

Effect of feeding buckwheat and chicory silages on fatty acid profile and cheese-making properties of milk from dairy cows

Tasja Kälber, Michael Kreuzer and Florian Leiber*

ETH Zurich, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Universitaetstrasse 2, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Received 9 January 2012; accepted for publication 13 September 2012; first published online 20 December 2012

Fresh buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) and chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) had been shown to have the potential to improve certain milk quality traits when fed as forages to dairy cows. However, the process of ensiling might alter these properties. In the present study, two silages, prepared from mixtures of buckwheat or chicory and ryegrass, were compared with pure ryegrass silage (*Lolium multiflorum*) by feeding to 3 × 6 late-lactating cows. The dietary dry matter proportions realised for buckwheat and chicory were 0.46 and 0.34 accounting also for 2 kg/d of concentrate. Data and samples were collected from days 10 to 15 of treatment feeding. Buckwheat silage was richest in condensed tannins. Proportions of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and α -linoleic acid in total fatty acids (FA) were highest in the ryegrass silage. Feed intake, milk yield and milk gross composition did not differ among the groups. Feeding buckwheat resulted in the highest milk fat concentrations (g/kg) of linoleic acid (15.7) and total PUFA (40.5; both $P < 0.05$ compared with ryegrass). The concentration of α -linolenic acid in milk fat was similar across treatments, but its apparent recovery in milk relative to the amounts ingested was highest with buckwheat. The same was true for the occurrence of FA biohydrogenation products in milk relative to α -linolenic acid intake. Recovery of dietary linoleic acid in milk remained unaffected. Feeding buckwheat silage shortened rennet coagulation time by 26% and tended ($P < 0.1$) to increase curd firmness by 29%. In conclusion, particularly buckwheat silage seems to have a certain potential to modify the transfer of FA from feed to milk and to contribute to improved cheese-making properties.

Keywords: Buckwheat, chicory, phenol, tannin, fatty acid, cheese making property.

High forage diets may help to increase the concentrations of rumenic acid (18:2 *c*9, *t*11), a conjugated-linoleic acid isomer, and α -linolenic acid (18:3 *n*–3) in the milk fat of dairy cows (Dewhurst et al. 2006). Both fatty acids (FA) are considered to be beneficial for human health (Barceló-Coblijn & Murphy, 2009; van Wijlen & Colombani, 2010). An elevated content may, therefore, add to the nutritional value of dairy foods. Diets rich in herbs seem to increase the recovery of dietary 18:3 *n*–3 in milk (Leiber et al. 2005a; Petersen et al. 2011), an attribute where phenolic compounds might be involved (Cabiddu et al. 2010; Jayanegara et al. 2011). Results from feeding flowering dicotyledons as whole plant forage support this hypothesis (Cabiddu et al. 2005; Kälber et al. 2011).

Buckwheat, a herb known for producing high levels of various plant secondary compounds (Wijngaard & Arendt,

2006), could act as a functional forage to manipulate ruminal fermentation (Amelchanka et al. 2010; Leiber et al. 2012) and, subsequently, milk quality and especially milk FA profile (Kälber et al. 2011). Chicory forage may promote performance of ruminants and is known for its anti-parasitic properties (Ramirez-Restrepo & Barry, 2005). Like buckwheat, chicory contains specific phenols, but its effect on milk fat composition was smaller when fed as harvested (Kälber et al. 2011).

To the knowledge of the authors, these plants have never been compared with more common forages for their effects on milk quality after having been ensiled. This may be important as conservation affects milk fat composition (Elgersma et al. 2003). For that reason, results from feeding fresh whole buckwheat and chicory (Kälber et al. 2011) may not be applicable to situations where these herbs are fed as silages. Further, even though rennet coagulation properties seem to be only slightly different between cows fed the same forage conserved as silage or hay (Verdier-Metz et al. 1998), there are losses taking place after cutting in terms of

*For correspondence; e-mail: leiber.florian@gmail.com

easily-fermentable carbohydrates and of polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) due to lipolysis (Boufaied et al. 2003). This may affect the nutritive value of the forage and, consequently, cheese-making properties, as these are dependent on energy supply (Martin & Coulon, 1995; Leiber et al. 2005b) and other dietary factors (Abilleira et al. 2010). The cheese-making properties are important for both quality and yield of cheese (Ikonen et al. 1999).

Therefore, the hypotheses tested in the present study were (i) that feeding ensiled whole buckwheat and chicory results in a milk FA profile and a recovery of dietary 18:3 $n-3$ in milk different from common ryegrass silage due to higher concentrations of phenolic compounds and (ii) that ingesting these silages impairs cheese making properties through causing milk protein content to decline. For this purpose, milk samples obtained from an experiment described previously by Kälber et al. (2012) with respect to conservation quality, feeding value and nitrogen utilisation, were analysed for various quality traits.

Materials and methods

Experimental feeds and animals

The experimental plants included the flowering catch crop plant buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* var. Lileija), the biennial forage herb chicory (*Cichorium intybus* var. Puna) and Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* ssp. *Westerwoldicum* var. Saproso). Ryegrass was cultivated alone. Buckwheat and chicory were sown in mixture with ryegrass. This was done to reduce the risk of ensiling failure by having a certain proportion of well ensilable forage (ryegrass) in the sward since reliable information on ensilability of the two catch crops was lacking. Harvest (buckwheat cut in its flowering stage) from all cultures was wilted for 2 d after cutting and ensiled in small bales (average weight of 57 kg per bale) without additives. At harvest, the proportions of buckwheat and chicory (wet weight) were 0.69 and 0.51, respectively. As the plants tested have not yet been intensively investigated and a very high intake may have unwanted side-effects like low palatability or even impairment of health, the harvests were blended with additional ryegrass silage in the buckwheat and chicory treatments in a ratio of 3:1 for experimental feeding (Table 1). After making this second dilution, the pure plants in the diets still made up a major proportion of the diet (final proportions of 0.46 of the total diet for buckwheat and 0.34 for chicory; see also Kälber et al. 2012). Two concentrates were fed at 1 kg/d per cow each. One, mostly consisting of maize (0.35 of total), wheat bran (0.31) and wheat (0.25), was providing energy, the other provided protein mainly from soybean meal (0.79) and maize gluten (0.11). Furthermore cows were supplemented with 150 g/d of a vitamin-mineral premix. Prior to the experiment cows had received a mixed ryegrass–maize–silage–hay diet. Concentrate had been offered in amounts covering requirements for milk yield. More details on cultivation, silage

quality and composition of the mixed forages, concentrates and mineral–vitamin premix are given in Kälber et al. (2011, 2012), while the chemical composition of forage mixtures and concentrates is specified in Table 1.

Eighteen late-lactating dairy cows mostly of Holstein-Friesian breed were used. On average cows weighed 659 ± 49 kg (mean \pm SD), were lactating for 233 ± 64 d and yielded 21.4 ± 4.2 kg milk/d. Average initial fat and protein concentrations in fresh milk were 47.4 ± 6.8 g/kg and 36.1 ± 2.2 g/kg, respectively. In a complete randomised design, six animals were allocated to each of the three dietary treatments (buckwheat, chicory and ryegrass control) by balancing for milk yield, stage of lactation as well as milk fat and protein contents. During the 15 d of experiment, 9 d served for adaptation to the diet (which is sufficient for persistent changes in the fatty acid profile; Coppa et al. 2012) and 6 d for milk collection and intake recording. The experiment was conducted gradually with two animals from different treatments being tested at the same time. For sample collection, cows were moved from a free-stall barn, where cows had sufficient access to feed bins (cow: bin ratio, 1:1; bin width 1.0 m), to a tie-stall barn, where bins equipped with automatic balances were refilled with the experimental forages at 06:45 and 11:00 a.m., and 2:30, 5:30 and 7:30 p.m. to allow *ad libitum* access to the forages. Concentrates and mineral premix were fed in separate bins after milking. Cows were milked at 5:00 a.m. and 3:40 p.m. Milk amounts were registered at each milking. The experiment was approved by the cantonal veterinary office of Zug, Switzerland (approval no. ZG 48/07).

Sampling and laboratory analysis

On two dates during the experiment samples mixed from two bales per silage were taken. Concentrate was sampled once. Feed dry matter and proximate composition were determined using standard procedures (Kälber et al. 2012). Total extractable phenols (TEP), non-tannin phenols and condensed tannins were analysed following Makkar (2003). Different from that, gallic acid replaced tannic acid as reference standard and polypyrrolidone was used to separate non-tannin phenols from total tannins. Condensed tannins were analysed using the butanol–HCl–iron-method and expressed as leucocyanidin equivalents, whereas all other phenols were expressed as gallic acid equivalents. Total tannins were calculated from the difference between TEP and non-tannin phenols. Soluble carbohydrates (without neutral detergent fibre [NDF]) were computed by the following equation:

$$\text{Non-NDFcarbohydrates} = \text{organic matter} - \text{NDF} - \text{crude protein} - \text{ether extract} - \text{TEP}.$$

Dietary lipids were extracted from the dry feeds using accelerated solvent extraction (ASE 200, Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale, CA) with hexane:propane-2-ol (3:2 vol/vol). Fatty acids were transformed into FA methyl esters (FAME)

Table 1. Composition of the experimental forage mixtures ($n=2$) and concentrates ($n=1$)

	Buckwheat forage mix	Chicory forage mix	Ryegrass forage mix	Concentrates	
	Mean \pm SE	Mean \pm SE	Mean \pm SE	Energy	Protein
Forage proportions (g/kg fresh matter)					
Buckwheat-ryegrass silage	750	0	0		
Chicory-ryegrass silage	0	750	0		
Treatment ryegrass silage	0	0	600		
Basic ryegrass silage	250	250	400		
Dry matter (g/kg fresh matter)	327 \pm 15.3	279 \pm 1.4	385 \pm 23.6	899	912
Nutrients (g/kg dry matter)					
Organic matter	873 \pm 2.7	762 \pm 12.4	876 \pm 3.8	953	941
Crude protein	139 \pm 7.0	177 \pm 4.1	168 \pm 7.6	156	470
Neutral-detergent fibre	524 \pm 8.0	389 \pm 1.4	494 \pm 7.5	251	142
Acid-detergent fibre	406 \pm 8.0	295 \pm 7.4	308 \pm 3.9	83	96
Acid-detergent lignin	63.8 \pm 1.1	45.6 \pm 1.2	32.8 \pm 2.1	25.5	12.2
Non-neutral detergent fibre carbohydrates	187 \pm 6.6	158 \pm 14.3	155 \pm 5.6	487	309
Ether extract	19.0 \pm 0.96	29.1 \pm 0.61	31.3 \pm 1.97	58.7	20.1
Fatty acids (FA; g/kg of total FAME analysed)					
16:0	165 \pm 1.3	202 \pm 1.5	149 \pm 2.2	153	149
16:1	0.91 \pm 0.914	4.62 \pm 0.537	3.29 \pm 0.317	5.2	0
18:0	10.5 \pm 2.39	13.8 \pm 0.24	10.4 \pm 0.63	51.9	42.0
18:1 $n-9$	41.5 \pm 0.004	23.3 \pm 0.40	17.4 \pm 0.06	250.5	198.7
18:2 $n-6$	188 \pm 2.7	136 \pm 0.2	148 \pm 6.2	458	502
18:3 $n-3$	383 \pm 18.1	411 \pm 1.2	504 \pm 5.7	42	56
Saturated FA	302 \pm 8.0	332 \pm 8.4	265 \pm 7.3	244	243
Mono-unsaturated FA	67.7 \pm 0.6	76.1 \pm 2.1	59.4 \pm 13.3	256	199
Poly-unsaturated FA	630 \pm 8.6	592 \pm 6.3	676 \pm 6.0	500	558
Phenolic fractions (g/kg dry matter)					
Total extractable phenol [†]	10.29 \pm 0.371	7.43 \pm 0.088	8.98 \pm 0.114	4.25	4.86
Total tannins [†]	7.26 \pm 0.441	4.38 \pm 0.138	5.31 \pm 0.220	2.08	0.32
Hydrolysable tannins	6.29 \pm 0.253	4.18 \pm 0.119	5.13 \pm 0.215	2.08	0.32
Condensed tannins [‡]	0.975 \pm 0.188	0.203 \pm 0.020	0.178 \pm 0.005	0	0
Non tannin phenol [†]	3.02 \pm 0.070	3.05 \pm 0.051	3.68 \pm 0.106	2.17	4.54

[†] Expressed as gallic acid equivalents

[‡] Expressed as leucocyanidin equivalents

following Khiaosa-ard et al. (2009). As internal standards, C11:0 and C21:0 (Fluka, Steinheim, Germany) were added. The FAME were analysed with a gas chromatograph (model HP 6890, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a flame ionisation detector on a Supelcowax-10 column (30 m \times 0.32 mm, 0.25 μ m; Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA) after split injection (1:30) at 270 °C. The injection volume amounted to 2 μ l. Hydrogen flow was 2.2 ml/min. The temperature program was taken from Leiber et al. (2005a). Sunflower oil was used as external standard to calculate the response factor.

During 5 d prior to the experiment (baseline) and during the collection period milk samples were taken for each cow at each milking. One aliquot was preserved with 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol (Bronopol; D and F Inc., Dublin, CA, USA) and stored at 4 °C for later analysis of fat, protein and lactose by near-infrared technique (MilkoScan 4000, Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Another aliquot was stored at -20 °C without additives and later pooled by milk amounts for baseline and collection period. For FA analysis, 5 ml of the defrosted and gently mixed samples were diluted in 5 ml

of 1,4-dioxan containing triundecanin, tetradecenoic acid and trivalerin as internal standards. After transesterification (Suter et al. 1997), FAME were injected into the same gas chromatograph as used for feeds (split of 1:30; injection volume: 1 μ l; flow of hydrogen carrier gas: 1.5 ml/min), but equipped with a SIL88 column (100 m \times 0.25 mm, 0.2 μ m; Varian Inc., Darmstadt, Germany). The temperature program was adopted from Leiber et al. (2005a). The FA were identified based on a FAME standard (Supelco 37 Component, Supelco Inc.) and by comparison with chromatograms of milk lipids from similar approaches (Collomb & Bühler, 2000; Kramer et al. 2002). The mass of individual FA was calculated by integrating the respective peak areas and applying an external response factor (correcting for the differing area response of different chain-lengths) and the internal standard 11:0.

Renneting properties were determined in 50-ml aliquots of milk from the collection period pooled from morning and evening milking of days 12 and 13 according to milk yield. Skimmed milk was obtained by centrifugation (1000 g, 4 °C, 30 min), whereof 10 ml were preheated for 30 min to 32.5 °C

Table 2. Intake from the total diets, milk yield and cheese-making properties ($n=6$ per diet). Values without a common superscript are different at $P<0.05$

Treatment	Buckwheat	Chicory	Ryegrass	SEM	P-value
Daily intake					
Dry matter (kg/d)	13.5	15.6	14.7	0.345	0.087
Ether extract (kg/d)	0.294 ^b	0.471 ^a	0.474 ^a	0.009	<0.001
Intake of fatty acids (FA; g/d)					
18:0	7.49	7.38	7.21	0.182	0.686
18:1 $n-9$	32.8	32.7	33.3	1.76	0.979
18:2 $n-6$	95.6	104.9	107.9	7.01	0.578
18:3 $n-3$	82.1 ^b	122.6 ^a	132.1 ^a	5.53	<0.001
Saturated FA	89.2	101.2	99.9	2.92	0.046
Monounsaturated FA	44.2	45.4	44.1	1.59	0.871
Polyunsaturated FA	192 ^b	245 ^a	258 ^a	10.0	0.001
Intake of phenols (g/d)					
Total extractable phenol†	115	110	120	3.4	0.279
Total tannin†	74.9	65.2	70.1	2.36	0.073
Hydrolysable tannins	68.8	62.9	68.0	1.92	0.171
Condensed tannin‡	6.13 ^a	2.29 ^b	2.12 ^b	0.653	0.001
Non tannin phenol†	40.2 ^b	44.9 ^{ab}	49.4 ^a	1.42	0.003
Milk yield and composition					
Yield (kg/d)	16.0	17.2	18.5	0.39	0.069
Fat (g/kg)	48.0	46.0	45.0	0.54	0.109
Protein (g/kg)	35.7	34.9	35.7	0.21	0.263
Lactose (g/kg)	47.5	46.9	47.9	0.18	0.135
Cheese-making properties					
Rennet coagulation time, min	7.18 ^b	9.24 ^a	10.12 ^a	0.403	0.033
Coagulation dynamics (K_{20}), min	1.39	2.85	2.85	0.391	0.270
Curd firmness (A_{30}), mm	40.5	32.2	30.7	1.63	0.074

† Expressed as gallic acid equivalents

‡ Expressed as leucocyanidin equivalents

and then mixed with 200 μ l of chymosin solution (Maxiren 180, DSM, Cedex, France; diluted at 1:20 in aqua bidest.). Using a Lattodinamografo (Foss, Padua, Italy), rennet coagulation time (RCT, min from adding chymosin), coagulation dynamics (K_{20} ; min from start to 20 mm of amplitude in curd firmness) and curd firmness after 30 min (A_{30} ; amplitude in mm) were measured. The cows' κ -casein genotypes were determined in milk by PCR of restriction fragment length polymorphism following Schlieben et al. (1991). The κ -casein allele (A, B, and E) frequencies found were: buckwheat group, 0.70, 0.20, 0.10; chicory group, 0.63, 0.12, 0.25; ryegrass group, 0.67, 0.33, 0, respectively. In three cows, the genotype could not be determined; probably because of being from twin births. No data were available from one cow in cheese-making properties due to a lack of coagulation. This had probably been a result of high somatic cell counts (263 000/ml), measured as a standard trait along with the infrared analysis of the milk (data not shown).

Statistical evaluation

Data was subjected to ANOVA using the GLM procedure of SAS (version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) with treatment

as fixed factor. Milk yield and composition data from the baseline period served as covariates. Multiple comparisons among the treatment means were carried out by Tukey's method. Differences were considered as significant at $P<0.05$.

Results

Dry matter intake did not differ between groups, but was rather low considering the body weight of the cows. Consequently, the body weight declined during the adaptation period in all groups (-3.6 , -4.4 and -3.5 kg/d for buckwheat, chicory and ryegrass, respectively; $P=0.95$). The intake of lipids (ether extract) was lower in the buckwheat compared with the chicory and the ryegrass group ($P<0.05$; Table 2). The low 18:3 $n-3$ concentrations in the buckwheat-containing silage resulted in lower 18:3 $n-3$ intakes compared with chicory and ryegrass ($P<0.05$). Intakes of 18:0, 18:1 $n-9$ and linoleic acid (18:2 $n-6$) did not differ among groups. At similar TEP intake, intakes of total tannins were numerically ($P=0.07$) higher in the buckwheat group than in the chicory (0.87 of that found with buckwheat) and ryegrass (0.94) groups. For condensed

Table 3. Profile of milk fatty acids (FA, g/kg total FAME) from cows fed on buckwheat silage, chicory silage and ryegrass silage ($n=6$ per treatment). Values without a common superscript are different at $P<0.05$

Treatment	Buckwheat	Chicory	Ryegrass	SEM	P-value
4:0	27.4	27.9	28.8	0.40	0.429
6:0	29.8 ^b	31.2 ^{ab}	34.5 ^a	0.54	0.010
8:0	22.0 ^b	24.5 ^{ab}	26.6 ^a	0.47	0.005
10:0	22.8 ^b	26.1 ^{ab}	29.1 ^a	0.54	0.001
12:0	27.6 ^b	32.5 ^{ab}	35.6 ^a	0.65	<0.001
14:0	105 ^b	117 ^{ab}	121 ^a	1.2	<0.001
14:0 iso	2.52 ^b	2.68 ^b	3.24 ^a	0.051	<0.001
14:0 anteiso	5.06	4.80	4.99	0.085	0.475
14:1 <i>cis</i>	8.46 ^b	8.99 ^{ab}	10.21 ^a	0.246	0.053
15:0	14.6	15.7	15.8	0.27	0.191
15:0 iso	2.95	2.65	2.98	0.076	0.178
16:0	296 ^b	338 ^a	308 ^{ab}	5.8	0.028
16:0 iso	4.12 ^{ab}	3.86 ^b	4.29 ^a	0.063	0.056
16:0 anteiso	2.32 ^a	2.00 ^b	1.93 ^b	0.044	0.006
16:1	22.3	20.1	21.0	0.38	0.104
17:0	8.63	8.32	8.43	0.092	0.404
17:0 iso	0.73 ^a	0.53 ^b	0.47 ^b	0.021	<0.001
17:0 anteiso	3.68 ^a	3.11 ^b	3.07 ^b	0.069	0.004
18:0	99.0	84.1	90.7	2.46	0.078
18:1 <i>t</i> 9	2.32	2.14	2.23	0.117	0.826
18:1 <i>t</i> 10+ <i>t</i> 11	14.2 ^a	11.0 ^b	11.2 ^{ab}	0.53	0.047
18:1 <i>n</i> -9	222 ^a	177 ^b	183 ^b	4.9	0.004
18:1 <i>c</i> 11	2.85	3.81	3.22	0.288	0.437
18:1 <i>c</i> 12	3.86	3.83	3.97	0.094	0.831
18:1 <i>c</i> 13	2.51	2.62	2.77	0.057	0.227
18:2 <i>n</i> -6	15.7 ^a	14.2 ^{ab}	12.4 ^b	0.29	0.002
18:2 <i>t</i> 11, <i>c</i> 13	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.026	0.949
18:2 <i>t</i> 11, <i>c</i> 15+ <i>t</i> 9, <i>c</i> 12	2.47	2.29	2.25	0.103	0.685
18:2 <i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 11	5.87	4.81	4.71	0.216	0.087
18:3 <i>n</i> -3	7.25	7.76	6.60	0.178	0.090
20:0	1.72	1.45	1.64	0.049	0.104
20:4 <i>n</i> -6	0.91	0.72	0.76	0.038	0.167
20:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.78	0.72	0.80	0.038	0.670
22:0	0.47	0.62	0.53	0.026	0.100
22:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.47 ^b	0.52 ^b	0.82 ^a	0.026	<0.001
22:6 <i>n</i> -3	0.72	0.70	0.77	0.029	0.635
Saturated FA	677 ^b	729 ^a	724 ^a	5.6	0.004
Monounsaturated FA	282 ^a	233 ^b	240 ^b	5.1	0.003
Polyunsaturated FA	40.5 ^a	38.5 ^{ab}	35.4 ^b	0.74	0.045
Total <i>n</i> -3 FA	9.22	9.77	8.94	0.186	0.291
Total <i>n</i> -6 FA	16.6 ^a	14.9 ^{ab}	13.1 ^b	0.30	0.001

tannins the intake was three times higher ($P<0.05$) from the buckwheat than from the other two diets.

Milk yield and its gross composition did not differ among groups (Table 2). With buckwheat, RCT ($P<0.05$) was shortest (0.78 and 0.71 of the time found with the chicory and ryegrass groups, respectively). Firmness of the curd (A_{30}) and coagulation dynamics (K_{20}) were not significantly different between treatment groups.

Milk fat from the chicory and ryegrass treatments was richer in saturated FA (Table 3), whereas cows fed on buckwheat silage produced milk fat with about 1.2-fold higher proportions of monounsaturated FA ($P<0.05$). The proportion of polyunsaturated FA was higher ($P<0.05$) in

the buckwheat than in the ryegrass treatment. In case of the saturated FA, this was obvious in almost all short- and medium-chain FA up to 16:0 (an exception was 4:0). The concentrations of total *n*-6 and 18:2 *n*-6 were about 27% higher ($P<0.05$) with buckwheat compared with the ryegrass treatment while the chicory treatment ranged in between. The concentration of 22:5 *n*-3 was elevated ($P<0.05$) with the ryegrass treatment. No treatment differences occurred regarding the proportions of total *n*-3 and 18:3 *n*-3 and most of the intermediates of ruminal biohydrogenation, namely 18:2 *c*9, *t*11, 18:2 *t*11, *c*13 and 18:2 *t*11, *c*15 (co-eluting in the chromatogram with 18:2 *t*9, *c*12). Only 18:1 *t*11 (co-eluting with 18:1 *t*10) was

Table 4. Milk fatty acid yield (FA, g/d) and ratios of the secretion of key fatty acids to intake of 18:3 *n*-3 and 18:2 *n*-6 (*n*=6 per treatment). Values without a common superscript are different at *P*<0.05

Treatment	Buckwheat	Chicory	Ryegrass	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
FA yield					
18:3 <i>n</i> -3	5.12 ^b	6.25 ^a	5.50 ^{ab}	0.163	0.048
18:2 <i>n</i> -6	11.6	10.9	10.6	0.55	0.777
18:2 c9, t11	4.06	3.75	4.21	0.236	0.720
Saturated FA	505	524	576	17.2	0.254
Mono-unsaturated FA	206	180	206	10.4	0.525
Polyunsaturated FA	29.1	30.1	30.3	1.25	0.921
<i>n</i> -3 FA	6.57	7.87	7.45	0.212	0.075
<i>n</i> -6 FA	12.3	11.5	11.3	0.59	0.786
Relative to 18:3 <i>n</i> -3 intake					
18:3 <i>n</i> -3	0.076 ^a	0.045 ^b	0.044 ^b	0.005	<0.001
18:2 c9, t11	0.062 ^a	0.034 ^b	0.034 ^b	0.004	<0.001
18:1 t10 + t11	0.154 ^a	0.080 ^b	0.083 ^b	0.012	0.001
Relative to 18:2 <i>n</i> -6 intake					
18:2 <i>n</i> -6	0.138	0.108	0.107	0.010	0.161
18:2 c9, t11	0.0046	0.0037	0.0039	0.0003	0.288
18:1 t10 + t11	0.033	0.027	0.031	0.003	0.557
Relative to 18:3 <i>n</i> -3 + 18:2 <i>n</i> -6 intake					
18:2 c9, t11	0.028 ^a	0.018 ^b	0.018 ^b	0.002	0.003
18:1 t10 + t11	0.069 ^a	0.042 ^b	0.045 ^b	0.005	0.005
18:0	0.497 ^a	0.316 ^b	0.330 ^b	0.033	0.005

higher (*P*<0.05) in the buckwheat than in the chicory treatment. The proportion of the branched chain FA was higher (*P*<0.05) in milk fat from the buckwheat than that from the chicory treatment while values were intermediate in the ryegrass group.

Yields of groups of FA and selected individual FA did not differ (*P*>0.1) among treatments except for 18:3 *n*-3 yield being lowest (*P*<0.05) with buckwheat, intermediate with ryegrass and highest with chicory (Table 4). The ratio of 18:3 *n*-3 secreted with milk to 18:3 *n*-3 ingested with feed (apparent recovery) was highest (*P*<0.05) with buckwheat. The same was true for the ratios of 18:2 c9, t11 and 18:1 t11 (+t10) to dietary 18:3 *n*-3. The apparent recovery of dietary 18:2 *n*-6 in milk did not differ (*P*>0.1) among treatments, as was also the case for the ratios of 18:2 c9, t11 and 18:1 t11 (+t10) to dietary 18:2 *n*-6. In relation to the ingestion of both major PUFA, the appearance of 18:2 c9, t11 and 18:1 t11 (+t10) and 18:0 was always highest (*P*<0.01) with buckwheat.

Discussion

Effects on milk fatty acid profile

One aim of the present study was to investigate whether silages with high proportions of buckwheat and chicory affect the milk FA profile of dairy cows in a direction considered favourable for human health. This had been demonstrated to some extent before when feeding fresh buckwheat and, less clearly, when feeding fresh chicory (Kälber et al. 2011).

When replacing ryegrass silage, the buckwheat-containing silage had clear effects on milk FA profile while effects of chicory silage were minor. With buckwheat, the milk fat was richer in PUFA, especially 18:2 *n*-6, and in 18:1 *n*-9; this especially at the expense of the less desired medium-chain (and short-chain) FA, i.e. FA resulting exclusively or mainly from *de novo* synthesis. As expected from previous findings (Kälber et al. 2011), the lower 18:3 *n*-3 intake in the buckwheat treatment was not accompanied by a lower 18:3 *n*-3 concentration in milk fat compared with the other treatments. The apparent recovery of dietary 18:3 *n*-3 in milk was correspondingly increased. This may mean that there was a certain inhibition of the first step of ruminal 18:3 *n*-3 biohydrogenation by the buckwheat silage (Cabiddu et al. 2005). At the same time, the secretion of biohydrogenation products with the milk was also elevated in relation to PUFA intake, indicating that the subsequent steps in the biohydrogenation pathway, including the last one from 18:1 t11 to 18:0, might have been partially inhibited when feeding buckwheat silage (Khiaosa-ard et al. 2009). Under physiological conditions always a very large part (>90%; Vasta et al. 2009) of the 18:3 *n*-3 ingested is biohydrogenated. Thus, even small changes in the biohydrogenation rate of 18:3 *n*-3 lead to large differences in the accumulation of this PUFA (Jayanegara et al. 2011). Consequently, also the accumulation of biohydrogenation intermediates may largely differ (Leiber et al. 2010) in cases where the subsequent steps are inhibited, too. However, as 18:2 c9, t11 is not only a ruminal biohydrogenation product of 18:2 *n*-6, extra 18:2 c9, t11 could also have been synthesised endogenously in

the mammary gland (Griinari et al. 2000) in the presence of larger amounts of 18:1 ω 11. This complexity makes the interpretation with regard to ruminal biohydrogenation rather difficult with this FA.

Plant secondary compounds, especially phenols, are known to act as inhibitors of ruminal biohydrogenation (Vasta et al. 2009; Cabiddu et al. 2010; Jayanegara et al. 2011). As elevated TEP concentrations (g/kg dry matter) had been found in green buckwheat (26) and chicory (18) relative to ryegrass (13) (Kälber et al. 2011), a higher extent of protection of 18:3 $n-3$ and of formation of 18:1 ω 11 (Khiaosa-ard et al. 2009) had been expected with the silages as well, especially as Nishino et al. (2007) stated that the process of ensiling does not affect TEP content. Actually, although being harvested from the same cultivations as those fed green, contents of TEP were even slightly lower in chicory silage (7 g/kg dry matter) than in ryegrass silage (9). In buckwheat (10 g/kg dry matter), a difference in TEP to the ryegrass was given, but it was much smaller than that found in the green harvests. Therefore, it seems as if ensiling caused substantial phenol losses in all three forages especially with chicory, which might explain the lack of response of milk FA profile to this forage. Modes of action of tanniferous phenols, being elevated in buckwheat silage, could consist of direct inhibitory effect on ruminal microbes (Cabiddu et al. 2010) or protecting dietary FA by binding to the lipids (He et al. 2006). Condensed tannins have been shown to inhibit the first step (Jayanegara et al. 2011) but also the last step of biohydrogenation (Khiaosa-ard et al. 2009). Vasta et al. (2009) provided *in vitro* evidence that tannins may enhance 18:1 ω 11 as well. It can, however, not be excluded that other, non-phenolic, plant secondary compounds prevalent in buckwheat (Wijngaard & Arendt, 2006) or more general nutritional factors have been responsible for this activity.

A completely different explanation for the apparently high recovery in milk of 18:3 $n-3$ and 18:2 $n-6$ at low intakes, as it was the case for buckwheat, was provided by Khiaosa-ard et al. (2010). They stated that an underlying homeostatic-type of effect ensured a sufficient occurrence of these FA in milk. Mobilisation and preferential oxidation of different FA in metabolism might be control factors here. However, as proportions of these FA in milk differed from green forage feeding (Kälber et al. 2011), this mechanism, if existing, does not seem to aim at maintaining one fixed threshold level.

From a human nutritional point of view, the increase of 18:1 ω 11 in milk fat when feeding buckwheat silage can be considered as relevant and desirable (van Wijlen & Colombani, 2010).

Effects on cheese-making properties

In milk used for hard cheese production, a high curd firmness (A_{30}) is of great importance. Producers additionally try to decrease rennet coagulation time and to improve coagulation rate (K_{20}). The buckwheat and chicory silages had clearly lower energy contents than the ryegrass silage

(Kälber et al. 2012). Expected consequences of this would have been a lower milk protein (casein) content and impaired coagulation and curd firmness (Clark & Sherbon, 2000). This has been observed before, irrespective of whether reductions in energy supply were resulting from different forages (Leiber et al. 2005b) or less concentrate (Berry et al. 2001; Bovolenta et al. 2008). However, in the present study, milk protein content (4/5 of which is casein) did not differ among treatments (initial differences were adjusted by covariance analysis) and coagulation time was even shorter with the buckwheat treatment. This suggests some potential of the buckwheat diet to improve rennet coagulation. The milk protein genotype of the cows, especially the κ -casein variants, may play an important role (Pagnacco & Caroli, 1987). However, the A allele, less favourable than B and E in terms of rennet coagulation properties, always made up about 2/3 of total on average of the cows of the present treatment groups. Verdier-Metz et al. (1998) demonstrated that there is no substantial difference in rennet coagulation properties between milk of cows fed silage and hay produced from the same sward, suggesting that the process of ensiling does not generate specific properties of the forage either. To a limited degree, dietary effects on the milk protein composition are possible (Leiber et al. 2005b), which might also influence the coagulation properties and, consequently, cheese yield (Ikonen et al. 1999). However, the mechanisms causing the favourable effect of feeding silage containing buckwheat on cheese-making properties remain unclear and warrant detailed studies.

Out of the silages from the two herbs, buckwheat-containing silage was the one clearly affecting milk FA profile and cheese-making properties in comparison with common ryegrass silage, and this mostly in a desired direction. The recovery of 18:3 $n-3$ and the occurrence of 18:1 ω 11 were promoted suggesting that buckwheat silage may influence ruminal biohydrogenation. However, the magnitude of the changes in concentrations and secretion of the beneficial FA with the milk was limited. Buckwheat silage could be an interesting component in diets of dairy cattle also because it seems to have a certain potential to improve the cheese-making properties of the milk.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support provided by the Swiss Grassland Society (AGFF; Zurich) and the Fenaco UFA Samen (Sursee, Switzerland). Furthermore the authors want to thank Dr H.-R. Wettstein and the staff of the ETH Research Station Chamau for their assistance and support during the experiment, L. Bieri for her help investigating the cheese-making properties as well as C. Kunz and the laboratory staff for their help with the analysis.

References

- Abilleira E, Virto M, Najera AI, Salmeron J, Albisu M, Perez-Elortondo FJ, de Gordo JCR, de Renobales M & Barron LJR 2010 Effects of seasonal changes in feeding management under part-time grazing on the evolution of the composition and coagulation properties of raw milk from ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93** 3902–3909

- Amelchanka SL, Kreuzer M & Leiber F 2010 Utility of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) as feed: effects of forage and grain on *in vitro* ruminal fermentation and performance of dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **155** 111–121
- Barceló-Coblijn G & Murphy EJ 2009 Alpha-linolenic acid and its conversion to longer chain $n-3$ fatty acids: benefits for human health and a role in maintaining tissue $n-3$ fatty acid levels. *Progress in Lipid Research* **48** 355–374
- Berry NR, Büeler T, Jewell PL, Sutter F & Kreuzer M 2001 The effect of supplementary feeding on composition and renneting properties of milk from cows rotationally grazed at high altitude. *Milchwissenschaft – Milk Science International* **56** 123–126
- Boufaied H, Chouinard PY, Tremblay GF, Petit HV, Michaud R & Bélanger G 2003 Fatty acids in forages. I. Factors affecting concentrations. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **83** 501–511
- Bovolenta S, Saccà E, Corraza M, Gasperi F, Biasioli F & Ventura W 2008 Effects of stocking density and supplement level on milk production and cheese characteristics in Brown cows grazing on mountain pasture. *Journal of Dairy Research* **75** 375–364
- Cabiddu A, Decandia M, Addis M, Piredda G, Pirisi A & Molle G 2005 Managing Mediterranean pastures in order to enhance the level of beneficial fatty acids in sheep milk. *Small Ruminant Research* **59** 169–180
- Cabiddu A, Salis L, Tweed JKS, Molle G, Decandia M & Lee MRF 2010 The influence of plant polyphenols on lipolysis and biohydrogenation in dried forages at different phenological stages: *in vitro* study. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **90** 829–835
- Clark S & Sherbon JW 2000 Alpha(s1)-casein, milk composition and coagulation properties of goat milk. *Small Ruminant Research* **38** 123–134
- Collomb M & Bühler B 2000 Analysis of the fatty acid composition of the milk fat. 1. Optimisation and validation of a general method adapted to the research. *Mitteilungen aus Lebensmitteluntersuchung und hygiene* **91** 306–332
- Coppa M, Gorlier A, Lonati M, Martin B, Russo EM & Lombardi G 2012 The management of the transition from hay- to pasture-based diets affects milk fatty acid kinetics. *Dairy Science and Technology* **92** 279–295
- Dewhurst RJ, Shingfield KJ, Lee MRF & Scollan ND 2006 Increasing the concentrations of beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cows in high-forage systems. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **131** 168–206
- Elgersma A, Ellen G, van der Horst H, Muuse BG, Boer H & Tamminga S 2003 Comparison of the fatty acid composition of fresh and ensiled perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) affected by cultivar and regrowth interval. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **108** 191–205
- Griinari JM, Corl BA, Lacy SH, Chouinard PY, Nurmela KVV & Bauman DE 2000 Conjugated linoleic acid is synthesized endogenously in lactating cows by Δ^9 -desaturase. *Journal of Nutrition* **130** 2285–2291
- He Q, Shi B & Yao K 2006 Interactions of gallotannins with proteins, amino acids, phospholipids and sugars. *Food Chemistry* **95** 250–254
- Ikonen T, Ruottinen O, Syväoja E-L, Saarinen K, Pakkala E & Ojala M 1999 Effect of milk coagulation properties of herd bulk milks on yield and composition of Emmental cheese. *Agricultural and Food Science in Finland* **8** 411–422
- Jayanegara A, Kreuzer M, Wina E & Leiber F 2011 Significance of phenolic compounds in tropical forages for the ruminal bypass of polyunsaturated fatty acids and the appearance of biohydrogenation intermediates as examined *in vitro*. *Animal Production Science* **51** 1127–1136
- Kälber T, Meier JS, Kreuzer M & Leiber F 2011 Flowering catch crops used as forage plants for dairy cows: influence on fatty acids and tocopherols in milk. *Journal of Dairy Science* **94** 1477–1489
- Kälber T, Kreuzer M & Leiber F 2012 Silages containing buckwheat and chicory: quality, digestibility and nitrogen utilisation by lactating cows. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* **66** 50–65
- Khiaosa-ard R, Bryner SF, Scheeder MRL, Wettstein H-R, Leiber F, Kreuzer M & Soliva CR 2009 Evidence for the inhibition of the terminal step of ruminal α -linolenic acid biohydrogenation by condensed tannins. *Journal of Dairy Science* **92** 177–188
- Khiaosa-ard R, Klevenhusen F, Soliva CR, Kreuzer M & Leiber F 2010 Transfer of linoleic and linolenic acid from feed to milk in cows fed isoenergetic diets differing in proportion and origin of concentrates and roughages. *Journal of Dairy Research* **77** 331–336
- Kramer JKG, Blackadar CB & Zhou J 2002 Evaluation of two GC columns (60-m SUPELCOWAX 10 and 100-m CP Sil 88) for analysis of milkfat with emphasis on CLA, 18:1, 18:2 and 18:3 isomers, and short- and long-chain FA. *Lipids* **37** 823–835
- Leiber F, Kreuzer M, Nigg D, Wettstein H-R & Scheeder MRL 2005a A study on the causes for the elevated $n-3$ fatty acids in cow's milk of alpine origin. *Lipids* **40** 191–202
- Leiber F, Nigg D, Kunz C, Scheeder MRL, Wettstein H-R & Kreuzer M 2005b Protein composition, plasmin activity and cheesemaking properties of cow's milk produced at two altitudes from hay of lowland and high-alpine origins. *Journal of Dairy Research* **72** 65–74
- Leiber F, Tsvetkova V, Petrova I, Kreuzer M & Scheeder MRL 2010 Two cases of grazing sheep with abundance of *trans* octadeca fatty acids in milk fat. *Milchwissenschaft – Milk Science International* **65** 73–76
- Leiber F, Kunz C & Kreuzer M 2012 Influence of different morphological parts of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) and its major secondary metabolite rutin on rumen fermentation *in vitro*. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* **57** 10–18
- Makkar HPS 2003 *Quantification of Tannins in Tree and Shrub Foliage: A Laboratory Manual*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Martin B & Coulon JB 1995 Milk production and cheese characteristics. 1. Influence of milk production conditions on herd milk clotting ability. *Lait* **75** 61–80
- Nishino N, Kawai T & Kondo M 2007 Changes during ensilage in fermentation products, tea catechins, antioxidative activity and *in vitro* gas production of green tea waste stored with or without dried beet pulp. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **87** 1639–1644
- Pagnacco G & Caroli A 1987 Effect of casein and beta-lactoglobulin genotypes on renneting properties of milk. *Journal of Dairy Research* **54** 479–485
- Petersen MB, Søgaard K & Jensen SK 2011 Herb feeding increases $n-3$ and $n-6$ fatty acids in cow milk. *Livestock Science* **141** 90–94
- Ramirez-Restrepo CA & Barry TN 2005 Alternative temperate forages containing secondary compounds for improving sustainable productivity in grazing ruminants. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **120** 179–201
- Schlieben S, Erhardt G & Senft B 1991 Genotyping of bovine κ -casein (kappa-CNA, kappa-CNB, kappa-CNC, kappa-CNE) following DNA sequence amplification and direct sequencing of kappa-CNE PCR product. *Animal Genetics* **22** 333–342
- Suter B, Grob K & Pacciarelli B 1997 Determination of fat content and fatty acid composition through 1-min transesterification in the food sample; principles. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und Forschung A* **204** 252–258
- Van Wijlen RPJ & Colombani PC 2010 Grass-based ruminant production methods and human bioconversion of vaccenic acid with estimations of maximal dietary intake of conjugated linoleic acids. *International Dairy Journal* **20** 433–448
- Vasta V, Mele M, Serra A, Scerra M, Luciano G, Lanza M & Priolo A 2009 Metabolic fate of fatty acids involved in ruminal biohydrogenation in sheep fed concentrate or herbage with or without tannins. *Journal of Animal Science* **87** 2674–2684
- Verdier-Metz I, Coulon JB, Pradel P, Viallon C & Berdague JL 1998 Effect of forage conservation (hay or silage) and cow breed on the coagulation properties of milks and on the characteristics of ripened cheeses. *Journal of Dairy Research* **65** 9–21
- Wijngaard HH & Arendt EK 2006 Buckwheat. *Cereal Chemistry* **83** 391–401