

# ON THE ACTION OF GALOIS GROUPS ON $BU(n)^\wedge$

By ZDZISŁAW WOJTKOWIAK\*

[Received 27 July 1979; in final form 10 February 1983]

## Introduction

LET  $\tilde{Q}$  be an algebraic closure of the rational numbers and let  $X^\wedge$  (resp.  $X_p^\wedge$ ) denote the finite completion of  $X$  (resp. the  $p$ -completion of  $X$ ). In [12] Dennis Sullivan has defined a homotopy action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $BU^\wedge$  and  $BU(n)^\wedge$  i.e. a homomorphism of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  into a group of homotopy equivalences of  $BU^\wedge$  and of  $BU(n)^\wedge$ . In this paper "action" will always mean this homotopy action of Galois groups. Sullivan showed that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\hat{Q}; Q)$  on  $BU^\wedge$  factors through an action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)/[\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)] \approx \hat{Z}^*$ . In the case of finite Grassmann manifolds and  $BU(n)$  he asked whether the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  is abelian as well. He also considered the actions of the groups  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  ( $F_p$  being a finite field of  $p$ -elements) on the  $r$ -completion of  $BU(n)$  for different  $p$  and asked which subgroup of the group of homotopy equivalences of  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  these actions generated. In this paper we show the following results which are partial answers to some of his questions.

**THEOREM A.** *The action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $BU(n)_{1/n!}^\wedge$  factors through an action of  $\hat{Z}^*$ .*

Let  $S^\infty(X)$  denote a suspension spectrum and  $(S^\infty(X))_r^\wedge$  the localization of the spectrum  $S^\infty(X)$  with respect to the homology theory  $H_*( ; Z/r)$ .

**THEOREM B.** *For all primes  $r$  the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $(S^\infty BU(n))_r^\wedge$  factors through an action of  $\hat{Z}^*$ .*

Our last result concerns the actions of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  for various  $p$ 's. Let  $\coprod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  be the direct sum. The group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  has a natural compact topology given by the inverse limit structure and we equip  $\coprod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  with the topology of a direct limit of finite products. The set  $[BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$  also has a compact topology and is a semigroup with composition as multiplication. We shall see further that the composition is a continuous operation in  $[BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$ .

\* Whilst finishing this work the author was supported by the University of Oxford Mathematical Prizes Fund and The Royal Society.

THEOREM C. *Let  $r$  be a prime greater than  $n$ . The actions of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  yield a continuous homomorphism of semigroups*

$$\Phi: \prod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow [BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge].$$

$\Phi$  factors through a surjective map

$$\varphi: \prod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; \bar{F}_p) \rightarrow \hat{Z}^*,$$

where  $\varphi$  maps the Frobenius automorphism of  $\bar{F}_p$  onto  $p$ .

Now we shall briefly explain the main ideas of the proofs. The algebraic action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on a simplicial scheme  $\bar{W}GL_{n,\delta}$  induces an action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on the rigid etale homotopy type of  $\bar{W}GL_{n,\delta}$ . The comparison theorem allows one to define a homotopy action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on  $BGL(n; C)_r^\wedge$ . Let  $\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z) \otimes \bar{Q}$  be a disjoint union of  $\text{spec } \bar{Q}$  indexed by  $\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z)$  with obvious faces and degeneracies. There is a map of simplicial schemes  $\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z) \otimes \bar{Q} \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\delta}$ . This map is  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}, Q_{ab})$ -equivariant where  $Q_{ab}$  is a maximal abelian extension of  $Q$ . The action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q_{ab})$  on  $(\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z) \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}}$  is trivial because  $\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z) \otimes \bar{Q}$  is a disjoint union of copies of  $\text{spec } \bar{Q}$ .  $(\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Q/Z) \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}}$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $\bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Q/Z$  and  $(\bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Q/Z)_r^\wedge 1/n!$  is homotopy equivalent to  $BU(n)_r^\wedge 1/n!$  for  $r > n$ . Hence it follows that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q_{ab}) = [\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}, Q); \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}, Q)]$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge 1/n!$  is trivial. These are the main ideas of the proof of Theorem A. The proof of Theorem C is quite similar though more complicated.

In the proof of Theorem B we use the stable splitting

$$\left( S^\infty B\Sigma_n \int Z/r^\infty \right)_r^\wedge \approx (S^\infty BU(n))_r^\wedge \vee \Gamma^*(*),$$

which follows from the existence of the transfer.

We show that the induced action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on  $(S^\infty B\Sigma_n \int Z/r^\infty)_r^\wedge$  factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$  and then using the splitting  $(*)$  we show that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on  $(S^\infty BU(n))_r^\wedge$  also factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ .

I would like to thank very much Professor E. Friedlander for his help in the proof of the key fact (Proposition 2.7), for his essential simplification of the arguments in Section 4 and for his help in several other points. I would also like to thank very much the referee for his very careful reading of the manuscript and for many valuable suggestions. Finally I would also like to thank Prof. A. Białyński, R. Rubinstein and M. Hopkins for several useful discussions.

During the final stages of this work, the author was a visitor at Oxford

University and would like to thank very warmly Prof. Ioan James for his kind invitation and his support in finishing this work.

## 1. Simplicial spaces and notations

In [5] Eric Friedlander has defined the rigid etale homotopy type functor for a simplicial scheme. He denoted it by  $(\ )_{\text{ret}}$ . Using local homeomorphisms instead of etale maps we can define a functor  $(\ )_{\text{rth}}$  on the category of simplicial spaces in strict analogy with  $(\ )_{\text{ret}}$ . Let  $X$  be a simplicial space and let  $S.X$  be a bisimplicial set of singular simplexes of  $S$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the diagonal functor. Then  $\Delta(X)_{\text{rth}}$  and  $\Delta S.X$  are homotopy equivalent (see [6] p. 211).

If  $X$  is a simplicial scheme (resp. a simplicial space) then  $(X)_{\text{ret}}$  (resp.  $(X)_{\text{rth}}$ ) is a pro-object in the category of bi-simplicial sets. To this pro-object we apply a diagonal functor, then the geometric realization functor and finally, to each space, the finite completion functor. Such an inverse system of spaces forms an inverse system of Brownian functors (see [13] § 3). We shall denote by  $(X)_{\text{ret}}^\wedge$  (resp.  $(X)_{\text{rth}}^\wedge$ ) the space which represents the inverse limit of these functors. We shall also use the  $p$ -completion functor instead of the finite completion. In that case we shall denote the spaces which result by  $((X)_{\text{ret}})_p^\wedge$  and  $((X)_{\text{rth}})_p^\wedge$ .

We shall be concerned with a simplicial space  $\bar{W}G$ ,  $G$  being a connected Lie group or a discrete group. We have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.**  *$(\bar{W}G)_{\text{rth}}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $BG$  and  $(\bar{W}G)_{\text{rth}}^\wedge$  (resp.  $((\bar{W}G)_{\text{rth}})_p^\wedge$ ) is homotopy equivalent to  $BG^\wedge$  (resp.  $BG_p^\wedge$ ).*

Proposition 1.1 follows from the discussion at the beginning of this section and the fact that the geometric realization of  $\Delta S.\bar{W}G$  is homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization of  $\bar{W}G$  (see [8] Theorem 11.13 and [10] Lemma p. 94 if  $G$  is connected). The geometric realization of  $\bar{W}G$  is a classifying space of  $G$  (see [11] § 3). The proof for  $G$  discrete we leave to the reader.

## 2. The action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$ on $BU(n)^\wedge$

In this section we prove Theorem A. The following two propositions allow us to define the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on  $BU(n)^\wedge$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *There exists a homotopy equivalence*

$$j: ((\bar{W}GL(n, C))_{\text{rth}})^\wedge \rightarrow ((\bar{W}GL_{n:C})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge.$$

This map is given by interpreting an etale covering as a local homeomorphism. It is one of the homotopy equivalences from [5] Proposition 2.8.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $\alpha: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow C$  be an inclusion. Then there exists a homotopy equivalence*

$$\alpha^*: ((\bar{W}GL_{n,C})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge \rightarrow ((\bar{W}GL_{n,\mathcal{O}})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge$$

induced by  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [14] Expose XVI Corollaire 1.6 that  $H^q(GL_{n,\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^q(GL_{n,C}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  is an isomorphism for each  $m$ . Hence it follows from [5] Lemma 3.4 and a spectral sequence argument of the type used in the proof of Proposition 2.8 of [5] that  $\alpha^*$  is a homotopy equivalence.

The homotopy action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $BU(n)^\wedge$  is defined as follows. If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  and  $U \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\mathcal{O}}$  is an étale covering then the pullback  $\sigma!(U)$  is also an étale covering of  $\bar{W}GL_{n,\mathcal{O}}$ . Hence  $\sigma$  induces a map of the category of rigid étale coverings of  $\bar{W}GL_{n,\mathcal{O}}$  into itself. This map is an equivalence because  $\sigma$  has an inverse  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Hence  $\sigma$  induces a homotopy equivalence of  $((\bar{W}GL_{n,\mathcal{O}})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge$  and therefore (by Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and the results of Section 1) a homotopy equivalence of  $BU(n)^\wedge$  which we also denote by  $\sigma$ .

Now we begin the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $X^\wedge$  be the finite completion of a simply connected space  $X$ . If  $f: X^\wedge \rightarrow X^\wedge$  then  $f = \prod_p f_p$  where  $f_p: X_p^\wedge \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$ .*

*Proof.* The projection  $X^\wedge = \prod_p X_p^\wedge \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$  is a  $p$ -completion. Let  $f_p: X_p^\wedge \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$  be the  $p$ -completion of  $f$ . The lemma now follows from the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\wedge & \xrightarrow{f} & X^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod X_p^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\prod f_p} & \prod X_p^\wedge \end{array}$$

Let  $P$  be the set of primes  $\leq n$ . Then

$$(BU(n)^\wedge)_{1/n!} = \left( \prod_{p \notin P} BU(n)_p^\wedge \right) \times \left( \prod_{p \in P} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n K(Q \otimes \hat{Z}_p; 2i) \right) \right)$$

because if  $p > n$  then  $1/n! \in \hat{Z}_p$  and if  $p \leq n$  then  $\hat{Z}_p \otimes Z_{[1/n!]} = Q \otimes \hat{Z}_p$ .

If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  then by Lemma 2.3 we have  $\sigma = \prod_p \sigma_p: BU(n)^\wedge \rightarrow BU(n)^\wedge$ . Let  $[\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)]$  be a commutator subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If  $p \leq n$  and  $\sigma \in [\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)]$  then  $(\sigma_p)_{[1/n!]}: (BU(n)_p^\wedge)_{[1/n!]} \rightarrow (BU(n)_{p[1/n!]})^\wedge$  is homotopic to the identity.*

*Proof.* First we shall show that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $H^*((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}}; \hat{Z}_p$  factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} &= \{\text{Spec } \tilde{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}] \\ &\rightarrow \text{Spec } \tilde{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1} \cdots t_n^{-1}]\} = (GL_{1,\delta})^n \end{aligned}$$

be an etale map given by  $t_i \rightarrow t_i^{-1}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{U}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \times_{(GL_{1,\delta})^n} \ell\text{-times} \cdots \times_{(GL_{1,\delta})^n} \mathcal{U}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$$

has  $(k_1 \cdots k_n)^\ell$  components. All components are equal  $(GL_{1,\delta})^n$  and are indexed by elements of  $(\mu_{k_1})^\ell \times \cdots \times (\mu_{k_n})^\ell$  where  $\mu_{k_i}$  is the group of  $k_i$ th-roots of unity. Any elements  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  permutes the components according to its action on roots of unity. The Cech nerve of this covering is  $\bar{W}^Z/k_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{W}^Z/k_n$ . The homotopy inverse limit of the pro-simplicial set  $\{\bar{W}^Z/k_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{W}^Z/k_n\}$  indexed by  $\mathcal{U}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}}^\wedge$ . The action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on the pro-simplicial set  $\{\bar{W}^Z/k_1 \times \cdots \times \bar{W}^Z/k_n\}_{\mathcal{U}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}}$  factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ . Hence the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $H^*((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}}; \hat{Z}_p$  also factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ . There is a fibration  $((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow (W(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow (\bar{W}(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}}$  and for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} ((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} & \rightarrow & (W(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} & \rightarrow & (\bar{W}(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} \\ \sigma \uparrow & & \sigma \uparrow & & \sigma \uparrow \\ ((GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} & \rightarrow & (W(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} & \rightarrow & (\bar{W}(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}} \end{array}$$

This implies that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $H^*((\bar{W}(GL_{1,\delta})^n)_{\text{ret}})_{\hat{Z}_p}^\wedge; \hat{Z}_p$  also factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ .

The natural map  $\bar{W}(GL_{1,\delta})^n \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\delta}$  induces a monomorphism on cohomology. Therefore the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$  on  $H^*((\bar{W}GL_{n,\delta})_{\text{ret}})_{\hat{Z}_p}^\wedge; \hat{Z}_p$  also factors through  $\hat{Z}^*$ . It follows that  $\sigma_p$  induces the identity on the cohomology of  $BU(n)_p^\wedge$  with  $\hat{Z}_p$  coefficients. Hence after inverting  $n!$   $\sigma_p[1/n!]$  is homotopic to the identity because then the space in question is a product of  $K(\hat{Z}_p \otimes Q; n)$ 's. (These are essentially arguments of Sullivan from [12].)

Now we examine the action on the first factor of  $(BU(n)^\wedge)_{[1/n!]}$ . We apply a standard trick of approximating  $BU(n)$  by the classifying space of a discrete group (see [1]).

We set  $S = \Sigma_n \wr Q/Z$ . The embedding  $i: Q/Z \rightarrow \tilde{Q}^*$  into roots of unity and the inclusion  $\alpha: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow C$  induce  $\iota_1: S \rightarrow GL(n, \tilde{Q})$  and  $\iota: S \rightarrow GL(n; C)$ .

LEMMA 2.5. *The maps  $\iota_*: (BS)_r^\wedge \rightarrow BGL(n; C)_r^\wedge$  and  $\iota_*: ((\bar{W}S)_{rth})_r^\wedge \rightarrow (\bar{W}GL(n; C)_{rth})_r^\wedge$  are homotopy equivalences for every prime  $r > n$ .*

*Proof.* The cohomology of  $BS$  with  $Z/r$  coefficients is  $Z/r[t_1, \dots, t_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ . This can easily be seen from the spectral sequence of the fibration  $B(Q/Z)^n \rightarrow BS \rightarrow B\Sigma_n$ . The cohomology ring of  $BGL(n; C)$  is the same. The map  $B(Q/Z)^n \rightarrow B(C^*)^n$  is clearly an isomorphism on  $H^*( ; Z/r)$  and therefore  $\iota_*: (BS)_r^\wedge \rightarrow BGL(n; C)_r^\wedge$  is a homotopy equivalence. The fact just proved and the discussion in Section 1 imply that the second map is also a homotopy equivalence.

Let  $S \otimes C$  (resp.  $S \otimes \bar{Q}$ ) be a group scheme equal to the disjoint union of copies of  $\text{spec } C$  (resp.  $\text{spec } \bar{Q}$ ) indexed by  $S$ . Then  $\bar{W}(S \otimes C)$  and  $\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})$  are simplicial schemes (see [7] Example 1.1). The maps of schemes  $S \otimes K \rightarrow GL_{n,K}$  on the component indexed by  $s$  are given by  $K[x_{ij}](\det(x_{ij})^{-1}) \ni f(x_{ij}) \rightarrow f(\zeta) \in K$  where  $\zeta = \iota_1(s)$  if  $K = \bar{Q}$  and  $\zeta = \iota(s)$  if  $K = C$ . These maps induce maps of simplicial schemes  $\iota_K: \bar{W}(S \otimes K) \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,K}$  for  $K = C$  or  $\bar{Q}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *The following diagram commutes up to homotopy and after applying the functor  $( )_r^\wedge$  ( $r > n$ ) all arrows become homotopy equivalences.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\bar{W}S)_{rth} & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & (\bar{W}GL(n; C))_{rth} \\
 \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow j \\
 (\bar{W}S \otimes C)_{ret} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_C)_*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,C})_{ret} \\
 \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha^* \\
 \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{ret} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_{\bar{Q}})_*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}})_{ret}
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The commutativity of both small diagrams is clear. It follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 that  $j$  and  $\alpha^*$  are homotopy equivalences after applying  $( )_r^\wedge$ . The fact that  $(\text{spec } K)_{ret}$  is contractible for algebraically closed fields  $\bar{Q}$  and  $C$  implies that  $j_1$  and  $\alpha_1^*$  are weak homotopy equivalences. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $\iota_*$  after  $r$ -completion is the homotopy equivalence. Hence all other arrows are homotopy equivalences after applying the functor  $( )_r^\wedge$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *If  $\sigma \in [\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)]$  then  $\sigma_r^\wedge: BU(n)_r^\wedge \rightarrow BU(n)_r^\wedge$  is homotopic to the identity for every  $r > n$ .*

*Proof.* The action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  on the set of closed points of the algebraic group  $GL_{n,\bar{Q}}$  which is a subvariety of  $(\bar{Q})^{n^2}$  is given in the following way.  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$  maps a point  $(v_{ij})$  into a point  $(\sigma(v_{ij}))$ . In

terms of the affine coordinates ring the action of  $\sigma$  is given in the following way. If  $f = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} x_{i,j}^k$  then  $f^\sigma = \sum_{i,j,k} \sigma(a_{i,j,k}) x_{i,j}^k$ . Similarly it acts on the affine coordinate ring of  $\text{spec } \tilde{Q}$  i.e. on  $\tilde{Q}$ . Let  $Q_{ab}$  be a maximal abelian extension of  $Q$ . We shall show that the map of simplicial schemes  $\iota_{\tilde{Q}}: \bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}}$  is  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q_{ab})$ -equivariant. The map of schemes  $S \otimes \tilde{Q} \rightarrow GL_{n,\tilde{Q}}$  on the component of  $S \otimes \tilde{Q}$  indexed by  $s$  is given by homomorphism

$$\iota_1^s: \tilde{Q}[x_{ij}] / (\det(x_{ij})^{-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}, \quad \iota_1^s(f) = f(\zeta) \text{ where } \zeta = \iota_1(s).$$

Hence for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q_{ab})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\iota_1^s(f)) &= \sigma\left(\sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} \zeta_{ij}^k\right) = \sum_{i,j,k} \sigma(a_{i,j,k}) \sigma(\zeta_{ij}^k) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \sigma(a_{i,j,k}) \zeta_{ij}^k = f^\sigma(\zeta) = \iota_1^s(f^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the map of schemes  $S \otimes \tilde{Q} \rightarrow GL_{n,\tilde{Q}}$  is  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q_{ab})$ -equivariant. Hence also a map of simplicial schemes  $\bar{W}S \otimes \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}}$  is  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q_{ab})$ -equivariant. Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q}))_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_{\tilde{Q}})_*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}})_{\text{ret}} \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q})_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_{\tilde{Q}})_*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}})_{\text{ret}} \end{array}$$

for any  $\sigma \in [\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)]$  because

$$[\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}, Q); \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}, Q)] = \text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q_{ab}).$$

The map  $\sigma: (\bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q}))_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow (\bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q}))_{\text{ret}}$  is homotopic to the identity because  $\sigma$  preserves components  $\text{spec } \tilde{Q}$  of  $\bar{W}(S \otimes \tilde{Q})$  and  $(\text{spec } \tilde{Q})_{\text{ret}}$  is a point. Now it follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $\sigma_r^\wedge: (\bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}})_{\text{ret}}^\wedge \rightarrow ((\bar{W}GL_{n,\tilde{Q}})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge$  is homotopic to the identity. This implies the proposition by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. Theorem A now follows immediately from Propositions 2.4 and 2.7.

The action of  $\text{Gal}(C; Q)$  on  $BU(n)^\wedge$  is not interesting as we see from the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *The action of  $\text{Gal}(C; Q)$  on  $BU(n)^\wedge$  factors through  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{Q}; Q)$ .*

The proposition follows from Proposition 2.2 and the commutativity of

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{W}GL_{n,C})_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,Q})_{\text{ret}} \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma} \\ (\bar{W}GL_{n,C})_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,Q})_{\text{ret}} \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the restriction of  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(C; Q)$  to  $\bar{Q}$ .

### 3. The stable action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)$

If  $X$  is a CW complex let  $S^\infty X = \{S^n X\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be the suspension spectrum. Bousfield [4] has constructed localizations of the category of spectra with respect to all homology theories. The localization of a spectrum  $E$  with respect to the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum  $K(Z/r)$  we denote by  $E_r^\wedge$  because of its behaviour on homotopy groups (see [4] Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.1). We shall be mainly concerned here with suspension spectra of  $((\bar{W}G)_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$ . Their  $K(Z/r)$ -localizations we shall denote by  $(S^\infty(\bar{W}G)_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$ .

Let  $S = \sum_n \int Z/r^\infty$ . The embedding  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{Q}^*$  induces a map of simplicial schemes

$$\iota_Q: \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q}) \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}} \quad \text{which is} \quad \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q_{(\iota_Q)})$$

equivariant where  $Q_{(\iota_Q)}$  is an extension of  $Q$  obtained by adjoining all  $r^k$ -roots of unity. Hence for any  $\sigma \in [\text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q); \text{Gal}(\bar{Q}; Q)]$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_Q)^*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}})_{\text{ret}} \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_Q)^*} & (\bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}})_{\text{ret}} \end{array}$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we obtain that  $\sigma: \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}}$  is homotopic to the identity. If the group of coefficients of cohomology is  $Z/r$ , then  $\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}}$  can serve as a homological model for a classifying space of a normalizer of a maximal torus in  $U(n)$ . Hence it follows from [3] Theorem 5.5 that there is a transfer

$$\tau: (S^\infty(\bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge \rightarrow (S^\infty \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$$

such that

$$j \circ \tau = \text{id}_{(S^\infty(\bar{W}GL_{n,\bar{Q}})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge} \quad \text{where} \quad j = (S^\infty_{((\iota_Q)^*)}_r)_r^\wedge.$$



Let  $[X; Y]$  be the set of homotopy classes of maps between spectra. In order to prove Theorem B it is sufficient to show that for every spectrum  $X$  the map from  $[X; (S^\infty(\bar{W}GL_{n, \mathcal{O}})_{\text{ret}})^\wedge]$  into  $[X; (S^\infty(\bar{W}GL_{n, \mathcal{O}})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge]$  induced by  $S^\infty(\sigma)_r^\wedge$  is the identity. Let  $f \in [X; S^\infty(\bar{W}GL_{n, \mathcal{O}})_{\text{ret}}]^\wedge$ . Then  $g = \tau \circ f \in [X; (S^\infty \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{Q}))_{\text{ret}}]^\wedge$  and  $j \circ g = f$ , so that  $S^\infty(\sigma)_r^\wedge \circ f = S^\infty(\sigma)_r^\wedge \circ j \circ g = j \circ g = f$ . This completes the proof.

**4. The action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$**

In this section we shall compare the homotopy self equivalences of  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  induced by  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p \cdot F_p)$  for different  $p$ . Let  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$  and  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p^*$  be embeddings into roots of unity. Set  $S = \Sigma_n \wr Z/r^\infty$ . The map  $k$  induces a map of simplicial schemes  $K: \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \rightarrow \bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p}$ . The map  $K$  on the component  $\text{spec } \bar{F}_p$  of  $S^n \otimes \bar{F}_p$  indexed by  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is given by

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^n F_p[x_{ij}]/(\det(x_{ij}) - 1) \ni f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n \rightarrow f_1(\zeta_1) \dots f_n(\zeta_n)$$

where  $\zeta_i = \bar{k}(s_i)$  and  $\bar{k}: S \rightarrow GL(n, \bar{F}_p)$  is induced by  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p^*$ . Let  $\iota: \bar{W}S \rightarrow \bar{W}GL(n, C)$  be a map induced by  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$ . The following proposition will be fundamental in this section.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Suppose that there are given two embeddings  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$  and  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p^*$  into roots of unity. Suppose also that  $(r, p) = 1$  and  $r > n$ . Then these embeddings induce homotopy equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} ((\bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge &\xleftarrow{K_\star} (\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p))_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge \xleftarrow{Jd} (\bar{W}S_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge \\ &\xrightarrow{\iota_\star} (\bar{W}GL(n; C)_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge \approx BU(n)_r^\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $i$  and  $k$  naturally determine a homotopy equivalence

$$\theta_{(k, i)}: (\bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge \rightarrow (\bar{W}GL(n, C)_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge.$$

*Proof.* Let us notice that the pro-objects  $\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}}$  and  $(\bar{W}S)_{\text{rth}}$  are weakly homotopy equivalent. The map between  $(\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$  and  $((\bar{W}S)_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge$  we shall denote by  $Jd$ . The map  $Jd$  and  $\iota_\star$  are clearly homotopy equivalences. To show that  $K_\star$  is a homotopy equivalence we must compare the situation over  $\bar{F}_p$  via the Witt vectors of  $\bar{F}_p$  with the situation over  $C$ . But in the characteristic zero the map  $((\bar{W}GL_{n, C})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge \leftarrow (\bar{W}(S \otimes C)_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$  is a homotopy equivalence because  $((\bar{W}GL(n, C))_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge \leftarrow (\bar{W}S_{\text{rth}})_r^\wedge$  is a homotopy equivalence. This implies that  $K_\star$  is a homotopy equivalence.

*Remark.* To compare  $((\bar{W}G_{\bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$  and  $((\bar{W}G_C)_{\text{ret}})_r^\wedge$  one uses the embedding  $f$  of the Witt vectors of  $\bar{F}_p$  into  $C$  (see [5] Proposition 2.8).

However in our special case it is not necessary. The embedding  $f$  is replaced by embeddings  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$  and  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p$ . One can show that the raising homotopy equivalences  $\theta(k, i)$  are the same as one obtains using the Witt vectors. This implies that in our special case  $((r, p) = 1$  and  $r > n$ ) the homotopy equivalence  $\theta$  from Proposition 2.8 of [5] depends only on the restriction of  $f$  to roots of unity.

If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  we denote by  $\sigma$  the induced map of  $(\bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}}^\wedge$ . Set  $\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) = \theta(k, i) \circ \sigma \circ \theta(k, i)^{-1}$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p$  be an embedding into roots of unity and let  $\varphi_p: \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z/r^\infty) = \hat{Z}_r^*$  be the homomorphism given by restriction. If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  then the following diagram commutes up to homotopy*

$$\begin{CD} (\bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}} @<K_*<< \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}} \\ @VV\sigma V @VV\varphi_p(\sigma)_\# = (\bar{W}\varphi_p(\sigma))_{\text{ret}} V \\ (\bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p})_{\text{ret}} @<K_*<< \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}} \end{CD}$$

where  $\bar{W}\varphi_p(\sigma): \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \rightarrow \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)$  is induced by  $\varphi_p(\sigma): Z/r^\infty \rightarrow Z/r^\infty$ .  $\bar{W}\varphi_p(\sigma)$  maps a copy of  $\text{spec } \bar{F}_p$  indexed by  $s \in S^n$  into a copy of  $\text{spec } \bar{F}_p$  indexed by  $(\text{id} \downarrow \varphi_p(\sigma))(s)$  by the identity map.

Proof. For any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} @. \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \\ @. @VV\sigma V \\ \bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p} @<K<< \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \\ @VV\sigma V @VV\bar{W}\varphi_p(\sigma) V \\ \bar{W}GL_{n, \bar{F}_p} @<K<< \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \end{CD}$$

where  $\sigma: \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p) \rightarrow \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)$  is the Galois action. Let us notice that the map  $\sigma: \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}} \rightarrow \bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{ret}}$  is the identity. This implies that the diagram in question is homotopy commutative.

Now we shall show that  $\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma)$  does not depend on the choice of  $i$  and  $k$ .

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$ ,  $i_1: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$ ,  $k: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p^*$  and  $k_1: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p^*$  be embeddings into roots of unity. Then for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  we have*

$$\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) = \psi_{(i_1, k_1)}(\sigma).$$

Moreover  $\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) = \iota_\# \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_\# \circ \iota_\#^{-1}$  where  $\varphi_p(\sigma)_\#: (\bar{W}S)_{\text{rth}} \rightarrow ((\bar{W}S)_{\text{rth}})^\wedge$  is induced by  $\bar{W}(\text{id} \downarrow \Sigma_n \downarrow \varphi_p(\sigma))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow Z/r^\infty$  be a homomorphism such that  $i = i_1 \circ a$ . From the definition of  $\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma)$  and  $\theta(k, i)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) &= \theta(k, i) \circ \sigma \circ \theta(k, i)^{-1} \\ &= \iota_{\star} \circ Jd^{-1} \circ K_{\star}^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ K_{\star} \circ Jd \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) = \iota_{\star} \circ Jd^{-1} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ Jd \circ \iota_{\star}.$$

It is clear that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}(\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{rel}})_r^\wedge & \xleftarrow{Jd} & ((\bar{W}S)_{r|h})_r^\wedge \\ \downarrow \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} & & \downarrow \varphi_p(\sigma) \\ (\bar{W}(S \otimes \bar{F}_p)_{\text{rel}})_r^\wedge & \xleftarrow{Jd} & ((\bar{W}S)_{r|h})_r^\wedge\end{array}$$

where  $\varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} = \bar{W}(\text{id}_{\Sigma_n} \int \varphi_p(\sigma))_r^\wedge$ . This implies that

$$\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma) = \iota_{\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1}$$

and similarly

$$\psi_{(i_1, k_1)}(\sigma) = \iota_{1\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_{1\star}^{-1}.$$

But the equality  $\iota_{1\star} = \iota_{\star} \circ a_{\#}$  where  $a_{\#} = (\bar{W}(\text{id}_{\Sigma_n} \int a))_r^\wedge$  and the fact that  $\text{Aut}(Z/r^\infty)$  is abelian implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_{1\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_{1\star}^{-1} &= \iota_{\star} \circ a_{\#} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ a_{\#}^{-1} \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1} \\ &= \iota_{\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ a_{\#} \circ a_{\#}^{-1} \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1} = \iota_{\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\psi_{(i_1, k_1)}(\sigma) = \psi_{(i, k)}(\sigma)$$

We see that  $\psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma)$  does not depend on  $i$  and  $k$ . We set  $\psi(\sigma) = \psi_{(i,k)}(\sigma)$ .

Let us notice that the formula  $\psi(\sigma) = \iota_{\star} \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_{\star}^{-1}$  implies the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Suppose that  $(r, p) = 1$  and  $r > n$ . Then the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  factors through  $\varphi_p: \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow \hat{Z}_r^*$ .*

Now we shall prove Theorem C. First we shall show that the actions of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$  commute for all  $p \neq r$  and that they generate the group  $\hat{Z}_r^*$ . Throughout the following discussion,  $r$  is a prime greater than  $n$ .

Fix an embedding  $i: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow C^*$  and embeddings  $k_p: Z/r^\infty \rightarrow \bar{F}_p$  for

each prime  $p \neq r$ . Hence for each prime  $p \neq r$  we have an action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  on  $BU(n)_r^\wedge$ .

Let us define a map

$$\Phi: \prod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow [BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$$

by setting  $\Phi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, \text{id}, \text{id}, \dots) = \psi(\sigma_1) \circ \dots \circ \psi(\sigma_n)$ .

Let us define also two other maps

$$\varphi: \prod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z/r^\infty) = \hat{Z}_r^*$$

and

$$\mu: \text{Aut}(Z/r^\infty) \rightarrow [BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge].$$

If  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p)$  we set

$$\varphi(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \text{id}, \dots, \text{id}, \dots) = \varphi_{p_1}(\sigma_1) \circ \varphi_{p_2}(\sigma_2) \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{p_n}(\sigma_n)$$

where  $\varphi_{p_n}(\sigma_i)$  is the restriction of  $\sigma_i$  to  $Z/r^\infty$ . It is clear that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism and  $\varphi$  maps a Frobenius automorphism of  $\bar{F}_p$  onto  $p$ . The map  $\mu$  is defined in the following way:

$$\mu(u) = \iota_* \circ u_{\#} \circ \iota_*^{-1}$$

where  $u_{\#}: ((\bar{W}S)_{rh})_r^\wedge \rightarrow ((\bar{W}S)_{rh})_r^\wedge$  is induced by  $\bar{W}(\text{id}_{\Sigma_n} \downarrow u)$ . It is clear that  $\mu$  is a homomorphism.

It follows immediately from the formula  $\psi(\sigma) = \iota_* \circ \varphi_p(\sigma)_{\#} \circ \iota_*^{-1}$  from Proposition 4.3 that  $\Phi = \mu \circ \varphi$ . Both  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$  are homomorphisms. Hence  $\Phi$  is also homomorphism.

Now we shall show that  $\varphi$  is epimorphic. Suppose that  $r > 2$ . Let us notice that  $\hat{Z}_r^* = \varprojlim (Z/r^n)^*$ . The group  $(Z/r^n)^*$  is a product of a cyclic group of order  $r-1$  and of a cyclic group of order  $r^{n-1}$  generated by  $r+1$ . Suppose that a prime number  $q$  is a generator of  $(Z/r)^*$  and  $r+1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$ . Let  $\varphi_n: G = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_q; F_q) \times \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_{p_1}; F_{p_1}) \times \dots \times \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_{p_m}; F_{p_m}) \rightarrow (Z/r^n)^*$  be a composition of  $\varphi$  restricted to  $G$  with a projection onto  $(Z/r^n)^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_p$  be the Frobenius automorphism of  $\bar{F}_p$ .

The image of  $\mathcal{F}_q$  in  $(Z/r)^*$  has order  $r-1$ . Hence some power of  $\varphi_n(\mathcal{F}_q)$  has order  $r-1$  in  $(Z/r^n)^*$ . Clearly  $\varphi_n(\mathcal{F}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{p_m}^{\alpha_m}) = r+1$  has order  $r^{n-1}$  in  $(Z/r^n)^*$ . Hence it follows that  $\varphi_n$  is onto for each  $n$ . This implies that  $\varphi(G)$  is a dense subset of  $\hat{Z}_r^*$ .  $\varphi(G)$  is also a closed subset of  $\hat{Z}_r^*$  because  $G$  is compact and  $\varphi$  restricted to  $G$  is continuous. This implies that  $\varphi(G) = \hat{Z}_r^*$ .

To finish the proof we must show that the semigroup operations are continuous in  $\prod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F)$  and  $[BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$  and that  $\Phi$  is a continuous map. First we show that  $\Phi$  is continuous. We shall denote the

geometric realization of  $(\bar{W}G)_{r\hbar}$  by the abbreviated form  $\bar{W}G$ .  $\Phi$  is equal to the following composition.

$$\coprod_{p \neq r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_p; F_p) \rightarrow [\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S] \rightarrow [\bar{W}S_r^\wedge; \bar{W}S_r^\wedge] \rightarrow [\bar{W}GL(n, C)_r^\wedge; \bar{W}GL(n, C)_r^\wedge]$$

where

$$i(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, \text{id}, \dots) = \bar{W}\left(\text{id}_{\Sigma_n} \int \varphi(\sigma_1)\right)_{r\hbar} \circ \bar{W}\left(\text{id}_{\Sigma_n} \int \varphi(\sigma_2)\right)_{r\hbar} \circ \dots \circ j(f) = f_r^\wedge$$

and  $\iota(h) = \iota_* \circ h \circ \iota_*^{-1}$ . We topologized  $[\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S] = \varprojlim [\bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Z/r^k; \bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Z/r^k]$  as an inverse limit of finite sets  $[\bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Z/r^k; \bar{W}\Sigma_n \int Z/r^k]$ . This definition of the topology on  $[\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S]$  implies immediately that  $i$  is continuous. We recall [12] that  $[Y; X_r^\wedge]$  is topologized as the inverse limit of finite sets  $\varprojlim_{\{f\}} (\varprojlim_i [Y_i; F])$  where  $\{Y_i\}$  runs through the finite subcomplexes of  $Y$  and  $\{f: X \rightarrow F\}$  is the inverse system defining  $X_r^\wedge$ .  $[Y; X_r^\wedge]$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Now we show that  $j$  is continuous. Let  $c^*: [\bar{W}S_r^\wedge; \bar{W}S_r^\wedge] \rightarrow [\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S_r^\wedge]$  be a map induced by the  $r$ -completion map  $c: \bar{W}S \rightarrow \bar{W}S_r^\wedge$ . The map  $c^*$  is clearly continuous. It follows from the obstruction theory that  $c^*$  is a bijection. This implies that  $c^*$  is a homeomorphism because both spaces are compact and Hausdorff. Let  $c_*: [\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S] \rightarrow [\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S_r^\wedge]$  be also induced by  $c$ . We have that  $c_* = c^* \circ j$ . Hence to show that  $j$  is continuous it is enough to show that  $c_*$  is continuous.

Let  $X_i$  be finite subcomplexes of  $\bar{W}S = \varprojlim_i \bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Z/r^i)$  such that

- i)  $X_i \subset \bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Z/r^i)$  and  $i$ -skeleton of  $\bar{W}(\Sigma_n \int Z/r^i) \subset X_i$ ,
- ii) the homology groups of  $X_i$  are finite,
- iii)  $\bar{W}S = \varinjlim X_i$ .

The topology we have defined on  $[\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S]$  is equal to the inverse limit topology obtained from the isomorphism  $[\bar{W}S; \bar{W}S] = \varprojlim [X_i; \bar{W}S]$ . If  $\{f: \bar{W}S \rightarrow F\}$  is an inverse system defining  $(\bar{W}S)_r^\wedge$  then there is a map from the inverse system  $[X_i; \bar{W}S]_i$  into the inverse system  $[X_i; F]_{i,f}$  and the map of the inverse limits is continuous because maps between finite sets with discrete topologies are clearly continuous. But this map is  $c_*$ .

It remains to show that  $\iota$  is continuous.

The map  $\iota: \bar{W}S \rightarrow \bar{W}GL(n, C)$  induces continuous maps

$$J_*: [\bar{W}S_r^\wedge; \bar{W}S_r^\wedge; \bar{W}GL(n, C)_r^\wedge]$$

and

$$J^*: [\bar{WGL}(n, C)_r^\wedge; \bar{WGL}(n, C)_r^\wedge] \rightarrow [\bar{WS}_r^\wedge; \bar{WGL}(n, C)_r^\wedge].$$

These maps are homeomorphisms because all spaces are compact, Hausdorff. Let us notice that  $J^* \circ \iota = J_*$ . This implies that  $\iota$  is continuous.

It is clear that the composition is continuous in all the sets involved except perhaps  $[BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$ . We shall give here a proof of a more general fact shown to us by Eric Friedlander. Our first proof of the continuity of the composition in  $[BU(n)_r^\wedge; BU(n)_r^\wedge]$  used the properties of the quotient of the compact-open topology from [9].

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** (E. Friedlander) *Let  $(\ )^\wedge$  denote the Sullivan completion with respect to some subset of primes. Suppose that  $Y$  is a space of finite type with "good" homotopy groups (see [13] p. 44–45). Then the composition*

$$m: [X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \times [Y^\wedge; Z^\wedge] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Z^\wedge]$$

*is a continuous map. (Each set of homotopy classes has the Sullivan topology.)*

*Proof.* Let  $\{Z_f\}_f$  be an inverse system defining  $Z^\wedge$ . We can suppose that each  $Z_f$  has only a finite number of non-zero homotopy groups. From the definition of the Sullivan completion we have immediately that

$$[Y^\wedge; Z^\wedge] = \varprojlim_f [Y^\wedge; Z_f] \quad \text{and} \quad [X^\wedge; Z^\wedge] = \varprojlim_f [X^\wedge; Z_f].$$

to show that  $m$  is continuous it is enough to show that

$$\rho_0 \circ m: [X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \times \varprojlim_f [Y^\wedge; Z_f] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$$

is continuous for each  $f_0$ , where

$$\rho_0: \varprojlim_f [X^\wedge; Z_f] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$$

is a projection. The map  $\rho_0 \circ m$  factors through a projection

$$[X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \times \varprojlim_f [Y^\wedge; Z_f] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \times [Y^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$$

which is clearly continuous. Hence it is enough to show that the composition

$$[X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \times [Y^\wedge; Z_{f_0}] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$$

is continuous. Our assumptions on  $Y$  and  $\{Z_f\}_f$  imply that  $[Y^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$  is a finite set. Therefore it suffices to show that for any  $y \in [Y^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$  the map  $R_y: [X^\wedge; Y^\wedge] \rightarrow [X^\wedge; Z_{f_0}]$  given by  $R_y(x) = y \circ x$  is continuous. If  $Y$  has

“good” homotopy groups we can find  $z: Y \rightarrow Z_{f_0}$  such that  $z^\wedge = y$ . The maps of the form  $R_2^\wedge$  are clearly continuous. This finishes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, ‘Maps between classifying spaces II’, *Inventiones Math.* 49, (1978), 1–65.
2. M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Etale Homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 100, Springer-Verlag 1969.
3. J. C. Becker and D. H. Gottlieb, ‘The transfer map and fiber bundles’, *Topology* 14, pp. 1–12, 1975.
4. A. K. Bousfield, ‘The localization of spectra with respect to homology’, *Topology* 18, (1979), 257–281.
5. E. Friedlander, ‘Computation of  $K$ -theories of finite fields’, *Topology* 15, (1976), 87–109.
6. E. Friedlander, ‘Maps between localized homogeneous spaces’, *Topology* 16, (1977), 205–216.
7. E. Friedlander, *Etale Homotopy of Simplicial Schemes*, Annals of Math Studies, Princeton University Press, 1982.
8. J. P. May, *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 271, Springer-Verlag 1972.
9. J. P. Pezennec, ‘Propriétés topologiques de  $[X; Y]$  et fantômes de finitude’, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 107, (1973), 113–126.
10. D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 341, Springer-Verlag 1973.
11. G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Publ. Math. Inst. des Hautes Etudes Scient. (Paris), 34, (1968).
12. D. Sullivan, *Geometric topology, localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge 1970.
13. D. Sullivan, ‘Genetics homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture’, *Ann. of Math.* 100, (1974), 1–74.
14. *Theorie des Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schemas*, Tom 3, Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 305, Springer-Verlag 1973

Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik  
 ETH-Zentrum  
 CH-8092 Zürich  
 Switzerland