brought to you by TCORE

Hawai'i-Pacific Evaluation Association 2015 Annual conference (9/11/15) Impact Evaluation of Grass-Root Leadership Development (Roundtable) Yao Hill, UH Manoa yao.hill@hawaii.edu

Impact Evaluation of Grassroots Leadership Development

Assessment Leadership Building Project (ALBP) at UH Mānoa

Context:

- 230+ academic programs need to assess whether their graduating students have achieved program student learning outcomes (SLOs).
- In 2012, 73% of the programs reported assessment took place and 48% used the results.
- UH Mānoa Assessment Office's charge: 100% of programs to conduct systematic, meaningful, and management program assessment of SLOs.
- UH Mānoa Assessment Office capacity: 2 full time faculty + 1 GA

ALBP Goals and Outcomes

Goal	Learning outcomes	Output	Impact		
Cultivate a cadre of	1. Assessment	Assessment	Leadership	1. Engaged colleague	
faculty to lead	knowledge	Plans	Activities	partners	
collaborative and	2. Assessment			2. Lead collaborative	
meaningful program	skills			projects	
assessment and	3. Assessment			3. Mentored colleagues	
build a culture of	scholarship		Scholarship	1. Presentations	
assessment for	disposition		Activities	2. Publications	
improvement on	4. Facilitative		Change in	Meaningful program	
campus.	leadership Skills		Program	assessment activities	
			-		

ALBP Model:

Intensive multi-day AL Institute (ALI)	Semester-Long follow-up support		Poster presentation of project	
--	---------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	--

Features of ALI

- Active learning and simulation of facilitating real program assessment activities
- Guest speakers/presenters with real life examples
- Individualized consultation + peer feedback
- Networking opportunities with colleagues

Status:

3 Cohorts since 2013, **34** participants from **30**

programs and **13** colleges



ALBP Evaluation

Eva	aluation Target	Methods	Results	Benchmark				
ALI Learning Outcomes								
1.	Assessment Knowledge	Institute Evaluation Questionnaire	94% reported being able to distinguish good assessment practices from poor ones. [n = 34]	90%				
2.	Assessment Skills	Institute Evaluation Questionnaire	97% reported being able to utilize at least 3 assessment strategies/tools [n = 33]	90%				
		Assessment plan content analysis	100% planned to employ at least 4 assessment strategies/tools [n = 34]	100% 3 strategies				
•		Institute Evaluation Questionnaire	 (1) 97% of the participants reported being able to locate resources on learning assessment? [n = 34] 	90%				
			(2) 53% plan to conduct research or other forms of scholarly activity on learning assessment. [n = 34]	40%				
4. Facilitation Skills		Institute Evaluation Questionnaire	94% reported being able to utilize at least 3 facilitation techniques. [n = 34]	90%				
		Assessment plan content analysis	94% planned collaborative activities to engage faculty [n = 34]	90%				
			65% explicitly planned to utilize facilitation techniques [n = 34]	75%				
		0	utput					
Assessment Plans		Expert judgement	100% meaningful and implementable assessment plans [n = 34]	100%				
Impact								
Leadership Activities		Self-report and observation	91% engaged collaborative activities in their programs [n = 22]	80%				
Scholarship Activities		Documentation	 - 95% presented posters at UHM Assessment Poster Exhibit [n = 22] 	90%				
			- 1 peer-reviewed article published	10 articles				
Changes in Program Assessment Status		Self-report and observation	26 programs advanced their assessment activities	50				
Assessment Status		observation	assessment activities					

Further Impact Evaluation Possibilities

- Develop mentorship: mentor at least one colleague to be the core faculty in program assessment.
- Develop network: rely on and help fellow ALBP participants in program assessment activities and scholarship endeavors
- Move the assessment needle and lead the completion of an assessment cycle
- Exercise greater influence: Impact assessment activities beyond one's program/department

Useful articles:

Black, A. M. & Earnest, G. W. (2009). Measuring the outcomes of leadership development programs. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 16(2),* 184-196.

Hannum, K. M., Martineau, J. W., & Reinelt, C. (Ed.) (2007) *The Handbook of Leadership Development Evaluation*. Jossey-Bass.