

### WHO and the Threat of Thermonuclear Holocaust

'Effects of Nuclear War on Health and Health Services' is a mimeographed report of the International Committee of Experts in Medical Sciences and Public Health to implement Resolution WHA 34.38, being the Working Paper A36/12 submitted to the Thirty-sixth World Health Assembly on 24 March 1983. This report of 152 pages comprises a glossary (3 pages), a selected bibliography (1 page), a text of 21 pages with four figures, and the reports of the nine working groups, each with its own references, and together totalling 127 pages. In the view of the International Committee, the report is not exhaustive, as, for example, 'it did not attempt to review in detail what could be done to reduce the detrimental effects of thermonuclear accidents or war.'

It is gratifying to note, however, that the World Health Assembly (WHA) has expressed its concern about the effects of nuclear war on the health of Mankind and the health establishments. Thus the World Health Organization—the Secretariat of the WHA—responded by selecting a number of eminent scientists and experts in various pertinent fields, and requested them to collect, review, and summarize, the most recent information on the possible effects of nuclear weapons' explosions on health and health services. The International Committee of Experts reached certain conclusions which they felt should have wide publicity—to draw the attention of politicians, the public, and the health profession, to the disastrous consequences which a nuclear conflict would have on the health and welfare of the people of the world. Excerpts from the conclusions of the Committee are indicated in the following:

1. 'As doctors and scientists, the members of the Committee feel that they have both the right and the duty to draw attention in the strongest possible terms to the catastrophic results that would follow from any use of nuclear weapons. The immediate and the delayed loss of human and animal life, would be enormous, and the effect on the fabric of civilization would be either to impede its recovery, or make recovery impossible. The plight of the survivors would be physically and psychologically appalling. The partial or complete disruption of the health services would deprive survivors of effective help.'

2. The Committee is convinced that there is a sound professional basis for its conclusions that '*nuclear weapons constitute the greatest immediate threat to the*

*health and welfare of mankind. It is not for the Committee to outline the political steps by which the threat can be removed, but mankind cannot be secure until that is done.'*

In summarizing the reports of the working groups, the Committee drew attention to the following immediate catastrophe of nuclear explosions: 'One megaton bomb over a large city would kill more than one and a half million people and injure as many [more]. A limited nuclear war exploding twenty megaton weapons would exact a toll of about nine million dead and seriously injured, of whom more than 8 millions would be civilians. An all-out nuclear war exploding less than half the estimated present stockpiles of nuclear weapons—about 10,000 megatons—would result in more than 1,000 million deaths and 1,000 million injured people.' One has to consider also the long-term effects on the environment. The Committee stated that such a nuclear war would totally disrupt both the social and economic systems around the world, that famine and disease would be widespread, and that whatever remained of the medical services in the world could not alleviate the disaster in any significant way.

The Committee's role as stated 'was not to outline the political steps by which this threat can be removed, and the preventive therapy implemented'; their sole recommendation to WHO was that 'WHO can make important contributions to this process by systematically distributing information on the health consequences of atomic warfare and by continuing and expanding international cooperation in the field of health.'

The above recommendation of the Committee gives rather a negative role to the World Health Organization, considering the enormous threat to humanity which a nuclear war would pose. If one accepts the fact that the mere contemplation of waging a nuclear war is regarded as the most serious mental or psychological disease, it behoves the WHO to have an active and more positive role in studying the 'epidemiology' of this modern disease, and in setting a strategy to combat this threat, which can be inflicted by governments of certain nations or even by individual terrorists.

M.A. FARID  
c/o World Health Organization  
1211 Geneva 27  
Switzerland.

### Newly-discovered Bacterium May Give Clues to Origin of Photosynthesis

Biologists at Indiana University have discovered a kind of Bacterium that may be a living relic of the beginning of photosynthesis—one of the most significant events in the evolution of all higher forms of life, and indeed in the history of the world. The Bacterium may provide a new piece in solving the puzzle of how early life developed, the research workers reported to the National Science Foundation (NSF), which had financed the research through its Metabolic Biology Programme.

The 'new' Bacteria are photosynthetic, using energy from absorbed sunlight to obtain energy for growth. The oxygen in the atmosphere that made possible all higher forms of animals—including humans—came from green plants in the course of their photosynthesis, which is the process by which they use the energy of sunlight to synthesize sugars from carbon dioxide and water. During this process, oxygen is set free.

What gives the new Bacteria special interest is an unusual combination of properties that has never before

been observed. They represent a new category of photosynthetic organisms, and their structure may contain 'molecular fossils' that could provide important clues to the origin of photosynthesis, according to the Indiana scientists. 'For the first two billion years after the Earth was formed, there was no oxygen in its atmosphere,' Dr Howard Gest, Distinguished Professor of Microbiology at Indiana University, said: 'The earth was populated by many kinds of microbes that did not need oxygen, long before certain primitive cells were first able to use sunlight as a source of energy for growth. The beginning of photosynthesis in living cells was one of the most singular events in evolutionary history. There is little doubt that the process of photosynthesis was first established in Bacteria and then gradually modified—eventually resulting in the type of oxygen-producing photosynthesis that is now used by all green plants.'

In 1980, Dr Gest proposed a theory to account for the origin of the first photosynthetic cell. The new Bacterium