Antibiotics in the Prevention and Treatment of Coronary Heart Disease

Christoph R. Meier

S558

Basel Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland, and Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston University, Lexington, Massachusetts

Seroepidemiology, pathology, and animal studies provide evidence for a possible association between *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections and atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease, and myocardial infarction. If this association exists, then exposure to certain antibiotics may positively affect the clinical course after an acute ischemic cardiac event (secondary prevention) and affect the risk of developing a first-time myocardial infarction (primary prevention). Preliminary evidence from clinical trials suggests that treatment with new macrolide antibiotics may improve outcome after ischemic events, and evidence from a large case-control analysis indicates that exposure to tetracyclines or quinolones may reduce the risk of developing a first-time myocardial infarction. However, antibiotics for the treatment or prevention of ischemic heart disease must not be recommended yet. This review of published studies briefly summarizes the currently available literature on the effects of antibiotics on the risk of developing coronary heart disease and myocardial infarction.

The well-documented risk factors for atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease (CHD), and myocardial infarction (MI) (e.g. hyperlipidemia, hypertension, obesity, lack of physical exercise, smoking) do not sufficiently account for all new cases of MI. Thus, the search for additional risk factors that may play a role in the etiology of atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease is important since ischemic heart disease is a major cause for morbidity and mortality in industrialized countries [1, 2].

Chlamydia pneumoniae was first detected in the 1960s, and ~15 years ago it was isolated from respiratory tissue and identified as a respiratory pathogen [3, 4]. The first report about a high prevalence of elevated antibody titers against this bacterium in subjects with MI [5] raised speculations about a possible causal involvement of C. pneumoniae infections in the etiology of atherosclerosis, CHD, and MI. The majority of a large number of seroepidemiology studies [6-13] added evidence to the increasing body of literature suggesting a possible relationship of C. pneumoniae infections to the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and subsequent ischemic heart disease. This association, however, was not found in all studies: Three US-based analyses failed to show an association between serum antibody (IgG) and subsequent cardiac events [14-16]. In these studies, baseline IgG titers for subjects who developed CHD during follow-up were compared with those for control subjects. In

The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2000; 181(Suppl 3):S558–62 © 2000 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 0022-1899/2000/18106S-0037\$02.00 contrast, research on human pathology samples [17–26] and animal models [27–30] provided further evidence that infections with *C. pneumoniae* may play a role in the etiology of atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease.

In a public health context, a risk factor for a disease needs to be sufficiently strong and prevalent to substantially contribute to the etiology of a disease. C. pneumoniae has been shown to be frequently involved in respiratory tract infections in all age groups, with its most likely mode of spread being respiratory secretions [31-35]. In industrialized countries, the prevalence of elevated antibody titers against C. pneumoniae as a marker for previous infections with this agent is between 50%-70% in a middle-aged population [33, 36]. Many subjects acquire a first infection in childhood, and reinfections with C. pneumoniae during adult life are common [33]. The presence of C. pneumoniae in subjectively healthy, asymptomatic subjects is not uncommon [37, 38]. Respiratory infections with C. pneumoniae are commonly characterized by a mild, self-limiting clinical course [36, 39], which often does not warrant medical attention. The main symptoms of such chest infections are mild fever, hoarseness, and cough. Due to their mild clinical course, it can be assumed that many respiratory tract infections with C. pneumoniae are not treated with antibiotics and that such infections could, therefore, become chronic.

# The Possible Role of Antibiotics for CHD and MI

If a causal association between bacterial infections with *C. pneumoniae* and the risk of developing CHD and MI indeed exists, one would expect that exposure to certain antibiotics may reduce the risk of developing ischemic cardiovascular dis-

Reprints of correspondence: Dr. Christoph R. Meier, Basel Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Dept. of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Petersgraben 4, 4031 Basel, Switzerland (Christoph.Meier@unibas.ch).

eases. The treatment of choice for the eradication of *C. pneu-moniae* is not well established. In vitro studies have been done to assess inhibitory concentrations for the elimination of bacteria, but information from in vivo studies in humans is scarce. Information from in vivo studies would be important because pharmacokinetic properties of antibiotics (i.e., the capability of penetrating infected tissue) play an important role in successfully treating infections in vivo.

On the basis of available information, tetracycline antibiotics (tetracycline, oxytetracycline, minocycline) are efficacious against C. pneumoniae. Furthermore, new macrolides (azithromycin, roxithromycin, clarithromycin) are also highly active, while erythromycin is of uncertain efficacy against C. pneumoniae unless used in high doses for at least 2 weeks [40-45]. The new quinolones (e.g., grepafloxacin) are also highly efficacious against C. pneumoniae in vitro, but data on larger patient series are lacking. The in vitro inhibitory minimal concentrations of older quinolones (ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin) suggest some antibacterial activity in vivo. Sulfonamides and  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics (penicillins, cephalosporins) are considered not to be efficacious against C. pneumoniae [40-45]. It must, however, be emphasized again that a comparison of the relative effectiveness of various antibiotics is difficult because efficacy in vitro does not necessarily reflect clinical efficacy in vivo.

### Treatment of CHD and MI with Antibiotics

Much attention has been paid to two clinical trials that studied the effect of newer macrolide antibiotics on the short-term outcome after an acute cardiac event [46, 47]. A comparison of these studies is limited by the fact that one focused entirely on subjects with increased titers against *C. pneumoniae* antibodies, while the other included subjects who did not have elevated antibody titers against *C. pneumoniae*. Furthermore, treatment with antibiotics started early after an ischemic event in one study [46] but at various points in time after an MI in the other [47]. Both studies were rather small (n = 220 and 202, respectively) and yielded results that have to be viewed as intriguing but preliminary.

Gurfinkel et al. [46] treated patients who had unstable angina pectoris or non–Q-wave MI with placebo or with roxithromycin (150 mg orally) twice a day for 30 days and analyzed the data after 1 month [46] and 90 and 180 days [48]. The primary clinical end points were cardiac ischemic death, MI, or severe recurrent ischemia during follow-up. The authors reported a statistically significant difference between the treatment and the placebo group after 30 days of follow-up: In the placebo group, there were 9 subjects with a triple end point (i.e., severe recurrent angina, plus acute MI, plus ischemic death), and in the roxithromycin group, there was only 1 subject with a triple end point (P = .036) [46]. Of interest, this difference was weaker after 90 days (12 subjects in the placebo group and 3 in the roxithromycin group had triple end points, P = .058) and nonsignificant after 180 days (14 subjects in the placebo group and 7 in the roxithromycin group had triple end points, P = .334) [48].

Gupta et al. [47] reported the findings of a clinical trial in which they included male subjects who attended a post-MI outpatient clinic. MI survivors were stratified into three groups according to their level of IgG antibodies; subjects with the highest antibody titers ( $\geq 1/64$ ) were treated either with placebo or with azithromycin (500 mg/day) for 3 or 6 days. There was also a significant difference between the treatment and the placebo groups in the number of recurrent adverse cardiac events after a mean follow-up of 18 months. Subjects in the placebo group who had the highest antibody titers against C. pneumoniae had an ~4-fold increased risk of developing recurrent cardiac ischemia, compared with subjects with no increased antibody titers against C. pneumoniae. Subjects in the highest antibody titer group who received treatment with azithromycin did not have an elevated risk of developing recurrent ischemia, compared with subjects with no evidence of increased antibody titers against C. pneumoniae (odds ratio [OR], 0.9; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 0.2-4.6) [47].

Anderson et al. [49] randomized subjects with elevated antibody titers and CHD to receive either placebo or a 3-month course of azithromycin. There was no difference with regard to the clinical outcome (adverse ischemic cardiac events), but the treatment group had significantly lower inflammation markers (e.g. interleukin-6, C-reactive protein) than the placebo group [49].

These secondary prevention trials suggest that the newer macrolide antibiotics, azithromycin and roxithromycin, have some effect on inflammation markers or clinical outcome (or on both) after an acute ischemic cardiac event; however, these findings do not yet allow the inference that there is a causal involvement of *C. pneumoniae* in the outcome and the survival rate of CHD patients. The observed results suggest some effect of these macrolide antibiotics, but it is not clear whether this has to do with eradication of *C. pneumoniae* or with some other pharmacologic nonantibiotic effects of macrolides. Indeed, the results reported by Anderson et al. [49] and the final analysis of Gurfinkel et al. [48] provide evidence that the beneficial effects of macrolides may be of rather short duration and based on acute anti-inflammatory effects of these compounds rather than on antibiotic properties.

Some antibiotics, such as tetracyclines or macrolides, have been shown to have pharmacologic effects beyond antibacterial efficacy, such as antioxydative effects and inhibitory effects on metalloproteinases [50–52], which in turn have been shown to be involved in plaque instability and rupture. Thus, inhibition of metalloproteinases may lead to stabilization of previously unstable atherosclerotic plaque and to an improvement of the clinical outcome of patients with unstable CHD independently of any antibacterial efficacy of these antibiotics [50–55].

#### Prevention of First-Time Acute MI with Antibiotics

While these randomized clinical trials [46, 47, 49] have been conducted in patients with ischemic heart disease (i.e., secondary prevention of CHD), the effect of antibiotic exposure on the risk of developing a first-time cardiac ischemic event (i.e., primary prevention) has also been explored. While such a question would be extremely difficult to study prospectively because of the large number of subjects needed and the high costs involved, it was possible to study the effect of antibiotic exposure in large retrospective case-control analyses [56, 57]. These two epidemiology studies were based on two hypotheses: (1) infections with bacteria (e.g., C. pneumoniae) involved in the etiology of CHD are highly prevalent, the clinical course of such an infection is often mild, and appropriate eradication is rarely done in the general population; and (2) exposure to certain antibiotics may alter the risk of developing an acute MI, regardless of the indication for the antibiotic.

Meier et al. [56] analyzed the patient records and the exposure history to certain antibiotics of 3315 cases with an acute, firsttime MI and of 13,139 control subjects who were matched to cases by age, sex, calendar year, and general physician's practice attended. The database used was the large and well-documented UK-based General Practice Research Database [58-60]. The study was restricted to subjects who were free of any documented clinical risk factors (e.g., angina pectoris, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, clotting disorders, diabetes mellitus) prior to the MI. For each study subject, antibiotic exposure in the 3 years prior to the date of the MI (or the corresponding date in the matched controls) was assessed from the computerized record and categorized as follows: users of tetracyclines only, macrolides only, quinolones only, sulfonamides only, penicillins only, cephalosporins only, or mixed exposure (i.e., subjects who received prescriptions for various antibiotics across categories). The results of the multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis, adjusted for smoking status and body mass index, yielded a significant difference between the proportion of controls and MI cases using tetracyclines (OR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.55-0.90) or quinolones (OR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.21-0.95) in the 3 years preceding the event date. No such effect was found for macrolides (predominantly erythromycin use; OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.73-1.20), sulfonamides (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.79-1.29), penicillins (OR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.85-1.04), or cephalosporins (OR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.67-1.22) [54]. Further stratification of exposure by daily tetracycline dose yielded an OR of 0.71 (95% CI, 0.55–0.91) for users of a regular dose and of 0.67 (95% CI, 0.30-1.53) for users of a high dose. Compared with non-use of antibiotics, use of one to two prescriptions for a macrolide antibiotic in the 3 years preceding the date of the MI (or the corresponding date in matched controls) resulted in an OR of 1.03 (95% CI, 0.79–1.36), and use of three or more prescriptions resulted in an OR of 0.61 (95% CI, 0.34–1.11) [56].

In a similar retrospective case-control analysis using data from a large health maintenance organization (Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound, Seattle), Jackson et al. [57] analyzed the records of 1796 cases with an incident MI and 4882 matched controls. They did not find any evidence for a protective effect of previous use of tetracyclines or erythromycin in the primary prevention of MI. Stratification by cumulative duration of treatment did not suggest a reduced risk of developing an MI in association with increasing treatment duration (1-14, 15-28, 29+ days). No stratified analysis according to daily dose of antibiotic use was presented; this may have added relevant information because a short treatment with a high daily dose may be more effective in treating a particular infection than a longer-term, low-dose treatment.

One possible explanation for the lack of effect observed by Jackson et al. [57] may be the fact that they included MI cases with a history of cardiovascular risk factors for MI (e.g., 26% of the case patients had angina pectoris, 75% had hypertension, and 27% had diabetes mellitus), while Meier et al. [56] included only previously healthy subjects (both cases and controls) who did not have cardiovascular or metabolic diseases predisposing for MI. The elimination of subjects with preexisting risk factors allows for better detection of an isolated risk factor of interest, while it is extremely difficult to measure the effect of a single newly hypothesized risk factor of interest in a population that already has numerous clinical diseases that predispose to development of the outcome of interest [61].

However, the intriguing findings of Meier et al. [56] also need careful interpretation for various reasons even though they may fit well in the hypothesis that bacteria susceptible to tetracyclines or quinolones are involved in the etiology of acute MI (e.g., *C. pneumoniae*). The observed effect (a reduced risk of developing a first-time MI after exposure to tetracyclines or quinolones) may indeed reflect a real finding and could be due to eradication of *C. pneumoniae* from the organism or, as discussed above, due to some other pharmacologic mechanisms, such as the anti-inflammatory effect of tetracyclines [50–55].

Alternative explanations, however, may be chance or residual confounding of poorly measurable parameters, such as socioeconomic status. The term "confounding" describes a spurious association between exposure and outcome due to the presence of a third factor, which is related both to the exposure and the outcome. Socioeconomic status is a multifactorial and poorly defined potential confounder, and it has been postulated that the observed association between infections and CHD may be explained, at least in part, by confounding by socioeconomic status; thus, subjects with low socioeconomic status have independently both a higher likelihood of acquiring respiratory tract infections (e.g., through household crowding) and of developing CHD (e.g., through low-quality, fat-rich diet, or other risk factors). In the analysis of Meier et al. [56], such confounding would have had to selectively affect exposure to tetracyclines or quinolones but not of other antibiotics; this suggestion seems unlikely but cannot be excluded entirely.

A major limitation of both the case-control analyses of Meier et al. [56] and Jackson et al. [57] is the fact that no information was available on seroepidemiologic parameters for cases and controls. Thus, the study population is a heterogeneous mixture of subjects with or without previous exposure to C. pneumoniae; in addition, subjects with previous infections to C. pneumoniae may substantially differ with regard to the number, severity, and timing of previous infections with C. pneumoniae. Furthermore, subjects may have been seropositive but acquired the infection after antibiotic exposure, while other subjects may indeed have had a C. pneumoniae infection prior to use of an antibiotic. It would have been of major interest, but unfortunately not feasible, to include only cases and controls with known antibody titers and a known temporal sequence of infection followed by antibiotic exposure; a subanalysis of these subjects-if possible-might have further clarified the issue. In addition, for many exposed subjects the magnitude of antibiotic use may have been too small for an effect on the outcome because antibiotics have been prescribed for various indications (other than respiratory tract infections with C. pneumoniae) not requiring longer-term or high-dose treatment.

## Conclusion

Two randomized placebo-controlled trials [46, 47] of the secondary prevention of MI and a large retrospective case-control analysis exploring the effect of antibiotic exposure as primary prevention of MI [56] yielded intriguing evidence that certain antibiotics may have an effect on atherosclerosis, CHD, and MI. However, additional large-scale clinical trials are needed to collect data on whether certain antibiotics indeed alter the course of ischemic heart disease, as indicated in these preliminary findings. Such controlled trials need to be large in size and well-targeted to particular subgroups of interest, such as subjects with elevated antibody titers who are otherwise free of major risk factors for CHD. Until there is a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of infection-induced atherosclerosis and more direct evidence for a causal pathway, use of antibiotics in the prevention or treatment of CHD is premature and must not be recommended outside well-controlled trials.

#### References

- 1. American Heart Association. 2000 heart and stroke statistical update. Dallas: AHA, **1999**.
- Sans S, Kesteloot H, Kromhout D. The burden of cardiovascular disease mortality in Europe. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology on Cardiovascular Mortality and Morbidity Statistics in Europe. Eur Heart J 1997;18:1231–48.
- Grayston JT, Kuo C, Wang S, Altman J. A new *Chlamydia psittaci* strain, TWAR, isolated in acute respiratory tract infections. N Engl J Med 1986;315:161–8.

- Saikku P, Wang SP, Kleemola M, Brander E, Rusanen E, Grayston JT. An epidemic of mild pneumonia due to an unusual strain of *Chlamydia psittaci*. J Infect Dis **1985**; 151:832–9.
- Saikku P, Leinonen M, Mattila K, et al. Serologic evidence of an association of a novel chlamydia, TWAR, with chronic coronary heart disease and acute myocardial infarction. Lancet 1988;2:983–6.
- Davidson M, Kuo C, Middaugh JP, et al. Confirmed previous infection with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (TWAR) and its presence in early coronary atherosclerosis. Circulation 1998;98:628–33.
- Fagerberg B, Gnarpe J, Gnarpe H, Agewall S, Wikstrand J. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* but not cytomegalovirus antibodies are associated with future risk of stroke and cardiovascular disease. Stroke **1999**; 30:299–305.
- Linnanmäki E, Leinonen M, Mattila K, Nieminen MS, Valtonen V, Saikku P. *Chlamydia pneumoniae*-specific circulating immune complexes in patients with chronic coronary heart disease. Circulation 1993; 87:1130–4.
- Mazzoli S, Tofani N, Fantini A, et al. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* antibody response in patients with acute myocardial infarction and their follow-up. Am Heart J **1998**;135:15–20.
- Ossewaarde JM, Feskens EJM, De Vries A, Vallinga CE, Kromhout D. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is a risk factor for coronary heart disease in symp- tom-free elderly men, but *Helicobacter pylori* and cytomegalovirus are not. Epidemiol Infect **1998**;120:93–9.
- Saikku P, Leinonen M, Tenkanen L, et al. Chronic *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in the Helsinki Heart Study. Ann Intern Med **1992**;116:273–8.
- Strachan DP, Carrington D, Mendall MA, et al. Relation of *Chlamydia* pneumoniae serology to mortality and incidence of ischemic heart disease over 13 years in the Caerphilly prospective heart disease study. BMJ 1999; 318:1035–40.
- Thom DH, Grayston JT, Siscovick DS, Wang SP, Weiss NS, Daling JR. Association of prior infection with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and angiographically demonstrated coronary heart disease. JAMA 1992; 268:68–72.
- Ridker PM, Kundsin RB, Stampfer MJ, Poulin S, Hennekens CH. Prospective study of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* IgG seropositivity and risks of future myocardial infarction. Circulation **1999**;99:1161–4.
- Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Kundsin R, Shih J. Baseline IgG antibody titers to *Chlamydia pneumoniae, Helicobacter pylori*, herpes simplex virus, and cytomegalovirus and the risk for cardiovascular disease in women. Ann Intern Med **1999**;131:573–7.
- Nieto FJ, Folsom AR, Sorlie PD, Grayston JT, Wang SP, Chambless LE. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection and incident coronary heart disease: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. Am J Epidemiol 1999;150: 149–56.
- Bartels C, Maass M, Bein G, et al. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* but not cytomegalovirus in occluded saphenous vein coronary artery bypass grafts. Circulation **1999**;99:879–82.
- Blasi F, Denti F, Erba M, et al. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* but not *Helicobacter pylori* in atherosclerotic plaques of aortic aneurysms. J Clin Microbiol 1996; 34:2766–9.
- Jackson LA, Campbell LA, Schmidt RA, et al. Specificity of detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in cardiovascular atheroma. Am J Pathol 1997; 150:1785–90.
- Kuo C, Shor A, Campbell LA, Fukushi H, Patton DL, Grayston JT. Demonstration of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in atherosclerotic lesions of coronary arteries. J Infect Dis **1993**;167:841–9.
- Kuo C, Grayston JT, Campbell LA, Goo YA, Wissler RW, Benditt EP. Chlamydia pneumoniae (TWAR) in coronary arteries of young adults (15–34 years old). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;92:6911–4.
- Muhlestein JB, Hammond EH, Carlquist JF, et al. Increased incidence of *Chlamydia* species within the coronary arteries of patients with symptomatic atherosclerotic versus other forms of cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol **1996**;27:1555–61.
- Nystrom-Rosander C, Thelin S, Hjelm E, Lindquist O, Pahlson C, Friman G. High incidence of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in sclerotic heart valves of

patients undergoing aortic valve replacement. Scand J Infect Dis 1997; 29:361-5.

- Ong G, Thomas BJ, Mansfield AO, Davidson BR, Taylor-Robinson D. Detection of widespread distribution of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in the vascular system and its possible implications. J Clin Pathol **1996**;49:102–6.
- Ramirez JA, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*/Atherosclerosis Study Group. Isolation of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* from the coronary artery of a patient with coronary atherosclerosis. Ann Intern Med **1996**; 125:979–82.
- Shor A, Kuo C, Patton DL. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in coronary arterial fatty streaks and atheromatous plaques. S Afr Med J 1992;82: 158–61.
- Laitinen K, Laurila A, Pyhala L, Leinonen M, Saikku P. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection induces inflammatory changes in the aortas of rabbits. Infect Immun 1997;65:4832–5.
- Muhlestein JB, Anderson JL, Hammond EH, et al. Infection with *Chlamydia* pneumoniae accelerates the development of atherosclerosis and treatment with azithromycin prevents it in a rabbit model. Circulation **1998**;97: 633–6.
- Bachmaier K, Neu N, de la Maza L, Pal S, Hessel A, Penninger JM. *Chlamydia* infections and heart disease linked through antigenic mimicry. Science 1999;283:1335–9.
- Hu H, Pierce GN, Zhong G. The atherogenic effects of chlamydia are dependent on serum cholesterol and specific to *Chlamydia pneunoniae*. J Clin Invest 1999;103:747–53.
- Falck G, Heyman L, Gnarpe J, Gnarpe H. Chlamydia pneumoniae (TWAR): a common agent in acute bronchitis. Scand J Infect Dis 1994;26:179–87.
- Grayston JT, Aldous MB, Easton A, et al. Evidence that *Chlamydia pneu-moniae* causes pneumoniae and bronchitis. J Infect Dis 1993; 168:1231–5.
- Kauppinen M, Saikku P. Pneumonia due to *Chlamydia pneumoniae*; prevalence, clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment. Clin Infect Dis 1995; 21(suppl 3):S244–52.
- Karvonen M, Tuomilehto J, Pitkäniemi J, Saikku P. The epidemic cycle of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection in eastern Finland, 1972–1987. Epidemiol Infect 1993;110:349–60.
- Kauppinnen MT, Herva E, Kujala P, Leinonen M, Saikku P, Syrjälä H. The etiology of community-acquired pneumonia among hospitalized patients during a *Chlamydia pneumoniae* epidemic in Finland. J Infect Dis **1995**; 172:1330–5.
- Cook PJ, Honeybourne D. Clinical aspects of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection. Presse Med 1995;24:278–82.
- Gnarpe J, Gnarpe H, Sundelöf B. Endemic prevalence of *Chlamydia pneu-moniae* in subjectively healthy persons. Scand J Infect Dis 1991;23:387–8.
- Hyman CL, Roblin PM, Gaydos CA, Quinn TC, Schachter J, Hammerschlag MR. Prevalence of asymptomatic nasopharyngeal carriage of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in subjectively healthy adults: assessment of polymerase chain reaction–enzyme immunoassay and culture. Clin Infect Dis **1995**;20: 1174–8.
- Hyman CL, Augenbraun MH, Roblin PM, Schachter J, Hammerschlag MR. Asymptomatic respiratory tract infections with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* TWAR. J Clin Microbiol **1991**;29:2082–3.
- Beale AS, Masson ND. Susceptibility of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* to oral agents commonly used in the treatment of respiratory infection. J Antimicrob Chemother **1994**; 34:1072–5.
- Gieffers J, Solbach W, Maass M. In vitro susceptibilities of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* strains recovered from atherosclerotic coronary arteries. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1998**;42:2762–4.
- Hammerschlag MR, Chirgwin K, Roblin PM, et al. Persistent infection with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* following acute respiratory illness. Clin Infect Dis 1992;14:178–82.
- 43. Hammerschlag MR. Antimicrobial susceptibility and therapy of infections

caused by *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1994**; 38:1873–8.

- Kuo C, Jackson LA, Lee A, Grayston JT. In vitro activities of azithromycin, clarithromycin, and other antibiotics against *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1996**;40:2669–70.
- Hammerschlag MR, Qumei KK, Roblin PM. In vitro activities of azithromycin, clarithromycin, L-ofloxacin, and other antibiotics against *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **1992**; 36:1573–4.
- Gurfinkel E, Bozovich G, Daroca A, Beck E, Mautner B. Randomised trial of roxithromycin in non–Q-wave coronary syndromes: ROXIS pilot study. Lancet 1997; 350:404–7.
- Gupta S, Leatham EW, Carrington D, Mendall MA, Kaski JC, Camm J. Elevated *Chlamydia pneumoniae* antibodies, cardiovascular events, and azithromycin in male survivors of myocardial infarction. Circulation 1997;96:404–7.
- Gurfinkel E., Bozovich G, Beck E, Testa E, Livellara B, Mautner B for the ROXIS Study Group. Treatment with the antibiotic roxithromycin in patients with acute non–Q-wave coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 1999; 20:121–7.
- Anderson JL, Muhlestein JB, Carlquist J, Allen A, Trehan S, Nielson C. Randomized secondary prevention trial of azithromycin in patients with coronary artery disease and serological evidence for *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection. Circulation **1999**;99:1540–7.
- Anderson R, Theron AJ, Feldman C. Membrane-stabilizing, anti-inflammatory interactions of macrolides with human neutrophils. Inflammation 1996; 20:693–705.
- Greenwald RA, Golub LM, Ramamurthy NS, Chowdhury M, Moak SA, Sorsa T. In vitro sensitivity of the three mammalian collagenases to tetracycline inhibition: relationship to bone and cartilage degradation. Bone 1998; 22:33–8.
- Smith GN, Yu LP, Brandt KD, Capello WN. Oral administration of doxycycline reduces collagenase and gelatinase activities in extracts of human osteoarthritic cartilage. J Rheumatol 1998;25:532–5.
- Libby P. Molecular bases of the acute coronary syndromes. Circulation 1995; 91:2844–50.
- Martin D, Bursill J, Qui MR, Breit SN, Campbell T. Alternative hypothesis for efficacy of macrolides in acute coronary syndromes. Lancet 1998; 351: 1858–9.
- 55. Rajagopalan S, Meng XP, Ramasamy S, Harrison DG, Galis ZS. Reactive oxygen species produced by macrophage-derived foam cells regulate the activity of vascular matrix metalloproteinases in vitro. Implications for atherosclerotic plaque stability. J Clin Invest 1996; 98:2572–9.
- Meier CR, Derby LE, Jick SS, Vasilakis C, Jick H. Antibiotics and risk of subsequent first-time myocardial infarction. JAMA 1999;281:427–31.
- Jackson LA, Smith NL, Heckbert SR, Grayston JT, Siscovick DS, Psaty BM. Lack of association between first myocardial infarction and past use of erythromycin, tetracycline, or doxycycline. Emerg Infect Dis 1999;5: 281–4.
- Jick H, Jick SS, Derby LE. Validation of information recorded on general practitioner based computerised data resource in the United Kingdom. BMJ 1991; 302:766–8.
- Jick H, Terris BZ, Derby LE, Jick SS. Further validation of information recorded on a general practitioner based computerized data resource in the United Kingdom. Pharmacoepidemiology Drug Safety 1992; 1:347–9.
- Walley T, Mantgani A. The UK General Practice Research Database. Lancet 1997; 350:1097–99.
- Jick H, Garcia Rodriguez LA, Perez-Gutthann S. Principles of epidemiological research on adverse and beneficial drug effects. Lancet 1998; 352: 1767–70.