

# SOME PROPERTIES OF THE EXOTIC MULTIPLICATIONS ON THE THREE-SPHERE

By M. ARKOWITZ and C. R. CURJEL†

[Received 14 June 1968]

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that up to homotopy the three-sphere  $S^3$  admits twelve distinct multiplications or  $H$ -space structures [see (2), or Lemma 2]. In this note we establish some properties of these different multiplications on  $S^3$  by elementary group-theoretic arguments.

We work with path-connected topological spaces with base point and homotopy classes of base-point-preserving maps. As usual  $[A, B]$  stands for the collection of such homotopy classes of  $A$  into  $B$ . For convenience we do not distinguish notationally between a map and its homotopy class. If  $(G, m)$  and  $(H, n)$  are two  $H$ -spaces then a map  $f: (G, m) \rightarrow (H, n)$  is called an  $H$ -map if  $n(f \times f) = fm \in [G \times G, H]$ . If the  $H$ -space  $(G, m)$  has a two-sided homotopy inverse then a (two-fold) commutator map  $\phi: G \times G \rightarrow G$  can be defined by  $\phi(x, y) = (xy)(x^{-1}y^{-1})$ , where the multiplication is denoted by juxtaposition and the homotopy inverse by the exponent  $-1$ . A  $k$ -fold commutator map  $\phi_k: G^k \rightarrow G$  is then inductively defined by  $\phi_1 =$  the identity map  $1$ ,  $\phi_2 = \phi$ , and

$$\phi_k = \phi(\phi_{k-1} \times 1).$$

The homotopical nilpotency of  $(G, m)$  is said to be equal to  $l$  if  $\phi_{l+1}$  is nullhomotopic and  $\phi_l$  is not. We write  $\text{nil}(G, m) = l$  for  $\phi_l \neq 0$  and  $\phi_{l+1} = 0$ , where  $0$  is the constant map. In the present paper we determine the  $H$ -maps and the homotopical nilpotency of  $S^3$  for all possible multiplications.

If  $m_0$  is the standard multiplication on  $S^3$  then it is shown in Lemma 2 that the twelve multiplications on  $S^3$  can be written as

$$m_t = m_0 \phi^t \in [S^3 \times S^3, S^3].$$

Here  $t = 0, 1, \dots, 11$ ,  $\phi$  denotes the commutator map, and the exponent and juxtaposition are taken with respect to the group structure in  $[S^3 \times S^3, S^3]$  induced by  $m_0$ . Finally we denote by  $N$  the map of  $S^3$  into itself of degree  $N$ .

† The first author was supported by a Dartmouth College Faculty Fellowship and the second author partially by the National Science Foundation (NSF-GP5874).

**THEOREM A.** *The map  $N: (S^3, m_r) \rightarrow (S^3, m_t)$  of degree  $N$  is an  $H$ -map if and only if  $N^2(2t+1) \equiv N(2r+1) \pmod{24}$ .*

We also prove

**THEOREM B.** *If  $r = 1, 4, 7,$  or  $10,$  then  $\text{nil}(S^3, m_r) = 2$ . If  $r = 0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9,$  or  $11,$  then  $\text{nil}(S^3, m_r) = 3$ .*

In the case of the standard multiplication ( $r = t = 0$ ) Theorem A was proved by us in (1). S. Y. Hussein informs us that he and J. Stasheff have obtained Theorem A by different methods (unpublished). Theorem B was proved by G. J. Porter for  $m_0$  in (5). Some of the steps in the proof of Theorem B can be found in (3), 175–8 and (4), § 10, where they are established in another way.

Our method of proof consists of examining the group-theoretic properties of  $[S^3 \times S^3, S^3]$ . With this method it is also possible to retrieve rather easily some known theorems on the multiplications of  $S^3$  (see Remark 1). A similar discussion of the group  $[S^7 \times S^7, S^7]$  leads to results on the multiplications of  $S^7$ . It would be interesting in our opinion to extend our theorems to other  $H$ -spaces.

## 2. Proof of Theorem A

We write  $S$  for  $S^3$  and consider the set  $[A, S]$  for any space  $A$  as a group, the group operation being induced from the standard multiplication  $m_0$  of  $S$ . We write this group multiplicatively even though we denote the identity of the group by 0. The commutator of two elements  $a$  and  $b$  is  $(a, b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The group  $[S \times S, S]$  is nilpotent of class  $\leq 2$ , and so for any  $a, b \in [S \times S, S]$  and integer  $n > 0$ ,*

$$(ab)^n = (b, a)^{n(n-1)} a^n b^n.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion is a result of G. W. Whitehead [see (6), Lemma 2.14 and § 3], and the second is an identity which is known to hold in a group of nilpotency class  $\leq 2$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *The twelve homotopy classes of multiplications on  $S$  can be written as  $m_t = m_0 \phi^t \in [S \times S, S]$ ,  $t = 0, \dots, 11$ , where  $\phi \in [S \times S, S]$  is the commutator map. Moreover,  $\phi$  has order 12.*

*Proof.* Consider the cofibre sequence

$$S \vee S \xrightarrow{j} S \times S \xrightarrow{q} S \wedge S \approx S^6.$$

This gives a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \longrightarrow [\Sigma(S \times S), S] &\xrightarrow{\Sigma j^*} [\Sigma(S \vee S), S] \longrightarrow [S^6, S] \\ &\xrightarrow{q^*} [S \times S, S] \xrightarrow{j^*} [S \vee S, S], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma$  denotes reduced suspension. It is well known and easily proved that  $\Sigma j^*$  and  $j^*$  are epimorphisms. Thus we get a short exact sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_6(S) \xrightarrow{q^*} [S \times S, S] \xrightarrow{j^*} \pi_3(S) \oplus \pi_3(S) \longrightarrow 0.$$

A multiplication  $m$  on  $S$  is an element of  $[S \times S, S]$  such that

$$j^*(m) = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1},$$

where  $\mathbf{1} \in \pi_3(S)$  is the homotopy class of the identity map. Thus the collection of multiplications is just the coset of  $\text{Ker } j^*$  which contains  $m_0$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $\text{Im } q^*$  is a cyclic group of order 12 generated by  $\phi$ . Now it is known that  $\pi_6(S)$  is a cyclic group of order 12 generated by  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle$ , the Samelson product of  $\mathbf{1} \in \pi_3(S)$  with itself [see (3) 176]. But by the definition of the Samelson product  $q^*\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \phi$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

*Proof proper of Theorem A.* First note that  $m_0 = p_1 p_2$  and  $\phi = (p_1, p_2)$  in  $[S \times S, S]$  where  $p_i \in [S \times S, S]$  is the projection on to the  $i$ th factor. Assume that  $N > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_t \circ (\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}) &= (p_1 p_2 \phi^t) \circ (\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}) \\ &= (\mathbf{N} p_1)(\mathbf{N} p_2)(\mathbf{N} p_1, \mathbf{N} p_2)^t \\ &= p_1^N p_2^N (p_1, p_2)^{N^t} \end{aligned}$$

since the commutator is biadditive in a group of nilpotency  $\leq 2$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} \circ m_r &= (p_1 p_2 \phi^r)^N \\ &= (\phi^r, p_1 p_2)^{\dagger N(N-1)} (p_1 p_2)^N \phi^{rN}, \quad \text{by Lemma 1,} \\ &= (p_1 p_2)^N \phi^{rN} \quad \text{since } (\phi^r, p_1 p_2) = 0 \\ &= (p_2, p_1)^{\dagger N(N-1)} p_1^N p_2^N \phi^{rN}, \quad \text{by Lemma 1,} \\ &= p_1^N p_2^N (p_1, p_2)^{rN - \dagger N(N-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbf{N}$  is an  $H$ -map if and only if  $\phi^{N^t} = \phi^{rN - \dagger N(N-1)}$ . Since  $\phi$  has order 12 by Lemma 2, this is the case if and only if

$$N^2(2t+1) \equiv N(2r+1) \pmod{24}.$$

If  $N < 0$  write  $N = (-N)(-1)$  and repeat the above argument.

### 3. Proof of Theorem B

We begin with a simple lemma on homotopy inverses.

**LEMMA 3.** *The map  $-1 \in [S, S]$  of degree  $-1$  is a two-sided identity for every multiplication on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* A left inverse  $\nu_l \in [S, S]$  for  $m_l$  is characterized by the equation  $\nu_l \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $[S, S]$ , where the dot ' $\cdot$ ' is the operation in  $[S, S]$  induced by  $m_l$ . But this operation coincides with the usual group operation in the homotopy group  $[S, S] = \pi_3(S)$ . Thus  $\nu_l = -1$  is the unique solution of the equation. Similarly the right inverse for  $m_l$  is  $-1$ .

Next we introduce the following notation:  $\phi_k^{(r)}$  is the  $k$ -fold commutator map with respect to the multiplication  $m_r$ . It is well known that each  $\phi_k^{(r)}$  induces a unique homotopy class  $\psi_k^{(r)}: \wedge^k S \rightarrow S$  with  $\psi_k^{(r)} \circ q_k = \phi_k^{(r)}$ , where  $\wedge^k S$  is the smashed product  $S \wedge \dots \wedge S$  ( $k$  times)  $\approx S^{3k}$  and  $q_k$  is the projection of  $S \times \dots \times S$  on to  $\wedge^k S$ . For  $\alpha \in \pi_p(S)$  and  $\beta \in \pi_q(S)$  denote the Samelson product relative to  $m_r$  by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_r \in \pi_{p+q}(S)$ . We write  $\phi_k$  for  $\phi_k^{(0)}$ ,  $\phi^{(r)}$  for  $\phi_2^{(r)}$ ,  $\psi_k$  for  $\psi_k^{(0)}$ ,  $\psi^{(r)}$  for  $\psi_2^{(r)}$ , and  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  for  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_0$ .

**LEMMA 4.** (a)  $\phi^{(r)} = \phi^{2r+1} \in [S \times S, S]$ ;

(b)  $\psi^{(r)} = \psi^{2r+1} \in [S^6, S]$ ;

(c)  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_r = (2r+1)\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  for  $\alpha \in \pi_p(S)$  and  $\beta \in \pi_q(S)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly (b) implies (c) since  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_r = \psi^{(r)}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ . Also (b) is an immediate consequence of (a). Thus it suffices to prove (a): Write ' $\cdot$ ' for the operation in  $[S \times S, S]$  obtained from  $m_r$  and use juxtaposition for the standard multiplication in  $[S \times S, S]$ . Then, by Lemma 3,

$$\phi^{(r)} = (p_1 \cdot p_2) \cdot (p_1^{-1} \cdot p_2^{-1}),$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the two projections  $S \times S \rightarrow S$  and the exponent ' $-1$ ' denotes the inverse in  $[S \times S, S]$  with respect to the standard multiplication in  $[S \times S, S]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(r)} &= p_1 p_2 (p_1, p_2)^r \cdot p_1^{-1} p_2^{-1} (p_1^{-1}, p_2^{-1})^r \\ &= p_1 p_2 \phi^r p_1^{-1} p_2^{-1} \phi^r (p_1 p_2 \phi^r, p_1^{-1} p_2^{-1} \phi^r)^r \\ &= \phi^{2r+1} (p_1 p_2 \phi^r, p_1^{-1} p_2^{-1} \phi^r)^r. \end{aligned}$$

But by repeatedly using the biadditivity of commutators in the group  $[S \times S, S]$  of nilpotency class  $\leq 2$  one easily sees that

$$(p_1 p_2 \phi^r, p_1^{-1} p_2^{-1} \phi^r) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

*Proof proper of Theorem B.* We first note that  $\phi_k^{(r)} = 0$  if and only if  $\psi_k^{(r)} = 0$ . Now  $\psi_2^{(r)} = \psi^{(r)} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle_r = (2r+1)\langle 1, 1 \rangle$  for  $1 \in \pi_3(S)$ . But  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle \in \pi_6(S)$  is an element of order 12. Therefore,

$$\psi_2^{(r)} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } r.$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_8^{(r)} &= \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle_r, 1 \rangle_r \\ &= (2r+1)\langle (2r+1)\langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle \\ &= (2r+1)^2 \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle \in \pi_9(S^3) \approx Z_3$  is an element of order 3 [see for instance (2) § 3]. Therefore  $\psi_8^{(r)}$  (and consequently  $\phi_8^{(r)}$ ) is trivial if and only if  $r = 1, 4, 7$ , or  $10$ . Thus  $\text{nil}(S, m_r) = 2$  for  $r = 1, 4, 7$ , or  $10$ . Finally note that  $\langle \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle_r, 1 \rangle_r, 1 \rangle_r$  is a multiple of  $\langle \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle$ . To complete the proof of Theorem B it suffices to show that this latter Samelson product is trivial.

**LEMMA 5.** *If  $1 \in \pi_3(S)$  is the homotopy class of the identity map then  $\langle \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle = 0 \in \pi_{12}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta = \langle \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle$  and  $\eta = \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle \rangle$ . First note that  $3\langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1 \rangle = 0$  by the Jacobi identity and so  $3\langle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \gamma \rangle = 0$  for any elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . Thus  $3\eta = 0$  and  $3\theta = 0$ . But  $\eta = -\eta$  by anti-commutativity, and so  $2\eta = 0$ . Hence it follows that  $\eta = 0$ . Now apply the Jacobi identity to the elements  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle, 1$ , and  $1$  to obtain  $2\theta + \eta = 0$ . Thus  $2\theta = 0$ . But we observed earlier that  $3\theta = 0$ . Therefore  $\theta = 0$ .

*Remark 1.* It is possible with our methods to retrieve some known theorems on the multiplications of  $S$ . We can prove that all multiplications on  $S$  satisfy the Moufang identity [see (4) § 9] and can determine which of them are homotopy-associative [see (2) Theorem 1.3]. These results require an examination of the group  $[S \times S \times S, S]$ , which is nilpotent of class  $\leq 3$ . For example,  $m_r$  is homotopy-associative if and only if  $q_1 \cdot (q_2 \cdot q_3) = (q_1 \cdot q_2) \cdot q_3$  in  $[S \times S \times S, S]$ , where  $q_i$  is the projection on to the  $i$ th factor. This equation, together with facts on commutators in groups of nilpotency class  $\leq 3$ , reduces to a simple identity in  $[S \times S \times S, S]$  in terms of  $m_0$ . We note that the multiplications on  $S$  which are homotopy-associative are precisely those which in Theorem B were shown to be of homotopical nilpotency 3.

*Remark 2.* The definition of homotopical nilpotency for a non-homotopy-associative  $H$ -space depended on the bracketing in the definition of a commutator map. It follows from our proof of Theorem B that the homotopical nilpotency with respect to one bracketing is the same as that with respect to any other bracketing of the commutator.

## REFERENCES

1. M. Arkowitz and C. R. Curjel, 'On maps of  $H$ -spaces', *Topology*, 6 (1967) 137-48.
2. I. M. James, 'Multiplications on spheres (II)', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1957) 545-58.
3. ——— 'On  $H$ -spaces and their homotopy groups', *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* (2) 11 (1960) 161-79.
4. C. W. Norman, 'Homotopy loops', *Topology*, 2 (1963) 23-43.
5. G. J. Porter, 'Homotopical nilpotency of  $S^3$ ', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (1964) 681-2.
6. G. W. Whitehead, 'On mappings into group-like spaces', *Comm. Math. Helv.* 28 (1954) 320-8.

*Dartmouth College*  
*Hanover, N.H., and*  
*Mathematical Institute*  
*Oxford*  
*University of Washington*  
*Seattle, and*  
*Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, E.T.H.*  
*Zürich*