The Lichenologist **44**(4): 543–560 (2012) © British Lichen Society, 2012 doi:10.1017/S0024282912000138

Fungal-algal interactions in *Ramalina menziesii* and its associated epiphytic lichen community

Silke WERTH

Abstract: Lichens are a fascinating example of a symbiotic mutualism. It is still uncertain which processes guide fungal-photobiont interactions, and whether they are random or of a more complex nature. Here, the fungal-algal interactions in *Ramalina menziesii* and co-occurring taxa are analyzed by using DNA sequences of the algal Internal Transcribed Spacer region (ITS), to investigate fungal-algal associations in juvenile *R. menziesii* and allied species. Algal species were identified by a combination of BLAST searches, median-joining network analysis, and Bayesian phylogenetics. Fungal-algal networks were analyzed for nestedness, both at the species and haplotype level (fungal species vs. algal haplotypes), and the networks were inspected for evidence of compartmentalization. Bayesian phylogenetic trees indicated that the widespread green alga *Trebouxia decolorans* associated with *R. menziesii*, as well as six other fungal species. Four additional fungal species interacted with the nearest neighbours of juvenile *R. menziesii*. Fungal-algal species interactions were compartmentalization fungal haplotypes, nestedness was found. This pattern is similar to the compartmentalization found in other intimately interacting mutualists.

Key words: compartmentalization, lichen-forming fungi, nestedness, photobiont, species interactions, specificity, symbiosis

Accepted for publication 7 February 2012

Introduction

Species interactions are a major factor structuring biological communities, and symbiotic interactions can be an important force driving evolution (Margulis & Fester 1991). In lichens, one of the 'textbook examples' of symbiosis, the local composition of symbiotic partnerships between lichen-forming fungi and their photobionts is a fascinating issue (Beck et al. 1998, 2002; Yahr et al. 2004; Ohmura et al. 2006). Progress has been made in recent years due to the development of molecular techniques that allow a straightforward identification of photobiont and fungal genetic strains and species (Scheidegger & Werth 2009; Werth 2010). In this way, photobiont guild structure and one-to-one species, as well as haplotype interactions, have been analyzed in the lichen symbiosis.

The symbiotic mutualistic associations of lichen fungi and their photobionts can be described by their degree of specificity and selectivity. These terms characterize the taxonomic range of the partners with which an organism associates (Smith & Douglas 1987). Following the suggestion by Beck et al. (2002), I use the term specificity for the symbiotic association as a whole, that is, from the view of both photobionts and mycobionts. A narrow taxonomic range (e.g., a one-to one relationship) would be indicative of the high specificity of the association. I refer to selectivity as the taxonomic range of the interaction viewed from the perspective of only one biont (Beck et al. 2002). Accordingly, a mycobiont would be highly selective in its partner choice if it were found in association with few, closely related photobiont species.

Previous studies have shown that lichen fungi may exhibit a varying degree of selectivity towards their photobionts. Some fungi

S. Werth: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Box 951606, Los Angeles, California 90095-1606, USA and Biodiversity and Conservation Biology, Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland. Email: silke.werth@wsl.ch

show high selectivity, and they associate with a particular photobiont phylogenetic clade or species (Kroken & Taylor 2000; Helms et al. 2001; Beck et al. 2002; Piercey-Normore 2004, 2006; Yahr et al. 2004; Stenroos et al. 2006; Myllys et al. 2007). Other fungal species are far less selective towards their photobiont partners (Wirtz et al. 2003; Piercey-Normore 2004; Yahr et al. 2004; Myllys et al. 2007); some may occasionally or always associate with two photobiont species (Piercey-Normore 2006; Casano et al. 2011). Some fungal species are able to partner up with photobionts belonging to different kingdoms, and which photobiont partner gets involved in the symbiosis may depend on environmental conditions (Goffinet & Bayer 1997; Tønsberg & Goward 2001; Summerfield et al. 2002).

Many open questions remain in the field of fungal-algal associations in lichens: for instance, are fungal-photobiont relationships entirely random or are they structured in a complex way, that is, nested or compartmentalized? In the case of random associations, no apparent pattern of association would be found in species interaction matrices (Bascompte et al. 2003; Jordano et al. 2003). In nested species interactions, specialists tend to interact with subsets of the species that interact with generalist species (Bascompte et al. 2003). In terms of the lichen symbiosis, this would mean that the specialized fungal species are interacting almost exclusively with the photobiont species that the generalistic fungi are interacting with. This nested type of species interaction leads to a pattern in species interaction matrices that resembles an asymptotic curve (Bascompte et al. 2003; Jordano et al. 2003). Translated to the world of lichen fungi and their photobionts, that would mean that few generalist mycobiont species interact with many photobiont species, and few generalist photobiont species interact with many mycobiont species. Additionally, specialized mycobiont species would interact with a subset of the photobiont species used by the generalists, and vice versa, specialized photobionts would interact with a subset of the mycobiont species. Nestedness can not only be studied at the level of fungal and algal

species, but also at the level of haplotypes of each symbiosis partner.

In the case of compartmentalized species interactions (Bascompte et al. 2003; Jordano et al. 2003), certain fungal species would interact with a defined subset of photobiont species, while other fungal species would interact with a non-overlapping subset of photobiont species; interaction matrices would thus exhibit independent subwebs. Compartmentalization and participation of comparatively few species has recently been documented from networks of mutualistic, symbiotic ant and plant species with a high degree of interaction intimacy, while networks with low interaction intimacy were generally species-rich and nested (Guimarães et al. 2007). Results from previous studies indicate that mycobiont-photobiont interactions are not random, and they seem to be independent of the green algal species present in free-living populations at a site (Beck et al. 1998; Rambold et al. 1998).

Many lichen fungi disperse clonally with symbiotic propagules which contain photobiont cells, which leads to vertical transmission of the photobiont (Nash 1996; Werth et al. 2006a, b) unless the photobiont is exchanged during establishment (Ohmura et al. 2006; Wornik & Grube 2010; Werth & Scheidegger 2012). Also, lichen-forming ascomycetes reproduce sexually with ascospores, which are dispersed independently of their photobiont cells (i.e., horizontal transmission), though a few exceptions exist where both partners are co-dispersed (Honegger 2008). Germinating ascospores have to associate with new photobionts (relichenization) in order to form a thallus. This phenomenon is probably common, but has been reported from only a few species (Beck et al. 2002; Romeike et al. 2002; Sanders & Lücking 2002; Werth & Sork 2008). It has also been suggested that germinating spores could gain photobionts either from vegetative propagules produced by other lichen species, or from adult thalli of other species (Ott 1987a; Ott et al. 2000; Rikkinen 2003). Alternatively, they may retrieve cells from free-living Trebouxia populations (Beck et al. 1998).

Ramalina menziesii (Ramalinaceae) is a common epiphytic lichen in coastal oak savannas of southern California. It is associated with the photobiont genus Trebouxia (Brodo et al. 2001), which contains some frequent and widely distributed photobiont species. Recently, the local genetic structure was investigated for this lichen fungus across four sites, and high gene flow was found among sites (Werth & Sork 2008). Werth & Sork (2008) reported Trebouxia decolorans as photobiont of R. menziesii. The reproductive strategy of Ramalina menziesii in the study site was determined as almost exclusively sexual, involving relichenizations, whereas clonal reproduction was negligible (Werth & Sork 2008). These results necessitated a more detailed study of fungal-algal relationships using juvenile thalli.

Here, I specifically asked the following questions: 1) What proportion of juveniles of R. menziesii had an opportunity to interact with other species (as evidenced by growing in close proximity)? 2) Is the lichen-forming fungus R. menziesii selective in its choice of photobiont strains? 3) With which other lichen-forming fungi does R. menziesii share a common pool of algal species or haplotypes? If pairs of juvenile R. menziesii and its nearest neighbour on a branch have identical photobiont haplotypes, photobiont exchange may have occurred between them. Take-over of algae has been observed in some lichens (Friedl 1987; Ott 1987a, b; Ott et al. 2000). 4) Are fungal species associations and algal species/haplotype associations random in R. menziesii and the other members of the lichen community, or are they structured in a more complex way (e.g. nested)?

Materials and Methods

Study site

The study area was located at UCSB Sedgwick Reserve in the Santa Ynez Valley of Santa Barbara County. This field station is administered by UC Santa Barbara as part of the University of California Natural Reserve System (California, United States, $34^{\circ}42'$ N, $120^{\circ}02'$ W; 2358 ha; elevation 290–790 m). The majority of the reserve is an oak savanna dominated by three tree species: valley oak (*Quercus lobata; Fagaceae*), blue oak (*Q. douglasii*), and coastal live oak (*Q. agrifolia*). Sork *et al.* (2002*a*, *b*) provide details of the study site.

Data sampling

To study the potential for interaction with other lichen species, juvenile thalli (\leq 5 cm long) of *Ramalina menziesii* Tayl. (*Ramalinaceae*) were observed in the field, and it was recorded whether they were growing by themselves or within 2 mm from another lichen species, or from an adult conspecific. A cut-off level of 2 mm was chosen because preliminary observations of distance, between thalli indicated that within this distance, other species were often found. Also, it was assumed that for exchange of algae to happen, physical contact with the neighbouring thallus was required, which was why a rather short distance was used as cut-off level.

Juveniles were observed on multiple branches per tree on a total of 11 trees. The number of juveniles observed per tree varied between 2 and 22, depending on availability of juveniles on branches at an accessible height on the tree (≤ 2 m). The aim of these observations was to quantify how often *R. menziesii* juveniles had close contact with thalli of other lichen species. Also, these data yielded a qualitative overview of community composition.

The following design was used to study fungal-algal relationships on a total of five trees at the study site: within each tree, 2–3 branches were selected that were more than 1 m from each other; within each branch, 3–4 lichen thalli were collected, depending on availability: 1) a juvenile *Ramalina menziesii* (=0 cm); 2) a lichen species other than *R. menziesii*, situated within 2 mm from the juvenile (the 'nearest neighbour'); 3) a lichen species other than *R. menziesii*, situated at a distance larger than 1 cm from the juvenile, range 1.6-12.3 cm (>1 cm); 4) an additional *R. menziesii*, if present on branch, juvenile or adult. In this way, a total of 45 samples were collected. This design allowed me to test whether pairs of juveniles of *R. menziesii* and other species shared algal DNA sequence haplotypes.

Molecular methods

DNA sequences were obtained from the ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer) region of the photobiont, situated within the nuclear ribosomal gene cluster. ITS was used because the same locus was used in several other studies of lichen photobionts, including those where *Trebouxia* type cultures or strains from culture reference collections had been sequenced.

Owing to the photobiont specificity of the molecular assay, it was not necessary to obtain axenic cultures prior to sequencing. Instead, the photobiont ITS was amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) from thallus extracts, and sequenced directly.

Each algal-specific PCR contained $1 \times$ Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 200 nM each of forward and reverse primer, 1 µl genomic DNA (0·05–2 ng), and H₂O to a final volume of 30 µl. The Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen) consisted of an unspecified quantity of dNTPs, HotStarTaq DNA polymerase, and 3 mM MgCl₂ (pH 8·7). PCR conditions were as follows: 15 min at 96°C to activate the hot-start polymerase of the multiplex kit, 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 60 s at 56°C, 90 s at 72°C, and a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. The primers used for PCR and sequencing were ITS1T and ITS4T (Kroken & Taylor 2000). PCR purification and sequencing were performed as described in Werth & Sork (2008). DNA sequences were edited with Sequence Scanner Software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) and aligned using ClustalW (Thompson *et al.* 1994) as implemented in MEGA version 4.1 (Kumar *et al.* 2004). Alignments were adjusted manually after the automated alignment had been performed. All unique ITS sequences were deposited in GenBank (accessions EU717911-EU717936; Table 3).

Data analysis

ITS sequences of the photobionts were grouped by tree and branch, and the ITS haplotypes were compared within each hierarchical level (among trees, among branches within tree, within branch). Then, photobiont haplotypes were compared for *R. menziesii* juveniles and their nearest neighbouring lichen fungi. Matches of photobiont haplotypes among thalli indicated photobiont sharing among fungi.

Phylogenetic trees excluding gaps were constructed using MrBayes version 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). I ran PAUP version 4.0 to generate model scores (Swofford 1998), and used MrModeltest version 2.2 (Posada & Crandall 1998; Nylander 2004) to infer the appropriate substitution model for the phylogenetic analyses. The best model according to the AIC criterion was selected for all analyses (GTR+G). Four chains were run in parallel in MrBayes with a generation number of 1 000 000, sampling trees every 100th generation. A total of 10 000 trees were sampled, and the first 1000 trees of these were discarded (burn in). Results from a second run of MrBayes were inspected, and tree topology remained consistent across runs (data not shown). In addition, a neighbour-joining analysis was run with 1000 bootstraps in Mega version 4 (Tamura et al. 2007) using Jukes-Cantor distance, which resulted in a similar tree topology as the Bayesian analysis (data not shown).

Moreover, median-joining haplotype networks as implemented in the software Network (Bandelt *et al.* 1995, 1999) were constructed. These networks allow a simple inspection of how well a group of sequences is separated from other groups by a plot of the mutated positions on the branches, and hence, to delineate species. Incongruences in the data, for example due to recombination, are shown as loops in the network.

To identify photobiont species, BLAST searches (Altschul *et al.* 1997) for the photobiont haplotypes were performed, ITS sequence data of known photobionts were obtained from reference cultures from Gen-Bank, and included in the Bayesian analysis. Photobiont species were considered identical if they were in the same, supported clade as a known species in the median-joining haplotype network and the phylogenetic tree (posterior probability >0.9), or were considered a 'new' species when clades had a high bootstrap support and did not group within known species. These 'new' algal species

may represent either known species for which sequences have not yet been deposited in GenBank, or species new to science. Table 1 gives an overview of the GenBank sequences used in the phylogenetic analysis.

To test for nestedness of the interaction of algal species and their lichen-forming fungi (species-level interaction), a fungi-by-algae matrix was constructed that showed the presence/absence of associations between fungal and photobiont species (rows: fungal species; columns: photobiont species; entries: 1 = algae found as a symbiotic partner of this fungal species, 0 = algae not found as a partner of that fungus). To test for nestedness in the association of algal haplotypes (columns) and fungal species (rows), a second matrix was constructed. The program ANINHADO version 2.03 (Guimarães & Guimarães 2006) was run to determine the matrix temperature T, a measure of how a given presence/absence matrix differs from a perfectly nested matrix, with values ranging from 0 (perfect nestedness) to 100 (no nestedness) (Atmar & Patterson 1993). Nestedness was defined as N = (100-T)/100, and ranged between 0 (no nestedness) and 1 (maximum nestedness) (Bascompte et al. 2003; Guimarães & Guimarães 2006). Using ANINHADO, I tested if a respective matrix was significantly nested by comparing the observed matrix temperature with the temperatures of random replicate matrices. These random replicates were constructed using four null models implemented in ANINHADO: 1) In the first null model (hereafter referred to as 'ER'), presences were assigned randomly to any matrix cell, keeping the total number of presences constant. 2) The second null model ('COL') assigned presences randomly within columns, thereby keeping column sums constant. 3) The third null model ('LI') differed by assigning presences randomly within rows, thereby keeping row sums constant. 4) The fourth null model referred to as 'CE' kept both columns and rows constant, and was the most conservative null model because it controlled for the degree of nestedness expected by the heterogeneity of interactions across species (Bascompte et al. 2003). For each null model, 1000 random matrices were generated, their matrix temperatures were calculated in ANINHADO, and N was determined, and compared with the nestedness of the observed matrices. Following Bascompte et al. (2003), the probability P of a random matrix being equally or more nested than the observed matrix as a test statistic was used.

To compare nestedness among the two matrices (1, fungal species – algal species; 2, fungal species – algal haplotypes), I calculated their relative nestedness as $N^* = (N - N_R)/N_R$, where N was the observed nestedness, and N_R was the averaged nestedness of 1000 random replicates. Positive values of relative nestedness indicate that the network shows asymmetrical specialization and a generalist core (i.e., nestedness), while negative values might be associated with symmetric interaction patterns and the existence of compartments (Guimarães *et al.* 2007).

Nestedness analysis is not an adequate technique to test for non-nested patterns; if associations are not nested, they are either random or, alternatively, they may show a different type of organization (Guimarães *et al.* 2007).

| Trebouxia species | Strain number* | Lichen fungus | Accession | Reference | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| T. arboricola | SAG 219-1a | Unknown | Z68705 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. arboricola | P-83-Ia | Xanthoria ectaneiodes | AJ969611 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. asymmetrica | UTEX2507 | Diploschistes albescens | AF345889 | Piercey-Normore & DePriest (2001) | | | | | | |
| T. asymmetrica | B207 | Toninia sedifolia | AF344177 | Beck et al. (2002) | | | | | | |
| T. asymmetrica | 99.024B3 | Fulgensia fulgida | AF344176 | Beck et al. (2002) | | | | | | |
| T. asymmetrica | SAG48.88 | Diploschistes diacapsis | AJ249565 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. corticola | UTEX909 | Unknown | AJ249566 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. decolorans | P-400-IaSc | Xanthoria hasseana | AM159210 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. decolorans | P-69-IaSc | Xanthoria hasseana | AJ969534 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. decolorans | P-319-Ig | Xanthoria parietina | AM159503 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. decolorans | P-164-IXa-2 | Xanthoria parietina | AJ969563 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. flava | UTEX181 | Unknown | AF242467 | Kroken & Taylor (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. galapagensis | UTEX2230 | Ramalina sp. | AJ249567 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. gelatinosa | UBT-86.108B2 | Flavoparmelia caperata | Z68697 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. gelatinosa | UTEX905 | Unknown | Z68698 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. gelatinosa | P-350a-III | Xanthomendoza sp. | AM159213 | S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger (unpublished) | | | | | | |
| T. gigantea | UTEX2231 | Caloplaca cerina | AF242468 | Kroken & Taylor (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. gigantea | UTEX2231 | Caloplaca cerina | AJ249577 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. higginsae | UTEX2232 | Buellia straminea | AJ249574 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. impressa | UTEX892 | Physcia stellaris | AF345891 | Piercey-Normore & DePriest (2001) | | | | | | |
| T. impressa | UTEX893 | Physcia stellaris | AF345890 | Piercey-Normore & DePriest (2001) | | | | | | |
| T. incrustata | UTEX784 | Lecanora dispersa | AJ293795 | Helms et al. (2001) | | | | | | |
| T. jamesii | UBT-86.001E1 | Unknown | Z68699 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. jamesii | UBT-86.132E2 | Unknown | Z68700 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. jamesii | UBT-86.156C3 | Unknown | Z68701 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. jamesii | A(23) | Umbilicaria umbilicarioides | AJ431590 | Romeike et al. (2002) | | | | | | |
| T. jamesii | A(19) | Umbilicaria decussata | AJ431589 | Romeike et al. (2002) | | | | | | |
| T. potteri | UTEX900 | Unknown | AF242469 | Kroken & Taylor (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. showmanii | UTEX2234 | Unknown | AF242470 | Kroken & Taylor (2000) | | | | | | |
| T. usneae | UBT-87.01A1 | Unknown | Z68702 | Bhattacharya et al. (1996) | | | | | | |
| T. usneae | UTEX2235 | Usnea filipendula | AJ249573 | Friedl et al. (2000) | | | | | | |

 TABLE 1. Sequences from GenBank included within this study, showing species of Trebouxia analyzed, GenBank accession numbers, and references to ITS rDNA sequences

For instance, compartments may exist, which are indicated by isolated subwebs. Therefore, columns and rows were sorted and visually inspected the interaction networks. Moreover, the number of such subwebs within the matrices were counted.

Results

Potential for interaction and diversity

In total, 103 juveniles of *R. menziesii* were surveyed on 11 trees (Table 2). Only four out of the 103 juvenile lichens had no neighbour lichen within a 2 mm distance. At the tree level, the mean number of *R. menziesii* juveniles growing in close proximity to another lichen neighbour ($\bar{x} = 9.0$) was 24.8 times larger than that of juveniles growing by themselves ($\bar{x} = 0.364$). Thus, many juveniles of *R. menziesii* may have had the potential to interact with other species of lichens during their development.

For the genetic analyses, ten lichen species were sampled from the trees containing *R. menziesii* juveniles (Table 3, Fig. 1). These included two species of Xanthoria, X. hasseana and X. tenax, Ramalina leptocarpha and *R.* farinacea, and two Physcia species. A total of 26 algal haplotypes were found among the juvenile thalli of *R. menziesii* and the 10 other species (Table 3).

Algal sharing

Four algal haplotypes were shared among the lichen fungi. Juveniles of *R. menziesii* utilized eight algal ITS haplotypes which

| Species | Observations | Algal sharing | |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Caloplaca sp. | 6 | _ | |
| Candelaria concolor | _ | Yes | |
| Chrysothrix chlorina | 4 | _ | |
| Flavoparmelia caperata | 4 | No | |
| Lecanora cf. symmicta | 2 | _ | |
| Lepraria sp. | 3 | _ | |
| Physcia adscendens | _ | Yes | |
| P. tenella | 16 | No | |
| Physconia isidiigera | 5 | No | |
| Ramalina farinacea | _ | Yes | |
| R. leptocarpha | 25 | Yes | |
| Rinodina sp. | 1 | _ | |
| Teloschistes chrysophthalmus | 12 | No | |
| Xanthoria hasseana | 11 | Yes | |
| X. tenax | 10 | Yes | |

TABLE 2. Species observed in a field survey as nearest neighbours of Ramalina menziesii, giving the number of observations and whether fungal species shared photobiont with R. menziesii as determined from a DNA sampling survey (specimens included in the DNA sampling are listed in Table 3).

– no data.

belonged to a single algal species (Trebouxia decolorans) (Table 3, Figs 2 & 3). In 90% of the observations, the algal ITS haplotype of the nearest neighbour was different from that of the juvenile R. menziesii. On one twig, an algal haplotype was shared between a juvenile and its nearest neighbour Xanthoria hasseana (1-3-1b), as well as with a X. hasseana thallus growing at a distance of 12.3 cm (1-3-1d). The same haplotype (ITS23) occurred also on two other trees (2-2-1e, 3-1-1a). On another tree, X. tenax (2-3-2f) shared an algal haplotype with a R. menziesii (2-3-2e) growing at the same spot. Interestingly, the same algal haplotype occurred also on other trees (individuals 1-1-1c, 4-2-1a). Also, another algal haplotype occurred on several trees (ITS1; trees 1, 2, 3, and 4).

Fungal-algal associations

Five species of the genus *Trebouxia* were found to be associated with the lichen fungi studied. *Trebouxia decolorans* was found to be the photobiont of seven lichen-forming fungal species, *Candelaria concolor*, *Physcia adscendens*, *Ramalina farinacea*, *R. leptocarpha*, *R. menziesii*, *Xanthoria tenax*, and *X. hasseana* (Table 3, Fig. 2). In contrast, *Physcia tenella* and *Flavoparmelia caperata* (individual 5-1-1c) were each associated with a different, unknown (i.e., either not included in GenBank or new to science) species of the genus *Trebouxia* (Fig. 2). The second thallus of *F. caperata* (5-1-1b) and *Teloschistes chrysophthalmus* were found in association with *T. gelatinosa* (Fig. 2, Table 3). The only photobiont species of *R. menziesii* in the study area was *T. decolorans*. The photobiont of *P. isidiigera* was deemed conspecific with *Trebouxia flava*, and that of *P. tenella* (e.g. 3-1-1g) was distantly related to *T. gelatinosa* (Fig. 2), but represented an unknown species.

Random or structured associations

The species interaction matrix, algal species by fungal species, was not significantly nested (N = 0.564, a value of 1 indicating perfect nestedness) (Guimarães & Guimarães 2006). The matrix is available in Table 4. This matrix was not significantly nested for any of the null models employed (Table 5). For two null models, the values of relative nestedness, N*, were negative. These negative values may indicate the existence of subwebs (compartmentalization). Visual inspection of the network revealed four reciprocally isolated subwebs, which suggests that

| ID* | Algal species | Algal ITS | Fungal species | Distance† | Accession |
|--------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1-1-1a | T. decolorans | ITS1 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717911 |
| 1-1-1b | T. decolorans | ITS24 | Xanthoria tenax | 1 | EU717934 |
| 1-1-1c | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Ramalina farinacea | 480 | EU717925 |
| 1-1-1d | T. decolorans | ITS22 | Xanthoria tenax | 400 | EU717932 |
| 1-3-1a | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717933 |
| 1-3-1b | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Xanthoria hasseana | 0 | |
| 1-3-1c | ‡ ‡ | ‡ | Xanthoria hasseana | 510 | |
| 1-3-1d | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Xanthoria hasseana | 1230 | |
| 2-2-1a | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | |
| 2-2-1b | T. decolorans | ITS1 | Ramalina farinacea | 1 | |
| 2-2-1c | T. decolorans | ITS1 | Xanthoria tenax | 2 | |
| 2-2-1d | T. decolorans | ITS26 | Ramalina farinacea | 500 | EU717936 |
| 2-2-1e | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Xanthoria tenax | 350 | |
| 2-3-2a | T. decolorans | ITS10 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717920 |
| 2-3-2b | T. decolorans | ITS20 | Xanthoria tenax | 0 | EU717930 |
| 2-3-2c | T. decolorans | ITS21 | Ramalina menziesii | 160 | EU717931 |
| 2-3-2d | T. decolorans | ITS24 | Xanthoria tenax | 160 | |
| 2-3-2e | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Ramalina menziesii | 410 | |
| 2-3-2f | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Xanthoria tenax | 410 | |
| 2-3-2g | T. decolorans | ITS24 | Xanthoria tenax | 760 | |
| 3-1-1a | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | |
| 3-1-1b | T. decolorans | ITS1 | Candelaria concolor | 0 | |
| 3-1-1c | Trebouxia sp. 2 | ITS2 | Physcia tenella | 1 | EU717912 |
| 3-1-1d | T. decolorans | ITS17 | Candelaria concolor | 730 | EU717927 |
| 3-1-1e | T. decolorans | ITS11 | Ramalina leptocarpha | 700 | EU717921 |
| 3-1-1f | T. decolorans | ITS18 | Candelaria concolor | 800 | EU717928 |
| 3-1-1g | Trebouxia sp. 2 | ITS3 | Physcia tenella | 350 | EU717913 |
| 3-1-3b | T. decolorans | ITS23 | Ramalina leptocarpha | >2000 | |
| 4-1-1a | T. decolorans | ITS1 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | |
| 4-1-1b | T. decolorans | ITS9 | Physcia adscendens | 0 | EU717919 |
| 4-1-1c | <i>Trebouxia</i> sp. 2 | ITS4 | Physcia tenella | 580 | EU717914 |
| 4-2-1a | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | |
| 4-2-1b | T. decolorans | ITS16 | Ramalina leptocarpha | 2 | EU717926 |
| 4-2-1c | T. decolorans | ITS25 | Ramalina leptocarpha | 300 | EU717935 |
| 4-2-1d | ‡ | ‡ | Xanthoria tenax | 0 | |
| 4-2-1e | T. decolorans | ITS15 | Xanthoria tenax | 490 | |
| 4-2-1f | T. decolorans | ITS19 | Xanthoria tenax | 210 | EU717929 |
| 5-1-1a | T. decolorans | ITS12 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717922 |
| 5-1-1b | T. gelatinosa | ITS8 | Flavoparmelia caperata | 0 | EU717918 |
| 5-1-1c | Trebouxia sp. 1 | ITS5 | Flavoparmelia caperata | >2000 | EU717915 |
| 5-2-1a | T. decolorans | ITS13 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717923 |
| 5-2-1b | T. gelatinosa | ITS8 | Teloschistes chrysophthalmus | 0 | |
| 5-6-1a | T. decolorans | ITS14 | Ramalina menziesii | 0 | EU717924 |
| 5-6-1b | Trebouxia flava | ITS6 | Physconia isidiigera | 0 | EU717916 |
| 5-6-1c | Trebouxia flava | ITS7 | Physconia isidiigera | 380 | EU717917 |

 TABLE 3. Algal – fungal relationships in lichens associated with juvenile Ramalina menziesii. The most frequent ITS haplotype, ITS23, is marked in bold

* sample ID consists of the tree number, branch number, twig number, and sample letter

† the distance from sample a, the juvenile R. menziesii [mm]

‡ sequencing failed.



FIG. 1. A typical juvenile, net-formed thallus of the model species *Ramalina menziesii* (lace lichen, *Ramalinaceae*), the dominant epiphytic lichen of a southern Californian oak savannah. The juvenile *R. menziesii* grows next to other members of the epiphytic community, *Xanthoria tenax* and *Ramalina leptocarpha*. Scale = 1 cm. In colour online.

the species-level matrix was indeed organized in compartments. The largest subweb consisted of seven fungal and one algal species, and the remaining three represented one-byone associations or two-by-two associations.

The nestedness of the matrix of fungal species and algal ITS sequence haplotypes was N = 0.716. Relative nestedness of fungal species and algal haplotypes was higher than that of the fungal-algal species matrix (Table 5). Significant nestedness was found with the ER and COL null model, the LI model was near to significant, and the conservative CE model was not significant.

Overall, the tests above revealed some degree of nestedness in the fungal species-algal ITS haplotype matrix, while the species-byspecies matrix was not significantly nested. However, when visually inspecting the haplotype-level network (Table 6), a few isolated subsets nevertheless existed, implying compartmentalization (e.g. for the fungal species *F. caperata*, *T. chrysophthalmus* and *P. tenella*), while the largest part of the network, a group of fungal species including *R. menziesii* and *X. hasseana*, appeared to be nested.

Discussion

First, the potential for *R. menziesii* juveniles to interact with other species was studied using field observations, and I found that juveniles had indeed ample opportunities



FIG. 2. Phylogenetic tree from Bayesian analysis of the photobionts associated with *Ramalina menziesii* and other lichen fungi from a southern Californian oak savanna. See Table 1 for more information on the sequences from GenBank. The numbers show Bayesian posterior probabilities.



FIG. 3. Median-joining haplotype network of the photobiont community associated with *R. menziesii* and other lichen fungi in a southern Californian oak savanna. Branch length is proportional to the number of mutated positions. Haplotypes are shown as dots, with dot size proportional to a haplotype's frequency. Conspecific individuals are marked with circles.

to interact. Then, I investigated photobiont sharing among fungal species in the epiphytic lichen community with molecular techniques, and found evidence of sharing of one photobiont species (Trebouxia decolorans) by several fungal species. There was also some evidence of haplotype sharing among the focal species of the study, R. menziesii, and another species growing as its nearest neighbour (e.g., X. hasseana), though in most observations (90%), the algal haplotypes of juvenile R. menziesii differed from those of their nearest neighbours. The fungal-algal species associations were concordant with compartmentalization, a pattern in the data where groups of fungal species share the same algal partners.

Diversity

The lichen-forming fungi in this study belonged to the orders *Teloschistales* and

Lecanorales, their photobionts to Microthamniales (genus Trebouxia). The Bayesian phylogenetic tree based on ITS sequences of Trebouxia sp., as well as results from the median-joining haplotype networks, were in agreement with other published phylogenies of lichen photobionts (Beck et al. 1998; Dahlkild et al. 2001; Helms et al. 2001; Hauck et al. 2007). The algal species T. decolorans associated with multiple fungal species, including the species of Ramalina and Xanthoria. Only Flavoparmelia caperata associated with different algal species (T. gelatinosa and T. sp1). These observations are consistent with some of these fungi sharing a common pool of algae; they may represent a photobiont-mediated fungal ecological guild. Photobiont-mediated guilds have been reported earlier for cyanobacterial lichens (Rikkinen et al. 2002; Rikkinen 2003). The

| | Algal species | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fungal species | T. decolorans | T. gelatinosa | T. sp. 1 | T. sp.2 | T. flava | | | | | | | | |
| Candelaria concolor (3) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Physcia adscendens (1) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Ramalina farinacea (3) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| R. leptocarpha (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| R. menziesii (12) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Xanthoria hasseana (2) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>X. tenax</i> (10) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Teloschistes chrysophthalmus (1) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Flavoparmelia caperata (2) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Physcia tenella (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Physconia isidiigera (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | |

 TABLE 4. Interaction matrix of fungal and algal species, sorted to reveal potential subwebs. Numbers in parentheses indicate sample sizes.

TABLE 5. Relative nestedness N* of fungal-algal associations for four null models.

| Matrix | ER | CE | LI | COL |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Fungal species – algal species | 0.0900 | -0.1133 | 0.1440 | -0.1950 |
| Fungal species – algal haplotypes | 0.2256* | 0.1475 | 0.1324^{+} | 0.2554* |

* significant at P = 0.05.

photobiont community was dominated by the alga *T. decolorans*. This alga is a frequent lichen photobiont and is distributed worldwide. *Trebouxia decolorans* is also known as a photobiont of *Xanthoria parietina*, a common and widespread lichen species (S. Nyati, S. Scherrer & R. Honegger, unpublished data).

Factors influencing juvenile development in *R. menziesii*

Why do most *R. menziesii* juveniles grow close to other lichen thalli, even though they mostly do not seem to utilize the algae of other lichens? This is particularly intriguing as the branches I observed were not completely covered with lichens, seemingly leaving ample space for new colonizations.

Interestingly, this observed pattern is the opposite of what one would expect if interspecific competition played a major role. In this case, juveniles of R. menziesii should avoid growing close to adult lichen thalli that may outcompete them in the pursuit of light. Competition by other epiphytic species has been identified as an important mortality factor during juvenile development (Sillett et al. 2000a; Zoller et al. 2000). Allelopathic effects (Fahselt 1994), phenolic compounds leached from other lichen species hindering the growth of juveniles, do not seem to play a major role in the development of R. menziesii in the study area. If allelopathic effects were important, I would expect to see juveniles of R. menziesii growing at a larger distance from other lichens on the branches, rather than within a few millimetres from their neighbours, as observed in most juvenile thalli included in this study. Juveniles require a particular microclimate for their development (Schuster 1985). Possibly, the area within 2 mm from an occupied spot on a

| | Algal ITS sequence haplotypes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Fungal species | H1 | H23 | H15 | H21 | H10 | H12 | H13 | H14 | H19 | H20 | H22 | H24 | H11 | H16 | H25 | H17 | H18 | H26 | H2 | H3 | H4 | H6 | H7 | H8 | H5 | H9 | Freq |
| R. menziesii | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| X. tenax | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| R. leptocarpha | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| C. concolor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| R. farinacea | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| X. hasseana | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| P. tenella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| P. isidiigera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| F. caperata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| T. chrysopht. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| P. adscendens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Frequency | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |

TABLE 6. Interaction matrix of fungal species and algal ITS sequence haplotypes, sorted by their frequency in columns and rows to reveal potential subwebs; for names of fungal genera and species, see Table 4

branch may contain microclimatic conditions suitable for spore germination and juvenile development of R. menziesii, so that specimens nearby have a facilitation effect on young thalli. For instance, the presence of a nearest neighbour might favour shading of a germinating spore, and increase the water potential of the site relative to an 'empty' site. While the morphology of juvenile development and survival rates of diaspores have been studied in detail (Ott 1987a; Scheidegger 1995; Ott et al. 2000; Sillett et al. 2000a, b; Zoller et al. 2000; Hilmo & Såstad 2001; Hilmo & Ott 2002), the environmental conditions required for the development of lichens from symbiotic propagules and from ascospores are still poorly understood, though the latter are key to the population dynamics of sexual lichen fungi.

Algal sharing

The many juvenile thalli of R. menziesii that were found growing close to neighbours highlight the potential of R. menziesii juveniles to have interacted with other species in the course of their development, and it cannot be ruled out that presence of neighbouring thalli leads to a facilitation effect, that is, increased establishment success. While the observational data show that R. menziesii juveniles grow most often in proximity with other species, this is obviously no indication that an interaction has indeed occurred with the neighbouring lichen species. I therefore used genetic data to investigate haplotype sharing between juvenile R. menziesii and neighbouring thalli.

Juveniles of *R. menziesii* used 31% of the algal haplotypes found in this study. In only one case was there evidence for algal sharing with a nearest neighbour (i.e. *X. hasseana*, 1-3-1a and 1-3-1b). In branch 1-3, the same photobiont was also found within the same branch at a larger distance, suggesting that the juvenile *R. menziesii* may have associated with a locally abundant, free-living algal haplotype, rather than a true algal exchange having taken place. In all other cases, there was no evidence of algal exchange among the juvenile and its nearest neighbour (Table

3), despite an apparently ample opportunity for interactions.

Several factors could explain these results. Firstly, the alga of the nearest neighbour may or may not be compatible (Yahr et al. 2004) with a developing R. menziesii sporeling. For instance, all nearest neighbouring algae found on tree number five were apparently not photobionts of R. menziesii. Secondly, R. menziesii may have retrieved its algae either from free-living algal populations or from vegetative, symbiotic lichen propagules. There is evidence that some species of Trebouxia can occur in free-living populations (Bubrick et al. 1984; Mukhtar et al. 1994). Thirdly, the algal layer of another lichen thallus may not be easily accessed by a R. menziesii sporeling. Many lichens, including the foliose and fruticose species investigated, have a dense upper cortex of fungal hyphae (Honegger 2008). Algal cells are usually situated in the algal layer below the cortex, and are often surrounded by fungal hyphae, and haustoria connect to the algal cells (Honegger 2008). Therefore, few algal cells may be available from an adult lichen thallus, unless for some reason the upper cortex is lacking. This could be the case in sorediate lichens, where the upper cortex breaks up to release soredia. Also, as a thallus gets damaged, for example by herbivores, the algal layer might become exposed leading to algal release. Indeed, it has been shown that in some cases Trebouxia cells can be released from damaged lichen thalli, given that sufficient moisture is available (Richardson 1999). However, for a sporeling or a juvenile lichen thallus, algae might also be available from propagules, free-living algae, or thallus fragments (Bubrick et al. 1984; Ahmadjian 1988; Mukhtar et al. 1994; Rikkinen 2003). Fourthly, algal sharing due to clonal propagation is not a likely explanation of the pattern found, as juveniles were investigated in the species-level study, and R. menziesii does not have any particular structures facilitating vegetative dispersal (e.g. soredia, isidia). Juveniles therefore have to result from sexual propagation, which is in accordance with the findings of a previous study (Werth & Sork 2008). Fifthly, the

juvenile thalli studied were between 0.5 cm and 5 cm long; these size classes may represent different ages. A species which was the nearest neighbour during the time of sampling, may not actually have been present on the branch when an ascospore was germinating and incorporating its alga. Nevertheless, if algal 'takeover' by *R. menziesii* was a common phenomenon, one would expect more observations of algal sharing; at least algal sharing among neighbouring thalli containing the compatible algal species, *T. decolorans*.

Fungal-algal associations

The fungal species included in this study utilized only one algal species, with the notable exception of Flavoparmelia caperata, which used two algal species. A pool of seven fungal species belonging to the orders *Teloschistales* (e.g., Xanthoria hasseana) and Lecanorales (e.g., Ramalina menziesii) each utilized the same algal species, T. decolorans. A second group of fungal species of the same orders used several Trebouxia species of an algal clade including T. gelatinosa. Such high fungal selectivity for particular algal species has also been reported in other studies (Beck 1999; Beck et al. 2002; Piercey-Normore 2004, 2006; Yahr et al. 2004). Doering & Piercey-Normore (2009) documented the photobionts of a lichen community on Jack pine, and found that fungal species were associated with at least five algal species. Similar to findings in the present study, taxonomically different fungal species (e.g. those belonging to *Physciaceae* and *Parmeliaceae*) associated with the same algal species.

Random or structured associations

The results demonstrate that *Ramalina menziesii* interacts with a specific subset of the photobiont species present in the lichen community. The interaction between mycobionts and photobionts is specific at the level of individual species, thus corroborating the results of other studies (Beck *et al.* 2002; Piercey-Normore 2004, 2006; Yahr *et al.* 2004; Ohmura *et al.* 2006; Hauck *et al.* 2007).

Most interestingly, the structure of fungalalgal associations changed with decreasing scale. The organization of fungal and algal species was consistent with compartmentalization, a pattern where several independent subsets of species interact with one another. This pattern was different from what has been observed in less intimate mutualistic interactions, such as plant-pollinator or plantherbivore interactions, in which the observed species associations were significantly nested, that is with few generalist species interacting with many species, and many specialists interacting with subsets of the species interacting with the generalists (Bascompte et al. 2003; Jordano et al. 2003; Bascompte & Jordano 2007). However, symbiotic mutualisms of ant and plant species exhibited compartmentalized interactions as well (Guimarães et al. 2007). In the present study, fungal and algal species showed evidence of compartmentalization. However, it has to be considered that the sample sizes were relatively small and the communities were certainly not completely sampled. Further studies that employ a more intensive sampling scheme are necessary to investigate whether the patterns reported here hold with increased sample sizes, and in different lichen communities.

The test for nestedness was significant at the smaller spatial scale, that is for the network of fungal species and algal haplotypes, probably because a large part of the network was comprised of the fungal species associating with haplotypes of T. decolorans, and, at this scale, some evidence for nestedness appeared to be present in the data (i.e. T. decolorans haplotypes interacting with many fungal species). The nestedness within the T. decolorans-mediated subweb may have masked the compartmentalized structure of the small remaining part of the network, making the statistical test of nestedness significant in 50% of the permutation tests performed. To conclude, also at the scale of haplotypes, the network showed significant organization, which differed slightly from the organization at the species level.

For the analyses of fungal-algal interactions, I investigated only part of a community (i.e. the lichens that were found on the same branches as juvenile *R. menziesii*). An increased sampling effort would have revealed more associations among species, which might have made it more likely to observe significant nesting at the haplotype level. The statistical significance of nestedness, despite the limited sample size, implies that some nested structure is indeed present in the haplotype-level data. Increased sampling could also have revealed more subwebs in the species-level data, as soon as additional species were included.

One important question is what are the mechanisms leading to the compartmentalized organization of fungal and algal species? A possible explanation is that the fungi may not be compatible with all algal species. Indeed, most fungal species in the present study were associated with only one algal species, suggesting high fungal selectivity. A wide range of algal partners indicating low selectivity has been found for some lichen fungi (Piercey-Normore 2004; Yahr et al. 2004), whereas others appeared to be more specific (Beck 1999; Kroken & Taylor 2000; Yahr et al. 2004; Piercey-Normore 2006; Hauck et al. 2007; Doering & Piercey-Normore 2009). Even within the same fungal genus, selectivity for the photobiont partner can vary dramatically (Piercey-Normore 2004). Moreover, the mode of photobiont transmission might be a major factor influencing the nature of fungal-algal interactions at the community scale (Beck et al. 1998; Yahr et al. 2004). If photobionts were predominantly vertically transmitted, the same photobiont haplotype should be found repeatedly within the same fungal species. This might lead to compartmentalization of haplotype associations. However, here I found that the recurrent algal haplotypes were often shared among fungal species, with a few recurrences within species. For R. menziesii, a previous study found evidence of sexual reproduction involving relichenizations, that is, horizontal transmission of photobionts (Werth & Sork 2008). Also, R. menziesii was associated with multiple algal haplotypes, indicating horizontal transmission of algae. Relichenization and horizontal transmission mode has also been reported for other species

(Piercey-Normore & DePriest 2001; Beck et al. 2002; Romeike et al. 2002; Sanders & Lücking 2002; Nelsen & Gargas 2008). The relative frequency of vertical and horizontal transmission of photobionts may have a pronounced effect on the structure of fungalalgal associations (Werth & Scheidegger 2012). However, this would not necessarily be reflected in the present nestedness analyses which do not consider the frequency of associations. Last but not least, differential selection could create the observed compartmentalized structure of fungal-algal associations, if selection favoured some associations over others; recent studies have provided evidence for this hypothesis (Blaha et al. 2006; Nelsen & Gargas 2009; Werth & Sork 2010; Fernández-Mendoza et al. 2011; Peksa & Skaloud 2011; Werth 2011; Werth & Scheidegger 2012).

It would be interesting to test if the compartmentalized pattern of fungal-algal associations observed in the present study can be confirmed in other lichen communities, for example in communities where cyanobacterial photobionts predominate, or in communities where *Dictyochloropsis* sp. or *Trentepohlia* sp. (green algal) photobionts are frequent; these communities might show altogether different patterns in fungal-algal associations, relative to the communities associating with *Trebouxia* spp. included in the present study.

Conclusions

While juveniles of *R. menziesii* had indeed ample opportunities to interact with other lichen species, for most observations, the algal haplotypes of juvenile *R. menziesii* differed from those of their nearest neighbours, indicating that algae are not taken up from the thalli of other species growing in the vicinity. However, the observation that most thalli of *R. menziesii* grew in the vicinity of other lichen thalli indicates that facilitation might take place, that is increased juvenile survival due to the beneficial effect of neighbours. *Ramalina menziesii* was specific in its choice of a photobiont species, but shared this with several other lichen fungi.

Vol. 44

Fungal-algal associations at the species level were not random but concordant with compartmentalization, similar to the pattern found in other intimately interacting mutualists. However, at a finer taxonomic scale (fungal species & algal haplotypes), a different pattern was observed, with interaction matrices showing some evidence of nestedness. Hence, network organization in communities of mycobionts and their photobiont partners depends on the scale of the study.

I thank Ariel Bergamini for valuable discussions and feedback on the manuscript. This research was supported by the following sources: a National Geographic Award to Dr Victoria L. Sork and SW, and a post-doctoral fellowship from the Swiss National Foundation (PBBEA-111207) to SW. I am grateful to Dr Victoria L. Sork (UCLA), in whose laboratory the molecular work was carried out. The Sork laboratory was supported by a National Science Foundation award (DEB-0089445). I acknowledge the University of California Natural Reserve System Sedgwick Reserve administered by UC Santa Barbara, and I am grateful for the logistical support received from Michael Williams, Rick Skillin and Barbara Huebel at Sedgwick Reserve. Vivian Knight and Damian Posedel from the UCLA Sequencing Core Facility ran the ITS sequences on an automated sequencer.

References

- Ahmadjian, V. (1988) The lichen alga *Trebouxia* does it occur free-living? *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 158: 243–247.
- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. & Lipman, D. J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25: 3389–3402.
- Atmar, W. & Patterson, B. D. (1993) The measure of order and disorder in the distribution of species in fragmented habitat. *Oecologia* 96: 373–382.
- Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P., Sykes, B. C. & Richards, M. B. (1995) Mitochondrial portraits of human populations using median networks. *Genetics* 141: 743–753.
- Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. & Röhl, A. (1999) Medianjoining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 37–48.
- Bascompte, J. & Jordano, P. (2007) Plant-animal mutualistic networks: the architecture of biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 38: 567–593.
- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melian, C. J. & Olesen, J. M. (2003) The nested assembly of plant-animal mutualistic networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100: 9383– 9387.

- Beck, A. (1999) Photobiont inventory of a lichen community growing on heavy-metal-rich rock. *Lichenol*ogist 31: 501–510.
- Beck, A., Friedl, T. & Rambold, G. (1998) Selectivity of photobiont choice in a defined lichen community: inferences from cultural and molecular studies. *New Phytologist* **139**: 709–720.
- Beck, A., Kasalicky, T. & Rambold, G. (2002) Mycophotobiontal selection in a Mediterranean cryptogam community with *Fulgensia fulgida*. New Phytologist 153: 317–326.
- Bhattacharya, D., Friedl, T. & Damberger, S. (1996) Nuclear-encoded rDNA group I introns: origin and phylogenetic relationships of insertion site lineages in the green algae. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 13: 978–989.
- Blaha, J., Baloch, E. & Grube, M. (2006) High photobiont diversity associated with the euryoecious lichen-forming ascomycete *Lecanora rupicola* (Lecanoraceae, Ascomycota). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 88: 283–293.
- Brodo, I. M., Sharnoff, S. D. & Sharnoff, S. (2001) Lichens of North America. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Bubrick, P., Galun, M. & Frensdorff, A. (1984) Observations on free-living *Trebouxia* Depuymaly and *Pseudotrebouxia* Archibald, and evidence that both symbionts from *Xanthoria parientina* (L.) Th. Fr. can be found free-living in nature. *New Phytologist* 97: 455–462.
- Casano, L. M., del Campo, E. M., Garcia-Breijo, F. J., Reig-Arminana, J., Gasulla, F., del Hoyo, A., Guera, A. & Barreno, E. (2011) Two Trebouxia algae with different physiological performances are ever-present in lichen thalli of Ramalina farinacea. Coexistence versus competition? Environmental Microbiology 13: 806–818.
- Dahlkild, A., Kallersjo, M., Lohtander, K. & Tehler, A. (2001) Photobiont diversity in the *Physciaceae* (Lecanorales). *Bryologist* **104**: 527–536.
- Doering, M. & Piercey-Normore, M. D. (2009) Genetically divergent algae shape an epiphytic lichen community on Jack Pine in Manitoba. *Lichenologist* 41: 69–80.
- Fahselt, D. (1994) Secondary biochemistry of lichens. Symbiosis 16: 117–165.
- Fernández-Mendoza, F., Domaschke, S., García, M. A., Jordan, P., Martín, M. P. & Printzen, C. (2011) Population structure of mycobionts and photobionts of the widespread lichen *Cetraria aculeata*. *Molecular Ecology* 20: 1208–1232.
- Friedl, T. (1987) Thallus development and phycobionts of the parasitic lichen *Diploschistes muscorum*. *Lichenologist* 19: 183–191.
- Friedl, T., Besendahl, A., Pfeiffer, P. & Bhattacharya, D. (2000) The distribution of group I introns in lichen algae suggests that lichenization facilitates intron lateral transfer. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 14: 342–352.
- Goffinet, B. & Bayer, R. J. (1997) Characterization of mycobionts of photomorph pairs in the Peltigerineae (lichenized ascomycetes) based on internal trans-

cribed spacer sequences of the nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **21:** 228–237.

- Guimarães, P. R. & Guimarães, P. (2006) Improving the analyses of nestedness for large sets of matrices. *Environmental Modelling and Software* 21: 1512–1513.
- Guimarães, P. R., Rico-Gray, V., Oliveira, P. S., Izzo, T. J., dos Reis, S. F. & Thompson, J. N. (2007) Interaction intimacy affects structure and coevolutionary dynamics in mutualistic networks. *Current Biology* 17: 1797–1803.
- Hauck, M., Helms, G. & Friedl, T. (2007) Photobiont selectivity in the epiphytic lichens *Hypogymnia physodes* and *Lecanora conizaeoides*. *Lichenologist* 39: 195–204.
- Helms, G., Friedl, T., Rambold, G. & Mayrhofer, H. (2001) Identification of photobionts from the lichen family *Physciaceae* using algal-specific ITS rDNA sequencing. *Lichenologist* 33: 73–86.
- Hilmo, O. & Ott, S. (2002) Juvenile development of the cyanolichen Lobaria scrobiculata and the green algal lichens Platismatia glauca and Platismatia norvegica in a boreal Picea abies forest. Plant Biology 4: 273– 280.
- Hilmo, O. & Såstad, S. M. (2001) Colonization of oldforest lichens in a young and an old boreal *Picea abies* forest: an experimental approach. *Biological Conservation* **102**: 251–259.
- Honegger, R. (2008) Mycobionts. In Lichen Biology (T. H. Nash III, ed.): 27–39. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jordano, P., Bascompte, J. & Olesen, J. M. (2003) Invariant properties in coevolutionary networks of plant-animal interactions. *Ecology Letters* 6: 69–81.
- Kroken, S. & Taylor, J. W. (2000) Phylogenetic species, reproductive mode, and specificity of the green alga *Trebouxia* forming lichens with the fungal genus *Letharia*. Bryologist **103**: 645–660.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K. & Nei, M. (2004) MEGA3: Integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 5: 150–163.
- Margulis, L. & Fester, R. (1991) Symbiosis as a Source of Evolutionary Innovation: Speciation and Morphogenesis. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Mukhtar, A., Garty, J. & Galun, M. (1994) Does the lichen alga *Trebouxia* occur free-living in nature – further immunological evidence. *Symbiosis* 17: 247– 253.
- Myllys, L., Stenroos, S., Thell, A. & Kuusinen, M. (2007) High cyanobiont selectivity of epiphytic lichens in old growth boreal forest of Finland. *New Phytologist* **173**: 621–629.
- Nash III, T. H. (1996) *Lichen Biology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nelsen, M. P. & Gargas, A. (2008) Dissociation and horizontal transmission of codispersing lichen symbionts in the genus *Lepraria* (Lecanorales: *Stereocaulaceae*). New Phytologist 177: 264–275.
- Nelsen, M. P. & Gargas, A. (2009) Symbiont flexibility in *Thamnolia vermicularis* (Pertusariales: Icmadophilaceae). *Bryologist* 112: 404–417.

- Nylander, J. A. A. (2004) MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Available from http:// www.abc.se/~nylander/. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Ohmura, Y., Kawachi, M., Kasai, F., Watanabe, M. M. & Takeshita, S. (2006) Genetic combinations of symbionts in a vegetatively reproducing lichen, *Parmotrema tinctorum*, based on ITS rDNA sequences. *Bryologist* **109:** 43–59.
- Ott, S. (1987*a*) The juvenile development of lichen thalli from vegetative diaspores. *Symbiosis* **3:** 57–74.
- Ott, S. (1987b) Sexual reproduction and developmental adaptations in Xanthoria parietina. Nordic Journal of Botany 7: 219–228.
- Ott, S., Schröder, T. & Jahns, H. M. (2000) Colonization strategies and interactions of lichens on twigs. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 75: 445–455.
- Peksa, O. & Skaloud, P. (2011) Do photobionts influence the ecology of lichens? A case study of environmental preferences in symbiotic green alga Asterochloris (Trebouxiophyceae). Molecular Ecology 20: 3936– 3948.
- Piercey-Normore, M. D. (2004) Selection of algal genotypes by three species of lichen fungi in the genus *Cladonia. Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 947–961.
- Piercey-Normore, M. D. (2006) The lichen-forming ascomycete Evernia mesomorpha associates with multiple genotypes of Trebouxia jamesii. New Phytologist 169: 331–344.
- Piercey-Normore, M. D. & DePriest, P. T. (2001) Algal switching among lichen symbioses. *American Journal* of Botany 88: 1490–1498.
- Posada, D. & Crandall, K. A. (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818.
- Rambold, G., Friedl, T. & Beck, A. (1998) Photobionts in lichens: possible indicators of phylogenetic relationships? *Bryologist* 101: 392–397.
- Richardson, D. H. S. (1999) War in the world of lichens: parasitism and symbiosis as exemplified by lichens and lichenicolous fungi. *Mycological Research* 103: 641–650.
- Rikkinen, J. (2003) Ecological and evolutionary role of photobiont-mediated guilds in lichens. *Symbiosis* 34: 99–110.
- Rikkinen, J., Oksanen, I. & Lohtander, K. (2002) Lichen guilds share related cyanobacterial symbionts. *Science* 297: 357.
- Romeike, J., Friedl, T., Helms, G. & Ott, S. (2002) Genetic diversity of algal and fungal partners in four species of *Umbilicaria* (lichenized ascomycetes) along a transect of the Antarctic peninsula. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19: 1209–1217.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.
- Sanders, W. B. & Lücking, R. (2002) Reproductive strategies, relichenization and thallus development observed in situ in leaf-dwelling lichen communities. *New Phytologist* 155: 425–435.

- Scheidegger, C. (1995) Early development of transplanted isidioid soredia of *Lobaria pulmonaria* in an endangered population. *Lichenologist* 27: 361–374.
- Scheidegger, C. & Werth, S. (2009) Conservation strategies for lichens: insights from population biology. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 23: 55–66.
- Schuster, G. (1985) Die Jugendentwicklung von Flechten: ein Indikator f
 ür Klimabedingungen und Umweltbelastung. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 20: 1–206.
- Sillett, S. C., McCune, B., Peck, J. E. & Rambo, T. R. (2000a) Four years of epiphyte colonization in Douglas-fir forest canopies. *Bryologist* **103**: 661–669.
- Sillett, S. C., McCune, B., Peck, J. E., Rambo, T. R. & Ruchty, A. (2000b) Dispersal limitations of epiphytic lichens result in species dependent on old-growth forests. *Ecological Applications* 10: 789–799.
- Smith, D. C. & Douglas, A. E. (1987) The Biology of Symbiosis. London: Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd.
- Sork, V. L., Davis, F. W., Dyer, R. J. & Smouse, P. E. (2002a) Mating patterns in a savanna population of valley oak (*Quercus lobata* Nee). In *Fifth Symposium* on Oak Woodlands: Oaks in California's Changing Landscape (D. M. R. B. Standiford & K. L. Purcell, eds.): 427–439. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-184. San Diego, California: US Department of Agriculture.
- Sork, V. L., Davis, F. W., Smouse, P. E., Apsit, V. J., Dyer, R. J., Fernandez, J. F. & Kuhn, B. (2002b) Pollen movement in declining populations of California valley oak, *Quercus lobata*: where have all the fathers gone? *Molecular Ecology* 11: 1657–1668.
- Stenroos, S., Högnabba, F., Myllys, L., Hyvönen, J. & Thell, A. (2006) High selectivity in symbiotic associations of lichenized ascomycetes and cyanobacteria. *Cladistics* 22: 230–238.
- Summerfield, T. C., Galloway, D. J. & Eaton-Rye, J. J. (2002) Species of cyanolichens from *Pseudocyphellaria* with indistinguishable ITS sequences have different photobionts. *New Phytologist* 155: 121–129.
- Swofford, D. L. (1998) PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), version 4. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates Inc.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2007) MEGA4: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution 24: 1596–1599.
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. & Gibson, T. J. (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence

weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22: 4673–4680.

- Tønsberg, T. & Goward, T. (2001) Sticta oroborealis sp. nov., and other Pacific North American lichens forming dendriscocauloid cyanotypes. Bryologist 104: 12–23.
- Werth, S. (2010) Population genetics of lichen-forming fungi – a review. *Lichenologist* 42: 499–519.
- Werth, S. (2011) Biogeography and phylogeography of lichen fungi and their photobionts. In *Biogeography* of *Micro-organisms. Is Everything Small Everywhere?* (D. Fontaneto, ed.): 191–208. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Werth, S. & Scheidegger, C. (2012) Congruent genetic structure in the lichen-forming fungus Lobaria pulmonaria and its green-algal photobiont. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 25: 220–230.
- Werth, S. & Sork, V. L. (2008) Local genetic structure in a North American epiphytic lichen, *Ramalina menziesii* (Ramalinaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 95: 568–576.
- Werth, S. & Sork, V. L. (2010) Identity and genetic structure of the photobiont of the epiphytic lichen *Ramalina menziesii* on three oak species in southern California. *American Journal of Botany* 97: 821–830.
- Werth, S., Wagner, H. H., Gugerli, F., Holderegger, R., Csencsics, D., Kalwij, J. M. & Scheidegger, C. (2006a) Quantifying dispersal and establishment limitation in a population of an epiphytic lichen. *Ecology* 87: 2037–2046.
- Werth, S., Wagner, H. H., Holderegger, R., Kalwij, J. M. & Scheidegger, C. (2006b) Effect of disturbances on the genetic diversity of an old-forest associated lichen. *Molecular Ecology* 15: 911–921.
- Wirtz, N., Lumbsch, H. T., Green, T. G. A., Türk, R., Pintado, A., Sancho, L. & Schroeter, B. (2003) Lichen fungi have low cyanobiont selectivity in maritime Antarctica. *New Phytologist* 160: 177–183.
- Wornik, S. & Grube, M. (2010) Joint dispersal does not imply maintenance of partnerships in lichen symbioses. *Microbial Ecology* 59: 150–157.
- Yahr, R., Vilgalys, R. & DePriest, P. T. (2004) Strong fungal specificity and selectivity for algal symbionts in Florida scrub *Cladonia* lichens. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 3367–3378.
- Zoller, S., Frey, B. & Scheidegger, C. (2000) Juvenile development and diaspore survival in the threatened epiphytic lichen species *Sticta fuliginosa, Leptogium saturninum* and *Menegazzia terebrata*: Conclusions for in situ conservation. *Plant Biology* 2: 496–504.