Radiochimica Acta 38, 185 – 187 (1985) © R. Oldenbourg Verlag, München 1985

Excitation Functions for the ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, 2\alpha){}^{19}O$ and ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, d){}^{25}Na$ Reactions

By TH. DIACO, C. FRIEDLI and P. LERCH^{*}, Institut d'Electrochimie et de Radiochimie, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(Received October 1, 1985; revised November 7, 1985)

Nuclear reactions / Yield / Excitation functions / ¹⁸O particles / Target Be / Heavy ion activation

Abstract

In order to determine traces of beryllium by Heavy Ion Activation Analysis (HIAA), yield curves and total cross sections for the ⁹Be (¹⁸O, 2 α)¹⁹O and ⁹Be (¹⁸O, d)²⁵Na reactions were determined in the 10 to 40 MeV energy range of incident ions. The yields of characteristic γ -rays (¹⁹O: $E_{\gamma} = 197$ keV and ²⁵Na: $E_{\gamma} = 397$ keV) were used for this purpose.

Introduction

The quality- and purity control of new material at diverse steps of preparation and the institution of security norms concerning pollution have promoted rapid evolution of analytical techniques of trace elements. The nuclear reactions induced by heavy ion beams accelerated to energies up to 2 MeV/amu have played an important part in chemical analysis, especially of light elements [1]. Knowledge of the excitation functions of these reactions is useful in order to find out the best experimental conditions for an analytical application to trace determination.

The reactions induced by 18 O on beryllium, boron, silicon, sulfur and sodium have been described in earlier papers [2-4]. The physical mechanism of these nuclear interactions has been reported [2, 3] and cross sections have been calculated.

In the present work, the excitation functions of the nuclear reactions induced by ¹⁸O on beryllium have been established for a large energy range $(E_{18O} = 10 \text{ to } 40 \text{ MeV})$. The results show the possibility of an application for the determination of traces of Be using radioisotopes with short half-lives: ¹⁹O (T = 27.1 s) and ²⁵Na (T = 59.7 s).

Experimental

Beams of ¹⁸O ions at various energies and charge states were obtained with the HV EC-EN Tandem Van de Graaff accelerator of the Institute for Medium Energy Physics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zürich. The ¹⁸Oⁿ⁺ ions were obtained by sputtering of an enriched oxygen Ca¹⁸O solid cone.

The targets used were pure metallic Be discs (99% Be, GOODFELLOW METALS Ltd) of 15 mm diameter and 1-2 mm thickness. They were thicker than the range of the incident ion beam, whose intensity ranged from 20 to 100 nA.

The experimental irradiation set-up, especially designed to determine the production of radionuclides with short

half-lives, has been described in an earlier paper [5]. It allows cyclic irradiations and activity measurements without interruption of vacuum (10^{-5} mm Hg) . Targets were mounted on a mobile holder and irradiated for typically 10 seconds. The target holder was then transferred to the counting position in less than 1 second and its radioactivity was measured with a Ge(Li) detector (ORTEC 8001-1020 V, 2.0 keV resolution at 1.33 MeV) through a thin plexiglass window for typically 30 seconds. The detector was linked to a multichannel analyzer (CANBERRA 80). When necessary, dead time corrections were made using the peak of a 50 Hz impulse generator. The beam current was monitored immediately after the sample activity measurement using a Faraday cup placed just behind the position of the irradiated target. The whole electronically controlled process (irradiation - activity measurement - beam monitoring) was repeated for 10 cycles. The experimental conditions have been determined with a computer program [6] which optimizes (a) the irradiation time, (b) the delay between the end of irradiation and the beginning of activity measurement, (c) the counting time and (d) the number of cycles. The spectrum obtained after each irradiation was automatically added to those already stored in the multichannel analyzer, thus providing better statistics and a higher precision of the specific activity. At the end of the final counting, the total spectrum was transferred to a floppy disc recorder (SCIENTIFIC MICRO SYSTEMS D222) for further analysis with a PDP 11/23 computer. Standard ¹⁵²Eu and ²²Na sources with the same geometry as the targets were used for energy and efficiency calibration of the counting system.

Cross section calculation

KRASNOV [7] has defined a thick target reaction yield, Y, by the following relation:

$$Y = n \int_{E}^{0} \sigma(E) \frac{dx}{dE} dE$$
 (1)

where $n = \text{concentration of atoms from which radio$ $nuclide is formed (at <math>\cdot g^{-1}$)

 $\sigma(E)$ = cross section for incident energy $E(\text{cm}^2)$

 $\frac{dx}{dE} = \text{differential energy loss of the incident ions} \\ (\text{MeV} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2)$

To whom correspondence should be addressed.

This reaction yield was determined experimentally for each incident energy, using the equation:

$$Y = \frac{a_0 tz}{6.24 \times 10^{12} (I t) (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \epsilon u}$$

where $a_0 = \text{counting rate at the end of i}$ t = -irradiation time (s)

- z = charge of the incident ion
- $6.24 \times 10^{12} / z$ = beam current (I) and ion flow (¢ interrelation factor
- It = integrated charge collected on target (μ C)
- $(1-e^{-\lambda t})$ = saturation factor
- λ = decay constant (s⁻¹)
- ϵ = detector efficiency for the chosen γ -ray
- u = abundance of the γ -ray of interest [9]

Owing to the cyclic mode and the summation of the 10 spectra, the counting rate at the end of irradiation had to be determined from the total number of counts, N_t , detected in the chosen photopeak, whereby the various adjustable experimental parameters were taken into account [6]. Furthermore, the short half-lives involved required a counting rate correction due to the decay during acquisition [10]. Thus:

$$a_{0} = \frac{N_{t} \lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda t_{c}})}{(1 - e^{-\lambda \Delta t}) e^{-\lambda t_{D}}} \left[n_{c} + \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda n_{c} t_{c}}}{1 - e^{-\lambda t_{c}}} \right]^{-1}$$
(3)

where t_c = time separating one irradiation from the next (s)

 $\Delta t = \text{counting time (s)}$

 t_D = delay between the end of irradiation and the beginning of counting (s)
n_c = number of cycles

The experimental yield curve thus obtained was refined using a computer program [11]. The derivative of equa-

tion (1). $\frac{dY}{dE'}$, at a given energy leads to the cross section: $\sigma(E) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{dY}{dE} \right)_{E} \left(\frac{dE}{dx} \right)_{E'}$ (4)

Results and discussion

The Q-value and the Coulomb barrier of the two reactions are presented in Table 1 together with the characteristics of the produced radionuclides. The underlined γ -ray energies are used for determining the specific activities at the various energies. These low energies are particularly suitable for detection with a Ge(Li) detector. The absolute intensities of the γ -rays are given in parentheses. They correspond to the decay scheme factors.

Fig. 1 shows a typical γ -ray spectrum obtained by bombarding a thick beryllium target with a 17 MeV ¹⁸O⁺³, 100 nA ion beam for 10 cycles. Owing to the low specific activities of ²³Ne, the latter has been discarded. The characteristic γ -rays from both ¹⁹O and ²⁵Na appear clearly.

Table 1. Nuclear reactions and characteristics

•					알랐드행 중황장그림:양드;
(2)	Reaction	Q value (MeV)	Coulomb barrier (MeV)	Half-life (s)	γ-ray energies (keV)
irradiation (s^{-1})	⁹ Be(¹⁸ O, d) ²⁵ Na	+ 6.8	19.6	59.7	390.7 (12.8%)
					585.9
					975.2
					1611.9
and ion flow (ϕ)	$^{9}Be(^{18}O, 2\alpha)^{19}O$	+ 2.4	19.6	27.1	109.9
on target (µC)		2			197.4 (97.0%)
					1375.6
					1440.9



Fig. 1. γ -ray spectrum of irradiated beryllium ($E_{18O} = 17$ MeV, t = 10 s, $t_D = 1$ s, $\Delta t = 30$ s, $n_c = 10$).

Excitation function for the ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, d){}^{25}Na$ reaction

The reaction was studied between $E_{lab} = 15$ MeV and $E_{lab} = 40$ MeV ¹⁸O. The charge state of the ¹⁸O ions increased from +3 to +6 upon increasing the beam energy. The ²⁵Na production yield per incident particle is presented in Fig. 2a. The curve is a monotonically increasing





function throughout the whole energy range. The error on Y(E) has been estimated to be about $\pm 8\%$ when combining the errors of all parameters of relations (2) and (3).

The excitation function has been determined from these data and is presented in Fig. 3a. The errors on the cross sections are $\pm 11\%$. The calculated cross sections are of the same order of magnitude as those given by FALK *et al.* [3]; their maximum value was reported to be about 35 mb at 25 MeV ¹⁸O, whereas our results show a maximum cross section of 23.6 \pm 2.6 mb at 30 MeV. This difference could be explained by the narrow range of energy studied by these authors and by the method which they employed to determine the excitation functions.



(b) ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, 2\alpha){}^{19}O.$

Excitation function for the ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, 2\alpha){}^{19}O$ reaction

This reaction was studied between 10 and 40 MeV. The ¹⁹O production yield per incident particle, presented in Fig. 2b, increases regularly from 10 to 35 MeV. It reaches a plateau above this energy.

Fig. 3b shows the excitation function calculated from these data. A maximum cross section of 90 ± 15 mb is obtained with a 25 MeV ¹⁸O⁺⁴ beam. This value is in good agreement with that given by FALK *et al.* [3], although the function of these authors is flat at higher energies. Again, the observed difference could be explained by their experimental conditions.

Beryllium trace analysis

The maximum cross sections for both reactions are large enough to be utilized in analysis. The detection limits for beryllium have been calculated to be 110 ng with the ${}^{9}Be({}^{18}O, d){}^{25}Na$ reaction and 5 ng via ${}^{19}O$.

In order to check the selectivity of the technique, a series of elements likely to produce either ¹⁹O or ²⁵Na (or both simultaneously) in a ¹⁸O induced nuclear reaction was tested. Among the 15 elements suspected for nuclear interference (identified with a computer program [12]), none produced ¹⁹O and ²⁵Na simultaneously. For a bombarding energy of 25 MeV ¹⁸O⁺⁴, only boron yields ²⁵Na and lithium ¹⁹O, but their interference ratios remain very low (5% and 2% respectively).

In this way, a fast, non-destructive, selective and sensitive determination of beryllium is possible. The technique has already been applied successfully to metallic and biological specimens.

Acknowledgement

The assistance of the Tandem Van de Graaff accelerator personnel is gratefully acknowledged.

Literature

- SCHWEIKERT, E. A.: Advances in Accelerator Based Analysis Techniques, J. Radioanal. Chem. 64, 195 (1981).
- FALK, W. R., MATTER, U., HUBER, A., BENJAMIN, R. W., MARMIER, P.: One- and Two-Neutron Transfer Reactions Induced by ¹⁸O and ¹⁹F Ions, Nucl. Phys. A117, 353 (1968).
- FALK, W. R., HUBER, A., MATTER, U., BENJAMIN, R. W., MARMIER, P.: Heavy-Ion Reactions Induced by ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O and ¹⁹F Ions, Nucl. Phys. A140, 548 (1970).
- ROUSSEAU, M., FRIEDLI, C., LERCH, P.: Excitation Functions for the ¹¹ B(¹⁸O, pn)²⁷Mg and ³²S(¹⁸O, t)⁴⁷V Reactions, Radiochim. Acta 37, 1 (1984).
- 5. FRIEDLI, C., ROUSSEAU, M., DIACO, T., LERCH, P.: Dosage de traces de soufre et de béryllium par activation dans un faisceau d'oxygène-18, Analusis 13, 176 (1985).
- COLIN, M., FRIEDLI, C., LERCH, P.: Heavy Ion Activation Analysis: Theoretical Approach for Optimizing Cyclic Analyses, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. B10/11, 1062 (1985).
- 7. KRASNOV, N. N.: Thick Target Yield, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 25, 223 (1970).
- NORTHCLIFFE, L. C., SCHILLING, R. F.: Range and Stopping-Power Tables for Heavy Ions, Nucl. Data Tables A7, 233 (1970).
- ERDTMANN, G., SOYKA, W.: The Gamma Rays of Radionuclides, Topical Presentations in Nuclear Chemistry, Vol. 7, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 1979.
- 10. JUNOD, E.: Le Comptage des Radionucléides de Périodes Courtes, CEA-Report 2980 (1966).
- 11. GOUMAZ, J. Y., DURAND, C., OTTIN, T.: Programme FIDES, LTA-GRH Report 14B, EPF-Lausanne 1983.
- BARROS-LEITE, C. V., SCHWEIKERT, E. A.: Studies in Heavy Ion Activation Analysis, I. On the Selection of Activation Reactions, J. Radioanal. Chem. 53, 173 (1979).