

## A BOUNDEDNESS THEOREM IN $ID_1(W)$

GERHARD JÄGER

**§0.** In this paper we prove a boundedness theorem in the theory  $ID_1(W)$ . This answers a question asked by Feferman, for example in [3]. The background is the following.

Let  $A[X, x]$  be an  $X$ -positive formula arithmetic in  $X$ . The theory  $ID_1(P^A)$  is an extension of Peano arithmetic PA by the following axioms:

$$(ID_A.1) \quad A[P^A, x] \rightarrow P^A(x),$$

$$(ID_A.2) \quad \forall x(A[F, x] \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow \forall x(P^A(x) \rightarrow F(x)),$$

for arbitrary formulas  $F$ ;  $P^A$  is a constant for the least fixed point of  $A[X, x]$ . Set-theoretically,  $P^A$  can be defined by recursion on the ordinals as follows:

$$P^A_\alpha := \{x: A[\bigcup\{P^A_\xi: \xi < \alpha\}, x]\}, \quad P^A := \bigcup\{P^A_\xi: \xi < \omega_1^{ck}\},$$

where  $\omega_1^{ck}$  is the first nonrecursive ordinal.

Now let  $a < b$  be the arithmetic relation which expresses that the recursive tree coded by  $a$  is a proper subtree of the tree coded by  $b$ , and define

$$\text{Tree}[X, x] := \forall y < x(y \in X).$$

The least fixed point of  $\text{Tree}[X, x]$  is the set  $P^{\text{Tree}}$  of all well-founded recursive trees. We write  $W$  or  $W_\alpha$  for  $P^{\text{Tree}}$  or  $P^{\text{Tree}}_\alpha$ , respectively. Since  $W$  is  $\Pi_1^1$  complete we have  $W_\alpha \subsetneq W$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1^{ck}$ . If we define for each element  $a \in W$  its inductive norm  $|a|$  by  $|a| := \min\{\xi: a \in W_\xi\}$ , then we have  $\omega_1^{ck} = \{|a|: a \in W\}$  and the elements of  $W$  can be used as codes for the ordinals less than  $\omega_1^{ck}$ .

Assume that  $B[X, x]$  is an  $X$ -positive formula arithmetic in  $X$  with the only free variables  $X$  and  $x$ , and assume that  $Q^B$  is a relation that satisfies

$$Q^B(a, b) \leftrightarrow B[\{x: (\exists y < a)Q^B(y, x)\}, b].$$

If we define

$$I^B(x) := (\exists a \in W)Q^B(a, x),$$

then we obviously have  $P^B = I^B$ . It was an open question whether a weak theory like

---

Received May 7, 1985.

1986, Association for Symbolic Logic  
 0022-4812/86/5104-0008/\$01.60

$ID_1(W)$  is strong enough to prove the following boundedness theorem:

$$(BT) \quad \forall x(B[I^B, x] \rightarrow I^B(x)),$$

which corresponds to  $(ID_B, 1)$  with  $P^B$  replaced by  $I^B$ . The translation of the second axiom of  $ID_1(P^B)$

$$\forall x(B[F, x] \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow \forall x(I^B(x) \rightarrow F(x))$$

is provable in  $ID_1(W)$  by induction on  $W$ .

Our proof of boundedness in  $ID_1(W)$  essentially uses a second order version of  $ID_1(W)$  due to Feferman [3], Spector's boundedness theorem for  $\Sigma_1^1$  subsets of  $W$  and finally a lemma of Kreisel [4] that states that for every  $\Pi_1^1$  predicate  $F(x, y)$

$$\forall x \exists y F(x, y) \rightarrow \exists \alpha_{HYP} \forall x F(x, \alpha(x)).$$

§1. In the following we assume that the reader is familiar with the theory  $ID_1$  of one inductive definition as presented for example in [1], [2], and [3]. Our notation mostly follows [3].

Let  $L$  be the usual first order language of arithmetic with constants for all primitive recursive functions and relations. The language  $L_2$  has in addition set variables  $X, Y, Z, \dots$ .  $\lambda x, y. \langle x, y \rangle$  is the usual pairing function;  $s, t, s_1, t_1$  range over sequence numbers;  $\langle \rangle$  is the number of the empty sequence;  $s = \langle (s)_0, \dots, (s)_{lh(s)-1} \rangle$ ;  $s * t$  is concatenation;  $s \subseteq t$  ( $s \subset t$ ) holds if  $s$  is an initial (proper) segment of  $t$ . Functions are defined by sets  $X$  satisfying  $\forall x \exists! y \langle x, y \rangle \in X$ , and we take the function variables  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  to range over such sets;  $\bar{\alpha}(n)$  is the sequence number  $\langle \alpha(0), \dots, \alpha(n-1) \rangle$ .

We write  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  for finite strings  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of set and number variables. The notation  $A[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}]$  is used to indicate that all free variables of  $A$  come from the lists  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ ;  $A(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$  may contain other free variables besides  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ .  $A(F)$  denotes the formula that results from  $A(X)$  if we replace each occurrence of  $(y \in X)$  by  $F(y)$ .

$\{e\}$  is the  $e$ th recursive function;  $Tot(e)$  expresses that  $\{e\}$  is total. If  $Tot(e)$ , then  $e$  codes a recursive tree  $T_e := \{s: \forall t \subseteq s (\{e\}(t) = 0)\}$ . Given  $e$  and  $s$  one effectively associates  $e \upharpoonright s$  which codes the subtree of  $T_e$  below  $s$ ,  $T_{e \upharpoonright s} = \{t: s * t \in T_e\}$ . For simplicity we write  $e \upharpoonright x$  instead of  $e \upharpoonright \langle x \rangle$ . By  $a \prec e$  we express that  $T_a$  is a proper subtree of  $T_e$ .

The set  $W$  of all well-founded recursive trees is the least set  $X$  such that  $\forall x(Tree[X, x] \rightarrow x \in X)$  for the formula

$$Tree[X, x] := (Tot(x) \ \& \ \{x\}(\langle \rangle) \neq 0) \vee (Tot(x) \ \& \ \forall y(x \upharpoonright y \in X)).$$

Now suppose that  $A[X, x]$  is an arbitrary (but fixed)  $X$ -positive formula arithmetic in  $X$ . The language  $L(W, Q^A)$  is the language  $L$  extended by the unary predicate constants  $W$  and  $Q^A$ , and we write  $x \in W$  and  $x \in Q^A$  for  $W(x)$  and  $Q^A(x)$ . The theory  $ID(W, A)$  is given by the following axioms where  $F$  is an arbitrary formula of  $L(W, Q^A)$ .

1. Axioms of primitive recursive arithmetic PRA;
2.  $F(0) \ \& \ \forall x(F(x) \rightarrow F(x')) \rightarrow \forall x F(x)$ ;
3.  $\forall x(Tree[W, x] \rightarrow x \in W)$ ;

- 4.  $\forall x(\text{Tree}[F, x] \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x \in W)F(x)$ ;
- 5.  $\forall a \forall x[\text{Tot}(a) \rightarrow (\langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A \leftrightarrow A[\exists y \prec a(\langle y, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A), x])]$ .

Axioms 3 and 4 formalize that  $W$  is the set of all well-founded recursive trees. Axiom 5 expresses that the sets  $Q^a = \{x: \langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A\}$  with  $a \in W$  are the stages of the inductive definition given by  $A[X, x]$ . Now we define

$$I^A(x) :\Leftrightarrow \exists a \in W(\langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A).$$

By an obvious induction on  $W$  we can show in  $\text{ID}(W, A)$  that

$$\forall x(A[F, x] \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow \forall x(I^A(x) \rightarrow F(x))$$

for arbitrary formulas  $F$  of  $L(W, Q^A)$ . In the following we will see that  $\text{ID}(W, A)$  also proves the boundedness principle

(BT) 
$$\forall x(A[I^A, x] \rightarrow I^A(x)).$$

§2. For the proof of (BT) it is more convenient to work in the second order version  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$  of  $\text{ID}(W, A)$  introduced by Feferman in [3].  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$  is formulated in the second order language  $L_2(W, Q^A)$  and has the following axioms:

- I. Axioms of PRA;
- II.  $\forall X[0 \in X \ \& \ \forall x(x \in X \rightarrow x' \in X) \rightarrow \forall x(x \in X)]$ ;
- III.  $\forall x(\text{Tree}[W, x] \rightarrow x \in W)$ ;
- IV.  $\forall X[\forall x(\text{Tree}[X, x] \rightarrow x \in X) \rightarrow W \subset X]$ ;
- V.  $\forall a \forall x[\text{Tot}(a) \rightarrow (\langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A \leftrightarrow A[\exists y \prec a(\langle y, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A), x])]$ ;
- VI.  $\exists X \forall x(x \in X \leftrightarrow G(x))$  for each formula  $G$  of  $L_2(W, Q^A)$  without bound set variables.

By VI each  $L(W, Q^A)$  formula defines a set and, consequently, the axioms 2 and 4 of  $\text{ID}(W, A)$  are derivable from the axioms II and IV of  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$ . Hence  $\text{ID}(W, A)$  is contained in  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$ . In [3] it is proved that  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$  actually is the second order version of  $\text{ID}(W, A)$ . The following theorem is obtained by an obvious modification of Feferman's proof that  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W)$  is a conservative extension of  $\text{ID}(W)$ .

THEOREM 1 (FEFERMAN).  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$  is a conservative extension of  $\text{ID}(W, A)$ .

It will be shown that  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$  proves (BT). For simplicity we now write  $\text{ID}^{(2)}$  instead of  $\text{ID}^{(2)}(W, A)$ .

Let  $\Pi_0^1(W, Q^A)$  or  $\Pi_0^1$  be the class of all arithmetic formulas of  $L_2(W, Q^A)$  or  $L_2$ , respectively. A formula is called strict  $\Pi_1^1$  (strict  $\Sigma_1^1$ ) if it is in  $\Pi_0^1$  or has the form  $\forall X F(X)$  ( $\exists X F(X)$ ) where  $F(X)$  is in  $\Pi_0^1$  and contains no set variables besides  $X$ . The class of strict  $\Pi_1^1$  formulas (strict  $\Sigma_1^1$  formulas) is denoted by  $\Pi^s$  ( $\Sigma^s$ ). Observe that strict  $\Pi_1^1$  and strict  $\Sigma_1^1$  formulas of the form  $\forall X F(X)$  and  $\exists X F(X)$  may contain free number variables but no free set variables. Finally, a formula is  $\Pi_0^1(W, Q^A)$  in  $\Pi^s$  ( $\Pi_0^1$  in  $\Pi^s$ ) if it is of the form  $G(F_1, \dots, F_n)$  where  $F_1(x), \dots, F_n(x)$  are strict  $\Pi_1^1$  formulas and  $G(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is in  $\Pi_0^1(W, Q^A)$  (in  $\Pi_0^1$ ). Now we list some properties of  $\text{ID}^{(2)}$ :

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $\forall X F[X, x]$  is strict  $\Pi_1^1$ . Then we can find a primitive recursive  $\pi$  for which  $\text{ID}^{(2)}$  proves

$$\forall X F[X, x] \leftrightarrow \pi(x) \in W.$$

LEMMA 2.  $ID^{(2)}$  proves  $(\Pi^s\text{-CA})$ , i.e.

$$ID^{(2)} \vdash \exists X \forall x (x \in X \leftrightarrow F(x))$$

for every strict  $\Pi_1^1$  formula  $F(x)$ .

LEMMA 3.  $ID^{(2)}$  proves  $(\Sigma^s\text{-AC})$ , i.e.

$$ID^{(2)} \vdash \forall x \exists X \exists Y F(x, X, Y) \rightarrow \exists Z \forall x \exists Y F(x, (Z)_x, Y)$$

for every  $\Pi_0^1$  formula  $F(x, X, Y)$  with no set variables besides  $X$  and  $Y$ .

LEMMA 4. If  $F(x)$  is  $\Pi_0^1(W, Q^A)$  in  $\Pi^s$ , then the following is a theorem of  $ID^{(2)}$ :

$$\exists X \forall x (x \in X \leftrightarrow F(x)).$$

LEMMA 5. If  $F_1[x, y], \dots, F_n[x, y]$  are  $\Pi^s$  formulas ( $\Sigma^s$  formulas) and  $G[X_1, \dots, X_n, \mathbf{x}]$  is a  $\Pi_0^1$  formula positive in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then there exists a  $\Pi^s$  formula ( $\Sigma^s$  formula)  $C[\mathbf{x}]$  such that

$$ID^{(2)} \vdash G[F_1[x, \cdot], \dots, F_n[x, \cdot]] \leftrightarrow C[\mathbf{x}].$$

LEMMA 6. If  $F(x)$  is  $\Pi_0^1(W, Q^A)$  in  $\Pi^s$ , then the following are provable in  $ID^{(2)}$ :

- (a)  $F(0) \ \& \ \forall x (F(x) \rightarrow F(x')) \rightarrow \forall x F(x)$ ;
- (b)  $\forall x (\text{Tree}[F, x] \rightarrow F(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x \in W) F(x)$ .

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are proved in [3]; Lemma 3 is a standard consequence of Lemma 2 (see for example [6]). Lemma 4 follows from Lemma 1 and axiom VI of  $ID^{(2)}$ ; Lemma 5 is proved by induction on the complexity of  $G$  using Lemma 3. Lemma 6 follows from Lemma 4 and the axioms II and IV of  $ID^{(2)}$ .

- DEFINITION. (a)  $\tilde{W}(a) := \forall X [\forall x (\text{Tree}[X, x] \rightarrow x \in X) \rightarrow a \in X]$ ;
- (b)  $H^A(X) := \forall a \forall x [\text{Tot}(a) \rightarrow (\langle a, x \rangle \in X \leftrightarrow A[\exists y \langle a, \cdot \rangle \in X, x])]$ ;
- (c)  $\tilde{Q}^A(a, x) := \forall X (H^A(X) \rightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \in X)$ .

LEMMA 7.  $ID^{(2)}$  proves the following:

- (a)  $\forall x (x \in W \leftrightarrow \tilde{W}(x))$ ;
- (b)  $H^A(X) \ \& \ a \in W \rightarrow \forall x (\langle a, x \rangle \in X \leftrightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A)$ ;
- (c)  $(\forall a \in W) \forall x (\langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A \leftrightarrow \tilde{Q}^A(a, x))$ .

PROOF. Since  $\tilde{W}(x)$  is a  $\Pi^s$  formula, (a) follows from Lemma 2 and axiom III of  $ID^{(2)}$ . (b) is proved by induction on  $W$ . The direction from left to right of (c) follows from (b); for the converse direction observe that  $Q^A$  is a set in  $ID^{(2)}$  which satisfies  $H^A(X)$  by axiom V.

§3. In order to state and prove Spector's boundedness theorem in  $ID^{(2)}$  we need some familiar notions from recursion theory as they can be found for example in Shoenfield [5].

If  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are total recursive functions, then a number-theoretic function  $\alpha$  is a monotone function from the tree  $T_a$  to the tree  $T_b$  if  $\alpha: T_a \rightarrow T_b$  and  $s \subset t \rightarrow \alpha(s) \subset \alpha(t)$  for all  $s, t$  in  $T_a$ . The predicate " $\alpha$  is a monotone function from  $T_a$  to  $T_b$ " is arithmetic in  $\alpha$ .

DEFINITION. (a)  $T_{\leq}(a, b) := \text{Tot}(a) \ \& \ \text{Tot}(b) \ \& \ [\neg \tilde{W}(b) \vee \exists \alpha (\alpha \text{ is monotone from } T_a \text{ to } T_b)]$ ;

(b)  $T_{\leq}(a, b) := \text{Tot}(a) \ \& \ \text{Tot}(b) \ \& \ [\neg \tilde{W}(b) \vee \exists \alpha \exists x (\langle x \rangle \in T_b \ \& \ \alpha \text{ is monotone from } T_a \text{ to } T_{b[x]})]$ .

By Lemma 5 there exist  $\Sigma^s$  formulas provably equivalent to  $T_{\leq}(a, b)$  and  $T_{<}(a, b)$ ; we denote them by  $|a| \leq |b|$  and  $|a| < |b|$ .

LEMMA 8. *The following are theorems of  $ID^{(2)}$ :*

- (a)  $b \in W \ \& \ |a| \leq |b| \rightarrow a \in W$ ;
- (b)  $a, b \in W \rightarrow |a| \leq |b| \vee |b| < |a|$ ;
- (c)  $b \in W \ \& \ |a| \leq |b| \rightarrow \forall x(\langle a, x \rangle \in Q^A \rightarrow \langle b, x \rangle \in Q^A)$ .

PROOF. All three assertions follow by induction on  $W$ . The proofs of (a) and (b) can essentially be found in [5]. For the proof of (c) we use axiom V of  $ID^{(2)}$  and the fact that  $A[X, x]$  is positive in  $X$ .

THEOREM 2 (SPECTOR). *If  $F(x)$  is a  $\Sigma^s$  formula, then  $ID^{(2)}$  proves*

$$\forall x(F(x) \rightarrow x \in W) \rightarrow (\exists e \in W) \forall x(F(x) \rightarrow |x| < |e|).$$

PROOF. Again we follow [5]. Choose a  $\Pi^s$  predicate  $G(x)$  which is provably not equivalent to a  $\Sigma^s$  formula and assume that  $\forall x(F(x) \rightarrow x \in W)$  and  $(\forall e \in W) \exists x(F(x) \ \& \ |x| < |e|)$ . By Lemma 8(b), the last formula is equivalent to

$$(1) \quad (\forall e \in W) \exists x(F(x) \ \& \ |e| \leq |x|).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 1 gives a primitive recursive function  $\pi$  such that

$$(2) \quad G(a) \leftrightarrow \pi(a) \in W.$$

Since  $F \subset W$ , (1) and (2) imply

$$G(a) \leftrightarrow \exists x(F(x) \ \& \ |\pi(a)| \leq |x|).$$

The right side is equivalent to a  $\Sigma^s$  formula by Lemma 5; a contradiction.

In [4] Kreisel proves that for every  $\Pi_1^1$  predicate  $F(x, y)$  with  $\forall x \exists y F(x, y)$  there exists an hyperarithmetical function  $\alpha$  such that  $\forall x F(x, \alpha(x))$ . His theorem and its proof can easily be adapted to our present context, and we obtain the following Theorem 3.

THEOREM 3. *For every  $\Pi^s$  formula  $F(x, y)$  there exists a  $\Sigma^s$  formula  $G(x, y)$  with the same free variables as  $F(x, y)$  such that  $ID^{(2)}$  proves*

$$\forall x \exists y F(x, y) \rightarrow \forall x \exists ! y G(x, y) \ \& \ \forall x \forall y (G(x, y) \rightarrow F(x, y)).$$

§4. In this last section we finally prove the boundedness theorem for  $ID^{(2)}$  and  $ID(W, A)$ .

LEMMA 9. *Suppose that  $F(x, y)$  is a  $\Pi^s$  formula. Then*

$$ID^{(2)} \vdash \forall x (\exists y \in W) F(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists e \in W) \forall x \exists y (|y| < |e| \ \& \ F(x, y)).$$

PROOF. We work in  $ID^{(2)}$  and assume  $\forall x (\exists y \in W) F(x, y)$ , which is equivalent to

$$\forall x \exists y (\tilde{W}(y) \ \& \ F(x, y)).$$

By Lemma 5 and Theorem 3 there is a  $\Sigma^s$  formula  $G(x, y)$  such that

$$\forall x \exists ! y G(x, y) \ \& \ \forall x \forall y (G(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{W}(y) \ \& \ F(x, y)).$$

Now define  $C(y) := \exists x G(x, y)$  and apply Lemma 5 and Theorem 2. Hence we have an  $e \in W$  such that  $\forall y (C(y) \rightarrow |y| < |e|)$ , and therefore  $\forall x \exists y (|y| < |e| \ \& \ F(x, y))$ .

LEMMA 10. *Let  $B(X)$  be an  $X$ -positive  $\Pi_0^1$  formula. Then  $ID^{(2)}$  proves*

$$B(\exists a \in W [\langle a, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A]) \rightarrow (\exists e \in W) B(\langle e, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A).$$

PROOF (by induction on the complexity of  $B(X)$ ). The only critical case is that when  $B(X)$  has the form  $\forall x C(X, x)$ . Now let us work in  $ID^{(2)}$  and assume that

$$\forall x C(\exists a \in W[\langle a, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A], x).$$

By the induction hypothesis we obtain  $\forall x(\exists b \in W)C(\langle b, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A, x)$  and therefore by Lemma 7(c)

$$\forall x(\exists b \in W)C(\tilde{Q}^A(b, \cdot), x).$$

Since  $B(X)$  is  $X$ -positive,  $C(\tilde{Q}^A(b, \cdot), x)$  is equivalent to a  $\Pi^s$  formula, and we obtain by Lemma 9

$$(\exists e \in W)\forall x\exists b[|b| < |e| \ \& \ C(\tilde{Q}^A(b, \cdot), x)],$$

i.e.

$$(\exists e \in W)\forall x\exists b[|b| < |e| \ \& \ C(\langle b, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A, x)].$$

Again the  $X$ -positivity of  $C(X, x)$  and Lemma 8(c) yield

$$(\exists e \in W)\forall x C(\langle e, \cdot \rangle \in Q^A, x).$$

THEOREM 4 (BOUNDEDNESS IN  $ID^{(2)}$ ).

$$ID^{(2)} \vdash \forall x(A[I^A, x] \rightarrow I^A(x)).$$

This theorem immediately follows from the  $X$ -positivity of  $A[X, x]$ , Lemma 10 and axiom V of  $ID^{(2)}$ . The boundedness theorem for  $ID(W, A)$  is a corollary of Theorem 4 by Feferman's result (Theorem 1).

THEOREM 5 (BOUNDEDNESS IN  $ID(W, A)$ ).

$$ID(W, A) \vdash \forall x(A[I^A, x] \rightarrow I^A(x)).$$

*Questions.* 1. Can a boundedness theorem be proved in  $ID_1^i$  where we have intuitionistic logic instead of classical logic?

2. Is it possible to obtain boundedness theorems for the theories  $ID_\alpha$  and  $ID_\alpha^i$  with  $\alpha > 0$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. BUCHHOLZ, S. FEFERMAN, W. POHLERS and W. SIEG, *Iterated inductive definitions and subsystems of analysis: recent proof-theoretical studies*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 897, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [2] S. FEFERMAN, *Formal theories for transfinite iterations of generalized inductive definitions and subsystems of analysis*, *Intuitionism and proof theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 303–326.
- [3] ———, *Monotone inductive definitions*, *The L. E. J. Brouwer centenary symposium*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 77–89.
- [4] G. KREISEL, *The axiom of choice and the class of hyperarithmetical functions*, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, vol. 24 (1962), pp. 307–319.
- [5] J. SHOENFIELD, *Mathematical logic*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1967.
- [6] W. W. TAIT, *Applications of the cut elimination theorem to some subsystems of classical analysis*, *Intuitionism and proof theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 475–488.

MATHEMATIK  
ETH-ZENTRUM  
ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND