Correspondence

Antibacterial activity of local anaesthetic agents

J Antimicrob Chemother 1994; 33: 661

Sir,

In their excellent overview on antibacterial activity of non-antibiotic drugs Cederlund & Mardh (1993) did not mention local anaesthetics such as tetracaine, dibucaine, procaine and lidocaine, which have potent antimicrobial activity as detected by effects on cell growth rates and viability of Escherichia coli and Candida albicans in vitro. The effects of lidocaine on bacterial growth in a chemically defined medium has been compared with that of antibacterial agents such as ampicillin, chloramphenicol, puromycin and cationic surface-active agents (Abanzukwe, Fazley Bazaz & Salt, 1991). Although local anaesthetics are 1000 fold less toxic to both procaryotic and eucaryotic cells than active quaternary ammonium disinfectants, their antibacterial activity could be of concern for the yield of broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL) fluid bacteriology. Bronchoscopists instill 10-15 mL 2% lidocaine into the bronchial tree before performing BAL. If allowed to mix with 50 mL BAL fluid, 200-300 mg of lidocaine would concentration attain a of 4000-6000 mg/L, enough to induce membrane damage in bacterial organisms and possibly compromise their growth in culture. Fortunately removal of the local anaesthetic permits bacterial cell recovery and growth. There is, however, no study comparing the bacteriological yield of BAL with and without the use of local anaesthetics.

> FRANCO de'CLARI Intensive Care Unit, Ospedale Civico, 6900 Lugano Via Tessereie 46, Switzerland

References

- Cederlund, H. & Mårdh, P.-A. (1993). Antibacterial activities of non-antibiotic drugs. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 32, 355-65.
- Abanzukwe, T. C., Fazley Bazas, B. S. & Salt, W. G. (1991). Further studies of the antimicrobial activity of beta-adrenergic blocking agents and local anaesthetics. In *Program and Abstracts of* the Seventeenth International Congress of Chemotherapy, Berlin. Abstract 726.

Evaluation of the *rpoB* gene in rifampicinsusceptible and -resistant *Mycobacterium avium* and *Mycobacterium intracellulare*

J Antimicrob Chemother 1994; 33: 661-663

Sir,

Mycobacteria of the Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) are significant human and animal pathogens. Treatment options have been limited because of resistance to most antimicrobial agents. Such intrinsic resistance has been attributed to the characteristics of the cell wall structure of MAC, but the precise molecular bases have not been elucidated. In contrast, significant progress has been made in the understanding, at molecular level, of resistance to the main antituberculous drugs in Mycobacterium tuberculosis: resistance to isoniazid has been mapped to the gene for the catalase-peroxidase (Zhang et al., 1992), rifampicin to the RNA polymerase subunit β (Telenti et al., 1993a), fluoroquinolones to the gyrase A (Takiff, H., Salazar, L., Guerrero, C., Philipp, W., Huang, W. M., Kreiswirth, B. et al., unpublished observations), streptomycin to the ribosomal S12 protein and 16S rRNA gene (Douglass & Steyn, 1993; Nair et al., 1993). Recent work has identified mutations in a gene possibly involved in the mycolic acid metabolic pathway as an additional mechanism for M. tuberculosis resistance in isoniazid (Baneriee et al., 1993).

We have evaluated the rpoB of several strains of M. avium and Mvcobacterium intracellulare that displayed variable levels of susceptibility to rifampicin to clarify its role in resistance. For this purpose, the rpoB region where mutations have been identified in M. tuberculosis and Mycobacterium leprae (Honoré & Cole, 1993; Telenti et al., 1993a)-the Rif locus-was amplified in M. avium serovar 1, and M. intracellulare serovar 15 reference strains by using primers previously described (Telenti et al., 1993a). PCR fragments were sequenced directly or after cloning into a plasmid vector. In addition, the Rif locus was evaluated in 29 human MAC isolates displaying a rifampicin-suscept-(MIC < 1 mg/L, n = 4),ible phenotype moderate susceptibility (MIC 1-4 mg/L, n =6), or resistance (MIC \geq 4 mg/L, n = 19), by sequencing or by PCR single strand conformation polymorphism (Telenti et al., 1993b).

Table. Alignment of the *rpoB* Rif locus of rifampicin susceptible *M. tuberculosis* H37rv with sequences from *M. avium* and *M. intracellulare* reference strains and 15 clinical isolates (grouped as 'types' according to sequence homology) exhibiting susceptible, intermediate resistant, and rifampicin resistant phenotypes. With the exception of the *M. intracellulare* isolate 'D', all isolates had full amino acid homology with *M. tuberculosis* H37rv. Shown in bold are the mutations described in rifampicin-resistant *M. tuberculosis*

M. Iuberculosis		ATC	CGG	CCG	GTG Val	GTC	GCC	GCG	ATC		GAG	TTC Phe	TTC Pho	GGC	ACC	AGC Ser	CAG	CTG	AGC	CAA	TCC Phe	ATG Met	GAC	CAG
M. avium	AAC	ATC	CGT	CCC	GTC	GTG	GCG	GCG	ATC	AAG	GAG	TTC	TTC	GGC	ACC	AGC	CAG	CTG	TCC	CAG	TTC	ATG	GAC	CAG
type A $(n = 3)$													• • •		<i></i>				• • •			• • •		• •
type B $(n = 4)$				A	• • •	• • •	· · ·				• • •		• • •					• • •	••		· · ·			
type C $(n = 1)$						• • •	C		•••			•••		• •				C	• • •		• • •	• •		· · · •
M. intracellulare			. G	.G	••	C	. C		••									• •	AG.					• •
type A $(n = 1)$	•	• • •	G	G	••	C	C	• •	•••		• • •	•		•••	• •	• •		• • •	AG.	••	• • •	••		• •
type B $(n = 3)$			G	G		C	C		•									• • •	G	• • •	•••	•••	• • •	•••
type C $(n = 2)$		• • •	. G	G	• • •	C	. C	•••			•		• • •			•••			G	• •	•••	• • •	••	• •
type $D(n = 1)$. G.	• • •	G	G	•••	C	C				•••	• • •	• • •	• • •	••	• • •			AG.		•••	••		•••
	Car																							
	Ser		~ ~ ~		_ ~ ~									_ ~ ~								_		
M. tuberculosis	AAC	AAC	ccG	стб	TCG	GGG	TTG	ACC	CAC	AAG	CGC	CGA	стб	TCG	GCG	стб	GGG	çcc	GGC	GGT	стб	TCA	CGT	GAG
M. tuberculosis 518	AAC Asn	AAC Asn	CCG Pro	CTG Leu	TCG Ser	GGG Gly	TTG Leu	ACC Thr	CAC His	AAG Lys	CGC Arg	CGA Arg	CTG Leu	TCG Ser	GCG Ala	CTG Leu	GGG Gly	CCC Pro	GGC Gly	GGT Gly	CTG Leu	TCA Ser	CGT Arg	GAG Glu
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium	AAC Asn AAC	AAC Asn AAC	CCG Pro CCG	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GGG Gly GGG	TTG Leu CTC	ACC Thr ACC	CAC His CAC	AAG Lys AAG	CGC Arg CGC	CGA Arg CGC	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GCG Ala GCG	CTG Leu CTG	GGG Gly GGC	CCC Pro CCG	GGC Gly GGT	GGT Gly GGT	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC	CGT Arg CGG	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A (n = 3)	AAC Asn AAC	AAC Asn AAC	CCG Pro CCG	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GGG Gly GGG	TTG Leu CTC	ACC Thr ACC	CAC His CAC	AAG Lys AAG	CGC Arg CGC	CGA Arg CGC	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GCG Ala GCG	CTG Leu CTG	GGG Gly GGC	CCC Pro CCG	GGC Gly GGT 	GGT Gly GGT	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC	CGT Arg CGG	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A (n = 3) type B (n = 4)	AAC Asn AAC	AAC Asn AAC	CCG Pro CCG	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GGG Gly GGG	TTG Leu CTC	ACC Thr ACC	CAC His CAC	AAG Lys AAG	CGC Arg CGC	CGA Arg CGC	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GCG Ala GCG	CTG Leu CTG	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG 	GGC Gly GGT 	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC	CGT Arg CGG	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A $(n = 3)$ type B $(n = 4)$ type C $(n = 1)$	Ser AAC Asn AAC 	AAC Asn AAC	CCG Pro CCG	CTG Leu CTG	TCG Ser TCG	GGG Gly GGG .T	TTG Leu CTC	ACC Thr ACC 	CAC His CAC	AAG Lys AAG	CGC Arg CGC	CGA Arg CGC 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG 	GCG Ala GCG 	CTG Leu CTG	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG 	GGC Gly GGT 	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC 	CGT Arg CGG 	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A (n = 3) type B (n = 4) type C (n = 1) M. intracellulare	Ser AAC Asn AAC 	AAC Asn AAC 	CCG Pro CCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG 	GGG Gly GGG .T .T	TTG Leu CTC G G	ACC Thr ACC 	CAC His CAC 	AAG Lys AAG 	CGC Arg CGC 	CGA Arg CGC 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG 	GCG Ala GCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG 	GGC Gly GGT 	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC 	CGT Arg CGG T T	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A $(n = 3)$ type B $(n = 4)$ type C $(n = 1)$ M. intracellulare type A $(n = 1)$	Ser AAC Asn AAC 	AAC Asn AAC 	CCG Pro CCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG C C	GGG Gly GGG .T .T T	TTG Leu CTC G G G	ACC Thr ACC 	CAC His CAC 	AAG Lys AAG 	CGC Arg CGC 	CGA Arg CGC 	CTG Leu CTG .C 	TCG Ser TCG 	GCG Ala GCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG C	GGC Gly GGT C C	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG	TCA Ser TCC 	CGT Arg CGG T T T	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A (n = 3) type B (n = 4) type C (n = 1) M. intracellulare type A (n = 1) type B (n = 3) type B (n = 2)	Ser AAC Asn AAC 	AAC Asn AAC 	CCCG Pro CCCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG C C	GGG Gly GGG .T .T T T T	TTG Leu CTC G G G	ACC Thr ACC 	CAC His CAC 	AAG Lys AAG 	CGC Arg CGC 	CGA Arg CGC 	CTG Leu CTG C	TCG Ser TCG 	GCG Ala GCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG C C C	GGC Gly GGT C C C	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCA Ser TCC 	CGT Arg CGG T T T T	GAG Glu GAG
M. tuberculosis 518 M. avium type A $(n = 3)$ type B $(n = 4)$ type C $(n = 1)$ M. intracellulare type A $(n = 1)$ type B $(n = 3)$ type C $(n = 2)$ type C $(n = 2)$	Ser AAC Asn AAC 	AAC Asn AAC 	CCCG Pro CCCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCG Ser TCG C C C	GGG Gly GGG .T T T T T	TTG Leu CTC G G G G	ACC Thr ACC 	CAC His CAC 	AAG Lys AAG 	CGC Arg CGC T	CGA Arg CGC T	CTG Leu CTG .C C C C	TCG Ser TCG 	GCG Ala GCG 	CTG Leu CTG 	GGG Gly GGC 	CCC Pro CCG C C C C	GGC Gly GGT C C C 	GGT Gly GGT 	CTG Leu CTG 	TCA Ser TCC 	CGT Arg CGG T T T T T	GAG Glu GAG

In M. avium and M. intracellulare reference strains, the rpoB region homologous to the Rif locus of *M. tuberculosis* exhibited differences at nucleotide level but a full amino acid identity with rifampicin-susceptible M. tuberculosis (Table). Sequence information from clinical isolates demonstrated significant sequence heterogeneity, in particular among strains identified as M. intracellulare. However, with only one exception, all retained the amino acid sequence corresponding to a rifampicinsusceptible M. tuberculosis (Table). One isolate presented an Asn \rightarrow Ser in codon 494, a mutation not reported previously in rifampicin-resistant M. tuberculosis (Telenti et al., 1993a), or M. leprae (Honoré & Cole, 1992). This isolate did not display high level resistance-it had no growth at 4 mg/L of rifampicin; thus, the contribution of the mutation to the resistant phenotype was deemed doubtful.

In summary, our data confirm at the molecular level that the most frequent mechanism of resistance to rifampicin among clinical isolates of M. avium and M. intracellulare does not involve alterations of the RNA polymerase subunit β . Thus, alternative mechanisms of resistance are responsible for the intrinsic resistance to rifampicin in MAC. The frequency with which these isolates exhibit resistance to multiple structurally unrelated antimicrobial agents, and the existence of intermediate and high-level resistance phenotypes are most consistent with changes in drug uptake or with efflux mechanisms. A significant permeability barrier to rifampicin, that could be reduced with Tween, has been described in a type strain of M. intracellulare and Mycobacterium smegmatis shown to possess a rifampicin-susceptible RNA polymerase (Hui, Gordon & Kajioka, 1977). The genetic determinants of permeability, and the possibility for additional mechanisms of resistance to antimicrobial agents in MAC, including the acquisition of exogenous genetic elements encoding for drug resistance, have not yet been established. This information will be important in the development of more active drugs against MAC.

> C. GUERRERO L. STOCKMAN^A F. MARCHESI^w T. BODMER^e G. D. ROBERTS^b A. TELENTI^e "Institute for Medical Microbiology, University of Berne, 3010 Bern, Switzerland;

^bSection of Clinical Microbiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN 55905, USA

References

- Banerjee A., Dubnau, E., Quemard, A. & Jacobs, W. R. (1993). Cloning of a novel gene conferring resistance to isoniazid in mycobacteria: a possible target for isoniazid. In *Abstracts of the Ninety-Third General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, Atlanta, 1993.* Abstract U-15, p. 171. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Douglass, J. & Steyn, LM. (1993). A ribosomal gene mutation in streptomycin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates. Journal of Infectious Diseases 167, 1505-6.
- Honoré, N. & Cole, S. T. (1993). The molecular basis of rifampicin resistance in Mycobacterium leprae. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 37, 414-8.
- Hui, J., Gordon, N & Kajioka, R. (1977). Permeability barrier to rifampin in mycobacteria. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 11, 773-9.
- Nair, J., Rouse, D., Bai, G. & Morris, S. (1993) Genetic analyses of streptomycin resistance in single and multiple drug resistant strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In Abstracts of the Ninety-Third General Meeting of the American Society of Microbiology, Atlanta, 1993. Abstract U-14, p. 171. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Telenti, A., Imboden, P., Marchesi, F., Lowne D., Cole, S., Colston, M.J. et al. (1993a). Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Lancet* 341, 647-50.
- Telenti, A., Imboden, P., Marchesi, F., Schmidheini, T. & Bodmer, T. (1993b). Direct, automated detection of rifampicin-resistant *M. tuberculosis* by PCR and single strand conformation polymorphism. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.* 37, 2054-8.
- Zhang, Y., Heym B., Allen, B., Young, D. & Cole, S. (1992). The catalase-peroxidase gene and isoniazide resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nature* 358, 591-3.

Outer membrane protein profiles of Xanthomonas maltophilia isolates displaying temperature-dependent susceptibility to gentamicin

J Antimicrob Chemother 1994; 33: 663-666

Sir, Xanthomonas maltophilia is increasingly isolated from hospitalized patients, particularly immunocompromised individuals receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics. Treatment is difficult because of its inherent resistance to many antibiotics. Wheat