

Decompositions of complete graphs into bipartite 2-regular subgraphs

Darryn Bryant*

Department of Mathematics
University of Queensland
QLD 4072, Australia
db@maths.uq.edu.au

Andrea Burgess†

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of New Brunswick
100 Tucker Park Rd. P.O. Box 5050
Saint John, New Brunswick
E2L 4L5, Canada
andrea.burgess@unb.ca

Peter Danziger‡

Department of Mathematics
Ryerson University
Toronto, Ontario
M5B 2K3, Canada
danziger@ryerson.ca

Submitted: Aug 26, 2014; Accepted: Mar 11, 2016; Published: Apr 1, 2016
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C51, 05C70, 05B30

Abstract

It is shown that if G is any bipartite 2-regular graph of order at most $\frac{n}{2}$ or at least $n - 2$, then the obvious necessary conditions are sufficient for the existence of a decomposition of the complete graph of order n into a perfect matching and edge-disjoint copies of G .

1 Introduction

A *decomposition* of a graph K is a set $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t\}$ of subgraphs of K such that $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_t) = E(K)$ and $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq t$. If G is a fixed graph and $\mathcal{D} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t\}$ is a decomposition such that G_i is isomorphic

*Supported by the Australian Research Council via grants DP120103067, DP120100790, DP150100506, DP150100530.

†Supported by NSERC Canada through the Discovery Grant program.

‡Supported by NSERC Canada through the Discovery Grant program.

to G for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, then \mathcal{D} is called a G -decomposition. See [9] for a survey on G -decompositions, and see [5, 21] for general asymptotic existence results.

This paper concerns G -decompositions of complete graphs in the case where G is a 2-regular graph. See [1] for a survey of results on G -decompositions of complete graphs. The complete graph of order n is denoted by K_n , the cycle of order n is denoted by C_n , and the path of order n is denoted by P_n (so P_n has $n - 1$ edges). If G is 2-regular and n is even, then there is no G -decomposition of K_n , and it is common to instead consider decompositions of $K_n - I$, where $K_n - I$ denotes the graph obtained from K_n by deleting the edges of a perfect matching. For each positive integer n , define K_n^* to be K_n if n is odd and $K_n - I$ if n is even. The number of edges in K_n^* is given by $n \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

If G is a 2-regular graph of order k and there exists a G -decomposition of K_n^* ($n \geq 3$), then it is obvious that

$$3 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad k \text{ divides } n \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor. \quad (1)$$

If G is a 2-regular graph of order k , then the conditions given in (1) are called *the obvious necessary conditions* for the existence of a G -decomposition of K_n^* . The following problem presents itself.

Problem 1: *For each 2-regular graph G and each positive integer n satisfying the obvious necessary conditions, determine whether there exists a G -decomposition of K_n^* .*

It is known that if G is a cycle, then the obvious necessary conditions are sufficient for the existence of a G -decomposition of K_n^* [4, 18]. However, when G is 2-regular but is not a cycle, there are cases where the obvious necessary conditions are satisfied but no G -decomposition of K_n^* exists. There is no G -decomposition of K_n^* in each of the following cases (see [9] and [10]).

$$G = C_3 \cup C_3 \text{ and } n = 6, \quad G = C_3 \cup C_3 \text{ and } n = 9, \quad G = C_4 \cup C_5 \text{ and } n = 9,$$

$$G = C_3 \cup C_3 \cup C_5 \text{ and } n = 11, \quad G = C_3 \cup C_3 \cup C_3 \cup C_3 \text{ and } n = 12.$$

If G has order n , then Problem 1 is precisely the well-known Oberwolfach Problem. See [10, 11, 20] for more information on the Oberwolfach Problem, and see [12] for a generalisation of the problem.

Problem 1 has been solved for every 2-regular graph of order at most 10 when n is odd [2], and various results on Problem 1 have been obtained via graph labellings. For example, in [3] it is shown that if G has order k and is 2-regular with at most three components, then there exists a G -decomposition of K_{2k+1} , and in [6] it is shown that if G is bipartite and 2-regular of order k , then there exists a G -decomposition of K_{2kx+1} for each positive integer x . Several strong results have also been obtained on Problem 1 for the case where G consists of disjoint 3-cycles [13, 14]. These results relate to *Kirkman signal sets* which are used in devising codes for unipolar communication, see [15].

In [16], a simple but powerful idea is used to show that if both n and $n \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor / k$ are even, then there is a G -decomposition of K_n^* for every bipartite 2-regular graph G of order k . Our main result, see Theorem 10, extends this result to the case $n \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor / k$ is

odd, except when $\frac{n}{2} < k < n - 2$. The special case of this extension where $k = n$ (that is, the case corresponding to the Oberwolfach Problem) is the main result in [8].

2 Notation and Preliminary Results

For a given graph K , we define the graph $K^{(2)}$ by $V(K^{(2)}) = V(K) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $E(K^{(2)}) = \{(x, a), (y, b)\} : \{x, y\} \in E(K), a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$. If $\mathcal{F} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t\}$ is a set of graphs then we define $\mathcal{F}^{(2)} = \{G_1^{(2)}, G_2^{(2)}, \dots, G_t^{(2)}\}$. Observe that if \mathcal{F} is a decomposition of K , then $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}$ is a decomposition of $K^{(2)}$.

The following result of Häggkvist [16] is a critical ingredient in many of our constructions.

Theorem 1. (Häggkvist [16]) *If G is a bipartite 2-regular graph of order $2m$, then there is a G -decomposition of $P_{m+1}^{(2)}$.*

Parker [17] has completely settled the problem of decomposing complete bipartite graphs into paths of uniform length, and we need the following special case of her result.

Theorem 2. (Parker [17]) *If r and a are even with $r \leq 2a - 2$, $r \leq 2b$, and r dividing ab , then there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_{a,b}$.*

We also need the following result of Tarsi on decompositions of complete graphs into isomorphic paths [19].

Theorem 3. (Tarsi [19]) *There is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of K_v if and only if $v \geq r + 1$ and r divides $v(v - 1)/2$.*

For each even $r \geq 2$, let Y_r denote any graph isomorphic to the graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r+1}\}$ and edge set

$$\{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, r\} \cup \{v_1 v_3\} \cup \{v_i v_{i+3} : i = 2, 4, \dots, r - 2\}$$

$(E(Y_2) = \{v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, v_1 v_3\})$, and let X_{2r} denote the graph obtained from $Y_r^{(2)}$ by adding the edges $\{(v_1, 0), (v_1, 1)\}, \{(v_2, 0), (v_2, 1)\}, \dots, \{(v_{r+1}, 0), (v_{r+1}, 1)\}$.

Lemma 4. *For each even $r \geq 2$, there exists a decomposition of K_{r+1} into $\frac{r-2}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a copy of Y_r .*

Proof. Let $r \geq 2$ be even and for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$ let M_i be the matching with edge set $\{\{x, y\} : x \neq y, x + y = i\}$ in the complete graph with vertex set \mathbb{Z}_{r+1} . Then

$$\{M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2, M_3 \cup M_4, M_5 \cup M_6, \dots, M_{r-1} \cup M_r\}$$

is the required decomposition. □

Lemma 5. *If r is even, $2 \leq r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$, and r divides $\frac{1}{2}m(m - 1) - \frac{3r}{2}$, then there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - Y_r$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_{r+1} - Y_r$, so it suffices to show that there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - K_{r+1}$. But $K_m - K_{r+1}$ can be decomposed into $K_{r,m-r-1}$ and K_{m-r} , so it suffices to prove that $K_{r,m-r-1}$ and K_{m-r} each have P_{r+1} -decompositions. The former has a P_{r+1} -decomposition by Theorem 2, and the latter by Theorem 3. It is routine to check that the hypotheses of these two theorems are satisfied when r is even, $2 \leq r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ and r divides $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1) - \frac{3r}{2}$. \square

For each even $r \geq 2$ we define the graph J_{2r} (see Figure 1) to be the graph with vertex set

$$V(J_{2r}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{r+2}\} \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r+2}\}$$

and edge set

$$E(J_{2r}) = \{ \{u_i, v_i\} : i = 3, 4, \dots, r+2 \} \cup \\ \{ \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}, \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}, \{u_i, v_{i+1}\}, \{v_i, u_{i+1}\} : i = 2, 3, \dots, r+1 \} \cup \\ \{ \{u_i, u_{i+3}\}, \{v_i, v_{i+3}\}, \{u_i, v_{i+3}\}, \{v_i, u_{i+3}\} : i = 1, 3, \dots, r-1 \}.$$




Figure 1: The graph J_{2r}

The following result is proved in [8], see Lemma 10 and the proof of Lemma 11.

Lemma 6. *If G is a bipartite 2-regular graph of order $2r$ where $r \geq 4$ is even, then there is a decomposition $\{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ of J_{2r} such that*

- (1) $V(H_1) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\} \cup \{v_3, v_4, \dots, v_{r+2}\}$,
- (2) $V(H_2) = \{u_3, u_4, \dots, u_{r+2}\} \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$,
- (3) $V(H_3) = \{u_3, u_4, \dots, u_{r+2}\} \cup \{v_3, v_4, \dots, v_{r+2}\}$,
- (4) $V(H_4) = \{u_3, u_4, \dots, u_{r+2}\} \cup \{v_3, v_4, \dots, v_{r+2}\}$,
- (5) each of H_1, H_2 and H_3 is isomorphic to G ,
- (6) H_4 is a 1-regular graph of order $2r$.

Lemma 7. *If $r \geq 2$ is even and G is any bipartite 2-regular graph of order $2r$, then there is a decomposition of X_{2r} into three copies of G and a 1-factor.*

Proof. If $r = 2$, then G is a 4-cycle, X_{2r} is isomorphic to K_6 and the result holds. So assume $r \geq 4$. Observe that if the edges $\{u_1, u_4\}, \{u_1, v_4\}, \{v_1, u_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}$ of J_{2r} are replaced with $\{u_2, u_4\}, \{u_2, v_4\}, \{v_2, u_4\}, \{v_2, v_4\}$, the vertices u_1 and v_1 are deleted, and the edge $\{u_2, v_2\}$ is added, then the resulting graph is X_{2r} . Let $\{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ be the decomposition of J_{2r} given by Lemma 6, let H'_1 be the graph obtained from H_1 by replacing the edges $\{u_1, u_4\}$ and $\{u_1, v_4\}$ with $\{v_2, u_4\}$ and $\{v_2, v_4\}$, let H'_2 be the graph obtained from H_2 by replacing the edges $\{v_1, u_4\}$ and $\{v_1, v_4\}$ with $\{u_2, u_4\}$ and $\{u_2, v_4\}$, let $H'_3 = H_3$ and let H'_4 be the graph obtained from H_4 by adding the edge $\{u_2, v_2\}$ (and the vertices u_2 and u_4). It is easy to see that $\{H'_1, H'_2, H'_3, H'_4\}$ is the required decomposition of X_{2r} . \square

3 Main Results

Lemma 8. *If $n \geq 6$ is even and G is any bipartite 2-regular graph of order $n - 2$, then there is a G -decomposition of $K_n - I$.*

Proof. Let $m = \frac{n}{2}$. If m is even, then let \mathcal{D} be a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m}{2}$ Hamilton paths, and if m is odd, then let \mathcal{D} be a decomposition of K_m into $\frac{m-3}{2}$ Hamilton paths and a copy of Y_{m-1} . The first of these decompositions exists by Theorem 3 and the second exists by Lemma 4. In either case let the vertex set of K_m be \mathbb{Z}_m and let I be the 1-regular graph with $V(I) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and edge set $E(I) = \{(v, 0)(v, 1) : v \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{D}^{(2)} \cup \{I\}$ is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{m}{2}$ copies of $P_m^{(2)}$ and the perfect matching I when m is even, and is a decomposition of K_n into $\frac{m-3}{2}$ copies of $P_m^{(2)}$, one copy of $Y_{m-1}^{(2)}$, and the perfect matching I when m is odd. Since the union of the copy of $Y_{m-1}^{(2)}$ and I is a copy of X_{n-2} , the result follows by Theorem 1 and Lemma 7. \square

Lemma 9. *Let $r \geq 2$. If there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of K_m or if r is even and there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - Y_r$, then there is a G -decomposition of $K_{2m} - I$ for every bipartite 2-regular graph of order $2r$.*

Proof. Let G be a bipartite 2-regular graph of order $2r$, let the vertex set of K_m be \mathbb{Z}_m and let I be the 1-regular graph with $V(I) = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and edge set $E(I) = \{(v, 0)(v, 1) : v \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$.

If there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition \mathcal{D} of K_m , then $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ is a $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$ -decomposition of $K_{2m} - I$. By Theorem 1, we can decompose each copy of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ into two copies of G , thereby obtaining a G -decomposition of $K_{2m} - I$.

Thus, we can assume r is even and there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - Y_r$, and hence a decomposition \mathcal{D} of K_m into one copy of Y_r and $(\binom{m}{2} - \frac{3r}{2})/r$ copies of P_{r+1} . It follows that $\mathcal{D}^{(2)} \cup \{I\}$ is a decomposition of K_{2m} into one copy of $Y_r^{(2)}$, $(\binom{m}{2} - \frac{3r}{2})/r$ copies of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$, and a perfect matching. There are $r + 1$ edges of I which form a 1-regular graph on the vertex set of the copy of $Y_r^{(2)}$, and the union of this 1-regular graph with the copy of $Y_r^{(2)}$ is a copy of X_{2r} . Thus, we have a decomposition of K_{2m} into one copy

of X_{2r} , $\left(\binom{m}{2} - \frac{3r}{2}\right)/r$ copies of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$, and a matching M with $m - (r + 1)$ edges (such that M and the copy of X_{2r} are vertex-disjoint).

By Theorem 1, we can decompose each copy of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ into two copies of G . Let \mathcal{D}_P be the union of all of these decompositions. By Lemma 7, there is a decomposition $\mathcal{D}_X \cup \{M'\}$ of the copy of X_{2r} where \mathcal{D}_X contains three copies of G and M' is a perfect matching in the copy of X_{2r} . This means that the union of M and M' is a perfect matching in K_{2m} . It follows that $\mathcal{D}_P \cup \mathcal{D}_X$ is a G -decomposition of $K_{2m} - I$. \square

Theorem 10. *Let G be a bipartite 2-regular graph, let k be the order of G , and let $n \geq 4$ be even. There exists a G -decomposition of $K_n - I$ if and only if $3 \leq k \leq n$ and k divides $\frac{n(n-2)}{2}$, except possibly when $\frac{n}{2} < k < n - 2$ and $\frac{n(n-2)}{2k}$ is odd both hold.*

Proof. The conditions $3 \leq k \leq n$ and k divides $\frac{n(n-2)}{2}$ are clearly necessary for the existence of a G -decomposition of $K_n - I$. The case $k = n$ is covered by the main theorem in [8] and the case $k = n - 2$ is covered by Lemma 8. If $k = n - 1$, then k does not divide $\frac{n(n-2)}{2}$ so there is nothing to prove. Thus, it remains only to show that there is a G -decomposition of $K_n - I$ when $3 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and k divides $\frac{n(n-2)}{2}$.

Let $m = \frac{n}{2}$ and let $r = \frac{k}{2}$ (since G is bipartite, k is even and $r \geq 2$ is an integer). By Lemma 9, it suffices to show that there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of K_m or that r is even and there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - Y_r$. If $2m(m - 1)/k$ is even, then r divides $m(m - 1)/2$ and so by Theorem 3, there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of K_m . If $2m(m - 1)/k$ is odd, then it follows that r is even, r divides $\frac{1}{2}m(m - 1) - \frac{3r}{2}$, and $k \neq m$. So $r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ and there is a P_{r+1} -decomposition of $K_m - Y_r$ by Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 9 gives a possible approach to settling the possible exceptions in Theorem 10. The missing ingredient is P_{r+1} -decompositions of $K_m - Y_r$ for $\frac{m}{2} < r < m - 1$ where $r = \frac{k}{2}$ and $m = \frac{n}{2}$. The first few possible exceptions in Theorem 10 are $(k, n) = (12, 20)$, $(20, 30)$, $(24, 42)$, $(28, 44)$, $(36, 54)$, $(40, 72)$, $(44, 68)$, $(48, 80)$, $(52, 78)$, $(56, 72)$, $(60, 92)$, $(60, 102)$ and $(60, 110)$.

It is worth remarking that the constructions used to prove Theorem 10 can be easily generalised as follows. In the proof of Lemma 9, each copy of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$ can be decomposed independently, resulting in decompositions of $K_n - I$ into 2-regular graphs which are not all isomorphic. Although each copy of $P_{r+1}^{(2)}$ produces two isomorphic 2-regular graphs in the final decomposition, and the copy of X_{2r} , when it is present, produces three isomorphic 2-regular graphs in the final decomposition, this construction can produce a wide variety of different combinations of 2-regular graphs in the final decomposition.

The 2-regular graphs given by the construction of the preceding paragraph will all have the same order, namely $k = 2r$, but it is also possible to get around this constraint. Instead of using a P_{r+1} -decomposition of K_m or $K_m - Y_r$, one may use a decomposition of K_m or $K_m - Y_r$ into paths which are not necessarily all isomorphic. In [7] it is shown that the obvious necessary conditions are sufficient for the existence of a decomposition of K_m into paths of any specified lengths. This facilitates the construction of decompositions of $K_n - I$ into many combinations of 2-regular graphs of many different orders.

References

- [1] P. Adams, D. Bryant and M. Buchanan, A survey on the existence of G -designs, *J. Combin. Des.*, **16** (2008), 373–410.
- [2] P. Adams, D. Bryant and H. Gavlas, Decompositions of the complete graph into small 2-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **43** (2002), 135–146.
- [3] A. Aguado, S. I. El-Zanati, H. Hake, J. Stob and H. Yayla, On ρ -labeling the union of three cycles, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **37** (2007), 155–170.
- [4] B. Alspach and H. Gavlas, Cycle decompositions of K_n and $K_n - I$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **81** (2001), 77–99.
- [5] B. Barber, D. Kühn, A. Lo, and D. Osthus, Edge-decompositions of graphs with high minimum degree, *Adv. Math.*, **288** (2016), 337–385.
- [6] A. Blinco and S. I. El-Zanati, A note on the cyclic decomposition of complete graphs into bipartite graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **40** (2004), 77–82.
- [7] D. Bryant, Packing paths in complete graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **100** (2010), 206–215.
- [8] D. Bryant and P. Danziger, On bipartite 2-factorisations of $K_n - I$ and the Oberwolfach problem, *J. Graph Theory*, **68** (2011), 22–37.
- [9] D. Bryant and S. El-Zanati, Graph decompositions, in *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2nd edition* (Eds. C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz), CRC Press, Boca Raton (2007), 477–486.
- [10] D. Bryant and C. A. Rodger, Cycle Decompositions, in *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2nd edition* (Eds. C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz), CRC Press, Boca Raton (2007), 373–382.
- [11] D. Bryant and V. Scharaschkin, Complete solutions to the Oberwolfach problem for an infinite set of orders, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **99** (2009), 904–918.
- [12] S. M. Cioabă and P. J. Cameron, A graph partition problem, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **122** (2015), 972–982.
- [13] C. J. Colbourn, D. Horsley and C. Wang, Trails of triples in partial triple systems, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **6** (2012), 199–212.
- [14] C. J. Colbourn, D. Horsley and C. Wang, Colouring Triples in Every Way: A Conjecture, *Quad. Mat.*, **28** (2012), 257–286.
- [15] C. J. Colbourn and S. Zhao, Maximum Kirkman signal sets for synchronous uni-polar multi-user communication systems, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **20** (2000), 219–227.
- [16] R. Häggkvist, A lemma on cycle decompositions, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, **27** (1985), 227–232.
- [17] C. A. Parker, Complete bipartite graph path decompositions, PhD Thesis, Auburn University, (1998).

- [18] M. Šajna, Cycle decompositions III: complete graphs and fixed length cycles, *J. Combin. Des.*, **10** (2002), 27–78.
- [19] M. Tarsi, Decomposition of a complete multigraph into simple paths: Nonbalanced handcuffed designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **34** (1983), 60–70.
- [20] T. Traetta, A complete solution to the two-table Oberwolfach problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **120** (2013), 984–997.
- [21] R. M. Wilson, Decompositions of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph. Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference (Univ. Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 1975), pp. 647–659. *Congressus Numerantium*, No. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1976.