of a lesion detected by optical biopsy in endoscopic images: a) the lesion (indicated by a red point) located at the tip of the optical biopsy miniprobe (blue

Fig. 3-7: Pathology and conventional diagnosis of BO: a) the BO segment is characterised by the replacement of normal squamous epithelium by a metaplastic columnar epithelium; b) during a surveillance examination, biopsies (black crosses) are taken at regular spaces along the BO segment.....

Fig. 3-10: Endoscopic system: a) subsystems of the endoscope; b) description of the endoscope tip.

Fig. 3-12: Red, Green, and Blue (RGB) channels of an endoscopic image: a) acquired endoscopic image, b) R-channel of image a), c) G-channel of image a), and d) B-channel of image a).....71

Fig. 3-13: Epipolar geometry formed by the pair of reference endoscopic image I_1 and of targ endoscopic image T	;et 73
Fig. 3-14: Illustration of the process of SLAM.	73
Fig. 3-15: Pinhole camera model and projection of the biopsy site onto the camera image plane	75
Fig. 3-16: Main steps for the recovery of the epipolar geometry.	76

Fig. 3-19: Detection of SIFT features and computation of their descriptors: a) the scale-space of the image is built: for each octave or downsampling level of the image, the image is repeatedly convolved with a Gaussian kernel. Adjacent Gaussian images are subtracted to generate the Difference of Gaussian images; b) Extrema of the Difference of Gaussian images are detected by comparison of the pixel (marked with X) to its 8 neighbours at the same scale and to its 18 neighbours in the lower and higher scales; c) the descriptor is a window centred on the detected feature. The size of the window is proportional to the scale of the feature and is oriented in the same direction as the feature orientation. The window is divided in 16 regions x 16 regions and for each region, the gradient magnitude and orientation are computed. Regions are stacked into 4 regions x 4 regions in order to build an orientation histogram. The descriptor is a vector whose entries are the orientation histograms

Fig. 4-4: Illustration of a mismatch with the SIFT for the second pair of endoscopic images: a) a feature has been detected in the reference image of the oesophagus at a given scale and with a given orientation; b) zoomed image on the detected feature in a); c) the drawn feature in the target image is the actual match of the feature drawn in a) but the matching process does not match these two features; d) the zoomed image on the detected feature in c) shows that the orientation of the feature is not the same as that of the feature in a); e) the matching process matches the feature in a) with the feature in e) which does not correspond to the same anatomical point; f) zoomed image on the feature detected in e).

Fig. 4-5: Results of the matching of features using the LK tracker for the second pair of endoscopic images acquired during an endoscopy examination for the surveillance of BO: a) a feature (green point) was detected in the reference image and was located at the intersection of blood vessels; b)

Fig. 4-7: Pair of reference and target images for the study of the accuracy of an epipolar line as a function of the number of feature matches: a) the biopsy site was selected in the reference image on a blob at the tissue surface, b) this biopsy site was identified in the target image and is the ground truth.

Fig. 5-6: Creation of a virtual endoscopy scene and generation of the images: a) a virtual 3D surface mimics a tubular organ; b) and c) 3D points are extracted from the surface and a camera is simulated;d) the 3D points are projected onto the image plane of the simulated camera moving along the surface.

Fig. 5-12: Average of the minimum C_{min} of the cost function C used for the computation of the relocalised biopsy site with N epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features. 125

Fig. 5-15: Re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines derived from consecutive images: a) and b) the 2 reference images I_1 and I_2 show the miniprobe in the field of view in contact with the tissue. A mark

Fig. 6-3: Analytical and experimental 99% confidence ellipses for N > 2 epipolar lines in the target image: each row corresponds to a sequence acquired on a patient and presents first the target image with the location of the biopsy site, secondly the analytical ellipse (green) and the experimental ellipse (blue), and finally the KL divergences.

Fig. 7-4: Movement of the epipole in the target image T: figures a), b), c), and d) show the position of the epipole in T derived from a series of consecutive reference images I_i . The epipole moves towards the centre of the image T which is the result of the rotation of the endoscope tip......151

Fig. 8-1: Critical cases for a good performance of the LK tracker: two sequences of endoscopic images aquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) are presented as examples. For each sequence, 3 endoscopic images are extracted to illustrate the problems that may be encountered during endoscopy. For sequence 1 and sequence 2, the oesophagus surface is interrogated by optical biopsy (top row), air/water bubbles may obstruct the endoscope Field Of View (FOV) or the endoscope may move too fast when the miniprobe is removed (middle row), and the endoscopic images are clear again (bottom row).

Fig. 8-3: Description of the EM sensor coordinate system (S, x_s , y_s , z_s) in the EM emitter coordinate system (O, x_{em} , y_{em} , z_{em}) with spherical coordinates: the azimuth ψ , elevation θ , and roll Φ angles. ...158

Fig. 8-4: Hybrid method for biopsy site re-localisation: information from the EM tracking system helps recover approximately the epipolar geometry formed by each pair of endoscopic images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$. This

Fig. 8-9: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.5 for a displacement of 2mm (a), 4mm (b), and 8mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar line derived from the biopsy site in image I (red line) and from the epipolar geometry computed with the EM tracking system only (top row). It also shows the ground-truth position of the biopsy site (green point).

Fig. 8-10: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.3 for a displacement of 2 mm (a), 4 mm (b), and 8 mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar line derived from the biopsy site in image I (red line) and from the epipolar geometry computed with the EM tracking system only (top row). It also shows the ground-truth position of the biopsy site (green point).

Fig. 8-11: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.2 for a displacement of 2mm (a), 4mm (b), and 8mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar

Fig. 9-3: Characterisation of a tumour with various imaging modalities: a) normal cell arrangements at the surface of the lung walls observed with in vivo fibered confocal microscopy are differentiated from b) abnormal arrangements for which the cells look disorganised; c) tumours can also be detected in the pre-operative CT image and under a guidance of the bronchoscope, the endoscopist can perform d) endobronchoscopic ultra sound imaging in order to detect the tumour in vivo and e) to excise tissue for observation under a microscope; f) the tumour can also be observed with optical coherence tomography.

List of Tables

Table 3-1: Minimum number m of matches to draw for the estimation of the fundamental matrix:93
Table 4-1: Percentage of sample observations after feature detection with the LK tracker for various α:
Table 4-2: Percentage of sample observations after feature detection with the SIFT for various α :102
Table 4-3: RMS errors in pixels of the distances from the epipolar lines to the ground-truth of the
biopsy site in the target image T when the lines are computed with LMedS, RANSAC, or MAPSAC:
Table 4-4: Accuracy of the epipolar line as a function of the number of matches in the pair of reference image and target image. 106
Table 6-1: Values of D_{KL1} , and D_{KL2} for re-localisations with 50 epipolar lines for varying standard
deviations of noise on the features (percentage of outliers 30%)143
Table 6-2: Values of D_{KL1} , and D_{KL2} for re-localisations with 10 epipolar lines for varying standard
deviations of noise on the features (percentage of outliers 20%)143
Table 7-1: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines: for each sequence, features
were detected and matched using the LK tracker
Table 7-2: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with several epipolar lines: for each sequence,
features were detected and matched using the LK tracker
Table 7-3: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with several epipolar lines: for each sequence.
features were detected and matched using SIFT.
Table 8-1: Results of the errors between the measured distances and the exact distance (in millimetres)
and between the angles (in degrees):
Table 8-2: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: contrast = 0.5
Table 8-3: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: $contrast = 0.3170$
Table 8-4: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: $contrast = 0.2$

Nomenclature and abbreviations

Transpose operator of a vector or a matrix **m**: tr for **m**^{tr}

Reference endoscopic image acquired for the position i of the endoscope camera centre: I_i

Target endoscopic image acquired for the position T of the endoscope camera centre: T

Biopsy site coordinates in the 3D endoscope camera coordinate system: $\mathbf{P} = [P_x, P_y, P_z]^{\text{tr}}$

Biopsy site coordinates in the 2D coordinate system of \mathbf{I}_i : $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [p_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, p_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}]^{\text{tr}}$

Biopsy site coordinates in the 2D coordinate system of **T**: $\mathbf{p} = [p_x, p_y]^{\text{tr}}$

Epipolar line derived in **T** from the biopsy site $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in \mathbf{I}_i : $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \left[el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_m^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}\right]^{\text{tr}}$

Epipole corresponding to the projection of the centre of the endoscopic camera at position *i* onto the camera image plane acquired for the endoscopic camera at position T: $e^{(I_i)}$

Fundamental matrix from image I_i to image T: $F_{(I,T)}$

9-vector of the fundamental matrix from image \mathbf{I}_i to image \mathbf{T} : $\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$

Translation of the endoscopic camera from the position camera centre *i* to the position camera centre T: $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T})}$

Rotation of the endoscopic camera from the position camera centre *i* to the position camera centre T: $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$

jth feature in \mathbf{I}_i : $\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \left[p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \right]^{\text{tr}}$

Feature in **T** matching $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$: $\mathbf{p}_{j} = [p_{jx}, p_{jy}]^{\text{tr}}$

Epipolar line derived in **T** from the jth feature $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ in \mathbf{I}_{i} : $\mathbf{el}_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} = \left[el_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, el_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, el_{jm}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right]^{\mathrm{tr}}$

Epipolar line derived in \mathbf{I}_i from the feature \mathbf{p}_j in \mathbf{T} matching the jth feature $\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in \mathbf{I}_i : $\mathbf{el}_j = [el_{jx}, el_{jy}, el_{jm}]^{tr}$

Number of matched features between I_i and T: L

Algebraic residual for the match $(\mathbf{p}_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_j)$ to fit the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$: $e_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$

Algebraic residual for the match ($\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}$) to fit the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$: $e^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$

Cost or criterion function to minimise for the determination of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$: $S_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$:

Ground-truth or gold standard position of the biopsy site in **T**: $\mathbf{p}_0 = [p_{0x}, p_{0y}]^{\text{tr}}$

Cost or criterion function to minimise for the re-localised biopsy site determination in T: C

Number of reference images used for the biopsy site re-localisation: N

Hessian matrix computed at the point (x, y): $H_{(x, y)}$

Partial derivative of the function Φ relative to x at the point $(x, y) = (x_0, y_0)$: $\frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial x}\Big|_{\substack{x=x_0 \ y=y_0}}$

Rotation component of the rigid-body transformation matrix from the electromagnetic sensor coordinate system at position S to the endoscope camera coordinate system at position C: M_s^c

Rotation component of the rigid-body transformation matrix from the electromagnetic emitter coordinate system at position **O** to the electromagnetic sensor coordinate system at position $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}_{i}}$: $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{S}_{-\mathbf{I}_{i}}}$

Rotation component of the rigid-body transformation matrix from the electromagnetic emitter coordinate system at position **O** to the electromagnetic sensor coordinate system at position **S_T**: $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{s}_{-T}}$

Barrett's Oesophagus: BO

Lucas Kanade tracker: LK

Scale Invariant Feature Transform: SIFT

Least Median of Squares: LMedS

Random SAmple Consensus: RANSAC

Maximum A Posteriori Sample Consensus: MAPSAC

Root Mean Squared error: RMS

Kullback-Leibler divergence: KL

ElectroMagnetic: EM

Chapter 1 Introduction: The Need for Accurate Relocalisation of Microscopic Lesions in their Macroscopic Context after Detection by Optical Biopsy

1.1 Introduction

'Many important diseases arise from and exist within superficial tissue layers. For example, epithelial metaplasia, dysplasia, and early cancers may be found in luminal organ mucosa, and high-risk coronary atherosclerotic plaques occupy the intima. Finding these lesions can be difficult, however, as they are characterized by microscopic features not visible to the eye and may be focally and heterogeneously distributed over a large luminal surface area. A catheter or endoscope capable of comprehensively conducting microscopy in patients over large surface areas and throughout the entire mucosa or intima could provide new possibilities for early diagnosis and characterization of these prevalent diseases' (Yun et al., 2006).

Techniques for tissue interrogation *in vivo* and *in situ*, termed optical biopsy techniques, have been developed over the last few years. They make use of the properties of light to detect lesions at the superficial layers of tissue (Wang et al., 2004). These techniques have the potential to improve the detection and the localisation of lesions *in vivo*. However, these techniques are considered as a point source of information in the macroscopic context of the surface of the tissue of interest. Therefore, there is a need for accurate re-localisation of the lesions detected by optical biopsy in the space of the body for guidance of biopsy forceps, or surgical instruments, or optical miniprobe to the lesions during the same or a future examination or during a surgery.

This chapter presents a few optical biopsy techniques and reviews the type of information that they return. The motivation of this thesis is stated and the contributions are listed. Finally, the structure of the thesis is introduced and each chapter is summarised.

1.2 Background: Detection of lesions starting at the superficial layers of tissue by optical biopsy

Early detection of cancers is the leading application of optical biopsy techniques. A class of cancers is characterised by malignant surface (skin and epithelium) derived lesions that

intrude upon and destroy adjacent tissues. Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to follow the progression of cancers at an early stage.

1.2.1 Development of cancers

Surface-derived cancers typically follow a development process that starts at the surface of tissues and progresses until they reach the deeper layers containing the blood vessels.

Malignant surface-derived lesions or cancers are characterised by an uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells and are the second leading cause of death worldwide. Colorectal cancer, for example, is the third most common cancer in men and women in the United States (Hsiung et al., 2008). The detection and removal of premalignant polypoid lesions during endoscopy can prevent its development. Nevertheless, there is a risk of missing these polypoid lesions when they are flat or depressed (Hsiung et al., 2008). There is also a high risk that patients with chronic inflammatory bowel disease develop malignancies due to undetected lesions (Hsiung et al., 2008).

These epithelial lesions are typically preceded by a curable, non-invasive stage that progresses without symptoms for several years before reaching an invasive stage (Spence and Johnston, 2001; Abrat et al., 2006; Ruddon, 2007). The lowest cell layer in the epithelium, called basal membrane, is the layer of cells that are dividing to make the skin or mucosa grow and renew (Fig. 1-1). Errors in cell division at this layer can lead, for example, to dysplasias. A dysplasia is an alteration of the epithelium that may progress to invasive malignancy, but that remains confined within the basement membrane of the epithelium. As there are no blood vessels in the epithelium, the anomalous cells cannot spread to other parts of the body. Thus, it is important to detect the lesions at a very early stage before the cancer breaks through the basal membrane to become invasive.

Optical biopsy techniques have been developed for early detection of lesions and several of them are packaged as miniprobes that interrogate the tissue surfaces *in vivo* and *in situ* (Fig. 1-1).

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 1-1: Development of cancer in the epithelium: dysplasias correspond to abnormal cells that grow within the epithelium and that can progress to a cancer.

1.2.2 In vivo and in situ detection of lesions by optical biopsy

A large range of optical endoscopic techniques are being investigated for tissue interrogation *in vivo* and *in situ*. These new techniques employ light to study the morphology or physiology of tissues, aiming to define pre-malignancies for treatment before invasion has begun and to develop non invasive replacements for traditional histological or cytological analyses for pathologies, in particular malignancies (Wang et al., 2004; Lovat et al., 2004). Tissue may be interrogated by optical biopsy with a miniprobe of approximately 1mm in diameter. Some of these new optical techniques analyse the spectrum of light in the interrogated tissue while others return images of the tissue at the cellular level. For most techniques, the miniprobe has to be in contact with the tissue for interrogation.

In vivo fluorescence spectroscopy relies on detecting longer wavelength emissions by molecules excited by shorter wavelengths in order to evaluate the presence of structural proteins in the tissues (Wagnieres et al., 1998). RAMAN spectroscopy studies local vibrational modes associated with chemical functional groups specific to mucosal proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids in order to provide information on the chemical content of tissue and to differentiate the precancers from other tissues (Mahadevan-Jansen, A., et al., 1998). Elastic Scattering Spectroscopy (ESS) studies the backscattering of light in the tissue and is a measurement sensitive to the size and packing of dense sub-cellular components (Lovat et al., 2006). These techniques usually measure the spectrum of a piece of tissue that has an extent of 0.5mm x 0.5mm and are called, therefore, point measurements.

Among the techniques for image acquisitions, Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) derives X-Z section images by scanning an optical beam across the tissue and measuring the phase delay and intensity of backscattered light (Fujimoto, 2003). OCT returns images with resolutions of the order of 10µm (Wang et al., 2004). Optical Frequency Domain Imaging (OFDI) delivers infrared light and collects photons that are backscattered from the refractive index inhomogeneities of microscopic structures (Yun et al., 2006). OFDI returns images with a three-dimensional resolution of approximately 15µm x 15µm x 10µm and is able to image over large tissue areas greater than 25cm² and depths up to 2mm. Finally, tissue imaging at the micrometer resolution is also possible via Fibered Confocal Microscopy (FCM), often employing fluorescence (Wang et al., 2007). This technique is based on the principles of laser confocal microscopy (Denk et al., 1995) and the system contains a miniprobe made up of thousands of optical fibres to guide the laser beam for observations of the tissues *in vivo*. Even if these techniques scan a tissue extent that is usually of the micrometer order, recent developed algorithms have made it possible to build a microscopic

panorama of a region up to 10cm^2 by stitching the microscopic images together (Vercauteren et al., 2005, 2006; Yun et al., 2006).

Currently, the diagnosis of a disease arising from superficial layers of tissue, like cancer, typically requires multiple tissue excisions or biopsies from a suspicious area for histological analysis. As these optical biopsy techniques return information about the structure of tissue at the cellular level or about the presence of chemical constituents, they have the potential to improve the detection of malignancy invisible macroscopically, to guide the biopsy sampling, and to increase, therefore, the accuracy of the diagnosis of the disease (Wang et al., 2004; Meining et al., 2007a; Meining et al., 2007b; Morgner et al., 2007; Pohl et al., 2008; Wallace et al., 2009).

1.3 Examples of information extracted by optical biopsy

Each optical biopsy technique processes in a specific way information from the light which is scattered by the tissue to help make a diagnosis of a disease. Many authors have presented the results of the interpretation of information acquired by optical biopsy for specific clinical applications.

1.3.1 Optical biopsy for the study of the spectrum of light after interaction with the tissue

Spectral analyses of the light within the tissue have found applications mainly for the diagnosis of cancers in gastroenterology.

Wallace et al. (2000) led a study of the classification of tissues in the oesophagus. The tissues were classified based on the corresponding spectra measured by ESS. The results were compared to those obtained during histopathologic examination by logistic regression and cross-validation. The authors demonstrated that dysplasia can be distinguished from healthy tissues. Dysplasia could be detected with a sensitivity and specificity of 90% and 90%. Molckovsky et al. (2003) gave clinical evidence of the potential of Raman spectroscopy with an *in vivo* study for the distinction of polyp types in colon. This technique was shown to have 100% sensitivity, 89% specificity, and 95% accuracy.

These methods have, therefore, the potential to detect very early changes associated with cancer transformation in gastroenterology (Wang et al., 2004).

1.3.2 Optical biopsy for the study of the morphology of the cells

The acquisition of microscopic images *in vivo* and *in situ* has the potential to bridge the gap between non-invasive radiologic techniques that provide wide-field imaging but lack

sufficient resolution for cellular diagnosis and point-sampling approaches such as excisional biopsy (Yun et al., 2006). Many studies have shown that a simple observation of the cellular structure *in vivo* and *in situ* may help detect lesions (Yun et al., 2006; Evans et al., 2006; Thiberville et al., 2007; Morgner et al., 2007; Meining et al., 2007c; Mielhke et al., 2007; Becker et al., 2007; Meining et al., 2008).

Yun et al. (2006) have developed an imaging technology termed OFDI that returns cross-sectional images at the cellular level over large surface areas. They tested their technology on 2 pig oesophagi and acquired large volumes of microscopic images in a 4.5cm-long oesophagus in 5 to 8 minutes. The volumetric renderings depicted the anatomy of the oesophagus and allowed the visualisation of the vascular network supplying the oesophagus. The authors suggested that this technology could be used to identify cancers in the oesophagus. Moreover, Yun et al. (2006) tested their technology on long segments of coronary arteries and showed that lipid-rich plaques responsible of disease in the arteries could be detected before rupture. Evans et al. (2006) focused on OCT and showed with comparisons of histologic observations and OCT images that OCT has the potential to help follow the progression stages of oesophageal cancers (Fig. 1-2).

Thiberville et al. (2007) have analysed the microscopic autofluorescence structure of normal and pathological mucosae using FCM in combination with bronchoscopy. *Ex vivo* and *in vivo* experiments have shown that autofluorescence mainly originates from the elastin component of the basal membrane. Thiberville et al. (2007) have also shown that pre-invasive lesions modify the fibered network in the basement membrane and have concluded that cancer can be diagnosed when there is a disorganised fibered network.



Fig. 1-2: Example of an observation of the oesophageal tissue by OCT and comparison with histologic images: a) corresponds to healthy tissue and shows a regular glandular pattern; b) is the observation of the same piece of tissue by histopathology; c) shows large and irregular glands corresponding to lesions (indicated with the arrows); d) is the observation of the same piece of tissue by histopathology.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 1-3: Example of a) an observation by FCM of the oesophageal tissue stained with cresyl violet and b) comparison of the images with microscopic images acquired by histopathology: FCM shows enlarged pits that characterise lesions in the oesophagus. These pits are similar to those observed by histopathology.

More generally, several authors have demonstrated that an observation with FCM returns cell structures and patterns similar to those observed by conventional histology and that FCM has the potential to improve the diagnosis of a disease during endoscopic procedures (Morgner et al., 2007; Meining et al., 2007c; Mielhke et al., 2007; Becker et al., 2007; Meining et al., 2008). Morgner et al. (2007) have shown that FCM improves the accuracy of the detection of lesions in patients suspected for gastric lymphoma. Meining et al. (2007c) could find similarities in the cell patterns between an observation of the oesophagus tissue by FCM and the excised tissue by histopathology (Fig. 1-3). Mielhke et al. (2007) have shown that a combination of FCM with advanced endoscopes which highlight the vessels in the acquired video images can improve the endoscopic detection of abnormal proliferation of cells or neoplasia in Barrett's Oesophagus. Meining et al. (2008) have shown that FCM contributes to a better detection of neoplasia *in vivo* in the biliary tract. Finally, Becker et al. (2007) have shown that the mosaicing of the microscopic images acquired with FCM can be used to improve the resolution of the images and the accuracy of the diagnoses during colonoscopies.

1.3.3 Detection of lesions based on functional imaging

Some of the techniques for acquisition of images *in vivo* like FCM acquire images in real time. Thus, cell migrations and other dynamic processes can be followed by leaving the miniprobe at a point position of the tissue.

Al-Gubory et al. (2006) have observed the expression of the Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) and its transfers with FCM. They used rabbits expressing the enhanced GFP for an *in vivo* observation of the gene and non-transgenic female rabbits mated with transgenic males to visualise the protein expression in extra-foetal membranes and the placenta. As FCM allowed observations of the GFP expression in the tissues, Al-Gubory et al. (2006) concluded that this technology has the potential to study biological processes in the natural physiological environment of living animals.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 1-4: Uptake of the fluorescein over time in colon during an optical biopsy with *in vivo* FCM: fluorescein moves from the lumen of the crypt to the lamina propria mucosae which is the thin layer of loose connective tissue which lies beneath the epithelium. The fluorescein accumulates into focused points of fluorescence termed vesicles. The time of the uptake of fluorescein in the lamina propria may be an indicator of abnormal tissues.

Wang et al. (2007) have studied the movement of fluorescein from the tissue crypts to the lamina propria surrounding the crypts in colon (Fig. 1-4). The crypt is a pit that extends below the surface of the tissue and that renews the lining of the intestine. Fluorescein is a fluorophore which enables structures to be observed by FCM. Wang et al. (2007) have shown that for a time less than 5 seconds, fluorescein passed through normal epithelium and accumulated into lamina propria, while the passage time was much longer for abnormal tissue. Therefore, the contrast ratio between the mean intensity from the lamina propria to that of a crypt at a time less than 5 seconds was a good discriminator for the detection of abnormal tissues. The contrast value decreased when the tissue presented abnormalities. Thus, a combination of this time with the contrast can be used to distinguish normal from lesional mucosae with FCM.

Hsiung et al. (2008) have synthesized a peptide conjugated fluorescein stain that bound strongly to colonic dysplasia. The migration of this peptide to the dysplasia could be observed by FCM. The benefit of this method is that the specificity of the conjugated peptide highlights only abnormal tissue. Thus, Hsiung et al. (2008) have shown that there was a difference in contrast between normal and abnormal crypts in colon.

Finally, Burstin et al. (2008) have observed the shapes of cells and molecular processes in pancreatic cancer by FCM and have concluded that this technique can improve the diagnosis of malignancies.

1.4 Motivation

Microscopic lesions located in the superficial layers of tissue may be detected *in vivo* and *in situ* by optical biopsy techniques. These lesions may, for example, be in the gastrointestinal, respiratory, or genitourinary tracts. Optical biopsy techniques are typically point measurements of a 0.5mm x 0.5mm tissue extent. They provide all sorts of information at the cellular level, like the density of the cells, their chemical constituents, their shape, or their functionality. It may be necessary to re-localise these microscopic lesions in their macroscopic context for example within the organ of interest in order to guide forceps for tissue excision or other surgical tools for tissue treatment. A method for such a re-localisation needs developing.

1.4.1 Extensions of the field of view of microscopic images

Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to return images of cells which have a diameter of approximately 10µm. The image dimensions are typically 500µm x 500µm. Vercauteren et al. (2006 and 2008) and Yun et al. (2006) addressed the issue of finding these cells again after a first observation. To solve this problem, they developed methods to build up an entire panorama of microscopic images. Yun et al. (2006) developed an optical biopsy technique, OFDI, for the reconstruction of the volume of microscopic structures of organs (Fig. 1-5 a)). Their method allowed, for example, for the reconstruction of a segment of 4.5cm of a pig oesophagus and a segment of 60mm of a pig artery. Vercauteren et al. (2006) suggested a method for the construction of a 2D mosaic from a set of successive microscopic images acquired by FCM (Fig. 1-5 b)).

Such panoramas give a wider Field Of View (FOV) of the scanned tissue and have the advantage of indicating the location of a cell with regard to the other cells. During clinical practice, the operator can use the panorama which is updated in real-time to provide assistance when the operator moves the miniprobe and to observe again the cells of interest.

Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to improve the detection and localisation of lesions and guide surgical instruments towards these lesions for tissue sampling or treatment during the same or a future examination. Methods for reconstruction of mosaics or volumes of microscopic images as developed by Vercauteren et al. (2006 and 2008) and Yun et al. (2006) are limited to the real-time guidance of the miniprobe and do not allow for the guidance of other instruments to the lesions. These two authors mentioned, therefore, that these microscopic images or these extensions of FOV have to be placed in their macroscopic context, for example, in the volume of the organ.
Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 1-5: Reconstructions of a larger field of view of microscopic images: a) images acquired by OFDI are stitched together in 3D in order to derive an entire volume of the scanned organ; b) images acquired by FCM are stitched together in order to derive a 2D mosaic.

1.4.2 Need for accurate re-localisation of microscopic lesions detected by optical biopsy in the macroscopic space of the organ of interest

Optical biopsy techniques can be considered as a point source of information within the conventional 3D medical image and can return a spectrum of light or an image of the cells at the microscopic resolution. For image-based techniques, the FOV can be extended in order to localise microscopic lesions within the whole scanned tissue. However, once the lesions have been detected at the tissue surface, they need to be treated directly or during a future examination.

Section 1.3 Examples of information extracted by optical biopsy' concluded that optical biopsies are mainly used for *in vivo* tissue classification in order to detect and localise lesions at an early stage, for example, in the oesophagus (Lovat et al., 2004; Meining et al., 2007c; Pohl et al., 2008), in the colon (Molckovsky et al., 2003; Dahr et al., 2006), or in the lung (Colt et al., 2010; Thiberville and Salaun, 2010). Optical biopsies have the potential to decide when a physical biopsy is needed, but their locations need to be identified in the space of the organ of interest for forceps guidance or for surgical instrument guidance for tissue excision, for example polyp removal, during the same examination (Wang et al., 2004; Meining et al., 2004; Meining et al., 2007c; Morgner et al., 2007; Pohl et al., 2008; Wallace et al., 2009).

After a first examination during which biopsy sites were detected by optical biopsy, physical biopsies are analysed by histopathology in order to make the diagnosis. In case the diagnosis of a disease is positive, the tissue extent from which the physical biopsy was taken can be treated or entirely removed by surgery weeks after the first examination (Botoman et al., 1994; British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005). There is, therefore, a need for relocalisation of the lesions detected by optical biopsy for the guidance of either surgical instruments or of treating drugs towards to the lesions during a future examination or surgery.

Finally, patients who have been diagnosed with a disease after a first examination and afer histopathology may enter a surveillance examination process in order to follow the progress of the lesions (British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005). In the oesophagus, for example, such a process follows up the persistence, regression, or progression of dysplasia. This process requires repetition of endoscopy examinations at regular time intervals over years. During future examinations, it may be useful to perform optical biopsies and to take physical biopsies at the same location as those which were done during a previous examination and which confirmed the diagnosis of a disease. Thus, there is a need for relocalisation of the lesions in the space of the organ during future examinations for guidance of an optical miniprobe.

After an optical biopsy performed during a first examination, the detected lesions have to be re-localised in their macroscopic context in order to perform:

- physical biopsies
- or tissue removal
- or tissue treatment

during the same examination, during a future examination, or during surgery.

1.5 Statement of contribution

This thesis presents a method to re-localise lesions in their macroscopic context. It focuses on the re-localisation of biopsy sites in endoscopic images during the same examination for instrument guidance towards the sites detected by optical biopsy. Instruments can be forceps, or surgical instruments for tissue removal, or the optical miniprobe for a new observation of the tissue, or any other instrument for tissue treatment. In this thesis, images acquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) are used to test the re-localisation method. Nevertheless, this method intends to be applied to any other endoscopy, especially for colonoscopic or pulmonary examinations. This thesis made three main contributions:

The first contribution is presented in Chapter 5 'Re-localisation of Biopsy Sites during Endoscopy Examinations'. Given the location of the biopsy site in reference endoscopic images, the re-localised biopsy site is computed in a future target endoscopic image using the epipolar geometries formed between the target image and each reference image. The locus of the possible re-localised biopsy sites can be found using the known location of the biopsy site in each reference image and the corresponding epipolar geometry. The re-localised biopsy site is computed at the intersection of the loci. The method for the re-localisation using two reference images and a target image was found with the help of Dr. Mingxing Hu. This method has the advantage of using endoscopic images only while many systems for guidance of instruments to a lesion made use of a 3D reconstruction of the organ derived from pre-operative Computed Tomography or Magnetic Resonance images (Helferty et al.,

2007; Mori et al., 2005-2008). Furthermore, this method represents a contribution to the computer vision field since it solves the point tracking problem in video images of anatomical regions where traditional camera and point tracking methods fail.

- The re-localisation method returns a point corresponding to the re-localised biopsy site in the target image determined with uncertainty. In practice, the endoscopist may need the display of a confidence region around the re-localised biopsy site for instrument guidance. The second contribution of this thesis presented in Chapter 6 'Uncertainty of the Re-localised Biopsy Site' is the analytical computation of this confidence region. This computation propagates the error of the estimations of the epipolar geometries to the re-localised biopsy site. The implicit function theorem is applied for the analytical propagation of the error. This analytical derivation was inspired from the computation of the uncertainty of an epipolar geometry presented by Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998), but the authors did not aim to develop the derivation for the case of a point computed from a set several epipolar geometries. Thus, the analytical computation of this thesis.
- During endoscopy, especially gastroscopies, the camera may move quickly and return blurred images or air/water bubbles may obstruct the camera Field Of View (FOV). For these critical cases, the re-localisation method is combined with an ElectroMagnetic (EM) tracking system in order to recover accurate epipolar geometries and, therefore, accurate re-localised biopsy sites. This last contribution is presented in Chapter 8 'Combination of an Electromagnetic Tracking System with the Re-Localisation Method'. Previous work, such as the study of Mori et al. (2005), has been limited to a demonstration of the contribution of an EM tracking system to the accuracy of registration algorithms for pulmonary applications, and the authors did not aim to demonstrate that the EM tracking system can also help improve the accuracy of the computed epipolar geometry.

1.6 Structure of the thesis

Cancers as well as other important diseases start at the superficial layers of tissue. Malignant cells grow in the epithelium before breaking the basal membrane to reach the blood vessels and spreading to other regions of the body. The process of development of cancers and other surface-derived diseases is typically characterised by microscopic features invisible macroscopically. This first chapter reviewed the potential contribution of optical biopsy techniques to the detection of these microscopic processes *in vivo* and to early diagnosis of

the corresponding diseases. These techniques are especially useful for the detection and localisation of early cancers in gastroenterology and for the guidance of tissue sampling or biopsies for analysis by histopathology.

Optical biopsy techniques are typically point-sampling measurements. They interrogate a 0.5mm x 0.5mm tissue extent and make use of the properties of light to return information which can be an image of the microscopic structures or the spectrum of the returned light for point analysis (Wang et al., 2004). The clinician, for example, may want to go back to the location of the lesion detected by optical biopsy for re-interrogation of the tissue or for taking a biopsy or for treatment during the same or a future examination. Therefore, a method for the re-localisation of the detected lesions in the macroscopic space of the organ needs developing.

As optical biopsy techniques are novel technologies, especially Fibered Confocal Microscopy which has been the technique of interest for this thesis, a study of this technique was done in Chapter 2 'Initial Pilot Work to Assess *the In* Vivo Use of the Fibered Confocal Microscope and its Use in Combination with MRI'. It aims to emphasize the importance for accurate re-localisation of biopsy sites. It also investigates through the results of initial experiments the potential application of optical biopsy techniques for tissue treatment in combination with a Magnetic Resonance (MR) scanner.

Chapter 3 'Literature Review: Possible Approaches for Biopsy Site Re-localisation and Application for the Surveillance Examination of Barrett's Oesophagus' presents and discusses possible routes for re-localising a lesion in a macroscopic image. Three main routes were identified. The first route is a combination of endoscopic images with a pre-operative Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance (MR) image and with positional sensors such as an EM tracking system. The second and third routes make use of respectively endoscopic images only and interventional MR images only. The choice of the route, however, depends on the clinical application since it constrains the use of imaging systems and other sources of information. As discussed in this current chapter, the optical biopsy techniques are mainly used clinically in the oesophagus for the detection of dysplasias invisible in the macroscopic endoscopic images. Thus, the clinical application discussed in this thesis is in gastroenterology and the method was developed for the re-localisation of biopsy sites in endoscopic images only acquired during the same examination. The method makes use of epipolar geometry which returns information about the rotations and translations of a camera from one pose to another (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004).

The epipolar geometry formed by a pair of reference images where the biopsy site location is known and a target image where the re-localised biopsy site needs to be computed is described algebraically by a mapping which transforms the biopsy site in the reference image as a line termed the epipolar line in the target image. This line indicates the locus of the possible re-localised biopsy sites. Chapter 4 'Feature Analysis in Endoscopic Images and Endoscope Camera Movement' discusses the algorithms for accurate computation of an epipolar line.

At least two reference images are necessary for the biopsy site re-localisation with epipolar lines. Methods for re-localisations with $N \ge 2$ epipolar lines are presented in Chapter 5 'Re-localisation of Biopsy Sites during Endoscopy Examinations'. Results of experiments by simulations and with real data discuss the influence of the noise in the endoscopic images, of the accuracy of the epipolar lines, and of the angle the lines subtend on the re-localised biopsy site.

A confidence region can be drawn in the endoscopic images around the re-localised biopsy site using its uncertainty or covariance matrix. Chapter 6 'Uncertainty of the Relocalised Biopsy Site' demonstrates that this matrix can be computed experimentally which requires a lot of estimations of the re-localised biopsy site in one target image or analytically which requires one estimation only but which returns an approximation of the covariance matrix. The two computations were compared by simulations and with real patient data.

Chapter 7 'Test of the Re-localisation Methods on Phantom and Patient Data' presents the results of the biopsy site re-localisations on data acquired on a phantom and patients undergoing an endoscopic examination for the surveillance of Barrett's Oesophagus.

The computation of the epipolar geometry is based on the detection of features in the reference image and in the target image and on their matching. Features can be detected in the reference image and tracked through the consecutive images until the target image using the Lucas Kanade (LK) tracker. This returns matched features. Another method is the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT). Features are detected independently in the images and matched using the similarity of their gradient neighbourhoods. As features correspond to vessel intersections, their neighbourhoods look similar and the matching of the SIFT features may return a lot of wrong matches. These wrong matches affect the accuracy of the epipolar geometry, of the epipolar lines, and of the re-localised biopsy site. Thus, the LK tracker is preferred since it preserves the spatial arrangement of features by tracking them through consecutive endoscopic images. For some endoscopic sequences in the oesophagus, images can be blurred due to a quick camera movement or air/water bubbles may obstruct the FOV of the endoscope camera. In these critical cases, SIFT features are detected and matched instead of LK features. Chapter 8 'Combination of an Electromagnetic Tracking System with the Re-Localisation Method' studies the contribution of an EM tracking system to improve

the matching process of SIFT features and to increase the proportion of good matches. The EM tracking system constrains spatially the feature matching. This chapter presents also the results of the method on excised tracheas and bronchus from pigs.

Chapter 9 'Conclusion and Future Work' presents extensions and new applications of the re-localisation method developed earlier in the thesis. The implementation of the relocalisation method in real-time is discussed. Approaches for optical biopsy miniprobe segmentation in video images and the implementation of feature detection and matching techniques using a graphical processing unit (GPUs) are presented. Preliminary results present also the biopsy site re-localisation given reference endoscopic images acquired during a first examination and target images acquired during a second examination a few months later. A workflow for the use of the re-localisation method in the lungs is introduced. Finally, a system that would combine cell delivery using the magnetic forces from an MR scanner with biopsy site re-localisation in endoscopic images is described.

Chapter 2 Initial Pilot Work to Assess the *In Vivo* Use of the Fibered Confocal Microscope and its Use in Combination with MRI

2.1 Introduction

Optical biopsy techniques can be used for the detection of lesions at an early stage. Once detected, these lesions need to be re-localised in their macroscopic context. This chapter introduces a series of preliminary experiments that motivate the importance of the re-localisation. A first part focuses on the use of the fibered confocal microscope that was the optical biopsy technique of interest for this thesis and on its ability to identify cells of dimensions of the order of 10µm. The second part demonstrates that the fibered confocal microscope can be used to monitor the delivery of cells of dimensions of 10µm towards a site of interest in an organ, for example. If labelled magnetically, these cells can be delivered using the gradient forces derived from a Magnetic Resonance (MR) scanner coil. Such an application motivates the need for the development of a method to localise the tip of the miniprobe of the fibered confocal microscope in an MR scanner.

2.2 Fibered Confocal Microscopy

2.2.1 Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy is an imaging technique for the acquisition of thin optical sections out of thick fluorescent specimens (Denk et al., 1995). It allows reconstructions of 3D views of thick tissue at very high resolutions by scanning a specimen point by point along its surface at different depths. Laser is usually chosen as the light source as it produces an intense monochromatic light. It is common to use the fluorescence imaging mode in confocal microscopy. A photon emitted by the laser beam excites the tissue molecules stained with fluorophores which triggers the emission of another photon at a different wavelength.

The process of imaging the tissue consists of scanning it point by point. The laser is focused by an objective lens into a small focal volume on the tissue in the focal plane (Fig. 2-1 a)). Fluorescence light is emitted by the illuminated point and is mixed with the reflected light of the laser beam. Both lights are uncorrelated and have different spectra. Therefore, a beam splitter is placed in the system in order to reflect the fluorescent light only towards the

detector. An aperture in front of the detector lets the fluorescence light pass through only if it comes from a focused point of the specimen (Fig. 2-1 b)). Light that comes from an out-of-focus point is obstructed (Fig. 2-1 c) and d)). The signal measured at the detector is proportional to the fluorophore concentration and corresponds to only one pixel in the reconstructed image. Thus, a complete image is obtained by scanning the tissue in the lateral plane for 2D imaging and in the axial plane for 3D imaging.



Fig. 2-1: A confocal microscope: a) the laser is focused by an objective lens into a small focal volume on the tissue in the focal plane. The fluorescence light from a focused point in the focal plane b) is reflected with the beam splitter and passes through the aperture of the detector. Fluorescence light from a point which is not focused, c) and d), is blocked by the aperture: out-of-focus light is, therefore, obstructed.

2.2.2 Fibered confocal microscopy

Optical fibres can replace the objective lens of a conventional confocal microscope for remote laser delivery in order to perform optical biopsies. Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, developed such a system called Cellvizio®, a fibered confocal microscope that is usually used in combination with a widefield endoscope. This system has been designed such that individual cells can be distinguished; therefore, the resolution of the system must not exceed a few microns (Vercauteren, 2008).

The fibered confocal microscope is made up of a Laser Scanning Unit (LSU) which generates a laser beam at a wavelength of 488nm (Fig. 2-2 a)). The laser passes through each individual fibre of a miniprobe which is made up of tens of thousands of optical fibres (Fig. 2-2 a) and b)). The laser beam from an optical fibre hits the biological tissue and fluorescent light is emitted as well as a reflected laser beam. Only the mixture of lights coming from the focal point passes through the optical fibre which has, therefore, the role of an aperture as well. A dichroic filter filters wavelengths between 505nm and 700nm to keep only the fluorescence light and send it to the detector. In order to build a whole image of the tissue with typical dimensions of $500\mu m \times 500\mu m$, the laser beam is oriented to each individual optical fibre with a set of two mirrors which rotate in two directions.

The raw image at the detector shows a strong honeycomb pattern, which makes it hard to read by the user. A calibration step segments first the fibres to return a mapping between the fibres and the sampling points of the raw image. Each sampling point corresponds to a centre of a fibre. Secondly, the calibration step helps recover the background signal I_b , and the signal for each fibre I_s , and estimate the true signal $I_{restored}$ for each fibre (Le Goualher et al., 2004, and Perchant et al., 2005). The final image is reconstructed on a regular grid by Gaussian weighting (Vercauteren et al., 2006).

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 2-2: The fibered confocal microscope by Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris: a) the system is made up of a laser scanning unit and a miniprobe formed by tens of thousands of optical fibres; b) a laser beam is sent to each individual optical fibre of the miniprobe for imaging one point of the fluorescent tissue. A raw image of $500\mu m \times 500\mu m$ is reconstructed at the detector.



Fig. 2-3: a) Structure of the carotid artery: the thickness from the collagen layer to the endothelium layer is approximately 70 μ m, b) whole tissue reconstruction after the scanning with the conventional confocal microscope. The artery was scanned by moving the microscope objective lens. The mosaic shows a series of connected patches. Each patch has been acquired for one position of the microscope objective lens. The reconstructed mosaic is a maximum intensity projection of the slices acquired at each depth of the tissue for each position of the objective lens. The bright green points correspond to macrophages labelled with Invitrogen stain. A repetitive pattern is visible at the junction of the patches: this is due to distortions from the objective lens (Courtesy Manfred Junemann Ramirez).

2.2.3 Experiment: Level of details reached by the fibered confocal microscope

Fibered Confocal Microscopy (FCM) returns images with typical dimensions of 500µm x 500µm. The purpose of this experiment was to determine the detail level that can be observed in the images. To this aim, observations of a tissue by FCM and by conventional confocal microscopy were compared. This experiment was done as part of a collaborative work with Manfred Junemann Ramirez at University College London who prepared the experiment and who ran the observation with the conventional confocal microscope.

2.2.3.1 Materials and method

For this experiment, a carotid artery of a rat was damaged *in vivo* using a balloon catheter. The damage provoked an agglomeration of the macrophages around it. A fluorescent stain (Invitrogen) was injected into the artery of the rat to bind to the macrophages. This stain emits fluorescence for a 488nm excitation. Once the injection was done, the artery was taken away for microscopic scanning with both a conventional confocal microscope and a fibered confocal microscope.

The scanning with the conventional confocal microscope returned images of the tissue at a depth of $45\mu m$ from the endothelial surface to the inner tissue (the tissue was approximately 70 μm thick). The artery structure is presented in Fig. 2-3 a). The carotid artery

images had a resolution of 1.46μ m/pixel and can be presented by the maximum intensity projection of a series of slices acquired at various depths into the tissue for each position of the microscope objective lens, stitched together to create a whole mosaic image of the tissue as shown in Fig. 2-3 b). This mosaic could be used as a map for the scanning during FCM. The macrophages appear as points with the brightest intensities in the mosaic (Fig. 2-3 b)). A 650 μ m diameter miniprobe was used for the scanning with a fibered confocal microscope and images with a pixel resolution of 0.83 μ m/pixel were obtained.

Once the corresponding images were acquired, they were visually matched to the same region in the mosaic. The matching process was based on the recognition of the macrophages arrangement in the microscopic image acquired during FCM and the corresponding mosaic patch coming from conventional confocal microscopy.

2.2.3.2 Results and discussion



Fig. 2-4: *Ex vivo* interrogation of the cellular processes involved in the healing in a rat carotid artery wound healing model by conventional confocal fluorescence microscopy (left) and by FCM (right) (Courtesy for images acquired by conventional confocal microscopy Manfred Junemann Ramirez). The inside walls of the carotid artery were damaged *in vivo*. A fluorochrome was administered after injury to provide macrophage specific fluorescence staining. The macrophages moved to the damaged regions. The artery was excised and scanned under a conventional confocal microscope and a year later by FCM. Both imaging techniques showed similar groups of macrophages (bright green or white dots in the images). The image acquired by conventional confocal microscopy was a z-projection of a xyz tile scan stack. The image resolution was 1.46µm/pixel and the dimensions of one acquired image were 512 pixels x 512 pixels. The resolution of the FCM image was 0.83µm/pixel and its dimensions were 336 pixels x 480 pixels.

The carotid artery was scanned by FCM one year after the scanning with the conventional confocal microscope. The artery was unfolded, hydrated and scanned from one extremity to the other. The dimensions of the FCM images were 336pixels x 480pixels with a pixel resolution of 0.83μ m/pixel. Some microscopic images showed bright points, whose dimensions were about 10 μ m and whose arrangement was similar to that of the bright points (macrophages) in the mosaic (Fig. 2-4). The shape of the bright points helped also recognise the corresponding mosaic patch.

This study showed that the scanning with a fibered confocal microscope allows observations of cells with a diameter of approximately 10µm. Many macrophages could not, however, be seen in the images acquired by FCM. The macrophages had been scanned a first time with a conventional confocal microscope. The interaction of the laser beam with the fluorescent region had already induced a loss of fluorescence. Moreover, the scanning of the tissue with the fibered confocal microscope was performed a year later. Thus, macrophages could have lost their fluorescence in the mean time. Finally, they were scanned several times with the miniprobe, which induced the same interaction effect as that with the conventional confocal microscope and showed that a few macrophages lost their fluorescence while the miniprobe was sweeping the corresponding region (Fig. 2-5). Thus, the lack of fluorescence seemed to be the likeliest reason for not observing macrophages.

In conclusion, an observation of fluorescent structures by FCM returns similar results as an observation by conventional confocal microscopy. Cells with a diameter of the order of $10\mu m$ are identifiable by FCM. Thus, the accuracy of the re-localisation technique has to be of the order of $10\mu m$. The next part presents a potential application of FCM and of the re-localisation of a biopsy site.



Fig. 2-5: Fluorescence loss of macrophages during the scanning with the fibered confocal microscope (macrophages are surrounded by a red ring from a) to b)).

2.3 Potential combination with Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the monitoring of the delivery of magnetic cells towards a site of interest

2.3.1 Delivery of cells using a magnetic resonance imaging system

A collaborative work with Riegler et al. (2010) demonstrated that cell delivery to specific sites for tissue treatment could be done using Magnetic Resonance Targeting (MRT). This delivery could be monitored using FCM. Johannes Riegler performed the MRT part of the study. My contribution was to help set up the experiment with the fibered confocal microscope and run the image acquisition. This section summarises the experiment.

2.3.1.1 Materials and method

Johannes Riegler's idea consisted of using the force generated from the MR imaging coils to form aggregates of magnetically labelled cells and to steer them. His aim was to demonstrate with an experiment combining Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and FCM that when injected through a tube placed in the permanent magnetic field of the MR scanner:

- The cells tend to form aggregates due to the dipole-dipole interactions.
- The cells tend to move along one direction which changes when a gradient is applied.

This experiment and the results were published in Riegler et al. (2011).

This principle was demonstrated using a phantom made up of pipes whose internal diameter was 0.8mm designed by Johannes Riegler (Fig. 2-6 a)). Cells had a diameter of 10µm and were magnetically labelled. Given that their diameter is similar to that of the macrophages studied in the previous section '2.2.3 Experiment: Level of details reached by the fibered confocal microscope', these cells could be observed by FCM after staining with a fluorophore. The miniprobe of the fibered confocal microscope was, therefore, placed in the phantom pipe and held tightly in order to acquire microscopic images at this position only (Fig. 2-6 a)). The miniprobe was an MR-compatible probe of 7m long and 650µm diameter. A 7m miniprobe was used in order to leave the fibered confocal microscope outside the MR scanner room. The whole setup was introduced into the isocentre of a 9.4T MR scanner (VNMRS, Varian, Inc. Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a bore of 60mm diameter (Fig. 2-6 b)). Microscopic images were acquired with the fibered confocal microscope. Cells were in a serum solution and were flushed into the phantom pipe using an infusion pump in order to give them an initial speed. Control microscopic images were acquired when the setup was outside the MR scanner. When the setup was inside the scanner, the cells were flushed at different speeds to assess the impact of the flow velocity on the size of the aggregates. Finally, the gradient was applied for short periods in order to change the direction of the cells.



Fig. 2-6: Experimental setup: a) the phantom was made up of a pipe of internal diameter 0.8mm. The FCM miniprobe was placed in the pipe for the acquisition of microscopic images; b) the whole setup was placed into the bore of a 9.4T MR scanner of diameter 60mm.

2.3.1.2 Results and discussion

The control experiment showed that in absence of magnetic field, the cells did not aggregate: Fig. 2-7 a) shows this effect. When the setup was placed into the MR scanner, cells tended to aggregate. For an injection speed of 1cm per second, aggregates contained 20 to 80 cells (Fig. 2-7 b)). For an injection speed of 3cm per second, aggregates contained 2 to 10 cells (Fig. 2-7 c)). Besides, the results of the experiment tended to show that cells followed a main direction when they were flushed in the permanent magnetic field of the MR scanner (Fig. 2-8). The additional magnetic force had the expected effect of changing the direction of the cells (Fig. 2-9).

This experiment proved that FCM can be used to control the delivery of cells at a specific location when they are labelled magnetically and stained with a fluorophore. The results of this experiment and the application of cell delivery control motivated the need for the development of a method to localise the tip of the FCM miniprobe in an MR scanner.



Fig. 2-7: Cell aggregation: a) control image: the cells (bright points) did not aggregate since the setup was not inserted into the MR scanner; b) image acquired for an injection speed of 1cm per second: the cells aggregated by groups of 20 to 80 cells since the setup was inserted into the MR scanner and the flow velocity was low; c) image acquired for an injection speed of 3cm per second: the cells aggregated by groups of 2 to 10 cells (identified with the red circles) since the setup was inserted into the MR scanner and the flow velocity was high.



Fig. 2-8: Results for the microscopic images acquired when the setup was placed into the MR scanner without application of a gradient: the magnetic cells (bright points of approximately 10µm diameter) were moving along one direction. Images 1, 2, 3, and 4 show the same cell (identified with the red circle) in 4 consecutive microscopic images acquired with the fibered confocal microscope.



Fig. 2-9: Results for the microscopic images acquired when the setup was placed into the MR scanner with application of a gradient: the cells moved along a different direction from that obtained when no gradient was applied. Images 1, 2, 3, and 4 show the same cell (identified with the red circle) in 4 consecutive microscopic images acquired with the fibered confocal microscope.

2.3.2 Localisation of the fibered confocal microscope miniprobe in high-field Magnetic Resonance images

FCM may be used to observe cells of 10µm diameter and to control the delivery of these cells towards a site of interest. These cells can be delivered using the force derived from the MR coils. This part aims to introduce a method to localise the tip of the FCM miniprobe within the MR scanner.

2.3.2.1 Materials and method

An FCM miniprobe of 650 μ m diameter was used for the experiment. This miniprobe was introduced into Agarose–based gel, which behaves like water-based tissue during an MR scan. The 9.4T MR scanner (VNMRS, Varian, Inc. Palo Alto, CA) introduced in the previous part '2.3.1 Delivery of cells using a magnetic resonance imaging system' was used for this experiment. MR images of the setup (miniprobe in the Agarose-based gel) were acquired with a Gradient Echo (GE) protocol in axial and coronal views: Time Echo (TE) = 4.6ms and Time Relaxation (TR) = 184ms. The voxel resolution was set at 0.156mm/pixel and 1mm between two slices for the axial acquisitions.

Wang et al. (1996) introduced the classical method to point location in MR and CT images. This method was tested partially in this thesis and the precision was assessed (Allain et al., 2009b). For the miniprobe tip localisation in the axial images, the centroid of the miniprobe in each slice was computed to determine a region where the tip could be located. A common Region Of Interest (ROI) was defined for all the slices containing the miniprobe. For each slice, the centroid of the ROI was computed based on the equation:

$$\overrightarrow{OG} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (I_i - \max) \cdot \overrightarrow{OA_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (I_i - \max)}.$$
(2-1)

where O corresponds to the pixel in the ROI with the maximum pixel intensity, max, G is the centroid of the ROI, A_j corresponds to each pixel in the ROI with the pixel intensity I_j , and N is the number of pixels in the ROI. This equation means that the pixels with the lowest intensities have a greater weight than the pixels corresponding to the background (gel). The coordinates of the centroid for each slice were determined in the image coordinate system (in mm). The intensity profile over the centroids was computed and the resulting curve should help localise the miniprobe tip along the z-axis of the axial image. A linear regression was computed afterwards over the centroids of each MR slice containing the tip in order to fit a straight line corresponding to the miniprobe. The residuals between the measured centroids

and the straight line were estimated and the precision for the miniprobe tip localisation could be determined as the standard deviation of the residuals along the x and y axes:

$$\operatorname{var} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(x_{gi} - x_{gi0} \right)^2} \ . \tag{2-2}$$

where x_{gi} are the x-coordinates (in mm) of the centroid in slice *i* and x_{gi0} are the x-coordinates of the corresponding position on the straight line. The same computation was done in the y direction.

2.3.2.2 Results

Nine slices were selected from the axial image and they were separated with an interval of 1mm. A region of interest (ROI) was defined such that it was the same for all the slices and the centroid of the ROI was computed (Fig. 2-10 a)). Its corresponding intensity in the MR image was determined as well. Fig. 2-10 b) gives the intensity profile of the centroids along the 9 MR slices and shows that the tip of the miniprobe was located between the centroids of slices 5 and slices 7, separated with a distance of 2mm.

The computed centroids over the 5 slices containing the miniprobe did not produce a straight line after connection. Therefore, a linear regression was applied to these centroids in order to find an estimation of the miniprobe trajectory (Fig. 2-11 a) and b)). The precision of the miniprobe positioning was computed from this trajectory estimation, and the result was an error of $31\mu m$ and of $14\mu m$ in respectively the x and y directions of the MR image and an error of approximately $87\mu m$ between 2 slices.



Fig. 2-10: Miniprobe in an axial view of the MR images: a) Centroid for one slice; b) Intensity of each centroid along the MR image slices.



Fig. 2-11: Precision errors for the computation of the centroids over the 5 MR image slices containing the miniprobe: graphs a) and b) show respectively the x and y coordinates of the measured centroids and of the fitted straight line.

2.3.3 Tracking of the FCM miniprobe in an MR scanner

The FCM miniprobe can be used to control the delivery of cells at a site of interest in the organ when they are steered with the forces derived from the MR imaging coil. The tip of the miniprobe can be localised within an MR image with high precision in order to record the location of the site of interest where the delivery takes place. In order to facilitate the localisation and tracking of the FCM miniprobe, a marker can be mounted at the tip of the miniprobe. Such a marker can be a Gadolinium-based liquid injected into a heat-shrink pipe surrounding the miniprobe. Gadolinium is a common marker in MRI to highlight the structures of interest (McRobbie et al., 2003). This marker was tested in this thesis. An MR-compatible FCM miniprobe of 650µm diameter was mounted with this marker and placed into the 9.4T MR scanner. Thus, the miniprobe and its marker were placed directly in air. MR images were acquired and this marker appeared in white or grey while air appeared in black

(Fig. 2-12 a) and b)). In case the miniprobe needs to be used in air and imaged with an MR scanner, Gadolinium-based gel can help highlight the miniprobe and the method to localise the tip in an MR image could be used.

2.4 Conclusion

This chapter introduced initial experiments that demonstrated the potential of FCM to return images showing structures of 10µm diameter. FCM can, therefore, be used to monitor the delivery of cells for tissue treatment, for example. This chapter showed that cell delivery is possible using an MR scanner. Thus, there is a potential need for recording the location of the FCM miniprobe where the delivery was done in the MR image. A localisation method was tested in this chapter and showed that the miniprobe tip could be localised with a precision of the order of 10µm in MR images acquired with a 9.4T MR scanner. Although these experiments demonstrated a potential interest for a combination of FCM and MRI, the remainder of this thesis focuses on the development of a re-localisation method adapted to a clinical application, the re-localisation of biopsy sites detected by optical biopsy during the surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus.



Fig. 2-12: Visualisation of an FCM miniprobe in an MR image acquired with a 9.4T MR scanner (Varian): a) coronal view of the miniprobe mounted with a Gadolinium-based marker and placed in air; b) axial view of the miniprobe mounted with a Gadolinium-based marker and placed in air.

Chapter 3 Literature Review: Possible Approaches for Biopsy Site Re-localisation and Application for the Surveillance Examination of Barrett's Oesophagus

3.1 Introduction

Lesions detected *in vivo* and *in situ* by optical biopsy techniques need to be re-localised on the macroscopic surface of the organ in order to go back to their position at a later time with surgical instruments for tissue excision or for treatment, for example. As the lesions are characterised by microscopic features that may have a size of the order of $10\mu m$, the position of the miniprobe has to be recorded ideally with an accuracy of the order of $10\mu m$. The 2mm diameter miniprobe is the physical instrument whose position can be tracked in the macroscopic surface of the organ and indicates the presence of the lesions. Thus, the relocalisation problem consists of tracking and recording the position of the miniprobe at the macroscopic surface of the organ.

The choice of a re-localisation strategy may be mainly influenced by the clinical application. As the main application of optical biopsy techniques is the early detection of cancers in the oesophagus, colon, or lungs, the various methods and systems developed for the tracking and guidance of surgical instruments in the macroscopic surface of the organ are reviewed in this chapter. Their contribution to the re-localisation problem is also discussed. The interventional examinations for the detection of cancers in the oesophagus, colon, and lungs typically make use of an endoscope. The optical biopsy miniprobe is passed via the working channel of this endoscope. Many systems developed for instrument tracking and guidance made use of a 3D pre-operative image in order to extract the geometry of the organ. During the interventions, the movement of the surgical instruments or of endoscopes was tracked with positional sensors and registered in the pre-operative image. Other methods made use of endoscopic images, only, to track the movement and record the positions of the instruments. Finally, biopsy site re-localisation at the macroscopic surface of the organ may also be useful for tissue treatment by cell delivery. Some results in Chapter 2 demonstrated that Magnetic Resonance scanners have the potential to guide cell delivery. As these scanners may also be used to acquire images of the oesophagus and colon, a potential strategy for optical miniprobe tracking and guidance with Magnetic Resonance scanners are reviewed and discussed.

The choice of a re-localisation strategy is a compromise between the clinical application which may restrict the range of imaging systems and positional sensors, and the accuracy of the methods developed for instrument tracking and guidance to a point of interest at the macroscopic surface of the organ for tissue excision or treatment. As presented in Chapter 1 'Introduction: The Need for Accurate Re-localisation of Microscopic Lesions in their Macroscopic Context after Detection by Optical Biopsy', the re-localisation of lesions is particularly useful for biopsy sampling in the colon or in the oesophagus where the biopsy sites may be invisible macroscopically. Thus, a re-localisation strategy is presented for such applications. It is based on the epipolar geometry formed by the set of endoscopic images. This chapter presents a method to recover the epipolar geometry.

3.2 Re-localising microscopic lesions in their macroscopic context

Various systems and methods have been developed for the tracking of the position of the tips of surgical instruments, catheters, needles, probes, or forceps, and for their guidance at the macroscopic surface of the organ during interventions.

3.2.1 Re-localising lesions within a pre-operative image

A variety of systems were developed for lesion localisation and instrument guidance during interventions with the support of a pre-operative Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance (MR) image (Deguchi et al., 2003, 2007; Helferty et al., 2002, 2007; Mori et al., 2007, 2008). These were mainly developed for pulmonary applications, and make use of endoscopes to provide interventional images. During the intervention with these systems, lesions could be detected in the endoscopic images and their locations recorded in the 3D pre-operative image thanks to a registration of the endoscope movement in the pre-operative image (Fig. 3-1 a) and b)). The registration could be facilitated using positional sensors mounted at the tip of the endoscope.



Fig. 3-1: Use of a virtual scene for the re-localisation of lesions detected during an endoscopic examination: a) a real-time bronchoscopic image shows the biopsy needle in contact with the lesion; b) the location of the lesion is computed in the virtual scene generated from a 3D pre-operative CT image. As the bronchoscopic camera movement is tracked in the virtual scene, this scene can be used to guide the bronchoscope and the surgical instruments to the lesion for tissue excision or treatment.

Schwarz et al. (2006) presented a CT-guided electromagnetic navigation system to obtain excised tissues in peripheral lung lesions with a catheter mounted with an electromagnetic (EM) sensor probe at its tip. This catheter could be passed through the working channel of the bronchoscope. The sensor reported the positions of the catheter in the 3D space and its orientations. A virtual bronchoscopy scene was reconstructed from the pre-operative CT image. The positions of the catheter given by the EM sensor probe could be tracked in the virtual bronchoscopy. However, this system did not take into account the respiratory motion that can lead to misregistrations of the movement of the catheter in the virtual bronchoscopy. This system guaranteed an accuracy of 5.7mm to reach again a lesion that was detected previously.

Dey et al. (2002) developed a method to put endoscopic images back to the same relative context in the 3D space. A rigid endoscope was tracked in the 3D space with optical sensors and registered to a pre-operative MR image of a brain phantom. In practice, a virtual scene was reconstructed for visual assistance from the pre-operative image. The optical sensors mounted on the phantom and on the endoscope allowed the computation of the movement of the endoscope relatively to the phantom. Each acquired endoscopic image was, therefore, mapped onto a surface of the brain phantom extracted from the pre-operative image. The position of each acquired endoscopic image could be localised in the 3D space and in relation to the other endoscopic images. This method had, therefore, the potential to help the endoscopist assess the motion that is required to place the endoscope back to a previous position. However, this method guaranteed only a 2.4mm accuracy of the mapping of the endoscopic images onto the 3D reconstruction of a rigid phantom.



Fig. 3-2: First approach for lesion re-localisation: the movement of the miniprobe within the organ can be tracked and registered in a pre-operative 3D image using a localiser such as an Electromagnetic (EM) tracking system: the green circles with the addition sign indicate that data from multiple sources are combined. In this case, a localiser is mounted at the tip of the miniprobe to track its movement. The combination of the miniprobe with the localiser is used with a pre-operative image to track the miniprobe movement.

Szpala et al. (2005) presented a concept similar to Dey et al. (2002) applied to a beating heart phantom. A rigid endoscope moved in space and acquired images of the heart. The real phantom and the endoscope were tracked optically. A virtual scene was reconstructed with the 3D model of the heart derived from the CT images. The virtual model of the phantom was animated in synchronisation with the ECG signal of the 'heartbeat'. The presented method displayed the endoscopic images in front of the 3D model of the heart moving dynamically in the virtual scene. This method took into account the organ motion. The accuracy of the localisation of the endoscopic images at the surface of the organ was 1.4mm±1mm. Nevertheless, this method required optical sensors mounted on the heart phantom and on the surgical instruments.

For the problem of re-localisation of lesions detected by optical biopsy, a 3D preoperative image can be used to provide the geometry of the organ of interest and a map of lesions. A first strategy can be the use of the catheter mounted with the EM sensor to carry the optical biopsy miniprobe (Fig. 3-2). When a lesion has been detected, the position of the tip of the catheter reported by the EM sensor can be recorded in the space of the 3D preoperative image. The catheter is used after detection to guide instruments such as forceps to the lesion using information from the EM sensor and visual feedback from the 3D preoperative image. However, the accuracy of the re-localisation of the lesions may be worse than 2mm according to the results reported for these systems.

3.2.2 Re-localising lesions in endoscopic images

Using a 3D pre-operative image as a map for guidance of surgical instruments towards the lesion may result in large inaccuracies for the instrument repositioning. Moreover, some examinations for the detection of lesions may be based on interventional endoscopic images only. For such examinations, the re-localisation problem consists, therefore, of guiding the instruments to the lesion after detection with an optical miniprobe using real-time endoscopic images only. As the lesion is located at the tip of the miniprobe, its tip has to be tracked in the images. In addition to this, once the lesion has been detected, its location has to be updated in the subsequent images acquired with a moving flexible endoscope.

Speidel et al. (2006) and Wengert et al. (2007) developed methods to segment instruments, detect their tip, and compute their trajectories in space, in order to automate the surgery and to control the movement of the instruments very accurately. However, these proposed methods did not focus on the guidance of the instruments to a target point, while Krupa et al. (2003) suggested a system that automatically positions the instruments in a

region of interest during laparoscopic examinations. The system was composed of a surgical robot, an endoscopic optical camera, and an endoscopic laser pointing instrument holder. The camera was inserted into a first incision point on the surface of the abdominal cavity and placed in front of the region of interest. The instrument holder was moved by the robotic arm and was inserted through a second incision point. It was mounted with a laser emitter to project on the organ surface laser patterns that were visible in the camera image. The robot moved the instrument inside the holder by an accurate depth based on the laser spot patterns. Thus, the instrument was placed automatically in contact with the region of interest. Using a laser pattern to indicate the location of the biopsy site is of interest for endoscopy since it is a marker that does not destroy the tissue and that gives precise locations. However, this method can work only if the camera and the laser probe remain still which is not the case for all endoscopy examinations.

Voros et al. (2006) developed a method for instrument tracking in laparoscopic images and guidance of the endoscope camera to a point of interest at the tissue surface. The method used information on the 3D position of the insertion point in the abdominal cavity to detect automatically and accurately the instruments in the laparoscopic images. The previous positions of the tip of the instrument were localised in the current endoscopic image. Unfortunately, these methods made the assumption that the camera of the rigid endoscope remained still.

Methods and systems previously presented made use of additional hardware and rigid endoscopes for the tracking and guidance of instruments in video images. However, space is limited during endoscopic procedures and does not always allow an insertion of multiple probes such as a laser holder. Also, optical biopsy techniques have been used mainly for colonoscopic, gastroscopic, or pulmonary applications for which endoscopes are flexible and keep moving within the organ. Thus, the guidance of instruments to the lesion must be performed without additional hardware or any use of geometrical information that make the assumption of rigidity of the endoscope.

Mori et al. (2002), Burschka et al. (2005), Hu et al. (2007), and Wang et al. (2008) developed methods based only on image processing in order to track the motion of a flexible endoscopic camera. The spatial transformations of the endoscopic camera that are rotations and translations relative to the tissue surface were computed in order to determine the spatial relation between endoscopic images. Such tracking may help reposition the endoscope near a region of interest at the tissue surface. Hu et al. (2007) have applied the epipolar geometry (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004) and the computer vision algorithms to endoscopic images acquired during minimally invasive surgery of the heart. The goal of the work of Hu et al.

(2007) was to stitch the endoscopic images together to build a broader field of view and to put these images together back to the same relative context. Two dimensional mosaics of endoscopic images could be reconstructed. The mosaic was mapped onto a 3D model of the organ of interest derived from a pre-operative image. This work may be used for the relocalisation of lesions since the 2D mosaics can provide the endoscopist with a visual support to know how he has to move the endoscope in order to observe a same region again. The 3D pre-operative image can be used to derive a 3D reconstruction of the organ on which the lesions detected in the endoscopic images can be mapped.

A strategy for lesion re-localisation may consist of determining the motion of the endoscopic camera in relation to the imaged tissue in order to re-localise in the currently acquired endoscopic image a lesion whose location is known in previously acquired endoscopic images (Fig. 3-3). As shown in Hu et al. (2007), the recovery of this motion can help compute the mapping from one endoscopic image where the lesion location is known to another endoscopic image where it needs re-localising (Fig. 3-4). A registration of the camera motion in a 3D pre-operative image has the potential to localise the endoscopic images and, therefore, lesions in the 3D space of the organ.



Fig. 3-3: Second approach for lesion re-localisation: the positions of the miniprobe tip are tracked in the endoscopic images while the endoscope keeps moving. By tracking of the endoscope camera movement in a pre-operative image, the positions of the miniprobe can be recorded in this image. Here the miniprobe is used in combination with the endoscope in order to localise its position within the endoscopic images. If the endoscope movement is tracked in the pre-operative image, the positions of the miniprobe are known in the space of the image.



Fig. 3-4: Illustration of the re-localisation of a lesion detected by optical biopsy in endoscopic images: a) the lesion (indicated by a red point) located at the tip of the optical biopsy miniprobe (blue instrument) in an endoscopic image is b) re-localised in the current endoscopic image (indicated by a red point) after the computation of the mapping which relates the two images.



Fig. 3-5: Third approach for lesion re-localisation: the miniprobe tip is tracked in an MR scanner and its positions are known, therefore, in the 3D space of the organ of interest. In this approach the miniprobe movement is tracked in the images acquired with an MR scanner.

3.2.3 Re-localising lesions in interventional Magnetic Resonance Images

Lesion re-localisation in a macroscopic context for gastroscopic, colonoscopic, and pulmonary applications can be done using either a pre-operative image or interventional endoscopic images only. Accurate re-localisation aims to facilitate instrument guidance to the lesions for tissue excision or treatment after detection by optical biopsy. A collaborative project with Riegler et al. (2010) demonstrated that cell delivery to specific sites for tissue treatment could be done using Magnetic Resonance Targeting (MRT). Moreover, MR imaging has been shown to be an appropriate tool for the detection of cancers in the colon and the oesophagus (Ajaj et al., 2004; Iwadate et al., 2007). Thus, as MR imaging has the potential to guide interventions (Kos et al., 2007), MR images could be acquired during endoscopic examinations in the colon or the oesophagus in order to track visually the position of the optical biopsy miniprobe within the organ. These interventional images could facilitate the tracking of the tip of the miniprobe and the guidance of instruments to the lesions detected by optical biopsy (Fig. 3-5). As the colon and the oesophagus are filled with air and the miniprobe does not emit a signal when imaged by an MR scanner, the problem of tracking the miniprobe consists of a selection of a marker to highlight the tip of the miniprobe (Bartels et al., 2003; Kos et al., 2007). The marker can be active or passive.

Adding a micro-coil at the tip of the device is an active technique since the coil resonates within the MR scanner at a different frequency from that of the surrounding tissues (Fig. 3-6 a)). Therefore, the coil has high signal intensities in the MR image (bright regions) while the tissues have lower signal intensities (darker regions). Among the active techniques, there is also the use of electrified wire loops. A small direct current of about 10 to 150mA induces distortions of the magnetic field within the MR scanner. Finally, self-resonant radiofrequency circuits may be used as active techniques. Such circuits are coils or antennas set on the device and tuned to the Larmor frequency of the MR scanner. In general, the active techniques emit an accurate and robust signal, which is useful for the localisation of the device in any situation. However, rapid radiofrequency heating occurs, making these techniques not necessarily safe for *in vivo* applications (Bartels et al., 2003), and a strong hardware development has to be done to make these techniques work correctly within an MR environment.

Passive techniques allow avoiding the limitations of the active techniques and exploit either the susceptibility effects of the markers (negative markers) or the signal enhancing (positive markers). Negative markers are usually paramagnetic rings or ferrite-mixtures (Mekle et al., 2006), which are set on the device, and which create susceptibility artefacts when in contact with water molecules-based regions (Schenk, 1996; Nitatori, 1999). The choice of the marker mainly depends on the application and on the analysed region. For example, Dysprosium oxide markers may be used for catheter tracking in arteries (Seppenwoolde et al., 2006), but an image acquisition protocol needs setting up to clearly observe the artefacts. Although the use of negative markers is an easy method, the visualisation of the markers mainly depends on their orientation about the magnetic field, on the respiratory motion artefacts and on the presence of water molecules in contact with them. Positive markers are also paramagnetic agents and they induce changes in the relaxation times of water molecules in the surrounding regions. For example, a solution containing the Gadolinium-DTPA complex shortens the T1 relaxation of the surrounding water molecules, which results in a bright intensity for the Gadolinium-filled region and in a darker intensity for the tissues (Fig. 3-6 b)). Gadolinium-Diethylenetriamine Pentaacetic Acid (DTPA) complex in a water molecules-based solution is typically used as a contrast agent, but coatings have to be designed in order to make surgical devices MR visible (Unal et al., 2006). Although such coatings allow a good visualisation of the surgical tools and do not deteriorate over time, they need to swell inside the body to make the device visible and they require a careful chemical preparation.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 3-6: Markers for instrument tracking in an MR image: a) a coil (indicated by the arrow) can be mounted at the tip of the instrument, b) a Gadolinium-based coating can cover the instrument (indicated by the arrows).

In conclusion, lesions may be localised at the tip of the optical biopsy miniprobe within an MR image acquired during interventions. The re-localisation problem consists, therefore, of selecting a marker to be mounted at the tip of the miniprobe to make it visible in the image and of developing the method for computing the tip position within the MR image.

3.3 A clinical application: detection of cancers in Barrett's Oesophagus

The problem of lesion re-localisation in a macroscopic context may be solved with interventional imaging systems or with a combination of positional sensors and pre-operative images. Three main strategies were suggested, but they can be combined to give more potential strategies. Nevertheless, the choice of a strategy may be mainly influenced by the clinical application, by the imaging systems, and by other tools used for this application. As the optical biopsy techniques were mainly used for the early detection of cancers in the oesophagus, the lesion re-localisation method has been developed for the application of endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO).

3.3.1 Cancers in Barrett's Oesophagus and conventional diagnosis

Many of the published studies related to the clinical use of optical biopsies mentioned their important role for the detection of lesions in the oesophagus *in vivo* and *in situ*. The optical biopsy miniprobe can help target the biopsy sites in BO that need to be extracted for an analysis by a histopathologist.

BO or Columnar Lined Oesophagus (CLO) is defined as a transformation of the lower oesophageal mucosa from its usual stratified squamous non-keratinising phenotype to a

metaplastic columnar epithelium (British British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005). This may be visible macroscopically as a change of the oesophagus wall texture along a segment typically developing as a region of altered mucosa above the normal gastro-oesophageal junction (Fig. 3-7 a)). BO is a precursor lesion of oesophageal adenocarcinoma. During the development of adenocarcinoma, the features of the epithelium change from 'indefinite for dysplasia', to Low Grade Dysplasia (LGD), and to High Grade Dysplasia (HGD). A dysplasia may manifest with macroscopic abnormalities such as a subtle granular appearance to the mucosa of BO, isolated plaques of altered epithelium leading to a red-white mixed lesion which is very unstable, or even a large mass region. However, in some cases, no macroscopic manifestation occurs. LGD is the most frequently seen dysplasia. It may persist, regress, or progress to HGD or adenocarcinoma. HGD carries a cancer risk of 16-59% within 5 years (Lovat et al., 2006). The risk is much lower for LGD. In the UK, the 5-year survival rate in case of presence of adenocarcinoma is less than 10% (Lovat et al., 2006).

In order to make a positive diagnosis of BO, a segment of the suspected oesophageal mucosa must be visible above the gastro-oesophageal junction and the clinical observational suspicion must be confirmed histologically, mandating biopsies if a zone of change is suspected. When pathology has been detected, the patient may enter a surveillance process. Surveillance endoscopy examinations are undertaken at regular time intervals depending on the diagnosed pathology. In surveillance endoscopy, quadrant biopsies are taken every 2cm in the columnar segment together with biopsies of any visible lesion (Fig. 3-7 b)). If the pathology is only chronic heartburn, the endoscopic surveillance is performed every 2 years. For 'Indefinite for dysplasia', LGD, or HGD, the surveillance is performed more frequently and the patient may even be sent to surgery. Both LGD and HGD may be managed by ablation techniques removing all suspicious tissue, notably laser, photodynamic therapy (PDT), and Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) (British British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005).

Routine endoscopy is limited in its ability to identify dysplasia and sampling errors are likely to occur if insufficient biopsies are taken (British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005). Unsuspected carcinoma in a BO segment may still be missed. Even if the quadrant protocol is followed throughout the length of CLO and well into the normal squamous, errors are still common. The main reason for missing these pathologies is maybe that epithelial cancers and their precursors are frequently focal and can be distributed heterogeneously across a wide field (Yun et al., 2006). Herein lies the next challenge which is establishing where in the zone of change of the BO segment each biopsy should be taken from or has come from.



Fig. 3-7: Pathology and conventional diagnosis of BO: a) the BO segment is characterised by the replacement of normal squamous epithelium by a metaplastic columnar epithelium; b) during a surveillance examination, biopsies (black crosses) are taken at regular spaces along the BO segment.

3.3.2 Detection of the biopsy sites in BO by optical biopsy

Endoscopy is performed for diagnosis of BO and for guidance of forceps in order to take biopsies in the BO segment. Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to improve targeting of biopsy sites and to guide biopsy procedures during an endoscopic surveillance examination of BO (Meining et al., 2007b.; Wallace et al., 2009).

An endoscope is introduced into the patient's oesophagus (Fig. 3-8 a)). It returns realtime videos of the tissue surface at a macroscopic level. This macroscopic view allows the endoscopist to identify the zones of change at the tissue surface above the gastro-oesophageal junction. Moreover, this view allows the endoscopist to guide the instruments passed via the working channel of the endoscope such as optical biopsy miniprobes or biopsy forceps (Fig. 3-8 b) and c)).

The optical biopsy miniprobe is first passed via the single working channel of the endoscope. The miniprobe is placed in contact with the tissue in order to scan it and two views are available if microscopic imaging is performed: a macroscopic view from the endoscope showing the miniprobe and a microscopic view from the miniprobe (Fig. 3-8 c) and d)). If spectroscopy is performed, the miniprobe is still seen in the endoscopic view, but it returns a spectrum instead of an image. Commonly, a tissue surface of approximately 0.5mm diameter is scanned. The optical biopsy has the benefit to allow the endoscopist to make in real-time a diagnosis which is confirmed by histopathology a few weeks after the examination. After tissue interrogation by optical biopsy, the miniprobe is taken out from the endoscope working channel and replaced with forceps to take 'colocalised' biopsies at the detected sites.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 3-8: The combination of macroscopic and microscopic views during endoscopy: a) An endoscope is inserted into the patient's oesophagus; b) the miniprobe is passed via the working channel of the endoscope; c) the endoscope returns a macroscopic view of the analysed structure and the miniprobe used for imaging the tissue at the cellular level is visible in the macroscopic view; d) a microscopic image showing the cell structures is available at the same time.

For endoscopes with a single working channel, the biopsy excision consists of guiding forceps to the biopsy site identified by optical biopsy. Typically during endoscopy and especially during a surveillance examination of BO, the endoscope moves around the detected biopsy site and air/water bubbles obstruct the endoscope camera field of view. Thus, the task of taking 'colocalised' biopsies may be difficult.

3.3.3 Need for accurate re-localisation of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination of BO

Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to improve detection and targeting of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination of BO. The optical biopsy miniprobe is passed via the working channel of the endoscope to take 'colocalised' biopsies. The excised tissue sample has to match accurately the optical biopsy as the final diagnosis is done by the histopathologist after the intervention.

The problem of an accurate matching between the excised tissue and the optical measurement for many endoscopic applications combined with many optical biopsy techniques has been raised in a series of published works like Wang et al. (2004), Dahr et al. (2005), Evans et al. (2006), Lovat et al. (2006), and Pohl et al. (2008). Meining et al. (2007c.) suggested that a potential sampling error may happen in case of focal lesions. Indeed, small

focal lesions may be invisible macroscopically which makes the excision task more difficult when the piece of tissue needs to be taken at the same location as the optical measurement. Furthermore, the accurate matching is difficult to achieve since the endoscopist has to keep the endoscope stable and still (Dahr et al., 2006).

A few methods were suggested for accurate matching. Lovat et al. (2006) explained that a good match could be obtained thanks to the small indent that the miniprobe used for the optical measurement left at the surface of the tissue. Nevertheless, 20% of the excised tissues were not used for a comparison with the optical measurement since patient's movement and camera movement did not guarantee a perfect match. Pohl et al. (2008) created marks with APC at the biopsy site locations during the quadrant process in BO for accurate relocalisation of the lesions. The optical measurement was obtained next to each mark and biopsies were taken from the same area and in the same endoscopic sequence. Nevertheless, this technique burns the tissue. This may create a scar at the tissue surface which can lead to erroneous diagnoses during future surveillance examinations of BO. Another method was based on the tattooing of the tissue with ink. This was used for the re-localisation of small flat polyps in colon in order to secure adequate margins during the surgery that occurs after the colonoscopy (Botoman et al., 1994). The authors suggested tattooing the tissue with ink before colon surgery. This ink is permanent which allows for preoperative radiation and other delays without loss of the marked site. This also shortens operative times. However, these methods do not prevent the endoscopist from taking useless biopsies. Indeed, a realistic workflow would consist of interrogating first the tissue to target the biopsy site, of localising this biopsy site secondly, and of extracting the tissue finally.

Physical makers at the tissue surface are not favourite methods to help for accurate re-localisation of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination of BO. One of the three re-localisation strategies presented earlier in this chapter or a combination of these strategies can be useful for biopsy site re-localisation in the oesophagus. As the examination is based principally on endoscopic images, an approach could be the development of an augmented reality method for guidance of forceps to the biopsy site in the endoscopic images. The workflow could be as follows: once the miniprobe has been removed from the endoscope working channel, a virtual point or confidence region could be drawn in the digital endoscopic images and tracked through them in order to indicate the location of the biopsy site (Fig. 3-9). As mentioned earlier in this chapter, a mapping between endoscopic images is required.

The re-localisation method has the potential to reduce the necessity of taking biopsies and to target them better (Meining et al., 2007c.). An accurate re-localisation method is also required for the validation of the accuracy of the diagnosis done by optical biopsy. For example, so far, Meining et al. (2007c.) have done such a validation for tissues extracted from BO, from the distal oesophagus, from the duodenum, or from rectal polyps. The validation was done from lesions that were all clearly visible by endoscopy before the optical biopsy was done. Therefore, the excised tissue matched accurately the optical measurement. However, a more complete validation of the accuracy of the diagnosis done by optical biopsy requires a comparison of the excised tissue with the optical measurement when the lesions are invisible macroscopically. Finally, a re-localisation method may be useful not only for biopsy sites during surveillance examination of BO, but also for flat polyp to determine the margins for resection, or for microscopic colitis *in vivo* in colon (Meining et al., 2007a.). This method could also be applied to any optical biopsy technique for which the miniprobe has to be in contact with the tissue such as fibered confocal microscopy, elastic scattering spectroscopy, or optical coherence tomography.

3.4 Computation of a mapping between endoscopic images

The previous discussions considered various methods to localise microscopic lesions within their macroscopic context. An application may be the re-localisation of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination of BO. As the examination is based only on video images, the relocalisation problem consists of computing the mapping from one reference endoscopic image where the biopsy site location is known to a target endoscopic image where the biopsy site needs to be re-localised.



Fig. 3-9: Illustration of the problem of re-localisation of the biopsy sites detected by optical biopsy during an endoscopic surveillance of BO: a) endoscopic view of the gastro-oesophageal junction, b) insertion of a 2mm diameter miniprobe, c) once the miniprobe has been removed, the biopsy site needs to be re-localised (the ellipse and the interrogation mark illustrate the difficulty to decide where the re-localised biopsy site is), d) the re-localisation is useful for the guidance of forceps since the endoscope camera may have moved and the endoscopist may have lost the biopsy site from visual control.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 3-10: Endoscopic system: a) subsystems of the endoscope; b) description of the endoscope tip.

3.4.1 Endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO

The surveillance examination of BO is based on the acquisition of real-time video images with an endoscope inserted into the patient's oesophagus.

An endoscope consists of several subsystems (Katzir, 1993). There are the endoscope subsystems that provide illumination, irrigation, and suction (Fig. 3-10). Two light guides provide illumination. An objective lens is placed in front of the imaging device and its characteristics vary from endoscopes to endoscopes. Different lenses give different fields of view and the focal distance may be different.

Clinical endoscopes used for this thesis were High Definition (HD) endoscopes provided by Pentax Ltd. A miniature camera based on Charge-Coupled Device (CCD) technology is attached to the distal end of the endoscope behind the objective lens. Colour CCD cameras consist of a depositing of Red (R), Green (G), and Blue (B) long pass optical filters on the individual light-sensitive elements of the camera. Each square of 4 pixels of the colour CCD camera has one filtered red, one blue, and two green pixels since the human eye is more sensitive to green (Cotton and Williams, 1996). The blue component of light whose wavelength band is 400nm to 430nm and the green component whose wavelength band is 530nm to 550nm have a depth of penetration into the tissue that is superficial (Fig. 3-11). Blue and Green illuminations correspond to the first and secondary peaks on the absorption spectrum of haemoglobin. Red blood cells inside the vessels appear dark in the blue and green channels of the acquired image. The Red component of light has longer bandwidth than Blue and Green and its depth of penetration into the tissue is deeper. In order to illustrate this property, an endoscopic image acquired during a surveillance examination of BO was split into its RGB channels. The green and the blue channels showed clearly the vasculature (Fig. 3-12). The vessels had better contrast in the G component than in the B component since the B component has a superficial absorption into the tissue (Papagatsia et al., 2008). The biopsy site re-localisation problem consists of determining the mapping between endoscopic images. This mapping may be computed by recognition of similar structures in the images. As vessel structures tend to be well contrasted in the G channel of endoscopic images, this channel was used in this thesis to compute the mapping.



Fig. 3-11: Absorption of lights in the tissue: the blue and green lights highlight the vessels in the superficial layers of the tissue. The red light and near-infrared (NIR) lights are less absorbed by the tissue so penetrate deeper and can be reflected from deeper tissues.



Fig. 3-12: Red, Green, and Blue (RGB) channels of an endoscopic image: a) acquired endoscopic image, b) R-channel of image a), c) G-channel of image a), and d) B-channel of image a).

The oesophagus is a tubular organ and endoscopic images commonly show the entire lumen since the endoscope is centred on the medial axis of the oesophagus (Fig. 3-9). The acquired images are representations of the 3D surface of the oesophagus projected onto the endoscope camera image plane which produces a 2D image. Information concerning the distance of the oesophagus wall from the endoscope camera is lost. These 2D images show a miniprobe in contact with the oesophagus walls, first. After removal of the miniprobe from the endoscope working channel, the endoscope keeps moving backward and forward along the medial axis of the oesophagus which returns images showing the oesophagus under various viewpoints.

Various methods exist for the computation of the mapping between endoscopic images based on the vasculature of the oesophagus walls and on the assumption that the oesophagus may undergo affine deformations.

3.4.2 Possible mappings

A mapping is computed from one endoscopic image termed reference image I_I where the biopsy site location is known to another endoscopic image termed target image T where the biopsy site needs to be re-localised. The biopsy site location may be indicated by the tip of the optical biopsy miniprobe. Similarities between the images may be used to determine the mapping. As presented in section '3.4.1 Endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO', vessels are visible in the endoscopic images. Therefore, they can be used to determine similarities between the images and to compute the location of the biopsy site in **T**. The endoscopic images represent the projection of the 3D surface of the oesophagus onto the 2D plane of the endoscope camera and show the surface under various viewpoints. The approaches to solve the re-localisation problem are, therefore, derived from computer vision (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). There are two possible approaches.

A first method would consist of estimating the endoscope camera movement which is composed of translations and rotations in relation to the 3D physical surface that is imaged. When the camera moves from Camera centre 1 to Camera centre T (Fig. 3-13), the 3D physical surface is seen under distinct viewpoints. The biopsy site **P**, Camera Centre 1, and Camera Centre T form a plane in 3D space. This setup forms the epipolar geometry (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). The plane intersects the image planes I_I and **T**. This intersection is a line termed epipolar line, for example $el^{(I_1)}$. It passes through the projection of the biopsy site on the image plane. This line indicates the locus of the possible positions of the biopsy site in image **T**. Thus, the biopsy site $p^{(I_1)}$ whose location is known in I_I is transformed as an epipolar line in **T**. The estimation of this transformation makes the assumption that the 3D
physical surface that is imaged with an endoscope is stationary or may undergo affine deformations (Hu et al., 2008a). As this transformation is a point to epipolar line mapping, information from other reference endoscopic images have to be used to constrain the locus of all of the possible locations of the biopsy site in T.

A second method is the Simultaneous Localisation And Mapping (SLAM) that has been described and reported as employed during a gastroscopic examination for biopsy site tracking in endoscopic images (Mountney et al., 2009). This method simultaneously builds up a 3D map of the imaged physical surface knowing approximately the endoscope movement in the 3D space and refines the endoscope camera position and orientation within the map (Fig. 3-14). This method is based on an extension of the Kalman filter principle to estimate the endoscope camera movement (Davison et al., 2007). When the endoscope camera moves within the 3D physical surface, it is assumed that its velocity, its orientation, and its position are known approximately. A positional sensor at the tip of the endoscope, for example, can provide this information. This information assists the search for feature matches from one endoscopic image acquired for the first camera position to a second endoscopic image acquired for the current camera position. The map of features can be updated with new features that were not visible in the previous image. The camera orientations and positions are updated from the new map of features. Furthermore, the position of the biopsy site can be tracked in the map.



Fig. 3-13: Epipolar geometry formed by the pair of reference endoscopic image I_1 and of target endoscopic image **T**.



Fig. 3-14: Illustration of the process of SLAM.

Two methods for the computation of the mapping between endoscopic images are possible: recovery of the epipolar geometry and SLAM. SLAM has the advantage of building a 3D map of the features of the imaged physical surface and of localising the endoscope camera within this map. However, SLAM requires a smooth camera movement and a clear FOV of the endoscopic camera. Air/water bubbles often obstruct the camera FOV or the acquired images may be blurred because of a quick uncontrolled camera movement. In these situations, features cannot be tracked anymore and the SLAM cannot update the camera position and the feature map. As epipolar geometry is recovered between two images without tracking movement of the endoscope camera, this approach is explored in this thesis. Finally, the re-localisation method may be useful to re-localise a biopsy site in a future endoscopic examination using reference images acquired during a previous examination. The SLAM can be used only for the same examination. Epipolar geometry has the potential to solve the re-localisation problem in this situation.

3.4.3 Computation of a mapping by recovery of the epipolar geometry

The approach for biopsy site re-localisation during a surveillance examination of BO makes use of the epipolar geometry formed by endoscopic images.

The model of the endoscopic camera used in this thesis is the pinhole camera model adapted to CCD cameras (Fig. 3-15) (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). The camera is characterised by a focal length f, scaling factors k_x and k_y in the x and y directions of the image plane which correspond to the number of pixels per unit distance in the image coordinate system, a skew parameter s which characterises the non-orthogonality of the camera axes x and y and which is commonly assumed null, and a principal point which is the projection of the camera centre C onto the image plane. When the image plane is attributed a coordinate system (x_1 , y_1) whose origin is located at the top left-hand corner of the image, the principal point has coordinates in the camera coordinate system. The biopsy site **P** at the 3D physical surface whose coordinates in the camera coordinate system (x_c , y_c , z_c) are (P_x , P_y , P_z) projects onto the camera image plane as the point **p**⁽¹⁾ whose coordinates in the camera coordinates in the camera coordinates in the camera coordinates in the camera expression.

$$p_{xcam}^{(1)} = f \frac{P_x}{P_z}$$

$$p_{ycam}^{(1)} = f \frac{P_y}{P_z}$$
(3-1)

In the image coordinate system, the biopsy site coordinates are:

$$p_{x}^{(1)} = k_{x} \cdot f \frac{P_{x}}{P_{z}} + x_{0} = \alpha_{x} \cdot \frac{P_{x}}{P_{z}} + x_{0}$$

$$p_{y}^{(1)} = k_{y} \cdot f \frac{P_{y}}{P_{z}} + y_{0} = \alpha_{y} \cdot \frac{P_{y}}{P_{z}} + y_{0}$$
(3-2)

Commonly homogeneous coordinates (u, v, w) in the image plane are used instead of the image coordinates directly. These coordinates are related to the image coordinates such that:

$$p_x^{(I)} = \frac{u}{w}$$

$$p_y^{(I)} = \frac{v}{w}$$
(3-3)

Thus, these coordinates are related to the coordinates in the endoscope camera coordinate system with the camera calibration matrix or camera intrinsic parameter matrix **K**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & 0 & x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_y & y_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x & 0 & x_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_y & y_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3-4)

The matrix \mathbf{K} expresses, therefore, the projection of the points on the 3D physical surface onto the endoscope camera image plane.

During endoscopy, the camera moves with rotations $\mathbf{R}_{(I_1,T)}$ and translations $\mathbf{t}_{(I_1,T)}$ from the camera position Camera centre 1 to the camera position Camera centre T (Fig. 3-13). The resulting epipolar geometry can be described algebraically using the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(I_1,T)}$ defined in Hartley and Zisserman (2004) as the composition of the camera calibration matrix and the camera movement:



Fig. 3-15: Pinhole camera model and projection of the biopsy site onto the camera image plane.



Fig. 3-16: Main steps for the recovery of the epipolar geometry.

$$\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} & f_{2} & f_{3} \\ f_{4} & f_{5} & f_{6} \\ f_{7} & f_{8} & f_{9} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{T}}^{-\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})} \right] \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{I}_{1}}^{-1} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{T}}^{-\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \left[\begin{matrix} 0 & -t_{3} & t_{2} \\ t_{3} & 0 & -t_{1} \\ -t_{2} & t_{1} & 0 \end{matrix} \right] \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{I}_{1}}^{-1} \cdot \tag{3-5}$$

 $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{I}_{1}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{T}}$ are the camera calibration matrices at first and second positions. The camera motion matrices $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})}$ are expressed in a reference coordinate system that can be the coordinate system of camera centre T, for example. The recovery of the epipolar geometry consists of computing the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{1},\mathbf{T})}$.

In practice, $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_1,\mathbf{T})}$ is computed by detection of features in the images \mathbf{I}_1 and \mathbf{T} (Fig. 3-16). These features are matched by studying their neighbourhood and by optimising a similarity criterion between the neighbourhoods. The fundamental matrix is computed from the set of matches.

3.5 Review of the methods for the recovery of the epipolar geometry

Epipolar geometry can be an approach to solve the biopsy site re-localisation. This part aims to review the methods for the recovery of the epipolar geometry.

3.5.1 Endoscope camera calibration and correction of image distortions

Section '3.4.1 Endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO' introduced the system and components of an endoscope and the geometry of the endoscopic images. The CCD camera that is mounted at the tip of the endoscope can be modelled as a

pinhole camera (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). However, a fisheye lens is commonly mounted in front of the endoscope camera in order to capture a large scene in a single acquired image. This lens introduces distortions in the images that need to be corrected in order to estimate accurate epipolar geometry and fundamental matrix (Zhang, 1999; Stoyanov et al., 2005; Barreto and Daniilidis, 2005).

The geometry of a fisheye lens introduces principally radial distortions. These radial distortions affect significantly the regions observed at the edges of the lens while the regions observed in the centre of the lens are imaged with minor distortions. In the case of endoscopic images, barrel distortions affect the acquired images (Fig. 3-17 a)). For example, if a checkerboard pattern with straight lines is imaged with an endoscope, the lines are not straight anymore (Fig. 3-17 b)). The principal point (x_0, y_0) that is the projection of the camera centre onto the image plane remains unchanged in the undistorted images (Zhang, 1999). Nevertheless, any other point in the distorted image is closer to the principal point than it is actually in the undistorted image. The radial distortions are modelled for a point (x_d, y_d) in the distorted image and for the same point (x_u, y_u) in the undistorted image such that (Zhang, 1999):

$$r_{d} = r_{u} \cdot \left(1 + k_{1} \cdot r_{u}^{2} + k_{2} \cdot r_{u}^{4} + k_{3} \cdot r_{u}^{6} + \ldots\right)^{\bullet}$$
(3-6)

where r_u and r_d are the radial distances of the undistorted image point and of the distorted image point:

$$r_u = \sqrt{(x_u - x_0)^2 + (y_u - y_0)^2}$$
 and $r_d = \sqrt{(x_d - x_0)^2 + (y_d - y_0)^2}$. (3-7)

The coefficients k_i are the distortion coefficients that need to be estimated.

Many methods were presented for the estimation of the distortion coefficients and for the correction of the images (Haneishi et al., 1995; Vijayan Asari et al., 1999; Helferty et al., 2001). A practical method that was used for endoscopic images is that presented by Zhang (1999). This method consists of estimating the camera parameters that are the principal point location (x_0 , y_0) in the images, the focal length, and the scaling factors at the same time as the distortion coefficients during a camera calibration procedure. The calibration is run on images of a flat checkerboard pattern acquired under various viewpoints. In practice in this thesis, the endoscopic camera was calibrated and the images were undistorted using the Matlab implementation by Bouguet (2004) referring to Zhang's method (Zhang, 1999).

Once the distortion coefficients and the camera parameters have been estimated by calibration, the endoscopic images acquired during endoscopy can be corrected in real-time and the epipolar geometry is recovered on these corrected images.



Fig. 3-17: Barrel distortions in endoscopic images: a) barrel distortions are such that a point appears closer to the principal point than it is in reality and the distortions are greater on the sides of the image; b) illustration of barrel distortions on a flat checkerboard pattern imaged with an endoscope mounted with a fisheye lens at the tip; c) endoscopic image of the flat checkerboard after correction for distortions.

3.5.2 Feature detection and matching

A first step of the recovery of the epipolar geometry consists of detecting features in each image and of finding their matches in another image. Automatic methods for feature detection and matching are necessary for a clinical application such as the re-localisation of biopsy sites during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO). Features can be detected and matched with feature trackers or with techniques based on descriptors.

3.5.2.1 Feature trackers: the example of the Lucas-Kanade tracker

During an endoscopy examination in the oesophagus, the camera usually moves smoothly. The acquired images of the video stream show the tissue surface under various viewpoints. It is, therefore, possible to use feature trackers that detect features in a first reference image I_i and track them through the images of the video stream up to the target image **T**. These features sit at the centre of a pixel-based searching window and the tracking consists of finding in the next images where this window is located. Two feature trackers have been mainly used for endoscopic images: block matching for bronchoscopic images (Mori et al., 2002) and the Lucas-Kanade (LK) tracker for minimally invasive surgery of the heart (Lucas and Kanade, 1981; Mountney et al. 2006; Hu et al., 2007, 2009). Block matching considers that the pixel window undergoes only translations from video images to video images whilst the LK tracker takes into account affine deformations of the window (i.e. rotations and shearing). As the re-localisation has to be performed between a reference image I_i and a target image T showing the tissue region under a different viewpoint (Fig. 3-18), the changes of the shape of the pixel window can be described by an affine transformation and the LK tracker is more appropriate.



Fig. 3-18: Illustration of the tracking of an LK feature through endoscopic images: the feature is detected at the centre of a pixel window (dashed green lines) in a first reference image I_i . The LK tracker tracks this window through the images assuming a translation only between consecutive images. The tracker refines the tracking by assuming an affine mapping between the first reference image I_i and the current target image, for example, T.

Given the two images I_i and T, the LK tracker computes the motion as the affine transformation which minimises the scalar dissimilarity measure:

$$\varepsilon = \iiint_{W} [\mathbf{T} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) - \mathbf{I}_{i}(\mathbf{x})]^{2} w(\mathbf{x}) \partial x \partial y.$$
(3-8)

where *W* is the tracking window whose central pixel is the *j*th feature $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ detected in image \mathbf{I}_{i} , $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)^{\text{tr}}$ is a pixel in *W*, $w(\mathbf{x})$ is a Gaussian weight, $\mathbf{d} = [d_{x} d_{y}]^{\text{tr}}$ is the translation of *W* from image \mathbf{I}_{i} to image \mathbf{T} , $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + d_{xx} & d_{xy} \\ d_{yx} & 1 + d_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} d_{xx} & d_{xy} \\ d_{yx} & d_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{1}_{2} + \mathbf{D}$ is an affine transformation with $\mathbf{1}_{2}$ the 2x2 identity matrix and \mathbf{D} the matrix comprising the shear and rotation transformations, and $\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{d}$ is the affine motion field applied to the pixel \mathbf{x} . By Taylor expansion for a small displacement of \mathbf{x} :

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbf{u}) \text{ with } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} \text{ and } \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{tr}} = (g_x, g_y)^{\mathrm{tr}} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial y}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

The Taylor expansion and the minimisation of the dissimilarity ε (equation (3-8)) yield a 6x6 system (Shi and Tomasi, 1993):

$$\mathbf{Oz} = \mathbf{a} \,. \tag{3-9}$$

where the motion parameters are concatenated in a vector $\mathbf{z}^{tr} = [d_{xx} d_{yx} d_{xy} d_{yy} d_x d_y]^{tr}$, the error vector **a** depends on the difference between the two images:

$$\mathbf{a} = \iint_{W} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} xg_{x} \\ xg_{y} \\ yg_{x} \\ yg_{y} \\ g_{x} \\ g_{y} \end{bmatrix}} w(\mathbf{x}) \partial x \partial y.$$

and the 6x6 matrix **O** is defined as:

$$\mathbf{O} = \iint_{W} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} w(\mathbf{x}) \,\partial x \,\partial y \text{ with:}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} g_x^2 & g_x g_y \\ g_x g_y & g_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 g_x^2 & x^2 g_x g_y & xy g_x^2 & xy g_x g_y \\ x^2 g_x g_y & x^2 g_y^2 & xy g_x g_y & xy g_y^2 \\ xy g_x^2 & xy g_x g_y & y^2 g_y^2 & y^2 g_x g_y \\ xy g_x g_y & xy g_y^2 & y^2 g_x g_y & y^2 g_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and
$$\mathbf{V}^{\text{tr}} = \begin{bmatrix} xg_x^2 & xg_xg_y & yg_x^2 & yg_xg_y \\ xg_xg_y & xg_y^2 & yg_xg_y & yg_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Feature tracking consists, therefore, of minimising **a**.

In practice, in order to estimate z for the pair of images I_i and T, the motion between two consecutive images, for example I_i and I_{i+1} , is considered as a pure translation. Thus, the subsystem:

$$\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e} \,. \tag{3-10}$$

is solved between I_i and I_{i+1} . The vector **e** collects the last two entries of the vector **a**. This returns an initial position of the window W in I_{i+1} . A refinement is performed between I_i and I_{i+1} using this initialisation to solve (3-9). This process goes on for the next consecutive images. Every time equation (3-10) is solved for a pair of consecutive images between I_i and T, the full system in equation (3-9) is solved between the current image and image I_i (Fig. 3-18). This process is performed for each window W centred on a detected feature in image I_i . The features are detected by studying the matrix Z computed for each pixel of image I_i . Indeed, the matrix Z gives information about the local texture around the pixel (Shi and Tomasi, 1994). If the two eigenvalues of Z are small, the intensity in the window W is roughly constant. A large and a small eigenvalue correspond to an edge. Two large eigenvalues correspond to corners or salt and pepper textures that can be tracked reliably. Therefore, the *N* features whose windows have the largest eigenvalues are selected.

3.5.2.2 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors: the example of the Scale Invariant Feature Transform

During endoscopy examinations, the endoscope camera field of view may be obstructed with air/water bubbles (Mori et al., 2005). The camera may also move too quickly sometimes which returns a series of blurred images (Mori et al., 2005). Finally, specularities due to a certain angle of the endoscope illumination channel relative to the wet tissue surface may appear in the images (Mori et al., 2005). Therefore, features cannot be tracked with the LK tracker, for example. An alternative to the trackers can be the feature detectors in the image scale-space and the matching of the features based on their descriptors (Lindeberg, 1998; Mikolajczyk and Schmid, 2005a; Mikolajczyk et al., 2005b). These detectors aim to identify features in images of an object at locations and scales that can be repeatedly assigned under differing views of the object (Lowe, 2004). Such stable features can be searched across all of the possible scales of the image using a function of scale known as scale-space (Witkin, 1983). Koenderick (1984) and Lindeberg (1994) demonstrated that the Gaussian function can be used as the scale-space kernel. Therefore, the scale-space L of an image I_i for the scale *s* is defined as the convolution of I_i with the Gaussian kernel G such that:

$$\mathbf{L}(x, y, s) = \mathbf{G}(x, y, s) * \mathbf{I}_{i}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^{2} + y^{2}}{2s^{2}}} * \mathbf{I}_{i}(x, y).$$
(3-11)

Stable features can be found as extrema in the Laplacian of Gaussian or difference of Gaussians of the image I_i , for example (Mikolajczyk et al., 2001).

Once a feature location has been detected, a local description or descriptor can be computed for matching the features from two images. A descriptor can be a vector containing information about the gradients in a local neighbourhood of the detected feature (Lindeberg, 1997; Mikolajczyk et al., 2002; Mikolajczyk et al., 2004; Lowe, 2004; Mikolajczyk et al., 2005b). Classical techniques for feature detection and matching based on the feature detectors are the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) (Lowe, 2004) and the scale and affine invariant interest point detectors (Lindeberg, 1997; Mikolajczyk et al., 2002; Mikolajczyk et al., 2004; Mikolajczyk et al., 2004; Mikolajczyk et al., 2005b). The SIFT and the scale invariant interest point detectors have been used for detection and matching of features in endoscopic images especially for

images of the sinuses (Wang et al., 2008), for laparoscopic images (Mountney et al., 2007 and 2008), and for gastroscopic images (Atasoy et al., 2009). The scale and affine invariant interest point detectors have been developed to cope with large changes of viewpoints of the camera. However, SIFT has the potential to match successfully the features based on their descriptors for changes of camera viewpoints up to 50 degrees. As a larger motion of an endoscopic camera is not expected during endoscopy, the SIFT was studied for extraction and matching of features in endoscopic images.

The SIFT consists of building, first, a scale-space representation of the image I_i with a difference of Gaussians function (Fig. 3-19 a)). Therefore, at each scale *s*, an image **D** is computed and the value of the pixel (*x*, *y*) is defined as:

$$\mathbf{D}(x, y, s) = (\mathbf{G}(x, y, ks) - \mathbf{G}(x, y, s)) * \mathbf{I}_{i}(x, y) = \mathbf{L}(x, y, ks) - \mathbf{L}(x, y, s).$$
(3-12)

where k is a constant multiplicative factor (Lowe, 2004; Brown, 2006). The scale-space is also computed for various downsampling levels of the image or octaves. $\mathbf{D}(x, y, s)$ is compared to the value of **D** for the 8 neighbouring pixels at the same scale as pixel (x, y) and for the 9 neighbouring pixels in the scale above and below (Fig. 3-19 b)). If $\mathbf{D}(x, y, s)$ is an extremum, the corresponding pixel with its scale s is recorded as a feature.

Each feature may be characterised by a dominant orientation in order to achieve invariance to image rotation. The local orientation of the feature is estimated from the local image gradients. The magnitudes g and the orientations θ of the gradients in the neighbourhood of the feature are computed using pixel differences:

$$g(x,y) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{L}(x+1,y) - \mathbf{L}(x-1,y))^2 + (\mathbf{L}(x,y+1) - \mathbf{L}(x,y-1))^2}$$

$$\theta(x,y) = \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{L}(x,y+1) - \mathbf{L}(x,y-1)/\mathbf{L}(x+1,y) - \mathbf{L}(x-1,y))$$
(3-13)

An orientation histogram is built with each gradient orientation weighted by the gradient magnitude. The peak in the histogram corresponds to the dominant orientation. Thus, a feature is characterised by its scale and its main orientation. The SIFT has been tested on endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO and it is illustrated in Fig. 3-20.

When features have been detected in two images I_i and T, they need to be matched with their SIFT descriptors. The SIFT descriptor is defined as a square window centred on a detected feature and whose size is proportional to the feature scale *s* (Fig. 3-19 c)). The window is oriented in the same direction as the feature orientation. The image patch within the window is sampled into a 16 regions x 16 regions. For each region, the image gradient magnitude and orientation are computed. For each group of 4 regions x 4 regions, a gradient orientation histogram is built: the orientations are split into 8 bins. The resulting descriptor is a vector of 4x4x8 entries where each entry is a function of the magnitudes. This vector is normalised to unit length. Matching a feature in \mathbf{I}_i to another feature in \mathbf{T} consists of looking for a descriptor in \mathbf{T} which is at a minimal Euclidean distance from a descriptor in \mathbf{I}_i . The match is accepted if and only if the ratio of the Euclidean distances between the first and the second best match is greater than a threshold, set manually.

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 3-19: Detection of SIFT features and computation of their descriptors: a) the scale-space of the image is built: for each octave or downsampling level of the image, the image is repeatedly convolved with a Gaussian kernel. Adjacent Gaussian images are subtracted to generate the Difference of Gaussian images; b) Extrema of the Difference of Gaussian images are detected by comparison of the pixel (marked with X) to its 8 neighbours at the same scale and to its 18 neighbours in the lower and higher scales; c) the descriptor is a window centred on the detected feature. The size of the window is proportional to the scale of the feature and is oriented in the same direction as the feature orientation. The window is divided in 16 regions x 16 regions and for each region, the gradient magnitude and orientation are computed. Regions are stacked into 4 regions x 4 regions in order to build an orientation histogram. The descriptor is a vector whose entries are the orientation histograms.



Fig. 3-20: Example of a SIFT feature in two different views of the same physical surface of the oesophagus acquired during an endoscopy: a) a feature is detected in a reference image I_i at the intersection of vessels. Its location is at the centre of the circle whose radius is proportional to the scale at which the feature was detected. The drawn radius indicates the feature orientation; b) zoomed image on the feature detected in a); c) the matching feature corresponding to the same anatomical point is detected in the target image **T**; d) zoomed image on the feature.

3.5.3 Computation of the fundamental matrix

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ can be computed using the features in images \mathbf{I}_i and \mathbf{T} that are matched. Some of these matches are correct since they obey the epipolar geometry and are termed inliers (Torr, 1995; Torr and Davidson, 2003). Others are incorrect matches which refer to a pair of features that do not correspond to the same anatomical point, which are inconsistent with the epipolar geometry, and which are termed outliers (Torr, 1995; Torr and Davidson, 2003). The feature detection and matching techniques return a set of *L* matches $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$ with $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, 1]^{\text{tr}}$, a feature in \mathbf{I}_i which matches $\mathbf{p}_j = [p_{jx}, p_{jy}, 1]^{\text{tr}}$, a feature in \mathbf{T} . The fundamental matrix is estimated from this set of matches.

3.5.3.1 Properties of the fundamental matrix

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ can be defined as the mapping between two endoscopic images. A feature $\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in image \mathbf{I}_i is mapped onto \mathbf{T} as an epipolar line $\mathbf{el}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \left[el_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_{jm}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}\right]^{\text{tr}}$ (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). This line passes through the matching feature \mathbf{p}_j . Thus, $\mathbf{p}_j^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{el}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \mathbf{p}_j^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = 0$.

In practice, features are detected with errors due to the noise in the camera which are classically assumed independently and identically distributed Gaussian errors with standard deviation σ (Luong and Faugeras, 1996). Therefore, the feature \mathbf{p}_j does not lie exactly on the epipolar line $\mathbf{el}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ and $\mathbf{p}_j^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is equal to a residual error $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ termed algebraic residual (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004):

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} = \left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \right)^{2}.$$
(3-14)

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ can be determined by least squares as the vector that minimises the squared algebraic residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ over the entire set of matches $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_j\}_{j=1..L}$.

The fundamental matrix encapsulates the translation $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ and rotation $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ of the camera as shown in equation (3-5). A cross-product between $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is applied which is equivalent to a multiplication such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -t_3 & t_2 \\ t_3 & 0 & -t_1 \\ -t_2 & t_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}.$$
(3-15)

The determinant of $[\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}]$ is null. Thus, the determinant of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is also zero. That is to say, the rank of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ should be 2. Finally, $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is determined up to a scale factor. The additional constraint $\|\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}\| = 1$ can be applied (Torr and Fitzgibbon, 2004).

Many methods were presented for the computation of the fundamental matrix by taking into account its properties (Longuet-Higgins, 1981; Hartley, 1995; Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Csurka, 1997; Zhang, 1998).

3.5.3.2 Computation of the fundamental matrix from a minimal set of matches

Longuet-Higgins (1981) introduced the first landmark method for the computation of the fundamental matrix, the eight-point algorithm. It was improved later by Hartley (1995) to make it more robust to the noise on the features, which serve as inputs for the computation of the fundamental matrix. The fundamental matrix can also be estimated from the seven-point algorithm (Deriche et al., 1994).

The eight-point algorithm builds up a set of 8 equations of the form $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} = 0$ between the matches $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ of image \mathbf{I}_{i} and \mathbf{p}_{j} of image \mathbf{T} . This leads to a system of the form: $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T})} = 0$ where \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{Af}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} p_{1x} & p_{1y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} p_{1x} & p_{1x} & p_{1x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} p_{1y} & p_{1y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} p_{1y} & p_{1y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} &$$

The 9-vector $\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ is the right-null space of **A**, found by singular value decomposition of **A**. However, the corresponding fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ does not satisfy the property of singularity. Therefore, a singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ is done and returns $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$, where U and V are orthogonal and $\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(r, s, t)$ is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues r, s, t satisfying r > s > t. The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ is modified such that it is equal to Udiag $(r, s, \theta)\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ in order to satisfy the property of singularity.

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ has 9 entries but is defined only up to a scale and it is singular. Therefore, it is possible to solve for $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ from just 7 matches and this method is called the seven-point algorithm (Deriche et al., 1994). It builds up a 7x9 system similar to that obtained with the eight-point algorithm (equation (3-16)). The solution $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ of this

system is a 2-dimensional space of the form $\alpha \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_1} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_2}$, where α is a scalar variable. $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_2}$ are the 3x3 matrices formed from the 9-vectors $\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_1}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_2}$ of the right-null space of **A**. As the fundamental matrix is of rank 2, det($\alpha \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_1} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_2}$) = 0. There is either 1 or 3 real solutions for α which returns 1 or 3 solutions for $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})_2}$. This method returns, therefore, solutions that satisfy the property of singularity.

In this thesis, the seven-point algorithm was used since it accounts for the singularity of the fundamental matrix. Other methods have been presented in order to compute more accurate fundamental matrices especially when the matches are corrupted by outliers which are pairs of features that are inconsistent with the epipolar geometry (Torr and Davidson, 2003).

3.5.3.3 Robust estimations of the fundamental matrix

The robust estimations of the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$ are iterative methods that minimise a function of the algebraic residuals and that take into account the properties of the fundamental matrix.

3.5.3.3.1 Fitting errors or residuals

The eight-point and the seven-point algorithms search for the solution $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ such that it minimises the sum of squared algebraic residual errors defined in equation (3-14). The matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is determined by least squares. However, the solution risks being sub-optimal since the errors $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ are not identically distributed (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Torr and Murray, 1997; Zhang, 1998).

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ can be interpreted as the ellipse that fits the set of matches $\{\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_j\}_{j=I..L}$ (Torr and Murray, 1997). Sampson (1982) demonstrated that fitting algebraic errors to ellipses with the least squares returns sub-optimal solutions. Optimal solutions are obtained by least squares when the errors are weighted by the inverse of the norm of their gradient or standard deviation. This means that the sum of squares of the perpendicular geometric distances of the matches to the ellipse is minimised (Kendall and Stuart, 1983).

Weng et al. (1989) adapted these observations to the computation of the fundamental matrix. A first order approximation of the standard deviation of the algebraic errors $e_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is:

$$\sigma_{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}^{2}\left(p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, p_{jx}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}^{2} - \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jx}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{p_{jy}}^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jy}}\right)^{2} \sigma_{p_{jy}}^{2}$$

$$(3-17)$$

where $\sigma_{p_{jx}^{(l_i)}}^2$, $\sigma_{p_{jy}^{(l_i)}}^2$, $\sigma_{p_j}^2$, and $\sigma_{p_{jy}}^2$ are the variance and covariance terms of the residuals of the localisation of the features in the endoscopic images (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Torr and Murray, 1997; Zhang, 1998). Weng et al. (1989), Luong and Faugeras (1996), Torr and Murray (1997), and Zhang (1998) made the assumption that these terms are almost equal, therefore, the covariance matrix of the localisation errors $\Lambda_{p_j^{(l_i)}} = \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_2$ where $\mathbf{1}_2$ is the 2 x 2 identity matrix. Thus, the standard deviation of the errors $e_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is:

$$\sigma_{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}^{2}\left(p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, p_{jx}, p_{jy}\right) \propto \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jy}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jx}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial p_{jy}}\right)^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial$$

In order to optimise the solution for $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$, the residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ that have to be minimised should be the Sampson's residuals:

$$e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2}}.$$
(3-19)

Luong and Faugeras (1996) suggested that results similar to those resulting from a minimisation of the Sampson's residuals could be obtained by minimising the distance of the features to the epipolar lines in each image I_i and T and introduced the epipolar residuals:

$$e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}\right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}\right)^{2}}.$$
(3-20)

Although epipolar residuals are well-used measures, the Sampson's residual is preferred since it corresponds to the algebraic residuals divided by their standard deviation in order to ensure optimality of the solution of the least squares (Torr and Murray, 1997). Recently, Sugaya and Kanatani (2007, 2008) introduced other residuals to minimise for the determination of the fundamental matrix. They were based on the covariance matrix of the noise with which the features are detected. Although their method returned accurate fundamental matrices, the classical residuals were explored in this thesis since Sugaya and Kanatani's method requires an estimate of the standard deviation of the noise on the features and was not developed and tested for the case of feature matches contaminated with outliers.

87

Minimising the sum of squared Sampson's or epipolar residuals with the eight-point or seven-point algorithm does not lead to solve a linear system as it is the case when the algebraic residual is minimised (equation (3-14)). Iteratively re-weighted least squares are, therefore, required (Bookstein, 1979; Sampson, 1982). The feature detection and matching techniques risk returning outliers or pairs of features in I_i and T that do not correspond to the same anatomical point in endoscopic images, for example. These iterative methods are still not robust to outliers or mismatches.

3.5.3.3.2 Integration of the seven-point algorithm into a random sampling consensus

The goal of robust estimations of the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is to determine the set of inliers and the matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ that best fits the inliers. These methods are Monte-Carlo like methods: many combinations of a set of inliers and estimations of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ are tested.

The robust methods consist of minimising a criterion function $S_{(\mathbf{i}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ of the fitting errors over the whole set of matches $\{\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{i}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_j\}_{j=1..L}$. The minimisation is solved by combining either the eight-point or the seven-point algorithm with a Monte-Carlo process followed by a non-linear optimisation over the whole set of detected inliers. Besides, Hartley (1995) demonstrated that an estimation of the fundamental matrix with a minimal set of points such as the eight-point or seven-point algorithms is more robust when the points or features are translated such that their centroid is at the origin and then scaled isotropically such that the average distance from the origin is equal to $\sqrt{2}$. This returns a normalised fundamental matrix which is denormalised using the transformations applied to the features. The main framework of the robust methods is described in Hartley and Zisserman (2004) and is:

Given *L* matches $\{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$ between image \mathbf{I}_{i} and image **T**:

- 1. Translate the coordinates of the features such that their centroid is at the origin of the coordinates and scale these coordinates such that the average distance from the origin is equal to $\sqrt{2}$.
- 2. Draw *m* random subsamples of 7 different matches. For each subsample of index *k*:
 - a. The seven-point algorithm is used to compute the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})k}$. This can return either 1 or 3 real solutions.
 - b. The squared fitting errors $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ of the *L* matches are computed. In this thesis, the fitting errors are the Sampson's residuals.

- c. The criterion function $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})k}$ is estimated with respect to the whole set of matches.
- 3. The solution $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is the $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})k}$ for which $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})k}$ is minimal over all the *m* tested $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})k}$'s.
- 4. Denormalise $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$.
- 5. The solution $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is refined over the set of inliers by nonlinear optimisation. The optimisation problem consists of looking for $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ such that it minimises the criterion function $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ with the constraints that the determinant of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$, $\det(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})$, is null and the norm of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T})}$, $\|\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}\|$, is equal to 1:

$$\min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}} S_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \right) = \min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \rho \left(e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} \right)$$
subject to $\| \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \| = 1$ and det $\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \right) = 0$

$$(3-21)$$

where ρ is a function of the residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$. The classical robust techniques may be the Least Median of Squares (LMedS) (Rousseeuw and Leroy, 1987), the Random SAmple Consensus (RANSAC) (Fischler and Bolles, 1981), or the Maximum A Posteriori SAmple Consensus (MAPSAC) (Torr, 2002). The nonlinear optimisation problem in equation (3-21) is solved by Sequential Quadratic Programming (Boggs and Wolle, 1996) after simplifying the constrained problem into a non-constrained one with the Kuhn Tucker equations (Kuhn, 1976). An implementation from the Matlab optimisation toolbox was used (Matlab, R14, The Mathworks Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

3.5.3.3.3 Least Median of Squares, RANdom SAmple Consensus, Maximum A Posteriori SAmple Consensus

The robust methods LMedS, RANSAC, and MAPSAC differ in the criterion function $S_{(I_i,T)}$ that they aim to minimise.

The Least Median of Squares (LMedS):

The LMedS consist of finding the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ that fits a set of inliers such that it minimises the median of the residual errors $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}$ of the matches over all of the possible solutions of $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$:

$$S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}} \quad median \quad e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2} \,. \tag{3-22}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is the matrix for which $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is minimal. The set of inliers is found as the matches whose residual errors $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ satisfy $|e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}| < 1.96\sigma$, where σ is defined as (Rousseeuw and Leroy, 1987):

$$\sigma = 1.4826 \operatorname{med}_{j} \left| e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \right|.$$
(3-23)

The RANdom SAmple Consensus (RANSAC):

The RANSAC finds the fundamental matrix that maximises the number of inliers and minimises $S_{(L,T)}$ defined as (Torr and Murray, 1997; Torr and Zisserman, 2000):

$$\min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}} S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}} \sum_{j=l,L} \rho\left(\frac{e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}}{\sigma^2}\right) \text{ with } \rho\left(\frac{e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}}{\sigma^2}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} < T \\ T & \text{if } e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \ge T \end{cases}.$$
(3-24)

The threshold is set such that $T = 1.96\sigma$ where σ is the standard deviation of the residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$. The standard deviation is estimated with the LMedS with a training image before applying the RANSAC (Zhang, 1998).

The Maximum A Posteriori SAmple Consensus (MAPSAC):

The MAPSAC was introduced by Torr (2002). The matches $\{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$ in the endoscopic image \mathbf{I}_{i} and in the target image \mathbf{T} are linked with a 2 view relation \mathscr{R} with parameters $\theta = \{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}, \beta, \gamma\}, \beta$ are the corrected locations of the features $\{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$ corrupted by the noise in the images, and γ indicates whether the matches are inliers or outliers. The noise model and \mathscr{R} define a hypothesized model \mathscr{M} for the set of matches noted $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$. The parameter θ is optimally estimated such that:

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \Pr(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \ell)$$

$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{\Pr(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta, \mathcal{M}, \ell) \Pr(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}, \ell)}{\Pr(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{M}, \ell)}$$
(3-25)

where / is the information upon which all the probabilities are conditioned. The first term $Pr(\mathcal{P} \mid \theta, M, A)$ is the likelihood term, the second term $Pr(\theta \mid M, A)$ is the prior term, and the denominator $Pr(\mathcal{P} \mid M, A)$ is called the evidence which is constant for a fixed M (Torr, 2002). The prior is supposed sufficiently diffuse to be constant (Torr, 2002).

For an estimated set of parameters $\theta = \{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}, \beta, \gamma\}$, the residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ that the matches form with $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T})}$ to fit the model \mathcal{M} follow a normal distribution of zero mean and

variance σ^2 (Torr, 2002). The outliers follow a uniform distribution of parameter $\frac{1}{v}$ where v is the volume of the space where outliers are located. Indeed, outliers can lie anywhere within the endoscopic image. Therefore, the likelihood of a model is given as follows:

$$\Pr(\mathcal{D} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mathcal{M}, \ell) = \prod_{j=1..L} \left(\gamma_j \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right)^4 \exp\left(-\frac{e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}}{2\sigma^2} \right) + \left(1 - \gamma_j \right) \frac{1}{\nu} \right).$$
(3-26)

The set of parameters $\theta = \{ \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}, \beta, \gamma \}$ needs to be optimally estimated which is equivalent to maximising equations (3-25) or (3-26) or to minimising a cost function $S_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ defined as:

$$\min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}} S_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} = \min_{\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}} \sum_{j=I..L} \rho \left(\frac{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}} \right) \text{ with } \rho \left(\frac{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}} & \text{if } \frac{e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}{\sigma^{2}} < T \\ T & \text{if } e_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} \ge T \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

$$T = \log \left(\frac{W^{2}}{2\pi\sigma^{2}} \right).$$

$$(3-27)$$

where *W* is the dimension of the search window within which the matched feature may be in the target image **T** and σ is the standard deviation of the residuals $e_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ (Torr, 2002; Torr and Davidson, 2003).

3.5.3.4 Optimisation of the computation of the fundamental matrix

The robust methods require setting a number of parameters in order to optimise the estimation of $\mathbf{F}_{(L,T)}$, like:

- The number of samples *m* of seven matches or eight matches with the seven-point or eight-point algorithm.
- The selection of the seven or eight matches in such a way that the Monte Carlo technique is efficient.

Ideally, all of the samples of matches from $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\}_{j=1..L}$ have to be tested, but this is usually computationally infeasible. Thus, the number of samples needs to be set such that there is a statistical significance. Fischler and Bolles (1981) and Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987) presented slightly different means of calculations, but both return similar numbers (Zhang, 1998). Rousseeuw's approach consists of choosing the number of samples *m* sufficiently high to give the probability Γ in excess of 99% that a good sample is selected. The probability that at least one of the *m* samples is good is given by:

92

$$\Gamma = 1 - \left[1 - \left(1 - \varepsilon \right)^p \right]^m.$$
(3-28)

The parameter p is the number of feature matches, and ε is the assumed fraction of outliers. Thus, the number of samples is given by:

$$m = \frac{\log(1-\Gamma)}{\log(1-(1-\varepsilon)^{p})}.$$
(3-29)

The values of *m* as functions of ε are given in Table 3-1.

The number of samples *m* is set such that there is at least one good sample of matches. However, Zhang (1998) noticed that the location of the features of the seven or eight matches of the samples may be very close to each other in both images. As stated in Luong and Faugeras (1996), such a situation leads to unstable and imprecise fundamental matrices. Therefore, Zhang (1998) suggested a method to achieve higher stability and efficiency for the recovery of the epipolar geometry. Each image is divided in *bxb* buckets which group together features that are spatially close (Fig. 3-21 a). During the Monte Carlo process, the principle consists of selecting randomly 7 or 8 mutually different buckets and then randomly choosing one match in each selected bucket. However, the number of features in one bucket may be very different from that in another bucket. It is preferable to select a bucket having many matches and the selection of the buckets cannot be entirely random. If there are in total *l* buckets, the range [0,1] is divided into *l* intervals such that the width of the *i*th interval is equal to $n_i/\Sigma_i n_i$, where n_i is the number of matches in the *i*th bucket (Fig. 3-21 b)). A random number is generated in the interval [0,1] and falls in the *i*th interval which means that the *i*th bucket is selected.

3.5.3.5 Summary of the computation of the fundamental matrix for a pair of images

The main steps of the computation of the fundamental matrix were presented in Fig. 3-16. Fig. 3-22 presents the steps in more details by integrating the algorithms and methods presented in the previous sections. The remaining questions are:

- The choice of a technique for feature detection and matching
- The number of features that need to be detected for the computation of the fundamental matrix

- The choice of the Random Sampling Consensus to determine the fundamental matrix These choices are discussed in the next chapter Chapter 4 'Feature Analysis in Endoscopic Images and Endoscope Camera Movement'. Fig. 3-21: The process of matches' selection: a) the image is divided in buckets to group together the features that are spatially close; b) the buckets are selected based on the number of features.

Table 3-1: Minimum number m of matches to draw for the estimation of the fundamental matrix:

	Percentage of outliers								
	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%
mfor $p = 7$	8	20	54	163	588	2809	21 055	359 780	5x10 ⁷
mfor $p = 8$	9	26	78	272	1 177	7 025	70 188	1.8x10 ⁶	4.6x10 ⁸



Fig. 3-22: Summary of the framework and possible algorithms for the computation of the fundamental matrix.

3.6 Conclusion

Lesions detected by optical biopsy during gastroscopic, colonoscopic, or pulmonary examinations can be re-localised using either pre-operative images and EM tracking systems, or endoscopic images, or interventional MR images, or a combination of these modalities. A clinical application of optical biopsies is the detection of biopsy sites invisible macroscopically in the oesophagus during a surveillance examination of BO. Other applications of optical biopsies can be the detection of flat polyps and microscopic colitis in colon. These examinations make use of interventional endoscopic images. Thus, the relocalisation of the lesions developed in this thesis for these clinical applications makes use of endoscopic images only. The epipolar geometry formed by a reference image where the biopsy site location is known and a target image where the biopsy site needs to be relocalised has to be recovered for the specific case of the endoscopic images. The choice of the algorithms is discussed in the next chapter.

Chapter 4 Feature Analysis in Endoscopic Images and Endoscope Camera Movement

4.1 Introduction

The biopsy site is re-localised in a target endoscopic image **T** using the epipolar geometries formed by this image with each reference image I_i . For each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, the epipolar geometry can be described algebraically by the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$. The recovery of the epipolar geometry requires (Fig. 4-1):

- The correction of the distortions in the endoscopic images.
- The detection of features in the endoscopic images **I**_{*i*} and **T** and matching the features together.
- The estimation of the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ from the set of matched features.

As the epipolar lines derived from the biopsy site locations in the reference images I_i are used to re-localise the biopsy site in the target image **T**, they have to be computed with high accuracy. This accuracy depends on:

- The number and proportion of good matched features termed inliers (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004).



Fig. 4-1: Framework for the estimation of the fundamental matrices that each reference image I_i forms with the target image T.

- The wide spread of the features on the whole physical surface observed in the endoscopic image (Luong and Faugeras, 1996).
- The method used to compute the fundamental matrix (Torr and Murray, 1997; Zhang, 1998; Torr and Zisserman, 2000; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004).

This chapter aims to present a method to compute an accurate fundamental matrix in the specific context of endoscopic images. Methods for feature detection and matching are discussed in this context. Algorithms for the recovery of the epipolar geometry as well as their hypotheses are also discussed since their practical use can help determine which feature detection and matching technique is well-adapted and how many features have to be detected for the derivation of accurate epipolar lines.

4.2 Analysis of features in endoscopic images

Two main methods for feature detection and matching were presented in Chapter 3 'Literature Review: Possible Approaches for Biopsy Site Re-localisation and Application for the Surveillance Examination of Barrett's Oesophagus'. These were the Lucas-Kanade (LK) tracker which tracks features through endoscopic images and the Scale Invariant Feature Transform which detects features independently in the images and which matches them using their descriptors. The pros and cons of using these methods are discussed. Besides, the algorithms used for the estimation of the fundamental matrix make the assumption that the features are localised with an error that follows a Gaussian distribution. This assumption is studied for the case of endoscopic images.

4.2.1 Feature detection in the image scale-space and matching of descriptors

The SIFT and the LK tracker have been used for feature detection and matching in endoscopic images, for example by Mountney et al. (2006), Hu et al. (2007, 2009), and Luo et al. (2010). The use of one method mainly depends on the type of sequences that need processing.

SIFT feature matching has the advantage of detecting features independently in the reference images and in the target image. Therefore, there is no tracking through consecutive images to find the matches. The SIFT may be appropriate to sequences where intermediate endoscopic images are blurred, or corrupted by the presence of air/water bubbles or by specularities (Mori et al., 2005). Indeed, for this type of sequences, the LK tracker loses track of the features and a method to start tracking again needs to be developed.

The neighbourhoods of the features that commonly correspond to vessel curvatures and intersections look similar within an image and their visual content can change significantly when the viewpoint of the endoscope camera changes (Atasoy et al., 2009). This is illustrated with two examples: for this thesis, features were detected and matched for 2 pairs of reference and target images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO. The pairs were processed first with the LK tracker, and secondly with the SIFT. Anatomical points corresponding to vessel intersections or curvatures were detected as feature points with both the LK tracker and the SIFT. One feature for each pair was considered as an example of the limits of the SIFT. Both examples show that the LK tracker returned the right match while SIFT returned the wrong match (Fig. 4-2 and Fig. 4-3 present the results for the first pair, and Fig. 4-4 and Fig. 4-5 present the results for the second pair). This is mainly due to the spatial constraint that the LK tracker imposes when it tracks a feature while the SIFT does not take into account this constraint. The effect of the matching method on the re-localisation will be discussed in more details in Chapter 7 'Test of the Re-localisation Methods on Phantom and Patient Data'.

In the remainder of this thesis, the LK tracker will be used for non critical endoscopic sequences for which the endoscope camera movement is controlled and for which the acquired images are neat. The SIFT will be used for the critical endoscopic sequences for which the camera moves too quickly or for which air/water bubbles appear.



Fig. 4-2: Results of the matching of features using the LK tracker for the first pair of endoscopic images acquired during an endoscopy examination for the surveillance of BO: a) a feature (green point) was detected in the reference image and was located at the intersection of blood vessels; b) zoomed image on the feature; c) the LK tracker tracked the feature successfully and the resulting matched feature in the target image was located at the intersection of the same blood vessels; d) zoomed image on the matched feature.



Fig. 4-3: Illustration of a mismatch with the SIFT for the first pair of endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus: a) a feature has been detected in the reference image of the oesophagus at a given scale and with a given orientation: the feature is at the centre of the circle whose radius is proportional to the scale of the feature and the drawn radius indicates the orientation of the feature; b) zoomed image on the detected feature in a); c) the drawn feature in the target image is the actual match of the feature drawn in a) but the matching process does not match these two features; d) the zoomed image on the detected feature in c) shows that the orientation of the feature is not the same as that of the feature in a); e) the matching process matches the feature in a) with the feature in e) which does not correspond to the same anatomical point; f) zoomed image on the feature detected in e).



Fig. 4-4: Illustration of a mismatch with the SIFT for the second pair of endoscopic images: a) a feature has been detected in the reference image of the oesophagus at a given scale and with a given orientation; b) zoomed image on the detected feature in a); c) the drawn feature in the target image is the actual match of the feature drawn in a) but the matching process does not match these two features; d) the zoomed image on the detected feature in c) shows that the orientation of the feature is not the same as that of the feature in a); e) the matching process matches the feature in a) with the feature in e) which does not correspond to the same anatomical point; f) zoomed image on the feature detected in e).



Fig. 4-5: Results of the matching of features using the LK tracker for the second pair of endoscopic images acquired during an endoscopy examination for the surveillance of BO: a) a feature (green point) was detected in the reference image and was located at the intersection of blood vessels; b) zoomed image on the feature; c) the LK tracker tracked the feature successfully and the resulting matched feature in the target image was located at the intersection of the same blood vessels; d) zoomed image on the matched feature.



Fig. 4-6: Illustration of the error of the localisation of 4 detected features in an endoscopic image: a) a 255 pixels x 165 pixels endoscopic image of the pattern corresponding to an endoscopic image acquired on a patient during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus; b) the green points correspond to the 100 estimations of the features detected with the LK tracker in 100 endoscopic images of the pattern; c) the green points correspond to the 100 estimations of the green points correspond to the 100 estimations of the green points correspond to the 100 estimations of the green points correspond to the 100 estimations of the features detected with SIFT in 100 endoscopic images of the pattern.

4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features

Features are detected with an error due to the noise in endoscopic images. Many authors made the classical assumption that the errors of the localisation of features are identically and independently distributed with a Gaussian distribution in order to use computer vision

algorithms, especially those for the computation of the fundamental matrix (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Csurka et al., 1997; Torr and Murray, 1997; Zhang, 1998; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). This experiment aimed to study the distribution of the error of the localisation of features detected with the LK tracker and with SIFT in endoscopic images whose distortions were corrected.

4.2.2.1 Materials and method

An image from an endoscopy sequence acquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus was printed out. This image was glued to a flat rigid box, and placed in front of a digital high resolution endoscope mounted with a video colour CCD camera (Pentax Ltd.). The box and the endoscope were maintained still in order to acquire the same endoscopic images and to evaluate the uncertainty of the feature localisation. Fig. 4-6 a) presents an example of the acquired endoscopic images. Features were detected first with the LK tracker and secondly with SIFT in 100 acquired images of the pattern stuck on the box. A separate acquired image was used to set the parameters of the LK tracker and of SIFT for the detection of features. These parameter values were used for the 100 other images. Gaussian distribution of the error for 4 different feature locations was evaluated as presented by Johnson and Wichern (1998). Features were selected such that they differed in contrast and such that they corresponded to very different patterns. The feature of interest can be considered as a random vector \mathbf{p}_i whose location $\mathbf{p}_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is estimated in each image \mathbf{I}_i . If the error on \mathbf{p}_i were from a bivariate Gaussian distribution, the probability for \mathbf{p}_i to satisfy (\mathbf{p}_i - μ_j)^{tr} $\Lambda_{pj}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_j - \mu_j) \le \chi_2^{-2}(0.5)$ should be 0.5 where μ_j and Λ_{pj} are the mean and the covariance matrix of the Gaussian distribution, and χ_2 is the chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom (Johnson and Wichern, 1998). The test of normality of \mathbf{p}_i consists, therefore, of computing the mean $\mathbf{p}_{j\text{mean}}$ and the covariance matrix \mathbf{S}_j of the observations $\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$, and of counting the percentage of sample observations $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ that satisfy $(\mathbf{p}_{j} - \mathbf{p}_{jmean})^{\text{tr}} \mathbf{S}_{j}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_{j} - \mathbf{p}_{jmean}) \leq \mathbf{S}_{j}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_{j} - \mathbf{p}_{jmean})$ $\chi_2^2(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = [0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 0.99]$. Statistical tests, such as the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, provide an alternative way to test the normality of the localisation of the features. However, such tests are designed to demonstrate the non-normality of a distribution.

4.2.2.2 Results

The locations of the features of interest were displayed as green points in one of the 100 acquired endoscopic images (Fig. 4-6). These locations varied for both detections with

the LK tracker and SIFT. The percentage of sample observations was approximately the same as the value of α except for a few cases especially for the features detected with the LK tracker (Table 4-1 and Table 4-2). The reason is that the implementation of the LK tracker used in this thesis detects feature locations at the pixel resolution. It results from this implementation that no feature is in the 25% confidence contour or that almost all the features are in the 75% confidence contour. Although this test was run for only four features in an endoscopic image, the classical assumption of Gaussian distribution of the error on the feature location was accepted for the rest of the thesis.

4.3 Analysis of the methods for the estimation of the camera movement

Three main methods were presented in Chapter 3 'Literature Review: Possible Approaches for Biopsy Site Re-localisation and Application for the Surveillance Examination of Barrett's Oesophagus'. These are the Least Median of Squares (LMedS), the RANdom SAmple Consensus (RANSAC), and the Maximum A Posteriori SAmple Consensus (MAPSAC). These methods are compared in this chapter for the specific case of endoscopic images and the choice for MAPSAC is explained. Moreover, the results of an experiment are presented and help decide how many feature matches are used to compute the fundamental matrix.

0		25%	50%	75%	99%
	Feature 1	0	41	96	100
% of sample	Feature 2	34	34	78	100
observations	Feature 3	22	43	61	100
	Feature 4	0	35	95	100

Table 4-1: Percentage of sample observations after feature detection with the LK tracker for various α :

Table 4-2: Percentage of sample observations after feature detection with the SIFT for various α :

α		25%	50%	75%	99%
	Feature 1	0	44	93	100
% of sample	Feature 2	20	40	67	100
observations	Feature 3	4	51	86	100
	Feature 4	4	57	86	100

4.3.1 Experiment: comparison of the estimations of the fundamental matrix with LMedS, RANSAC, and MAPSAC

Torr and Murray (1997) and Torr (2002) investigated the performances of the LMedS, RANSAC, and MAPSAC methods. They found that the MAPSAC outperformed the other two methods as it searches for the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ which best fits the set of detected inliers while the other two methods tend to return the solution which maximises the number of inliers. The following experiment also illustrates why the MAPSAC is chosen for the recovery of the epipolar geometry between endoscopic images.

4.3.1.1 Materials and method

The overall goal of the biopsy site re-localisation is the determination of accurate epipolar lines. Therefore, this experiment compared the accuracy of the epipolar lines in the target image **T** derived from the biopsy site location in the reference images I_i with the 3 methods LMedS, RANSAC, and MAPSAC. The epipolar geometry was recovered by following the process presented in Fig. 3-22. One sequence from the same surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) of a patient was processed. Image dimensions were 339 pixels x 216 pixels and the sequence included 101 images. Images were undistorted.

The first image was used to tune the parameters for feature detection and tracking with the LK tracker. The number of inliers was counted which helped set the number *m* of repeats of the random sampling consensus for the computation of the fundamental matrix. The fundamental matrix was recovered between this first image and the last image of the sequence with the LMedS in order to find an estimate of the residuals $e_j^{(I_i)}$ and of their standard deviation σ . This standard deviation was used to set the threshold *T* for the distinction of inliers and outliers with RANSAC and MAPSAC. Given the image dimensions, the size of the buckets to group the features that are spatially close was set at 20 pixels.

For the 100 other pairs of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$:

- The feature matches were found with the LK tracker
- The fundamental matrices were recovered, afterwards, with either the LMedS, or the RANSAC, or the MAPSAC.

Table 4-3: RMS errors in pixels of the distances from the epipolar lines to the ground-truth of the biopsy site in the target image **T** when the lines are computed with LMedS, RANSAC, or MAPSAC:

RMS _{LMedS} (pixels)	RMS _{RANSAC} (pixels)	RMS _{MAPSAC} (pixels)
1.86	1.80	1.70

The biopsy site was a distinctive mark at the tissue surface and was tracked manually to return a ground truth position in **T** referred as $\mathbf{p}_0 = [\mathbf{p}_{\partial x}, \mathbf{p}_{\partial y}, 1]^{\text{tr}}$. For each pair of images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$, the epipolar line corresponding to the biopsy site in \mathbf{I}_i was derived in **T**. The distances of the epipolar lines $\mathbf{el}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [el_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_{jm}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}]^{\text{tr}}$ from the groundtruth \mathbf{p}_0 in **T** were measured and the Root Mean Squared (RMS) error of the distances was computed:

$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \cdot p_{0x} + el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \cdot p_{0y} + el_m^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}}{\sqrt{el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2} + el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}}} \right)^2} .$$
(4-1)

Three RMS errors were compared: the first one was obtained for the epipolar geometry estimated with the LMedS, the second one for the RANSAC, and third one for the MAPSAC.

4.3.1.2 Results and discussion

The epipolar lines recovered with the MAPSAC were slightly more accurate than those recovered with the LMedS or the RANSAC (Table 4-3). The epipolar lines all passed a few pixels away from the ground-truth. Although the results did not show a great difference in accuracy, the MAPSAC was preferred for the computation of the epipolar geometry in this thesis. The MAPSAC has the advantage of taking into account the residuals that the matches form with the fundamental matrix in the cost function (equation (3-27)) while the RANSAC scores only outliers in the cost function (equation (3-24)). If the threshold *T* for the distinction of inliers from outliers is set too high, the robust estimate with RANSAC can be very poor and there are more solutions with the same score tending to poor estimations. Besides, MAPSAC is looking for a solution which fits the matches with a minimal sum of squared residuals while RANSAC looks for the solution. MAPSAC is preferred to LMedS since LMedS is not robust for more than 50% outliers (Rousseeuw and Leroy, 1987).

4.3.2 Experiment: number of matches for the computation of the fundamental matrix

In this thesis, the fundamental matrix is computed from a minimal set of matches which is 7. The LK tracker or SIFT tend to return outliers among the matches. Thus, more than 7 matches have to be detected. Given 2 endoscopic images, the goal of this experiment was to give an order of the number of matches that have to be detected in order to recover accurate epipolar lines for the biopsy site re-localisation. Hartley and Zisserman (2004) demonstrated experimentally that epipolar lines recovered from 50 matches were more precise than those

recovered from 20 matches. They ran these experiments with real world images where objects providing features were at various distances from the camera. Luong and Faugeras (1996) discussed as well the number of matches and concluded that accurate epipolar geometry is commonly recovered from sets of a lot of matched features that spread well over the image. However, the matches used for the experiments presented by Hartley and Zisserman (2004) and by Luong and Faugeras (1996) did not contain outliers. Moreover, the authors did not apply their computations to endoscopic images. Therefore, this section focuses on the number of matches necessary for the computation of accurate epipolar lines in endoscopic images acquired during a surveillance examination of BO.

4.3.2.1 Materials and method

The experiment consisted of studying the accuracy of an epipolar line used for the biopsy site re-localisation as a function of the number of inliers used for the computation of the fundamental matrix. This experiment was run for a pair of one reference image where an optical miniprobe appeared and one target image where there was no miniprobe (Fig. 4-7). The image dimensions were 339 pixels x 216 pixels.

The process was as follows:

- 1. 100 Features were tracked with the LK tracker from the reference image to the target image. The number of inliers was counted in order to determine a value for the number *m* of repeats of the Random Sample Consensus for the computation of the fundamental matrix.
- 2. An inaccurate fundamental matrix was recovered between the reference image and the target image with the LMedS as presented in Fig. 3-22 in order to find an estimate of the Sampson's residuals $e_j^{(I_i)}$ and of their standard deviation σ . This standard deviation was used to set the threshold *T* for the distinction of inliers and outliers with MAPSAC.



Fig. 4-7: Pair of reference and target images for the study of the accuracy of an epipolar line as a function of the number of feature matches: a) the biopsy site was selected in the reference image on a blob at the tissue surface, b) this biopsy site was identified in the target image and is the ground truth.

	Bucket size (pixels)							
	8	10	12	15	20	25	30	35
Number of matches	98	86	63	43	32	27	16	15
Number of inliers detected by MAPSAC	66	55	40	27	20	17	10	8
Accuracy (pixels)	-1.39	-1.22	-4.71	6.21	7.31	6.61	22.01	-23.94

Table 4-4: Accuracy of the epipolar line as a function of the number of matches in the pair of reference image and target image.

- 3. The features were grouped into buckets as described in section '3.5.3.4 Optimisation of the computation of the fundamental matrix'. The bucket size took the values as follows: 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 pixels. For each bucket size, the fundamental matrix was computed as presented in Fig. 3-22 using MAPSAC and the values of *m* and *T* found in steps 1 and 2. The size of the bucket was, therefore, used to control the number of matches and to guarantee a good spread of the features over the whole 3D surface observed with the endoscope camera.
- 4. A point was selected manually in the reference image and tracked manually in the target image to be the biopsy site. This biopsy site corresponded to a salient blob well identified in these images. The location of the biopsy site in the target image was the ground truth and noted $\mathbf{p}_0 = [p_{0x}, p_{0y}, 1]^{\text{tr}}$. After the computation of the fundamental matrix for each bucket size, the epipolar line $\mathbf{el} = [el_x, el_y, el_m]^{\text{tr}}$ was derived in the target image from the biopsy site location in the reference image. The accuracy of the epipolar line was measured in pixels as the distance from the epipolar line to the ground truth in the target image:

accuracy =
$$\frac{el_x \cdot p_{0x} + el_y \cdot p_{0y} + el_m}{\sqrt{el_x^2 + el_y^2}}$$
. (4-2)

4.3.2.2 Results and discussion

Approximately 30% outliers were detected manually during the counting process. According to Table 3-1, only 54 tests of the MAPSAC could be applied to compute a correct fundamental matrix. However, in order to improve the chance to compute an accurate fundamental matrix, 3000 tests were run. When the number of matches was high which corresponded to small bucket sizes, for example 63, 86, or 98, the epipolar line was accurate (Table 4-4). The corresponding fundamental matrices were recovered from a high number of inliers, respectively 40, 55, and 66. For fewer matches, the accuracy was getting worse. For these cases, outliers corrupted the sets of matches and the fundamental matrix could have been wrongly computed by detecting an outlier as an inlier. Thus, in practice for the rest of this thesis, the LK tracker and the SIFT were tuned such that approximately 100 matches could be detected.

4.4 Conclusion

This chapter discussed the method to recover accurate epipolar geometry for the pairs of reference images I_i and target image T where the re-localised biopsy site needs to be computed. In practice, sequences were processed offline after the endoscopy examination. Before the examination and image acquisition, the endoscope camera was calibrated in order to estimate the barrel distortions. Each acquired sequence was corrected for distortions after the examination. A sequence refers to a series of images acquired around the detected biopsy site. The last image of the sequence was used as the target image where the biopsy site needed to be re-localised. The first image of the sequence was used to tune the parameters of the algorithms for feature detection and matching and for epipolar geometry recovery.

For the tuning, features were preferably detected and matched with the LK tracker applied to the first image of the sequence and to the target image. The LK tracker failed when the endoscope camera moved too quickly and generated blurred images, or when air/water bubbles obstructed the camera field of view. In these cases, SIFT features were detected and matched, but the matching could generate a great number of outliers since it does not impose a spatial constraint. Approximately 100 matches could be detected. The number of outliers was counted visually in order to set the number of estimations necessary for the estimation of the epipolar geometry. The epipolar geometry was recovered using a combination of the 7-point algorithm with the LMedS. The 7-point algorithm was used since it imposes the constraint that the determinant of $\mathbf{F}_{(I,,T)}$ is null. The LMedS was used in order to estimate the standard deviation of the Sampson's residuals that the matches formed with the estimated fundamental matrix. Features in the training image and in the target image were grouped in buckets. For images whose dimensions were of the order of 200 pixels x 200 pixels, the dimensions of the buckets were 20 pixels x 20 pixels.

The other endoscopic images were processed after parameter tuning. Features were detected and matched using the LK tracker or SIFT. The 7-point algorithm was run in combination with MAPSAC in order to estimate the epipolar geometries. The number of tests for MAPSAC was set at 3000 in order to guarantee a great chance of computing accurate fundamental matrix. Features in the images were grouped within buckets as for the tuning step.

The next chapters demonstrate how accurate epipolar lines can be used to re-localise the biopsy site accurately and precisely, and to determine the confidence of the relocalisation. Moreover, another chapter presents a method to improve the accuracy of the epipolar lines when they are recovered from SIFT matches.
Chapter 5 Re-localisation of Biopsy Sites during Endoscopy Examinations

5.1 Introduction

Biopsy sites detected by optical biopsy during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) need to be re-localised in the endoscopic images acquired during the same examination in order to guide instruments to the biopsy sites for tissue excision, or for treatment, or for a new tissue interrogation by optical biopsy.

The approach for the biopsy site re-localisation is based on the computation of the mapping from one reference image I_i where the biopsy site location is known to the target image **T**. In the previous chapters, it was shown that this mapping can be the fundamental matrix $F_{(I_i,T)}$ which is estimated during the recovery of the epipolar geometry formed by the pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$. In order to recover the epipolar geometry, features in both images need to be detected and matched, which can be done with the Lucas Kanade (LK) tracker or with the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT). Bad matches due to failures of the LK tracker or the SIFT corrupt the accuracy of the epipolar geometry. They are, therefore, detected when $F_{(I_i,T)}$ is estimated. The Maximum A Posteriori Sample Consensus (MAPSAC) finds a first estimate of $F_{(I_i,T)}$ that fits best the set of inliers or good feature matches. The estimated $F_{(I_i,T)}$ is refined by non-linear optimisation over the whole set of detected inliers.

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ transforms a point of the reference image \mathbf{I}_i in a line termed epipolar line in the target image **T**. This line indicates the locus of the image point in **T**. In this chapter, a method is presented to re-localise the biopsy site in **T** using epipolar lines. Either 2 epipolar lines or N > 2 epipolar lines are used for the re-localisation. This was first published in Allain et al. (2009a.) and in Allain et al. (2010). The results of studies of the influence on the re-localised biopsy site of the accuracy of the epipolar lines and of the angles they subtend are discussed in this chapter.

5.2 Re-localisation principle

Epipolar lines derived from the biopsy site in the reference images I_i indicate a direction in the target image T along which the biopsy site is. Geometric information from the epipolar lines can be combined in order to determine the location of the biopsy site in T.



Fig. 5-1: Method for biopsy site re-localisation with 2 reference images I_1 and I_2 , a target image T and two epipolar lines $el^{(I_1)}$ and $el^{(I_2)}$: the biopsy site is seen under 2 different viewpoints of the endoscope camera. This results in two distinct epipolar lines $el^{(I_1)}$ and $el^{(I_2)}$ that form an intersection at the location of the biopsy site in T.

5.2.1 Re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines

During an endoscopy examination for the surveillance of BO, a biopsy site can be seen from various viewpoints with an endoscope (Fig. 5-1). If a selected point of interest, for example a biopsy site, is visible in two images I_1 and I_2 , termed reference images, it can be re-localised in a third subsequent image **T**, termed target image, when acquired for example after a small movement of the endoscope camera.

Let **P** be the biopsy site location in the 3D space, and $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_1)}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_2)}$ be the locations of the biopsy site in images I_1 and I_2 . A possible approach would consist of computing P from $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_1)}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_2)}$ and of projecting **P** back onto **T**. The two images \mathbf{I}_1 and \mathbf{I}_2 have to show the physical surface under two very different viewpoints in order to estimate accurately the 3D position P (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). As the endoscope camera has a limited motion in the oesophagus, I1, I2, and T do not show significantly different viewpoints and therefore this approach was not chosen for the re-localisation. The fundamental matrices $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{I},\mathbf{T})}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_2,\mathbf{T})}$ can be computed between images \mathbf{I}_1 and \mathbf{T} and images \mathbf{I}_2 and \mathbf{T} respectively. The axes formed with camera centre 1 and camera centre T, and camera centre 2 and camera centre T, have an intersection with the image plane T, which are termed the epipoles. Let $e^{(I_1)}$ and $e^{(I_2)}$ be the two epipoles of this configuration (Fig. 5-1). As presented in Chapter 3, $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$, $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{l})}$ is a vector and defines the epipolar line $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{l})}$, which passes through the projection of $\mathbf{p}^{(I_I)}$ onto **T**. By geometric construction, the intersection of the plane formed by camera centre 1, camera centre T, and P with the image plane T is the epipolar line $el^{(I_1)}$ (Fig. 5-1). This plane passes through $e^{(I_i)}$. Thus, the epipolar line $el^{(I_i)}$ passes through the projection of $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_1)}$ onto **T** and $\mathbf{e}^{(\mathbf{I}_1)}$ (Fig. 5-1). The epipolar line $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_2)}$ can be defined similarly for

 $\mathbf{F}_{(I_2,T)} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(I_2)}$. The two epipolar lines indicate the locus of the possible images of $\mathbf{p}^{(I_1)}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(I_2)}$. As $\mathbf{p}^{(I_1)}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(I_2)}$ correspond to the same biopsy site location in the 3D-space, the intersection of $\mathbf{el}^{(I_1)}$ and $\mathbf{el}^{(I_2)}$ returns the location of the biopsy site in the target image T (Allain et al., 2009a). A similar method was first proposed by Faugeras and Robert (1994). However, they applied it to real world images representing the same object seen under various viewpoints without the presence of instruments obstructing the camera field of view and positioned at various locations in several images.

During endoscopy, the biopsy site location is defined and issued at that point imaged with an optical biopsy miniprobe passed via the working channel of the endoscope. The imaging axis is commonly arranged around the central axis of the endoscope. Thus, twisting the head of the endoscope creates rotations and translations of the camera, while the probe remains at its location at the tissue surface. This motion helps generate different views of the biopsy site and different epipolar lines can be derived.

5.2.2 Limits of the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines due to their uncertainty

The epipolar geometry formed by the pair of reference image \mathbf{I}_i and target image \mathbf{T} is recovered from a set of matched features $\mathcal{D} = {\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_{j}}_{j=I..L}$ with $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [p_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, p_{jy}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{I}]^{\text{tr}}$, a feature in \mathbf{I}_i which matches $\mathbf{p}_j = [p_{jx}, p_{jy}, \mathbf{1}]^{\text{tr}}$, a feature in \mathbf{T} . The endoscopes that were used for this thesis were mounted with a CCD camera. Noise appears in the images acquired with a CCD camera and has an influence on the detection of features, on the determination of their locations, and propagates to the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{T})}$ and to the epipolar lines $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$.

As stated in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features'), the features $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ and \mathbf{p}_{j} detected with the LK tracker or with the SIFT are localised with an error. Many authors assumed that the errors of the localisations of features $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ are identically and independently distributed with a Gaussian distribution and they approximated the covariance matrix of these errors as $\Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}} = \sigma \mathbf{1}_{2}$ where $\mathbf{1}_{2}$ is the 2x2 identity matrix (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Csurka et al., 1997; Torr and Murray, 1997; Zhang, 1998; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). The experiments in Chapter 4 section '4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features' confirmed that this is a reasonable model for the images acquired with an endoscope and for the features extracted with the LK tracker or with the SIFT.



Fig. 5-2: Uncertainty of the epipolar line: it corresponds to a confidence region which is a hyperbola. The matched point is located on the epipolar line where the two arms narrow to a minimum.

A feature $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ in the reference image \mathbf{I}_{i} is transformed by $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$ as an epipolar line $\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} = \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} = \left[el_{jx}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, el_{jm}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, el_{jm}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right]^{\text{tr}}$ in **T**. Csurka et al. (1997), Zhang (1998), and Hartley and Zisserman (2004) demonstrated that the errors on the localisation of the features $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ propagate to the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$ and to the epipolar line $\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$. The uncertainty or error of the localisation of an epipolar line can be represented visually as a confidence region in which the line is likely to lie (Csurka et al., 1997; Zhang, 1998; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). This region represents the range of directions and positions that the line may have with a given probability. Csurka et al. (1997), Zhang (1998), and Hartley and Zisserman (2004) showed that this region is a hyperbola (Fig. 5-2). The two arms narrow to a minimum at the point in **T** that is the image of the point in **I**_i from which the epipolar line was derived (Fig. 5-2).

As the epipolar lines are determined with uncertainty, they may pass a few pixels away from the true location of the biopsy site, and the intersection of 2 epipolar lines which corresponds to the re-localised biopsy site may not be in coincidence with the true location of the biopsy site. Furthermore, if the two epipolar lines subtend a small angle, their intersection may lie far away from the true location of the biopsy site.

In order to improve accuracy and precision of the re-localisation, N epipolar lines from N different views of the biopsy site may be used (Allain et al., 2010).

5.2.3 Extension of the re-localisation with N epipolar lines

The epipolar lines are derived with uncertainty and do not pass exactly through the biopsy site in the target image **T**. Therefore, the re-localised biopsy site is computed by minimisation of a criterion function taking into account information about the location of the epipolar lines.

The re-localised biopsy site **p** in the target image **T** must satisfy the condition of triangulation with its match $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in the reference image \mathbf{I}_i (Hartley, 1997). This condition means that the two axes passing respectively through Camera centre i and $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$, and through Camera centre T and **p**, must meet at the position of the biopsy site **P** in the 3D space (Fig. 5-3). Longuet-Higgins (1981) demonstrated that the two axes corresponding to the matching pair of points $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$ will meet in space if and only if the algebraic residual that the points form with the fundamental matrix is null:

$$\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} = 0.$$
(5-1)

As discussed in section '5.2.2 Limits of the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines due to their uncertainty', the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is determined with uncertainty. Given the biopsy site location $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in the reference image \mathbf{I}_i and its image \mathbf{p} in the target image \mathbf{T} , the algebraic residual for the pair of points $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$ is not null. Therefore, the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} can be computed by minimisation of the algebraic residuals with the least squares method (Bjorck, 1996):

$$\min_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{p}^{tr} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \right)^{2}.$$
(5-2)

Minimising the sum of squared algebraic residuals may not return a good estimation of the re-localised biopsy site **p**:

- Torr (1995), Hartley and Zisserman (2004), and Hu et al. (2008b) stated that the algebraic residual does not have a geometric meaning. A better measure to minimise is the perpendicular distance from the feature matches to the fitting ellipse that corresponds to the fundamental matrix.



Fig. 5-3: Condition of triangulation: the two axes passing respectively through Camera centre i and $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$, and through Camera centre T and **p**, must meet at the position of the biopsy site **P** in the 3D space.

- Luong and Faugeras (1996), Torr and Murray (1997), and Zhang (1998) demonstrated that an optimal fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is obtained by dividing the algebraic residuals with their standard deviation (equation (3-19)). This operation corresponds to a minimisation of the perpendicular distance of the feature matches to the ellipse corresponding to $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$. Thus, given the biopsy site $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in \mathbf{I}_i , the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} may be searched such that the perpendicular distance from the pair of matches $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$ to the ellipse is minimal. As it is assumed that the biopsy site location $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in image \mathbf{I}_i is known, the standard deviation of the algebraic residuals $\mathbf{p}^{tr} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = p_x \cdot el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} + p_y \cdot el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} + el_m^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = e^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ depends only on the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} . By application of the formula of error propagation, the standard deviation of the algebraic residuals is:

$$\sigma_{e^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}^{2} = \frac{\partial e^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{var}_{px} & \operatorname{cov}_{pxpy} \\ \operatorname{cov}_{pxpy} & \operatorname{var}_{py} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial e^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\partial \mathbf{p}}.$$
(5-3)

where var_{px} and var_{py} are the variances of **p** for the components p_x and p_y , and cov is the covariance of the components p_x and p_y . Equation (5-3) gives:

$$\sigma_{e^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}}^2 = e l_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)2} \cdot \operatorname{var}_{px} + e l_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)2} \cdot \operatorname{var}_{py} + 2 \cdot e l_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \cdot e l_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \cdot \operatorname{cov}_{pxpy}.$$
(5-4)

For features in the images, Luong and Faugeras (1996), Torr and Murray (1997), and Zhang (1998) made the approximation that the variances of the feature point components along the x and y directions of the image are equal and that the covariance terms are too small compared to the variances. A similar approximation is done for the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} and the standard deviation of the algebraic residual is proportional to the sum of the squares of the first and second components of the epipolar line vector:

$$\sigma_{e^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}}^2 \propto e l_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2} + e l_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)^2}.$$
(5-5)

Thus, the algebraic residuals are divided by their standard deviation and the biopsy site is relocalised as the point that minimises its perpendicular distances to the epipolar lines.

- Another approach to justify the minimisation of the algebraic residuals divided by their standard deviation may be inspired from Luong and Faugeras (1996), Torr and Murray (1997), and Zhang (1998) who introduced the concept of minimisation of the perpendicular distance from an image feature to its epipolar line. Indeed, a feature has to be as close as possible to its epipolar line. The perpendicular distance from the searched re-localised biopsy site $\mathbf{p} = [p_x, p_y, 1]^{\text{tr}}$ to its epipolar line $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \left[el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_m^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}\right]^{\text{tr}}$ is defined as (Fig. 5-4):

$$d(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}) = \frac{el_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \cdot p_{x} + el_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \cdot p_{y} + el_{m}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{el_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})2} + el_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})2}}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)_{1}^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)_{2}^{2}}}.$$
(5-6)

where $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}, \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)})_1 = el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is the first component of the vector $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}, \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$. This distance is a signed measure.

For these three reasons, the re-localised biopsy site **p** in the target image **T** is computed such that it minimises by the linear least squares method the sum of all of its distances from the epipolar lines $\{ el^{(I_i)} \}_{i=1..N}$:



Fig. 5-4: The definition of the re-localised biopsy site **p**: it is defined such that it minimises the sum of the perpendicular distances to the epipolar lines.



Fig. 5-5: Framework for the biopsy site re-localisation in the target image T.

115

C is the cost function to minimise. This solution makes the assumption that no epipolar line in $\{\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}\}_{i=1..N}$ is an outlier. This assumption is valid since the epipolar geometries formed for each pair of reference and target images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ are computed initially from sets of inliers among the matches. Any epipolar line derived from these geometries is, therefore, accurate. For future developments, in case an epipolar line is an outlier, equation (5-7) can be solved using a random sampling consensus which consists of selecting the epipolar lines that minimise C_{min} .

The re-localisation of the biopsy site is integrated into a whole algorithm which firstly recovers the epipolar geometries for each pair of endoscopic images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$ (Fig. 5-5). It is assumed that these images are corrected for distortions. As discussed in Chapter 4 section '4.2 Analysis of features ', features can be detected and matched either with the Lucas Kanade tracker when the camera movement is smooth or SIFT when the movement is quick or when air/water bubbles obstruct the camera field of view. The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is estimated with the Maximum A Posteriori Sample Consensus. Once all the fundamental matrices are estimated, the biopsy site is re-localised either with 2 epipolar lines or *N* epipolar lines.

5.3 Experiment 1: study by simulations of the re-localisation precision and bias with the locations of the matches perturbed by a Gaussian noise and with the presence of outliers

The epipolar lines are computed with uncertainty due to the error of the localisation of the features in the endoscopic images (Csurka et al., 1997; Zhang, 1998; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). This error or noise is assumed to be independently and identically Gaussianly distributed. The lines are also determined with uncertainty since outliers corrupt the matches (Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). The uncertainty of the epipolar lines propagates to the relocalised biopsy site.

5.3.1 Method

An experiment was performed in order to study the impact of Gaussian noise that affects the features on the re-localised biopsy site. It was based on simulations in order to have control of the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise and the percentage of outliers. Simulations were run on a virtual endoscopic scene.



Fig. 5-6: Creation of a virtual endoscopy scene and generation of the images: a) a virtual 3D surface mimics a tubular organ; b) and c) 3D points are extracted from the surface and a camera is simulated; d) the 3D points are projected onto the image plane of the simulated camera moving along the surface.

The virtual scene reproduced a section of a hollow organ, such as an oesophagus, which can be described by a cylinder of diameter 2.5cm (Fig. 5-6 a)). A virtual camera representing an endoscope camera was placed within this virtual scene at a distance of approximately 0.75cm from the tissue surface and had an inclination of 30° in order to observe only an extent of the cylinder. The inclination was set at 30° since this was the angle that could be assumed from the endoscopic images acquired on real patients. Moreover, the camera was placed at a distance of 0.75cm from the surface since sequences extracted from real endoscopy examinations showed that the camera is commonly at this distance using the miniprobe as a scale within the image.

Three-D points were extracted at regular distances from this cylinder in order to represent the features of the oesophagus and perturbed with an isotropic Gaussian noise in the x, y, and z directions in order to obtain an irregular distribution of points in the 3D space (Fig. 5-6 b) and c)). During an optical biopsy and a biopsy excision with forceps in real endoscopic sequences in Barrett's Oesophagus (BO), the camera usually observes a small region of the oesophagus which can be its bottom half, for example. Features detected in this region correspond to tissue points on an extent of 2cm x 2cm according to real endoscopic images. Thus, only half of the 3D points were used for the simulations, and the 3D-points were extracted only along a 2.5cm height of the cylinder. In Chapter 4 section '4.3.2 Experiment: number of matches for the computation of the fundamental matrix', it was mentioned that approximately 100 feature matches would be used for the recovery of the epipolar geometries in real endoscopic images of 300 pixels x 300 pixels. In these simulations, 200 3D points were created such that various samples of 100 features could be used for the recovery of the epipolar geometries.

The camera was translated and rotated within this scene in a neighbourhood of a 1.5cm diameter centred on the initial camera position. During real endoscopy examinations of the oesophagus, it was possible to twist the endoscope up to 45° which is why the camera was rotated up to this angle. For each camera pose, the 3D points were projected onto the image plane I_i of the camera (Fig. 5-6 d)).

A 3D point was selected randomly among the 200 3D points to be the biopsy site (Fig. 5-6 b) and c)). This point had, therefore, a known location $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in the reference images \mathbf{I}_i . The last image was considered as the target image \mathbf{T} where the biopsy site needed to be relocalised. The ground-truth position of this site was defined as \mathbf{p}_0 .

For each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, $\alpha\%$ of the features in the target image T were displaced anywhere within its field of view to create outliers. A Gaussian noise of standard deviation varying from 0.1 pixel to 4 pixels was added to the inliers. These figures were used

by Hartley (1997) and Csurka et al. (1997) for images or simulated images that had a field of view of hundreds of pixels. The re-localised biopsy site was computed for each Gaussian noise. The impact of the noise and of the outliers on the re-localised biopsy site was studied by estimating the root mean squared error (RMS) of the re-localisation for each standard deviation of the Gaussian noise. The definition of the error was inspired from West et al. (1999) who measured the Euclidean distance between the ground-truth of a point and its estimate. In these simulations, the error was defined as the Euclidean distance of the re-localised biopsy site to the ground-truth \mathbf{p}_0 . For each standard deviation of the Gaussian noise, the re-localised biopsy site was computed 1000 times and the RMS error was defined as (West et al., 1999):

$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000 - 1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{1000} \left\| \mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_0 \right\|_2^2}$$
 (5-8)

where $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$ is the k^{th} estimate of the biopsy site. By development:

$$RMS^{2} = \frac{1}{1000 - 1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{1000} \|\mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1000 - 1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{1000} \|\mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_{mean}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1000}{1000 - 1} \cdot \|\mathbf{p}_{mean} - \mathbf{p}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(5-9)

The first term of the sum is the experimental precision:

precision =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1000 - 1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{1000} \left\| \mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_{\text{mean}} \right\|_{2}^{2}}$$
 (5-10)

where $\mathbf{p}_{\text{mean}} = [x_{\text{mean}}, y_{\text{mean}}]^{\text{tr}}$ is the mean of the biopsy sites $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$. The second term is the bias:

bias² =
$$\frac{1000}{1000 - 1} \cdot \|\mathbf{p}_{\text{mean}} - \mathbf{p}_0\|_2^2$$
. (5-11)

The generation of a virtual 3D scene and the corresponding analysis were repeated twice:

- the first study was performed with 30% outliers among the matches which corresponds to the proportion counted manually in the last experiment in Chapter 4 section '4.3.2 Experiment: number of matches for the computation of the fundamental matrix'. The relocalised biopsy site was computed with 2 epipolar lines, first, and with 50 epipolar lines, secondly. The number 50 was chosen since for some real endoscopic sequences a similar order of images was used for biopsy site re-localisation.

- the second study was performed with 20% outliers among the matches. The re-localised biopsy site was computed with 2 epipolar lines, first, and with 10 epipolar lines, secondly, since for other real endoscopic sequences approximately 5 to 15 images were used for the biopsy site re-localisation.

The images generated from the projections of the 3D surface onto the camera image plane for each viewpoint were free of noise and outliers. For this experiment, each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$ was modified as follows:

- 1. 100 matches were selected randomly among the 200 matches since this number corresponds approximately to that used for the estimation of the epipolar geometry on patient data.
- 2. For 1000 repeats:
 - a. In image T select randomly α% of the matches and move them by a random displacement within the image in order to create outliers. Indeed, a feature in T forms an outlier with a feature in I_i if the two features do not correspond to the same point at the surface of the object.
 - b. Apply a Gaussian noise sample of standard deviation σ to the features in **T**. The experiments in section '4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features' demonstrated that these are strong assumptions. Nevertheless, these simulations just aimed to generate uncertainty of the epipolar lines in order to study the variations of the location of the relocalised biopsy site **p**. Thus, the noise could be modelled freely.
 - c. Apply a noise sample of standard deviation σ to the features in the images I_i . The biopsy site $p^{(I_i)}$ was perturbed by the noise as well.
 - d. Recover the epipolar geometry formed by the pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$. Derive the epipolar lines in T from the biopsy site $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in I_i .

Thus, for each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, there were 1000 estimations of the epipolar line. Steps 1. and 2. were repeated for a standard deviation of the Gaussian noise σ varying from 0.1 pixels to 4 pixels.

- 3. For each value σ of the standard deviation of the noise:
 - a. For each repeat, re-localise the biopsy site either with 2 epipolar lines or with N epipolar lines. Two sets of 1000 re-localised biopsy sites $\mathbf{p}^{(k)} = [p_x^{(k)}, p_y^{(k)}]^{\text{tr}}$ in the target image **T** were computed: there was one set for the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines and one set for the re-localisation with N epipolar lines.
 - b. For each set of re-localised biopsy sites, the experimental precision and bias of the re-localisation method were computed (equations (5-10) and (5-11)).

The re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} was estimated 1000 times. It was assumed that the relocalised biopsy sites computed with N epipolar lines were close to the true location of \mathbf{p} and there was no need to detect outliers for the computation of the precision and of the bias. However, the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines could return biopsy sites that were very far from **p**. Indeed, **p** can be anywhere within the overlap of the two envelopes of the epipolar lines (Fig. 5-7). The true location of the biopsy site or ground truth was located where the arms of the hyperbolas narrow to a minimum. The simulations aimed to study the variations of the precision and bias of the re-localised biopsy site with the noise on the matches. Therefore, only the re-localised biopsy sites located around the ground truth were used for this study. Fifty percent of these sites were used as inliers and it was assumed that their distribution around the ground truth was Gaussian. These inliers were detected by the minimum volume ellipsoid algorithm (Rousseeuw and Leroy, 1987). This algorithm is as follows:

Draw a subsample of 3 different re-localised biopsy sites $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$:

- 1. Compute the mean $\mathbf{p}_{s \text{ mean}}$ of these 3 sites and their covariance matrix $\mathbf{C}_{s \text{ mean}}$.
- 2. Compute the median m^2 of the Mahalanobis distances of each re-localised biopsy site

with
$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}_{\text{mean}}}$$
: $m^2 = med \quad \left(\mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_{\text{mean}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{s}_{\text{mean}}}^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p}^{(k)} - \mathbf{p}_{\text{mean}} \right)^{\text{tr}}$.

3. The volume of the resulting ellipsoid is proportional to $(\det(m^2 \mathbb{C}))^{1/2}$.

The subsample drawing is repeated. The mean and the covariance of the distribution of the re-localised biopsy sites correspond to those that return the minimum volume over the drawings.



Fig. 5-7: Intersection of two epipolar lines and locus of the possible re-localised biopsy sites: the epipolar lines are characterised by their envelope whose thickness represents the confidence level (50% confidence, for example). The lines can be anywhere within this envelope with the corresponding probability. The re-localised biopsy site is in the region corresponding to the overlap of the two envelopes. The overlap depends on the angle that the two epipolar lines subtend: a) the two epipolar lines subtend a large angle; b) the two epipolar lines subtend a small angle.

5.3.2 Results

The matches between the images I_i and the target image T were contaminated with a Gaussian noise and a certain percentage of matches was displaced in order to create outliers. When the standard deviation of the noise increases, the features may lie far from their true location. The resulting feature matches can be outliers for the epipolar geometry. Thus, the epipolar geometry is recovered from less inliers. Luong and Faugeras (1996), Hartley and Zisserman (2004), and Hu et al. (2008b) showed that the epipolar geometry and, therefore, the epipolar lines are recovered with less precision when less inliers are used. Therefore, the epipolar lines may be further away from the location of the ground-truth and their orientation angle determined by the envelope is larger (Csurka, 1997; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). In order to illustrate this property of inaccuracy, an example of the behaviour of an epipolar line $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \left[el_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, el_m^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}\right]^{\text{tr}}$ derived from the biopsy site $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ for a pair of images \mathbf{I}_i and \mathbf{T} with the increasing standard deviation of the Gaussian noise is shown in Fig. 5-8. As the epipolar geometry from which this epipolar line was derived was estimated 1000 times for each standard deviation of the noise, the distance of this epipolar line from the ground-truth was computed 1000 times. The accuracy of the line was defined as the root mean squared error of the perpendicular distance of each estimation of this line to the ground-truth $\mathbf{p}_0 = [x_0, y_0, 1]^{\text{tr}}$ (West et al., 1999):

$$\operatorname{accuracy}_{\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{i}_{t})}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1000} \sum_{k=1}^{1000} \left(\frac{el_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{t})(k)} \cdot x_{0} + el_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{t})(k)} \cdot y_{0} + el_{m}^{(\mathbf{I}_{t})(k)}}{\sqrt{el_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{t})(k)2} + el_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{t})(k)2}}} \right)^{2}} .$$
(5-12)

In practice, it could happen that some estimates of the epipolar line out of the 1000 estimates were wrong. These were detected using the LMedS where the error was the distance of the estimate of the epipolar line to the ground truth of the biopsy site. When the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise increased, the values of the accuracy of the epipolar line increased (Fig. 5-8).

The biopsy site **p** is re-localised in the target image **T** either as the intersection of two epipolar lines or by minimisation of a cost function C which is the sum of the perpendicular distances from **p** to the epipolar lines (equation (5-7)).

For a re-localisation of the biopsy site with 2 epipolar lines, as the lines could be further away from the ground-truth when the noise increased, their intersection could lie further away from the ground-truth (Fig. 5-9 a)). Fifty percent of these re-localised biopsy sites were used for the estimation of the precision (Fig. 5-9 b)). The disparity of the relocalised biopsy sites was greater when the noise increased. Thus, for the simulations, when the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise on the features increased, the re-localised biopsy sites formed a larger point cloud around the ground truth and the value of the re-localisation precision was higher. As the point cloud was larger, the mean of the re-localised biopsy sites might be further away from the ground-truth \mathbf{p}_0 and the re-localisation bias might be higher (Fig. 5-10 and Fig. 5-11).

For a re-localisation with N > 2 epipolar lines, the biopsy sites were close to the ground-truth \mathbf{p}_0 (Fig. 5-9 c)). The value of the minimum C_{\min} of the cost function defined in equation (5-7) increased as the epipolar lines were further away from the ground-truth (Fig. 5-12). As the biopsy site was estimated 1000 times, 1000 costs C_{\min} were determined and an average of the costs could be computed. This cost tended to increase with an increasing noise. Therefore, the re-localised biopsy sites were computed with less precision (Fig. 5-13 and Fig. 5-14). Their bias increased as well. For comparison, the re-localised biopsy site was also computed by minimisation of the algebraic distance (equation (5-2)). The resulting biopsy site was less precise than that obtained by minimisation of the perpendicular distances. The biases were similar. Finally, precisions and biases had smaller values for re-localisation with N > 2 epipolar lines.

This experiment consisted of adding noise and outliers among the feature matches in order to create uncertainty of the epipolar lines. The location of the re-localised biopsy site was less precise and more biased when the noise increased and when the epipolar lines were less accurate. The resulting re-localised biopsy sites were less biased and more precise when they were estimated from N > 2 epipolar lines than when they were estimated from 2 epipolar lines.



Fig. 5-8: Accuracy of an epipolar line in the target image **T** derived from the biopsy site in the reference image I_i with a varying standard deviation of the Gaussian noise (a) for 30% outliers among the feature matches and (b) for 20% outliers among the feature matches. The image dimensions were 700 pixels x 700 pixels.



Fig. 5-9: Distribution of the re-localised biopsy sites in the target image **T**: a) the re-localised biopsy site was computed 1000 times with 2 epipolar lines: because of the uncertainty of the epipolar lines, the re-localised biopsy sites (green points) could lie very far from the ground truth; b) zoom on the region where most of the re-localised biopsy sites were determined: 50% of these sites were selected with the MVE algorithm. The blue ellipse is the 99% confidence ellipse centred on the mean of the biopsy sites; c) the green points are the 1000 re-localised biopsy sites computed with N > 2 epipolar lines: these sites were close to the ground-truth, the blue ellipse is the 99% confidence ellipse centred on the mean of the biopsy site.



Fig. 5-10: Bias and precision of the biopsy site re-localised with 2 epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features and for 30% outliers.



Fig. 5-11: Bias and precision of the biopsy site re-localised with 2 epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features and for 20% outliers.



Fig. 5-12: Average of the minimum C_{\min} of the cost function C used for the computation of the relocalised biopsy site with N epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features.



Fig. 5-13: Bias and precision of the biopsy site re-localised with 50 epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features and for 30% outliers.



Fig. 5-14: Bias and precision of the biopsy site re-localised with 10 epipolar lines for a varying standard deviation of the noise on the features and for 20% outliers.

5.4 Experiment 2: Study of the influence of the angle of the epipolar lines on the accuracy of the re-localised biopsy site using patient data

The biopsy site may be re-localised from a set of $N \ge 2$ epipolar lines. As the lines are computed with uncertainty, they vary in direction and accuracy within an envelope which is a hyperbola. Therefore, the epipolar lines are likely to pass a few pixels away from the biopsy site in the target image **T**. Furthermore, the camera movement is limited in the oesophagus and many epipolar lines may subtend a very small angle, especially the lines derived from consecutive video images. These experiments aimed to study the influence of the angle subtended by the epipolar lines on the re-localised biopsy site.

5.4.1 Materials and method

Two sequences acquired during gastroscopy were processed for a re-localisation with respectively 2 epipolar lines and N > 2 epipolar lines.

For 2 epipolar lines, the processed sequence showed a miniprobe inserted into the working channel of the endoscope. The miniprobe was touching the tissue for interrogation and was removed for tissue excision. When the tissue was scanned with the miniprobe, the endoscope camera could move up and down to generate multiple viewpoints. The biopsy site was located at the tip of the miniprobe. A mark was located next to the biopsy site which helped track the biopsy site location in the images where the miniprobe did not appear. The biopsy site location was tracked manually through the images until the target image, for convenience determined as the last image of the sequence. The epipolar geometry was computed for each pair of reference image I_i and target image T. The epipolar lines were derived and the biopsy site was re-localised with 2 epipolar lines derived from 2 consecutive reference images first and subsequently from 2 different viewpoints.

For *N* epipolar lines, the processed sequence was acquired without the miniprobe in the field of view. The biopsy site was identified as the mark on the tissue and a gold standard position \mathbf{p}_0 was retrieved. As there was no miniprobe inserted, the camera movement is larger and the viewpoints were very different. Thus, the derived epipolar lines subtended angles larger than 90°. Twenty epipolar lines were selected to subtend an angle whose value varied from 10° to 90°. For each angle value, the biopsy site was re-localised with the 20 selected epipolar lines. Twenty lines were selected as this is a high number of lines for biopsy site re-localisation in practice. This computation was repeated 100 times for each angle value and there were 100 estimations $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$ of the re-localised biopsy site. The accuracy of the re-localisation was estimated as the RMS error of the distances between $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$ and \mathbf{p}_0 (equation (5-8)).

5.4.2 Results

For the case of the re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines derived from 2 consecutive images, the epipoles of the pairs $I_1 \leftrightarrow T$ and $I_2 \leftrightarrow T$ were next to each other and the resulting epipolar lines subtended a small angle (Fig. 5-15). Because of their uncertainty, the epipolar lines did not pass through the biopsy site and their intersection was far from it. For 2 different viewpoints, the epipoles were at different locations and the resulting epipolar lines subtended a larger angle (Fig. 5-16). The re-localised biopsy site was closer to the true location of the biopsy site. For the re-localisation with *N* epipolar lines, the camera movement had the potential to generate lines with large differences in directions (Fig. 5-17 a)). The larger the angle of the bundle of the epipolar lines, the smaller the RMS error of the re-localisation (Fig. 5-17 b)). Thus, the endoscope camera has to move in such a way that the epipoles corresponding to each pair of reference and target images do not coincide. If the camera moves along the viewing direction, the epipoles will coincide and the epipolar lines will also coincide, as will be shown in Chapter 7. Twisting the endoscope can help to generate distinct epipoles. This will also be discussed in Chapter 7.



Fig. 5-15: Re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines derived from consecutive images: a) and b) the 2 reference images I_I and I_2 show the miniprobe in the field of view in contact with the tissue. A mark was visible to the right of the miniprobe which helped track the location of the biopsy site until the target image T c). The epipolar geometries derived from the pairs of images $I_I \leftrightarrow T$ and $I_2 \leftrightarrow T$ were very similar; d) and e) show the epipolar lines in T (red lines) derived from the features detected in I_I and I_2 . The lines have a unique intersection which is the epipole; f) the epipolar lines derived in T from the biopsy site locations in I_I and I_2 formed an intersection which was far from the biopsy site.



Fig. 5-16: Re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines derived from images acquired under different viewpoints: a) and b) the 2 reference images I_1 and I_2 show the miniprobe in the field of view in contact with the tissue. A mark was visible to the right of the miniprobe which helped track the location of the biopsy site until the target image T c). The epipolar geometries derived from the pairs of images $I_1 \leftrightarrow T$ and $I_2 \leftrightarrow T$ were different as the endoscope camera moved down; d) and e) show the epipolar lines in T (red lines) derived from the features detected in I_1 and I_2 . The lines have a unique intersection which is the epipole; f) the epipolar lines derived in T from the biopsy site locations in I_1 and I_2 formed an intersection which was near the biopsy site.



Fig. 5-17: Re-localisation with N epipolar lines and variations of the re-localisation accuracy with the angle subtended by the epipolar lines: a) bundle of epipolar lines generated by the large camera movement; b) when the angle subtended by the epipolar lines grew, the RMS error of the re-localised biopsy site decreased.

5.5 Conclusion

In this chapter, a method for re-localisation of a biopsy site in a target image with a set of epipolar lines was presented. The re-localised biopsy site may be computed with either 2 epipolar lines or N > 2 epipolar lines. Simulations were performed in order to study the variations of the precision and of the bias of the re-localised biopsy site with the standard

deviation of the Gaussian noise on the features and, therefore, with a greater uncertainty of the epipolar lines. The simulations showed that the re-localisations were more precise and less biased when the noise on the feature matches increases. Moreover, the experiments on patients showed that the angle formed by the epipolar lines is also important for an accurate re-localisation and that a re-localised biopsy site computed from only 2 epipolar lines risks being far away from the true location of the biopsy site. Thus, the re-localisation with N > 2 epipolar lines is preferred.

The re-localisation methods return the location of a point. During endoscopy procedures, these methods could be applied for guidance of forceps to the biopsy site for tissue excision or of optical biopsy miniprobes for a tissue interrogation. Forceps usually extract a tissue extent of 5mm. In practice, the re-localisation methods could be extended with the display of a confidence region around the re-localised biopsy site. The next chapter presents a way to estimate this region for a re-localised biopsy site computed from N > 2 epipolar lines.

Chapter 6 Uncertainty of the Re-localised Biopsy Site

6.1 Introduction

In the previous chapter, a method was presented to re-localise a biopsy site in a target endoscopic image. The re-localisation is based on the use of epipolar lines derived from the biopsy site location in N reference endoscopic images. The number of lines N can be 2 or greater. However, this re-localisation method returns a point only. An endoscopist may be interested, instead, in a measure of the confidence with which the biopsy site was re-localised. Such a measure can be either the precision with which the biopsy site was re-localised or the uncertainty.

The precision measures how close independent re-localisations of the biopsy site are (ISO 5725-1: 1994; Menditto et al., 2007). If the method is biased, the average value of a series of independent re-localisations is deviated from the true location of the biopsy site (Menditto, 2007). If the method is not biased or if the bias is small, the precision is an indicator of the accuracy which measures the closeness of agreement between the re-localised biopsy site and its true location.

The uncertainty returns a range of locations within which the true biopsy site is asserted to lie (ISO 5725-1: 1994). Csurka et al. (1997), Zhang (1998), and Hartley and Zisserman (2004) showed that the uncertainty of a fundamental matrix or of an epipolar line may be characterised by its covariance matrix. This definition can be applied to the relocalised biopsy site. Thus, when a biopsy site is re-localised, its covariance matrix is computed as well. From this matrix, a confidence region centred on the re-localised biopsy site can be displayed in the target image **T**. This region can help the endoscopist guide the forceps to excise the tissue extent corresponding to the optical measurement done by optical biopsy.

Csurka et al. (1997), Zhang (1998), and Hartley and Zisserman (2004) presented experimental and analytical methods to estimate the uncertainty of the fundamental matrix and of the epipolar lines. This chapter presents a method to compute analytically the uncertainty and the precision of the re-localised biopsy site. The analytical derivation was published first in Allain et al. (2010). Results of the comparison of the experimental and analytical uncertainties using the simulations of Chapter 5 and using patient data are discussed.

6.2 Experimental and analytical computations of the uncertainty of a vector

The uncertainty or covariance matrix can be computed experimentally with a series of estimations of the re-localised biopsy site or analytically using the implicit function theorem.

6.2.1 Confidence ellipse and precision

The derivation of the experimental and analytical uncertainty is presented using a general random vector $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_x, \mathbf{x}_y]^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

For the description of the uncertainty of **x** with the display of a confidence ellipse, it is assumed that the random vector **x** follows a Gaussian distribution of mean $E[\mathbf{x}]$ and of covariance matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}}$:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}} = E\left[\left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}\right] = \begin{bmatrix}\operatorname{var}_{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}} & \operatorname{cov}_{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}y} \\ \operatorname{cov}_{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}y} & \operatorname{var}_{\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x}y} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6-1)

Under this assumption, the quantity $\delta_{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}])^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}])$ follows a χ^2 distribution of 2 degrees of freedom and, given a scalar *k*, the probability $P_{\chi 2}(k, 2)$ that $\delta_{\mathbf{x}}$ appears between 0 and *k* is known (Csurka et al., 1997). The probability that **x** lies inside the ellipse defined by the equation $(\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}])^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}]) = k^2$ where *k* is any scalar is equal to $P_{\chi 2}(k, 2)$. In order to draw the 99% confidence ellipse, for example, *k* has to be found such that $P_{\chi 2}(k, 2) = 0.99$.

The covariance matrix can be computed experimentally or analytically.

6.2.2 Experimental estimation of the uncertainty and of the precision

Csurka et al. (1997), and Zhang (1998) showed that the covariance matrix of a vector, for example the fundamental matrix or the epipolar line, can be estimated experimentally.

The experimental method returns M estimations \mathbf{x}_k of the vector \mathbf{x} and approximates the mean of the vector with the discrete mean defined as:

$$E_{M}\left[\mathbf{x}_{k}\right] = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathbf{x}_{k} .$$

$$(6-2)$$

The covariance matrix Λ_x experimental is approximated by:

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}_{\text{experimental}}} = \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} - E_{M} \left[\mathbf{x}_{k} \right] \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{x}_{k} - E_{M} \left[\mathbf{x}_{k} \right] \right)^{\text{tr}} \right].$$
(6-3)

A confidence region can be drawn under the assumption that \mathbf{x} follows a Gaussian distribution. The measure of the precision may be also useful as an indicator of the accuracy of the estimation of the vector \mathbf{x} . In the previous chapter, the precision was derived from the

root mean squared error (equation (5-10)). When applied to the vector \mathbf{x} with the notations of this Chapter, the precision can be defined as:

precision =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{M-1} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{M} \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - E[\mathbf{x}_{k}]\|_{2}^{2}}$$
.
= $\sqrt{\operatorname{var}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} + \operatorname{var}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}}$ (6-4)

There is a normalisation by M-1 in order to compute an unbiased estimator of the precision (Johnson and Wichern, 1998).

In practice, when **x** refers to the re-localised biopsy site, it is estimated M times by adding a Gaussian noise to the matches of the pair of images I_i and **T** for each estimation. This noise creates variance of the epipolar lines which results in a variance of the re-localised biopsy site. However, such a method adds noise to matches that are already noisy in real images. Therefore, this method may overestimate the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site. Furthermore, this method requires several computations of the epipolar geometries formed between images I_i and **T** which is time-consuming.

An analytical derivation can help avoid the issues that the experimental estimation of the uncertainty and of the precision cause.

6.2.3 Error propagation for the analytical estimation of the uncertainty

Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998) presented an analytical method to estimate the uncertainty of the fundamental matrix and of the epipolar lines. This method is based on a proposition of error propagation and on the implicit function theorem. They were presented already in Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998) and are stated again here as they make some important assumptions for the case of the re-localised biopsy site

Let the general random vector $\mathbf{y} = [\mathbf{y}_x, \mathbf{y}_y]^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be derived from the random vector $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_x, \mathbf{x}_y]^{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\mathbf{y} = \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ where φ is a C^1 function. The Taylor expansion of φ at the first order in the neighbourhood of $E[\mathbf{x}]$ returns:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(E[\mathbf{x}]) + \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}(E[\mathbf{x}]) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}]) + O(\mathbf{x}).$$
(6-5)

 \mathbf{D}_{φ} is the Jacobian of the function φ .

Under the assumption that any sample of **x** is close to $E[\mathbf{x}]$, the function φ can be approximated by its first-order term:

$$E\left[\mathbf{y}\right] \approx \varphi\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right). \tag{6-6}$$

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(E[\mathbf{x}]) \approx \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}(E[\mathbf{x}]) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - E[\mathbf{x}]).$$
(6-7)

Proposition 1: The covariance matrix Λ_y of the vector **y** is therefore:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = E\left[\left(\mathbf{y} - E\left[\mathbf{y}\right]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{y} - E\left[\mathbf{y}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}\right) - E\left[\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right]\right) \cdot \left(\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}\right) - E\left[\varphi\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot E\left[\left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{x} - E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

$$= \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right) \cdot A_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(E\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

$$(6-8)$$

In some situations like the computation of the fundamental matrix or of the biopsy site re-localised with N epipolar lines, **y** is determined by minimisation of a criterion function Q over the samples of **x**:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = Q_{\min}.$$
(6-9)

The function φ and its Jacobian \mathbf{D}_{φ} are, therefore, unknown and the uncertainty of **y** cannot be estimated from **Proposition 1** directly. The implicit function theorem may be applied in order to determine an estimation of \mathbf{D}_{φ} (Spivak, 1979; Faugeras, 1993). The theorem states:

Implicit function theorem:

Let a criterion function $Q: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^{∞} , $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be the measurement vector and $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be a local minimum of $Q(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y})$. If the Hessian \mathbf{H} of Q with respect to \mathbf{y} is invertible at $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ then there exists an open set U' of \mathbb{R}^m containing \mathbf{x}_0 , an open set U'' of \mathbb{R}^p containing \mathbf{y}_0 , and a C^{∞} mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ such that for (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) in $U' \times U''$ there is an equivalence between the two relations ' \mathbf{y}_0 is a local minimum of $Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ with respect to \mathbf{y} ' and ' $\mathbf{y} = \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ ', and:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0}\right) = -\mathbf{H}_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0}\right)}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi\left(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{0}\\\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}}.$$
(6-10)

where Φ is the curve defined by the equation:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

and **H** is the Hessian matrix of the criterion Q with respect to y:

$$\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} = \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$

In case \mathbf{x}_0 and \mathbf{y}_0 are sufficiently close to $E[\mathbf{x}]$ and to $E[\mathbf{y}]$, Equation (6-8) becomes:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{0}\\\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{0}\\\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-\mathrm{tr}}.$$
(6-11)

Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998) demonstrated that in case the criterion function Q is a sum of l squared criteria Q_j such that $Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} Q_j^2(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y})$ with $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_l^{\text{tr}}, ..., \mathbf{x}_j^{\text{tr}}, ..., \mathbf{x}_j^{\text{tr}}]$, ..., \mathbf{x}_l^{tr}]^{tr}, $\mathbf{\Phi}$ and \mathbf{H} become (Press et al., 1988):

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l} Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \frac{\partial Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|^{\mathrm{tr}}$$
$$\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \approx 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\partial Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$
and
$$\frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \approx 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\partial Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial Q_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$$

The covariance matrix Λ_y of the vector \boldsymbol{y} is therefore:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = 4 \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-1} \cdot \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^{l} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right]_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right]_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \left|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{\mathrm{tr}}$$
(6-12)

Under the assumption that the \mathbf{x}_j 's are not correlated, $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) = 0$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{x}1}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{x}2}, \dots, \Lambda_{\mathbf{x}l})$. Equation (6-12) becomes:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = 4 \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-1} \cdot \left[\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}j} \cdot \mathbf{\chi}_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{(6-13)}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}j}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-\mathrm{tr}}$$

According to equation (6-8), the first order approximation of Λ_{Qj} in the neighbourhood of the point (\mathbf{x}_{j0} , \mathbf{y}_0) is:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{Qj} = \frac{\partial Q_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}_{j0} \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_0}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{x}_j} \cdot \frac{\partial Q_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}_{j0} \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_0}}^{\text{tr}} \cdot (6-14)$$

Equation (6-13) becomes:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = 4 \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{Q}j} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j},\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}_{0}}^{\mathbf{x}_{j}=\mathbf{x}_{j0}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{y}_{0})}^{-\mathrm{tr}}.$$
(6-15)

Finally, under the assumption that Q_j has a zero mean and that the Q_j 's are independent and have identical distributed errors (Anderson, 1958):

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{Qj} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{Q} = \frac{1}{l-p} \sum_{j=1}^{l} Q_{j} (\mathbf{x}_{j0}, \mathbf{y}_{0})^{2} = \frac{Q_{\min}}{l-p}.$$
(6-16)

where *p* is the number of parameters or the dimension of **y**. Thus, the covariance matrix Λ_y of **y** can be approximated by:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{2 \, \mathcal{Q}_{\min}}{l - p} \, \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)}^{-\text{tr}} = \frac{2 \, \mathcal{Q}_{\min}}{l - p} \, \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)}^{-\text{tr}}.$$
(6-17)

The proposition for error propagation and the implicit function theorem have been applied by Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998) for the analytical computation of the uncertainty of the fundamental matrix and of the epipolar lines. In this thesis, these proposition and theorem are applied for the computation of the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site.

6.3 Derivation of the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site

The experimental and analytical derivations can be adapted to the biopsy site re-localised with N epipolar lines.

6.3.1 Discussion of the hypotheses of the experimental and analytical derivation of the uncertainty in the case of the re-localised biopsy site computed with N epipolar lines

Three assumptions have to be discussed before applying the derivations of the uncertainty to the re-localised biopsy site. These derivations make the assumptions that the re-localised biopsy site is determined with an error that follows a Gaussian distribution, that the epipolar lines used for the re-localisation are uncorrelated, and that the residuals of the criterion function to minimise in order to determine the re-localised biopsy site have zero mean and are independent and identically distributed.

The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ for the pair of images (\mathbf{I}_i , \mathbf{T}) is determined by minimisation of a criterion (equation (3-27)) over the Sampson's residuals (equation (3-19)) for each pair of the *L* feature matches ($\mathbf{p}_i^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_i$). Let \mathbf{P}_i be defined as the vector:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})\text{tr}} & \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\text{tr}} & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})\text{tr}} & \mathbf{p}_{j}^{\text{tr}} & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_{L}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})\text{tr}} & \mathbf{p}_{L}^{\text{tr}} \end{bmatrix}^{\text{tr}}.$$
(6-18)

Under the assumption that the feature locations are determined sufficiently close to their mean which are considered as the true locations of the features, the implicit function theorem states that there exists a function φ which links $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ to the set of matches and (Csurka et al., 1997; Zhang, 1998):

$$\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \varphi\left(\mathbf{P}_i\right). \tag{6-19}$$

The function φ can be approximated by its first order Taylor development in the neighbourhood of the mean of **P**_i and:

 $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i \end{array} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} E \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i \end{array} \right] \right) + \mathbf{D}_{\varphi} \left(\begin{array}{c} E \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i \end{array} \right] \right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i - E \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i \end{array} \right] \right) + O \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_i \end{array} \right). \quad (6-20)$ If \mathbf{P}_i is sufficiently close to $E[\mathbf{P}_i]$, $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is close to $E[\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}]$. An experiment in chapter 4 section '4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features' illustrated that the features are localised with an error that can be approximated as Gaussian. As the feature locations and $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ may be related with a linear function according to equation (6-20), the error with which $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is determined is Gaussian. Csurka et al. (1997) and Zhang (1998) made this assumption for the determination of the uncertainty of the fundamental matrix.

The epipolar lines $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ used for the biopsy site re-localisation are derived from the fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ and from a biopsy site location $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ in image \mathbf{I}_i . In this derivation, the uncertainty of $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ is not taken into account since the re-localised biopsy site is computed from the epipolar line $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ assuming that it is derived from the true location of the biopsy site in \mathbf{I}_i . Thus, there is a linear relation between $\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ and the error of the re-localisation of the epipolar line is Gaussian.

The re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} in image \mathbf{T} is computed by minimisation of a criterion (equation (5-7)) which is based on the perpendicular distances from \mathbf{p} to the epipolar lines:

$$\mathbf{el} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_1)\mathrm{tr}} & \cdots & \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)\mathrm{tr}} & \cdots & \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_N)\mathrm{tr}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{tr}}.$$
 (6-21)

The implicit function theorem is applied again to find a function which links the re-localised biopsy site to the epipolar lines. Under the first order Taylor expansion of this function, the re-localised biopsy site is linked to the epipolar lines linearly and the error associated to its localisation is Gaussian.

The analytical derivation of the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site makes the assumption that the errors of the localisations of the epipolar lines are uncorrelated. The epipolar lines are derived from fundamental matrices $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ which are themselves derived from sets of matches $(\mathbf{p}_j^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{p}_j)$ for each pair of images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$. When the features are detected and tracked with the Lucas-Kanade (LK) tracker, the LK tracker is launched for each image \mathbf{I}_i . Therefore, the epipolar geometries for the pairs of images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{i+1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ are derived

from different feature matches and the epipolar lines derived from each epipolar geometry for the biopsy site re-localisation are independent. Thus, they are uncorrelated for the case of the LK tracker. When Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) features are detected and matched, features are detected once in image **T** and matched to the features in each image I_i . Therefore, the fundamental matrices are derived from common features in **T** and the assumption of uncorrelated epipolar lines is not satisfied. The analytical derivation cannot be applied to the case of SIFT features.

Finally, the residuals C_i used for the computation of the re-localised biopsy site **p** are defined as the perpendicular distances from **p** to the epipolar lines. The fundamental matrices $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ are computed such that the residuals follow a Gaussian distribution (Chapter 3 section '3.5.3.3.1 Fitting errors or residuals'). As the pair of biopsy sites $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$ is an inlier, the corresponding residual follows a Gaussian distribution as well. Also, these residuals are divided by their standard deviation (Chapter 5 '5.2.3 Extension of the re-localisation with N epipolar lines'). Therefore, these residuals are identically distributed. As the epipolar lines derived from pairs of features detected with the LK tracker are independent, the residuals are independent. The condition of independence will be accepted for the analytical derivation of the uncertainty for the case of features detected and matched using the LK tracker.

The analytical derivation of the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site has the advantage of avoiding a high number of repeats that the experimental derivation requires. However, it makes many approximations.

6.3.2 Analytical estimation of the uncertainty of the biopsy site re-localised with N > 2 epipolar lines

The analytical derivation of the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site presented in the previous sections is possible only for the re-localisations with more than 2 epipolar lines.

The re-localised biopsy site is found by minimising a criterion *C* over the set of epipolar lines $\mathbf{el} = [\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_1) \text{ tr}}, ..., \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_N) \text{ tr}}]^{\text{ tr}}$ since the epipolar lines do not have a common intersection:

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} C\left(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{y}\right) = \min_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)_{1}^{2} + \left(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\right)_{2}^{2}}} \right)^{2} \\
= \min_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}^{\text{tr}} \cdot \mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{el}_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} + \mathbf{el}_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}} \right)^{2} \tag{6-22}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{y}} C(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{y}) = \min_{\mathbf{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{2} = C_{\min}.$$

In order to determine the covariance matrix Λ_p as a function of the epipolar lines, the implicit function theorem is applied to the set of lines **el** and to the curve $\Phi(el, y)$ defined by the equation:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\partial C(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|^{\mathrm{tr}} = 0.$$
(6-23)

Under the assumptions that the epipolar lines are not correlated, and that the C_i 's are independent, have identical distributed errors, and have a zero mean, it results from equation (6-17) that:

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{2 \cdot C_{\min}}{N - p} \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{p})}^{-\mathrm{tr}}$$
with $\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{el}, \mathbf{p})} \approx 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial C_{i} \left(\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{y} \right)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\\\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{p}}}^{\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \frac{\partial C_{i} \left(\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{y} \right)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\\\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{p}}} \cdot (6-24)$
and $\frac{\partial C_{i} \left(\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}, \mathbf{y} \right)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}\\\mathbf{p}}} = \left[\frac{e l_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{e l_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} + e l_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}} \frac{e l_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}}{\sqrt{e l_{x}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}} + e l_{y}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})^{2}}}} \right]$

The uncertainty Λ_p can be represented as a 99% ellipse. It is drawn from the 2 eigenvalues of Λ_p . If their values are small, the ellipse is small. The uncertainty Λ_p depends on the term C_{\min} which is the sum of the perpendicular distances from the re-localised biopsy site **p** to the epipolar lines $el^{(I_t)}$. If the epipolar lines have been derived accurately, the term C_{\min} is small and contributes to make the ellipse small. Furthermore, the uncertainty Λ_p depends on the inverse of the Hessian matrix **H**. This matrix gives the amplitude of the curvatures of the criterion function *C* around the re-localised biopsy site **p**. If the epipolar lines used for the re-localisation subtend a large angle, the curvatures of *C* are large, the 2 eigenvalues of Λ_p are small, and the uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site is small. The ellipse is, therefore, small.

The uncertainty of **p** depends on the accuracy of the epipolar lines and on the angles they subtend.

6.4 Experiment: comparison of the uncertainties derived analytically and statistically by simulations

The uncertainty of the re-localised biopsy site can be estimated either analytically or experimentally. These 2 estimations are compared in this part.

6.4.1 Method

The derivation of the uncertainty $\Lambda_{\mathbf{p}}$ of the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} is based on the assumption that \mathbf{p} follows a Gaussian distribution $N(E[\mathbf{p}], \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}})$. Therefore, this validation consisted of comparing two Gaussian distributions: one whose covariance matrix has been derived analytically and another whose covariance matrix has been derived experimentally. As the derivation of the analytical uncertainty is based on a lot of approximations, the experimental uncertainty was considered as the gold standard.

The uncertainties were compared by simulations and by tests on patient data. The simulations were similar to those conducted in Chapter 5 section '5.3 Experiment 1: study by simulations of the re-localisation precision and bias with the locations of the matches perturbed by a Gaussian noise and with the presence of outliers'. A virtual endoscopy scene was generated and images showing the features were obtained by moving a virtual camera within the scene. The images in these experiments were only made up of features that were not corrupted by noise. A Gaussian noise was added to the features with a standard deviation varying from 0.1 pixels to 4 pixels. For each standard deviation of the noise, the biopsy site was re-localised. This procedure was repeated 1000 times. Thus, 1000 re-localised biopsy sites $\mathbf{p}^{(k)}$ were computed for each standard deviation of the noise. For the comparison of the uncertainties, the re-localised biopsy site and its analytical uncertainty $\Lambda_{p_analytical}$ were computed a first time for each standard deviation of the Gaussian noise. It was supposed that \mathbf{p} was sufficiently close to $E[\mathbf{p}]$ considered as the true biopsy site. Afterwards, as the biopsy site was re-localised 1000 times, the uncertainty $\Lambda_{p \text{ experimental}}$ was estimated experimentally. The mean of the re-localised biopsy site $E[\mathbf{p}]$ was estimated as the arithmetic mean \mathbf{p}_{mean} of all of the re-localised biopsy sites \mathbf{p}_i . For each standard deviation of the Gaussian noise, the analytical distribution $N_{analytical}(\mathbf{p}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_analytical})$ was, therefore, compared to the experimental distribution $N_{experimental}(\mathbf{p}_{mean}, \Lambda_{p_experimental})$. The experiment consisted of comparing first the analytical precision precision_{analytical} to the experimental precision precision_{experimental} computed as in equation (6-4). As the precision takes only into account the diagonal terms of the uncertainty, the distributions Nanalytical and Nexperimental were also compared by measuring the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the two distributions (Kullback, 1959).

The Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence is a measure of the difference between the 2 probability distributions $p_{experimental} = N_{experimental}(\mathbf{p}_{mean}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_experimental})$ and $p_{analytical} = N_{analytical}(\mathbf{p}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_analytical})$. The measure D_{KLl} is defined as:

$$D_{KL1}(p_{experimental} || p_{analytical}) = \sum_{x \in X} p_{experimental}(x) \ln \frac{p_{experimental}(x)}{p_{analytical}(x)}.$$
(6-25)

The variable x is a sample of the distribution $N_{experimental}(\mathbf{p}_{mean}, \mathbf{A}_{p_experimental})$. D_{KL1} is always positive. It is equal to zero if and only if $p_{experimental}$ and $p_{analytical}$ agree everywhere (Cardoso, 1997; Eguchi and Copas, 2006). The divergence D_{KL2} to compare $p_{analytical}$ to $p_{experimental}$ was also measured:

$$D_{KL2}(p_{analytical} \parallel p_{experimental}) = \sum_{x \in X} p_{analytical}(x) \ln \frac{p_{analytical}(x)}{p_{experimental}(x)}.$$
(6-26)

According to the definition of the Kullback-Leibler divergences, the two distributions $N_{experimental}(\mathbf{p}_{mean}, \Lambda_{p_experimental})$ and $N_{analytical}(\mathbf{p}, \Lambda_{p_analytical})$ are similar if D_{KLl} and D_{KL2} are small and are of the same order.

For the patient data, the re-localised biopsy site and its analytical uncertainty were estimated, first, for 3 endoscopic sequences acquired on two patients during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO). The image dimensions for the first patient were 339 pixels x 216 pixels. For the second patient, they were 376 pixels x 280 pixels. The last image of the sequences was selected as the target image T. The previous images were the reference images I_i . For the first sequence of the first patient, the biopsy site was detected at the tip of the optical miniprobe used for an optical biopsy. The location was tracked manually until the target image **T**. This returned the ground truth of the biopsy site in **T**. For the second sequence of the first patient, the biopsy site was selected as a natural bulb at the surface of the oesophagus visible in all of the images. For the sequence of the second patient, an Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) mark was done at the surface of the oesophagus in order to create a ground truth of the biopsy site. Secondly, the experimental uncertainty was estimated for comparison with the analytical uncertainty. For the estimation of the experimental uncertainty, a Gaussian noise was added to the features of the endoscopic images. The feature locations were already detected with a Gaussian error with the LK tracker according to Chapter 4 section '4.2.2 Experiment: study of the error for the localisation of the features'. Thus, the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise was set at 0.1 pixels in order to add a small variation of the location of features that were already noisy. The uncertainties were compared with the Kullback-Leibler divergences.

6.4.2 Results and discussion

6.4.2.1 Results of the simulations

The results of the comparison of the precisions and of the distributions are presented in Fig. 6-1, and Fig. 6-2, and in Table 6-1 and Table 6-2.



Fig. 6-1: Comparison of the experimental and analytical precisions for various standard deviations of the noise in the images of the simulations: the re-localised biopsy site was computed with a) 50 epipolar lines and the percentage of outliers among the matches was 30%, and with b) 10 epipolar lines and the percentage of outliers among the matches was 20%.

The analytical and experimental precisions were of the same order and did not differ significantly (Fig. 6-1). The variations of the experimental precision were already explained in Chapter 5 'Re-localisation of Biopsy Sites during Endoscopy Examinations'. The analytical precision depends on the value of the cost C_{\min} (equation (6-22)). When the noise on the features increases, the epipolar lines are less accurate and C_{\min} takes greater values as discussed in Chapter 5 'Re-localisation of Biopsy Sites during Endoscopy Examinations'. Therefore, the values of the analytical precision increase. The precisions are just an indicator of the accuracy of the re-localised biopsy site and a more advanced comparison of the uncertainties is one that evaluates all the terms of the covariance matrices as the Kullback-Leibler divergence does.

Divergences D_{KL1} and D_{KL2} were most of the time small of the order of 0.1 or 0.01 (Table 6-1 and Table 6-2). For cases like Table 6-1 $\sigma = 0.6$, $\sigma = 1$, $\sigma = 1.5$, $\sigma = 2$, and $\sigma = 2.5$, and Table 6-2 $\sigma = 1$, $\sigma = 3$, and $\sigma = 4$, D_{KL1} and D_{KL2} had close and small values. For these cases, **p** and **p**_{mean} were close as shown in Fig. 6-2 a). The ellipses had a good overlap and were small. This means that, in practice, the endoscopist can see that the re-localised biopsy site **p** is computed accurately and that the uncertainty is small. For cases like Table 6-1 $\sigma = 0.1$, $\sigma = 0.4$, $\sigma = 0.8$, $\sigma = 3$, $\sigma = 3.5$, and $\sigma = 4$, and Table 6-2 $\sigma = 0.1$, $\sigma = 0.6$, $\sigma = 0.8$, $\sigma = 1.5$, $\sigma = 2.5$, and $\sigma = 3.5$, D_{KL1} and D_{KL2} had small values but they differed. For these cases, **p** was further from **p**_{mean} as shown in Fig. 6-2 b). The ellipses did not overlap well. For cases like Table 6-1 $\sigma = 0.2$, and Table 6-2 $\sigma = 0.2$, $\sigma = 0.4$, and $\sigma = 2$, **p** was at the border of the 99% confidence ellipse drawn from the experimental uncertainty larger or smaller than the ellipse drawn from the analytical uncertainty as shown in Fig. 6-2 c). These results were expected since the

analytical derivation makes the assumption that **p** is close to \mathbf{p}_{mean} in order to approximate the experimental uncertainty by the analytical uncertainty. An inaccurate re-localised biopsy site **p** is computed from epipolar lines that are inaccurate. The term C_{min} defined in equation (6-22) becomes, therefore, larger. As the size of the ellipse drawn from the uncertainty depends on the value of C_{min} , the dimensions of the ellipse then also become larger. This means that, in practice, the endoscopist can be provided with a quanititative visual indication of uncertainty in the re-localisation of a target site by displaying the re-localised biopsy site **p** surrounded by a large ellipse as a graphical overlay on the endoscopy image.



Fig. 6-2: Examples of analytical and experimental 99% confidence ellipses: a) the two Kullback-Leibler divergences were small and similar (30% outliers, $\sigma = 0.6$), b) the two divergences were small but one was higher than the other (30% outliers, $\sigma = 0.4$), c) one of the two divergences was very high (20% outliers, $\sigma = 2$).

	Standard deviation σ of the noise											
	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4
D_{KL1}	0.36	0.91	0.20	0.02	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.25	0.10	0.25
D_{KL2}	0.01	0.28	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	3x10 ⁻³	0.01	0.10	0.02	4x10 ⁻³	0.31

Table 6-1: Values of D_{KL1} , and D_{KL2} for re-localisations with 50 epipolar lines for varying standard deviations of noise on the features (percentage of outliers 30%).

Table 6-2: Values of D_{KL1} , and D_{KL2} for re-localisations with 10 epipolar lines for varying standard deviations of noise on the features (percentage of outliers 20%).

	Standard deviation σ of the noise											
	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4
D_{KL1}	0.09	1.12	3.49	0.13	0.20	0.09	0.09	0.45	0.19	0.05	0.44	0.08
D_{KL2}	0.10	0.02	0.09	0.21	0.02	0.01	0.15	1.96	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.02

6.4.2.2 Results on patients

The comparison of the experimental and analytical uncertainties was performed on patient data. The results are presented in Fig. 6-3.

For N > 2 epipolar lines, the ellipses had similar orientations and they did not differ much in size. The corresponding KL divergences were small and of similar orders. The greater axis of the ellipses was smaller than 2mm (Fig. 6-3). The ellipses indicate the region where the biopsy site is likely to lie. Because of approximations during the derivation of the analytical uncertainties, the analytical and experimental ellipses did not overlap exactly. Nevertheless, both ellipses define a region where the biopsy site needs to be taken. Large ellipses in one direction indicate that the epipolar lines are too much in coincidence. If the epipolar lines subtend sufficiently large angles, the confidence ellipses will have areas less than 2mm which is less than half the size of the typical endoscopic biopsy forceps. In this case, if the confidence ellipses are displayed to the operator during tissue sampling, there is a reassurance offered that the desired tissue has indeed been sampled.

6.5 Conclusion

The display of a confidence interval around a previously marked biopsy site of interest is extremely useful for the practicing clinician as the display of both point and regional data can reassure that the desired sample has actually been taken in traditional forceps terms or optically interrogated in optical biopsy terms. This confidence region is drawn from the covariance matrix of the re-localised biopsy site that can be computed experimentally or analytically. The analytical computation requires a single estimation of the re-localised biopsy site and of its uncertainty. However, it makes a series of assumptions about the noise on the matches and the independence of the epipolar lines. The experimental computation does not make any assumption. However, its main disadvantage is the number of iterations this method requires for the computation of the re-localised biopsy site and of the uncertainty. A whole framework for biopsy site re-localisation has been proposed in Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6. The next chapter presents the results of this method on patient data. Chapter 8 focuses on the extension of this framework for cases where the endoscopist moves the camera too quickly or where air/water bubbles obstruct the camera field of view.



Fig. 6-3: Analytical and experimental 99% confidence ellipses for N > 2 epipolar lines in the target image: each row corresponds to a sequence acquired on a patient and presents first the target image with the location of the biopsy site, secondly the analytical ellipse (green) and the experimental ellipse (blue), and finally the KL divergences.
Chapter 7 Test of the Re-localisation Methods on Phantom and Patient Data

7.1 Introduction

The previous chapters presented a method to re-localise a biopsy site in a target endoscopic image using epipolar geometry. The re-localised biopsy site can be determined either as the point at the intersection of two epipolar lines or as the point that minimises the sum of squared perpendicular distances to N > 2 epipolar lines. The re-localisation uncertainty can be computed in order to draw a confidence ellipse around the re-localised biopsy site. This uncertainty can be computed experimentally or analytically. If the re-localised biopsy site is accurate, the experimental and analytical uncertainties are similar and the drawn ellipses overlap well. If the re-localised biopsy site is inaccurate, the ellipse drawn from the analytical uncertainty is large due to the inaccuracy of the epipolar lines used for the re-localisation. This warns the endoscopist that the re-localisation may be inaccurate.

This chapter aims to test the re-localisation techniques and the analytical estimation of the uncertainty. Tests were done on patient data acquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus in the department of Gastroenterology in University College London Hospitals. Data were collected with the ethical approval reference 08/H0808/08. Tests were also done on a rigid tube phantom. A frame of an endoscopic sequence acquired on a patient was used to generate a texture that was stuck inside the tube in order to reproduce an oesophagus. These tests aimed to assess the accuracy and the precision of the re-localisation. The influence of the endoscope camera motion on the re-localisation was studied. The data were processed with SIFT features and with LK features in order to study the difference of accuracies. Finally, these test results demonstrate the importance of the spatial distribution of the features over the physical surface in order to compute an accurately re-localised biopsy site.

7.2 Method

A physical phantom was built from a rigid tube whose diameter was 2.5cm, which corresponds approximately to the diameter of an oesophagus. A synthetic texture was rolled into the tube. As it had to be realistic, it was generated from an endoscopic image acquired during an endoscopic monitoring of Barrett's Oesophagus. The image was repeated several

times to form a whole texture with the dimensions 8cm x 13cm (Fig. 7-1 a)). Some points and lines were added to the texture to give gold standard positions for the biopsy sites and scales for the determination of the field of view of the endoscopic images.

For the patient data, eight sequences were acquired on 4 patients during routine procedures for endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's Oesophagus. Images were acquired with white-light endoscopes or with Narrow Band Imaging (NBI) endoscopes. The NBI endoscopes differ from the white-light ones since they narrow the spectrum of wavelengths in the red-channel image such that the resulting red-green-blue image highlights the superficial vessels of the oesophagus visible in the green and blue channel images. Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) was used to create marks of a diameter of approximately 3mm or gold standards of the biopsy site at the tissue surface (Fig. 7-1 b))



Fig. 7-1: Endoscopic image of a) the phantom with a white-light endoscope: the blue point corresponds to the ground truth of the biopsy site and b) a patient's oesophagus with an NBI endoscope: an APC burn indicates the ground truth of the biopsy site.



Fig. 7-2: Two gastroscopic sequences acquired with an NBI endoscope: a) to d) are images extracted from a sequence where the biopsy site (APC mark) was observed under various viewpoints; e) to h) are from a sequence with a miniprobe in the camera FOV.

The re-localisation was tested on sequences showing a biopsy site (the mark corresponding to the gold standard position) from various viewpoints. The last image of the sequences was selected as the target image for re-localisation. The endoscope camera was moved freely backward, forward, and sideways. It was rotated as well. This first type of acquisition returned a whole sequence of images with the mark only (Fig. 7-2 a) to d)). For a second type of acquisition, an optical biopsy miniprobe was passed via the working channel of the endoscope. The miniprobe was placed in contact with the tissue and was maintained at this location while the endoscope camera rotated and translated backwards (Fig. 7-2 e) to h)). The miniprobe was removed and the endoscopic camera could move freely while keeping the biopsy site in the FOV.

For each sequence, the biopsy site position was tracked manually from I_{l} to T for the re-localisation in T which returned the gold standard in T. Matches for each pair of images I_i and T were found with the LK tracker and also with SIFT. This allowed the performances of the re-localisation with epipolar lines derived from various feature detectors and matching techniques to be compared. Features in the blue points for the phantom and in the APC mark for the patients could be detected with the LK tracker and with SIFT. These features were removed to avoid influencing the accuracy of the fundamental matrix computation. A region of interest around the mark in T was used as a mask. Given the matches, the epipolar geometries were recovered with MAPSAC for each pair of images I_i and T. The epipolar lines were derived from each biopsy site position $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}$, and the re-localisation method was applied with N > 2 epipolar lines. For comparison, the re-localised biopsy site was also computed as the intersection of 2 epipolar lines subtending a large angle. The precision of the re-localised biopsy sites was computed analytically for the sequences where features were detected and matched using the LK tracker. The accuracy was computed in the 2D target image as the Euclidean distance between the re-localised biopsy site and the gold standard position. This distance and the values of the precision were small enough to approximate the corresponding tissue extent as a plane. Under this assumption, the precision and the accuracy computed in the 2D image are representative of the true measures in 3D. The marks or the size of the miniprobe provided a scale in the target image T for the conversion from pixels to millimetres.

The influence of the camera movement on the re-localised biopsy site was studied. This last test aimed to show that a movement of the endoscope camera along its optical axis returns inaccurate re-localisations. A sequence was acquired on the phantom with the whitelight endoscope camera. An optical miniprobe was placed in contact with the texture surface and was maintained at the same location while the endoscope was moving. The camera moved along its optical axis and was such that the resulting epipolar lines should be almost coincident. Four reference images were used to re-localise the biopsy site in the target image. The resulting re-localised biopsy site was computed.

7.3 Results

The re-localisation results are presented in Table 7-1, Table 7-2, and Table 7-3. Biopsy sites were re-localised in endoscopic images with an FOV of approximately 3cm x 3cm with analytical precisions and accuracies of:

- 2.5mm or better with 2 epipolar lines after matching features with the LK tracker.
- 0.45mm or better with N > 2 epipolar lines after matching features with the LK tracker.
- 0.92mm or better with N > 2 epipolar lines after matching features with the SIFT.

The best precisions and accuracies were obtained for the sequences without a miniprobe in the FOV.

The results presented in Table 7-1 demonstrate that a re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines is less accurate than with N > 2 epipolar lines. The main reason is the uncertainty of the epipolar lines. Their intersection may be, therefore, far from the true biopsy site. The use of N > 2 epipolar lines allows the space in **T**, within which the re-localised biopsy site may be, to be constrained.

Sequence	Miniprobe?	FOV	Accuracy: measured distance from
		(pixels ; cm)	the re-localised biopsy site to the gold
			standard
			(pixels ; mm)
Phantom Sequence 1	Yes	(283 x 180 ; 3 x 4)	(3.1; 0.20)
Phantom Sequence 2	Yes	(384 x 288 ; 4 x 6)	(11.9; 0.44)
Patient 1 Sequence 1	Yes	(339 x 216 ; 2 x 2)	(9.6 ; 0.96)
Patient 1 Sequence 2	No	(339 x 216 ; 5 x 2)	(3.3; 0.67)
Patient 2 Sequence 1	No	(283 x 180 ; 3 x 2)	(18.6; 1.01)
Patient 3 Sequence 1	No	(283 x 180 ; 6 x 2)	(19.2 ; 1.92)
Patient 3 Sequence 2	Yes	(283 x 180 ; 5 x 1)	(6.9; 0.46)
Patient 4 Sequence 1	Yes	(376 x 280 ; 1 x 1)	(15.5; 0.61)
Patient 4 Sequence 2	Yes	(376 x 280 ; 7 x 2)	(21.5; 2.15)

Table 7-1: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with 2 epipolar lines: for each sequence, features were detected and matched using the LK tracker.

The use of N > 2 epipolar lines can make a significant difference if the lines subtend a sufficiently large angle which depends on the endoscope camera movement. For example, when the camera moved only along the central axis of the endoscope in the phantom study, the locations of the epipoles computed in the target image **T** from each reference image varied only by a few pixels (Fig. 7-3 a), b), and c)). The resulting epipolar lines used for the biopsy site re-localisation were almost all coincident and the re-localised biopsy site was far from the true biopsy site (Fig. 7-3 d)).

During a gastroscopy examination including an optical biopsy, a practical way to generate multiple viewpoints of the biopsy site while the optical miniprobe is still in contact with the tissue consists of twisting the camera around the central axis of the endoscope. The locations of the epipoles computed in **T** vary around the centre of **T** as seen in the example of Fig. 7-4. As in practice the true biopsy site is also located near the centre of the image, the distance between the epipoles and the biopsy site is short. The resulting epipolar lines subtend large angles and differ well from each other. This camera movement guarantees, therefore, a variety of directions of the epipolar lines necessary for accurate and precise relocalisations.

Table 7-2: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with several epipolar lines: for each sequence, features were detected and matched using the LK tracker.

Sequence	Miniprobe?	FOV	Number	Analytical	Accuracy: measured distance from the
		(pixels ;	of	precision	re-localised biopsy site to the gold
		cm)	epipolar	(pixels ;	standard
			lines	mm)	(pixels ; mm)
Phantom	Vec	(283 x 180	41	(1.0.007)	$(2 \cdot 0.14)$
Sequence 1	105	; 3 x 4)	41	(1.0, 0.07)	(2, 0.14)
Phantom	Ves	(384 x 288	47	$(1.0 \cdot 0.04)$	$(3.5 \cdot 0.13)$
Sequence 2	103	; 4 x 6)	17	(1.0, 0.04)	(5.5, 0.15)
Patient 1	Ves	(339 x 216	26	$(1.5 \cdot 0.15)$	$(5.2 \cdot 0.52)$
Sequence 1	103	; 2 x 2)	20	(1.5, 0.15)	(3.2, 0.32)
Patient 1	No	(339 x 216	178	$(0.3 \cdot 0.05)$	$(0.4 \cdot 0.08)$
Sequence 2	110	; 5 x 2)	170	(0.5, 0.05)	(0.4, 0.00)
Patient 2	No	(283 x 180	7	(1.0.005)	$(1 \ 3 \cdot 0 \ 07)$
Sequence 1	110	; 3 x 2)	,	(1.0, 0.05)	(1.5, 0.07)
Patient 3	No	(283 x 180	14	(0.6.0.06)	$(0.9 \cdot 0.09)$
Sequence 1	110	; 6 x 2)		(0.0 , 0.00)	(0.5, 0.07)
Patient 3	Ves	(283 x 180	19	$(3.0 \cdot 0.20)$	(63:042)
Sequence 2	105	; 5 x 1)	17	(5.0, 0.20)	(0.5, 0.12)
Patient 4	Ves	(376 x 280	11	$(2.6 \cdot 0.10)$	(4.1 : 0.16)
Sequence 1	103	; 1 x 1)		(2.0, 0.10)	(, 0.10)
Patient 4	Ves	(376 x 280	8	$(4.4 \cdot 0.44)$	$(4.5 \cdot 0.45)$
Sequence 2	105	; 7 x 2)	3	(++, 0, +)	(1.5, 0.15)

Sequence	Miniprobe?	FOV	Number	Accuracy: measured distance from the
		(pixels ;	of	re-localised biopsy site to the gold
		cm)	epipolar	standard
			lines	(pixels ; mm)
Phantom	Var	(283 x 180 ;	41	(8.2 : 0.58)
Sequence 1	1 05	3 x 4)	41	(8.2, 0.38)
Phantom	Vas	(384 x 288 ;	17	$(16.2 \cdot 0.65)$
Sequence 2	1 05	4 x 6)	47	(10.2, 0.05)
Patient 1	Vas	(339 x 216 ;	26	(2, 1, 0, 21)
Sequence 1	1 05	2 x 2)	20	(3.1, 0.31)
Patient 1	No	(339 x 216 ;	179	$(1.8 \cdot 0.26)$
Sequence 2	INU	5 x 2)	178	(1.8, 0.50)
Patient 2	No	(283 x 180 ;	7	(7.2 ± 0.20)
Sequence 1	INO	3 x 2)	/	(1.2, 0.39)
Patient 3	No	(283 x 180 ;	14	$(1.9 \cdot 0.24)$
Sequence 1	INO	6 x 2)	14	(1.9, 0.24)
Patient 3	Ves	(283 x 180 ;	10	(6.0 ± 0.40)
Sequence 2	105	5 x 1)	19	(0.0, 0.40)
Patient 4	Ves	(376 x 280 ;	11	$(10.7 \cdot 0.42)$
Sequence 1	1 05	1 x 1)	11	(10.7, 0.42)
Patient 4	Ves	(376 x 280 ;	8	$(9.2 \cdot 0.92)$
Sequence 2	105	7 x 2)	0	(7.2, 0.92)

Table 7-3: Results of the biopsy site re-localisation with several epipolar lines: for each sequence, features were detected and matched using SIFT.



Fig. 7-3: Failure case of the re-localisation method: the camera moved along the endoscope central axis. In a), b), and c), the epipole derived from 3 reference images is displayed in the target image. It is the intersection of the epipolar lines (red) derived from each feature of the reference image. The epipole does not move much. This results in d): the bundle of epipolar lines (blue lines) used for the re-localisation of the biopsy site subtend very small angles. The yellow point indicates the ground-truth position of the biopsy site. The red point indicates the re-localised biopsy site.



Fig. 7-4: Movement of the epipole in the target image T: figures a), b), c), and d) show the position of the epipole in T derived from a series of consecutive reference images I_i . The epipole moves towards the centre of the image T which is the result of the rotation of the endoscope tip.



(d) Patient 3 – sequence 2

Fig. 7-5: Examples of re-localised biopsy sites: for each sequence, the four images are the target image of each sequence with the features displayed (green dots) or the epipolar lines (blue lines) derived from the previous images or the confidence region (green ellipse). These are the results obtained for feature detection and matching with the LK tracker. For the two first sequences, the fourth image is an enlargement around the confidence region.

The epipolar geometries of each pair of reference and target images were estimated from sets of features detected with the LK tracker or with SIFT. In practice, the LK tracker could track on average 100 features in the endoscopic images processed for this experiment and it returned approximately 30% outliers. The SIFT usually returned 70 matches with 50% outliers. The LK tracker has the advantage of tracking features through successive images which constrains spatially the search for the matching features and guarantees, therefore, high proportion and number of inliers. The SIFT does not constrain spatially the feature matching and may return, therefore, more outliers than the LK tracker. Epipolar geometry is more accurate when recovered from high proportion and number of inliers and the epipolar lines derived from this geometry are, therefore, more accurate (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004). As the epipolar lines used for the re-localisation could be more accurate for the case of LK features, the re-localised biopsy sites were more accurate.

The more precise and accurate results were obtained for Patient 1 Sequence 2, Patient 2 Sequence 1, and Patient 3 Sequence 1 (Table 7-2 and Table 7-3). These sequences were acquired without a miniprobe in the FOV. Therefore, the detected features were well distributed over the oesophagus surface observed with the endoscope (Fig. 7-5 a)). They were detected at various depths and along the curvature of the oesophagus. Such a good distribution guarantees accurate epipolar lines as stated by Luong and Faugeras (1996). Finally, the endoscope could move freely with wide translations and rotations. The resulting epipolar lines subtended large angles (Fig. 7-5 a)) unlike those for the sequences acquired with a miniprobe in the FOV (Fig. 7-5 b) and c)). The variety of directions is taken into account in the uncertainty matrix Λ_p of the re-localised biopsy site for the cases where the features have been detected and matched with the LK tracker. Large differences between the line directions contribute to small ellipses (Fig. 7-5 a)) and, therefore, to small values of the analytical precision. Also, accurate epipolar lines contributed to the high precision of the relocalisation. Thus, the combination of a good distribution of the features over the observed surface with a variety of directions of the epipolar lines helped reach high re-localisation precisions for these 3 sequences.

For some of the sequences where a miniprobe was introduced in the endoscope FOV, the values of analytical precision and accuracy were larger than for the other sequences. For Patient 3 Sequence 2 and Patient 4 Sequence 2, for example, the FOV of the target image **T** was bigger. The size of a pixel in the neighbourhood of the re-localised biopsy site and of the ground truth was, therefore, larger for these sequences. The resulting accuracy was large. Also, the re-localised biopsy site was computed from a set of epipolar lines that did not subtend large angles (Fig. 7-5 c) and d)) and the resulting precision was, therefore, large for the cases where the features were detected and matched with the LK tracker.

7.4 Conclusion

This chapter presented the results of the re-localisation method for endoscopic images acquired on phantom and on patients. A re-localisation with N > 2 epipolar lines returns more accurate results than with only 2 epipolar lines. Moreover, as the LK tracker tended to return a greater proportion of inliers among the matched features than the SIFT, the epipolar lines derived for the re-localisations tended to be more accurate, and the resulting re-localised biopsy site was also more accurate. Nevertheless, matching SIFT features may be useful when the camera field of view is obstructed by air/water bubbles or when the biopsy site needs to be re-localised during a future examination using reference images acquired during a previous examination. The next chapter presents a method to increase the proportion of inliers among the SIFT features.

Chapter 8 Combination of an Electromagnetic Tracking System with the Re-Localisation Method

8.1 Introduction



Fig. 8-1: Critical cases for a good performance of the LK tracker: two sequences of endoscopic images aquired during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) are presented as examples. For each sequence, 3 endoscopic images are extracted to illustrate the problems that may be encountered during endoscopy. For sequence 1 and sequence 2, the oesophagus surface is interrogated by optical biopsy (top row), air/water bubbles may obstruct the endoscope Field Of View (FOV) or the endoscope may move too fast when the miniprobe is removed (middle row), and the endoscopic images are clear again (bottom row).

The previous chapters introduced a method to re-localise a biopsy site in a target endoscopic image **T**. This method makes use of epipolar lines derived from at least two previously acquired endoscopic images I_i where the biopsy site location is known. Therefore, the epipolar geometry formed between each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$ needs to be recovered. Once the biopsy site is re-localised, its uncertainty can be computed in order to provide the endoscopist with a measure of the re-localisation precision and with the display of a confidence region around the re-localised biopsy site in the target image **T**.

As presented in Chapter 3, the recovery of the epipolar geometry requires the detection of features in the endoscopic images I_i and T and their matching for each pair $I_i \leftrightarrow T$. During a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO), the endoscopist may manage to move the endoscope slowly, while keeping the biopsy site in the camera Field Of View (FOV). In that case, the Lucas-Kanade (LK) tracker can be applied in order to detect features in a first reference image I_i and in order to track them through the next images until the target image T. However, in some situations, the camera FOV may be obstructed by air/water bubbles or the endoscope tip may move too fast (Fig. 8-1). In these two cases, the features are lost by the LK tracker and an alternative way of matching features is necessary.

This chapter aims to present and discuss a method to re-localise biopsy sites when the features have been lost by the LK tracker. An ElectroMagnetic (EM) tracking system is used to guide the process of feature matching. This method contributes to more accurate epipolar lines and re-localised biopsy sites. A first experiment was done in order to assess the accuracy of an EM tracking system in the conditions of an endoscopy. The results of this experiment are presented. The results of a second experiment on a phantom demonstrate that the EM tracking system helps increase the number and the proportion of good feature matches and compute more accurate epipolar lines. Finally, the results of a third experiment on excised porcine tissues show that more accurate re-localised biopsy sites can be computed using the EM tracking system.

8.2 Re-localisation with an EM tracking system

8.2.1 Context, hypotheses, and description of an EM tracking system

The LK tracker may lose track of the features when air/water bubbles obstruct the FOV of the endoscope camera, or when the camera moves too quickly. As discussed in Chapter 4, the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) features may be an alternative to the LK tracker in these critical cases. Indeed, the features are detected independently in images I_i and T using the scale-space representations of the images. For each detected feature, a descriptor is computed. It is a vector which gives information about the distribution of the magnitude and

orientation of the gradients in the neighbourhood of the corresponding feature. Features are matched by searching for the pair of descriptors in images I_i and T whose Euclidean distance is minimal. Features, especially SIFT features, in endoscopic images correspond to blood vessel junctions. As discussed in Chapter 4, junctions all look similar as do the descriptors (Atasoy et al., 2009). This may create ambiguity when the SIFT features are matched and result in a lot of mismatches or outliers. It is, therefore, necessary to guide spatially the matching of SIFT features. For example, a rough knowledge of the relative positions between the endoscope cameras can help determine a region in the target image where the correct match of a feature in the reference image is likely to be.

An estimation of the endoscope camera movement can be given by positional sensors such as an EM sensor mounted at the tip of the endoscope (Mori et al., 2005, 2007, and 2008). Mori et al. (2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008) built up hybrid systems combining endoscopes, CT scanners, and EM tracking systems to track the positions and movement of an endoscopic camera during a bronchoscopy procedure in a 3D reconstruction of the lumen of the lung from a CT pre-operative image using registration of real endoscopic images with virtual endoscopic images extracted from the 3D reconstruction. Given information about the relative movement of the real camera from the EM sensor and a transformation from the real camera coordinate system to the virtual view and this position was used to initialise the image registration algorithms for a refinement of the virtual camera position. In the case of biopsy site re-localisation, the relative movement between endoscope cameras given by the EM sensor can help identify a region in the target image where the match of a feature is.

An EM tracking system is made up of an emitter which generates electromagnetic waves and of a sensor whose position and orientation are computed in the emitter coordinate system (Fig. 8-2). The emitter is a box fixed next to the patient, for example. A coordinate system (**O**, \mathbf{x}_{em} , \mathbf{y}_{em} , \mathbf{z}_{em}) is assigned to it and is used as a reference coordinate system for the sensor. The sensor is made up of 3 coils that are arranged such that they form a coordinate system (**S**, \mathbf{x}_{s} , \mathbf{y}_{s} , \mathbf{z}_{s}). The electromagnetic field is measured at the origin **S** of the sensor coordinate system and the distance of **S** from the origin **O** of the emitter coordinate system can be computed. Thus, the position **S** of the EM sensor is known in real-time in the emitter coordinate system (**O**, \mathbf{x}_{em} , \mathbf{y}_{em} , \mathbf{z}_{em}). At position **S**, the orientations of the unit vectors of the sensor coordinate system \mathbf{x}_{s} , \mathbf{y}_{s} , and \mathbf{z}_{s} in the emitter coordinate system are given by the azimuth ψ , elevation θ , and roll Φ angles (Fig. 8-3). Thus, the rotational component of the transformation matrix from the emitter coordinate system to the sensor coordinate system is given by:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) * \cos(\psi) & -(\cos(\phi) * \sin(\psi)) & (\sin(\phi) * \sin(\psi)) \\ +(\sin(\phi) * \sin(\theta) * \cos(\psi)) & +(\cos(\phi) * \sin(\theta) * \cos(\psi)) \\ \cos(\theta) * \sin(\psi) & \frac{\cos(\phi) * \cos(\psi) & -(\sin(\phi) * \cos(\psi))}{+(\sin(\phi) * \sin(\psi)) & +(\cos(\phi) * \sin(\theta) * \sin(\psi)) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \frac{\sin(\phi) * \cos(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)} & \frac{\cos(\phi) * \cos(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8-1)

When the sensor is mounted at the tip of an endoscope, its position and orientation help track the tip translations and rotations in the emitter coordinate system. In this chapter, the EM tracking system is used under the hypothesis that the endoscopist manages to keep the biopsy site in the FOV of the endoscopic camera. As the oesophagus is attached to the spine, it is assumed that the oesophagus is well trapped and does not move up and down in the chest. Finally, it is assumed that the oesophagus does not stretch along its longitudinal axis.



Fig. 8-2: Main components of an EM tracking system (medSAFE system by Ascension Technology Corporation): a) the emitter which generates electromagnetic waves in order to help measure the position of b) the EM sensor which may be attached to the tip of the endoscope. The position and the orientation of the EM sensor in the emitter coordinate system are measured.

157



Fig. 8-3: Description of the EM sensor coordinate system (**S**, \mathbf{x}_{s} , \mathbf{y}_{s} , \mathbf{z}_{s}) in the EM emitter coordinate system (**O**, \mathbf{x}_{em} , \mathbf{y}_{em} , \mathbf{z}_{em}) with spherical coordinates: the azimuth ψ , elevation θ , and roll Φ angles.

8.2.2 Combination of the EM tracking system with the re-localisation algorithm

A re-localised biopsy site **p** can be computed in a target image **T** by recovery of the epipolar geometry formed by **T** with each of at least two reference images I_i that each show the site under different viewpoints. The epipolar geometry formed by the pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$ is represented algebraically using the fundamental matrix $F_{(L,T)}$:

$$\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} = \mathbf{K}^{-\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-1} = \mathbf{K}^{-\mathrm{tr}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -t_{3} & t_{2} \\ t_{3} & 0 & -t_{1} \\ -t_{2} & t_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_{i},\mathbf{T})} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}^$$

K is the intrinsic matrix linking the endoscope camera coordinate system with the 2D image plane coordinate system. **K** can be assumed constant during endoscopy investigations and recovered from a calibration procedure (Bouguet, 2004). The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ can be computed either with computer vision algorithms as presented in Chapter 3 or with the EM tracking system when the sensor is mounted at the tip of the endoscope camera or with a combination of the two previous methods.

The hybrid method for biopsy site re-localisation detects SIFT features and estimates the fundamental matrix from the EM tracking system referred to $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{EM}}$ for each pair of images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$. The fundamental matrix $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{EM}}$ is used to increase the percentage and number of inliers among the matched SIFT features (Fig. 8-4). This step has the advantage of preventing the matching process from comparing useless potential matches and of adding a constraint about the spatial arrangement of the features. Given the jth SIFT feature $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})}$ in \mathbf{I}_{i} , the epipolar line $(\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})})_{\text{EM}} = (\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{l}_{i},\mathbf{T})})_{\text{EM}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})}$ is derived in **T** (Fig. 8-5). This line indicates the location of the feature matching $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})}$ in **T**. As $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{l}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{l}_{i},\mathbf{T})}$ are measured from the EM tracking system with experimental error, the feature matching $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})}$ in **T** lies in a region around $(\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})})_{\text{EM}}$ (Fig. 8-5). Zhang et al. (1995) suggested a search for matching features within the envelope of epipolar lines. Therefore, the search for the matching feature in the hybrid method is spatially constrained within a bounded region around $(\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{l}_{i})})_{\text{EM}}$. In practice, the width of this region is set manually using a training reference image \mathbf{I}_{i} and the target image **T**. This width could also be computed analytically by propagating the errors resulting from the determination of the calibration matrix **K** and of the localisation of the EM sensor to the computed epipolar line. However, the analytical determination of the width requires a far more complex derivation which is not used in this thesis. This first step returns a set of matched SIFT features (Fig. 8-4).



Fig. 8-4: Hybrid method for biopsy site re-localisation: information from the EM tracking system helps recover approximately the epipolar geometry formed by each pair of endoscopic images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$. This returns a set of fundamental matrices $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{EM}$ which help constrain the matching process of the SIFT features. Once the features have been matched, the estimation of the epipolar geometries is refined which returns a set of more accurate fundamental matrices $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{fused}$ and the re-localisation method can be applied.



Fig. 8-5: Spatial constraint during SIFT feature matching: the search in the target image **T** for the feature matching the feature $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$ in \mathbf{I}_{i} is constrained in a bounded region (blue dotted lines) centred on the epipolar line $(\mathbf{el}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})})_{\text{EM}}$ derived from $\mathbf{p}_{j}^{(\mathbf{I}_{i})}$. The green dots are the features.

Secondly, the hybrid method for biopsy site re-localisation refines the estimation of the fundamental matrices. The computer vision algorithms presented in Chapter 3 are applied with the SIFT features matched using the spatial constraint from the EM tracking system for the pairs of images $\mathbf{I}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ (Fig. 8-4). This step returns a set of fundamental matrices $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{fused}}$. Given the biopsy site location $\mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [p_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, p_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)}, \mathbf{1}]^{\text{tr}}$ in the reference image \mathbf{I}_i , the re-localised biopsy site \mathbf{p} is computed in \mathbf{T} by minimising the sum of its squared perpendicular distances to the *N* epipolar lines $(\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)})_{\text{fused}} = (\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{fused}} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} = [(\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)})_{\text{fused}}, (\mathbf{el}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)})_{\text{fused}}]^{\text{tr}}$ as presented in Chapter 4:

$$C_{\min} = \min_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \left(\left(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{l}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \right)_{\text{fused}}, \mathbf{p} \right)^2 = \min_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^{\text{tr}} \left(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{l}^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \right)_{\text{fused}}}{\sqrt{\left(e l_x^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \right)_{\text{fused}}^2 + \left(e l_y^{(\mathbf{I}_i)} \right)_{\text{fused}}^2}} \right)^2$$
 (8-3)

8.2.3 Computation of $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{EM}$ during the first step of the hybrid method

An EM tracking system consists of a sensor and an emitter. The endoscope camera positions **C** and orientations are computed from the EM sensor positions **S** and orientations measured in the emitter coordinate system whose reference position is termed **O** (Fig. 8-6). The orientation of the sensor is given by the rotation matrix from the emitter coordinate system to the sensor coordinate system referred as $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{s}}$. When the endoscope camera moves from position $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{I}_i}$ to position $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{T}}$, the sensor positions are $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}_i}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ and the orientations are reported by the matrices $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{s}_{-1}}$ (Fig. 8-6). The computation of the fundamental matrix $(\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})})_{\text{EM}}$ requires the computation of the endoscope camera rotation $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ and translation $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ which are expressed in the coordinate system of the endoscope camera at position $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{T}}$. Thus, the rotation matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{C}}$ from the sensor coordinate system to the

endoscope camera coordinate system has to be determined. By mechanical design, these two coordinate systems are fixed relative to each other, and \mathbf{M}_{s}^{c} is determined during a calibration procedure by recording the EM sensor data and the endoscope camera images of a calibration grid while the endoscope positions and orientations vary.

The translation $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is computed from the EM sensor position $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{I}_i}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{T}}$ measured in the emitter coordinate system. The translation has to be computed in the EM sensor coordinate system at position $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{T}}$, first, using the rotation matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{s}_{-T}}$. The resulting vector is expressed in the camera coordinate system at position $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{T}}$, secondly, using the rotation matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{c}}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is computed as follows:

$$\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{C}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{S}_{-}\mathbf{T}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathbf{S}_{-}\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{S}_{-}\mathbf{I}_i\right).$$
(8-4)

The matrix $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})}$ is the relative rotation from one endoscope camera position to another position. It is given directly by the EM sensor and it can be decomposed as a product of rotations from the EM sensor coordinate system to the EM emitter coordinate system \mathbf{M}_{0}^{s} at positions \mathbf{S}_{i} and \mathbf{S}_{T} :

$$\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I}_i,\mathbf{T})} = \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{C}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{S}_{-}\mathbf{T}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{S}_{-}\mathbf{I}_i} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{C}}.$$
(8-5)

8.3 Experiments and results

This section describes a set of experiments to test how well the hybrid method performs to derive the epipolar lines necessary for the re-localisation. The performance depends on:

The accuracy of the EM tracking system to determine the position of the endoscope.



Fig. 8-6: Relations between the coordinate systems of the camera, of the EM sensor, and of the EM emitter.

- The matched features between the reference image **I**_i and the target image **T**. As discussed in Chapter 4 in section '4.3.2 Experiment: number of matches for the computation of the fundamental matrix' and as stated by Luong and Faugeras (1996) and Hartley and Zisserman (2004), accurate epipolar geometry is recovered when there are a lot of well matched features or inliers (Luong and Faugeras, 1996; Hartley and Zisserman, 2004).
- The endoscope camera. If the resolution is high, the blood vessels are pictured with more details in the acquired endoscopic images. The vessels may also appear with a better contrast. Features may be better detected in these conditions.
- The illumination of the tissue. If the oesophagus structure is well illuminated, the vessels are visible in the whole image and the detected features are spread well over the endoscopic image.

The experiments consisted of first assessing the error with which the EM tracking system measures the displacement of the EM sensor. Secondly, the experiments consisted of studying the error of the positioning of the epipolar lines derived with the re-localisation system. The last experiment consisted of testing the method on excised organs from pigs.

8.3.1 Experiment 1: error of an EM tracking system for the determination of the displacement and of the orientation of the EM sensor



Fig. 8-7: Experimental setup: a) the EM sensor was mounted at the tip of the endoscope; b) the endoscope and the EM sensor were clamped by a probe holder that could be moved in various directions; c) the phantom was a carton box in which holes were drilled every centimetre.

An EM tracking system can be used to measure the endoscope camera movement. Therefore, the error of the measurement of the orientation and of the relative distance between two positions of the EM sensor had to be estimated.

8.3.1.1 Materials and method

Hummel et al. (2005) did an intensive study of the accuracy of various EM tracking systems. They designed a phantom which was a plexiglass board with holes drilled at regular distances. The EM sensor was inserted in each hole. The positions and orientations of the sensor were measured. Hummel et al. (2005) compared the measured distances between two neighbouring holes and the measured angles to the actual distance on the phantom and to the actual change of angle. They also assessed the accuracy of the EM sensor in presence of various metals which can distort the electromagnetic signal.

In this chapter, the experiment aimed to assess the accuracy of the EM tracking system in the context of an endoscopy. The EM tracking system was the medSAFE system by Ascension Technology Corporation and the EM sensor had 6 degrees of freedom. The experiment was inspired from those presented in Hummel et al. (2005). An EM sensor was mounted at the tip of an endoscope since this is the way the EM tracking system is used in the hybrid method (Fig. 8-7 a)). The endoscope contains metallic parts that can distort the signal measured by the EM sensor. The endoscope and the EM sensor were held by a probe holder which helped move them manually with a controlled movement (Fig. 8-7 b)). The phantom was similar to Hummel et al. (2005) phantom. Hundred holes were drilled every centimetre $(d_0 = 1 \text{ cm})$ in a carton board (Fig. 8-7 c)).

The experiment consisted of moving the endoscope and the EM sensor with the probe holder from holes to holes without changing the orientation. The EM sensor position and orientation were measured in the EM emitter coordinate system. For each hole, its distance d_i from each of its 4 neighbours was computed and compared to d_0 . As suggested by Hummel et al. (2005), the Root Mean Squared (RMS) error of the difference between the measured distances d_i and the exact distance d_0 was computed in order to assess the error resulting from an estimation of the displacement of an endoscope camera with an EM tracking system:

$$RMS_{error} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-l} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - d_0)^2} .$$
(8-6)

where *n* is the total number of distances compared. The maximum, minimum, and mean error was computed. Furthermore, due to the nature of the movement of the probe holder, the changes of orientations of the EM sensor should be null. The values of the azimuth ψ_0 , elevation θ_0 , and roll Φ_0 angles at the position of the hole scanned first with the EM sensor

were measured and used as the ground truth angles. The values of ψ_i , θ_i , and Φ_i angles for the 99 other positions were measured and compared to the ground truth. Therefore, the RMS errors of $(\psi_i - \psi_0)$, of $(\theta_i - \theta_0)$, and of $(\Phi_i - \Phi_0)$ were computed as well as their maximum, minimum, and mean errors. For this experiment, the board was positioned at a distance of approximately 50cm from the EM emitter which is a reasonable distance during clinical practice on the patient. Hundred holes were drilled because that is a significant number for the estimation of the RMS error. Finally, the distance between two holes was 1cm such that the distance along one line corresponded to the movement amplitude of the probe holder.

8.3.1.2 Results and discussion

The results are presented in Table 8-1. On average, the displacement and the orientation of the EM sensor were measured with an error of approximately 1mm and less than 3 degrees. As the EM sensor was placed in contact with the table supporting the phantom when it was inserted into the hole, the difference of elevation from one hole to another was smaller than for the other angles. Thus, the RMS error, maximum error, and mean error were smaller. The insertion of the sensor into the hole could create small rotations of the sensor and the resulting errors for the azimuth and roll angles were larger than for the elevation angle. Finally, the variations of the measurements could be due to the noise generated by devices or metal objects in the neighbourhood which use or carry large amounts of electrical current that vary over time or to the internal noise of the EM tracking system (Hummel et al., 2005). These sources of noise came from the endoscope and the metallic tables in the room where the experiment was run.

The accuracy of the measurements from the EM sensor has an influence on the accuracy of the fundamental matrix estimated from the EM tracking system. The next experiments study the accuracy of the epipolar lines computed with the hybrid method and used for the re-localisation.

Table 8-1: Results of the errors between the measured distances and the exact distance (in millimetres) and between the angles (in degrees):

	$d_i - d_0 (\mathrm{mm})$	$\psi_i - \psi_0$ (degrees)	$\theta_i - \theta_0$ (degrees)	$\Phi_i - \Phi_0$ (degrees)
Maximum	2.034	9.582	4.183	10.443
Minimum	0.012	0.042	0	0.016
Mean	0.778	2.276	0.959	3.250
RMS _{error}	0.935	3.766	1.294	4.083

8.3.2 Experiment 2: error of the positioning of the epipolar lines derived with the re-localisation system

This experiment aimed to study the contribution of the EM tracking system to the feature matching and to the computation of the epipolar lines derived for the re-localisation of a biopsy site. This experiment was run on a phantom with an EM sensor attached to the tip of a fibered endoscope. As the transformation matrix \mathbf{M}_{s}^{C} which relates the EM sensor coordinate system to the endoscope camera coordinate system was unknown, a preliminary step was the determination of \mathbf{M}_{s}^{C} during a registration procedure. Thus, this part is organised as follows:

- First, the experimental setup is presented.
- Secondly, the procedure for the study of the error of the positioning of an epipolar line is presented.
- Finally, the results of the study are discussed.



8.3.2.1 Methods and materials

Fig. 8-8: Experimental setup: a) the phantom was a rigid tube of diameter 2.5cm; b) a texture mimicking a vascular network was printed onto a piece of paper and stuck to the inner surface of the tube and rolled into the phantom; c) a fibered endoscope was used in combination with a CCD camera placed at the end of the fibered endoscope; d) the EM sensor was attached to the tip of the endoscope; e) the tip of the endoscope and the EM sensor were held with a probe holder that could move along 3 orthogonal directions.

8.3.2.1.1 Experimental setup

The experimental setup was designed such that it reproduced the conditions of a gastroscopic examination. As the oesophagus is positioned next to the spine and physically constrained between the trachea and the lungs, it was assumed that the oesophagus is well fixed in the patient's chest and does not stretch. According to the sequences acquired on patients, the endoscopist manages to maintain the endoscope on the central axis of the oesophagus which is why the movement of the endoscope camera in these experiments was along this axis. Such an observation was made also in Mori et al. (2007) during bronchoscopy examinations.

A physical phantom was built from a 2.5cm diameter rigid tube, which corresponds approximately to the diameter of an oesophagus (Fig. 8-8 a)). A synthetic texture was rolled into the tube. It had to realistically represent the pattern of the oesophagus walls. Real vessels could be described as lines that intersect. Their thickness was approximately 0.3mm according to inspection of real endoscopic images. Therefore, 0.3mm thick lines were generated digitally and printed out on a piece of white paper. The lines were positioned and orientated by random selections of a position and orientation within the image (Fig. 8-8 b)).

A fibered endoscope was used for the acquisition of endoscopic images. The inside of the phantom was illuminated with a Xenon lamp, and a CCD camera was placed at the end of the bundle of fibres for image recording (Fig. 8-8 c)). There were thousands of fibres which were arranged in a honeycomb pattern. A relay lens to allow eyepiece images to be focused onto the chosen CCD camera was placed between the camera and the end of the bundle of fibres. Acquired images had a resolution of approximately 1600pixels x 1200pixels. Images of such a resolution can be obtained during real endoscopy by using adequate video image grabbers. An EM sensor was attached to the tip of the endoscope in order to record the rotations and translations of the tip (Fig. 8-8 d)). The endoscope tip will be called the endoscope camera from now on. The endoscope camera was inserted into the phantom. It was attached to a probe holder in order to control its movement and in order to measure precisely the actual translation of the endoscope camera (Fig. 8-8 e)).

Before endoscopic images and EM tracking data were acquired, a procedure was run in order to register the endoscope camera coordinate system to the sensor coordinate system and in order to determine \mathbf{M}_{s}^{c} which is necessary for the estimation of the epipolar geometry from the EM tracking system. This was achieved by acquiring a series of endoscopic images of a calibration pattern seen from different viewpoints of the endoscope camera. For each camera pose, the positional data of the EM sensor were recorded. The method was described by Tsai and Lenz (1989) and a Matlab implementation (Matlab, R14, The Mathworks Ltd, Cambridge, UK) by Wengert et al. (2006) was used to determine the transformation \mathbf{M}_{s}^{c} .

8.3.2.1.2 Study of the error of the positioning of an epipolar line

This section presents the procedure for the study of the error of the positioning of an epipolar line derived with the hybrid re-localisation method.

It was assumed that the endoscope camera moves along the oesophagus central axis after tissue interrogation by optical biopsy. Therefore, the experiment consisted of moving the endoscope camera along the central axis of the phantom. A reference image I was acquired for a first position of the endoscope camera. It was moved along the camera zdirection with the probe holder every 2mm. For each position of the endoscope camera, a target image \mathbf{T}_i was acquired. As this study measured the error of the computed epipolar line in a series of target images acquired for various positions of the endoscope camera, the target images are indexed with i and noted T_i . The images were acquired with a fibroscopic endoscope. Thus, a comb structure was overlaid in the images and had to be removed in order to avoid detecting features on the comb structure. In the context of this experiment, a Gaussian smoothing of the images was performed before recovery of the epipolar geometry and the parameterisation of the smoothing was done manually and interactively using a training acquired image as Winter et al. (2006) suggested. Winter et al. (2006) compared the performances of various kernels for smoothing fibroscopic images and demonstrated that the Gaussian kernel returns the best results. For automatic procedures, Winter et al. (2006) also proposed a method to compute the optimal parameterisation of the smoothing.

Once the images were smoothed, a biopsy site was selected in the reference image **I**. It corresponded to a point selected manually at the junction of two lines in the synthetic texture (Fig. 8-8 b)). This point was also visible in the target images T_i . SIFT features were detected in the reference and target images. Features in the neighbourhood of the biopsy site were removed in order to avoid influencing the performance of the re-localisation methods. The epipolar line was derived in T_i from the biopsy site location in **I**. There were three methods to derive this epipolar line:

Method 1: Estimation of the fundamental matrix using the EM tracking system only: For each pair of images $I \leftrightarrow T_i$, the fundamental matrix $(F_{(I,T_i)})_{EM}$ was estimated from the EM tracking system. Epipolar lines could be derived in T_i from the biopsy site in the reference image I using $(F_{(I,T_i)})_{EM}$.

Method 2: Estimation of the fundamental matrix without using the contribution of the EM tracking system: For each pair of images I and T_i , SIFT features were matched without the constraint from the EM tracking system that the matching feature in T_i should be in a bounded region around the epipolar line. Once the features were matched, the epipolar geometry formed by each pair of images I and T_i was computed with the MAPSAC and the

nonlinear optimisation. The epipolar lines were derived in **T** from the biopsy site locations in the image **I**.

Method 3: Estimation of the fundamental matrix using the contribution of the EM tracking system: This was the method of the whole re-localisation presented in Chapter 8. For each pair of images $I \leftrightarrow T_i$, the fundamental matrix $(F_{(I,T_i)})_{EM}$ was estimated from the EM tracking system. For each image I and T_i , SIFT features were matched with the spatial constraint. A training image was used to set the value of the width of the bounded region. The epipolar lines were derived after refinement of the epipolar geometry with MAPSAC and a nonlinear optimisation over the matched features. Epipolar lines were derived in T_i from the biopsy site locations in the image I.

The distance from the true location of the biopsy site in T_i to the epipolar line was computed in order to assess the error of the positioning of the epipolar line. This distance was measured in pixels and in millimetres. It was assumed that the epipolar line was sufficiently close to the biopsy site to approximate the 2D distance as representative of the real 3D distance. The measures in pixels were converted in millimetres using information about the actual thickness of the lines as a scale. Besides, according to real patient data, the contrast between the vessels and the homogeneous tissue was defined as $(I_{max} - I_{min})/(I_{max} + I_{min})$ where I_{max} is the intensity of the paper and I_{min} the intensity of the line and could vary from 0 to 1. The contrast of the vessels in the endoscopic images decreased with their distances from the camera as the illumination got poorer. The contrast of the vessels also changed from one patient to another. For some acquired sequences, the vessels had a low contrast with the tissue. Thus, this experiment was repeated for several synthetic textures whose straight lines had a contrast of first 0.5, secondly 0.3, and thirdly 0.2. In the acquired endoscopic images, the lines appeared with weaker contrasts, still varying between 0 and 1.

8.3.2.2 Results and discussion

The results of the distances between the epipolar lines and a biopsy site location are presented in Table 8-2, Table 8-3, and Table 8-4.

Came	ra displacement	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{1}$ 2 mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_2$ 4mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_3$ 6mm	$I \leftrightarrow T_4 \\ 8mm$	$I \leftrightarrow T_{S}$ 10mm
Method 1	Error (pixels; mm)	(30.31;0.45)	(50.87 ; 0.85)	(84.21;1.35)	(107.67 ; 2.04)	(126.75;2.83)
	Number of matches	127	88	91	62	65
	Number of inliers	78	68	48	35	26
Method 2	Percentage of inliers	61%	77%	53%	44%	40%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(3.16;0.05)	(4.12;0.07)	(13.94;0.22)	(22.46;0.43)	(132.97;2.97)
	Number of matches	128	97	98	76	58
	Number of inliers	83	72	55	23	21
Method 3	Percentage of inliers	65%	74%	56%	30%	36%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(3.96;0.06)	(3.65;0.06)	(1.80; 0.03)	(5.40; 0.10)	(28.86;0.65)

Table 8-2: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: contrast = 0.5

Cam	era displacement	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{1}$ 2 mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_2$ 4mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_3$ 6mm	$I \leftrightarrow T_4 \\ 8mm$	$I \leftrightarrow T_5$ $10mm$
Method 1	Error (pixels ; mm)	(1.23;0.02)	(1.61; 0.02)	(2.66 ; 0.03)	(29.61;0.47)	(40.82;0.82)
	Number of matches	113	103	52	69	58
L ~ 44 ~ M	Number of inliers	83	81	54	33	28
	Percentage of inliers	73%	%6L	72%	48%	48%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(1.34; 0.02)	(4.67; 0.06)	(13.42;0.17)	(18.29; 0.29)	(88.36; 1.77)
	Number of matches	122	120	88	86	75
L - 41, - M	Number of inliers	101	107	53	53	60
Melhou 3	Percentage of inliers	83%	%68	%09	62%	80%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(1.69;0.03)	(1.53;0.02)	(5.66; 0.07)	(4.97;0.08)	(19.25;0.39)

Table 8-3: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: contrast = 0.3

Camer	a displacement	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I}}$ 2 mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_2$ 4mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_3$ 6mm	$\mathbf{I} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}_4$ 8mm
Method 1	Error (pixels ; mm)	(9.31;0.13)	(15.50;0.23)	(23.53;0.31)	(30.79;0.51)
	Number of matches	118	104	93	63
	Number of inliers	77	56	22	68
Method 2	Percentage of inliers	65%	52%	%07	42%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(9.63;0.14)	(20.64; 0.31)	(12.18;0.16)	(44.84; 0.74)
	Number of matches	150	125	123	66
	Number of inliers	132	111	63	20
Method 3	Percentage of inliers	88%	89%	43%	51%
	Error (pixels ; mm)	(7.28;0.10)	(8.28;0.12)	(16.50; 0.22)	(13.44;0.22)

Table 8-4: Errors of the epipolar lines for various camera positions: contrast = 0.2

.

For Method 1, the error of the positioning of the epipolar line increased when the displacement of the endoscope camera was larger. First, the camera displacement was measured by the EM sensor in the EM emitter coordinate system. The first experiment showed that the displacement might be estimated with an error varying from 0.0012mm to 2.034mm. During the second experiment, the EM sensor measured, for example, a displacement of 1.6mm instead of 2mm. This error affects the matrix $[t_{(IT)}]$ used for the estimation of the fundamental matrix $(\mathbf{F}_{(LT)})_{EM}$ from the EM tracking system. It propagates to the epipolar line. Secondly, $\mathbf{R}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}$ and $[\mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{T})}]$ were computed with the measures from the EM sensor and with the transformation matrix \mathbf{M}_{s}^{c} from the EM sensor coordinate system to the camera coordinate system. M $_{s}^{c}$ was found by registration of the coordinate systems, but this measurement includes error from the EM tracking system. Indeed, the registration consisted of moving the endoscope with the EM sensor at the tip to various positions and acquiring endoscopic images and EM sensor data for each position. The camera rotation and translation were both computed from the images and measured from the EM sensor with experimental error in order to register the camera coordinate system with the EM sensor coordinate system. Thus, the error of the measurements with the EM sensor in combination with the error of the computation of M_s^c could be responsible for the error of the position of the epipolar line in T_i (Fig. 8-9, Fig. 8-10, and Fig. 8-11). The error of the measurement of the EM sensor increased when the displacement was larger which is why the lines were further away from the biopsy site for displacements of 8mm than for displacements of 2mm.



Fig. 8-9: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.5 for a displacement of 2mm (a), 4mm (b), and 8mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar line derived from the biopsy site in image I (red line) and from the epipolar geometry computed with the EM tracking system only (top row). It also shows the ground-truth position of the biopsy site (green point).



Fig. 8-10: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.3 for a displacement of 2mm (a), 4mm (b), and 8mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar line derived from the biopsy site in image I (red line) and from the epipolar geometry computed with the EM tracking system only (top row). It also shows the ground-truth position of the biopsy site (green point).



Fig. 8-11: Epipolar geometries recovered from the EM sensor for a contrast of 0.2 for a displacement of 2mm (a), 4mm (b), and 8mm (c): the set of images at the bottom row shows in image T_i the epipolar line derived from the biopsy site in image I (red line) and from the epipolar geometry computed with the EM tracking system only (top row). It also shows the ground-truth position of the biopsy site (green point).

Method 2 consisted of detecting SIFT features in the two images I and T_i , and of matching their descriptors with the nearest neighbour similarity measure. The number of matches decreased with the displacement of the endoscope camera. With the backward displacement, the illumination of the line intersections that were at the foreground for previous positions decreased. Therefore, their contrast with the background was getting

weaker (Fig. 8-12). As SIFT requires setting a threshold for the contrast, the line intersections were not necessarily detected as features. Decreasing the threshold, while the endoscope camera moved backwards, could be a solution to increase the number of detected features and of matches in **I** and T_i . During the experiment, this threshold was set at a low value in order to detect features at the line intersections with a weak contrast. However, when the threshold was set at a low value, the neighbourhood of the features was more blurred and the descriptors of the detected features could become different as the endoscope camera moved backwards (Fig. 8-13). For these two reasons, there was a risk of finding two descriptors in **I** and **T**_{*i*} that were very similar while they corresponded to two different anatomical points. This could result in a greater number of outliers among the feature matches when the endoscope camera moved backwards. As the number of outliers increased, a lot of tests for the estimation of the fundamental matrix with the MAPSAC were required with Method 2 in order to determine accurate epipolar geometry.

Method 3 showed the same variations as Method 2 for the feature matching. However, the number of matches and of inliers was higher than that for Method 2 (Table 8-2, Table 8-3, and Table 8-4). As a spatial constraint was imposed by the epipolar lines, each descriptor was compared only with the descriptors that were within the epipolar band (Fig. 8-14). This helped avoid matching two descriptors that were very similar although they corresponded to two features that did not represent the same anatomical point. Not only did the spatial constraint help find the correct matching feature, but it increased also the number of detected inliers (Fig. 8-15). A match is not simply defined by a threshold on the Euclidean distance between SIFT descriptors. A feature matches its nearest Euclidean neighbour if and only if the ratio of the Euclidean distances with respectively the first and second nearest neighbours is greater than a fixed threshold. The spatial constraint from the EM tracker excludes gross matching errors. The first neighbour will more likely produce the correct match. As the criterion is then the distance ratio, the matching leads to an increase in the number of inliers. For example, as line intersections repeat within an endoscopic image, multiple descriptors could match equally a descriptor in the reference image. The matching without spatial constraint could return a wrong matching or no matching while the spatial constraint returned the correct match (Fig. 8-15). The percentage of inliers was also higher especially for large displacements, for example for a contrast of 0.3 for the pairs of images I and T_2 , I and T_4 , and I and T_5 , or for a contrast of 0.2 for the pairs of images I and T_4 (Table 8-3, and Table 8-4). A high percentage of inliers is important as it reduces the number of estimations of MAPSAC necessary to find an accurate fundamental matrix.



Displacement = 6mm

Displacement = 8mm

Displacement = 10mm

Fig. 8-12: Decrease of the contrast of a vessel intersection in the endoscopic images with the displacement of the endoscope camera: as long as the illumination was high, the intersection was detected as a SIFT feature located at the centre of the green circle, representing the descriptor (displacements from 0mm to 2mm). When the illumination decreased, the vessel intersections were not detected anymore as features since their contrast with the background was getting too weak.



Displacement = 8mm

Displacement = 10mm

Fig. 8-13: Variations of the descriptor (green circle) and of its main orientation (green ray) with the displacement of the endoscope camera: as the feature located in the centre of the green circle was characterised by a smaller contrast and by a more blurred neighbourhood when the camera moved backwards, the descriptor could be different in \mathbf{T}_i from the descriptor of the corresponding feature in \mathbf{I} . This might make the feature matching more difficult.

The accuracy of the epipolar lines computed using data from the EM tracking system depends not only on the accuracy of the EM tracking system but also on the accuracy of the registration of the endoscope camera coordinate system with the EM sensor coordinate system. Therefore, the impact of the accuracy of the EM tracking system on the epipolar lines used for the re-localisation with the hybrid method involves complex propagations of errors. It is, however, expected that the epipolar lines derived from the EM tracking system will be less accurate when the EM tracking system accuracy gets worse. Because the epipolar lines are less accurate, the width of the bounded region to match features becomes larger. The risk of matching features incorrectly will then become higher and the epipolar lines computed for the re-localisations will be less accurate. As demonstrated by the simulations described in Chapter 5, the re-localised biopsy site is likely to be less accurate.



Fig. 8-14: Illustration of the SIFT feature matching with spatial constraint: a feature, green point, in the reference image I of the phantom was matched to a feature in the bounded region in T_i (blue lines surrounding the epipolar line).



Fig. 8-15: Illustration of the contribution of the spatial constraint from the EM tracking system: a) a SIFT feature was detected in reference image I; b) the correct matching feature in the target image T_i looks similar to c) another feature in T_i which is why the matching without the spatial constraint did not return a match while d) a matching with spatial constraint within a bounded region (2 blue lines) centred on the epipolar line (red line) returned the correct match (green point).



Fig. 8-16: Experimental setup: endoscopic images of 2 pigs' trachea and bronchus were acquired with an endoscope mounted with an EM sensor and controlled with a probe holder.





Fig. 8-17: Examples of endoscopic images acquired in the pig bronchus and trachea. The biopsy site was a natural pigment spot of approximately 2mm diameter.

8.3.3 Experiment 3: test of the method on excised organs from pigs

The combination of the EM tracking system with the re-localisation method could not be tested on real patients since EM tracking data could not be acquired. Therefore, this was tested on excised pig organs.

8.3.3.1 Materials and method

The oesophagus was entirely collapsed; therefore, endoscopic data were acquired in the trachea and the bronchus of 2 pigs. The experimental setup was the same as that presented in section '8.3.2.1.1 Experimental setup' for the experiments on the phantom representing an oesophagus (Fig. 8-16).

The endoscope tip was moved randomly with the endoscope holder around a region of interest to acquire a set of images in the first pig bronchus and in the second pig trachea (Fig. 8-17). The image dimensions were 1600 pixels x 1200 pixels. For the 2 sets of images, a target image **T** was selected. A pigment spot of 2mm diameter at the tissue surface was selected as the biopsy site and its positions in the reference images I_i and in the target image **T** were recorded. SIFT features were detected for each image I_i or **T**. As for the patient data, a mask in the endoscopic images was used to remove the SIFT features that were detected around the biopsy site.

The biopsy site was re-localised with 3 methods already presented in this chapter:

Method 1: Re-localisation using the EM tracking system only: For each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, the fundamental matrix $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{EM}$ was estimated from the EM tracking system. Epipolar lines could be derived in T from the biopsy sites in the reference images I_i using $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{EM}$. The re-localised biopsy site could be computed in T from these epipolar lines.

Method 2: Re-localisation without using the contribution of the EM tracking system: For each image I_i and T, SIFT features were matched without the spatial constraint from the EM tracking system that the matching features in T should be in a bounded region around the epipolar line. Once the features were matched, the epipolar geometry formed by each pair of images I_i and T was computed with the MAPSAC and the nonlinear optimisation. Epipolar lines were derived in T from the biopsy site locations in the images I_i to estimate the re-localised biopsy site.

Method 3: Re-localisation using the contribution of the EM tracking system: This was the method of the whole re-localisation system presented in Chapter 8. For each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, the fundamental matrix $(F_{(I_i,T)})_{EM}$ was estimated from the EM tracking system. For each image I_i and T, SIFT features were matched with the spatial constraint. A training image was used to set the value of the width of the bounded region. The epipolar lines were derived after refinement of the epipolar geometry with MAPSAC and a nonlinear optimisation over the matched features. Epipolar lines were derived in T from the biopsy site locations in the images I_i to estimate the re-localised biopsy site.

After the computation of the re-localised biopsy site, the analysis of the accuracy and the conversion from pixels to millimetres were the same as those done for real patients in Chapter 7. The biopsy site was re-localised with 6 epipolar lines in the pig bronchus and with 8 epipolar lines in the pig trachea. Also, for each pair of images $I_i \leftrightarrow T$, the number and the percentage of inliers were counted manually.



Fig. 8-18: Results of the experiment on excised pig bronchus and trachea: columns a) and b) relocalisation results in bronchus and trachea: the blue epipolar lines were derived in **T** from the biopsy site locations in the reference images I_i , and the green point is the re-localised biopsy site; column c) illustration of erroneous matching (bottom) of SIFT features (top) that would be excluded by the constraint provided by the EM tracker: a SIFT feature is represented in I_i (top row) at the centre of a circle whose radius is proportional to the feature scale and whose drawn radius indicates the feature orientation. The bottom row shows the matched feature in **T**.

8.3.3.2 Results

For the *ex vivo* study, the spatial constraint from the EM tracking system could contribute to more accurate re-localised biopsy sites (Fig. 8-18 a) and b)). For the sequence acquired in the pig bronchus, one epipolar line derived from a set of matches counting 38% inliers without spatial constraint i.e. without the contribution of the EM tracking system was less accurate than the epipolar line derived from a set of matches counting 55% inliers with spatial constraint (Fig. 8-18 a)). The biopsy site was re-localised with an accuracy of 1.6mm with the EM tracking system only, 0.76mm without spatial constraint, and 0.43mm with spatial constraint. For the sequence acquired in the trachea, one epipolar line was derived from 42 inliers without constraint while it was derived from 108 inliers with constraint (Fig. 8-18 b)). The biopsy site was re-localised with an accuracy of 3mm with the EM tracking system only, 1mm without spatial constraint, and 0.31mm with spatial constraint. For these cases, the EM tracking system helped avoid matching SIFT features whose neighbourhoods were similar although they did not correspond to the same anatomical point (Fig. 8-18 c)).

8.4 Conclusion

This chapter aimed to demonstrate that accurate epipolar geometry can be estimated using the contribution of an EM tracking system. The EM tracking system returns a crude approximation of the epipolar geometry. It can help constrain spatially the matching of SIFT features using information that the features must be next to their epipolar line estimated with the EM tracking system.

A study on phantom showed that the EM tracking system has the potential to increase the percentage and the number of inliers during the matching process of SIFT features. It is particularly the case for 2 images acquired for camera positions which differ by more than 6mm and for images where the features have a poor contrast with the background. For example, the epipolar geometry could be recovered from a set of matches containing 62% inliers when information from the EM tracking system was used while it was recovered from matches containing 48% inliers without the contribution of the EM tracking system. For this example, information from the EM tracking system helped detect 86 matches containing 53 inliers while no information resulted in 69 matches containing 33 inliers. These results were obtained on a static phantom with a texture whose patterns repeated a lot. The contribution of the EM tracking system to the re-localisation was shown with experiments on excised organs from pigs. The re-localised biopsy site was computed in a trachea and in a bronchus with an accuracy better than 0.5mm thanks to the contribution of the EM tracking system while it was computed with an accuracy between 0.5mm and 1mm without the contribution of the EM tracking system.

As the endoscopes used during the endoscopy examinations in the experiments described in this chapter did not have an integrated EM tracking system, these experiments were limited to studies using a rigid static phantom and static excised tissues. The conditions of these experiments were intended to reproduce those of an endoscopy examination of Barrett's Oesophagus. The assumptions of rigidity and of the absence of movement of the oesophagus are justified since the oesophagus does not deform while the endoscope stays near the biopsy site and the patient is usually calm and does not normally move during endoscopy examinations. Furthermore, the oesophagus is located near the spine and is physically constrained by the trachea and lungs. Therefore, it is not expected to move significantly with the breathing motion. Nevertheless, further developments could take into consideration small displacements of the organ with the patient breathing and movement to further increase accuracy. Additional developments include the determination of the limits of the accuracy of the EM tracking system in order to achieve a re-localisation accuracy that is sufficiently high for a particular clinical application. This could be done by computer
simulations using a Monte Carlo approach. An initial scene could be created virtually in such a way that epipolar lines all pass through the biopsy site and subtend an angle of 40°. Specific standard deviations could be applied to the positions and orientations of a simulated EM sensor. These standard deviations could be propagated as errors through the transformation to the camera coordinate system. The epipolar lines would be recomputed with uncertainty and the re-localised biopsy site would be computed. This computation would be repeated a great number of times for the same standard deviations applied to the positions and orientations of the simulated EM sensor in order to estimate the required accuracy of the re-localisation. The standard deviations would be changed, afterwards, in order to determine a range of inaccuracies of the EM tracking system that can return accurate re-localisations.

Chapters 5, 6, 7, and 8 presented methods to re-localise a biopsy site using epipolar lines and to compute a confidence region around the re-localised biopsy site. The next chapter consists of defining possible routes to develop a re-localisation system that can be used clinically and potential applications of these methods.

Chapter 9 Conclusion and Future Work

9.1 Conclusion

Many diseases start at the superficial layers of tissue and are characterised by microscopic lesions that evolve before the diseases reach a malignant stage. Optical biopsy techniques have the potential to detect these lesions *in vivo* and *in situ*. They commonly consist of miniprobes that are placed in contact with the tissue for interrogation of a 0.5mm x 0.5mm extent and return information at the cellular level, the microscopic scale. Once these lesions have been detected, it is necessary to re-localise these lesions in their macroscopic context in order to go back to the same position at a later time. This thesis is based on the development of a re-localisation method of lesions with an application in gastroenterology. This thesis aimed to study the potential contribution of epipolar geometry to solve the problem of biopsy site re-localisation in endoscopic images during a surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO).

The epipolar geometry formed by a pair of a reference endoscopic image and of a target endoscopic image can be represented algebraically by the fundamental matrix. It is a mapping between the two images. It transforms a point in the reference image as an epipolar line in the target image which indicates the locus of the possible positions of the matched point in the target image. As the fundamental matrix is a point-to-epipolar line mapping, more pairs of endoscopic images are necessary to constrain the locus of possible positions of the searched point. The pairs of images have to show the point under different viewpoints in order to compute the re-localised biopsy site. Either 2 or N > 2 epipolar lines were used. Chapter 5 discussed this method which was the first contribution of this thesis. Simulations aimed to study the variations of the re-localised biopsy site accuracy and precision with the noise in the images and, therefore, with the accuracy of the epipolar lines. When the epipolar lines were determined less accurately, the resulting re-localised biopsy site tended to be less accurate when it was computed either with 2 epipolar lines only or with N epipolar lines. This chapter studied also the influence of the angle subtended by the epipolar lines on the accuracy of the re-localised biopsy site. The larger the angle, the more accurate and precise the biopsy site.

The angle subtended by the epipolar lines depends on the movement of the endoscope camera (or, equivalently, endoscope tip). This movement helps generate multiple different viewpoints. As the camera is commonly located around the rotation axis of the endoscope, twisting the tip of the endoscope is sufficient to translate and rotate the camera. With such motion, the epipoles follow a circular trajectory around the centre of the image as discussed in Chapter 7. As the biopsy site is usually not far either from the centre of the target image, the resulting epipolar lines can subtend a large angle up to 50°. Further experiments should be done in order to determine the minimal angle with which the endoscope tip should be rotated for the generation of sufficiently different epipolar lines. In clinical practice, the epipolar lines could also be overlaid on the images in order to help the endoscopist decide how to rotate the endoscope.

During an endoscopic examination, the display of a confidence region around the relocalised biopsy site may be useful for instrument guidance. The confidence region of a point is commonly drawn from the covariance matrix of this point. The covariance matrix can be determined experimentally which consists of estimating the point several times adding different noise samples in the images for each experiment. This method requires, however, a lot of iterations. Chapter 6 presented an analytical derivation for the determination of this covariance matrix without iterations which was the second contribution of this thesis. The derivation was developed for the re-localisation method with N > 2 epipolar lines. The resulting analytical covariance matrices were not in perfect correspondence with the experimental covariance matrices. However, these derivations have the potential to display an approximation of the confidence region around the re-localised biopsy site.

Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 focused on the use of epipolar lines to re-localise a biopsy site and on analytical derivations to estimate the confidence region around the site in the target image. Chapter 7 presented the results of the validation of the re-localisation method and of the analytical uncertainty. The validation was done using data acquired on a phantom and on real patients with various endoscopes and various endoscope camera motions. During some examinations, air/water bubbles obstruct the field of view of the endoscope camera or the camera may move too quickly which returns blurred images. These events may happen between the time before which the endoscopist was interrogating the tissue with the miniprobe and the time after which the biopsy site needed to be re-localised. The recovery of the epipolar geometry between the reference image and the target image requires the detection of common features which are commonly located on the vasculature. When events like air/water bubbles appearance or quick camera movement does not occur, the Lucas Kanade (LK) tracker may be used to detect features in the reference image and to track them until the target image. This tracker has the advantage of constraining spatially the search for the feature matches. However, in the case of such events, the LK tracker fails since features cannot be tracked reliably. The Scale and Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) may be used as

an alternative since SIFT does not track features. However, SIFT does not impose a spatial constraint when matching the detected features. This may produce a high number of mismatches or outliers which corrupt the computation of the epipolar geometry. Chapter 8 focused, therefore, on a method to reduce this number of outliers which was the third contribution of this thesis. ElectroMagnetic (EM) tracking systems may be integrated into endoscopes in order to track the movement of the endoscope camera in the 3D space. Thus, the epipolar geometry formed by the pair of reference and target images can be recovered from the EM tracking system. The search for feature matches can be constrained by this estimate of the epipolar geometry. The resulting matches can be used again to refine the computation of the biopsy site.

Chapters 5, 6, 7, and 8 described and validated methods to re-localise biopsy sites and determine their confidence region in critical or not critical cases. However, this thesis did not explain how a whole re-localisation system could work in real-time with patients. Moreover, the methods were developed only for re-localisation of a biopsy site in subsequent images acquired during the same endoscopy examination. Finally, the thesis did not study the potential fusion of information coming from various imaging modalities at different scales. These three routes define possible future works to make use of or extend the re-localisation method.

9.2 Re-localisation in real time

The results presented in this thesis were obtained from sequences processed after acquisition. A major missing step is the automatic detection of the tip of the miniprobe. Also, the algorithms for feature detection and matching and for recovery of the epipolar geometry were implemented using Matlab (Matlab, R14, The Mathworks Ltd, Cambridge, UK). The computations can be engineered to make them run faster.

Several authors suggested methods for the segmentation of the miniprobe in endoscopic images (Speidel et al., 2006; Voros et al., 2006; Mountney et al., 2009). Mountney et al. (2009) developed a method that segmented the miniprobe in the Hue-Saturation-Value space of the endoscopic images; however, this method does not take into account the presence of the metallic ferrule mounted at the tip of the miniprobe. Voros et al. (2006) developed a method that detects the edges of the instruments using the Hough transform and a prior knowledge about the position of the instrument in the endoscopic image. This spatial information was derived from the hypothesis of rigidity of the endoscopes and of the instruments. Thus, a more adapted method for miniprobe segmentation would be that developed by Speidel et al. (2006) which is probabilistic segmentation using information about the colour of the pixels to distinguish tissue from the instruments.

Implementations of the Lucas Kanade (LK) tracker and of the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) used in this thesis were not fast implementations. Graphic Processing Unit (GPU) implementations of these algorithms have been developed and can be found at the following adresses:

- GPU-based LK tracker: http://www.cs.unc.edu/~ssinha/Research/GPU_KLT/
- GPU-based SIFT: http://www.cs.unc.edu/~ccwu/siftgpu/

Furthermore, the estimation of the fundamental matrices requires a lot of MAPSAC tests . These tests can be run in parallel using the GPU technology. In this thesis, a 7-parameter nonlinear optimisation method based on MAPSAC was used for the estimation of the fundamental matrix. A recently desribed alternative method termed the Extended Fundamental Numerical Scheme (EFNS), developed by Sugaya and Kanatani (Sugaya and Kanatani, 2007; Kanatani, 2008), has been shown to return a more accurate fundamental matrix than that obtained with the 7-parameter non-linear optimisation. The EFNS method consists of minimising the uncertainty of the fundamental matrix represented by its covariance matrix. Future developments could explore this method in order to improve the re-localisation accuracy.

Finally, the method presented in this thesis made the assumption that the oesophagus tissue does not deform significantly. Most of the patients undergoing the endoscopy examinations for the surveillance of Barrett's Oesophagus whose data were acquired for the validation studies presented in this thesis were sedated and calm during the examination. Therefore, the oesophageal wall could be reasonably assumed to remain stationary during the examination once the endoscope had been inserted. Furthermore, the processed sequences were selected such that they showed a static structure of the oesophagus. For some patients, the oesophageal wall was observed to exhibit a periodical movement when the miniprobe was removed: the wall shrunk and distended again as the oesophagus recovered its initial shape. Future work could focus on the detection of endoscope images during a sequence which shows the same oesophagus shape. One possible approach would be to recover the epipolar geometry and to measure the Sampson's error for the fundamental matrix to fit the set of inliers. If the Sampson's error is too large, this indicates that the reference image might not be suitable for use in re-localisation.

These three improvements will be investigated in future developments.



Fig. 9-1: Similar vascular patterns between a first and a second surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) performed on the same patient within 3 months interval: the arrows indicate the location of vascular segments that are visible in both images. The colours help identify the similar segments. Images a) and b) correspond to the same region in the first (left column) and second (right column) examinations. Images c) and d) correspond to the same region in the first (left column) and second (right column) examinations.



Fig. 9-2: Epipolar geometry formed by a pair of endoscopic images acquired during a first examination and during a second examination: a) reference image from the first examination; b) epipolar lines (red lines) in the target image acquired during the second examination derived from seven features of the reference image; c) epipolar line (green line) derived in the target image from a 'biopsy site' of the reference image and the true location of the 'biopsy site' (red point) in the target image.

9.3 Biopsy site re-localisation in a future examination

A surveillance examination of Barrett's Oesophagus (BO) consists of detecting dysplasias at the tissue surface that correspond to early changes of the cells. These cells can evolve over time as cancers or they can get back to normal. An important extension of the re-localisation method would be its use for excising or observing during a future examination the biopsy site detected in a first examination in order to assess the stage of the disease.

The re-localisation method requires the detection of features in endoscopic images that correspond usually to vessel intersections or curvatures. As patients examined for the detection of BO do not have cancer, it is not expected that the geometry and/or size of superficial blood vessels will change significantly between endoscopic investigations due to the angiogenesis. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that there will not be significant changes in the appearance of the wall of the oesophagus. An experiment consisted of comparing the oesophagus wall of the same patient observed with an endoscope during two surveillance examinations within 3 months interval. Given an image from the second examination, corresponding images from the first examination were searched by identification of similar vasculature. Once corresponding images were found, SIFT features were detected and matched. A point was selected as the biopsy site in the images. The epipolar geometry was recovered using seven pairs of good matches for a pair of images from respectively the first examination and the second examination. The epipolar line corresponding to the biopsy site was derived in the image of the second examination termed target image.

This experiment showed that similarities in the oesophagus walls could be found between 2 examinations (Fig. 9-1). Because of the difference of the endoscope optics, of the camera orientations, and of the image resolutions, only a small section of the images showed similarities and most of the common features were concentrated in this small section. Thus, the epipolar geometries and the re-localised biopsy site risk being less accurate than those computed during the same examination. Nevertheless, the computation of the epipolar geometry formed by the pair of images shown in Fig. 9-2 returned an accurate epipolar line for the re-localisation of the biopsy site. This accuracy was maybe due to the selection of good feature matches for the recovery of the epipolar geometry.

A possible approach for biopsy site re-localisation during a future examination would be, first, the selection of the reference endoscopic images from the first examination that show the same part of the oesophagus as the current target image from the second examination. Mori et al. (2005) demonstrated that an ElectroMagnetic (EM) tracking system returns information about the location of the endoscope camera in the organ of interest and has the potential to reduce the computations by selection of images that are spatially relevant during the same examination. Future work for biopsy site re-localisation will focus on the potential use of an EM tracking system to reposition roughly the endoscope around the biopsy site that was detected during a previous examination. Secondly, the detected SIFT features could be matched using a graph matching method presented by Atasoy et al. (2009) which took into account the visual similarity of the features and which preserved their spatial arrangement in order to increase the proportion of the inliers. The strong constraint from the EM tracking system can also be integrated into this method to reduce the complexity of the graph matching. Finally, high resolution images will be acquired in order to improve the feature detection and matching.

9.4 Biopsy site re-localisation in lungs and fusion of imaging modalities

Image not displayed for Copyright reasons

Fig. 9-3: Characterisation of a tumour with various imaging modalities: a) normal cell arrangements at the surface of the lung walls observed with *in vivo* fibered confocal microscopy are differentiated from b) abnormal arrangements for which the cells look disorganised; c) tumours can also be detected in the pre-operative CT image and under a guidance of the bronchoscope, the endoscopist can perform d) endobronchoscopic ultra sound imaging in order to detect the tumour *in vivo* and e) to excise tissue for observation under a microscope; f) the tumour can also be observed with optical coherence tomography.

Cancers in organs different from the oesophagus are also preceded by cell changes that can be detected *in vivo* by optical biopsy at an early stage. For example, the early detection of recurrent respiratory papillomatosis helps avoid the development of cancers in lung (Colt et al., 2010). Information about the cell structures in lung can come from a variety of imaging modalities such as a pre-operative CT image, EndoBronchoscopic Ultra Sound (EBUS) images, Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) images, or Fibered Confocal Microscopy (FCM) images (Fig. 9-3). An important issue is the coregistration of these different sources of information.

The pre-operative CT image helps identify the tumours in the organ (Fig. 9-3 c)). During the endoscopic examination, a navigation system can be used to guide the bronchoscope towards the tumour locations (Mori et al., 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009; Valdivia, 2010). This navigation system registers the real bronchoscope camera movement in a 3D reconstruction of the lungs from the pre-operative CT image. Once the bronchoscope is placed around the tumour, imaging or surgical instruments such as EBUS, FCM, or OCT probes can be used to analyse the tissue surface under the visual control of the bronchoscope camera. They return information about the tissue structure (Fig. 9-3 a), b), d), f)).

Chapter 8 showed that the re-localisation method in combination with an EM tracking system can be used as well in the trachea or in the bronchus. Therefore, the sites analysed with EBUS, FCM, and OCT probes can be re-localised in the bronchoscopic image for guidance of biopsy needles for excision and for *ex vivo* analysis under a microscope (Fig. 9-3 e)) in order to confirm the diagnosis made with the pre-operative image and *in vivo*. An EM sensor could be mounted at the tip of the endoscope and could be used to localise the endoscope pose both in physical space and, through registration, in the pre-operative CT image. The ultrasound probe is usually mounted onto to the endoscope tip for EBUS applications. Thus, there is a rigid transformation from the coordinate system of the ultrasound probe to the endoscope camera coordinate system. As the optical miniprobes for FCM or OCT are passed through the working channel of the endoscope images and, again by transforming between coordinates, in the pre-operative image.

Future development can focus on the integration of the re-localisation method in a clinical system for image-guided biopsy within the airways.

9.5 Integration of the re-localisation method in a Magnetic Resonance-guided system

An application of the re-localisation method is the guidance of instruments or cells for treatment of the tissue extent affected by malignancies. Riegler et al. (2011) demonstrated that magnetic and fluorescent cells can be steered to a site of interest using the magnetic force derived from the coils of a Magnetic Resonance (MR) scanner. These cells were fluorescent in order to be visible in *in vivo* Fibered Confocal Microscopy (FCM) images. Therefore, the cell movement could be controlled using an FCM miniprobe.

This method could be integrated into an interventional system combining endoscopy, optical biopsy such as FCM, and MR imaging for biopsy site re-localisation and treatment. MR imaging has been shown to be an appropriate tool for the detection of cancers in the oesophagus (Ajaj et al., 2004; Iwadate et al., 2007). As MR imaging has the potential to guide interventions (Kos et al., 2007), MR images could be acquired during endoscopic examinations in the oesophagus in order to track visually the position of the optical biopsy miniprobe within the organ. If the endoscope were MR-compatible as one was presented in Gross et al. (2001), the endoscopy could be performed under the control of the MR scanner. Once the biopsy site has been detected in the endoscopic images, the re-localisation method in the endoscopic images could help maintain the optical biopsy miniprobe at the location of the biopsy site. The MR scanner would be used to guide the cells for tissue treatment towards the detected site as described in Riegler et al. (2011).

The tracking of the FCM miniprobe tip may be useful to record the location of the treated site as presented in Chapter 2 'Initial Pilot Work to Assess the *In Vivo* Use of the Fibered Confocal Microscope and its Use in Combination with MRI'. Because the oesophagus is full of air, it will appear in black in the MR images. In this case, a Gadolinium-based marker can be mounted at the tip of the FCM miniprobe in order to make it visible as presented in Chapter 2. The positions of the FCM miniprobe can be, therefore, recorded in the MR images with the method described in Chapter 2. This position can be finally used to steer the cells to the site to be treated using the forces derived from the MR imaging coils.

Publications

First Author:

Allain, B., Hu, M., Lovat, L.B., Cook, R.J., Ourselin, S., and Hawkes, D.J., 2009a, Biopsy Site Re-localisation based on the Computation of Epipolar Lines from Two Previous Endoscopic Images, In: G.Z. Yang, D.J. Hawkes, D. Rueckert (eds.) MICCAI 2009, LNCS, Part I, volume 5761, pages 491-498, Springer, Heidelberg.

Allain, B., Price, A., Vercauteren, T.K., Cook, R.J., Ourselin, S., Lythgoe, M.F., and Hawkes, D.J., 2009b, Precision of Confocal Miniprobe Localisation Assessed By High-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Gastrointestinal endoscopy, volume 69, issue 5, page AB370.

Allain, B., Hu, M., Lovat, L.B., Cook, R.J., Vercauteren, T., Ourselin, S., and Hawkes, D.J., 2010, A System for Biopsy Site Re-targeting with Uncertainty in Gastroenterology and Oropharyngeal Examinations, In: T. Jiang, N. Navab, J.P.W. Pluim, M.A. Viergevier (eds.) MICCAI 2010, LNCS, Part II, volume 6362, pages 514-521, Springer, Heidelberg.

Allain, B., Hu, M., Lovat, L.B., Cook, R.J., Vercauteren, T., Ourselin, S., and Hawkes, D.J., 2011, Re-localisation of a Biopsy Site in Endoscopic Images and Characterisation of its Uncertainty, Medical Image Analysis (2011), *In Press*, DOI 10.1016/j.media.2011.11.005.

Second Author:

Hu, M., Allain, B., Hawkes, D., Ourselin, S., Lovat, L., Cook, R., 2009, Apparatus and Method for Determining a Location in a Target Image, WO/2011/027107, PCT/GB2010/001651.

Riegler, J., Allain, B., Cook, R. J., Lythgoe, M. F., and Pankhurst, Q. A., 2011, Magnetically Assisted Delivery of Cells Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging System, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, volume 44, number 5, 055001.

Bibliography

Abrat, B., and Masters, A., 2006, Endoscopic Confocal Microscopy Moves into the Clinic, Biophotonics international, Laurin.

Ajaj, W., Debatin, J.F., and Lauenstein, T., 2004, Dark Lumen MR Colonography, Abdominal Imaging, volume 29, number 4, pages 429-433.

Al-Gubory, K.H., and Houdebine, L.M., 2006, *In Vivo* Imaging of Green Fluorescent Proteinexpressing Cells in Transgenic Animals using Fibred Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy, European Journal of Cell Biology, volume 85, pages 837-845.

Allain, B., Hu, M., Lovat, L.B., Cook, R.J., Ourselin, S., and Hawkes, D.J., 2009a, Biopsy Site Re-localisation based on the Computation of Epipolar Lines from Two Previous Endoscopic Images, In: G.Z. Yang, D.J. Hawkes, D. Rueckert (eds.) MICCAI 2009, LNCS, Part I, volume 5761, pages 491-498, Springer, Heidelberg.

Allain, B., Price, A., Vercauteren, T.K., Cook, R.J., Ourselin, S., Lythgoe, M.F., and Hawkes, D.J., 2009b, Precision of Confocal Miniprobe Localisation Assessed By High-Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Gastrointestinal endoscopy, volume 69, issue 5, page AB370.

Allain, B., Hu, M., Lovat, L.B., Cook, R.J., Vercauteren, T., Ourselin, S., and Hawkes, D.J., 2010, A System for Biopsy Site Re-targeting with Uncertainty in Gastroenterology and Oropharyngeal Examinations, In: T. Jiang, N. Navab, J.P.W. Pluim, M.A. Viergevier (eds.) MICCAI 2010, LNCS, Part II, volume 6362, pages 514-521, Springer, Heidelberg.

Anderson, T., 1958, An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Atasoy, S., Glocker, B., Giannarou, S., Mateus, D., Meining, A., Yang, G.Z., and Navab, N., 2009, Probabilistic Region Matching in Narrow-Band Endoscopy for Targeted Optical Biopsy, In: G.A. Yang, D.J. Hawkes, D. Rueckert (eds.) MICCAI 2009, LNCS, Part I, volume 5761, pages 499-506, Springer, Heidelberg.

Bartels, L.W., and Bakker, C.J.G., 2003, Endovascular Interventional Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Physics in Medicine and Biology, volume 48, pages R37-R64.

Barreto, J.P., and Daniilidis, K., 2005, Fundamental Matrix for Cameras with Radial Distortion, ICCV 2005, volume 1, pages 625-632.

Becker, V., Vercauteren, T., Hann von Weyhern, C., Prinz, C., Schmid, R.M., and Meining, A., 2007, High-Resolution Miniprobe-Based Confocal Microscopy in Combination with Video Mosaicing, Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, volume 66, number 5, pages 1001-1007.

Bjorck, A., 1996, Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems, Philadelphia, SIAM.

Boggs, P.T., and Wolle, J.W., 1996, Sequential Quadratic Programming, Acta Numerica, volume 4, number 1, pages 1-51.

Bookstein, F., 1979, Fitting Conic Sections to Scattered Data, Computer Vision Graphics and Image Processing, volume 9, pages 56-71.

Botoman, V.A., Pietro, M., and Thirlby, R.C., 1994, Localization of Colonic Lesions with Endoscopic Tattoo, Journal of Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, volume 37, number 8, pages 775-776.

Bouguet, J.Y., 2004, Camera Calibration Toolbox for Matlab. http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/calib_doc.

British Society of Gastroenterology, 2005, Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Barrett's Columnar-Lined Oesophagus, <u>http://www.bsg.org.uk</u>.

Brown, M., and Lowe, D.G, 2006, Automatic Panoramic Image Stitching using Invariant Features, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 74, number 1, pages 59-73.

Burstin, von, J., Eser, S., Seidler, B., Meining A., Bajbouj, M., Mages, J., Lang, R., Kind, A.J., Schnieke, A.E., Schmid, R.M., Schneider, G., and Saur, D., 2008, Highly Sensitive Detection of Early-Stage Pancreatice Cancer by Multimodal Near-Infrared Molecular Imaging in Living Mice, International Journal of Cancer, volume 123, pages 2138-2147.

Burschka, D., Li, M., Ishii, M., Taylor, R.H., and Hager, G.D., 2005, Scale-Invariant Registration of Monocular Endoscopic Images to CT-Scans for Sinus Surgery, Medical Image Analysis, volume 9, Issue 5, pages 413-426.

Cardoso, J.F., 1997, Infomax and Maximum Liklihood for Blind Source Separation, IEEE Signal Processing Letters, volume 4, number 4, pages 112-114.

Colt, H.G., Murgu, S.D., Jung, B., Ahn, Y.C., and Brenner, M., 2010, Multimodality Bronchoscopic Imaging of Recurrent Respiratory Papillomatosis, The Laryngoscope, volume 120, issue 3, pages 468-472.

Cotton, P., and Williams, C., 1996, Practical Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, Blackwell Scientific, London.

Csurka, G., Zeller, C., Zhang, Z., and Faugeras, O.D., 1997, Characterising the Uncertainty of the Fundamental Matrix, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, volume 68, number 1, pages 18-36.

Dahr, A., Kristie, S.J., Novelli, M.R., Bown, S.G., Bigio, I.J., Lovat, L.B., and Bloom, S.L., 2006, Elastic Scattering Spectroscopy for the Diagnosis of Colonic Lesions: Initial Results of a Novel Optical Biopsy Technique. In: Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, volume 63, issue 2, pages 257-261.

Davison, A.J., Reid, I.D., Molton, N.D., and Stasse, O., 2007, MonoSLAM: Real-Time Single Camera SLAM, IEEE Transactions On Pattern Analysis And Machine Intelligence, volume 29, number 6, pages 1052-1067.

Deguchi, D., Mori, K., Suenaga, Y., Hasegawa, J.I., Toriwaki, J.I., Natori, H., and Takabatake, H., 2003, New Calculation Method of Image Similarity for Endoscope Tracking Based on Image Registration in Endoscope Navigation, Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, Proceedings of the 17th International Congress and Exhibition, volume 1256, pages 460-466.

Deguchi, D., Mori, K., Suenaga, Y., Hasegawa, J.I., Toriwaki, J.I., Takabatake, H., and Natori, H., 2007, New Image Similarity Measure for Bronchoscope Tracking Based on Image

Registration. In: R.E. Ellis, and T.M. Peters (eds.) MICCAI 2003, LNCS, volume 2878, pages 399-406, Springer, Heidelberg.

Denk, W., Piston, D.W., Webb, W.W., and Pawley, J.B., 1995, Handbook of Biological Confocal Microscopy, Plenum Press, New York.

Deriche, R., Zhang, Z., Luong, Q.T., and Faugeras, O.D., 1994, Robust Recovery of the Epipolar Geometry for an Uncalibrated Stereo Rig, ECCV 1994, volume 1, LNCS, volume 800, Springer-Verlag, pages 567-576.

Dey, D., Gobbi, D.G., Slomka, P.J., Surry, K.J.M., and Peters, T.M., 2002, Automatic Fusion of Freehand Endoscopic Brain Images to Three-Dimensional Surfaces: Creating Stereoscopic Panoramas, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, volume 21, number 1, pages 23-30.

Eguchi, S., and Copas, J., 2006, Interpreting Kullback-Leibler Divergence with the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, volume 97, pages 2034-2040.

Evans, J.A., Poneros, J.M., Bouma, B.E., Bressner, J., Halpern, E.F., Shishkov, M., Lauwers, G.Y., Mino-Kenudson, M., Nishioka, N.S., and Tearney, G.J., 2006, Optical Coherence Tomography to Identify Intramucosal Carcinoma and High-Grade Dysplasia in Barrett's Esophagus, Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 4, issue 1, pages 38-43.

Faugeras, O.D., 1993, Three-Dimensional Computer Vision: a Geometric Viewpoint, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA/London.

Faugeras, O., and Robert, L., 1994, What Can Two Images Tell Us About a Third One?, ECCV 1994, LNCS, volume 800, pages 485-492.

Fujimoto, J., 2003, Optical Coherence Tomography for Ultrahigh Resolution In Vivo Imaging, Nature Biotechnology, volume 21, pages 1361-1367.

Fischler M.A. and Bolles R.C., 1981, Random Sample Consensus: a Paradigm for Model Fitting with Applications to Image Analysis and Automated Cartography, Communications of the ACM, volume 24, number 6, pages 381-395.

Gross, P., Kitney, R.I., Claesen, S., and Halls, J.M., 2001, MR-Compatible Endoscopy and Tracking for Image-Guided Surgery, Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, volume 1230, pages 1076-1082.

Haneishi, H., Yagihashi, Y., and Miyake, Y., 1995, A New Method for Distortion Correction of Electronic Endoscope Images, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, volume 14, pages 548-55.

Hartley, R.I., 1995, In Defence of the 8-Point Algorithm, ICCV 1995, pages 1064-1070.

Hartley, R.I., 1997, Triangulation, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, volume 68, number 2, pages 146-157.

Hartley, R.I., and Zisserman, A., 2004, Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Helferty, J.P., Zhang, C., McLennan, G., and Higgins, W. E., 2001, Videoendoscopic Distortion Correction and its Application to Virtual Guidance of Endoscopy, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, volume 20, pages 605-617.

Helferty, J.P. and Higgins, W.E., 2002, Combined Endoscopic Video Tracking and Virtual 3D CT Registration for Surgical Guidance, ICCV 2002, volume 2, pages II-961-II-964.

Helferty, J.P., Sherbondy, A.J., Kiraly, A.P., and Higgins, W.E., 2007, Computer-Based System for the Virtual-Endoscopic Guidance of Bronchoscopy, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, volume 108, issues 1-2, pages 171-187.

Hsiung, P.L., Hardy, J., Friedland, S., Soetikno, R., Du, C.B, Wu, A.P., Sahbaie, P., Crawford, J.M., Lowe, A.W., Contag, C.H., and Wang, T.D., 2008, Detection of Colonic Dysplasia *In Vivo* Using a Targeted Heptapeptide and Confocal Microendoscopy, Nature Medicine, volume 14, number 4, pages 454-458.

Hu, M., Penney, G., Edwards, P., Figl, M., and Hawkes, D.J., 2007, 3D Reconstruction of Internal Organ Surfaces for Minimal Invasive Surgery. In: N. Ayache, S. Ourselin, A. Maeder (eds.) MICCAI 2007, Part I LNCS, volume 4791, pages 68-77, Springer, Heidelberg. Hu, M., Penney, G., Rueckert, D., Edwards, P., Figl, M., Pratt, P., and Hawkes, D.J., 2008a, A novel Algorithm for Heart Motion Analysis Based on Geometric Constraints, In: D. Metaxas, L. Axel, G. Fichtinger, G. Szekely (eds.) MICCAI 2008, part I. LNCS, volume 5241, pages 720-728, Springer-Verlag.

Hu, M., McMenemy, K., Ferguson, S., Dodds, G., Yuan, B., 2008b, Epipolar Geometry Estimation Based on Evolutionary Agents, Pattern Recognition, volume 41, issue 2, pages 575-591.

Hu, M., Penney, G.P., Rueckert, D., Edwards, P.J., Bello, F., Casula, R., Figl, M., and Hawkes, D.J., 2009, Non-Rigid Reconstruction of the Beating Heart Surface for Minimally Invasive Cardiac Surgery. In: G.Z. Yang, D.J. Hawkes, D. Rueckert (eds.) MICCAI 2009, Part I, LNCS, volume 5761, pages 34-42. Springer, Heidelberg.

Hummel, J.B., Bax, M.R., Figl, M.L., Kang, Y., Maurer, Jr., C., Birkfellner, W.W., Bergmann, H., and Shahidi, R., 2005, Design and Application of an Assessment Protocol for Electromagnetic Tracking System, Medical Physics, volume 32, issue 7, pages 2371-2379.

ISO 5725-1, 1994, Accuracy (Trueness and Precision) of Measurement Methods and Results – Part 1: General principles and definitions.

Iwadate, Y., Goto, T., Nabetani, A., Miyoshi, M., Asano, K., and Tsukamoto, T., 2007, Esophagus Imaging by 3D FSE with Combination of Inner Volume Excitation and Variable Refocusing Flip Angles, In Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, page 2729.

Johnson, R.A., and Wichern, D.W., 1998, Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River.

Kanatani, K., 2008, Statistical Optimization for Geometric Fitting: Theoretical Accuracy Bound and High Order Error Analysis, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 80, pages 167-188.

Katzir, A., 1993, Lasers and Optical Fibers in Medicine, Academic Press Incorporation.

Kendall, M., and Stuart, A., 1983, The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Charles Griffin and Company: London.

Koenderink, J.J., 1984, The Structure of Images, Biological Cybernetics, volume 50, pages 363-370.

Kos, S., Huegli, R., Bongartz, G.M., Jacob, A.L., and Bilecen D., 2007, MR-Guided Endovascular Interventions: a Comprehensive Review on Techniques and Applications, European Society of Radiology, volume 18, number 4, pages 645-657.

Krupa, A., Gangloff, J., Doignon, C., de Mathelin, M.F., Morel, G., Leroy, J., Soler, L., and Marescaux, J., 2003, Autonomous 3-D Positioning of Surgical Instruments in Robotized Laparoscopic Surgery using Visual Servoing, IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, volume 19, pages 842-853.

Kuhn H.W., 1976, Nonlinear Programming: A Historical View, SIAM-AMS Proceedings, volume 9, pages 1-26.

Kullback, S., 1959, Information Theory and Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, NY.

Le Goualher, G., Perchant, A., Genet, M., Cavé, C., Viellerobe, B., Bérier, F., Abrat, B., and Ayache, N., 2004, Towards Optical Biopsies with an integrated Fibered Confocal Fluorescence Microscope, In: C. Barillot, D.R. Haynor, P. Hellier (eds.) MICCAI 2004, volume 3217, LNCS, pages 761-768, Springer-Verlag.

Lindeberg, T., 1994, Scale-Space Theory: A Basic Tool for Analysing Structures at Different Scales, Journal of Applied Statistics, volume 21, number 2, pages 224-270.

Lindeberg, T., and Garding, J., 1997, Shape-Adapted Smoothing in Estimation of 3-D Shape Cues from Affine Deformations of Local 2-D Brightness Structure, Image and Vision Computing, volume 15, number 6, pages 415-434.

Lindeberg, T., 1998, Feature Detection with Automatic Scale Selection, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 30, number 2, pages 79-116.

Longuet-Higgins, H.C., 1981, A Computer Algorithm for Reconstructing a Scene from Two Projections, Nature, 293, pages 133-135.

Lovat, L.B., and Bown, S.G., 2004, Elastic Scattering Spectroscopy for Detection of Dysplasia in Barrett's Esophagus, In: Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America: optical biopsy, Amsterdam: Elsevier, volume 14, issue 3, pages 507-517.

Lovat, L.B., Johnson, K., Mackenzie, G.D., Clark B.R., Novelli, M.R., Davies, S., O'Donovan, M., Selvasekar, C., Thorpe, S.M., Pickard, D., Fitzgerald, R., Fearn, T., Bigio, I., and Bown, S.G., 2006, Elastic Scattering Spectroscopy Accurately Detects High Grade Dysplasia and Cancer in Barrett's oesophagus, Gut 55, pages 1078-1083.

Lowe, D.G., 2004, Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 60, number 2, pages 91-110.

Lucas, B., and Kanade, T., 1981, An Iterative Image Registration Technique with an Application to Stereo Vision, in Proceedings of IJCAI, pages 674-679.

Luo, X., Feuerstein, M., Reichl, T., Kitasaka, T., and Mori, K., 2010, An Application Driven Comparison of Sevral Feature Extraction Algorithms in Bronchoscope Tracking During Navigated Bronchoscopy, MIAR 2010, LNCS, volume 6326, pages 475-484.

Luong, Q.T., and Faugeras, O.D., 1996, The Fundamental Matrix: Theory, Algorithms, and Stability Analysis, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 17, pages 43-75.

Mahadevan-Jansen, A., Mitchell, M.F., Ramanujamf, N., Malpica, A., Thomsen, S., Utzinger, U. and Richards-Kortumt, R., 1998, Near-infrared Raman Spectroscopy for In Vitro Detection of Cervical Precancers, Photochemistry and Photobiology, volume 68, pages 123-132.

McRobbie, D.W., Moore, E.A., Graves, M.J., and Prince, M.R., 2003, MRI from Picture to Proton, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Meining, A., Schwendy, S., Becker, V., Schmid, R., and Prinz, C., 2007a., *In vivo* Histopathology of Lymphocytic Colitis, Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, volume 66, number 2, pages 398-400.

Meining, A., Bajbouj, M., Delius, S., and Prinz, C., 2007b., Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy for *in vivo* Histopathology of the Gastrointestinal Tract, Arab Journal of Gastroenterology, volume 8, number 1, pages 1-4, ISSN 1687-1979.

Meining, A., Saur, D., Bajbouj, M., Becker, V., Peltier, E., Hoefler, H., Hann von Weyhern, C., Schmid, R.M., and Prinz, C., 2007c., *In vivo* Histopathology for Detection of Gastrointestinal Neoplasia with a Portable, Confocal Miniprobe: an Examiner Blinded Analysis, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 5, pages 1261-1267.

Meining, A., Frimberger, E., Becker, V., Von Delius, S., Hann Von Weyhern, C., Schmid, R.M., and Prinz, C., 2008, Detection of Cholangiocarcinoma *In Vivo* using Miniprobe-Based Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 6, issue 9, pages 1057-1060.

Mekle R., Hofmann E., Scheffler K., and Bilecen D., 2006, A Polymer-Based MR-Compatible Guidewire: a Study to Explore New Prospects for Interventional Peripheral Magnetic Resonance Angiography (ipMRA), Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, volume 23, issue 2, pages 145-155.

Menditto, A., Patriarca, M., and Magnusson, B., 2007, Understanding the Meaning of Accuracy, Trueness, and Precision, Accreditation and quality assurance [0949-1775], volume 12, issue 1, page 45.

Miehlke, S., Morgner, A., Aust, D., Madisch, A., Vieth, M., and Baretton, G., 2007, Combined Use of Narrow-Band Imaging Magnification Endoscopy and Miniprobe Confocal Laser Microscopy in Neoplastic Barrett's Esophagus, Endoscopy, volume 39, E316.

Mikolajczyk, K., and Schmid, C., 2001, Indexing Based on Scale Invariant Interest Points, ICCV 2001, pages 525-531.

Mikolajczyk, K., and Schmid, C., 2002, An Affine Invariant Interest Point Detector, ECCV 2002, LNCS, volume 2350, pages 128-142.

Mikolajczyk, K., and Schmid, C., 2004, Scale and Affine Invariant Interest Point Detectors, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 60, number 1, pages 63-86.

Mikolajczyk, K., and Schmid, C., 2005a, A Performance Evaluation of Local Descriptors, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, volume 27, number 10, pages 1615-1630.

Mikolajczyk, K., Tuytelaars, T., Schmid, C., Zisserman, A., Matas, J., Schaffalitzky, F., Kadir, T., and Van Gool, L., 2005b, A Comparison of Affine Region Detectors, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 65, number 1, pages 43-72.

Molckovsky, A., Wong Kee Song, L.M., Shim, M.G., Marcon, N.E., and Wilson, B.C., 2003, Diagnostic Potential of Near-Infrared Raman Spectroscopy in the Colon: Differentiating Adenomatous from Hyperplastic Polyps, Gastrointestinal endoscopy, volume 57, number 3, pages 396-402.

Morgner, A., Stolte, M., and Miehlke, S., 2007, Visualisation of Lymphoepithelial Lesions in Gastric Mucosa-associated Lymphoid Tissue-type Lymphoma by Miniprobe Confocal Laser Microscopy, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 5, issue 9, pages e37.

Mori, K., Deguchi, D., Sugiyama, J., Suenaga, Y., Toriwaki, J., Maurer Jr., C.R., Takabatake, H., and Natori H., 2002, Tracking of a Bronchoscope Using Epipolar Geometry Analysis and Intensity-Based Image Registration of Real and Virtual Endoscopic Images. Medical Image Analysis, volume 6, issue 3, pages 321-336.

Mori, K., Deguchi, D., Akiyama, K., Kitasaka, T., Maurer Jr., C.R., Suenaga, Y., Takabatake, H., Mori, M., and Natori, H., 2005, Hybrid Bronchoscope Tracking Using a Magnetic Tracking Sensor and Image Registration, In: J. Duncan, G. Gerig (eds.) MICCAI 2005, LNCS, volume 3750, pages 543-550, Springer, Heidelberg.

Mori, K., Deguchi, D., Kitasaka, T., Suenaga, Y., Takabatake, H., Mori, M., Natori, H., and Maurer Jr., C.R., 2006, Bronchoscope Tracking Based on Image Registration Using Multiple

Initial Starting Points Estimated by Motion Prediction, In: R. Larsen, M., Nielsen, J., Sporring (eds.) MICCAI 2006, LNCS, volume 4191, pages 645-652, Springer, Heidelberg.

Mori, K., Deguchi, D., Ishitani, K., Kitasaka, T., Suenaga, Y., Hasegawa, Y., Imaizumi, K., and Takabatake, H., 2007, Bronchoscope Tracking without Fiducial Markers Using Ultra-Tiny Electromagnetic Tracking System and its Evaluation in Different Environments, In: N. Ayache, S. Ourselin, A. Maeder (eds.) MICCAI 2007, part II, volume 4792, LNCS, pages 644-651, Springer, Heidelberg.

Mori, K., Deguchi, D., Kitasaka, T., Suenaga, Y., Hasegawa, Y., Imaizumi, K., and Takabatake, H., 2008, Improvement of Accuracy of Marker-Free Bronchoscope Tracking Using Electromagnetic Tracker Based on Bronchial Branch Information, In: D. Metaxas, L. Axel, G. Fichtinger, G. Szekely (eds.) MICCAI 2008, part II, volume 5242, LNCS, pages 535-542, Springer, Heidelberg.

Mountney, P., Stoyanov, D., Davison, A., and Yang, G.Z., 2006, Simultaneous Stereoscope Localization and Soft-tissue Mapping for Minimal Invasive Surgery, In: R. Larsen, M. Nielsen, and J. Sporring (eds.) MICCAI 2006, LNCS, volume 4190, pages 347-354, Springer, Heidelberg.

Mountney, P., Lo, B., Thiemjarus, S., Stoyanov, D., and Yang, G.Z., 2007, A Probabilistic Framework for Tracking Deformable Soft Tissue in Minimally Invasive Surgery, In: N. Ayache, S. Ourselin, A. Maeder (eds.) MICCAI 2007, LNCS, volume 4792, Part II, pages 34-41, Springer-Verlag.

Mountney, P., and Yang, G.Z., 2008, Soft Tissue Tracking for Minimally Invasive Surgery: Learning Local Deformation Online, In: D. Metaxas, L. Axel, G. Fichtinger, G. Szekely (eds.) MICCAI 2008, LNCS, volume 5242, Part II, pages 364-372, Springer-Verlag.

Mountney, P., Giannarou, S., Elson, D., and Yang, G.Z., 2009, Optical Biopsy Mapping for Minimally Invasive Cancer Screening, In: G.Z. Yang, D.J. Hawkes, D. Rueckert (eds.) MICCAI 2009, Part I, LNCS, volume 5761, pages 483-490, Springer, Heidelberg. Nitatori, T., Hanaoka, H., Hachiya, J., and Yokoyama, K., 1999, MRI Artifacts of Metallic Stents Derived From Imaging Sequencing and Ferromagnetic Nature of Materials, Radiation Medicine, volume 17, number 4, pages 329-334.

Papagatsia, Z., Tappuni, A., Watson, T.F., and Cook, R.J., 2008, Single Wavelength Micro-Endoscopy in Non-Surgical Vascular Lesion Diagnosis and Characterisation, Journal of Microscopy, volume 230, part 2, pages 203-211.

Perchant, A., Le Goualher, and G., Berrier, 2005, F., Method for processing an image acquired through a guide consisting of a plurality of optical fibers, US Patent Number US2005207668, Mauna Kea Technologies.

Pohl, H., Roesch, T., Vieth, M., Koch, M., Becker, V., Anders, M., Khalifa, A.C., and Meining, A., 2008, Miniprobe Confocal Laser Microscopy for the Detection of Invisible Neoplasia in Patients with Barrett's Oesophagus, Gut 57, 1648-1653.

Press, W.H., Flannery, B.P., Tuekolsky, S.A., and Vetterling, W.T., 1988, Numerical Recipes in C, Cambridge University Press.

Riegler, J., Allain, B., Cook, R. J., Lythgoe, M. F., and Pankhurst, Q. A., 2011, Magnetically Assisted Delivery of Cells Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging System, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, volume 44, number 5, 055001.

Rousseeuw, P.J., and Leroy, A.M., 1987, Robust Regression and Outlier Detection, Wiley: New York.

Ruddon, R.W., 2007, Cancer Biology, Oxford University Press.

Sampson, P.D., 1982, Fitting Conic Sections to 'Very Scattered' Data: An iterative refinement of the Bookstein algorithm, Computer Graphics and Image Processing, volume 18, pages 97-108.

Schwarz, Y., Greif, J., Becker, H.D., Ernst, A., and Mehta, A., 2006, Real-Time Electromagnetic Navigation Bronchoscopy to Peripheral Lung Lesions Using Overlaid CT Images: The First Human Study, Chest, volume 129, issue 4, pages 988-994.

Schenck J.F., 1996, The Role of Magnetic Susceptibility in Magnetic Resonance Imaging: MRI Magnetic Compatibility of the First and Second Kinds, Medical Physics, volume 23, issue 6, pages 815-850.

Seppenwoolde, J.H., Bartels, L.W., van der Weide, R., Nijsen, J.F.W., van het Schip, A.D., and Bakker, C.J.G., 2006, Fully MR-Guided Hepatic Artery Catheterization for Selective Drug Delivery: a Feasibility Study in Pigs, Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, volume 23, issue 2, pages 123-129.

Shi, J., and Tomasi, C., 1993, Good Features to Track, TR 93-1399, Cornell U.

Shi, J., and Tomasi, C., 1994, Good Features to Track, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 1994, Seattle.

Speidel, S., Delles, M., Gutt, C., and Dillmann, R., 2006, Tracking of Instruments in Minimally Invasive Surgery for Surgical Skill Analysis, In: G.Z. Yang, T.Z. Jiang, D. Shen, L. Gu, J. Yang (eds) MIAR 2006. LNCS, volume 4091, pages 148-155, Springer, Heidelberg.

Spence, R.A.J., and Johnston, P.G., 2001, Oncology, Oxford University Press.

Spivak, M., 1979, A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Publish or Perish, Berkeley, CA, 1979.

Stoyanov, D., Mylonas, G.P., Deligianni, F., Darzi, A., and Yang, G.Z., 2005, Soft-Tissue Motion Tracking and Structure Estimation for Robotic Assisted MIS Procedures, In: J. Duncan, and G. Gerig (eds) MICCAI 2005, LNCS, volume 3750, pages 139-146, Springer, Heidelberg.

Sugaya, Y., and Kanatani, K., 2007, Highest Accuracy Fundamental Matrix Computation, ACCV 2007, LNCS, volume 4844, pages 311-321, Springer, Heidelberg.

Szpala, S., Wierzbicki, M., Guiraudon, G., and Peters, T.M., 2005, Real-Time Fusion of Endoscopic Views with Dynamic 3-D Cardiac Images: a Phantom Study, IEEE Transactions On Medical Imaging, volume 24, number 9, pages 1207-1215.

Thiberville, L., Moreno-Swirc, S., Vercauteren, T., Peltier, E., Cavé, C., and Bourg Heckly, G., 2007, *In Vivo* Imaging of the Bronchial Wall Microstructure Using Fibered Confocal Fluorescences Microscopy, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, volume 175, pages 22-31.

Thiberville, L., and Salaun, M., 2010, Bronchoscopic Advances: on the Way to the Cells, Respiration, volume 79, issue 6, pages 441-449.

Torr, P.H.S., 1995, Motion Segmentation and Outlier Detection, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Jilary Term.

Torr, P.H.S., and Murray, D.W., 1997, The Development and Comparison of Robust Methods for Estimating the Fundamental Matrix, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 24, number 3, pages 271-300.

Torr, P.H.S., and Zisserman, A., 2000, MLESAC: a New Robust Estimator with Application to Estimating Image Geometry, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, volume 78, issue 1, pages 138-156.

Torr, P.H.S., 2002, Bayesian Model Estimation and Selection for Epipolar Geometry and Generic Manifold Fitting, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 50, number 1, pages 35-61.

Torr, P.H.S., and Davidson, C., 2003, IMPSAC: Synthesis of Importance Sampling and Random Sample Consensus, in IEEE Transactions On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, volume 25, number 3, pages 354-364.

Torr, P.H.S., and Fitzgibbon, A.W., 2004, Invariant Fitting of Two View Geometry, in IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, volume 26, number 5, pages 648-650.

Tsai, R.Y., and Lenz, R.K., 1989, A New Technique for Fully Autonomous and Efficient 3D Robotics Hand/Eye Calibration, IEEE Transactions On Robotics and Automation, volume 5, issue 3, pages 345-358.

Unal, O., Li, J., Cheng, W., Yu, H., and Strother, C.M., 2006, MR-Visible Coatings for Endovascular Device Visualization, Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, volume 23, issue 5, pages 763-769.

Valdivia y Alvarado, M., He, T.C., Xue, Z., Wong, S., and Wong, K., 2010, Peripheral Lung Cancer Detection by Vascular Tumour Labelling Using *In-vivo* Microendoscopy under Real Time 3D CT Image Guided Intervention, MIAR 2010, LNCS 6326, H. Liao et al. (eds.), pages 494-502.

Vercauteren, T., Perchant, A., Pennec, X., and Ayache, N., 2005, Mosaicing of Confocal Microscopic *In Vivo* Soft Tissue Video Sequences, In: J. Duncan, and G. Gerig, (eds) MICCAI 2005, LNCS, volume 3749, pages 753-760, Springer, Heidelberg.

Vercauteren, T., Perchant, A., Malandain, G., Pennec, X., and Ayache, N., 2006, Robust Mosaicing with Correction of Motion Distortions and Tissue Deformations for *In Vivo* Fibered Microscopy, 2006, Medical Image Analysis, volume 10, number 5, pages 673-692, Annual MedIA/MICCAI Best paper Award 2006.

Vercauteren, T., 2008, Image Registration and Mosaicing for Dynamic *In Vivo* Fibered Confocal Microscopy, PhD Thesis, Ecole des Mines de Paris.

Vijayan, A., K., Kumar, S., and Radhakrishnan, D., 1999, A New Approach for Nonlinear Distortion Correction in Endoscopic Images Based on Least Squares Estimation, IEEE Transactions On Medical Imaging, volume 18, pages 345-354.

Voros, S., Long, J.A., and Cinquin, P., 2006, Automatic Localisation of Laparoscopic Instruments for the Visual Servoing of an Endoscopic Camera Holder, In: R. Larsen, M. Nielsen, J. Sporring (eds.) MICCAI 2006, LNCS, volume 4190, pages 535-542, Springer, Heidelberg.

Wagnieres, G., Star, W., and Wilson, B., 1998, *In Vivo* Fluorescence Spectroscopy and Imaging for Oncological Applications, Photochemistry and Photobiology, volume 68, pages 603-632.

Wallace, M.B., Perelman, L.T., Backman, V., Crawford, J.M., Fitzmaurice, M., Seiler, M., Badizadegan, K., Shields, S.J., Itzkan, I., Dasari, R.R., Van Dam, J., and Feld, M.S., 2000, Endoscopic Detection of Dysplasia in Patients with Barrett's Oesophagus Using Light-Scattering Spectroscopy, Gastroenterology, volume 119, number 3, pages 677-682.

Wallace, M.B., and Fockens, P., 2009, Probe-Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy, Gastroenterology, volume 136, pages 1509-1525.

Wang, M.Y., Maurer Jr., C.R., and Fitzpatrick, J.M., Maciunas, R.J., 1996, An Automatic Technique for Finding and Localizing Externally Attached Markers in CT and MR Volume Images of the Head, IEEE Transactions On Biomedical Engineering, volume 43, number 6, pages 627-637.

Wang, T.D., and Van Dam, J., 2004, Optical Biopsy: a New Frontier in Endoscopic Detection and Diagnosis, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 2, issue 9, pages 744-753.

Wang, T.D., Friedland, S., Sahbaie, P., Soetikno, R., Hsiung, P.L., Liu, J.T.C., Crawford, J.M. and Contag, C.H., 2007, Functional Imaging of Colonic Mucosa with a Fibered Confocal Microscope for Real-Time In Vivo Pathology, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, volume 5, issue 11, pages 1300-1305.

Wang, H., Mirota, D., Ishii, M., and Hager, G.D., 2008, Robust Motion Estimation and Structure Recovery from Endoscopic Image Sequences with an Adaptive Scale Kernel Consensus Estimator, In Proceedings on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.

Weng, J., Huang, T.S., and Ahuja, N., 1989, Motion and Structure from two Perspective Views: Algorithms, Error Analysis, and Error Estimation, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, volume 11, pages 451-476.

Wengert, C., Reeff, M., Cattin, P.C., and Szekely, G., 2006, Fully Automatic Endoscope Calibration for Intraoperative Use, *in Bildverarbeitung für die Medizin*, Springer, pages 419-423.

Wengert, C., Bossard, L., Häberling, A., Baur, C., Székely, G., and Cattin, P.C., 2007, Endoscopic Navigation for Minimally Invasive Suturing, In: Ayache, N., Ourselin, S., Maeder, A. (eds.) MICCAI 2007, Part II, LNCS, volume 4792, pages 620-627, Springer, Heidelberg.

West, J., Fitzpatrick, J.M., Wang, M.Y., Dawant, B.M., Maurer Jr., C.R., Kessler, R.M., and Maciunas, R.J., 1999, Retrospective Intermodality Registration Techniques for Images of the Head: Surface-Based Versus Volume-Based, IEEE Transactions On Medical Imaging, volume 18, number 2, pages 144-150.

Winter, C., Rupp, S., Elter, M., Munzenmayer, C., Gerhauser, H., and Wittenberg, T., 2006, Automatic Adaptive Enhancement For Images Obtained With Fiberscopic Endoscopes, IEEE Transactions On Biomedical Engineering, volume 53, number 10, pages 2035-2046.

Witkin, A.P., 1983, Scale-Space Filtering, In International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Karlsruhe, Germany, pages 1019-1022.

Yun, S.H., Guillermo, J.T., Vakoc, B.J., Shishkov, M., Oh, W.Y., Desjardins, A.E., Suter,
M.J., Chan, R.C., Evans, J.A., Jang, I.K., Nishioka, N.S., de Boer, J.F. and Bouma, B.E.,
2006, Comprehensive Volumetric Optical Microscopy *In Vivo*, Nature Medicine, volume 12,
issue 12, pages 1429-1433.

Zhang, Z., Deriche, R., Faugeras, O., and Luong, Q.T., 1995, A Robust Technique for Matching Two Uncalibrated Images through the Recovery of the Unknown Epipolar Geometry, Artificial Intelligence, volume 78, pages 87-119.

Zhang, Z., 1998, Determining the Epipolar Geometry and its Uncertainty: A Review, International Journal of Computer Vision, volume 27, number 2, pages 161-195.

Zhang, Z., 1999, Flexible Camera Calibration by Viewing a Plane from Unknown Orientations, ICCV 1999, pages 666-673.