

DTU Library

Site-Specific Reactivity of Copper Chabazite Zeolites with Nitric Oxide, Ammonia, and Oxygen

Godiksen, Anita Lundager; Isaksen, Oliver L.; Rasmussen, Søren B.; Vennestrøm, Peter N. R.; Mossin, Susanne

Published in: ChemCatChem

Link to article, DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201701357

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Godiksen, A., Isaksen, O. L., Rasmussen, S. B., Vennestrøm, P. N. R., & Mossin, S. (2018). Site-Specific Reactivity of Copper Chabazite Zeolites with Nitric Oxide, Ammonia, and Oxygen. ChemCatChem, 10(2), 336-370. DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201701357

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

COMMUNICATION

Site specific reactivity of Cu-CHA with NO, NH₃ and O₂

Anita Godiksen^[a], Oliver L. Isaksen^[a], Søren B. Rasmussen^[b], Peter N. R. Vennestrøm^[b], Susanne Mossin^{*[a]}

Abstract: In-situ electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy was applied to dilute copper chabazite (CHA) zeolites under gas flows relevant for the selective catalytic reduction of NO with ammonia (NH₃-SCR). Under both reducing and oxidizing conditions, we observed differences in reactivity between the different monomeric copper sites present: When reducing with NO+NH₃, the rate of reduction of Cu²⁺ sites depends on NH₃ coverage. The subsequent oxidation with O2 results in a clean EPR spectrum of only one type of copper site, whereas oxidation in NO+O₂ gives two types of copper sites. The rate of oxidation differs significantly between reaction with O2 alone and with NO+O2 together. Thus it was revealed that [Cu(NH₃)₂]⁺ complexes, which are regarded to be only weakly associated with the framework, nevertheless have different reactivity depending on the AI distribution in the proximity. The observed differences in reactivity of copper sites has implications for the mechanistic understanding of NH₃-SCR with Cu-zeolites.

Characterization of copper-exchanged zeolites has been carried out with a large variety of spectroscopic methods. The efforts are driven by copper exchanged zeolites being excellent catalysts in the reduction of NO_x (NO and NO₂) to N₂ via NH₃-SCR, which is one of today's largest growing applications for zeolite materials. Especially small-pore zeolites, such as CHA, show excellent activity, hydrothermal stability, hydrocarbon tolerance and low production of unwanted N₂O.^[1-3] A large selection of experimental methods (IR, XAS, XES, XRD, UV-vis)^[4-7] and theoretical approaches (DFT)^[8-10] have been applied in recent years for elucidating the properties of Cu-CHA materials. EPR stands out due to the high spectral resolution, fundamentally different selection rules and the applicability under *in-situ* conditions^[11,12]. Recent studies on identical Cu-CHA materials have revealed several well defined Cu sites.[5-7,13,14] This complicates the detailed mapping and kinetic analysis of the SCR reaction on chabazite zeolites where the discussion has mostly focused on the difference between 2AI Cu sites and 1AI Cu sites. It is of vital importance to detailed kinetic studies^[15] to be able to distinguish

[a]	MSc A. Godiksen, BSc O. L. Isaksen, Assoc. Prof. PhD S. Mossin,
	Department of Chemistry
	Technical University of Denmark
	Kemitorvet 207, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark
	E-mail: slmo@kemi.dtu.dk
[b]	Research Scientist S. B. Rasmussen, Research Scientist P. N. R.
	Vennestrøm
	Haldor Topsøe A/S
	Haldor Topsøes Alle 1, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

and characterize all sites. Here we present an experimental protocol and a spectroscopic method that is able to reveal significant differences between the different types of 2AI Cu sites present. The reactivity is followed under SCR relevant in-situ conditions and give important insight into the reactivity at single Cu sites.

After dehydration in an O2-rich atmosphere at temperatures above 200 °C, Cu2+ loses coordinated water molecules and binds directly to the zeolite framework. The three most important monomeric sites are: [Cu-OH]+ close to a 1AI site and two different types of sites with Cu2+ in a 6-membered ring (6mr) with 2AI. For a 6mr with two aluminum atoms only para and meta configurations are possible (site A and B, respectively, see Fig. 1) due to Löwenstein's rule, which states that the AI-O-AI bond motif do not exist in silica-alumina zeolites. The features of the parallel hyperfine quadruplet ($^{63/65}$ Cu both have I = 3/2) in the EPR spectra are very sensitive to the coordination environment of copper and serve to distinguish site A and B (see Fig.1). Both are 2AI sites which are favored by Cu2+ at low copper loadings. On the other hand the 1AI site [CuOH]⁺ cannot be detected by EPR at ambient or high temperatures due to fast relaxation.^[13,16,17] The energy difference between A and B calculated by DFT is close to the accuracy of the method (22 kJ/mol) with a preference for site A.^[10] X-ray methods cannot resolve the difference between the different 2AI sites.^[18] Recent EPR investigations, however, observe a pronounced preference for the site giving EPR spectrum B in dehydrated Cu-CHA since sufficiently dilute samples gives an EPR spectrum of only B.[16] B has been assigned to the site with a 2AI configuration in meta position. The assignment of the EPR spectrum was based on the known correlation between the charge on the four donor atoms and the parallel spin Hamiltonian parameters and will also be adopted herein.[13]



Figure 1. EPR spectrum (at 200 °C) of Cu-CHA (Cu/Al=0.09) after dehydration (at 250 °C) in 10% O₂ and balance He. The zoom-in shows the parallel region of the spectrum and the assignment of the features.

In this study we have prepared Cu-CHA materials (Si/AI=15) with low Cu content. Assuming random AI distribution, the maximum

COMMUNICATION

Cu loading possible in 2AI 6mr sites is Cu/AI=0.09.^[19] Accordingly, one sample with Cu/AI=0.09 was prepared to give the maximum EPR intensity while simultaneously keeping almost all Cu in 2AI sites. A sample with Cu/AI=0.04 was prepared to investigate preferential site B interactions. After dehydration in O₂/He at 200 °C, spin quantification experiments show that 81 % of the copper in the Cu/AI=0.09 sample and ~100 % of the copper in the Cu/AI=0.04 sample are present as EPR active Cu²⁺ species. The amount of EPR silent [CuOH]⁺ is therefore small if it is present at all. EPR confirms the preference for 2AI sites since more than 90% of the observed EPR signal can be assigned to site A and B (Table S1 and Fig. S1). The sensitivity of EPR spectroscopy and the almost exclusive 2AI 6mr site occupation in our samples allow us to investigate the site-specific reactivity differences between site A and B under gas compositions relevant for NH₃-SCR.

In the following, we describe the interaction with gas mixtures relevant to NH_3 -SCR studied by EPR.

NO: The EPR spectrum of Cu-CHA exposed to 1000 ppm NO/He at 200°C is identical to the EPR spectrum of the dehydrated Cu-CHA, see Fig. S2. Binding of NO to 2AI Cu²⁺ sites and formation of stable Cu⁺-NO⁺ adducts^[20] at this temperature can therefore be ruled out.

g-values 2.4 2.2 2 site B Cu/AI = 0.09 site A O,/He [Cu(NH₃)₄]² 2nd Derivatives Si/AI = 0.04 4 Si/AI = 0.09 320 330 340 260 280 300 320 340 Magnetic Field Strength / mT

Figure 2. In-situ EPR spectra of the reaction of dehydrated Cu/Al=0.09 with 1000 ppm NH₃ at 100 °C. Thin lines are intermediate spectra spaced by 45 sec. The parallel features of all three EPR observable sites are indicated. *Inset*. The 2nd derivative EPR spectrum in the perpendicular region is included to enhance the super hyperfine pattern. The data for the Cu=0.04 sample at the same conditions is given for comparison.

NH₃: After application of 1000 ppm NH₃ in He at 100 °C, the EPR active Cu²⁺ sites are quickly transformed from A and B to a single new species, see Fig. 2. Several isosbestic points indicate that any intermediates are too short-lived to be observed. At higher copper dilution the spectral resolution improves since the spin-spin line broadening decreases. The inset in Fig. 2 shows that the spectrum of the copper ammine species found in both samples are identical. They are also very similar to the spectra shown by Blasco et. al. obtained at 77 K.^[21] The spectrum of the product can be assigned to [Cu(NH₃)x]²⁺ x = 4 or 5 on the basis of the superhyperfine coupling of the unpaired electron to ¹⁴N from

ammonia. See the supporting information and Fig. S3 for a discussion about the assignment. From in-situ X-ray absorption investigations x=4 is found for these samples at similar conditions^[7] and we therefore conclude that the dominant species is $[Cu(NH_3)_4]^{2+}$. Reduction of $[Cu(NH_3)_4]^{2+}$ does not take place at 100 °C in a flow of 1000 ppm NH₃, see Fig. S3. Upon heating from 100 to 150°C the intensity of the EPR signal (calculated as the double integral) starts to decrease slowly, indicating an increase in the rate of reduction, which further increases upon heating to 200 °C.

NO+NH₃: Reduction with both NO and NH₃ together at 200 °C or above is very fast.^[7] In order to follow the reaction in real time the temperature was decreased to 100 °C and the sample was exposed first to NO and then to NO+NH₃ (each 1000 ppm). When ammonia reaches the sample, the EPR signal intensity drops fast and the EPR spectrum of [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ appears. Once the establishment of the ammine species is complete the reduction continues, but at a slower rate than the initial reduction rate, see Fig. 3. Based on this observation we argue that the reduction of Cu²⁺ in NO+NH₃ at 100 °C is slightly inhibited by excess NH₃ since [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ reacts slower than less saturated species.



Figure 3. First derivative *in-situ* EPR spectra of the reaction of Cu²⁺ in 6mr sites on the Cu/Al=0.09 sample with 1000 ppm NO+NH₃ at 100 °C. Grey lines are intermediate spectra spaced by 45 sec after t = 0. *Inset:* Development in the relative doubly integrated EPR spectrum reduction. The spectra shown are indicated with larger dots. Slopes are given as a guide to the eye. (For reduction in NO+NH₃ at 200 °C, see Fig. 4).

1st **NO+NH**₃, **2**nd **O**₂: After full reduction in NO+NH₃ at 200 °C (and subsequent He flush to remove weakly adsorbed NO and NH₃) the samples were exposed to 10 % O₂. After reduction in NH₃+NO the dominant Cu species is expected to be linear [Cu(NH₃)₂]⁺ without any strong coordination to specific zeolite framework environments.^[22] When the oxidant is O₂ the [Cu(NH₃)₂]⁺ complex shows a preference towards oxidation into site A, see top-part of Fig. 4. Site A appears exclusively and is isolated for the first time. The EPR spectrum can be described by the spin Hamiltonian parameters g_{\perp} =2.063 and g_{\parallel} =2.328, see Table S3 and Fig. S5. This observation reveals that despite the loose coordination to the

COMMUNICATION

zeolite framework and the mobility of $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ described in several publications,^[23,24] the reactivity of this complex towards oxidation depends on the Al-distribution in the zeolite microenvironment.

1st NO+NH₃, 2nd NO+O₂: After full reduction in NO+NH₃ (same as above) the sample was exposed to 10% O₂ and 1000 ppm NO. The oxidation in NO+O₂ is faster than in O₂ alone. Site A appears again, but in this case site B also appears. For the sample with Cu/Al=0.09 the experiment was performed for concentrations of NO from 250 ppm to 1000 ppm, see Fig. 4, bottom. In all cases site A appears almost instantaneously (green arrows in Fig. 4 and Fig. S5). The rate of formation of site B depends on the NO partial pressure with the initial rate being first order in p(NO). [CuNO₃]⁺ has a distinct EPR spectrum which was not observed at elevated temperatures after oxidation with NO+O2 on the samples investigated here, see Fig. S2. This provides further evidence that temperature-stable [CuNO₃]⁺ species are formed in samples containing [CuOH]⁺ but not for site A or B.^[7,10,14] Formation of transient [CuNO₃]⁺ is not excluded on site A and B, however. This is discussed in more detail below.



Figure 4. *Top: In-situ* EPR spectra of Cu-CHA (Cu/AI=0.04) after exposure to NO+NH₃ and oxidation with either NO+O₂ (orange) or O₂ alone (green). *Inset:* Time-resolved EPR signal intensity during the *in-situ* measurement. The plotted spectra are marked with dots. *Bottom:* Time resolved EPR signal intensity during *in-situ* measurement of Cu-CHA (Cu/AI=0.09). Intervals with NO+NH₃ alternate with intervals with O₂+NO at different NO partial pressures. Flushing of the sample with He (5 min) was performed just before the marked changes of flow. Green arrows indicate spectra, which are recognized to be almost exclusively A. During reduction *p*(NO)=*p*(NH₃)=1000 ppm. *p*(O₂)=10 % when in use.

Discussion

Site A and B react equally fast with NH_3 forming the EPR active $[Cu(NH_3)_4]^{2+}$. The complex is stable in NH_3 flow at 100 °C, but is

reduced slowly at higher temperatures. The reduction is much faster at all temperatures when both NO and NH₃ are present. In accordance with XAS investigations, we suggest that [Cu(NH₃)₂]⁺ is formed after reduction in NH₃ or in NO+NH₃.^[4,22] For 2AI sites a second cation, NH₄⁺ (or H⁺), is required for chargebalance. Due to Coulomb repulsion, the second cation may prefer to be on another face of the AI T-site and thus in another cage of the CHA structure. In this way the reaction pathway of reoxidation of [Cu(NH₃)₂]⁺ to A and B can potentially be different. We observe experimentally under flow conditions at 200 °C that $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+ \rightarrow$ Site A is at least an order of magnitude faster than $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+ \rightarrow$ Site B when the oxidant is O₂. The difference in reactivity of the sites is also observed by oxidation with NO+O2 since the formation of A is too fast to follow with the current time resolution, whereas the formation of B can be followed easily. The rate of formation of B is found to be of first order in p(NO) when oxidizing with NO+O₂.

 $[{\rm CuNO}_3]^*$ was not observed experimentally; nevertheless we suggest that Cu⁺ reacts with NO and O₂ to form $[{\rm CuNO}_3]^+, ^{[7,25]}$ but that this is unstable in the 2Al 6mr site. $^{[14]}$ The $[{\rm CuNO}_3]^+$ intermediate and the second cation is suggested to proceed to generate a Cu²⁺ site (A or B) and products with the sum formula "NH₄NO₃" (or "HNO₃"). This is the sum formula for ammonium nitrate and nitric acid but neither of these species are expected to survive at 200 °C and they will likely decompose immediately even if formed transiently.

Conclusion

In summary, we have shown how *in-situ* EPR can be used to follow the individual Cu sites present in Cu-CHA at temperatures and gas mixtures relevant for the NH₃-SCR reaction. Selecting dilute Cu-CHA samples provided two advantages: Fewer different Cu species are present and the dilution of paramagnetic species improved the resolution of the EPR spectra allowing the assessment of super hyperfine patterns. The reaction of Cu species with gas phase molecules was slowed down by lowering the temperature or the NO concentration. For the first time, it was observed experimentally how the reactivity differs significantly between copper ions associated with different 2Al sites in the CHA structure. Site A is more reactive towards oxidation in SCR relevant mixtures than site B. Since the oxidation of Cu⁺ is suggested to be the rate-determining step this implies a higher activity of site A towards SCR at 200 °C.

Experimental section

Samples were prepared with by ion exchange of CHA zeolites with $Cu(CH_3COO)_2$ in the same way as described in ^[6]. EPR measurements were performed with a Bruker EMX X-band spectrometer using the setup described in ^[13]. Briefly described, approximately 20 mg of sample was immobilized with quartz wool in tubes with an inner diameter of 4 mm and exposed to a gas flow of 200 mL/min corresponding to GHSV = 400,000 h⁻¹. Each individual EPR spectrum was obtained over approximately 35 seconds and the field was swept for additional 10 seconds giving a time resolution of 45 s in the time resolved plots shown in this work. The EPR parameters were: Frequency 9.45 GHz, modulation 100 kHz, modulation amplitude 8 G and field sweep 220 to 400 mT.

COMMUNICATION

For all investigations, the samples are initially dehydrated in flow of 200 mL/min O_2 /He during temperature ramp at 7 K/min to 250 °C, and kept at this temperature for at least 1 h whereupon all copper ions are fully oxidized.^[6] Afterwards the sample is cooled to the desired temperature at 7 K/min and the new gas mixture is admitted at 200 mL/min.

The data treatment followed the same procedure as in ^[13,14]. Simulation of EPR spectra was carried out using Easyspin^[26] with a careful fitting of g and A-strain to account for the angular anomaly seen in the perpendicular region of the experimental spectra.^[27–29] EPR intensities, *I*, for spin quantification purposes are obtained by double integration of background-corrected EPR spectra. The intensities are given relative to the start spectrum (always recorded at room temperature) and are corrected for the measurement temperature, see Eq. (1):

$$I_{rel}(T) = \frac{I(T)}{I_{start}(293\,K)} \cdot \frac{T}{293\,K}$$
(1)

Acknowledgements

The Danish Independent Research Council DFF – 1335-00175, and Carlsbergfondet are acknowledged for supporting this work.

Keywords: Copper • EPR spectroscopy • *in-situ* spectroscopy • SCR • site-specific reactivity

- A. M. Beale, F. Gao, I. Lezcano-Gonzalez, C. H. F. Peden, J. Szanyi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 7371–7405.
- [2] U. Deka, I. Lezcano-Gonzalez, B. M. Weckhuysen, A. M. Beale, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 413–427.
- [3] F. Gao, J. H. Kwak, J. Szanyi, C. H. F. Peden, *Top. Catal.* 2013, 56, 1441–1459.
- [4] E. Borfecchia, K. A. Lomachenko, F. Giordanino, H. Falsig, P.
 Beato, A. V. Soldatov, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 548–563.
- [5] C. W. Andersen, M. Bremholm, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, A. B. Blichfeld, L. F. Lundegaard, B. B. Iversen, *IUCrJ* 2014, 1, 382–386.
- [6] F. Giordanino, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, L. F. Lundegaard, F. N. Stappen, S. Mossin, P. Beato, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, *Dalton Trans.* 2013, 42, 12741–61.
- T. V. W. Janssens, H. Falsig, L. F. Lundegaard, P. N. R.
 Vennestrøm, S. B. Rasmussen, P. G. Moses, F. Giordanino, E.
 Borfecchia, K. A. Lomachenko, C. Lamberti, et al., ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 2832–2845.
- C. Paolucci, A. A. Verma, S. A. Bates, V. F. Kispersky, J. T. Miller,
 R. Gounder, W. N. Delgass, F. H. Ribeiro, W. F. Schneider, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 11828–11833.
- [9] F. Göltl, R. E. Bulo, P. Sautet, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 2244-

	2249.
[10]	C. Paolucci, A. A. Parekh, I. Khurana, J. R. Di Iorio, H. Li, J. D.
	Albarracin Caballero, A. J. Shih, T. Anggara, W. N. Delgass, J. T.
	Miller, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6028–6048.
[11]	S. C. Larsen, A. Aylor, A. T. Bell, J. A. Reimer, J. Phys. Chem.
	1994 , <i>98</i> , 11533–11540.
[12]	A. Brückner, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 4673–84.
[13]	A. Godiksen, F. N. Stappen, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, F. Giordanino, S.
	B. Rasmussen, L. F. Lundegaard, S. Mossin, J. Phys. Chem. C
	2014 . <i>118</i> . 23126–23138.
[14]	A. Godiksen, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, S. B. Rasmussen, S. Mossin,
	Top. Catal. 2017, 60, 13–29.
[15]	F. Gao, D. Mei, Y. Wang, C. H. F. Peden, 2017 , 3–10.
[16]	F. Gao, E. D. Walter, M. Kollar, Y. Wang, J. Szanyi, C. H. F. Peden,
	J. Catal. 2014, 319, 1–14.
[17]	S. A. Bates, A. A. Verma, C. Paolucci, A. A. Parekh, T. Anggara, A.
	Yezerets, W. F. Schneider, J. T. Miller, W. N. Delgass, F. H. Ribeiro,
	J. Catal. 2014, 312, 87–97.
[18]	A. Martini, E. Borfecchia, K. A. Lomachenko, I. A. Pankin, C. Negri,
	G. Berlier, P. Beato, H. Falsig, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, Chem. Sci.
4	2017, Just accep, DOI 10.1039/C7SC02266B.
[19]	S. A. Bates, A. A. Verma, C. Paolucci, A. A. Parekh, T. Anggara, A.
	Yezerets, W. F. Schneider, J. T. Miller, W. N. Delgass, F. H. Ribeiro,
	J. Catal. 2014, 312, 87–97.
[20]	E. Giamello, D. Murphy, G. Magnacca, C. Morterra, Y. Shioya, T.
	Nomura, M. Anpo, J. Catal. 1992, 136, 510-520.
[21]	M. Moreno-González, B. Hueso, M. Boronat, T. Blasco, A. Corma,
	J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 1011–1017.
[22]	F. Giordanino, E. Borfecchia, K. A. Lomachenko, A. Lazzarini, G.
	Agostini, E. Gallo, A. V. Soldatov, P. Beato, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti,
	J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 1552–1559.
[23]	S. Shwan, M. Skoglundh, L. F. Lundegaard, R. R. Tiruvalam, T. V.
	W. Janssens, A. Carlsson, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, ACS Catal. 2014,
	5, 16–19.
[24]	A. Y. Stakheev, D. A. Bokarev, A. I. Mytareva, T. V. W. Janssens, P.
	N. R. Vennestrøm, Top. Catal. 2017, 60, 255–259.
[25]	C. Tyrsted, E. Borfecchia, G. Berlier, K. A. Lomachenko, C.
	Lamberti, S. Bordiga, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, T. V. W. Janssens, H.
	Falsig, P. Beato, et al., Catal. Sci. Technol. 2016, 6, 8314-8324.
[26]	S. Stoll, A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson. 2006, 178, 42–55.
[27]	R. P. Bonomo, F. Riggi, Lett. al Nuovo Cim. 1981, 30, 304–310.
[28]	M. Brustolon, E. Giamello, in <i>Electron Paramagn. Reson. A Pract.</i>
	<i>Toolkit</i> , John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008 , pp. 195–250.
[29]	B. Bennett, J. M. Kowalski, in <i>Methods Enzymol.</i> , Elsevier Inc.,
	2015 , pp. 341–361.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

COMMUNICATION

Using *in-situ* EPR spectroscopy it is possible for the first time to differentiate between the two Cu sites in the 6 membered ring in Cu exchanged chabazite zeolites. The composition of the oxidizing gas flow determines whether a single Cu site or both Cu sites are oxidized.

