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#### Abstract

A total of 131 current meter records of between 6 and 24 month duration are analysed to describe the deep flow field of the eastern North Atlantic from $19^{\circ}$ to $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and from the Continental Slope to the Mid Atlantic Ridge. Mean flows are weak and may be statistically indeterminate in some records and locations, but appear to indicate cyclonic circulations around the Iberia and Porcupine abyssal plains with a generally southward flow along the Mid Atlantic ridge and a deep northward slope current (where measurements exist) along the eastern boundary. The deepest inflow to the northeastern basin that has been identified to date takes place through the Discovery Gap of $>4,700 \mathrm{~m}$ sill-depth at $37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 15^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ in the AzoresPortugal ridge. South of that ridge, observations are sparse and no systematic circulation is yet evident. These observations are discussed in relation to recent geostrophic estimates of the deep circulation.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

PRIOR to 1976 the deep flow field of the eastern North Atlantic was virtually undescribed, and the very few direct current measurements available were severely restricted both in distribution and duration. Since then, new initiatives in support of a wide range of scientific interests have contributed a total data-set of some 200 meter-years of Eulerian current meter records (all depths). Many of the records are of recent origin and have yet to be fully analysed.

The key initiative was that of the North East Atlantic Dynamics Study (NEADS) subgroup of SCOR Working Group 34 which, from November 1976, maintained a large-scale incoherent array of full-depth moorings in the eastern basin. These were specifically designed to provide records of 2 yr duration from widely-spaced sites remote from the influence of major topographic features (e.g. islands, continental slopes). The NEADS plan and participants are described in ANON, 1976. Though the geographic spread of measurements has extended to most areas of the basin since the main period of the NEADS programme, the NEADS records still stand out by virtue of their unusually long duration and full-depth coverage.

The longest records are of particular importance to the present paper. The vast majority of eastern basin measurements, including those of the NEADS programme, were designed primarily to provide information on the eddy field, (particularly the large-scale spatial variability of eddy kinetic energy, the dominant time-scales of eddy motions and their vertical structure), and the kinematics and statistics of the eddy field have already been partly described (DICKSON, 1983; GOULD, 1983; DICKSON, GOULD, GURBUTT and KILLWORTH,

| [ABLE 1. FLOW STATISTICS FOR 131 DEEP CURRENT METER RECORDS FROM THE EASTERN NORTH ATLANTIC. $u$ AND $v$ DE AND NORTHWARD RESPECTIVELY, DATA ARE LOW PASS FILTERED TO REMOVE PERIODS LOWER THAN 2 DAY (NOMINAL), DENOTED BY OVERBAR, DEVIATIONS FROM THESE AVERAGES BY A PRIME; $u^{\prime 2}$ AND $v^{\prime 2}$ ARE THE VARIANCES IN $u$ AND $v$ KINETIC ENERGY PER UNIT MASS $\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{u^{\prime 2}}+\overline{v^{\prime 2}}\right)\right], K_{M}$ IS THE KINETIC ENERGY PER UNIT MASS OF THE MEAN FLOW [ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{u}^{2}\right.$ EDDY MOMENTUM FLUX, AND THE 'STABILITY FACTOR' IS THE RATIO OF MEAN VECTOR TO MEAN SCALAR SPEEDS PERCENTAGE. THE STANDARD ERROR CALCULATION IS DESCRIBED IN THE TEXT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifier | Latitude N ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Longitude } \\ W \\ \left.e^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Water depth (m) | Sampling depth (m) | Duration (days) |  | $\left.s^{-1}\right)^{i}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{u^{\prime} v} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Stability factor (\%) |  | $\underbrace{-2)^{72}}$ |  | ${ }^{2}{ }^{K_{M}}$ | Std. err $u$ (c | Std. err. $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)^{v}$ | Source |
| NEADS network |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEADS 1 | 3306 | 2150 | 5270 | 3050 | 721 | -0.4 | -0.52 | $-1.1$ | 29 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 0.62 | IFMK (Muller) |
|  |  |  |  | 4780 | 345 | 0.3 | $-1.1$ | $-1.2$ | 45 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 0.65 | 0.42 | 0.76 |  |
| $\sim$ NEADS 1 | 3307 | 2003 |  | 4638 | 225 | -0.29 | 0.20 |  |  | 2.41 | 2.21 | 2.31 | 0.09 |  |  | IOS (Saunders) |
|  |  |  |  | 5284 | 225 | -0.11 | 0.06 |  |  | 3.14 | 2.79 | 2.96 | 0.06 |  |  |  |
| NEADS 2 | 3759 | 1654 | 5550 | 3168 | 689 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 41 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.22 | IFMK (Muller) |
|  |  |  |  | 4181 | 465 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 71 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 0.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5079 | 146 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 88 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.85 | 0.13 | 0.15 |  |
| NEADS $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4031 | 1719 | 5310 | 2945 | 202 | -0.7 | $-0.0$ | -0.1 | 50 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.30 | IFMK (Mulles) |
|  |  |  |  | 4050 | 242 | -0.5 | 0.1 | $-0.1$ | 71 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.12 |  |
| NEADS 3 | 4200 | 1400 | 5330 | 3000 | 470 | -0.15 | 0.30 | 0.60 |  | 6.27 | 5.12 | 5.70 | 0.06 |  |  | IOS (Gould) |
|  |  |  |  | 4000 | 700 | -0.94 | 0.19 | 0.23 |  | 6.11 | 4.62 | 5.37 | 0.46 |  |  |  |
| NEADS $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4130 | 2000 | 3700 | 3100 | 150 | -0.26 | 0.04 | 0.90 |  | 1.04 | 1.43 | 1.24 | 0.03 |  |  | IOS (Gould) |
| NEADS 4 | 4100 | 2500 | 3634 | 3000 | 466 | -0.79 | -0.92 | 0.94 |  | 3.07 | 4.14 | 3.61 | 0.74 |  |  | IOS (Gould) |
|  |  |  |  | 3500 | 688 | -0.90 | -0.54 | $-1.24$ |  | 4.26 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 0.55 |  |  |  |
| NEADS 5 | 4600 | 1700 | 4756 | 3000 | 485 | -0.23 | 0.67 | 0.24 |  | 3.04 | 2.03 | 2.53 | 0.25 |  |  | IOS (Gould) |
| NEADS 5 | 4606 | 1709 | 4760 | 4050 | 362 | -0.65 | 0.77 | 0.39 | 63 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.21 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 4200 | 362 | -0.52 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 65 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.16 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4710 | 307 | -0.64 | 0.82 | 0.52 | 62 | 1.57 | 0.87 | 1.22 | 0.55 | 0.33 | 0.26 |  |
| NEADS 6(1) | 5228.7 | 1743.9 | 4100 | 3050 | 239 | 0.61 | -0.10 | 0.33 | 16 | 9.78 | 8.27 | 9.03 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.43 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 4050 | 239 | $-1.30$ | $-0.25$ | 1.26 | 26 | 14.75 | 14.07 | 14.41 | 0.88 | 0.63 | 0.56 |  |
| NEADS 6(2) | 5227.8 | 1742.0 | 4121 | 3071 | 343 | 0.09 | 0.11 | -1.09 | 4 | 11.90 | 7.54 | 9.72 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.33 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| NEADS 6(3) | 5227.8 | 1742.6 | 4124 | 3047 | 248 | -0.94 | 0.83 | -4.65 | 24 | 19.15 | 16.52 | 17.84 | 0.79 | 0.95 | 0.54 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 4074 | 363 | - 2.32 | 1.10 | -3.27 | 37 | 25.87 | 31.10 | 28.48 | 3.30 | 0.70 | 0.62 |  |
| NEADS 6(4) | 5225.1 | 1744.8 | 4187 | 3137 | 365 | 0.24 | -0.11 | -8.32 | 5 | 24.49 | 17.62 | 21.05 | 0.03 | 0.74 | 0.60 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 4137 | 365 | - 1.09 | -0.03 | -9.32 | 14 | 46.24 | 39.05 | 42.65 | 0.58 | 0.98 | 0.83 |  |
| NEADS 6(5) | 5227.7 | 1743.8 | 4107 | 3057 | 342 | -0.68 | $-0.61$ | -0.13 | 29 | 7.92 | 6.81 | 7.36 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.31 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| NEADS $6(6) \equiv$ mooring $82-09$ (see below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEADS 7 | 4700 | 1000 | 4995 | 3000 | 260 | -0.17 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.01 |  |  | COB (Colin de Verdiere) |
|  |  |  |  | 4000 | 732 | 0.37 | -0.33 | -0.60 |  | 2.33 | 1.91 | 2.1 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| NEADS 11 | 3450 | 2300 | 5155 | 3030 | 592 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.4 | 56 | 0.97 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.17 | IFMK (Muller) |
|  |  |  |  | 4720 | 214 | 2.28 | 2.20 | 3.0 | 92 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.02 | 0.71 | 0.65 |  |
| NEADS 12 | 3056 | 2023 | 4850 | 2970 | 213 | 0.14 | -0.11 | $-0.3$ | 12 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.40 | IFMK (Muller) |
|  |  |  |  | 4690 | 213 | 0.31 | $-0.30$ | $-0.3$ | 25 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.42 |  |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { beb } \\ & \text { og } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { c }}}$ | $\underset{0}{0} \overbrace{0}^{0}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}{ }_{0}^{n}$ |  | $\underset{0}{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ m | N̄ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |  |  | No | へֻon |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { d }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －8\％ |  |  |
| oib | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \stackrel{\infty}{0} \underset{0}{m}$ | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | 「 |  |  | $\frac{m}{6}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{0}{ }_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{-}{5}$ |  | no |  | $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{m}{\circ}$ | Sin | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | － |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  | त | No | $\underset{\sim}{\text { הָ }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®̈ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | \％ | N | $\stackrel{+}{\text { Ni }}$ | － | べべ女 | 끙 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{-}$ |  | － |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{\sim}}{\sim}$ |  | ¢ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | ¢ | $\overline{\mathrm{m}} \underset{\sim}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | \％ | 융웅 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | NiN |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \stackrel{\sim}{i}$ |  | F－ | $\underset{\substack{\infty}}{\substack{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | － |  | $\stackrel{\text { }}{\substack{\text { m }}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\text { m }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ci }}$ | － | N | Ȯ | $\stackrel{\infty}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\text { त̇ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |  |
| $\sigma$ | \＄${ }_{0}$ |  | まヵ | －0 | 9\％ | － | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ํ\％ | $\infty$ |  |









| Porcupine Bank Slope |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 81－07 | 5230.2 |
| Celtic Sea Slope |  |
| R | 4859.2 |
| 80－02 | 4715.5 |
| Meriadzek Terr． | 4735.0 |
| Porcupine Aby | al Plain |
| N | 5042.7 |
| 0 | 4910.4 |
| P | 4759.5 |
| Q | 4713.6 |
| Biogas 81－82 | 4735.3 |
| Tourbillon 79.80 |  |
| 1 | 4659.7 |
| 2 | 4659.6 |
| 3 | 4711.5 |
| 4 | 4700.6 |
| 5 | 4650.8 |
| 6 | 4722.7 |
| 7 | 4726.1 |
| 8 | 4637.3 |
| 9 | 4635.2 |
| 10 | 4658.6 |
| Edyloc 81／82 | 4725.1 |


| Identifier | $\begin{gathered} \text { Latitude } \\ N \\ \left.\rho^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Longitude } \\ w \\ \left.0^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Water depth (m) | Sampling depth (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ \text { (days) } \end{gathered}$ | $\bar{u}_{\left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)}{ }^{\bar{v}}$ |  | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)}{\overrightarrow{u^{\prime}}}$ | Stability factor (\%) | $\begin{gathered} u^{\frac{12}{2}} \quad \begin{array}{c} v^{2} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)}{K_{M}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. err. Std. err. } \\ & u\left(\mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topographic Array |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80.10 | 4554.8 | 1631.4 | 4025 | 3975 | 185 | -0.99 | -5.59 | -2.11 | 95 | 3.50 | 7.49 | 5.50 | 16.11 | 0.45 | 0.74 | MAFF (Gurbutt) |
| 80-11 | 4550.1 | 1635.8 | 4349 | $\begin{aligned} & 3299 \\ & 4299 \end{aligned}$ | $185$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.88 \\ & 1.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.31 \\ & 2.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \\ & 1.24 \end{aligned}$ | $96$ | $2.31$ | $0.89$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & 3.09 \end{aligned}$ | $5.01$ | $0.67$ | $0.34$ | MAFF (Gurbutt) |
| 80-12 | 4554.4 | 1637.2 | 4280 | $\begin{aligned} & 2730 \\ & 3480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.08 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.23 \\ & 2.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.38 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \\ & 0.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73 \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75 \\ & 0.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.66 \\ & 3.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbut) |
| Charlie Gibbs F.Z. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North 570 | 5242.7 | 3359.2 | 4311 | 4227 | 269 | -4.1 | -0.6 |  |  | 40.5 | 2.2 | 21.4 | 8.6 |  |  | WHOI (Schmitz, Hogg) |
| 571 | 5253.7 | 3531.0 | 2895 | 2835 | 270 | -0.4 | 0.7 |  |  | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 0.3 |  |  | WHOI (Schmitz, Hogg) |
| 572 | 5246.1 | 3530.0 | 3398 | $\begin{aligned} & 2528 \\ & 3060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.4 \\ & -3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.6 \\ & -1.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34.2 \\ & 42.3 \end{aligned}$ | $9.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.6 \\ & 24.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ 7.7 \end{array}$ |  |  | WHOI (Schmitz, Hogg) |
|  |  |  |  | 3360 | 270 | -3.2 | -2.1 |  |  | 34.4 | 11.1 | 22.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  |
| South A | 5211.8 | 3058.2 | 3050 | $\begin{aligned} & 2500 \\ & 3000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 247 \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.34 \\ -0.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.60 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.80 \\ 0.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.73 \\ 0.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.92 \\ 0.13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| B | 5209.3 | 3100.2 | 4027 | $\begin{aligned} & 2977 \\ & 3977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.66 \\ -3.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10 \\ & -0.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.88 \\ & 2.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.08 \\ & 15.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.22 \\ & 3.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.65 \\ 9.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 5.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.17 \\ & 0.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| C | 5205.6 | 3057.5 | 3577 | 3527 | 247 | 2.66 | -0.54 | -0.47 | 91 | 3.84 | 0.52 | 2.18 | 3.69 | 0.31 | 0.12 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| East Flanks MAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 265 | 4833 | 2605 | 3717 | 2520 | 665 | -1.1 | 0.6 | - 1.7 | 43 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 0.49 | IFMK (Meinke) |
| 266 | 4426.4 | 2605 | 3167 | 2479 | 390 | -0.69 | 0.42 | -2.16 | 29 | 5.54 | 3.88 | 4.71 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.52 | IFMX (Meinke) |
| 81-03/12 | 4648.8 | 2346.2 | 3992 | $\begin{aligned} & 2873 \\ & 3945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 373 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.47 \\ -1.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.67 \\ 0.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.06 \\ & -1.03 \end{aligned}$ | $43$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.99 \\ & 3.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.95 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.97 \\ & 2.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \\ & 2.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.74 \\ 0.23 \end{gathered}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| 81-04/11 | 4707.3 | 2142.9 | 4532 | $\begin{aligned} & 3374 \\ & 4485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 372 \\ & 372 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.92 \\ & -2.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.11 \\ & -0.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.38 \\ & -1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57 \\ & 4.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86 \\ & 3.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71 \\ & 3.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.47 \\ & 3.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| 8105/10 | 4726.9 | 2011.2 | 4540 | $\begin{aligned} & 3556 \\ & 4493 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \\ & 371 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.23 \\ & -4.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.57 \\ & -0.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57 \\ & 1.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.72 \\ 15.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.95 \\ & 3.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.33 \\ & 9.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.37 \\ & 9.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \\ & 0.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| 81-06/09 | 4755.3 | 1832.9 | 4527 | $\begin{aligned} & 3591 \\ & 4480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \\ & 371 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.28 \\ -0.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.71 \\ & -2.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.41 \\ & 1.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.69 \\ & 2.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & 4.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & 3.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.51 \\ & 4.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| Rockall Array |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82-07/16 | 5325.4 | 1901.8 | 2498 | 2453 | 368 | 3.57 | 1.03 | -2.81 | 48 | 33.98 | 33.47 | 33.72 | 6.91 | 1.01 | 0.93 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| 82-08/15 | 5258.9 | 1823.6 | 3162 | 3117 | 367 | -3.92 | -4.39 | 35.95 | 68 | 32.55 | 49.26 | 40.91 | 17.32 | 0.81 | 1.01 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| 82.09 | 5227.3 | 1742.9 | 4135 | 2346 3109 3910 | $\begin{aligned} & 366 \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05 \\ -0.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.15 \\ 0.47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.11 \\ & -1.32 \end{aligned}$ | 3 12 26 | 16.79 22.11 37.83 | 13.51 21.96 37.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.15 \\ & 22.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 0.24 1.93 | 0.95 0.67 0.84 | 0.61 0.55 0.69 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 3910 | 366 | -1.21 | 1.55 | -2.24 | 26 | 37.83 | 37.69 | 37.76 | 1.93 | 0.84 | 0.69 |  |
| 82-10/18 | 5154.2 | 1738.7 | 4470 | $\begin{aligned} & 3556 \\ & 4425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368 \\ & 368 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.59 \\ & -4.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 1.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6.20 \\ & -7.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.02 \\ & 45.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.06 \\ & 24.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.54 \\ & 34.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \\ & 9.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.63 \\ & 0.71 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Dickson) |
| MAR-Rockall Gap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82-11 | 5049.7 | 2031.4 | 4314 | 4085 | 241 | -0.14 | 3.69 | -0.03 | 93 | 1.04 | 7.11 | 4.07 | 6.83 | 0.13 | 0.80 | MAFF (Dickson) |
|  |  |  |  | 4269 | 368 | -0.32 | 4.93 | -0.08 | 88 | 2.47 | 16.06 | 9.26 | 12.22 | 0.26 | 0.85 |  |


| Kings Trough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80-14 | 4225.5 | 2035.1 | 4108 | $\begin{aligned} & 3058 \\ & 4058 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.36 \\ & -0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.51 \\ & -0.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 1.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.29 \\ & 6.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.12 \\ & 3.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.71 \\ & 5.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.44 \\ & 0.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.44 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbutt) |
| 80-15 | 4144.9 | 2157.0 | 3840 | $\begin{aligned} & 3000 \\ & 3790 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 369 \\ & 369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.39 \\ & -0.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.79 \\ & -2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \\ & 2.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.12 \\ & 3.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.32 \\ & 3.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \\ & 3.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.39 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.37 \\ & 0.43 \end{aligned}$ | MaFF (Gurbutt) |
| 80-16 | 4138.6 | 2108.7 | 3568 | 3518 | 367 | 2.57 | 0.05 | -0.90 | 79 | 5.87 | 1.56 | 3.71 | 3.31 | 1.06 | 0.46 | MAFF (Gurbutt) |
| $\underset{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{NE} \text { of } A z}$ | 4101.1 | 2626.4 | 2637 | 2587 | 342. | Modal direction only |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | MAFF (Dickson) |
| H | 4059.6 | 2547.0 | 3364 | $\begin{aligned} & 2314 \\ & 3314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 317 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ | Modal direction only Modal direction only |  |  |  | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | MAFF (Dickson) |
| J | 4100.3 | 2427.1 | 3753 | 2703 | 174 | -0.20 | 0.63 | -0.04 | 70 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.16 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| K | 4101.5 | 2404.5 | 3395 | $\begin{aligned} & 2345 \\ & 3345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257 \\ & 339 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.16 \\ -0.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \\ & 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF ( (ickson) |
| 1 | 4100.4 | 2317.8 | 4096 | 4046 | 340 | 0.29 | -0.64 | 0.51 | 40 | 1.39 | 2.18 | 1.79 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.23 | MAFF (Dickson) |
| Azores-Portugal ridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madeíra 81-14 | 2959.4 | 2721.3 | 4912 | $\begin{aligned} & 3935 \\ & 4862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30 \\ & 1.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.74 \\ & -0.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.21 \\ & -1.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82 \\ & 3.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \\ & 2.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.63 \\ & 2.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.43 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.39 \\ & 0.54 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbutt/Dickson) |
| 81-15 | 3000.5 | 2521.5 | 5419 | 4366 | 257 | -0.38 | -0.41 | 0.72 | 33 | 2.55 | 0.57 | 1.56 | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.26 | MAFF (Gurbutt/Dickson) |
| 81-16 | 3019.5 | 2322.0 | 5296 | $\begin{aligned} & 3195 \\ & 4246 \\ & 5246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 252 \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.81 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11 \\ -0.20 \\ 0.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 73 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.23 \\ & 2.89 \\ & 2.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & 1.03 \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \\ & 1.96 \\ & 2.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.31 \\ & 1.57 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.33 \\ & 0.53 \\ & 0.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.26 \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbuti/Dickson) |
| 81-17 | 3100.5 | 2150.4 | 5027 | $\begin{aligned} & 3996 \\ & 4977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.11 \\ & -0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 2.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 5.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \\ & 2.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 \\ & 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbutt/Dickson) |
| 81-18 | 3200.1 | 2000.2 | 4511 | $\begin{aligned} & 3480 \\ & 4461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.11 \\ & -0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & 0.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.12 \\ & -3.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.82 \\ & 7.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.52 \\ 14.39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.67 \\ 11.03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27 \\ & 0.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.89 \\ & 1.03 \end{aligned}$ | MAFF (Gurbutt/Dickson) |
| POLYMODE III $B$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 623 624 | 2724.8 2717.5 | 4107.7 4045.5 | 4251 4372 | 3927 4028 | 345 173 | 0.05 0.41 | 0.36 -0.07 |  |  | 1.13 0.65 | 1.28 0.46 | 1.21 0.60 | 0.07 0.09 |  |  | MIT (Wunsch) MIT (Wunsch) |
| 625 | 2714.5 | 4021.1 | 4723 | 3990 | 343 | 0.55 | 0.50 |  |  | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.30 |  |  | MIT (Wunsch) |
| 626 | 2652.7 | 4112.8 | 4315 | 4014 | 342 | 1.00 | -0.57 |  |  | 2.45 | 0.78 | 1.62 | 0.66 |  |  | MIT (Wunsch) |
| 649 | 2725 | 4109 | 4268 | 4018 | 486 | 0.1 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | MIT (Wunsch) |
| Canary $A P$ CV1 | 2449.6 | 2502.9 | 5200 | 5190 | 178 | -0.15 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.91 | 2.61 | 1.76 | 0.46 |  |  | COB (Vangriesheim) |
| cV2 | 1914.0 | 2947.7 | 4885 | $\begin{aligned} & 4785 \\ & 4875 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 185 \\ & 185 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.12 \\ & -1.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.66 \\ & -0.58 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \\ & 0.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99 \\ & 1.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \\ & 1.12 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | COB (Vangriesheim) |

1982). The provision of reliable estimates of the mean circulation was of secondary importance in the planning of these moorings and arrays. As will be shown below, though the typical record lengths of 9 months to 1 yr are mostly adequate to describe the variances of the flow field, they provide a less reliable estimate of the means.

This paper will discuss estimates of the mean circulation for the 131 records from the deep layer ( $>2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of the eastern Atlantic, and will attempt to assess their statistical reliability. The paper's main aim is not to identify the cause or causes of the abyssal circulation in the eastern basin, but is to evaluate whether, and where, a reliable mean circulation can be said to exist.

The full data set, its sources and the derived statistics referred to in the text are listed in Table 1. All data are from moorings with subsurface buoyancy; thus records are not contaminated by wave action and data are comparable regardless of current meter type (SWALLOW, 1975).

## 2. STANDARD ERROR ESTIMATES

The two statistics commonly computed from low-passed current meter records are (a) the mean values of east ( $u$ ) and north (v) components and (b) the kinetic energy per unit mass of the fluctuating component $\left[K_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{1}{2}\right.$ (var. $u+$ var. $v$ )].

Errors in our estimates of both means and variances are a function of record length and of the dominant periodicity of the record. For a simple sinusoid for example it can be shown that the errors in variance estimates decay partly as $1 / T$, and partly as $1 / T^{2}$, where $T$ is the record length. They thus converge much more rapidly than the error in the mean, which decays like $1 / T$ and has the same periodicity $(\tau)$ as the original signal. This general characteristic is compounded in the north-east Atlantic by the shortness of available records ( 9 months to 1 yr on average) and the smallness of the means compared with the fluctuating component. (DICKSON, 1983 e.g. his Fig. 3n). Figure 1 indicates that $67-69 \%$ of mean $u$ and $v$ components in the available records and $45 \%$ of their resultant vectors are less than $1 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In this section, these means are compared with their corresponding standard error estimates for 85 of the deep eastern basin records.

The standard error in a mean is a function of the variance divided by the number of independent time periods in the record. In a data series of $n$ terms, sampling interval $\Delta t$ and dominant periodicity $\tau$, DAVIS (1976) shows that the number of degrees of freedom $\alpha \neq n \Delta t / \tau$, but $\alpha=n \Delta t / \tau_{i}$, where $\tau_{i}$ is defined as an integral time scale. LUYTEN (1982) follows the argument of FLIERL and MCWILLIAMS (1977) to derive his equation for an integral time scale:

$$
\tau_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} C(i \Delta t) \Delta t
$$

where $N \Delta t$ is the lag for which the autocorrelation $C(i \Delta t)$ is at its first zero crossing. The standard error in the mean $(\sqrt{ } \epsilon)$ is then calculated from the expression

$$
\epsilon=\frac{2 \tau_{i} \sigma^{2}}{n \Delta t}, \text { where } \sigma^{2} \text { is the variance. }
$$

In the present paper, Luyten's method is accepted as valid, though it remains far from clear whether this method yet represents the optimal approach. Summation of the autocorrelation function to first zero crossing poses problems for records with very long time-scales where the autocorrelation function may not become negative within the available number of lags. On


FIG. 1. Numbers of observations of $|\bar{u}|,|\bar{v}|$ and their resultant in each $0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ band of mean speed.
the other hand, a full summation to include all lags of the autocorrelation function may be equally problematic in the case of records with a well defined periodicity, since in these cases, the estimates of integral time scale $\tau_{i}$ will be dependent on the number of lags. A compromise solution might involve the summation of all terms of the autocorrelation function but applying a linear taper, thus making use of the full record while reducing the influence of terms at large lags. (See HENDRY, 1982.)

In fact the method of Luyten was adopted here for two reasons: first, to preserve comparability with the error estimates published for the west Atlantic and second, because this method is likely to overestimate the standard error and thus provide a conservative estimate of significance. The reader is referred to FLIERL and MCWILLIAMS (1977) for a fuller discussion of the inadequacies and assumptions of error estimation via this technique.

The standard error estimates for mean $u$ and $v$ components are listed for the majority of records in Table 1. Figure 2 describes the amplitude of mean $u$ and $v$ estimates as a percentage of their standard errors in each $0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ band of mean speed for 85 of these records. Only in the lowest mean speed category ( $0-0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is the mean consistently lower than the standard error, though it should be remembered that some 38 to $40 \%$ of available records fall in this band. [Fig. 1].

## 3. GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATION OF DOMINANT TIME SCALE

The two general characteristics of eastern basin records thus far identified are that (a) deep mean flows are relatively weak (Fig. 1) and nowhere approach the highest mean speeds attained
TABLE 2. EDDY KINETIC ENERGIES $K_{i}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)$ IN FOUR RANGES OF PERIODS (COLUMNS 4 TO 7) FOR SELECTED SITES AND DEPTHS ON A NORTH-SOUTH SECTION [NEADS 6(3) TO 81-16] AND SOME POSITIONS EAST AND WEST OF THIS SECTION. DEPTH LEVELS 0,1 AND 2 INDICATE HEIGHTS ABOVE BOTTOM $\geqslant 1,500 \mathrm{~m}, 0(1,000 \mathrm{~m})$ AND $\leqslant 5,000 \mathrm{~m}$, RESPECTIVELY. COLUMN 8 GIVES $K_{1} / \Sigma K_{i}$ THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY ENERGY CONTENT IN THE SUB-MESOSCALE BANDS 2 AND 3 TO THAT OF THE SAME BANDS AT THE LEVEL ABOVE. IN COLUMN IO, RECORD LENGTHS MARKED * WERE SUPPLEMENTED WITH ZEROES TO BRING THEM TO 256 DAY DURATION (SEE TABLE 1 FOR EXACT POSITIONS

| Identifier | Level | Depth <br> (m) | $\begin{gathered} K_{1} \\ 512-46.5 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{2} \\ 46.5-14.6 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{3} \\ 14.6-7.0 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{4} \\ 7.0-2.0 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{K_{1} / \Sigma K_{i}}$ | $R$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Record } \\ & \text { length used } \\ & \text { (days) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEADS 6(3) | 1 | 3047 | 4.33 | 5.49 | 6.42 | 1.14 | 25 | 1.5 | 256 |
|  | 2 | 4074 | 4.35 | 7.54 | 9.97 | 1.83 | 18 |  |  |
| N | 1 | 3984 | 7.72 | 4.23 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 63 |  | 256 |
|  | 2 | 4704 | 7.22 | 4.19 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 60 | 1.0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 3990 | 3.16 | 1.45 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 65 |  | 256 |
|  | 2 | 4770 | 2.80 | 1.51 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 58 | 1.1 |  |
| NEADS 5 | 1 | 4050 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 51 | 1.4 | 256 |
|  | 2 | 4710 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 43 |  |  |
| NEADS 2.5 | 1 | 4050 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 42 |  | 242* |
| NEADS 2 | 0 | 3168 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 71 |  | 512 |
|  | 1 | 4181 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 68 | 1.3 | 256 |
|  | 2 | 5079 | - | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.06 | - | 1.5 | 128 |
| NEADS 1 | 0 | 3050 | 2.19 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 86 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 4780 | - | 0.52 | 0.31 | 0.19 | - | 2.7 |  |
| 81-16 | 0 | 3195 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 73 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 252^{*} \\ & 252^{*} \\ & 252^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1 | 4246 | 1.58 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 80 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 5246 | 1.54 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 75 | 1.2 |  |
| NEADS 7 | 0 | 3000 | 0.78 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 66 | 2.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \\ & 640 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1 | 4000 | 0.95 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 55 |  |  |
| 81-03 | 2 | 3945 | 1.13 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 49 |  | 256 |
| 265 | 1 | 2520 | 2.25 | 0.61 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 66 |  | 640 |
| NEADS 4 | 2 | 3500 | 1.23 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 70 |  | 640 |
| NEADS 3 | 0 | 3000 | 4.02 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 85 |  | 256 |
| 81-18 | 1 | 3480 | 1.92 | 0.91 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 61 | 4.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 252^{*} \\ & 252^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | 4461 | 3.92 | 4.77 | 1.06 | 0.41 | 39 |  |  |
| 81-14 | 1 | 3935 | - | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.11 | - |  | 128 |
|  | 2 | 4862 | 1.88 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 68 | 1.0 | 256 |



FIG. 2. Mean $|\bar{u}|$ and mean $|\bar{v}|$ expressed as percentage of their respective standard errors in each $0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ band of mean speed. Bars indicate total range of values. Standard errors exceed the means for points below the dashed line.
in the western basin (DICKSON, 1983; HOGG, 1983), (b) the weakest category of these mean flows tend to be smaller than their standard error estimates (Fig. 2).

However, apart from these generalisations, reliable means can still be expected to occur in areas where mean flows are at their strongest and where records are dominated by high frequency motions rather than by long time-scales.

Figure 1 has provided information on the first of these characteristics in showing that mean speeds greater than $1 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have been encountered predominantly north of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (unshaded in Fig. 1).

The geographical distribution of dominant time scales shows a tendency for the longest time scales to prevail in the southern interior sites, and for them to become progressively shorter going northwards to the head of the Porcupine Abyssal Plain.

This point may be illustrated by spectra from mooring sites which cover the full breadth and latitudinal extent of the north-eastern basin. For 27 such records the fluctuation kinetic energy $K_{i}$ was calculated for four period-ranges similar to those employed by SCHMITZ and HOGG (1978):
$K_{1}$ period range $512-46.5$ days
$K_{2}$ period range $46.5-14.6$ days
$K_{3}$ period range $14.6-7.0$ days
$K_{4}$ period range $7.0-2.0$ days.

The results are listed in Table 2, categorised (column 2) into 3 ranges of height above the bottom [ $\geqslant 1,500 \mathrm{~m}, 0(1,000 \mathrm{~m})$ and $\leqslant 500 \mathrm{~m}$ ]. As is the case for total eddy kinetic energy, $K_{\mathrm{E}}$, (DICKSON, 1983) the energy content in individual frequency bands appears lower in the northeastern Atlantic than in the north-western (cf. SCHMITZ, 1978, SCHMITZ and HOGG, 1978); [note that $K_{\mathrm{E}} \neq \Sigma_{i=1}^{4} K_{i}$ since the latter is based on a restricted record length (Table 2, column 10) and a restricted band of frequencies.]


FIG. 3. Transects of moorings for which spectra are described in Fig. 4.

Even before these results are normalised for differences in band-width, some general trends in the regional- and depth-distribution of dominant time-scales are apparent in Table 2. $K_{4}$ is unimportant everywhere and over all depths considered; the energy content in sub-mesoscale bands $K_{2}+K_{3}$ tends to increase with increasing depth (the values of $R$ in Table 2, column 9 are of order 1 or larger); and the relative importance of $K_{1}$ to the total energy content in the


FIG. 4. Decadel kinetic energy frequency spectra, energy preserving by area, for 14 mooring sites in the deep eastern basin.
record ( $\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} K_{i}$ ) tends to increase southward and decrease marginally with depth. $K_{1}$ is of course the band which most severely influences uncertainties in the estimates of the means.

The important spatial changes in dominant time scale are more clearly seen however in Fig. 3 and 4 which display decadal kinetic energy frequency spectra, energy-preserving by area, (SCHMITZ and HOGG, 1978) for a representative subset of 15 of the 27 records listed in Table 2. As the location chart shows (Fig. 3), these records form a meridional transect along the deep axis of the basin from NEADS-6 in the north to mooring 81-16 in the Madeira Abyssal Plain, together with three shorter zonal sections running from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge towards the eastern margins of the basin at nominal latitudes of $46^{\circ}, 41^{\circ}$ and $30-32^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

Here, no attempt is made to distinguish any detailed changes with depth or with distance from the seabed but simply to describe the first-order changes in spectral shape with latitude and longitude. For this purpose the spectra shown may be regarded as representative of any of
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FIG. 5. Low-pass filtered time-series of daily mean north current component at $\sim 3,050 \mathrm{~m}$ depth for 6 successive annual deployments of the NEADS-6 mooring ( $52^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 17^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). Elapsed days since June 1 each year are indicated.
the deep records from a given mooring with the exception of mooring $81-18$ for which two spectra are illustrated.

In the north the NEADS-6 record from the Continental Rise at the mouth of the Rockall Trough is dominated by the shortest time scales, of $\sim 10-15$ day period. Their cause is attributed more to the characteristics of the site (bottom slope) than to its latitude, and the variations observed are thought analogous to those at site D where topographic Rossby waves are trapped to a similar bottom slope (THOMPSON, 1971, 1977). The spectrum from $4,074 \mathrm{~m}$ is nevertheless representative of a considerable depth layer in the lower water column. Figure 5
shows that a $\sim 10$ day periodicity has dominated the $v$ component at $\sim 3050 \mathrm{~m}$ over a record length approaching 6 yr .

Moving south, the spectra on the meridional transect to NEADS-5 and on the zonal transect at $\sim 46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ show a lower-frequency dominance in bands 2 or 3 , and this is again representative of records at least $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ shallower than those shown. GOULD (1983) and DICKSON, GOULD, GURBUTT and KILLWORTH (1982) demonstrate that in the case of NEADS-5 the spectrum is made up of two seasonally-varying components - long period dominance in the summer and shorter period dominance due to atmospheric forcing during winter. Despite the good agreement in spectral shape in the examples and depth range shown, we can expect that this may not hold closer to the basin margins. Apart from topographic effects of the continental rise and slope already mentioned, both SCHMITZ and HOGG (1978) and DICKSON, GURBUTT and MEDLER (1980) describe markedly depth-dependent spectra (shifting to higher frequency dominance with increasing depth) in records from the northern and southern trenches of the Charlie Gibbs Fracture Zone of the Mid Atlantic Ridge, and Table 2 also includes one record from high on the flanks of the Ridge (mooring 265, $2,520 \mathrm{~m}$ ) whose long-period dominance is inconsistent with the deeper records to its east.

Continuing south along the main meridional transect the NEADS-2.5 mooring in the Iberia Abyssal Plain - the least energetic deep site yet encountered in the eastern basin (DICKSON, 1983) - continues to show sub-mesoscale dominance at depth, but almost everywhere to the south of this site, and at the NEADS-3 and 4 moorings to its east and west, spectra become dominated by the longest accessible time scales; the few exceptions to this general rule are thought to be due to local topographic control, e.g. the near-bottom record at mooring 81-18 on the slopes of the Madeira Rise [Fig. 4].

Thus although the present data-coverage remains insufficient to distinguish fully or with any certainty between regional and local (e.g. topographic) effects on dominant time-scale, the available data set does at least suggest a consistent pattern of variation in the deepest layers of the northeastern basin. There, the tendency appears to be for time-scales to shorten with latitude from scales of hundreds of days in the south of the region to tens of days in the north.

## 4. CUMULATIVE AVERAGES OF $u, v$ AND $K_{\mathrm{E}}$

The preceding discussion has underlined two general (and at present poorly-based) tendencies in the available data; first, a progressive decrease in the dominant time-scale of variation northwards through the eastern basin from the ultra-long ("secular" in SCHMITZ, 1978) timescales at the southern interior sites, to mesoscale or higher frequency dominance at the head of the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. Second, some tendency for the higher mean speeds to occur towards the north of the region.

If valid, these twin tendencies imply a more rapid establishment of statistically reliable means in the north than in the southern interior sites. To test the validity of this assumption, cumulative averages of $u$ and $v$ were calculated at 30 day increments for six of the longest deep records which cover the length and breadth of the eastern basin; NEADS-1 in the Madeira abyssal plain, NEADS-2 and 3 in the Iberia abyssal plain, NEADS- 7 in the Biscay abyssal plain, mooring 265 of the Institut fur Meereskunde, University of Kiel (J MEINKE, pers. comm.) on the eastern flanks of the Mid Atlantic Ridge, and NEADS-6 from the continental rise at the southern entrance to the Rockall Trough (Fig. 6). These records, ranging in depth from 2,500 to $4,050 \mathrm{~m}$, were selected for their exceptionally long duration. NEADS-6, is now in its 7 th yr of deployment while two-year data sets are available from the remainder.


FIG. 6. Location of 6 moorings of exceptionally long duration ( $\geqslant 2$ years) used in the computation of cumulative-average flow statistics in Figs 7-9.

The cumulative averages of $u$ and $v$, each stepping by 30 day increments of data are illustrated in Figs 7 and 8, and where major gaps in the time-series were encountered, these are indicated.

Though, arguably, the statistics seldom achieve true stationarity, the length of record required to achieve reasonably reliable statistics does appear to vary geographically in the expected


FIG. 7. Graphs of cumulative $\bar{u}\left(\mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, each stepping by 30 day increments of data, for 6 long records from the deep eastern basin. Major gaps in the time-series are indicated.
sense. In the case of the northern NEADS-6 record which is characterised by the highest (10-15 days) dominant frequency of variation, a consistent estimate of the speed and direction of the mean flow is rather quickly achieved: the flow is westward throughout with a variable but minor north component of flow. At the other extreme the southern NEADS-1 record varies continuously in the amplitude of both the zonal and meridional components of flow, and it is unclear even at the full record length of 2 yr whether the "sense" of the mean circulation at this site has yet been adequately estimated. At intervening latitudes the indications are that reasonably reliable estimates of both the speed and direction of the mean flow require a minimum record length of some 9-14 months. [Mooring 265, with an anomalously long dominant time-scale for its latitude (Section 3), is the slowest of this intermediate group of records to reach a stable mean.]


FIG. 8. Graphs of cumulative $\bar{v}\left(\mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, each stepping by 30 day increments of data, for 6 long records from the deep eastern basin. Major gaps in the time-series are indicated.

These results are tempered, inevitably, by the small number of ultra-long records on which they are based, by their often "gappy" nature and by the fact that they include (and to a variable degree) the effects of local topographic constraints on the flow field, not merely the effects of basin-wide variations in dominant time-scale. They do however provide some reassurance that the search for reliable means from $\sim 1$ yr records is not a pointless exercise in the deep eastern basin, even though, quite commonly, these means may be of little better than marginal statistical reliability unless aided by relatively strong flows, short time-scales of variation and some degree of topographic constraint. Since the mooring-durations were primarily designed to comment on the variances rather than the means, this point is of some importance. [Figure 9 confirms that as theoretically expected, the variances converge much more rapidly than the means towards reasonably steady average values; even at NEADS-1, this occurs within 6-9 months.]


FIG. 9. Graphs of cumulative $K_{E}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)$, each stepping by 30 day increments of data, for 4 long records from the deep eastern basin. Major gaps in the time-series are indicated.

## 5. REGIONAL CIRCULATION PATTERNS

### 5.1. The Porcupine Abyssal Plain

Here, of the three regions to be considered, the mean flow vectors are the strongest, the data density is greatest, and the circulation pattern itself appears the most systematic (Fig. 10). We may even assume a degree of confidence in the reliability of certain elements of this pattern. For example, since its existence was first postulated (SWALLOW, GOULD and SAUNDERS, 1977; ELLETT, DOOLEY and HILL, 1979), the presence of a north-going residual slope current along the European continental margin has been confirmed wherever direct measurements have been made and at whatever depth on the slope; the very recent results of the U.K.


FIG. 10. Mean flow vectors for all available records $>2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain and its surroundings.
[Note that Table 1 also lists 10 records from the Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone, to the west of this chart.]

Continental Slope Experiment (CONSLEX) have reinforced this conclusion. In the past, most records have been from the upper part of the slope, and are therefore not considered here, but the four vectors shown at depths of $2,000-2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ between the Celtic Sea slope and the slope west of Porcupine Bank are thought to provide a reliable indication that the northgoing flow blanketing the slope extends to these depths also.

In the deep water to the west of the slope, residual current vectors from a range of years suggest a fairly systematic circulation which flows in a cyclonic sense, northward at $1-2 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ up the central part of the abyssal plain, to turn westward and southwestward as the basin shoals in the north and thence southward or westward along the eastern flanks of the Mid Atlantic Ridge. A gap in coverage from $47^{\circ}-50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ at $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ prevents us from establishing the continuity or otherwise of this apparently cyclonic basin circulation, but it appears likely that at least a part of the flow leaves the basin as an offshoot to the west or northwest to enter the South Icelandic Basin as a thin but steady and relatively strong near-bottom current. Mooring $82-11$ at $50^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 20^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ occupied the deepest part of the gap between the Mid Atlantic Ridge and the tail of Rockall Bank and showed a steady bottom-intensified flow of $\sim 5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ along the axis of the trench over a deployment of 1 yr duration. This mooring was laid in response to preliminary data from the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ TTO section which suggested that a near-bottom flow might occur persistently within the narrow Maury Channel leading WNW to the Icelandic Basin. (ClaES ROOTH, U. Miami, pers. comm.). The results of mooring $82-11$ from the
alternative deep channel close by to the north confirm Rooth's interpretation of the TTO data as evidence of a near-bottom flow through this gap from a source further east.

Needless to say there remain exceptions to the oversimplified picture of the circulation just described, though these are not necessarily unexpected. The apparently anomalous northeastward flow at $53^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 19^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ on the south slope of Rockall Bank stems from a full-depth 6 mooring array across this slope. Records above $\sim 2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth (not shown here) were directed northeastward into the Rockall Trough while those below $2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ showed west or southwest flows. The record in question, from $2,450 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, is evidently part of the former group.

### 5.2. The Iberia Abyssal Plain

The Porcupine Abyssal Plain is partially closed-off to the south by the topographic barrier of the Azores-Biscay Rise. South of this Rise and north of the zonal Azores-Portugal ridge $\left(\sim 37^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$, the deep flows circulating around the Iberia Abyssal Plain and flanks of the Mid Atlantic Ridge to its west are, arguably, not without "system" (Fig. 11) though the sparseness of the present coverage and the general weakness of the residual flows would argue against describing this circulation as of anything other than marginal reliability.

The deepest inflow to the northeastern basin that has been identified to date takes place through the Discovery Gap of $>4700 \mathrm{~m}$ sill depth at $37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 15^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ in the Azores-Portugal ridge. Table 1 lists only one record from this gap (mooring 310) where a northeastward residual of $3.8 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ was observed close to the bottom over a record length of 340 days. Very recently a 6 -mooring array has been recovered from the gap (P. M. Saunders, IOS Wormley, pers. comm.), which should provide an estimate of the transport of this important connecting flow between the basins.

No records are yet available from sites close to the Iberian Slope but further offshore, the NEADS 3 and 2.5 sites on the Iberia Abyssal Plain give some indication that the deep mean


FIG. 11. Mean flow vectors for all available records $>2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from the Iberia Abyssal Plain and its surroundings.
flow tends to run weakly westward with the basin topography towards the Mid Atlantic Ridge. There, the indications are of a predominantly southward flow along the flanks of the Ridge at depths ranging from $2,300-4,100 \mathrm{~m}$, and at mean speeds of $\sim 0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. If the exceptions shown, the anomalous eastward vector at mooring $80-16\left(41^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$ $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) can be discounted; it lies on an east-west-trending slope at the northern margin of a seamount and thus could hardly contribute to the general southward pattern of flow in this area.

Finally along the northern slopes of the Azores-Portugal ridge, three records from the NEADS-2 mooring at $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 16^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ provide at least some indication of an eastward or northeastward return flow along the southern margin of the Iberia plain. Mean speeds are $0.4-1.3 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ intensifying towards the bottom over a depth range of $3,168-5,079 \mathrm{~m}$ (2382471 m above the bed).

The apparent simplicity of this deep circulation pattern may of course be attributable to the sparseness of observations; nevertheless the deep cyclonic gyre indicated here is justified at present as being the simplest pattern which can be fitted to the existing data. One particular deficiency of the data set is the complete lack of information on connecting flows between the Iberia and Porcupine/Biscay Abyssal Plains; in July 1983 however eight moorings were laid by MAFF across the three deepest gaps in the northern Azores-Biscay Rise (including the Theta Gap) and their results are awaited with interest.

### 5.3. The Madeira Abyssal Plain

Figure 12 describes mean flow vectors for all records recovered to date from the latitude of the Great Meteor Seamount (G.M.S.) to that of the Azores-Portugal ridge. (Note that the Polymode IIIB results and those from the French CV1 and CV2 sites, far to the south and west are listed in Table 1, but are not included here).

The sparseness of results in a region of such complex topography rules out any meaningful discussion of a deep mean circulation pattern, and questions of the reliability or otherwise of these measurements must be restricted to individual records which are of long duration and indicate relatively stable flows. The 'stability factor" statistics listed in Table 1 are the ratios of mean vector to mean scalar speeds in each record, expressed as a percentage, and provide this measure of directional stability.

Only three of the records from this region fall in this category, and both of the moorings involved suggest increasingly stable flow-directions towards the bottom.

At the NEADS-11 site at $34^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 23^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, stability factors increase from $56 \%$ at $3,030 \mathrm{~m}$ to $92 \%$ at $4,720 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 435 m above the bed) over a record-length of 214 days. At mooring $81-16$ $\left(30^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 23^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ in the Madeira Abyssal Plain the corresponding increase is from $57 \%$ at 3195 m to $73 \%$ at 4246 m and $75 \%$ at 5246 m ( 50 m above the bottom). These three direc-tionally-stable records are also among the most vigorous encountered in this region of the eastern basin, ranging from a northeastward residual of $3.2 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the deep NEADS-11 record, to values of 1.8 and $1.9 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (also north-eastward) in the deep records from 81-16. Both the speed of the mean flow and its increasing directional stability with depth suggest that these deep records owe their abnormal "realiability" (for this area) to some degree of local topographic constraint. Detailed bathymetric control is not yet available for the NEADS-11 site but precise bathymetric survey of the area immediately to the north of 81-16 (DUIN and KUIJPERS, 1983) indicates the presence of a scarp trending NNE from the position of the mooring.


FIG. 12. Mean flow vectors for all available records $>2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from the Madeira Abyssal Plain and its surroundings.
[Note that Table 1 lists a further 8 records from POLYMODE IIIB, CV1 and CV2 to the south and west of this chart.

## 6. COMPARISON WITH INDIRECT ESTIMATES OF THE FLOW FIELD

Of the numerous recent studies which have attempted to describe the deep circulation of the North Atlantic or its eastern basin from a variety of indirect evidence, some compare well with the results of deep current meter records. For example, both the deep southward western boundary current in the Atlantic and the broad interior poleward flows required by the thermohaline circulation schemes of STOMMEL (1958), STOMMEL and ARONS (1960 a, b) and VERONIS (1978) appear to be confirmed in recent direct measurements from the west Atlantic (e.g. JENKINS and RHINES, 1980; HOGG, 1983) and northeastern Pacific (WARREN and OWENS, this volume).

However, a range of other circulation schemes based on the dynamic method and its assumptions (e.g. IVERS, 1975; WUNSCH and GRANT, 1982; SAUNDERS, 1982) remain not only untested against direct observations, but are arguably untestable. To quote Wunsch and Grant (p1): ". . . neither these model circulations nor any other circulation pattern based upon the existing data can be regarded as actually representing the true time average ocean circulation because the data are aliased in time; the frequency/wavenumber spectrum of the ocean is inadequately known to determine the resulting errors" and later (pp. 55-56): "Our results share a major shortcoming with every one of the previous attempts at deducing the general circulation of the North Atlantic from the dynamic method. They are essentially untestable. Any detail of the circulation inferred from any given data set which differs from someone else's scheme may have represented properly the ocean at that time . . An attempt to "check" one of them by new direct observations is doomed to failure because there will be real observed
differences and it will be impossible to tell whether either the new or old data sets represent a transient state or the very long-term average flow."

Whether due to deficiencies in either data set or to the effect of aliasing in time, the deep circulation scheme proposed by Wunsch and Grant for the deep eastern basin (e.g. their Fig. $16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ) appears to bear as little resemblance to the circulation which we describe as their western basin scheme bears to the direct measurements described by HOGG (1983). It is idle to speculate which version is 'better"; current measurements of $\sim 1 \mathrm{yr}$ duration are not free from aliasing as this paper has been at some pains to show.

A more profitable question is whether the results of deep direct current measurements from the eastern basin can narrow-down the choice of assumptions involved in using the dynamic method in this region. One general characteristic of these records, which may be of value to the choice of a reference level, is the fact that residual currents show some tendency towards bottom intensification. Table 1 lists 43 moorings which have pairs of instruments in the deep layer and where the deeper instrument lies within a few hundred metres of the bottom [NEADS. 2.5 and 3 are ignored]. The percentage change in mean residual current speed normalised per $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ increase in depth for these records is shown as a histogram in Fig. 13. Thirty-four out of 43 of these record-pairs show an increase in current speed with depth, the mean change being $+67 \%$.

The point here is not to suggest that bottom intensification is a reliable tendency in the present data set, but to suggest that it would be unwise to assume the reverse - that current speeds decrease towards a level of no motion in the near bottom layer.

$\%$ change in current speed per 1000 m increase in depth for the two near-bottom instruments on each mooring

FIG. 13. Historgram of percentage change of mean current speed per $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ increase in depth for near-bottom pairs of instruments.

SAUNDERS (1982) shows that the section-average vertical structure of relative geostrophic current velocity takes the form of "remarkably similar reverse-S shape profiles" on zonal sections of the eastern basin from $32^{\circ}$ to $53^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. If so then clearly, as a basin-wide average, assumptions of a deep level of no net meridional motion can still be justified if we also assume a virtually closed circulation in the deep layer; but the growing conclusion that the deep eastern basin is ventilated from the south (e.g. BROEKER and PENG, 1982; MANTYLA and REID, 1983) leaves open the possibility that in the basin-wide average also there may be a net nearbottom intensification of flow and hence a net northward drift. The validiation of this point by means of direct measurements however must await a considerable future expansion of the present Eulerian data-set.

## 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The accumulated data set of 131 long-term current meter records has been used to provide estimates of the mean circulation and their corresponding standard errors for the deep $>$ $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) layer of the eastern North Atlantic. The two questions to be addressed were first, whether a mean flow exists and second whether it can be reliably demonstrated in our relatively short records which were primarily designed to assess the variances rather than the means. This analysis suggests the following tentative conclusions regarding the flow field in this depthlayer of the eastern basin:
(a) Mean speeds are weak relative to the published results for the western basin. $45 \%$ of all record-mean vectors are weaker than $1 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
(b) At their weakest $\left(<0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ mean speeds tend to be smaller than their corresponding standard error estimates.
(c) Most mean speeds $>1 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have been observed in the north of the basin, north of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.
(d) There appears to be a progressive decrease in the dominant variability time scales northward through the deep eastern basin, from scales of hundreds of days at the southern interior sites to mesoscale or higher frequency (a few tens of days) dominance at the head of the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. This latitudinal pattern may be disrupted locally by topographic effects, especially at the basin margins.
(e) A majority of near-bottom records show some degree of bottom-intensification.
(f) Mean flow estimates are suggested to be most reliable in the north of the region where the strongest mean flows tend to coincide with the shortest time scales of variability.
(g) The most dependable element of the observed circulation is suggested to be the northward slope current along the continental margin of the Biscay and Porcupine Abyssal Plains. Steady northward mean flows of $1.4-3.4 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are observed to at least 2500 m depth in this region (e.g. moorings 81-07, R, "Meriadzek Terr." and BIOGAS).
(h) Though major gaps in coverage remain, the deep circulation around the Porcupine Abyssal Plain appears to be both systematic and (relatively) vigorous. Flows are northwestward at $1-2 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ up the centre of the Plain but turn to the west and southwest as the basin shoals in the north to continue either southwards along the flanks of the Mid Atlantic Ridge or to pass westward as a near-bottom current towards the South Icelandic Basin. The latter flow was anticipated in interpretations of TTO section-data.
(i) A deep cyclonic gyre also appears to be the simplest flow pattern which fits the available data in that part of the basin between the Azores-Biscay Rise and the AzoresPortugal ridge, west of the Iberian Peninsula. The weak flows and sparse coverage
however suggest that this cannot yet be described as a reliable result. More certain is the deep current which enters the Iberia Abyssal Plain from the south via the Discovery Gap, though the total transport through this gap has yet to be determined.
(j) South of the Azores-Portugal ridge observations are sparse and no systematic circulation is yet evident. The only "reliable" elements of the flow field in this region are the very few individual records where topographic constraints impose a degree of directional stability and perhaps also support some local intensification of the flow.
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