provided by Repository of the Academy's Lit Journal of Behavioral Addictions 2(3), pp. 138–144 (2013) DOI: 10.1556/JBA.2.2013.010

First published online June 14, 2013

CORE

brought to you b

Pain interference, gambling problem severity, and psychiatric disorders among a nationally representative sample of adults

DECLAN T. BARRY¹*, COREY E. PILVER², RANI A. HOFF^{1,3,6} and MARC N. POTENZA^{1,4,5,6}

¹Department of Psychiatry, ²Department of Biostatistics, ³School of Public Health, ⁴Department of Neurobiology, ⁵Child Study Center, and ⁶Women's Health Research at Yale, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

(Received: March 26, 2013; revision submitted: April 12, 2013; accepted: April 13, 2013)

Background and aims: A paucity of studies has examined the association between gambling and pain interference. We examined differences in the associations of gambling problem severity and psychiatric disorders among a nationally representative sample of adults with varying levels of pain interference. Methods: Chi-square tests and logistic regression analyses were performed on National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions data from 41,987 adult respondents (48% men; 52% women), who were categorized according to two levels of pain interference (i.e., no or low pain interference [NLPI] or moderate or severe pain interference [MSPI]) and three levels of gambling problem severity (i.e., non-gamblers or low-frequency gamblers [NG], low-risk or at-risk gamblers [LRG], and problem or pathological gamblers [PPG]). Results: MSPI respondents exhibited higher rates of PPG than NLPI respondents. Categories of Axis I disorders and clusters of mood, anxiety and substance-use disorders showed similarly strong associations with problem-gambling severity in MSPI and NLPI groups. Similarly strong associations between Axis II disorders (and each cluster - A, B and C) and problem-gambling severity were also observed in MSPI and NLPI groups. Exploratory analyses suggested potentially stronger relationships between PPG and dysthymia, panic disorder, and dependent personality disorder and LRG and specific phobia in NLPI compared to MSPI respondents. Discussion and conclusions: While MSPI is associated with PPG, largely similar patterns of associations across pain-interference levels were observed between problem-gambling severity and Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders.

Keywords: pain, mental disorders, comorbidity, gambling

INTRODUCTION

Pain interference refers to the perceived disruption in daily activities, life roles, interpersonal relationships, and employment resulting from physical pain and is an important outcome variable in the treatment of assorted pain-related medical conditions (Kalliomäki, Meyerson, Gunnarsson, Gordh & Sandblom, 2008; Putzke, Richards, Hicken & DeVivo, 2002). Despite research findings documenting that higher levels of pain interference are associated with increased levels of psychopathology, elevated rates of substance use, and attenuated response among patients to psychiatric treatment, pain interference remains an understudied topic in psychiatric research and residency training (Bair et al., 2004; Barry, Pilver, Potenza & Desai, 2012; Elman, Zubieta & Borsook, 2011; Goldstein, Houck & Karp, 2009).

The extent to which pain interference is associated with gambling problem severity and influences relationships with co-occurring psychiatric disorders – to our knowledge – has not yet been systematically examined. The importance of this subject is suggested from the following lines of research. First, studies indicate that increased gambling problem severity is associated with higher levels of psychiatric and physical health problems and decreased physical functioning – conditions frequently associated with elevated pain interference (Morasco, Pietrzak et al., 2006; Morasco, Vom Eigen & Petry, 2006). Second, chronic pain (i.e., pain lasting at least three months) and pathological gambling have been found to be associated with similar deficits in emotional de-

cision making processes (Apkarian et al., 2004; Goudriaan, Oosterlaan, de Beurs & van den Brink, 2005). Third, individuals with elevated pain interference or pathological gambling may be prone to sedentary lifestyles (Black, Moyer & Schlosser, 2003; Verbunt et al., 2003).

Prior research suggests that elevated pain interference and pathological gambling are each associated with higher levels of psychopathology (Barry et al., 2012; Goldstein et al., 2009; Novak, Herman-Stahl, Flannery & Zimmerman, 2009; Petry, Stinson & Grant, 2005). While published studies on the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) have examined (a) the prevalence of substance use disorders and their association with general medical conditions based on clinical presentations (e.g., bipolar disorder, gambling disorder), medical issues (e.g., adiposity), or demographic characteristics (e.g., older adults), and (b) the association between pain interference and non-medical use of prescription opioids or a prescription opioid use disorder among the general population and among male and female respondents, separately, they have not-to our knowledge-investigated the prevalence of general medical conditions or substance use among those with

^{*} Corresponding author: Declan T. Barry, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, CMHC/SAC Room 220, 34 Park Street, New Haven, CT 06519-1187, USA; Phone: +1-203-285-2708; Fax: +1-203-781-4681; E-mail: declan.barry@yale.edu

varying levels of pain interference based on gambling problem severity (Barry et al., 2012; Goldstein et al., 2009; Goldstein, Dawson, Chou et al., 2008; Goldstein, Dawson, Stinson et al., 2008; Morasco, Pietrzak et al., 2006; Novak et al., 2009; Pietrzak, Morasco, Blanco, Grant & Petry, 2007).

We sought to examine the relationships between sociodemographic characteristics and psychiatric disorders across varying levels of past-year gambling problem severity among respondents with NLPI and MSPI. We hypothesized that the rates of psychiatric disorders would be associated with past-year gambling problem severity in NLPI and MSPI respondents, but the relationship would be weaker in MSPI respondents as compared to NLPI ones given that some of the variance in the relationship between problem-gambling severity and psychiatric disorders would be accounted for by greater pain interference.

METHODS

Sample

The NESARC was conducted by the US Census Bureau for the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and recruited a nationally-representative sample of US non-institutionalized residents (citizens and non-citizens) aged 18 years and older (Grant, Dawson et al., 2003; Grant et al., 2004). The NESARC intentionally over-sampled individuals 18 to 24 years and African American and Hispanic households to provide sufficient statistical power to examine patterns of alcohol use in young people and minority populations. Multi-stage cluster sampling was used to identify respondents: Census sampling units, households, and household members were sequentially sampled. Weights have been computed to adjust standard errors for these over-samples, the cluster sampling strategy, and non-responses (Grant, Moore, Shepard & Kaplan, 2003).

The final NESARC sample in wave one included 43,093 respondents with an overall response rate of 81 percent. For the purposes of this study, we restricted the sample to 41,897 respondents who provided information about their levels of pain interference and gambling problem severity. All participants provided informed consent. The current study of de-identified, publicly accessible data from the NESARC was presented to the Yale Human Investigations Committee, and it was exempted from IRB review under federal regulation 45 CFR Part 46.101(b).

Measures

Sociodemographics. Participants provided information about their gender (male, female), race or ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, other), marital status (married, previously married, never married), education (less than high school, high school graduate, some college, college or higher), employment (full time, part time, not working), age, and annual household income.

Psychiatric disorders. Trained lay interviewers conducted the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disability Interview Schedule-DSM-IV version (AUDADIS-IV) to collect data related to specific DSM-IV Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Grant, Dawson et al., 2003). The following DSM-IV-related Axis I and Axis II diagnostic variables (derived from AUDADIS-IV) were used in the current study and – consistent with prior research (Grant, Desai & Potenza, 2009) – were grouped as follows: mood disorders (major depression, dysthymia, mania, hypomania); anxiety disorders (panic disorder with or without agoraphobia, social phobia, specific phobia, generalized anxiety disorder); substance use disorders (alcohol abuse/dependence, drug abuse/dependence, nicotine dependence); and personality disorders belonging to Clusters A (paranoid, schizoid), B (histrionic, antisocial), and C (avoidant, dependent, obsessive-compulsive). Interviewers assessed general medical condition and substance use exclusions related to past-year Axis I diagnoses; thus, research diagnoses can be viewed as "primary" as per DSM-IV/DSM-IV-TR guidelines (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Desai & Potenza, 2008).

Pain interference. Pain interference was examined using a subscale from the 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12) (Ware, Kosinski & Keller, 1996). Similar to previous research, respondents' answers to the 5-point item: "During the past 4 weeks, how much did pain interfere with your normal work (including both work outside the home and housework)" were used to classify them as having either: a) "no or low pain interference" (i.e., those reporting their pain interference as "not at all" or "a little bit") or b) "moderate or severe pain interference" (i.e., those reporting their pain interference as "moderate", "a lot" or "extreme") (Thomas, Peat, Harris, Wilkie & Croft, 2004).

Problem-gambling severity. Similar to prior studies, respondents' answers to the gambling-related items from the AUDADIS-IV were used to classify them into one of three gambling groups: a) "non-gamblers or low-frequency gamblers" (i.e., those reporting that they had never gambled more than five times per year in their lifetime); b) "low-risk or at-risk gamblers" (i.e., those reporting gambling more than five times in a year but who exhibited 0 to 2 inclusionary criteria of pathological gambling in the previous year); and c) "problem or pathological gamblers" (i.e., those reporting 3 or more inclusionary criteria of pathological gambling in the previous year) (Desai & Potenza, 2008).

Data analysis

The primary research questions addressed whether there were differences in the association between past-year gambling problem severity and psychiatric disorders among respondents reporting NLPI, compared to those with MSPI. First, using chi-square tests (χ^2), we examined the associations between gambling problem severity and sociodemographic characteristics (race/ethnicity, marital status, education level, employment status, age, and household annual income), stratified by pain interference levels (NLPI and MSPI), in order to identify sociodemographic variables potentially influencing the relationship between pain interference levels, gambling problem severity, and psychiatric disorders. Second, we examined the unadjusted weighted prevalence of psychiatric disorders, stratified by both pain interference levels and gambling problem severity. Third, we fit a series of binary logistic regression models with psychiatric variables as the dependent variable of interest and the 3-level gambling problem severity variable (i.e., non-gamblers or low-frequency gamblers [NG], low-risk or at-risk gamblers [LRG], problem or pathological gamblers [PPG]), 2-level pain interference (NLPI and MSPI), and the interaction between gambling problem severity and pain interference level as the independent variables of interest, adjusting for potentially confounding sociodemographic variables (i.e., race/ethnicity, marital status, education, age, employment, household annual income). Our analysis began by examining psychiatric disorders grouped into Axis I and Axis II categories within each pain-interference group. If significant findings were observed, 3 categories within each Axis were examined within each pain-interference group to investigate further the nature of the findings: any mood disorder, any anxiety disorder, and any substance use disorder for Axis I categories, and any Cluster A, any Cluster B, and any Cluster C for Axis II categories. When significant associations were found between these categories and pain interference levels and gambling problem severity, we pursued further analysis of the individual disorders within each pain-interference group. The NG category was used as a reference level for two sets of adjusted odds ratios: LRG versus NG and PPG versus NG. Interaction term odds ratios tested whether the adjusted odds ratios for no or low pain interference respondents were significantly different from those for moderate or severe pain interference respondents. Given the complex design of the study sample and the goal of estimating as accurately as possible the national rates of co-occurring psychiatric disorders, analyses were performed using NESARC-calculated weights and SUDAAN software (Research Triangle Institute, 2001). Consequently, sample proportions are based on weighted percentages.

Sociodemographics

A plurality of respondents were women (52.2%), were married (62.0%), self-identified as white (71%), had at least a high school level of education (84%), were working full-time (53.3%), and reported an annual household income exceeding \$34,999 (58.0%) (weighted percentages provided). The patterns of associations between gambling problem severity and sociodemographic characteristics were largely similar across respondents with NLPI and MSPI; generally, the magnitude of the associations between gambling problem severity and sociodemographics were numerically higher among those with NLPI compared to those with MSPI (Table 1).

Problem-gambling severity

Problem-gambling severity was significantly associated with level of pain interference in bivariate analysis (p = 0.0098). The majority of respondents irrespective of their level of pain interference reported either NG (72.5% and 70.8% for respondents with NLPI and MSPI, respectively) or LRG (27.1% and 28.4% for respondents with NLPI and MSPI, respectively). The prevalence of PPG was higher for MSPI (0.79%) respondents in comparison to NLPI (0.48%)

No/low pain respondents Moderate/severe pain respondents Characteristics NG LRG PPG NG LRG PPG $n = 165^2$ $n = 6,224^2$ $n = 24,542^2$ $n = 8,522^2$ χ^2 Р $n = 2,376^2$ $n = 68^2$ χ^2 Р % % % % % % Gender 52.83 < 0.001 31.97 < 0.001 44.9 60.4 38.0 Male 67.7 51.1 57.6 Female 55.1 39.6 32.3 62.0 48.9 42.4 Race/ethnicity 7.07 < 0.001 4.33 0.001 White 69.1 75.6 56.7 70.3 75.1 67.8 Black 10.9 10.25 21.0 11.8 11.7 23.7 Hispanic 13.3 8.3 8.1 11.5 7.2 3.3 Other 6.7 5.9 14.3 6.4 6.0 5.2 Marital status 13.92 < 0.001 3.80 0.008 Married 61.8 65.4 39.8 58.5 61.1 65.2 Previously married 14.8 15.9 22.5 26.6 27.0 12.5 37.6 14.9 11.9 22.3 23.4 18.8 Never married Education 8.93 < 0.001 2.70 0.021 Less than HS 14.1 11.2 18.2 26.1 22.1 22.5 HS graduate 27.9 30.6 35.11 31.6 32.2 43.3 Some college 30.0 32.8 29.2 26.7 31.5 24.2 25.4 17.5 College or higher 28.1 15.7 14.2 10.0 Employment 6.33 < 0.001 3.80 0.008 30.8 Full time 57.4 61.9 62.5 35.8 39.0 Part time 11.4 10.1 12.2 8.5 7.7 13.2 Not working 31.3 28.0 25.3 60.8 56.5 47.8 Age (mean age $\pm SD$)³ 40.4 65.6⁴ < 0.001 42.1 10.34^{4} 42.6 45.6 52.5 53.1 < 0.001 12.39 < 0.001 7.00 < 0.001 Income \$0-19,999 19.8 14.2 21.3 36.4 27.6 34.4 \$20,000-34,999 19.7 18.9 20.7 21.8 22.2 23.2 31.1 \$35,000-69,999 33.7 36.9 35.4 27.325.7 14.5 \$70,000+ 26.8 30.0 22.6 19.1 16.7

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of no/low and moderate/severe pain interference respondents by gambling problem severity¹

Notes: NG = no gambling or low-frequency gambling group; LRG = low-risk or at-risk gambling group; PPG = problem or pathological gambling group; HS = high school. ¹ Proportions in table represent weighted percentages, stratified by pain interference. ² Ns represent actual number in each category. ³ Numbers represent weighted mean values, stratified by pain interference. ⁴ F test.

respondents (p = 0.0182). Overall, 0.54% of the total sample reported PPG.

Psychiatric disorders

Table 2 summarizes the patterns of associations observed between problem-gambling severity and psychiatric morbidity stratified by level of pain interference (i.e., NLPI vs. severity within each pain-interference group was related to each Axis category and the groups within each Axis, the strengths of the associations between problem-gambling severity and these Axis I and Axis II groups were similar across the pain-interference groups. Several individual disorders showed differences across pain-interference groups in the strengths of the associations with problem-gambling severity. The relationships between PPG and dysthymia

Table 2. Prevalence of psychiatric diagnoses by gambling problem severity among respondents with no/low and moderate/severe pain interference¹

| | No/low pain respondents | | | | | Moderate/severe pain respondents | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Psychiatric diagnoses | NG | LRG | PPG | | | NG | LRG | PPG | | |
| | $n = 24,542^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $n^{2} n = 8,522^{2}$ | $n = 165^2$ | χ^2 | Р | $n = 6,224^2 n$ | $=2,376^{2}$ | $n = 68^2$ | χ^2 | Р |
| | % | % | % | | | % | % | % | | |
| Any Axis I disorder | 25.8 | 37.2 | 72.1 | 56.82 | < 0.001 | 34.3 | 46.2 | 78.0 | 27.18 | < 0.001 |
| Any mood disorder | 8.6 | 9.3 | 27.5 | 9.98 | < 0.001 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 26.3 | 5.60 | 0.006 |
| Major depression | 6.2 | 5.6 | 16.2 | 5.11 | 0.009 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 1.37 | 0.261 |
| Dysthymia | 1.3 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 2.60 | 0.082 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 0.58 | 0.564 |
| Mania | 1.2 | 1.6 | 8.8 | 5.98 | 0.004 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 5.13 | 0.009 |
| Hypomania | 1.8 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 8.41 | < 0.001 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 9.0 | 2.77 | 0.070 |
| Any anxiety disorder | 9.2 | 11.9 | 27.9 | 17.19 | < 0.001 | 16.0 | 19.6 | 34.6 | 7.37 | 0.001 |
| Panic disorder w/ or w/o agoraphobia | 1.5 | 1.8 | 8.7 | 2.80 | 0.068 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 1.79 | 0.176 |
| Social phobia | 2.3 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 3.61 | 0.033 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 9.3 | 2.18 | 0.121 |
| Specific phobia | 6.0 | 8.2 | 21.2 | 17.72 | < 0.001 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 21.7 | 3.28 | 0.044 |
| Generalized anxiety disord | er 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 1.97 | 0.147 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 3.86 | 0.026 |
| Any substance use disorder | 15.2 | 25.9 | 55.9 | 60.77 | < 0.001 | 18.0 | 30.3 | 60.4 | 30.16 | < 0.001 |
| Alcohol abuse/dependence | 7.0 | 13.0 | 31.3 | 43.62 | < 0.001 | 5.6 | 10.8 | 29.0 | 17.21 | < 0.001 |
| Drug abuse/dependence | 1.6 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 11.27 | < 0.001 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 3.03 | 0.055 |
| Nicotine dependence | 9.7 | 17.0 | 43.1 | 52.90 | < 0.001 | 14.6 | 23.3 | 44.2 | 22.13 | < 0.001 |
| Any Axis II disorder | 12.2 | 17.4 | 53.2 | 35.99 | < 0.001 | 18.2 | 26.3 | 56.0 | 21.23 | < 0.001 |
| Any Cluster A | 4.9 | 6.3 | 25.6 | 15.12 | < 0.001 | 9.6 | 12.4 | 34.0 | 8.03 | < 0.001 |
| Paranoid | 3.4 | 4.4 | 22.8 | 12.32 | < 0.001 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 33.5 | 7.46 | 0.001 |
| Schizoid | 2.4 | 3.1 | 13.3 | 8.55 | < 0.001 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 21.9 | 5.40 | 0.007 |
| Any Cluster B | 3.7 | 6.7 | 28.2 | 26.98 | < 0.001 | 5.7 | 10.1 | 28.2 | 17.16 | < 0.001 |
| Histrionic | 1.5 | 1.9 | 12.8 | 7.59 | 0.001 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 14.8 | 4.35 | 0.017 |
| Antisocial | 2.6 | 5.2 | 18.8 | 23.88 | < 0.001 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 25.4 | 16.48 | < 0.001 |
| Any Cluster C | 7.8 | 10.4 | 27.0 | 16.14 | < 0.001 | 12.0 | 16.5 | 34.1 | 11.96 | < 0.001 |
| Avoidant | 2.0 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 3.99 | 0.023 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 1.76 | 0.181 |
| Dependent | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 1.96 | 0.149 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0.67 | 0.513 |
| Obsessive-compulsive | 6.6 | 9.3 | 22.0 | 19.08 | < 0.001 | 9.6 | 13.7 | 31.1 | 11.31 | < 0.001 |

Notes: NG = no gambling or low-frequency gambling group; LRG = low-risk or at-risk gambling group; PPG = problem or pathological gambling group. ¹ Proportions in table represent weighted percentages, stratified by pain interference. ² Ns represent actual number in each category.

MSPI). Within each pain-interference group, significant associations between problem-gambling severity were observed for any Axis I and any Axis II disorder for respondents with NLPI and and for those with MSPI, and significant associations were also found within each contributing category in Axis I (any mood disorder, any anxiety disorder, and any substance-use disorder) and Axis II (any Cluster A personality disorder, any Cluster B personality disorder, and any Cluster C personality disorder) disorder domains in both pain interference groups.

Adjusted odds ratios from multivariate models investigating the strength of associations between psychiatric disorders and problem-gambling severity groups are presented for respondents with NLPI and MSPI, using NG as the reference group (Table 3). The odds of any Axis I disorder, any anxiety disorder, any substance-use disorder, any Axis II disorder, any Cluster A personality disorder, any Cluster B personality disorder, and any Cluster C personality disorder were elevated for LRG and PPG (in comparison to NG) in both NLPI and MSPI respondents. While problem-gambling (OR = 8.74, p < 0.01), panic disorder with or without agoraphobia (OR = 9.86, p < 0.05), and dependent personality disorder (OR = 6.38, p < 0.05) were stronger among participants with NLPI compared to participants with MSPI. Additionally, the association between LRG and specific phobia (OR = 1.24, p < 0.05) was stronger among participants with NLPI compared to participants with MSPI.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first, to our knowledge, to systematically investigate differences between adults with varying levels of pain interference in the associations between Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders and different levels of gambling problem severity in a nationally representative sample. The findings largely did not support our *a priori* hypothesis. The relationship between past-year problem-gambling severity and psychopathology was largely similar across Axis I and Axis II disorders and major groupings of these disor-

Barry et al.

| Table 3. Associations between psychiatric diagnoses and gambling severity among respondents with no/low | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| and moderate/severe pain interference | | | | | | | |

| | No/low pain | respondents | Moderate/seve | ere respondents | Interaction (no/low vs. moderate/severe pain) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--|------------|--|
| Psychiatric diagnoses | OR for | OR for | OR for | OR for | OR for | OR for | |
| | LRG vs. NG | PPG vs. NG | LRG vs. NG | PPG vs. NG | LRG vs. NG | PPG vs. NG | |
| Any Axis I disorder | 1.90*** | 7.74*** | 1.73*** | 5.88*** | 1.10 | 1.32 | |
| Any mood disorder | 1.31*** | 4.20*** | 1.45*** | 1.93 | 0.90 | 2.18 | |
| Major depression | 1.09 | 3.08*** | 1.35** | 1.22 | 0.81 | 2.53 | |
| Dysthymia | 1.24 | 5.89*** | 1.26 | 0.67 | 0.99 | 8.74** | |
| Mania | 1.60*** | 7.33*** | 1.94*** | 2.39 | 0.83 | 3.07 | |
| Hypomania | 1.76*** | 3.31** | 1.49 | 4.22** | 1.18 | 0.79 | |
| Any anxiety disorder | 1.58*** | 4.67*** | 1.42*** | 2.84** | 1.11 | 1.64 | |
| Panic disorder w/ or w/o agoraphobia | 1.44** | 7.59*** | 1.53** | 0.77 | 0.95 | 9.86* | |
| Social phobia | 1.35** | 4.96*** | 1.36* | 2.37 | 1.00 | 2.09 | |
| Specific phobia | 1.67*** | 5.37*** | 1.35** | 2.66* | 1.24* | 2.01 | |
| Generalized anxiety disorder | 1.28 | 6.46*** | 1.71*** | 1.82 | 0.75 | 3.55 | |
| Any substance use disorder | 2.07*** | 6.73*** | 2.03*** | 5.41*** | 1.02 | 1.24 | |
| Alcohol abuse/dependence | 2.06*** | 5.08*** | 2.04*** | 5.01*** | 1.01 | 1.01 | |
| Drug abuse/dependence | 2.12*** | 2.64** | 1.94** | 1.80 | 1.09 | 1.47 | |
| Nicotine dependence | 1.99*** | 6.62*** | 1.80*** | 3.53*** | 1.11 | 1.87 | |
| Any Axis II disorder | 1.63*** | 7.81*** | 1.65*** | 4.81*** | 0.98 | 1.62 | |
| Any Cluster A | 1.52*** | 6.00*** | 1.46*** | 4.07*** | 1.04 | 1.48 | |
| Paranoid | 1.59*** | 7.58*** | 1.50** | 5.87*** | 1.06 | 1.29 | |
| Schizoid | 1.45*** | 5.63*** | 1.49** | 4.39*** | 0.97 | 1.28 | |
| Any Cluster B | 1.96*** | 8.25*** | 1.91*** | 4.33*** | 1.02 | 1.91 | |
| Histrionic | 1.54*** | 8.19*** | 1.64** | 4.94** | 0.94 | 1.66 | |
| Antisocial | 2.08*** | 6.64*** | 2.06*** | 5.28*** | 1.01 | 1.26 | |
| Any Cluster C | 1.43*** | 4.48*** | 1.48*** | 3.38*** | 0.97 | 1.33 | |
| Avoidant | 1.00 | 4.86*** | 1.28 | 2.20 | 0.78 | 2.21 | |
| Dependent | 1.13 | 9.81*** | 1.01 | 1.54 | 1.12 | 6.38* | |
| Obsessive-compulsive | 1.48*** | 4.18*** | 1.49*** | 3.83*** | 0.99 | 1.09 | |

Notes: NG = no gambling or low-frequency gambling group; LRG = low-risk or at-risk gambling group; PPG = problem or pathological gambling group; OR = odds ratio. Adjusted for gender, race/ethnicity, marital status, age, education, employment, and household annual income. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

ders. Data suggest that the relationships might vary for only four disorders. Specifically, the relationships appeared stronger at p < 0.05 among respondents with NLPI compared to those with MSPI between PPG and dysthymia, panic disorder with or without agoraphobia, and dependent personality disorder and between low-risk or at-risk gambling and specific phobia.

Pain interference, problem-gambling severity, and psychiatric disorders

Our findings largely corroborate those previously reported on the high rates of co-occurrence between PPG and Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders among patients in treatment or seeking help (Barry, Steinberg, Wu & Potenza, 2008; Crockford & El-Guebaly, 1998; Shaffer & Korn, 2002) and among respondents in epidemiological studies (Cunningham-Williams, Cottler, Compton & Spitznagel, 1998; Petry et al., 2005). Study findings confirm and expand upon those reported in prior studies by demonstrating that associations between problem-gambling severity and psychopathology are largely not modified by levels of pain interference. However, we found that pain interference might modify the association between problem-gambling severity and four specific psychiatric disorders: the relationships between PPG and dysthymia, panic disorder with or without agoraphobia, and dependent personality disorder appeared more robust among respondents with NLPI as compared to their counterparts with MSPI, whereas the relationship between low-risk or at-risk gambling and specific phobia appeared stronger among participants with NLPI compared to those with MSPI. These findings suggest that some of the relationship between problem-gambling severity and these disorders may relate importantly to MSPI.

It is currently unclear why pain interference might modify the associations between problem-gambling severity and some specific psychiatric disorders. It is possible, for example, that gambling activities may serve as a distraction from pain and its associated psychopathology. While sustained attention on pain appears to explain in part the disabling effects of persistent pain, the extent to which gamblers who have pain engage in gambling as a distraction strategy has not been systematically examined (Eccleston & Crombez, 2007). The stronger association of LRG and specific phobia among participants with NLPI compared to those with MSPI expands upon prior research that documented the importance of assessing and addressing the correlates of subsyndromal gambling and not only those related to PPG (Barry et al., 2008).

Limitations and strengths

Several potential limitations are worth noting. The crosssectional design of the NESARC limits statements regarding causation among study variables. Similar to previous epidemiologic and community studies, pain interference in this study was examined using a single item from the SF-12 (Barry et al., 2012; Goldstein et al., 2009; Novak et al., 2009). Future research in this area might benefit from using a more comprehensive pain interference scale (e.g., Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form [Cleeland, 1991]). Because of concerns about response burden, the NESARC did not exhaustively assess Axis I or Axis II psychiatric diagnoses or general medical conditions; thus, certain diagnoses of potential clinical relevance to levels of pain interference were not assessed, including somatoform disorder and borderline personality disorder.

Despite these limitations, the current study represents an investigation of differences in the psychiatric morbidity accompanying varying levels of problem-gambling severity among respondents with no or low and moderate or severe pain interference. To our knowledge, this study is among the first to systematically examine differences in psychiatric disorders accompanying problem-gambling severity among a nationally representative sample of individuals in the United States with varying levels of past-month pain interference. Higher levels of problem or pathological gambling were found to occur in individuals with MSPI as compared to those with NLPI, and level of pain interference may influence the relationships between problem-gambling severity and several psychiatric disorders. Specifically, findings from interaction analyses suggest that MSPI may account for some of the variance in the associations between PPG and dysthymia, panic disorder, and dependent personality disorder, and between low-risk or at-risk gambling and specific phobia. These findings suggest that treatments for PPG might benefit from assessing and addressing pain interference levels in addition to psychiatric disorders, especially among patients with dysthymia, panic disorder, or dependent personality disorder.

Funding sources: This study was supported in part by: (1) National Institute on Drug Abuse grants (K23-DA024050); (2) Women's Health Research at Yale; (3) the Connecticut Mental Health Center; (4) an unrestricted research gift from the Mohegan Sun casino; (5) the National Center for Responsible Gaming and its Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders; and (6) the Yale Center of Excellence in Gambling Research. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Responsible Gaming or the Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders or any of the other funding agencies. The funding sources had no further role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Authors' contribution: MNP and RAH designed the study and wrote the protocol. DTB managed the literature searches and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CEP and RAH had access to all of the study data, undertook the statistical analysis, and assume responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the study data. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: All authors report that they have no conflicts of interest over the past five years to report as related to the subject of the report. Dr. Potenza consults for and is an advisor to Boehringer Ingelheim, receives research support from Mohegan Sun Casino, the National Center for Respon-

sible Gaming and its affiliated Institute for Research on Gambling Disorders and Forest Pharmaceuticals, has received research support from Ortho-McNeil and Glaxo-SmithKline, has consulted for and has financial interests in Somaxon, and has consulted for law offices and the federal defender's office as an expert in pathological gambling and impulse control disorders.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Apkarian, A. V., Sosa, Y., Krauss, B. R., Thomas, P. S., Fredrickson, B. E., Levy, R. E., Harden, R. N. & Chialvo, D. R. (2004). Chronic pain patients are impaired on an emotional decision-making task. *Pain*, 108(1–2), 129–136.
- Bair, M. J., Robinson, R. L., Eckert, G. J., Stang, P. E., Croghan, T. W. & Kroenke, K. (2004). Impact of pain on depression treatment response in primary care. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66(1), 17–22.
- Barry, D. T., Pilver, C., Potenza, M. N. & Desai, R. A. (2012). Prevalence and psychiatric correlates of pain interference among men and women in the general population. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 46, 118–127.
- Barry, D. T., Steinberg, M. A., Wu, R. & Potenza, M. N. (2008). Characteristics of black and white callers to a gambling helpline. *Psychiatric Services*, 59(11), 1347–1350.
- Black, D., Moyer, T. & Schlosser, S. (2003). Quality of life and family history in pathological gambling. *Journal of Nervous* and Mental Disease, 191(2), 124–126.
- Cleeland, C. S. (1991). Pain assessment in cancer. In D. Osaba (Ed.), *Effect of cancer on quality of life* (pp. 293–305). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Crockford, D. N. & El-Guebaly, N. (1998). Psychiatric comorbidity in pathological gambling: A critical review. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 43(1), 43–50.
- Cunningham-Williams, R. M., Cottler, L. B., Compton, W. M. & Spitznagel, E. L. (1998). Taking chances: Problem gamblers and mental health disorders–results from the St. Louis Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 88(7), 1093–1096.
- Desai, R. A. & Potenza, M. N. (2008). Gender differences in the associations between past-year gambling problems and psychiatric disorders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 43(3), 173–183.
- Eccleston, C. & Crombez, G. (2007). Worry and chronic pain: A misdirected problem solving model. *Pain*, 132(3), 233–236.
- Elman, I., Zubieta, J. K. & Borsook, D. (2011). The missing p in psychiatric training: Why it is important to teach pain to psychiatrists. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68(1), 12–20.
- Goldstein, B. I., Houck, P. R. & Karp, J. F. (2009). Factors associated with pain interference in an epidemiologic sample of adults with bipolar I disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 117(3), 151–156.
- Goldstein, R. B., Dawson, D. A., Chou, S. P., Ruan, W. J., Saha, T. D., Pickering, R. P., Stinson, F. S. & Grant, B. F. (2008). Antisocial behavioral syndromes and past-year physical health among adults in the United States: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 69(3), 368–380.
- Goldstein, R. B., Dawson, D. A., Stinson, F. S., Ruan, W. J., Chou, S. P., Pickering, R. P. & Grant, B. F. (2008). Antisocial behavioral syndromes and body mass index among adults in the United States: Results from the National Epidemiologic Sur-

Journal of Behavioral Addictions 2(3), pp. 138–144 (2013) | 143

vey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 49(3), 225–237.

- Goudriaan, A., Oosterlaan, J., de Beurs, E. & van den Brink, W. (2005). Decision making in pathological gambling: A comparison between pathological gamblers, alcohol dependents, persons with Tourette syndrome, and normal controls. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 23(1), 137–151.
- Grant, B. F., Dawson, D. A., Stinson, F. S., Chou, P. S., Kay, W. & Pickering, R. (2003). The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV): Reliability of alcohol consumption, tobacco use, family history of depression and psychiatric diagnostic modules in a general population sample. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 71(1), 7–16.
- Grant, B. F., Moore, T. C., Shepard, J. & Kaplan, K. (2003). Source and accuracy statement: Wave 1 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.
- Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., Dawson, D. A., Chou, S. P., Dufour, M. C., Compton, W., Pickering, R. P. & Kaplan, K. (2004). Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 61, 807–816.
- Grant, J. E., Desai, R. A. & Potenza, M. N. (2009). Relationship of nicotine dependence, subsyndromal and pathological gambling, and other psychiatric disorders: Data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 70(3), 334–343.
- Kalliomäki, M. L., Meyerson, J., Gunnarsson, U., Gordh, T. & Sandblom, G. (2008). Long-term pain after inguinal hernia repair in a population-based cohort; risk factors and interference with daily activities. *European Journal of Pain*, 12(2), 214–225.
- Morasco, B. J., Pietrzak, R. H., Blanco, C., Grant, B. F., Hasin, D. & Petry, N. M. (2006). Health problems and medical utilization associated with gambling disorders: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 68(6), 976–984.
- Morasco, B. J., Vom Eigen, K. A. & Petry, N. M. (2006). Severity of gambling is associated with physical and emotional health in

urban primary care patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 28(2), 94–100.

- Novak, S. P., Herman-Stahl, M., Flannery, B. & Zimmerman, M. (2009). Physical pain, common psychiatric and substance use disorders, and the non-medical use of prescription analgesics in the United States. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 100, 63–70.
- Petry, N. M., Stinson, F. S. & Grant, B. F. (2005). Comorbidity of DSM-IV pathological gambling and other psychiatric disorders: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 66(5), 564–574.
- Pietrzak, R. H., Morasco, B. J., Blanco, C., Grant, B. F. & Petry, N. M. (2007). Gambling level and psychiatric and medical disorders in older adults: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *American Journal* of Geriatric Psychiatry, 15(4), 301–313.
- Putzke, J. D., Richards, J. S., Hicken, B. L. & DeVivo, M. J. (2002). Interference due to pain following spinal cord injury: Important predictors and impact on quality of life. *Pain*, 100(3), 231–242.
- Research Triangle Institute (2001). *SUDAAN Software*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute.
- Shaffer, H. J. & Korn, D. A. (2002). Gambling and related mental disorders: A public health analysis. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 23, 171–212.
- Thomas, E., Peat, G., Harris, L., Wilkie, R. & Croft, P. R. (2004). The prevalence of pain and pain interference in a general population of older adults: Cross-sectional findings from the North Staffordshire Osteoarthritis Project (NorStOP). *Pain*, *110*(1–2), 361–368.
- Verbunt, J. A., Seelen, H. A., Vlaeyen, J. W., van de Heijden, G. J., Heuts, P. H., Pons, K. & Knottnerus, J. A. (2003). Disuse and deconditioning in chronic low back pain: Concepts and hypotheses on contributing mechanisms. *European Journal of Pain*, 7(1), 9–21.
- Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M. & Keller, S. D. (1996). A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: Construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Medical Care*, 34, 220–233.