ON POLYNOMIALS WITH COEFFICIENTS OF MODULUS ONE

J. S. BYRNES

Introduction

As in Littlewood [5], we let \mathscr{G}_n be the class of all polynomials of the form

$$g_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \exp(\alpha_k i) z^k,$$

where the α_k are arbitrary real constants and $z = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$. Clearly $||g_n||_{L^2} = (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $g_n \in \mathscr{G}_n$, and the question "how close can such a g_n come to satisfying $|g_n| \equiv (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$?" has long been the object of intense study. In [5] Littlewood conjectured that there are positive absolute constants A_1 and A_2 such that, for arbitrarily large *n*, there exist $g_n \in \mathscr{G}_n$ with $A_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |g_n(\theta)| \leq A_2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all θ . In [3] Erdős conjectured that there is a universal constant c > 0 such that for $n \ge 2$, $||g_n||_{\infty} \ge (1+c)n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $g_n \in \mathscr{G}_n$.

It was shown by Littlewood [5] that the function

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \exp(\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)\pi i(n+1)^{-1})z^{m}$$

satisfies: (i) for any $\delta > 0$, $|g|n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \to 1$ uniformly in $n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \leq |\theta| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and (ii) $|g| \leq 1 \cdot 4n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all θ . In the first part of this paper we strengthen part (i) of the Littlewood result in two ways by producing polynomials g which yield an improved estimate for $|g|n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in a larger subset of the unit circle. In the second part we use the methods already developed to construct functions which are "almost" in \mathscr{G}_n and which satisfy the Littlewood conjecture with (within the error) $A_1 = A_2 = 1$.

1. To begin our work, we require two elementary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let

$$F(x, T) = (1 - e^{2\pi i x}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{m+T},$$

where T > 1 and F is defined by continuity when x is an integer. Then |F(x, T)| < 3/T for all x.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let x be fixed and not an integer. Then

$$F(x, T) = \lim_{R \to \infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i x}) \sum_{m=0}^{R} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{m+T} = \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{(m^2 - m)T^{-1} + 2m + T - 1},$$

Received 4 September, 1975; revised 20 May, 1976.

[BULL. LONDON MATH. SOC., 9 (1977), 171-176]

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^2 - m)T^{-1} + 2m + T - 1} = \sum_{1}^{[T]} + \sum_{[T]+1}^{\infty} < 1 + T \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = 2,$$

completing the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. If r and x are not integers, then

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{m+r} = \frac{2\pi i e^{2\pi i k r}}{1-e^{-2\pi i r}} e^{-2\pi i r x},$$

where k = [x].

Proof of Lemma 2. Simply compute the Fourier Series of the function F(x) of period 1 which, for $0 \le x < 1$, is given by

$$F(x) = \frac{2\pi i}{1 - e^{-2\pi i r}} e^{-2\pi i r x}.$$

Employing these Lemmas, we are able to prove our basic result.

THEOREM 1. Let N be a positive integer, and define the function

$$P \in \mathcal{G}_{N^{2}-1} by P(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp(2\pi i j k N^{-1}) z^{j+kN}, \quad z = \exp(2\pi i \theta).$$

Then

(a)
$$\left| P\left(\frac{j}{N^2}\right) \right| = N$$
 for all integers j ,
(b) For any ε , $N^{-1} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $|P(\theta)| = N + E$ for $-1 + \varepsilon \le \theta \le -\varepsilon$, where $|E| < 1 + 2\pi^{-1} + 5(\pi\varepsilon)^{-1}$,

(c) For N odd,
$$P\left(\frac{1}{2N}\right) = O(1)$$
, while for N even, $P\left(\frac{N-1}{2N^2}\right) = O(1)$, and
(d) $|P(\theta)| < \left(2 + \frac{3}{\pi}\right)N + O(1)$ for all θ .

Proof of Theorem 1. A straightforward calculation shows that for integers m, r with $0 \le m, r < N$ we have

$$P\left(-\frac{mN+r}{N^2}\right) = N \exp\left(-2\pi i \frac{mN+r}{N^2}r\right),$$

and (a) follows.

To obtain (b) we define the functions

$$G(\theta) = \frac{iN}{2\pi} (1-z^N) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^{kN}}{k+N\theta}$$
$$H(\theta) = \frac{iN}{2\pi} (1-z^N) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{z^{kN}}{k+N\theta},$$

and

and the error functions

$$R(\theta) = P(\theta) - H(\theta)$$
 and $S(\theta) = H(\theta) - G(\theta)$.

To estimate $R(\theta)$ we let

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\sin x}{2(1 - \cos x)} - \frac{1}{x} \text{ and } \psi(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \exp(ix)} - \frac{i}{x} = \frac{1}{2} + i\phi(x).$$

We can now write

$$R(\theta) = (1-z^N)\psi(2\pi\theta) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} z^{(k+1)N} \left\{ \psi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k+1}{N} + \theta\right)\right) - \psi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k}{N} + \theta\right)\right) \right\},$$

which, together with the facts that $\phi'(x) < 0$, $-2\pi < x < 2\pi$, and $-1+\varepsilon \leq \theta \leq -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ yields

$$\begin{split} |R(\theta)| &\leq 2|\psi(2\pi\theta)| + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left| \psi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k+1}{N} + \theta\right)\right) - \psi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k}{N} + \theta\right)\right) \right| \\ &= 2|\psi(2\pi\theta)| + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \phi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k}{N} + \theta\right)\right) - \phi\left(2\pi\left(\frac{k+1}{N} + \theta\right)\right) \right\} \\ &= 2|\psi(2\pi\theta)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \\ &< 2\left\{\frac{1}{2} + \phi\left(2\pi(-1+\varepsilon)\right)\right\} + \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \\ &< 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} + \frac{2}{\pi\varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$
(1)

To estimate $S(\theta)$, we observe that $1-N\theta \ge \varepsilon N > 1$ and $N(1+\theta) \ge \varepsilon N > 1$, so that we may apply Lemma 1 with $x = \pm N\theta$ and $T = \varepsilon N$ to get

$$|S(\theta)| = \frac{N}{2\pi} |1 - z^{N}| \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{z^{kN}}{k + N\theta} + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{z^{kN}}{k + N\theta} \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{N}{2\pi} |1 - e^{2\pi i N\theta}| \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i k N\theta}}{k + 1 - N\theta} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N\theta}}{k + N(1 + \theta)} \right| \right\} < \frac{3}{\pi \varepsilon} . \quad (2)$$

Next we apply Lemma 2 with $x = r = N\theta$ to conclude that

$$|G(\theta)| = N \text{ for all } \theta. \tag{3}$$

Finally, combining (1), (2) and (3) with the fact that $P(\theta) = G(\theta) + R(\theta) + S(\theta)$, we obtain (b).

For (c) we assume that $|\theta| < \frac{1}{4}$ and apply the techniques of the proof of (b) to get

$$P(\theta) = \frac{iN}{2\pi} (1 - e^{2\pi i N \theta}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}N \rfloor} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N \theta}}{k + N \theta} + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{2}N \rfloor + 1}^{N-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N \theta}}{k - N + N \theta} \right) + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{iN}{2\pi} (1 - e^{2\pi i N \theta}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N \theta}}{k + N \theta} + e^{2\pi i N^2 \theta} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N \theta}}{k + N \theta} \right) + O(1)$$
(4)

If N is odd, (4) immediately implies that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{2N}\right) = O(1).$$

For N even, (4) yields

$$P\left(\frac{N-1}{2N^2}\right) = \frac{2iN}{\pi} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e^{-\pi i(k/N)}}{2k+1} - e^{(\pi i/N)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e^{\pi i(k/N)}}{2k+1}\right) + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{2iN}{\pi} \left(1 - e^{\pi i/N}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \cos\left(k\pi/N\right)}{2k+1} + \frac{4N}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \sin\left(k\pi/N\right)}{2k+1} + O(1) = O(1),$$

where the final estimate follows, for example, from Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [4], p. 38, formulas 1.442-3 and 4, and (c) is proven.

To establish (d) we may assume that $|\theta| < \frac{1}{4}$, and we let $N\theta = M + t$, where $M = [N\theta]$. For $M \leq -1$ we have, by Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\left| (1 - e^{2\pi i N \theta}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N \theta}}{k + N \theta} \right| = \left| (1 - e^{2\pi i t}) \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k t}}{k + t} - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{M-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i k t}}{k + t} \right) \right| < 2\pi + 3.$$
(5)

It is equally trivial to obtain an identical estimate for $M \ge 0$, and the same method also yields

$$\left| (1 - e^{2\pi i N\theta}) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i k N\theta}}{k + N\theta} \right| < 2\pi + 3 \quad \text{for} \quad |\theta| < \frac{1}{4}.$$
(6)

Finally, (d) follows from (4), (5), and (6), and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

We point out several immediate consequences of this theorem. First, if α is a fixed real number and if we define Q by $Q(\theta) = P(\theta + \alpha)$, it is obvious that $Q \in \mathscr{G}_{N^2-1}$. Therefore, the bad interval in (b) can be shifted to any interval of length 2ε .

Second, if we are interested in a fixed subinterval of the unit circle, the estimate in (b) becomes quite remarkable. For example, setting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$ we obtain

COROLLARY 1. On the unit semicircle $-\frac{3}{4} \le \theta \le -\frac{1}{4}$ we have $|P(\theta)| = N + E$, where $|E| < 1 + 22\pi^{-1} < 9$.

Third, by employing, for example, a result of Beller [1], we are able to extend Theorem 1 to the case of polynomials of arbitrary degree. We have COROLLARY 2. Let n be a positive integer. Then there is a $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ satisfying

- (e) For any ε , $[n^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $|g(\theta)| = n^{\frac{1}{2}} + E$ for $-1 + \varepsilon \leq \theta \leq -\varepsilon$, where $|E| < 2 + 2\pi^{-1} + 5(\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + 2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and
- (f) $|g(\theta)| < (2+3/\pi)n^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2n^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(1)$ for all θ .

Proof of Corollary 2. Let $N = [n^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, $m = n - N^2$, and choose $P(\theta)$ as in Theorem 1. By Beller's result [1], we can choose $f \in \mathscr{G}_m$ such that $|f(\theta)| < 1.172m^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all θ . If we now let $g(\theta) = P(\theta) + z^{N^2} f(\theta)$, the required estimates follow immediately from the Theorem, and Corollary 2 is proven.

Finally we observe that if we choose ε in Corollary 2 to be, for example, $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n$, we obtain the improvement of Littlewood's result mentioned in the introduction.

2. We now proceed with our construction of functions $G(\theta)$ which are almost in \mathscr{G}_n , and which satisfy $|G(\theta)| = n^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for all θ . Toward this end, we have

THEOREM 2. Let n be a positive integer, and let N be the even positive integer satisfying $N^2 \le n < (N+2)^2$. Then there exist functions f and g such that

- (A) $z^{N^2}f + g \in \mathcal{G}_n$, and
- (B) $|f(\theta) + g(\theta)| = n^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$, where the error is uniform in θ and n.

Remark. It will be seen from the following construction that g consists of two parts; a polynomial in $\mathscr{G}_{n-2n^{3/4}+O(n^{1/2})}$, plus z to an integral power multiplied by a polynomial with coefficients of modulus $\frac{1}{2}$ and degree $4n^{\frac{3}{4}} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ in $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Also, f is a function of precisely the same type as the second part of g, just described. Thus we see that, except for a relatively small number (i.e., $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$) of terms, $f+g \in \mathscr{G}_n$, and so we can use f+g as the function $G(\theta)$ mentioned above.

Proof of Theorem 2. Define δ by $\delta N = [N^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, let $z = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$, $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, let $m = n - N^2$, and choose $G_1 \in \mathscr{G}_m$ such that $|G_1(\theta)| = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ (see the proof of Corollary 2). Define the functions

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} z^{(\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N)N} \sum_{j=-\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N}^{-\frac{1}{2}N + \delta N - 1} z^{jN} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \exp k \pi i (jN^{-1} + \theta),$$

$$F(\theta) = z^{(\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N)N} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N}^{\frac{1}{2}N + \delta N - 1} z^{jN} \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \exp k \pi i (jN^{-1} + \theta) \end{cases}$$

$$+\sum_{j=-\frac{1}{2}N+\delta N}^{\frac{1}{2}N-\delta N-1} z^{jN} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp 2k\pi i (jN^{-1}+\theta) \bigg\},$$

and

$$g(\theta) = F(\theta) + z^{N^2} G_1(\theta).$$

A straightforward calculation yields (A). To establish (B) we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1(b), and we obtain

$$f(\theta) + g(\theta) = z^{(\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N)N} (1 - z^N) \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{j = -\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N}^{\frac{1}{2}N + \delta N - 1} \frac{z^{jN}}{(j/N) + \theta} + O(\delta^{-1}) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
$$= z^{(\frac{1}{2}N - \delta N)N} (1 - z^N) \frac{iN}{2\pi} \sum_{j = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^{jN}}{j + N\theta} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2, $|f+g| = N + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) = n^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

In conclusion we mention a result with a similar flavour to Theorem 2 by Beller and Newman [2], who prove the Littlewood conjecture, with A_1 and A_2 both much smaller than 1, for polynomials whose coefficients are bounded by 1 in modulus.

References

- 1. E. Beller, "Polynomial Extremal Problems in L^p," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1971), 247-259.
- and D. J. Newman, "The Minimum Modulus of Polynomials", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2. -45 (1974), 463-465.
- 3. Paul Erdős, "Some Unsolved Problems", Michigan Math. J., 4 (1957), 291-300.
- 4. I. S. Gradshteyn, and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (New York, Academic Press, 1965). 5. J. E. Littlewood, "On Polynomials $\sum_{0}^{n} \pm z^{m}$, $\sum_{0}^{n} e^{\alpha_{m} l} z^{m}$, $z = e^{l\theta}$ ", J. London Math. Soc., 41 (1966), 367-376.
- 6. G. G. Lorentz, Approximation of Functions (New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966).

Forschungsinstitut Für Mathematik,

ETH. Zürich

and

University of Massachusetts,

Boston