



## Dowker Spaces Revisited

著者	LUDWIG Lewis D., NYIKOS Peter, PORTER John E.
journal or publication title	Japan Society of Library and Information Science
volume	33
number	2
page range	1-11
year	2010
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00146515">http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00146515</a>

## DOWKER SPACES REVISITED

By

Lewis D. LUDWIG, Peter NYIKOS, and John E. PORTER

**Abstract.** In 1951, Dowker proved that a space  $X$  is countably paracompact and normal if and only if  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is normal. A normal space  $X$  is called a Dowker space if  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is not normal. The main thrust of this article is to extend this work with regards  $\alpha$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality. Characterizations are given for when the product of a space  $X$  and  $(\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal or  $\beta$ -normal. A new definition,  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact, illustrates what can be said if the product of  $X$  with a compact metric space is  $\beta$ -normal. Several examples demonstrate that the product of a Dowker space and a compact metric space may or may not be  $\alpha$ -normal or  $\beta$ -normal. A collectionwise Hausdorff Moore space constructed by M. Wage is shown to be  $\alpha$ -normal but not  $\beta$ -normal.

### 1. Introduction

A topological space  $X$  is called  $\beta$ -normal ( $\alpha$ -normal) if for each pair of closed disjoint subsets  $A, B \subset X$  there are open sets  $U, V \subset X$  such that  $\overline{A \cap U} = A$ ,  $\overline{B \cap V} = B$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$  ( $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , respectively). This notion was introduced by Arhangel'skii and Ludwig in 1999 [1] and others have worked on the topic ([2], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11]). In 1951, Dowker proved that a space  $X$  is countably paracompact and normal if and only if  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is normal [4]. A normal space  $X$  is called a *Dowker space* if  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is not normal, where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the unit interval with the usual topology. The main thrust of this article is to extend this work with regards  $\alpha$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality.

Section 2 is devoted to extending Dowker's characterization of countably paracompact normal spaces to  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal spaces. The two main

---

*Date:* December 21, 2009.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D15.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\alpha$ -normal,  $\beta$ -normal, products, Dowker, Moore spaces,  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact.

Received August 24, 2009.

results of the section, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.9, characterize when  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal and when this product is  $\beta$ -normal. A new definition,  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact, is introduced in this section and Corollary 2.7 shows that if  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal, then  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact. The converse is an open question.

In Section 3, examples of Dowker spaces whose product with the unit interval are  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal (respectively) are given. Curiously, this section also exhibits Dowker spaces whose product with the unit interval are *not*  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal (respectively).

In Section 4 a collectionwise Hausdorff Moore space constructed by M. Wage is shown to be  $\alpha$ -normal but not  $\beta$ -normal. The article concludes with a list of open questions in Section 5. Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, a “space” is a  $T_1$ , regular, topological space. The ordinals  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_1$  are used to denote the first two infinite cardinals. Readers may refer to Engelking [5] for undefined terms.

## 2. Extending Dowker’s Result to $\alpha$ -Normality and $\beta$ -Normality

To start, we restate Dowker’s characterization of countably paracompact normal spaces as a fact for later reference purposes.

**FACT 2.1.** *A topological space  $X$  is countably paracompact and normal if and only if  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is normal.*

In light of Dowker’s characterization, it is natural to ask what would happen if one weakened the supposition that  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is normal to  $\alpha$ -normal. We begin with a characterization of  $\alpha$ -normal spaces. The proof is left to the reader.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal if and only if for every pair  $H$  and  $K$  of disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $\overline{H \cap U} = H$  and  $\overline{U} \cap K$  is nowhere dense in  $K$ .*

It should be noted, that in the standard proof of Fact 2.1, the reverse direction only uses the existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in the space  $\mathbf{I}$  [5]. So we can actually say  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is normal if and only if  $X$  is normal and countably paracompact. We now have the following.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space. The product  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal if and only if*

- (1)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal, and  
 (2) if  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of closed sets and  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$ , and  $E$  is a closed subset of  $X$  disjoint from  $F$ , then there is a family  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open sets such that  $W_n \cap F_n$  is dense in  $F_n$  and  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$  is nowhere dense in  $E$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a family of closed sets and  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$ , and suppose  $E$  is a closed subset of  $X$  disjoint from  $F$ . Then  $A = (\bigcup\{F_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}) \cup (F \times \{\omega\})$  and  $B = E \times \{\omega\}$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$ . Since  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal, there is an open subset  $W$  of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  such that  $\overline{W \cap A} = A$  and  $\overline{W}$  is nowhere dense in  $B$ .

For each  $n \in \omega$ , define  $W_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in W\}$ . Clearly,  $W_n \cap F_n$  is dense in  $F_n$ . Since  $\overline{W}$  is nowhere dense in  $B$ ,  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$  is nowhere dense in  $E$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$ . Consider the sets

- $A_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in A\}$ ,
- $A_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in A\}$ ,
- $B_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in B\}$ , and
- $B_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in B\}$ .

Since  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal and  $A_\omega$  and  $B_\omega$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ , there are disjoint open subsets  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  of  $X$  such that  $cl_X(U_A \cap A_\omega) = A_\omega$  and  $cl_X(U_B \cap B_\omega) = B_\omega$ .

By (2) and the  $\alpha$ -normality of  $X$ , there are open subsets  $U_n$  and  $V_n$  of  $X$  such that

- (a)  $U_n \cap A_n$  is dense in  $A_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (b)  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} U_k)$  is nowhere dense in  $B_\omega$ ,
- (c)  $V_n$  is dense in  $B_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ,
- (d)  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k)$  is nowhere dense in  $A_\omega$ , and
- (e)  $U_n \cap V_n = \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \omega$ .

Let  $H = A_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k)$  and  $K = B_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} U_k)$ . For each  $d \in H \cap U_A$ , there is an open subset  $O_d$  and  $n_d \in \omega$  such that

- $O_d \subset U_A$ , and
- $O_d \cap \bigcup_{k=n_d}^{\infty} V_k = \emptyset$ .

Similarly, for each  $d \in K \cap U_B$ , there is an open subset  $O_d$  and  $n_d \in \omega$  such that

- $O_d \subset U_B$ , and
- $O_d \cap \bigcup_{k=n_d}^{\infty} U_k = \emptyset$ .

Let

$$U = \bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\} \cup \bigcup \{O_d \times [n_d, \omega] : d \in H \cap U_A\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$V = \bigcup \{V_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\} \cup \bigcup \{O_d \times [n_d, \omega] : d \in K \cap U_B\}.$$

Note that  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint subsets of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$ ,  $U$  is dense in  $A$ , and  $V$  is dense in  $B$ . Hence  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal.  $\square$

The  $\beta$ -normal case is similar to the  $\alpha$ -normal case, albeit more complicated. For convenience, we break up the theorem into two parts.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space. If  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal, then:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal and
- (2) if  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of closed sets and  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$ , and  $E$  is a closed subset of  $X$  disjoint from  $F$ , then there is a family  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open sets such that  $W_n \cap F_n$  is dense in  $F_n$  and  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$  is disjoint from  $E$ .

PROOF. Clearly  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal. Let  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a family of closed sets with  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$ , and let  $E$  be a closed subset of  $X$  disjoint from  $F$ . Note that  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (F_n \times \{n\}) \cup (F \times \{\omega\})$  and  $B = E \times \{\omega\}$  are disjoint closed sets in  $X \times (\omega + 1)$ . Since  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal, there are open  $U, V \subset X \times (\omega + 1)$  such that  $A \cap U$  is dense in  $A$ ,  $B \cap V$  is dense in  $B$ , and  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . The sets  $W_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in U\}$  are open in  $X$  and  $W_n \cap F_n$  is dense in  $F_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Suppose  $C = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$  is not disjoint from  $E$ . Let  $z \in C \cap E$ . Then every neighborhood of  $z$  meets infinitely many  $W_n$  and since  $W_n \times \{n\} \subset U$ , so  $(z, \omega) \in \bar{U}$ . This is impossible since  $\bar{U} \cap B = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

At this point, it should be noted that Dowker had a useful characterization of countably paracompact.

FACT 2.5. *A topological space  $X$  is countably paracompact if and only if for every decreasing sequence  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$  there exists a sequence  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $F_n \subset W_n$  for  $n \in \omega$  and  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bar{W}_n = \emptyset$ .*

This characterization prompted one of the authors to define the concept of  $\alpha$ -countably metacompact and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact spaces.

DEFINITION 2.6. A topological space is said to be  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact (resp.,  $\alpha$ -countably metacompact) if for every decreasing sequence  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$  there exists a sequence  $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $W_n \cap F_n$  is dense in  $F_n$  for  $n \in \omega$  and  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{W_n} = \emptyset$  (resp.,  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n = \emptyset$ ).

With this new definition and Lemma 2.4, we have the following corollary that exhibits what can be said of a space  $X$  if  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal. In this direction, we can extend the result to the product of  $X$  and a compact metric space as all that is needed is a distinct convergent sequence and its limit point. The proofs are left to the reader.

COROLLARY 2.7. *If  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal, then  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact.*

COROLLARY 2.8. *If  $Y$  is an infinite compact metric space, and  $X \times Y$  is  $\beta$ -normal, then  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact.*

With Lemma 2.4 in hand, we are now ready for the main  $\beta$ -normal result of this section.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space. The product  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\beta$ -normal if and only if the following three conditions are met:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal,
- (2) condition (2) of Lemma 2.4 is satisfied, and
- (3) for every decreasing sequence  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$ , there is a family  $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open sets such that  $F_n \subset V_n$  and  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} cl_X(V_n)$  is nowhere dense in the relative topology of  $F_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $C$  be a closed subset of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  and  $U$  an open set of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  containing  $C$ . It suffices to find an open set  $G$  such that  $G \cap C$  is dense in  $C$  and  $\overline{G} \subset U$ . Consider the following sets:

- $C_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in C\}$
- $C_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in C\}$

- $U_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in U\}$
- $U_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in U\}$

Note that each  $C_n$  and  $C_\omega$  are closed subsets of  $X$ , and each  $U_n$  and  $U_\omega$  are open subsets of  $X$ . Also,  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty C_k)$  is a closed subset of  $C_\omega$ . By condition (2) of Lemma 2.4 and  $\beta$ -normality of  $X$ , we can find open sets  $W_n$  such that

- (a)  $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty W_k)$  is a subset of  $U_\omega$ ,
- (b)  $W_n \cap C_n$  is dense in  $C_n$ , and
- (c)  $cl_X(W_n) \subset U_n$ .

Let  $G_0 = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty (W_n \times \{n\})$ . Note that  $\overline{G_0} \cap (X \times \{\omega\})$  is a subset of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$ , while  $E = X \setminus U_\omega$  is a subset of  $X$ . Hence  $\overline{G_0} \subset U$ .

By the  $\beta$ -normality<sup>1</sup> of  $X$ , we can find an open set  $V \subset X$  such that  $V \cap C_\omega$  is dense in  $C_\omega$  and  $cl_X(V) \subset U_\omega$ . Let  $E_k = X \setminus U_k$  and let  $F_n = cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty E_k) \cap C_\omega$ . Then  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F_i = \emptyset$  and by condition (3) of Theorem 2.9 we can find open sets  $V_n \supset F_n$  such that  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n}$  meets  $F_0$  in a nowhere dense set.

Now  $C_\omega \setminus V_n$  is a closed set disjoint from  $cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty E_k)$ . So  $W_n = (V \setminus \overline{V_n}) \times [n, \omega]$  is an open set whose closure is disjoint from  $E_k$  for all  $k$  and so, by definition of  $V$  and  $E_k \times \{k\}$ , the closure of  $W_n$  is a subset of  $U$ . Let  $G_1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty W_n$ . Then  $G_1 \cap C_\omega = C_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \overline{V_n}$  is dense in  $C_\omega$ , and the closure of  $G_1$  is easily seen to be a subset of  $U$ . Thus  $G = G_0 \cup G_1$  is the desired open set.

Conversely, let  $Y = X \times (\omega + 1)$  and suppose  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -normal. It remains to verify condition (3) of Theorem 2.9.

Consider a decreasing sequence  $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F_i = \emptyset$ . Let  $C = F_0 \times \{\omega\}$  and  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \times \{n\}$ . Then  $C$  and  $E$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $Y$ . By  $\beta$ -normality of  $Y$ , there is an open subset  $W$  of  $Y$  whose intersection with  $C$  is dense in  $C$ , and whose closure is a subset of  $Y \setminus E$ . Let  $V_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \notin \overline{W}\}$ . Clearly,  $V_n$  is an open subset of  $X$ , and  $F_n \subset V_n$ . If  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n} \neq \emptyset$ , let  $z$  be in the intersection. Every neighborhood of  $(z, \omega)$  meets all but finitely many of the sets  $\overline{V_n} \times \{n\}$ . Each of these sets is a subset of the closure of  $Y \setminus \overline{W}$  and so it misses  $W$ . Therefore,  $(z, \omega) \notin W$ , and so  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n}$  meets  $F_0$  in a nowhere dense subset of  $F_0$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup> Here we use the equivalent definition of  $\beta$ -normality: A space  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal if for each closed  $A \subseteq X$  and for every open  $U \subseteq X$  that contains  $A$ , there exists an open  $V \subseteq X$  such that  $\overline{V} \cap A = A \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

### 3. Motivating Examples

After Dowker characterized countably paracompact normal spaces (Fact 2.1), he asked whether every normal space is countably paracompact or not. That is, does there exist a normal space  $X$  such that  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is not normal (i.e., a Dowker space). For several decades, the Dowker problem has fueled a great deal of research. In 1971, M. E. Rudin constructed a Dowker space [13]. In light of  $\alpha$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality, it is natural to ask whether the product of a Dowker space and the unit interval can be  $\alpha$ -normal or  $\beta$ -normal.

EXAMPLE 3.1. The product of a normal space and a compact metric space can be  $\alpha$ -normal without being normal.

PROOF. Consider a hereditarily separable Dowker space  $X$  and a compact metric space  $Y$ . Hereditarily separable Dowker spaces have been constructed under a variety of axioms independent of ZFC (see [12], [14], [15], and [16]). Since these spaces are hereditarily separable and  $Y$  is second countable,  $X \times Y$  is hereditarily separable. A hereditarily separable regular space is  $\alpha$ -normal [1].

□

We will see that the properties of the Dowker space dictate the outcome of the product. In Example 3.1, the product of a *hereditarily separable* Dowker space with a compact metric space resulted in an  $\alpha$ -normal product space. If this condition is dropped, as the next example demonstrates, the product may fail to be  $\alpha$ -normal.

EXAMPLE 3.2 (ZFC). The product of a normal space and a compact metric space need not be  $\alpha$ -normal.

PROOF. Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called a *P-space* if the intersection of countably many open sets is open. If  $X$  is a Dowker *P-space* and is *extremally disconnected*, that is if the closure of an open set is open, then  $X$  fails condition (2) of Theorem 2.3. Dow and van Mill [3] have constructed such a space in ZFC.

□

REMARK 3.3. Note that in extremally disconnected spaces,  $\alpha$ -countably paracompactness is equivalent to countable paracompactness. Thus, the normal space in Example 3.2 is not  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact.



Although  $\beta$ -normality seems a much stronger condition than  $\alpha$ -normality, it is not enough to determine the Dowker situation as the next example illustrates.

EXAMPLE 3.4 ( $V = L$ ). A normal space whose product with a compact metric space is  $\beta$ -normal but not normal.

PROOF. P. Nyikos [12] constructed a scattered hereditarily strongly collectionwise (scwH) Hausdorff Dowker space  $X$  under the axiom  $V = L$ . Recently, it was shown that  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is scattered and hereditarily scwH, and therefore  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is (hereditarily)  $\beta$ -normal by Nyikos and Porter's Theorem 2.8 [11].  $\square$

#### 4. Moore Space Results

In light of Theorem 2.9, one may consider how close  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal are in the presence of condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 and conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.9. The next example gives some insight on this.

EXAMPLE 4.1. A first countable Tychonov space that is  $\alpha$ -normal, collectionwise Hausdorff, and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact but not  $\beta$ -normal.

PROOF. In [17], Wage produced an example of a collectionwise Hausdorff first countable Tychonoff space that is not normal. We state the following lemma used by Wage.

LEMMA 4.2. *There exist subsets of the real line  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $B \subset A$  and every countable subset of  $B$  is contained in a  $G_\delta$  that does not meet  $A - B$ , yet every  $G_\delta$  containing  $B$  does meet  $A - B$ .*

To create Wage's example, topologize  $A$  by letting the points of  $B$  have the usual neighborhoods and each point of  $A - B$  be isolated. Let  $X = A \times (\omega + 1) - B \times \{\omega\}$ . Wage showed this space to be first countable, Tychonoff, non-normal, pseudo-normal, and collectionwise Hausdorff. A similar argument to the one Wage used to show that  $X$  is collectionwise Hausdorff will be used to show that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal.

Since the points of  $(A - B) \times \omega$  are isolated and  $B \times \omega$  is hereditary separable, it suffices to show that every countable subset of  $B \times \omega$  is contained in an open set whose closure misses  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ . Let  $C \subset B \times \omega$  be countable.

Since every countable subset of  $B$  is contained in a  $G_\delta$  that misses  $A - B$ , there exist open sets  $U_n \subset A$  with  $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$  such that  $\{x \in B : (\exists n \in \omega)(x, n) \in C\} \subset \bigcap \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  and  $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \omega\} \cap (A - B) = \emptyset$ . Note that  $C \subset \bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}$ , and the closure of  $\bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}$  misses  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ . That is,  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal.

To show that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact, let  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of decreasing closed sets such that  $\bigcap F_n = \emptyset$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  let

- (i)  $G_n = F_n \cap (B \times \omega)$ ,
- (ii)  $H_n = F_n \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ , and
- (iii)  $I_n = F_n \cap (A - B) \times \omega$

Note that  $I_n$  is open in  $X$ . For each  $(x, \omega) \in H_n$ , let  $U_{(x, \omega)} = \{(x, k) : k \geq n\}$ . Note that  $\bigcup \{U_{(x, \omega)} : (x, \omega) \in H_n\} \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\} = H_n$ . Since  $A \times \omega$  is paracompact open subset of  $X$  and  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed sets, we can find open sets  $V_n$  such that  $G_n \subset V_n$  and  $\bigcap \overline{V_n} \cap (A \times \omega) = \emptyset$ . Since  $G_n$  is closed, we can find an open set  $O_n$  such that  $\overline{G_n} \cap \overline{O_n} = G_n$  and  $\overline{O_n} \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\} = \emptyset$  by the above arguments. Let  $U_n = V_n \cap O_n$ , and let

$$W_n = I_n \cup \left( \bigcup \{U_{(x, \omega)} : (x, \omega) \in H_n\} \right) \cup U_n.$$

Note that  $\bigcap \overline{W_n} = \emptyset$ , and  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact.

To show that  $X$  is not  $\beta$ -normal, we show that the closed sets  $B \times \omega$  and  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$  cannot be  $\beta$ -separated. Suppose  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets such that  $(B \times \omega) \cap U$  is dense in  $B \times \omega$  and  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\} \cap V$  is dense in  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ . Since  $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$  is discrete, for every  $x \in A - B$  there is an  $n_x \in \omega$  such that  $\{(x, n) : n \geq n_x\} \subset V$ . We claim there exists  $x' \in B$  and a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $A - B$  and an  $m \in \omega$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow x'$  and  $n_{x_k} = m$ . Since  $\overline{U} \supset B \times \omega$ ,  $\overline{U}$  must contain  $\{(x', n) : n \in \omega\}$ . This shows that  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} \neq \emptyset$ .

To prove the claim, let  $E_m = \{x \in A - B : n_x = m\}$ . If the claim were not true, then for every  $x \in B$  there is a neighborhood  $O_x$  of  $x$  such that  $O_x \cap E_m = \emptyset$ . Let  $O_m = \bigcup_{x \in X} O_x$ . Note that  $O_m \cap E_m = \emptyset$ , and  $\bigcap_{m \in \omega} O_m$  is a  $G_\delta$  set which contains  $B$  but misses  $A - B$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Wage used this space to construct a collectionwise Hausdorff non-normal Moore space. This gives the following interesting result.

**EXAMPLE 4.3.** There exists a collectionwise Hausdorff, non- $\beta$ -normal,  $\alpha$ -normal Moore space,  $X'$ .

PROOF. Let  $X'$  be the set of all non-isolated points of  $X$ . The space

$$Y = X' \times \{\omega\} \cup (X - X') \times \omega$$

as a subspace of  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is a Moore space which is  $\alpha$ -normal but not  $\beta$ -normal by the previous arguments.  $\square$

## 5. Questions

The authors close the paper by listing some open questions that the authors were unable to answer.

QUESTION 5.1. Is there a Dowker space whose product with a compact metric space is  $\beta$ -normal in ZFC?

QUESTION 5.2. Is there a Dowker space whose product with a compact metric space is  $\alpha$ -normal, but not  $\beta$ -normal?

QUESTION 5.3. If  $X$  is  $\beta$ -normal and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact, is  $X \times (\omega + 1)$   $\beta$ -normal?  $\alpha$ -normal?

QUESTION 5.4. If  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact, is  $X \times (\omega + 1)$   $\alpha$ -normal?

QUESTION 5.5. If  $X \times (\omega + 1)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal, is  $X$   $\alpha$ -countably metacompact?  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact?

QUESTION 5.6. Are  $\beta$ -normal  $\alpha$ -countably metacompact spaces  $\alpha$ -countably paracompact?

QUESTION 5.7. Is there a  $\beta$ -normal non-normal Moore space?

## References

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, L. Ludwig, On  $\alpha$ -normal and  $\beta$ -normal spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **42** (2001), no. 3, 507–519.
- [2] D. Burke, L. Ludwig, Hereditarily  $\alpha$ -normal spaces and infinite products., Topology Proc. **25** (2000), Summer, 291–299.
- [3] A. Dow, J. van Mill, An extremally disconnected Dowker space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **86** (1982), no. 4, 669–672.
- [4] C. H. Dowker, On countably paracompact spaces, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 219–224.
- [5] R. Engelking, General topology, second ed., Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

- [6] Y. Hirata, N. Kemoto, The hereditarily collectionwise Hausdorff property in products of  $\omega_1$ , *Topology Proc.* **29** (2005), no. 1, 167–173.
- [7] L. Kalantan, Results about  $\kappa$ -normality, *Topology Appl.*, **125** (2002), no. 1, 47–62.
- [8] L. Ludwig, Two generalizations of normality:  $\alpha$ -normality and  $\beta$ -normality, Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio University, 2001.
- [9] L. Ludwig and P. Szeptycki, A consistent example of a  $\beta$ -normal, non-normal space, *Topology Proc.*, **25**, (2000), Spring, 251–254.
- [10] E. Murtinová, A  $\beta$ -normal Tychonoff space which is not normal, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **43** (2002), no. 1, 159–164.
- [11] P. Nyikos, J. E. Porter, Hereditarily strongly cwH and separation axioms, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2008), no. 2, 151–164.
- [12] P. Nyikos, Hereditarily normal, locally compact Dowker spaces, *Topology Proceedings* **24** (1999), 261–276.
- [13] M. E. Rudin, A normal space  $X$  for which  $X \times I$  is not normal, *Fund. Math.*, **73**, 1971, no. 2, 179–186.
- [14] M. E. Rudin, A separable Dowker space, *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. XVI (Convegno sulla Topologia Insiemistica e Generale, INDAM, Roma, Marzo, 1973), pp. 125–132. Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [15] M. E. Rudin, Dowker Spaces, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984), 761–780.
- [16] M. E. Rudin, Countable point separating open covers for manifolds, *Houston J. Math.* **15** (1989), no. 2, 255–266.
- [17] M. Wage, A Collectionwise Hausdorff, Non-normal Moore Space, *Canad. J. Math.* **3** (1976) 632–634.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Denison University, Granville  
OH 43023  
Ludwigl@denison.edu

Department of Mathematics  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208  
Nyikos@math.sc.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Faculty Hall 6C  
Murray State University  
Murray, Ky 42071  
Ted.porter@murraystate.edu