

## The factorization of simple knots

By E. BAYER, J. A. HILLMAN\*† AND C. KEARTON†

University of Geneva and University of Durham

(Received 19 December 1980)

*Abstract.* For high-dimensional simple knots we give two theorems concerning unique factorization into irreducible knots, and provide examples to show that the hypotheses are necessary in each case.

### 0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to collate and extend the known results on the factorization of high dimensional knots. By an  $n$ -knot we mean an oriented smooth or locally flat PL pair  $(S^{n+2}, \Sigma^n)$ , where  $\Sigma^n$  is homeomorphic to the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ . The sum  $k+l$  of two  $n$ -knots  $k$  and  $l$  is obtained by excising the interior of a tubular neighbourhood of a point on each  $\Sigma^n$  and identifying the boundaries of the resulting knotted ball pairs so that the orientations match up. A knot  $k$  is *irreducible* if it cannot be written as the sum of two non-trivial knots. It is a result of H. Schubert (16) that for  $n = 1$ , every knot factorizes into finitely many irreducibles, and that factorization is unique (up to the order of the factors).

Given an  $n$ -knot  $k$ , the *exterior*  $K$  is the closed complement of a tubular neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^n$ . The knot  $k$  is *simple* if  $K$  has the homotopy  $[(n-1)/2]$ -type of a circle; that is  $\pi_1(K) \cong \pi_1(S^1)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , this is the most that can be asked without making  $k$  trivial (see (11, 12)). The knot  $k$  is *fibred* if  $K$  is fibred over the circle, and we let  $\tilde{K}$  denote the infinite cyclic cover of  $K$ .

In Section 1 we give a short proof that every simple  $n$ -knot,  $n \geq 3$ , factorizes into finitely many irreducibles. A more general result was published by A. B. Sosinskii in (18), but note the assertion of T. Maeda in (14).

Let  $k$  be a simple  $(2q-1)$ -knot,  $q \geq 2$ . There are two ways of classifying such knots in terms of algebraic invariants. The first of these, due to J. Levine, is in terms of the  $S$ -equivalence class of the Seifert matrix of  $k$ ; details may be found in (12). The second method uses the Blanchfield duality pairing,  $\langle, \rangle: H_q(\tilde{K}) \times H_q(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \Lambda_0/\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ ,  $\Lambda_0$  is the field of fractions of  $\Lambda$ , and  $H_q(\tilde{K})$  is regarded as a  $\Lambda$ -module. Details of this method may be found in (7, 8, 20, 21).

Each such knot  $k$  has associated with it a quadratic form, as outlined in Section 2. If this form is definite, then  $k$  is said to be *definite*. The knot  $k$  is fibred if and only if the leading coefficient of its Alexander polynomial is  $\pm 1$ ; this follows easily from the results of R. H. Crowell (3) and W. Browder and J. Levine (2). In Section 2 we show

\* Supported by a Research Grant from the Science Research Council of Great Britain.

† Recipients of a European Short Visit Grant from the Science Research Council of Great Britain.

that for  $q \geq 3$ , every fibred definite knot factorizes uniquely into irreducibles. Sections 3–6 are devoted to showing that each of the hypotheses  $q \geq 3$ , fibred, and definite are necessary for this result.

Next we turn our attention to simple  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 4$ , for which  $H_q(\tilde{K})$  is finite of odd order. Such knots have been classified by S. Kojima (10) in terms of a quadratic pairing  $[\cdot, \cdot]: H_q(\tilde{K}) \times H_q(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  together with an isometry  $t$ ; the pair  $([\cdot, \cdot], t)$  is called the *Levine pairing* of  $k$ . In Section 7 we outline a unique factorization theorem for a certain subclass of these knots, details of which appear in (6), and in Section 8 we give examples to show that factorization is not in general unique.

1. *Finite factorization of simple knots*

Let  $k$  be a simple  $n$ -knot and define  $g(k)$  in the following way. If  $n = 2q - 1$ , then  $g(k) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $n = 2q$ , let  $T_q(\tilde{K})$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion submodule of  $H_q(\tilde{K})$ ; by a result of M. A. Kervaire (9),  $T_q(\tilde{K})$  is finite of order  $|T_q(\tilde{K})|$ . We set

$$g(k) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Q}), \quad h(k) = |T_q(\tilde{K})|.$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a simple  $n$ -knot,  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $k$  factorizes into finitely many irreducible knots.*

*Proof.* If  $n = 2q - 1$ , then  $g(k) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , since the latter is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free (see (7))  $\Leftrightarrow k$  is unknotted (see (7, 8)).

If  $n = 2q$ , then  $g(k) = 0$  and  $h(k) = 1 \Leftrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and

$$T_q(\tilde{K}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 = H_{q+1}(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \Leftrightarrow K$$

is a homotopy circle  $\Leftrightarrow k$  is unknotted (see (11)).

Furthermore, it is clear that  $g(k+l) = g(k) + g(l)$  and  $h(k+l) = h(k)h(l)$ .

The result follows at once.

2. *Unique factorization of fibred definite simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 3$*

Let  $k$  be a simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot, and let  $A$  be a Seifert matrix of  $k$ . By a result of Trotter (19),  $A$  is  $S$ -equivalent to a non-singular matrix, and so we may assume that  $A$  is non-singular. Furthermore, any non-singular matrix which is  $S$ -equivalent to  $A$  is congruent to  $A$  over the rational numbers. Set  $S = A + A'$ ,  $T = A^{-1}A'$ , and note that  $T'ST = AA'^{-1}(A + A')A^{-1}A' = A' + A = S$ . If  $A_1 = P'AP$ , then

$$S_1 = A_1 + A'_1 = P'SP, \quad \text{and} \quad T_1 = A_1^{-1}A'_1 = P^{-1}TP.$$

Thus  $k$  determines a quadratic space  $V$  together with an isometry  $\tau$ , represented by the pair  $(S, T)$ . The knot is *definite* if  $V$  is definite.

If  $k$  is fibred, then  $\det A = \pm 1$ , since  $\det A$  is the leading coefficient of the Alexander polynomial  $\det(tA + (-1)^q A')$ . If  $q \geq 3$ , then the converse is true by the results of R. H. Crowell (4) and W. Browder and J. Levine (2). Moreover, any non-singular matrix which is  $S$ -equivalent to  $A$  is congruent to  $A$  over the integers. Thus a fibred knot gives rise to a quadratic lattice  $L$  and isometry  $\tau$  represented by  $(S, T)$ .

Note that

$$S = A + A' = A + AT = A(I + T),$$

so  $A = S(I + T)^{-1}$ . Thus given  $(S, T)$  we can recover the Seifert matrix  $A$ .

By the results of J. Levine (12), the isotopy class of  $k$  determines and is determined by the  $S$ -equivalence class of  $A$ , when  $q \geq 2$ . If  $k$  is fibred, this reduces to the integral congruence class of  $A$  (where  $A$  is non-singular).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $k$  be a fibred definite simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ . Then  $k$  factorizes uniquely into irreducible knots.*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be the quadratic lattice of  $k$ . Since  $L$  is definite, it has a unique orthogonal decomposition into indecomposable sublattices by a theorem of Eichler (see (3), p. 363). Say  $L = L_1 \perp \dots \perp L_r$ . Now  $L$  can be regarded as a  $\Lambda$ -module via the action of the isometry  $\tau$ . (Recall that  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ .) But  $L = \tau L = \tau L_1 \perp \dots \perp \tau L_r$  is another orthogonal splitting of  $L$  into indecomposable sublattices, so the action of  $t$  is to permute the  $L_i$ . Thus  $L$  splits orthogonally as  $L = L'_1 \perp \dots \perp L'_m$ , where each  $L'_i$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module which is irreducible in the sense that it cannot be written as the orthogonal sum of two non-trivial  $\Lambda$ -modules. Moreover, this splitting is unique.

Choosing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases of each  $L'_i$  we can assume that  $S, T$  have block diagonal form:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & S_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & T_m \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_m \end{pmatrix}$$

also has block diagonal form. Now

$$\det(A + (-1)^q A') = \prod_{i=1}^m \det(A_i + (-1)^q A'_i),$$

and so for each  $i$  we see that  $A_i + (-1)^q A'_i$  is unimodular. Thus by (12),  $A_i$  is a Seifert matrix of a simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot  $k_i$ , and  $k = k_1 + \dots + k_m$ . Because  $L'_i$  is unique and indecomposable,  $k_i$  is unique and irreducible, and the result is proved.  $\blacksquare$

### 3. Non-unique factorization of fibred simple $(2q - 1)$ -knots, $q \geq 3$

We shall reformulate the proof of [(1); Section 1] using Blanchfield forms instead of Seifert matrices. There is a bijection between the isotopy classes of simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 3$ , and the isometry classes of  $(-1)^{q+1}$ -Blanchfield forms (see (7, 8)). Therefore it suffices to prove that factorization is not unique for  $(-1)^{q+1}$ -Blanchfield forms.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  be such that  $\lambda(1) = \pm 1$ ,  $\lambda(t) = t^{\deg \lambda} \lambda(t^{-1})$ . Let  $R = \Lambda/(\lambda) = \mathbb{Z}[\tau, \tau^{-1}]$ , where  $\tau$  is a root of  $\lambda$ . Define an involution  $\bar{t} = t^{-1}$  in  $\Lambda$ , which induces the involution  $\bar{\tau} = \tau^{-1}$  in  $R$ .

Let  $H$  be the standard hyperbolic  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form:

$$H: (Re \oplus Rf) \times (Re \oplus Rf) \rightarrow R$$

$$H(e, e) = H(f, f) = 0, \quad H(e, f) = H(f, e) = 1.$$

Let  $u$  be a unit of  $R$  such that  $\bar{u} = u$ . We denote by  $\langle u \rangle \perp \langle -u \rangle$  the  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form

$$(Rx \oplus Ry) \times (Rx \oplus Ry) \rightarrow R$$

$$x \cdot x = u, \quad y \cdot y = -u, \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 0.$$

Then we claim that  $\langle u \rangle \perp \langle -u \rangle \cong H$ .

To see this, note that  $1 = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = 1/(1 - \tau) \in R$ ;  $1 - \tau$  is a unit of  $R$  because  $\lambda(1) = \pm 1$ .

Let  $e = x + y, f' = y$ . Then  $e \cdot e = 0, e \cdot f' = f' \cdot e = -u, f' \cdot f' = -u$ . Set  $f'' = f' - \alpha e$ ; we have  $e \cdot f'' = f'' \cdot e = -u$ , and  $f'' \cdot f'' = -u + \alpha u + \bar{\alpha} u = 0$ . Finally, let  $f = -u^{-1}f''$ ; then  $e \cdot f = f \cdot e = 1$ . So  $\langle u \rangle \perp \langle -u \rangle$  is isometric to  $H$ .

Therefore  $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -1 \rangle$  is isometric to  $\langle u \rangle \perp \langle -u \rangle$  for any unit  $u$  of  $R$  such that  $\bar{u} = u$ .

In order to get a counter-example to unique factorization, it suffices to find a unit  $u$  such that  $\langle 1 \rangle \not\cong \langle u \rangle, \langle -1 \rangle \not\cong \langle u \rangle$ . Such an example is given in [(1); Section 1] for  $\lambda = \phi_{15}$ , the cyclotomic polynomial corresponding to the 15th roots of unity, and  $u = \tau + \tau^{-1}$ .

For the  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield form case, note that in  $R = \Lambda/(\phi_{15}), v = \tau - \bar{\tau}$  is a unit. Therefore  $\langle v \rangle \perp \langle -v \rangle$  is a  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield form, and we have

$$\langle v \rangle \perp \langle -v \rangle \cong \langle vu \rangle \perp \langle -vu \rangle$$

$$\langle v \rangle \not\cong \langle vu \rangle, \quad \langle -u \rangle \not\cong \langle vu \rangle,$$

with  $u = \tau + \tau^{-1}$ .

In each case, the Alexander polynomial of the corresponding simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knot is  $\phi_{15}^2$ , of which the leading coefficient is 1. Thus, as in Section 2, these knots are fibred.

#### 4. Non-unique factorization of definite simple $(4q + 1)$ -knots, $q \geq 1$

As in Section 3, it will suffice to give an example of a suitable Blanchfield form with several distinct factorizations. In fact our example will rely upon the possible non-uniqueness of factorization of the underlying knot module as a direct sum of modules. (The examples above were a little more subtle in that the underlying modules were all free as modules over the ring  $\Lambda/(\phi_{15})$ , as this ring is a principal ideal domain.)

Let  $\theta = 13t - 25 + 13t^{-1}$ . The ring  $R = \Lambda/(\theta)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma, \frac{1}{13}]$  where  $\gamma = (-1 + \sqrt{-51})/2$  is the image of  $13(t - 1)$ . Since  $\theta(t^{-1}) = \theta(t)$ , the involution of  $\Lambda$  induces an involution of  $R$ , which is just complex conjugation, and which we denote by an overbar. Since  $\theta(1) = 1$ , any finitely generated  $R$ -module which supports a non-singular  $\epsilon$ -Hermitian pairing may be regarded as a knot module, and an  $\epsilon$ -Hermitian pairing on such a module determines an  $\epsilon$ -Hermitian form via the inclusion

$$R = \Lambda/(\theta) \cong \theta^{-1}\Lambda/\Lambda \subset \Lambda_0/\Lambda.$$

Let  $J$  be the  $R$ -ideal generated by 3 and  $\sqrt{-51}$ . Then  $J = \bar{J}$  and  $J\bar{J} = J^2 = (3)$ , so  $b_J(j, k) = j\bar{k}/3$  for all  $j, k$  in  $J$  determines a  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form on the knot module  $J$ .

Let  $B = b_J \perp b_J$ , and let

$$e = ((18 + \sqrt{-51})/13, (9 + \sqrt{-51})/13)$$

$$f = ((9 - \sqrt{-51})/13, (-18 + \sqrt{-51})/13).$$

Then  $B(e, e) = B(f, f) = 1$  and  $B(e, f) = 0$ , so  $B$  is isometric to  $b \perp b$ , where  $b: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is the  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form on the knot module  $R$  given by  $b(r, s) = r\bar{s}$  for all  $r, s$  in  $R$ . We shall show that  $J$  is not a principal ideal, so that it is not isomorphic to  $R$ , and hence that these factorizations of  $B$  are distinct.

Suppose that  $J$  is principal. Then we may suppose that it is generated by an element  $\alpha = A + B\gamma$  of  $S = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ , the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51})$ , and that  $\alpha$  is not divisible in  $S$  by  $\gamma$  or  $\bar{\gamma}$ , since they are units in  $R$ . Since  $3$  belongs to  $J$ ,  $\alpha$  divides  $3$  in  $R$  and so divides  $3 \cdot 13^k$  in  $S$ , for some large  $k$ . Similarly  $\alpha$  divides  $\sqrt{-51} \cdot 13^l$  in  $S$ , for some large  $l$ . Therefore  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = A^2 + AB + 13B^2$  divides  $9 \cdot 13^{2k}$  and  $51 \cdot 13^{2l}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence divides  $3 \cdot 13^m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for some large  $m$ . If  $13$  divides  $\alpha\bar{\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  then either  $\gamma$  or  $\bar{\gamma}$  divides  $\alpha$  in  $S$ , since  $13 = \gamma\bar{\gamma}$  and  $(\gamma)$  is a prime ideal as  $S/(\gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(13)$ . As we have assumed this is not the case,  $\alpha\bar{\alpha}$  must divide  $3$ . Since  $R/J \cong \mathbb{Z}/(3)$ ,  $J$  is a proper ideal, and so

$$A^2 + AB + 13B^2 = \alpha\bar{\alpha} = 3.$$

This is clearly impossible and so  $J$  cannot be principal. (This example was discussed in greater detail in (5), where it was indicated how other examples with knot module annihilated by an irreducible knot polynomial  $\delta$  might be sought whenever  $\delta$  is such that  $\delta = \bar{\delta}$  and  $\Lambda/(\delta)$  contains a non-principal ideal  $I$  such that  $I\bar{I}$  is principal.)

Since any real quadratic space of rank 2 with an isometry whose characteristic polynomial has complex roots (such as  $\theta(t)$ ) must be definite, any simple  $(4q + 1)$ -knot with Blanchfield form  $B = b \perp b = b_J \perp b_J$  is a definite knot with two distinct factorizations into irreducible knots.

5. Non-unique factorization of definite simple  $(4q - 1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 2$

As in Sections 3 and 4, it suffices to show that factorization is not unique for definite  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield forms. Let  $\lambda(t) = 53t^8 - 105t^4 + 53$ . Then  $\lambda$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (this can be checked by computing the roots of  $\lambda$ ). Let

$$K = \mathbb{Q}[t]/(\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau), \quad R = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]/(\lambda) = \mathbb{Z}[\tau, \tau^{-1}],$$

where  $\tau$  is a root of  $\lambda$ . Note that  $R$  is integrally closed by ((13), Theorem 28.2, p. 93).

We shall follow the same idea as in Section 4. We shall begin by constructing a non-principal ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . We have

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1 - \tau) = \frac{1}{53} \lambda(1) = \frac{1}{53} \in R.$$

Therefore  $53$  is a unit of  $R$ . As  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1 - \tau^4) = 1/53^4$ ,  $1 - \tau^4$  is also a unit of  $R$ . Let  $\omega = (1 - \tau^4)^{-1} \in R$ , and let  $I$  be the  $R$ -ideal generated by  $5$  and  $\omega + 1$ .

Claim 1.  $I$  is not principal.

Proof. Let  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}[t]/(53t^2 - 105t + 53) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau^4)$ ,  $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}[\tau^4, \tau^{-4}]$ . Then  $\omega \in R_1$ . Let  $I_1 = (5, \omega + 1)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $I_1$  and  $I_1^2$  are not principal (use the

same method as in Section 4), and that  $I_1^3 = (\omega - 9)R_1$ ; therefore  $I_1$  is of order 3. (In fact, it suffices to prove that  $I_1$  is not principal. The table on p. 101 of (13) implies that  $I_1$  is then of order 3.)

Let  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}[t]/(53t^4 - 105t^2 + 53) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau^2)$ ,  $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\tau^2, \tau^{-2}]$ , and let  $I_2 = (5, \omega + 1)$  be the extension of  $I_1$  to  $R_2$ . Using ((13), Section 29, p. 95) we see that  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are integrally closed. We have:  $R \cap K_2 = R_2$ ,  $R_2 \cap K_2 = R_1$ .  $I$  is the extension of  $I_2$  to  $R$ .

The following lemma shows that  $I_2$  and  $I$  are also non-principal, of order 3.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Let  $E/F$  be a quadratic extension of number fields, let  $A$  be an integrally closed subring of  $E$  which is sent into itself by  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ , and let  $B = A \cap F$ . Let  $b$  be an ideal of  $B$  such that  $a = bA$  is principal. Then  $b^2$  is principal.*

*Proof:* Let  $\sigma: E \rightarrow E$  generate  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ . We have  $a = bA$ , therefore  $\sigma(a) = a$ . But  $a$  is principal by hypothesis, so there exists an  $x \in E$  such that  $a = xA$ . Then

$$a^2 = a \cdot \sigma(a) = x \cdot \sigma(x)A.$$

Let  $y = x \cdot \sigma(x)$ . We have  $\sigma(y) = y$ , so  $y \in F$ . Then  $b^2 = a^2 \cap F = yB$ , so  $b^2$  is principal.

Let  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  denote  $\mathbb{Q}$ -involution of  $K$  which sends  $\tau$  to  $\tau^{-1}$ . Then

$$I\bar{I} = (25, 5(\omega + 1), 5(\bar{\omega} + 1), 55) = 5R.$$

Let  $b_I: I \times I \rightarrow R$  be given by  $b_I(x, y) = x\bar{y}/5$ . Then  $b_I$  is a  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form.

Let  $L = I \oplus I \oplus I$ , and define  $b_L: L \times L \rightarrow R$  to be  $b_L = b_I \perp b_I \perp b_I$ .

Let  $b: R \times R \rightarrow R$  be given by  $b(x, y) = 53x\bar{y}$ . As 53 is a unit of  $R$ ,  $b$  is a  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form.

*Claim 2.*  $b$  is an orthogonal summand of  $b_L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e = (10 + (\omega + 1), \omega + 1, 5) \in L$ . Direct computation gives  $b_L(e, e) = 53$ . Then  $Re$  is a submodule of  $L$  such that  $b_L|(Re \times Re) \cong b$  is unimodular, therefore  $b$  is an orthogonal summand of  $b_L$ .

So  $b_L = b_I \perp b_I \perp b_I \cong b \perp b^\perp$ , and  $b_I \not\cong b$  because  $I$  is not principal by Claim 1. Thus we have proved that the  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield form  $b_L$  has at least two non-equivalent factorizations.

Now we shall change  $b_L$  in order to get a definite  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield form.

*Claim 3.* There exists an  $R$ -ideal  $J$  and a  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield form  $B: J \times J \rightarrow R$  such that  $B \otimes_R b_I: JI \times JI \rightarrow R$  is a definite  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield form.

Claim 3 implies the non-uniqueness of the factorization of definite  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield forms. Indeed,

$$B \otimes b_L = B \otimes b_I \perp B \otimes b_I \perp B \otimes b_I \simeq B \otimes b \perp B \otimes b^\perp.$$

We have seen that  $I$  is non-principal, therefore  $IJ$  is not isomorphic to  $J$ . So we have  $B \otimes b_I \not\cong B \otimes b$ .

*Proof of Claim 3.* Let  $\alpha = (1 - \tau)^{-1} \in R$ . Let  $\phi(t) = t^8\lambda(1 - t^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ :  $\phi$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . We have

$$\phi(t) = t^8 - 4t^7 + 854t^6 - 2548t^5 + 3605t^4 - 53 \cdot 56t^3 + 53 \cdot 28t^2 - 8 \cdot 53t + 53.$$

Let

$$\delta = \phi'(\alpha)(1 - 2\alpha) = -3388\alpha^3(1 - \alpha)^3 + 106 \cdot 56\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)^2 - 53 \cdot 56\alpha(1 - \alpha) + 8 \cdot 53.$$

Let  $F = \{x \in K : \bar{x} = x\}$ .  $F$  has 4 real embeddings  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\delta$  is positive at two of these embeddings, say  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and negative at  $\sigma_3$  and  $\sigma_4$ .

Let  $\beta = (\tau - \bar{\tau})^2 \in F$ : notice that  $K = F(\tau - \bar{\tau})$ . Denote the Hilbert symbol by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_P$ .

There exists an  $a \in F$  such that

$$(a, \beta)_P = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } P = \sigma_3, \sigma_4 \\ +1 & \text{otherwise (that is, for } P = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \text{ or a discrete prime).} \end{cases}$$

To see this, apply Theorem 71:19 and Corollary 71:19a of (15) with  $T = \{\sigma_3, \sigma_4\}$ . Notice that  $\beta = -(\tau - \bar{\tau})(\tau - \bar{\tau})$ , so  $\beta$  is negative at all real embeddings of  $F$ .

As  $(a, \beta)_P = +1$  for  $P$  discrete, there exists an  $R$ -ideal  $J$  such that  $B': J \times J \rightarrow R$  given by  $B'(x, y) = ax, \bar{y}$  is a  $(+1)$ -Blanchfield pairing (see ((13), lemma 2.4.3, p. 81)).

Now  $1 - 2\alpha$  is a unit of  $R$ . Indeed,  $1 - 2\alpha = (\tau + 1)/(\tau - 1)$ , and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\tau + 1) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\tau - 1) = 1/53, \quad \text{so} \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1 - 2\alpha) = 1.$$

Define  $B: J \times J \rightarrow R$  by  $B(x, y) = (1 - 2\alpha)^{-1} ax, \bar{y}$ . We have seen that  $1 - 2\alpha$  is a unit, and clearly  $\overline{1 - 2\alpha} = -(1 - 2\alpha)$ , therefore  $B$  is a  $(-1)$ -Blanchfield pairing.

It remains to prove that  $h = B \otimes b_I$  is definite. To see this, it suffices to show that the extension  $h_K$  of  $h$  to  $K$  is definite. We have

$$h_K: K \times K \rightarrow K, \quad h_K(x, y) = (1 - 2\alpha)^{-1} ax, \bar{y}/5.$$

Since  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , we can write  $x \in K$  in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i \alpha^i, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Q},$$

and this expression is unique. Define  $s: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by  $s(x) = x_7$ , as in ((21), p. 239). We have  $s(x) = Tr_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x/\phi'(\alpha))$ . (See (21), p. 239), and  $s(h_K(x, y)) = S(x, y)$ , where  $S^{-1}$  is the rational intersection form corresponding to  $h_K$  (see (21) and (20): Section 2)).

By definition,  $h_K$  is definite if and only if  $S^{-1}$  is a definite quadratic form. Clearly  $S^{-1}$  is definite if and only if  $S$  is definite. We have

$$S(x, x) = s(h_K(x, x)) = Tr_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax, \bar{x}/5\delta) > 0$$

if  $x \neq 0$ , (recall that  $\delta = \phi'(\alpha)(1 - 2\alpha)$ ) because  $a/\delta$  is totally positive by construction, and  $x\bar{x}$  is also totally positive as the involution becomes complex conjugation at every  $C$ -embedding of  $K$ .

Therefore  $S(x, x) > 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ , so  $S$  is positive definite.

### 6. Non-unique factorization of 3-knots

Let  $k$  be a simple 3-knot, with Seifert matrix  $S$ -equivalent to the non-singular matrix  $A$ . If  $A$  is unimodular, then we say that  $k$  is algebraically fibred. (If  $k$  were a simple  $(4q - 1)$ -knot,  $q > 1$ , then  $k$  would be fibred by the Browder–Levine theorem (2).)

Consider the following matrices.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -2 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each matrix possesses the following properties:

- (i)  $\det(A + A') = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{signature}(A + A') = 8$ .

In addition we have

- (iii)  $\det(tB + B') = 1 + t - t^3 - t^4 - t^5 + t^7 + t^8 = \phi_{30}(t)$ ;
- (iv)  $\det(tC + C') = 1 - t + t^3 - t^4 + t^5 - t^7 + t^8 = \phi_{15}(t)$ .

By (12) there exist unique 3-knots  $k, l, m$  with Seifert matrices  $S$ -equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. By (12), we have  $k + l = m + m$ . Because the signature of a 3-knot must be divisible by 16 (see (12)), it is clear that each of the knots  $k, l, m$  is irreducible. All three knots are distinguished by their Alexander polynomials, these being  $\phi_{30}(t)\phi_{30}(t), \phi_{15}(t)\phi_{15}(t), \phi_{30}(t)\phi_{15}(t)$  respectively. Finally, the knot  $k + l$  is definite and algebraically fibred. Thus the analogue of Theorem 2.1 fails for 3-knots.

7. *Unique factorization of odd semisimple finite 2q-knots,  $q \geq 4$*

In this section we shall sketch a proof of the following theorem, given in (6).

**THEOREM 7.1.** *If  $k$  is an odd simple  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 4$ , whose knot module  $H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$  is semisimple and such that either  $q$  is even or  $t + 1$  acts invertibly, then  $k$  has a unique factorization into irreducible knots.*

By means of Kojima’s classification of odd simple  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 4$ , we may reduce the proof of this theorem to an argument about the factorization of certain  $\epsilon$ -Levine pairings (for  $\epsilon = (-1)^{q+1}$ ). We shall first explain the term ‘semisimple’.



A finite  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  is the direct sum of its localizations  $M_m$  at the various maximal ideals  $m$  of  $\Lambda$ . The localization  $M_m$  may be regarded as a module over the  $m$ -adic completion  $\Lambda_{\hat{m}} = \varprojlim \Lambda/m^n$ . Let  $m = (p, g(t))$  where  $p$  is a rational prime and  $g(t)$  is a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  whose image in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[t]$  is irreducible. Then the completion  $\Lambda_{\hat{m}}$  is isomorphic to  $S[[T]]$  where  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\hat{p}}[\xi]$  is an unramified extension of the  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_{\hat{p}}$ , generated by a root of unity  $\xi$  such that  $g(\xi) \equiv 0$  modulo  $(p)$ , and where  $t$  has image  $\xi(1 - T)$ . (That such an isomorphism exists is a special case of I. S. Cohen's structure theorem for complete regular local rings ((17): p. V-16).) The localization  $M_m$  is semisimple if  $T \cdot M_m = 0$ ; the module  $M$  is semisimple if each such localization is semisimple. Semisimple modules may be recognized by the following criterion. A finite  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  is semisimple if and only if  $\text{Ann } M = \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{e_i}, g_i)$  where  $p_i$  is a rational prime and  $g_i$  is congruent modulo  $(p_i^{e_i})$  to an irreducible factor of a cyclotomic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}[t]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and where the maximal ideals  $(p_i, g_i)$  are all distinct. (This fact is not used in proving the theorem.)

Suppose that the finite knot module  $M$  supports an  $\epsilon$ -Levine pairing

$$[, ]: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the localizations  $M_m$  and  $M_n$  are orthogonal unless  $n = \bar{m}$ . If  $m \neq \bar{m}$  the pairing on  $M_m \oplus M_{\bar{m}}$  is determined uniquely by the module structure of  $M_m$ . If  $m = \bar{m}$  then the involution of  $\Lambda$  induces involutions on  $\Lambda_{\hat{m}}$  and  $S$  mapping  $\xi$  to  $\xi^{-1}$  and  $T$  to  $T/(T - 1)$ , and the pairing  $[, ]$  determines a pairing

$$\{, \}: M_{\hat{m}} \times M_{\hat{m}} \rightarrow S \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = S_0/S$$

which is non-singular,  $S$ -linear in its first argument,  $\epsilon$ -conjugate symmetric

$$\{n, m\} = \epsilon \overline{\{m, n\}} \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } M$$

and such that

$$\{Tm, n\} = \{m, (T/(T - 1))n\} \text{ for all } m, n \text{ in } M.$$

If now  $M$  is assumed semisimple each localization  $M_m$  is a module over a discrete valuation ring  $S = \Lambda_{\hat{m}}/(T)$ , and so has an essentially unique factorization as a direct sum of (irreducible) cyclic modules. By the remarks above we may assume that  $M$  is annihilated by some power of a maximal ideal  $m$  such that  $\bar{m} = m$ .

An  $\epsilon$ -Levine pairing on such a module is equivalent to a non-singular  $\epsilon$ -conjugate symmetric pairing into  $S_0/S$  which is  $S$ -linear in its first argument. For brevity, we shall refer to such a pairing on a finite  $S$ -module as an ( $\epsilon$ -torsion) form. We recall that  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\hat{p}}[\xi]$  where  $\xi$  is a root of unity which is not congruent to 1 modulo  $(p)$  (since  $M$  is a knot module). The extension  $S/\mathbb{Z}_{\hat{p}}$  is unramified, so the unique maximal ideal of  $S$  is generated by  $p$ , and  $S$  has an involution which maps  $\xi$  to  $\bar{\xi} = \xi^{-1}$ . The involution is the identity if and only if  $\xi = -1$ , and in this case  $p$  must be odd.

Let  $\epsilon - S(p^k)$  denote the  $\epsilon$ -torsion form with underlying module  $S/(p^k)$ , generated by  $e = 1 + (p^k)$ , and with pairing determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \{e, e\} &= 1/p^k \text{ if } \epsilon = +1 \\ \{e, e\} &= (\xi - \bar{\xi})/p^k \text{ if } \epsilon = -1 \text{ and the involution is nontrivial.} \end{aligned}$$

(If  $\epsilon = -1$ ,  $p$  is odd, and the involution is trivial then there is no cyclic  $\epsilon$ -torsion form.)

**PROPOSITION 7.2.** *If the involution on  $S$  is nontrivial then any  $\epsilon$ -torsion form  $M, \{, \}$  is an orthogonal direct sum of copies of  $\epsilon - S/(p^j)$ , for various  $j \geq 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 7.3.** *If the involution on  $S$  is nontrivial, then any  $\epsilon$ -torsion form is determined up to isometry by its underlying module, and has an essentially unique decomposition into irreducible forms.*

Now let us suppose that the involution on  $S$  is trivial, so that  $\xi = -1$ ,  $S = \mathbb{Z}_{\hat{p}}$  and  $p$  is odd. Let  $r$  be the smallest positive integer which is not congruent to a square modulo  $(p)$ . (In fact we could use any non-quadratic residue instead of  $r$ .) Let

$$\widetilde{+1} - S/(p^k)$$

denote the  $+1$ -torsion form over  $S$  whose underlying module is  $S/(p^k)$ , generated by  $f = 1 + (p^k)$ , and with pairing determined by  $\{f, f\} = r/p^k$ . Let  $H_k$  denote the  $-1$ -torsion form over  $S$  whose underlying module is  $(S/(p^k))^2$ , generated by  $h$  and  $h'$ , and with pairing determined by  $\{h, h'\} = 1/p^k$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.4.** *If the involution on  $S$  is trivial then any  $+1$ -torsion form  $M, \{, \}$  is an orthogonal direct sum of copies of  $+1 - S/(p^j)$  and  $\widetilde{+1} - S/(p^j)$  for various  $j \geq 1$ ; moreover  $+1 - S/(p^i)$  and  $\widetilde{+1} - S/(p^j)$  are distinct, but  $(+1 - S/(p^i)) \oplus (+1 - S/(p^j))$  is isomorphic to  $(\widetilde{+1} - S/(p^i)) \oplus (\widetilde{+1} - S/(p^j))$  for each  $j \geq 1$ . Any  $-1$ -torsion form is an orthogonal direct sum of copies of  $H_j$  for various  $j$ ; moreover  $H_j$  is irreducible.*

**COROLLARY 7.5.** *If the involution on  $S$  is trivial, any  $-1$ -torsion form is determined by its underlying module, and has an essentially unique decomposition into irreducible forms. **I***

Let  $M, \{, \}$  be a  $+1$ -torsion form whose underlying module is freely generated over  $S/(p^k)$  by the elements  $m_1, \dots, m_d$  with  $d \geq 1$ , and suppose that  $\{m_i, m_j\} = S_{ij}/p^k$  for some element  $S_{ij}$  in  $S$  (not necessarily a unit). Let  $\text{DET} \{, \}$  be the image of  $\det [S_{ij}]$  in  $(S/(p^k))^*/((S/(p^k))^*)^2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**COROLLARY 7.6.** *There are up to isomorphism two  $+1$ -torsion forms on a non-trivial free  $S/(p^k)$ -module  $M$  distinguished by the value of  $\text{DET} \{, \}$ . Each of these factors is an orthogonal direct sum of cyclic forms and the number of essentially distinct such factorizations is the number of factorizations of  $\text{DET} \{, \}$  as a product of  $d$  elements in the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  (where  $d$  is the minimal number of generators of  $M$ ).*

Theorem 7.1 is an immediate consequence of Corollary 7.3 and Corollary 7.5.

8. *Non-unique factorization of odd finite  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 4$ .*

Corollary 7.6 implies that for each odd  $q \geq 5$  there is an odd finite  $2q$ -knot  $k$  with  $H_q(\tilde{K}; \mathbb{Z})$  semisimple and which has more than one factorization into irreducible knots. The example given in (1) (for  $q$  odd) is of this nature, having knot module isomorphic to  $(\Lambda/(5, t+1))^2$ . There is only one maximal ideal to consider, and we may take  $p = 5$  and  $\xi = -1$ . The involution is trivial, and  $(\Lambda/(5, t+1))^2$  admits one  $(+1)$ -torsion form with  $\text{DET} = [\pm 1]$ , the class of a square, and one with  $\text{DET} = [\pm 2]$ , the class of a nonsquare. The example of (1) is the first of these, and has two factorizations as a direct sum of two cyclic forms since  $[\pm 1] = [\pm 1]^2 = [\pm 2]^2$ ; the second has unique factorization since  $[\pm 2] = [\pm 1][\pm 2]$ .

Uniqueness of factorization can also fail for an odd simple  $2q$ -knot for each even  $q \geq 4$ , but no such knot can have semisimple knot module. The example given for this case in (1) is as follows. Let  $e$  be a fixed generator for the cyclic module  $E = \Lambda/(5, (t+1)^2)$  and let  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]'$  be the  $(-1)$ -Levine pairings on  $E$  determined by  $[e, te] = \frac{1}{5} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $[e, te]' = \frac{2}{5} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$  respectively. Suppose that  $\phi: E \rightarrow E$  is an isometry from  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  to  $\pm[\cdot, \cdot]'$ , sending  $e$  to  $\phi(e) = ae + bte$  with  $a, b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{5} &= [e, te] \\ &= \pm [\phi(e), \phi(te)]' \\ &= \pm [ae + bte, ate + bt^2e]' \\ &= \pm [ae + bte, ate - be - 2bte]' \\ &= \pm ([ae, (a - 2b)te]' + [bte, -be]') \\ &= \pm (a^2 - 2ab + b^2) \cdot \frac{2}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\pm 2$  is a perfect square modulo 5, which is false. Therefore  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is not isometric to either  $[\cdot, \cdot]'$  or  $-[\cdot, \cdot]'$ .

But the map  $\Phi: E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ , given in matrix form with respect to the basis  $\{(e, 0), (0, e)\}$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isometry between  $[\cdot, \cdot] \perp -[\cdot, \cdot]$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]' \perp -[\cdot, \cdot]'$ . Thus there is a  $(-1)$ -Levine pairing on the finite knot module  $E^2 = (\Lambda/(5, (t+1)^2))^2$  which has more than one factorization as a sum of irreducible pairings. Of course the underlying knot module is not semisimple, as  $T = t + 1$  does not act as the zero endomorphism.

REFERENCES

- (1) BAYER, E. Factorisation is not unique for higher dimensional knots. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **55** (1980), 583-592.
- (2) BROWDER, W. and LEVINE, J. Fiberings manifolds over a circle. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **40** (1965), 153-160.
- (3) CASSELS, J. W. S. *Rational quadratic forms*. Academic Press (1978). LMS monograph no. 13.
- (4) CROWELL, R. The group  $G'/G''$  of a knot group  $G$ . *Duke Math. Jour.* **30** (1963), 349-354.
- (5) HILLMAN, J. A. Blanchfield pairings with squarefree Alexander polynomials. *Math. Zeit.* **176** (1981), 551-563.
- (6) HILLMAN, J. A. Finite knot modules and the factorisation of certain simple knots. *Math. Ann.* (to appear).
- (7) KEARTON, C. Classification of simple knots by Blanchfield duality. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 952-955.
- (8) KEARTON, C. Blanchfield duality and simple knots. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **202** (1975), 141-160.
- (9) KERVAIRE, M. A. Les nœuds de dimensions supérieures. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* **93** (1965), 225-271.
- (10) KOJIMA, S. Classification of simple knots by Levine pairings. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **54** (1979), 356-367.
- (11) LEVINE, J. Unknotting spheres in codimension two. *Topology* **4** (1965), 9-16.
- (12) LEVINE, J. An algebraic classification of some knots of codimension two. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **45** (1970), 185-198.
- (13) LEVINE, J. *Algebraic structure of knot modules* (Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 772 (1980)).

- (14) MAEDA, T. On a composition of knot groups. II. Algebraic bridge index. *Mathematics Seminar Notes Kobe University* **5** (1977), 457–464.
- (15) O'MEARA, O. T. Introduction to quadratic forms. (Springer, 1971).
- (16) SCHUBERT, H. Die eindeutige Zerlegbarkeit eines knotens in Primknoten. *S.-B. Heidelberger Akad. Wiss. Math. Nat. Kl.* **3** (1949), 57–104.
- (17) SERRE, J. P. *Algèbre locale-multiplicités* (Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 11, 1965).
- (18) SOSINSKII, A. B. Decomposition of knots. *Math. USSR-Sb.* **10** (1970), 139–150.
- (19) TROTTER, H. F. Homology of group systems with applications to knot theory. *Annals of Math.* **76** (1962), 464–498.
- (20) TROTTER, H. F. On  $S$ -equivalence of Seifert matrices. *Invent. Math.* **20** (1973), 173–207.
- (21) TROTTER, H. F. *Knot modules and Seifert matrices* (Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 685, Knot Theory (1978)), pp. 291–299.