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#### Abstract

Starting from inexpensive furan and furfuryl alcohol, a noniterative approach to the synthesis of pentadeca- $1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15$-octols and their derivatives has been developed. The method relies upon the double [4+3]-cycloaddition of 1,1,3-trichloro-2-oxylallyl cation with $2,2^{\prime}$-methylenedifuran and conversion of the adducts into meso and ( $\pm$ )-threo- $1,1^{\prime}$ '-methylenebis (cis- and trans-4,6-dihydroxycyclohept-1-ene) derivatives. The latter undergo oxidative cleavage of their alkene moieties, generating 5-hydroxy-7-oxoaldehydes that are reduced diastereoselectively into either syn or anti-5,7-diols. Asymmetry is realized using either chiral desymmetrization with Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation or by kinetic resolution of polyols using lipase-catalyzed acetylations. All of the possible stereomeric penta-deca- $1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15$-octols and derivatives can be obtained with high stereoselectivity applying simple operations, thus demonstrating the high stereodiversity of this new, noniterative approach to the asymmetric synthesis of long-chain polyketides.


## INTRODUCTION

A great variety of natural products of biological interest includes polyketide (1,3-polyoxo, 1,3-polyols, aldols) components [1], and several approaches to their synthesis have been proposed [2]. Inspired by the work of Lautens [3] and Hoffmann and coworkers [4], who have converted 8 -oxabicy-clo[3.2.1]oct-6-en-3-one into seven-carbon chain 1,3-polyols and analogs [5], and by that of Kaku et al. [6], who have transformed cyclohept-3-ene-1,6-diol into 1,3-polyols, we have proposed a new, noniterative asymmetric synthesis of long-chain 1,3-polyols starting from the now readily available 2,2'-methylenebis(furan) (1) [7]. This method involved a double [3+4]-cycloaddition between the 1,1,3-trichloro-2-oxyallyl cation and $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 1). After reductive work-up, a $45: 55$ mixture of meso2 and $( \pm)$-threo- $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained in $55 \%$ yield and separated by fractional crystallization. The meso compound was converted into meso-3, which was desymmetrized into diol ( - )-4 by Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation [8]. Further transformations allow one to prepare, in principle, all possible stereoisomers of pentadeca-1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15-octols [9].
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Scheme 1 Examples of long-chain polyketide synthesis by Sharpless desymmetrization.

## DESYMMETRIZATION BY SHARPLESS ASYMMETRIC DIHYDROXYLATION

The oxoaldehyde intermediate 5 resulting from the oxidative cleavage of diol (-)-4 was reduced stereoselectively into triol (-)-6 and (+)-7, applying the conditions of Evans [10] and Narasaka [11], respectively. These compounds have been then converted into semi-protected pentadeca-1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15octols ( - )-8 and (+)-9 [7]. These procedures combined with the fact that AD-mix $\alpha$ can be used instead of AD-mix $\beta$ for the desymmetrization of $\mathbf{3}$ allows the preparation of 8 possible stereomeric polyols. Further stereodivergence has been realized in the following way. In the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$ in MeOH , the bis(4-methoxybenzoate) ( - )-10 derived from triol ( - )-6 was converted selectively into the monoester (-)-11 in $68 \%$ yield. The acyclic ester is methanolyzed more rapidly than the cyclic ester. After oxidative cleavage of the cycloheptene moiety ( N -morpholine oxide and a catalytical amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, then $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ ) pyranose ( + )-12 was obtained in $92 \%$ yield. Silylation of (+)-12 with $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{SiCl} /$ imidazole in DMF provided (+)-13 selectively in $73 \%$ yield leaving the secondary alcohol free for an esterification with methanesulfonyl chloride and pyridine. This produced a mesylate that underwent smooth $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement by cesium acetate to give acetate (+)-14. Selective desilylation by
$\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$ liberated the pyranose (+)-15 which could be reduced under Evans' conditions [10] into the semi-protected long-chain polyol (-)-16 (Scheme 2) [9].


Scheme 2 Selective inversion of acyclic secondary alcohol and polyketide synthesis.

## DOUBLE OXIDATIVE CLEAVAGE

The racemic diketone ( $\pm$ )-threo- $\mathbf{2}$, which can be separated readily from meso- $\mathbf{2}$, has been reduced into diol ( $\pm$ )-17 with K-Selectride in THF. Kinetic resolution with Candida cylindracea lipase-catalyzed transesterification with vinyl acetate allows one to obtain enantiomerically enriched diacetate ( + )-18 (98\% ee) and diol (-)-17 (98 \% ee) [12]. Diacetate (+)-18 has been converted into (-)-19 (Scheme 3) [13] by the same procedure [9] as that converting meso-2 into 3 (Scheme 1). Double ozonolysis of $(-)-\mathbf{1 9}$, followed by the diastereoselective reduction of the resulting double $\beta$-hydroxyketone intermediate applying Evans' [10] and Narasaka's [11] conditions allows the preparation of enantiomerically pure ( $98 \%$ ee) polyols ( - )-20 ( $65 \%$ ) and ( - )-22 (60 \%), respectively. Differentiation of the terminal centers of these 15 -carbon polyketides is thus possible by control of temperature and excess of the reducing agent. For instance, pyranose (-)-21 can be isolated in $65 \%$ yield from (-)-19 (Scheme 3) [13].
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Scheme 3 Long-chain polyols via double oxidative cleavage.

## FURTHER STEREODIVERSITY

We disclose here that the double oxidative cleavage of $\mathbf{3}$ (with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{BOM}$ ) leads to meso polyol intermediates that can be resolved by lipase-catalyzed acetylation (Scheme 4). Methanolysis of $\mathbf{3}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{BOM}$ ) (derived from endo-23 [9]) gave diol 24 (52 \% based on endo-23) that was submitted to ozonolysis and subsequent Narasaka's reduction furnishing a 6:1 mixture of hexols $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ in $62 \%$ yield. Pure 25 was obtained by flash chromatography and was converted into the bis-acetonide 27 ( $77 \%$ ). In pure vinyl acetate and in the presence of C. cyclindracea lipase, the monoacetate ( - )-28 ( $90 \%$ ee, Mosher's ester) was obtained in $83 \%$ yield

We disclose also that $1,1^{\prime}$-methylenedi[( $\left.1 R, 1^{\prime} S, 3 R, 3 ' S, 5 S, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-6-en-3-ol] (exo-23) can be obtained in $60 \%$ yield, with $99: 1$ exolendo diastereoselectivity, by direct reduction of diketone meso- $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ in $\operatorname{THF}\left(-78-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Similar yield and diastereoselectivity were observed using $i-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{Ti}(-\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ as reducing agent. The latter could be applied to the $45: 55$ mixture of diketone meso-2 and ( $\pm$ )-threo-2. After acetylation $\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pyr}, \mathrm{DMAP}\right)$ an inseparable mixture of diacetates was obtained. It was submitted to the usual ethereal bridge-opening conditions $\left(\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, quenching with BOMCl ) that gave products $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 1}$ that were readily separated by flash chromatography (Scheme 5). The meso compound 30 was dechlorinated, then methanolyzed and submitted to ozonolysis and reductive work-up under Evans' conditions. This gave a major pyranose ( $\pm$ )-32, the optical resolution of which is under study at this moment. One enantiomer of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 3 2}$ is a potential precursor for the synthesis of oxo-polyene macrolide RK-397 [14,15].


Scheme 4 Desymmetrization of meso-derivatives by lipase-catalyzed acetylation.
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Scheme 5 Synthesis of 1,1'-methylenebis(cis-4,6-dihydroxycyclohept-1-ene) derivatives and their conversion to long-chain polyketides.

## CONCLUSION

Starting with inexpensive furan and furfuryl alcohol, a noniterative approach to the synthesis of longchain polyketides has been developed. High enantioselectivities and stereodiversity are realized applying simple procedures. They rely upon the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of 3,5-dihydroxycyclohept-1-ene systems, on diastereoselective reductions of aldols using the Narasaka's or Evans' conditions, and/or on kinetic resolution using lipase-catalyzed acylations.
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