

# BEST APPROXIMATIONS IN PREDUALS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

ALVARO ARIAS AND VANIA MASCIONI

## ABSTRACT

This paper characterises the semi-Chebyshev subspaces of preduals of von Neumann algebras. As an application it generalises the theorem of Doob, that says that  $H_0^1$  has unique best approximations in  $L_1(T)$ , to a large class of preannihilators of natural non-selfadjoint operator algebras including the nest algebras. Then it studies the semi-Chebyshev subspaces of the trace class operators and shows that the only Chebyshev  $*$ -diagrams are 'triangular'.

## 1. Introduction

This paper characterises the semi-Chebyshev subspaces of preduals of von Neumann algebras; in particular, those of the trace class operators.

As an application of this, we generalise the Theorem of Doob [3] that says that  $H_0^1$  has unique best approximations in  $L^1(T)$ , to a large class of preannihilators of natural 'triangular' algebras; for example, nest algebras.

In the final section we characterise the finite codimensional weak\*-closed subspaces of the trace class operators and clarify the situation for the special case of  $*$ -diagrams.

The authors want to thank Professor D. Larson and Professor J. Ward for useful discussions.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper  $H$  denotes a Hilbert space, and  $c_1(H)$  the trace class operators; that is, those  $T \in B(H)$  for which  $\|T\|_1 = \text{tr}(|T|) < \infty$ . We identify  $B(H)$  with  $c_1^*$  under the trace duality; that is, for  $T \in c_1$  and  $S \in B(H)$ ,  $\langle T, S \rangle = \text{tr}(TS)$ . We also use the fact that every compact operator has a Schauder decomposition  $T = \sum_i a_i(T) \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$ , where  $\phi \otimes \psi$  is the rank-1 operator sending  $h$  to  $(\phi, h)\psi$  and the  $a_i(T)$  are the singular numbers of  $T$ . Moreover, if  $T \in c_1$  then  $\|T\|_1 = \sum_i a_i(T)$  (see [9]).

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra, and  $M_*$  the unique isometric predual (see [11]).

If  $f \in M_*$  and  $b \in M$  then  $bf \in M_*$ ,  $fb \in M_*$  and  $f^* \in M_*$ , where these are defined by

$$bf(x) = f(xb), \quad fb(x) = f(bx), \quad f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^*)}.$$

Now  $f \in M_*$  is positive if for every  $x \in M$ ,  $f(x^*x) \geq 0$ . We shall use the fact that  $f \geq 0$  if and only if  $f(1) = \|f\|$ . We say that  $f \in M_*$  is hermitian if  $f^* = f$ . There is also a polar decomposition in  $M_*$ . If  $f \in M_*$  we can find  $u \in M$ , a partial isometry, such that  $uf = |f|$ . For more information on von Neumann algebras see [11].

---

Received 18 July 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 41A52.

The first author was supported in part by NSF DMS-87 03815; the second author was supported by the Swiss National Research Foundation.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $G \subset X$  a closed subspace. Then  $G$  is proximal in  $X$  if every  $x \in X$  has a best approximant from  $G$ ; that is, there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $\|x - y\| = d(x, G)$ . Now  $G$  is semi-Chebyshev if every  $x \in X$  has at most one best approximant; and  $G$  is Chebyshev if every  $x \in X$  has a unique one. A fundamental reference concerning best approximations is Singer's book [10].

### 3. The main result

In this section we characterise the semi-Chebyshev subspaces of the preduals of von Neumann algebras; in particular, those of the trace class operators,  $c_1$ .

If  $G \subset M_*$  we let

$$G^\perp = \{b \in M : b(h) = 0 \text{ for every } h \in G\}$$

and  $G^\perp G = \{bh : b \in G^\perp \text{ and } h \in G\}$ . Notice that  $G^\perp G \subset M_*$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be a unital von Neumann algebra and  $G \subset M_*$ . Then  $G$  is not semi-Chebyshev if and only if there exist  $h \in G$ ,  $h \neq 0$  and  $b \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$  satisfying*

- (i)  $bh$  is hermitian,
- (ii)  $b^*bh = h$ .

**REMARK.** Notice that if  $M = B(H)$ , condition (ii) is equivalent to  $b$  is an isometry on the range of  $h$ .

As an immediate application of Theorem 1 we obtain the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G \subset M_*$  such that  $G^\perp G$  contains no non-zero hermitian element; then  $G$  is semi-Chebyshev.*

Corollary 2 was proved in [1] for  $c_1$  and was used to show that the non-commutative  $H^1$ -spaces in  $c_1$  (for example, the set of upper triangular elements of  $c_1$ ) are Chebyshev, just as in the commutative case [6]. (See Section 4 for further discussion).

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on a generalisation of the following easy and well-known lemma to the von Neumann algebra setting.

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $T \in c_1$ ,  $B \in B(H)$  are such that  $\|B\| = 1$  and  $\text{tr}(BT) = \|T\|_1$  then  $BT = |T|$  and  $B^*|T| = T$ .*

For the next lemma we assume that  $M \subset B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and let  $f \in M_*$ ,  $b \in M$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$  be such that  $bf \geq 0$  and  $\|bf\| = \|f\|$ . Then we have that  $bf = |f|$  and  $f = b^*|f|$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [2, Theorem 12.2.5] that  $bf = |f|$ .

Find  $T \in c_1$  such that for every  $x \in M$ ,  $f(x) = \text{tr}(Tx)$  and  $\|T\|_1 = \|f\|$ . Since  $\text{tr}(bT) = \|T\|_1$  and  $\|b\| = 1$ , Lemma 3 gives us that  $bT = |T|$  and  $b^*|T| = T$ , or  $b^*bT = T$ . Clearly,  $b^*bf = f$ .

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Assume that  $G$  is not semi-Chebychev. Then we can find  $f \in M_*$ ,  $h \in G$ ,  $h \neq 0$  and  $b \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$  such that

$$\|f\| = d(f, G) = \|f+h\|,$$

and  $f(b) = \|f\| = \|f+h\| = (f+h)(b)$ .

Since  $\|bf\| \leq \|f\| = f(b) = bf(1) \leq \|bf\|$  we have that  $bf \geq 0$  and  $\|bf\| = \|f\|$ . By Lemma 4, this implies that  $bf = |f|$  and  $b^*|f| = f$ . Similarly,  $b(f+h) = |f+h|$  and  $b^*|f+h| = f+h$ .

Hence,

$$bh = b(f+h) - bf = |f+h| - |f|$$

is clearly hermitian and

$$b^*bh = b^*|f+h| - b^*|f| = f+h-f = h.$$

Conversely, let us assume that  $h \in G$ ,  $h \neq 0$ ,  $b \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$  are such that  $bh$  is hermitian and  $b^*bh = h$ .

Find  $u \in M$ ,  $\|u\| = 1$  such that  $|bh| = u(bh)$ . Since  $bh$  is hermitian, we have  $|bh| = (bh)u^*$ . Let  $f = b^*|bh|$ .

Claim:  $\|f\| = d(f, G) = \|f+h\|$ .

Since  $h \neq 0$  this clearly implies that  $G$  is not semi-Chebychev. We will now check the first equality of the claim.

Clearly  $\|f\| \leq \|bh\|$ ; on the other hand

$$f(b) = bf(1) = bb^*|bh|(1) = bb^*bhu^*(1) = bhu^*(1) = |bh|(1) = \|bh\|.$$

Since  $b \in G^\perp$  and  $\|b\| = 1$ , we have that  $\|f\| = d(f, G)$ .

For the other equality notice that

$$f+h = b^*|bh| + b^*bh = b^*[(|bh| + bh)].$$

Since  $bh$  is hermitian, we have that

$$|bh| + bh \geq 0$$

and

$$\| |bh| + bh \| = (|bh| + bh)(1) = |bh|(1) = \|bh\|.$$

Hence,  $\|f+h\| \leq \|bh\|$ . On the other hand,

$$(f+h)(b) = f(b) + h(b) = f(b) = \|f\| = \|bh\|.$$

Therefore,  $\|f+h\| = \|f\|$ .

**REMARK.** According to [8], a subspace  $Y$  of a Banach space  $X$  has property  $(\mathcal{Q})$  if any  $y^* \in Y^*$  has a unique Hahn–Banach extension in  $X^*$ . Phelps proved that  $Y$  has  $(\mathcal{Q})$  if and only if  $Y^\perp$  is semi-Chebychev in  $X^*$ . It follows that Theorem 1 can be used to study this property when  $M_*$  is a dual space. It is also clear that the result can be used to find unique weak\* Hahn–Banach extensions of weak\*-continuous functionals on  $M$  whenever they exist. This happens when the preannihilator is proximal.

#### 4. PREANNIHILATORS OF SUBALGEBRAS

Doob [3] proved that  $H_0^1$  is a semi-Chebychev subspace of  $L^1(T)$ . In this section we shall show that this property is shared by a large class of preannihilators of natural non-selfadjoint subalgebras of  $M$ , including the analytic algebras (see [7]), in particular, nest algebras and nest subalgebras of von Neumann algebras.

For  $\mathcal{A} \subset M$  let  $\mathcal{A}^* = \{x^* : x \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $*$  means the adjoint operation in  $M$ ; and let  $\mathcal{A}_\perp = \{f \in M_* : f|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0\}$  be the preannihilator.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{A} \subset M$  a weak\*-closed unital subalgebra of  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}_\perp$  is semi-Chebychev;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}_\perp$  contains no non-zero hermitian element;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $M$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) It is easy to check that since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital algebra it follows that  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}_\perp = \mathcal{A}_\perp$ . Hence, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows directly from Theorem 1.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) If  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is not  $w^*$ -dense then there exists a non-zero  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\perp \cap (\mathcal{A}^*)_ \perp$ . Clearly,  $f^* \in \mathcal{A}_\perp \cap (\mathcal{A}^*)_ \perp$  as well. Therefore,  $f \pm f^* \in \mathcal{A}_\perp$  and one of them is non-zero.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\perp$  be such that  $f = f^*$ . It is clear that  $f \in (\mathcal{A}^*)_ \perp$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense, we have that  $f = 0$ .

**REMARK.** Notice that Proposition 5 is interesting only in the non-selfadjoint case. If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*$  then  $\mathcal{A}_\perp$  is semi-Chebychev if and only if  $\mathcal{A} = M$ .

There are many natural examples where Proposition 5 applies. For instance, since  $H^1_0 = H^1_\perp$  and  $H^\infty + \overline{H^\infty}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(T)$  we get the following.

**COROLLARY 6 (Doob [3]).**  $H^1_0$  is semi-Chebychev in  $L^1(T)$ .

Other important examples are the nest algebras. By a nest of projections in  $H$  we mean any linearly ordered set  $\mathcal{P}$  of orthogonal projections which is closed in the strong operator topology and contains 0 and  $I$ . The nest algebra induced by  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all operators  $T \in B(H)$  that leave invariant every element of  $\mathcal{P}$ ; that is,

$$\text{Alg } \mathcal{P} = \{T \in B(H) : (I - P)TP = 0 \text{ for every } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

It is well known that  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{P} + (\text{Alg } \mathcal{P})^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $B(H)$ . Hence, we recover the result from [1].

**COROLLARY 7 [1].**  $(\text{Alg } \mathcal{P})_\perp$  is semi-Chebychev in  $c_1$ .

This result is true for a more general type of nest algebras. If  $\mathcal{P} \subset M$  one defines  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{P} \cap M$ . Nest subalgebras of von Neumann algebras have been studied by Gilfeather and Larson [4] and it is known that  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $M$  (see [7] or [5]). Hence, the proposition applies.

And finally, if  $S \in B(H)$  let  $M = \{S\}''$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $S$ . Let

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \overline{\{p(S) : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}}^{w^*}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}_S$  satisfies property (iii).

Since  $\mathcal{A}_\perp$  is semi-Chebychev in  $M_*$  one could believe that  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$ , the annihilator of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $M^*$ , is semi-Chebychev in  $M^*$ . This would imply that any continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$  has a unique Hahn–Banach extension to  $M$ . Unfortunately this is not the case for our natural candidates.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M$  a unital subalgebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  is Chebychev;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is norm dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  is  $w^*$ -closed and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is a dual space, it follows that  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  is proximal. Notice that  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is the predual of the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ . We are going to use Theorem 1 for  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}$ . Notice also that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}^*$  is not norm dense in  $\mathcal{B}$  we can find  $f \in \mathcal{B}^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{A}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{A}^*)^\perp$ . Since  $f^* \in \mathcal{A}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{A}^*)^\perp$  we can assume that  $f = f^*$ . The proof follows from Theorem 1 by noticing that  $1 \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $1f$  is hermitian and  $1^*1f = f$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  is not semi-Chebychev in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  we can find  $h \in \mathcal{A}^\perp \setminus \{0\}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$  such that  $bh$  is hermitian and  $b^*bh = h$ . It is easy to see that  $bh \in \mathcal{A}^\perp$ . Since  $bh$  is hermitian it follows that  $bh \in \mathcal{A}^\perp \cap (\mathcal{A}^*)^\perp$ .

REMARK. It is well known that nest algebras in  $B(H)$  do not satisfy condition (ii). This implies that biduals of nest algebras are not nest subalgebras of the von Neumann algebra  $B(H)^{**}$  and also provides an example of a Chebychev subspace  $X \subset Y$  such that  $X^{**}$  is not Chebychev in  $Y^{**}$ .

In contrast, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an AF-algebra and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strongly maximal triangular subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (ii) (see [12]). Hence,  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  is Chebychev in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . As an immediate corollary we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 9. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a strongly maximal triangular subalgebra of the AF-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then the Hahn–Banach extensions on  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  are unique.*

Proposition 8 and the analogue of Corollary 9 apply also for  $\mathcal{B} = C(T)$ , the space of continuous functions and on the unit circle, and  $\mathcal{A} = A$ , the disk algebra.

### 5. Semi-Chebychev subspaces of the trace class operators

In this section we study some of the natural semi-Chebychev subspaces of the trace class operators. We start with the weak\*-closed  $n$ -codimensional subspaces.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let  $G \subset c_1$  be a weak\*-closed,  $n$ -codimensional subspace. Let*

$$G^\perp = [R_1, \dots, R_n] \subset K(H).$$

*Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is Chebychev.
- (ii) Whenever  $R \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|R\| = 1$ ,  $R = \sum_i a_i(R) \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$  (with  $(\phi_i), (\psi_i)$  orthonormal) we have
  - (a)  $m = \max \{i: a_i(R) = 1\} \leq n$ ,
  - (b)  $\text{rank} [(R\phi_i, R_j\phi_i)]_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n} = m$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $R \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|R\| = 1$ ,  $R = \sum_i a_i(R) \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$ , where  $(\phi_i), (\psi_i)$  are orthonormal, and let  $m = \max \{i: a_i(R) = 1\}$ . If  $R$  fails (a), that is, if  $m > n$ , consider the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i(R_j \phi_i, \psi_i) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Clearly, there is a non-trivial solution  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ . Define

$$A \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i \psi_i \otimes \phi_i$$

and note that  $A \in G \setminus \{0\}$ . Further,  $R$  is isometric on  $A(H) \subset [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+1}]$  (since  $m > n$ ) and  $RA = \sum_i \alpha_i \psi_i \otimes \psi_i$  is selfadjoint. By Theorem 1,  $G$  is not semi-Chebychev.

If  $R$  fails (b) but not (a), the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (R\phi_i, R_j \phi_i) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

has a non-trivial solution  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ . In this case  $A = \sum_i \alpha_i \psi_i \otimes \phi_i$  will do the trick as above.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since  $G$  is weak\*-closed, if  $G$  is assumed to be not Chebychev, it is not even semi-Chebychev, and so Theorem 1 states that there are  $A \in G \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $R \in G^\perp$  such that  $\|R\| = 1$ ,  $R$  is isometric on the range of  $A$  and  $RA$  is selfadjoint. So, if  $\text{rank } A > n$ , we must have  $m \equiv \max\{i: a_i(R) = 1\} > n$  and  $R$  fails (a). If  $\text{rank } A = k \leq m \leq n$ , let

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \psi_i \otimes \phi_i, \quad R = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i(R) \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$$

with some orthonormal sequences  $(\phi_i), (\psi_i)$ , and consider that since the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (R\phi_i, R_j \phi_i) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

has the non-trivial solution  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ ,  $R$  must fail (b).

**REMARK.** It is interesting to note the analogy between Proposition 10 and the similar statement for  $l_1$  (see [10, III.2.11]): let  $G \subset l_1$  be weak\*-closed and  $n$ -codimensional; that is,  $G^\perp = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$  and  $\beta_i \in c_0$ . Then  $G$  is Chebychev if and only if for any  $\beta \in G^\perp$  such that  $\beta(k) = \|\beta\|$  for some  $k$  we have

$$m \equiv \text{card}\{k: |\beta(k)| = \|\beta\|\} \leq n$$

and, if  $\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$  is the set of coordinates where  $\beta$  attains its norm,

$$\text{rank} [\beta_j(k_i)]_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, n}} = m.$$

We have also some results about the finite dimensional subspaces.

**PROPOSITION 11.** *If  $G \subset c_1$  and  $G$  is not semi-Chebychev, then it contains a non-zero operator  $A$  such that  $\sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i(A) = 0$  for an appropriate choice of  $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Further, if  $G$  is one-dimensional, the converse holds, too.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is not semi-Chebychev we can find  $U \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|U\| = 1$  and  $A \in G \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $UA$  is selfadjoint and  $U$  is an isometry on the range of  $A$ . We see that, since

$$A = \sum_i \lambda_i \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$$

with  $(\phi_i), (\psi_i)$  orthonormal (we keep the same notation), then  $a_i(A) = |\lambda_i|$  for all  $i$  and so, defining  $\varepsilon_i \equiv \text{sign } \lambda_i$ , we get

$$\sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i(A) = \sum_i \lambda_i = \text{tr } UA = 0.$$

If  $G$  is one-dimensional, let  $(\varepsilon_i)$  be such that  $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i(A) = 0$  for some  $A \in G$ . Let  $A = \sum_i a_i(A) \phi_i \otimes \psi_i$  for some orthonormal sequences  $(\phi_i), (\psi_i)$ , and define  $U$  by  $U\psi_i \equiv \varepsilon_i \phi_i$ . We see that  $U \in G^\perp$ ,  $\|U\| = 1$ ,  $U$  is isometric on the range of  $A$  and  $UA$  is selfadjoint. By Theorem 1,  $G$  is not semi-Chebyshev.

In [6], Kahane studied the approximation properties of the following subspaces of  $L^1(T)$ . If  $\Lambda \subseteq Z$  let  $L_\Lambda^1(T) = \{f \in L^1 : \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ if } n \notin \Lambda\}$ . If  $\Lambda = Z^+$  then  $L_\Lambda^1 = H_0^1$ . He proved that  $L_\Lambda^1$  is semi-Chebyshev if and only if  $\Lambda = (2p-1)Z$  or  $\Lambda = (2p-1)Z^+$ , where  $p \in Z$ .

The analogue of these examples in  $c_1$  are the  $*$ -diagrams.

Let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  be a fixed orthonormal basis of  $H$ , and let  $\Lambda \subset I \times I$ . By the  $*$ -diagram induced by  $\Lambda$  we mean the class of all operators  $T$  in  $c_1$  which have no component outside  $\Lambda$ ; that is, such that  $(l, \kappa) \notin \Lambda$  implies that  $(e_l, Te_\kappa) = 0$ . Since  $*$ -diagrams are clearly weak\*-closed subspaces of  $c_1$  they are proximal [10, I.2.5]. In [1] it has been shown that the converse of Corollary 2 holds for  $*$ -diagrams; that is, a  $*$ -diagram  $G$  is Chebyshev if and only if  $G^\perp G$  does not contain non-zero self-adjoint elements. Actually, it was asked whether this is true in arbitrary weak\*-closed subspaces of  $c_1$ . In this section we answer that question in the negative and show that the Chebyshev  $*$ -diagrams are in some sense triangular. This explains why the result is true for them, keeping in mind the fact that  $T_1$  (the subspaces of the operators having an upper triangular matrix) is Chebyshev in  $c_1$  (see [1]).

COUNTEREXAMPLE. Fix  $\iota \neq \kappa \in I$  and let

$$G \equiv \{T \in c_1 : (e_\iota, Te_\iota) + \frac{1}{2}(e_\kappa, Te_\kappa) = 0\}.$$

Then  $G$  is Chebyshev and  $G^\perp G$  contains a non-zero selfadjoint operator.

To see this note first that  $G^\perp = [U]$  for  $U = e_\iota \otimes e_\iota + \frac{1}{2}e_\kappa \otimes e_\kappa$ . Since

$$a_2(U) = \frac{1}{2} < a_1(U) = 1,$$

Proposition 10 easily implies that  $G$  is Chebyshev. On the other hand, taking  $A = e_\iota \otimes e_\iota - 2e_\kappa \otimes e_\kappa$ , we have  $A \in G \setminus \{0\}$  and  $UA$  is clearly selfadjoint.

We now prove that the Chebyshev  $*$ -diagrams are ‘triangular’.

THEOREM 12. Let  $H$  have the orthonormal basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  ( $I$  a totally ordered set) and let  $\mathcal{G} \subset c_1(H)$  be a Chebyshev  $*$ -diagram. We can find  $D, \tilde{D}$ , totally ordered sets, having the same cardinality as  $I$  and unitaries  $U: l_2(D) \rightarrow H, V: H \rightarrow l_2(\tilde{D})$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = V\mathcal{G}U \subset c_1(l_2(D), l_2(\tilde{D}))$  is a  $*$ -diagram which is ‘triangular’ with respect to the natural bases of  $l_2(D)$  and  $l_2(\tilde{D})$ ; that is, if we have a zero at  $(d, \tilde{d})$  then we have a zero at  $(d', \tilde{d})$  for all  $d' < d$  and at  $(d, \tilde{d}')$  for all  $\tilde{d}' > \tilde{d}$ ; and if we have a  $*$  at  $(d, \tilde{d})$ , then we have a  $*$  at  $(d', \tilde{d})$  for  $d' > d$  and at  $(d, \tilde{d}')$  for  $\tilde{d}' < \tilde{d}$ .

The proof depends on the following lemma that appears in [1].

LEMMA 13. The  $*$ -diagram  $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$  is not Chebyshev.

As remarked in [1], note that Lemma 13 can easily be generalised. If we have a general  $*$ -diagram such that, for some rows  $i_1 \neq i_2$  and columns  $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2$  we have a  $*$  both at  $(i_1, \kappa_1)$  and at  $(i_2, \kappa_2)$ , and a zero both at  $(i_1, \kappa_2)$  and at  $(i_2, \kappa_1)$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is not Chebychev.

*Proof of Theorem 12.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Chebychev  $*$ -diagram. Define new order relations  $\leq_r, \leq_c$  between the 'rows' and 'columns' of  $\mathcal{G}$  in the following way:

$$\text{row } i_1 \leq_r \text{row } i_2$$

if, whenever we have a zero at  $(i_1, \kappa)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , we have a zero at  $(i_2, \kappa)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Proceed similarly with the columns to define  $\leq_c$ . It follows from the remark after Lemma 13 that any two rows or columns of  $\mathcal{G}$  are comparable (otherwise we could reproduce the pattern of Lemma 13) and so  $D = (I, \leq_r)$  and  $\tilde{D} = (I, \leq_c)$  are totally ordered sets having the same cardinality as  $I$ . The isometries  $U: l_2(D) \rightarrow H$  and  $V: H \rightarrow l_2(\tilde{D})$  defined by the 'identities'  $D \rightarrow I$  and  $I \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  can be regarded as an operation of changing rows and columns in the 'matrix' of  $\mathcal{G}$ . It is now just a matter of time to verify that the 'matrix' of  $V\mathcal{G}U \subset c_1(l_2(D), l_2(\tilde{D}))$  is triangular in the sense described above.

### References

1. A. ARIAS, 'Some properties of non-commutative  $H^1$  spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 112 (1991) 465–472.
2. J. DIXMIER, *Les C\*-algèbres et leurs représentations* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969).
3. J. L. DOOB, 'A minimum problem in the theory of analytic functions', *Duke Math. J.* 8 (1941) 413–424.
4. F. GILFEATHER and D. LARSON, 'Nest-subalgebras of von Neumann algebras', *Adv. in Math.* 46 (1982) 171–199.
5. F. GILFEATHER and D. LARSON, 'Structure in reflexive subspace lattice', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 26 (1982) 117–131.
6. J. P. KAHANE, 'Best approximations in  $L^1(T)$ ', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1974) 788–804.
7. R. I. LOEBL and P. S. MUHLY, 'Analyticity and flows in von Neumann algebras', *J. Funct. Anal.* 31 (1979) 275–292.
8. R. R. PHELPS, 'Uniqueness of Hahn–Banach extensions and unique best approximation', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960) 238–255.
9. A. PIETSCH, *Eigenvalues and s-numbers* (University Press, Cambridge, 1987).
10. I. SINGER, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces* (Springer, Berlin, 1970).
11. M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of operator algebras I* (Springer, New York, 1979).
12. B. VENTURA, 'A note on subdiagonality for triangular AF Algebras', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 110 (1990) 775–780.

Department of Mathematics  
Texas A&M University  
College Station  
Texas 77843  
USA

Department of Mathematics  
University of Zurich  
Rämistrasse 74  
CH-9001 Zurich  
Switzerland

*Current address:*  
Department of Mathematics,  
Statistics and Computing Science  
University of Texas at San Antonio  
San Antonio TX  
USA

*Current address:*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Texas at Austin  
Austin TX  
USA