

学校编码：10384

学号：31320120153667

廈門大學

博士学位论文

中国建筑业绿色低碳化发展研究

Studies on China's Green and Low-carbon  
Construction

刘泓汛

指导教师：林伯强

专业名称：能源经济学

答辩日期：2016年5月

## 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下，独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果，均在文中以适当方式明确标明，并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外，该学位论文为( )课题(组)的研究成果，获得( )课题(组)经费或实验室的资助，在( )实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称，未有此项声明内容的，可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名)：

年 月 日

# 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文，并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文(包括纸质版和电子版)，允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索，将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版，采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于：

(        )1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文，于  
年 月 日解密，解密后适用上述授权。

(        )2. 不保密，适用上述授权。

(请在以上相应括号内打“√”或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文，未经厦门大学保密委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的，默认为公开学位论文，均适用上述授权。)

声明人(签名)：

年 月 日

## 摘要

全球气候变暖促使绿色低碳化转型成为建筑业可持续发展的必经之路。国内外对绿色低碳建筑业的研究主要围绕建设过程中的碳排放量测算与影响机制来进行研究，以及技术路径、管理策略等问题展开，对绿色低碳建筑业的量化评价和政策措施等问题还缺乏全面的系统性研究。从最新的国家“一带一路”发展战略对建筑业的要求、以及建筑业本身的可持续性发展前景来看，这些方面的研究价值巨大。针对已有文献的不足与缺陷，本文从能源消费产生的二氧化碳排放角度入手，就中国建筑业绿色低碳化发展实现路径进行了深层次量化研究与讨论。主要的研究内容包括：

(1) 在考虑建筑业施工技术的约束与投入要素间相互作用的前提下，构建建筑业绿色低碳绩效评价指标体系，对中国省际和区域建筑业绿色低碳绩效进行定量研究和比较；

(2) 就建筑业能源消费所产生的碳排放进行因素分解，从宏观视角（如能源消费结构、能源使用效率等）探索驱动中国建筑业碳减排变化的主要因素和各自的影响力，为区分主要矛盾和次要矛盾，有的放矢研究建筑业绿色低碳化发展实现路径、制定建筑业节能减排低碳发展激励措施提供政策依据；

(3) 在上述两部分研究基础上，从三个角度探讨中国建筑业绿色低碳化发展的实现路径：

一是从优化要素结构视角，探讨建筑业能源与其他投入要素、以及能源品种间的替代可能性，并且基于要素间的交叉价格弹性，以碳税为例，估计实施节能减排政策对中国建筑业的影响；

二是从提高能源效率的技术进步视角，不仅测算了中国建筑业能源效率上的技术进步率，同时还基于超越对数生产函数和非对称能源价格研究了技术进步对建筑业能源需求产生的反弹效应，为对建筑业绿色低碳技术改进上的政策措施有效性提供更加准确的认识和理解，也为制定建筑业绿色低碳发展长期有效的政策措施提供参考；

三是从省际最优碳排放配额分配视角，通过参数化的方向距离函数测算了各个地区

建筑业二氧化碳边际减排成本，并基于此构建各省建筑业的边际减排成本曲线，进而以全国减排总成本最小化为目标，测算在实现全国建筑业碳强度指标约束下各地区建筑业二氧化碳排放最优配额。

(4) 最后，基于全文研究结果，结合宏观背景，提出实现中国建筑业绿色低碳发展的政策建议和保障措施，包括市场化机制、经济激励机制、财税机制等。

**关键词：**低碳经济；绿色施工；能源政策

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库

## Abstract

As climate change issues continue to be attached increasingly significant, green and low-carbon construction becomes necessary for the whole building sector as well as the sustainable development of human beings. Buildings are designed to be more energy efficient and carbon emissions for their operation decreases in recent years. Thus, energy conservation and emission reduction for the building construction is getting of greater importance. Construction is a leading industry in China's economy, which is also conducive to mitigate climate change. Although an increasing number of researches and applications on green and low-carbon construction have made many achievements, they are still limited to qualitative analyses on influencing factors, technological progress, policies, management strategies and so on. Considering the latest green and low-carbon construction requirement in Chinese "One belt one way" development strategy as well as the sustainable development of construction industry itself, it is imperative to quantitatively study the implementations for China's green and low-carbon construction.

Given the limitations of existing literature, this paper fills the research gap by quantitatively investigating the implementation of green low-carbon construction in China from the perspective of energy consumption, through the following work: First, considering the constraints of construction technique and the substitution across input factors, this paper constructs an complicated indicator for evaluating green low-carbon performance of building construction in China.

Second, the energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in building construction have been decomposed into several contributions, and the purpose of the decomposition is to quantify the relative contributions of interested factors, such as energy structure, energy efficiency, etc. And then, the main driving forces of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in building construction can be identified. It is thus conducive for

implementing green low-carbon construction and helpful for making policy on energy conservation and CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation.

Third, based on the results of above two parts, this paper studies the implementation of China's green low-carbon construction from three perspectives:

(1) Optimizing factor inputs. This paper assess the substitutability of energy with other factor inputs, such as capital and labor, and the inter-fuel substitution.

Based on the results of inter-factor and inter-fuel substitution and using the carbon tax as a case, I evaluate the impact of energy saving and emission reduction policies on building construction.

(2) Technological advancement. This paper not only measures the energy efficiency improvement in China's building construction, but incorporates the rebound effects as well. In estimating the rebound effect, the trans-log production function are employed and the asymmetric effect of energy price changes are considered. The results have important values for understanding the effect of technological progress on energy conservation and CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation, and could provide policy implications for promoting the technological advancement.

(3) Optimal carbon emission quotas. This paper measures shadow prices of carbon dioxide emission for China's construction industry by adopting a parameterized directional distance function, so as to construct marginal carbon abatement cost curve for each province in China. Based on the curves, the optimal CO<sub>2</sub> emission quota allocation among provinces and regions for China's construction industry is obtained with a minimum total abatement cost.

Finally, based on the theoretical and empirical results of this paper, several suggestions and policy implications for implementing the new path of China's green low-carbon construction in China are provided, including market reform, economic incentives as well as financial and tax mechanisms.

**Keywords:** Low-carbon economy; Green construction; Energy policy

## 参考资料

- [1]陈诗一. 中国工业分行业统计数据估算:1980—2008[J]. 经济学:季刊, 2011, (03): 735-776.
- [2]陈诗一. 边际减排成本与中国环境税改革[J]. 中国社会科学, 2011(3):85-100.
- [3]陈晓玲, 连玉君. 资本-劳动替代弹性与地区经济增长——德拉格兰德维尔假说的检验[J]. 经济学:季刊, 2013, (01):93-118.
- [4]冯博, 王雪青. 中国建筑业能源经济效率与能源环境效率研究——基于SBM模型和面板Tobit模型的两阶段分析[J]. 北京理工大学学报:社会科学版, 2015, 17(1).
- [5]桂琦寒, 陈敏, 陆铭, 等. 中国国内商品市场趋于分割还是整合:基于相对价格法的分析[J]. 世界经济, 2006, (2):20-30.
- [6]郭朝先. 中国碳排放因素分解:基于LMDI分解技术[J]. 中国人口&资源与环境, 2010, 20(12):4-9.
- [7]国涓, 郭崇慧, 凌煜. 中国工业部门能源反弹效应研究[J]. 数量经济技术经济研究, 2010 (11): 114-126.
- [8]赖长邈, 吴勇, 艾晓燕, 等. 成都市地铁项目土建过程碳排放源分类研究[J]. 环境科学导刊, 2012, 第4期:97-99.
- [9]李陶, 陈林菊, 范英. 基于非线性规划的我国省区碳强度减排配额研究[J]. 管理评论, 2010(6):54-60.
- [10]林伯强, 杜克锐. 要素市场扭曲对能源效率的影响[J]. 经济研究, 2013(9):125-136.
- [11]林伯强, 杜克锐. 理解中国能源强度的变化:一个综合的分解框架[J]. 世界经济, 2014, (4):69-87.
- [12]林伯强, 刘泓汛. 对外贸易是否有利于提高能源环境效率——以中国工业行业为例[J]. 经济研究, 2015(9): 127-141.
- [13]林伯强, 孙传旺. 如何在保障中国经济增长前提下完成碳减排目标[J]. 中国社会科学, 2011(1):64-76.
- [14]林伯强, 姚昕, 刘希颖. 节能和碳排放约束下的中国能源结构战略调整[J]. 中国社会科学, 2010, 第1期(1):58-71.
- [15]林伯强, 魏巍贤, 李丕东. 中国长期煤炭需求:影响与政策选择[J]. 经济研究, 2007, 第4期:50-60.
- [16]刘贵文, 徐鹏鹏. 基于模糊综合评判的绿色施工评价体系研究[J]. 生态经济:学术版, 2007 (2): 31-33.
- [17]刘兰翠. 我国二氧化碳减排问题的政策建模与实证研究[D]. 合肥:中国科学技术大学(博士学位论文), 2006.
- [18]陆旻. 中国的绿色政策与就业:存在双重红利吗?[J]. 经济研究, 2011, 第7期(07):42-54.
- [19]江亿, 燕达. 什么是真正的建筑节能?[J]. 建设科技, 2011, 第11期:15-23.
- [20]史丹. 我国经济增长过程中能源利用效率的改进[J]. 工业经济, 2003, 09期(1):36-43.
- [21]宋德勇, 刘习平. 中国省际碳排放空间分配研究[J]. 中国人口&资源与环境, 2013, 23(5):7-13.
- [22]涂正革. 中国的碳减排路径与战略选择——基于八大行业部门碳排放量的指数分解分析[J]. 中国社会科学, 2012(3):78-94.
- [23]王锋, 吴丽华, 杨超. 中国经济发展中碳排放增长的驱动因素研究[J]. 经济研究, 2010(2):123-136.
- [24]王雪青, 娄香珍, 杨秋波. 中国建筑业能源效率省际差异及其影响因素分析[J]. 中国人口&资源与环境, 2012, 22(2):56-61.
- [25]魏楚. 中国城市 CO<sub>2</sub> 边际减排成本及其影响因素[J]. 世界经济, 2014 (7): 115-141.
- [26]吴琦, 武春友. 基于 DEA 的能源效率评价模型研究[J]. 管理科学, 2009, 22(1): 103-112.
- [27]谢传胜, 董达鹏, 贾晓希, 等. 中国电力行业碳排放配额分配——基于排放绩效[J]. 技术经济, 2011, 30(11):57-62.
- [28]徐军委. 基于LMDI的我国二氧化碳排放影响因素研究[D]. 北京:中国矿业大学(博士学位论文), 2013.
- [29]杨国锐. 中国经济发展中的碳排放波动及减碳路径研究[D]. 武汉:华中科技大学(博士学位论文), 2010.
- [30]叶少帅. 建筑施工过程碳排计算模型研究[J]. 建筑经济, 2012, 第4期:100-103.
- [31]张成, 陆旻, 郭路, 等. 环境规制强度和生产技术进步[J]. 经济研究, 2011, (02):113-124.
- [32]张华, 王玲, 魏晓平. 能源的“波特假说”效应存在吗?[J]. 中国人口&资源与环境, 2014, 24(11):33-41.



- [33]张友志, 顾红春. 基于DEA的2005 ~ 2010年中国省际建筑业能源效率研究[J]. 建筑经济, 2013(4):12-15.
- [34]赵书新. 节能减排政府补贴激励政策设计的机理研究[D]. 北京: 北京交通大学(博士学位论文), 2011.
- [35]竹隰生. 关于绿色施工几个问题的探讨[J]. 生态经济: 学术版, 2008, (1):280-282.
- [36]朱永彬, 刘晓, 王铮. 碳税政策的减排效果及其对我国经济的影响分析[J]. 中国软科学, 2010, 第4期(4):1-9.
- [37]Adofo Y O, Evans J, Hunt L C. How sensitive to time period sampling is the asymmetric price response specification in energy demand modeling?[J]. Energy Economics, 2013, 40(2):90 – 109.
- [38]Allen R G D. Mathematical analysis for economists[M]. London: Macmillan, 1938.
- [39]Ang B W. Decomposition analysis for policymaking in energy: : which is the preferred method?[J]. Energy Policy, 2004, 32(9):1131-1139.
- [40]Ang B W. The LMDI approach to decomposition analysis: a practical guide[J]. Energy Policy, 2005, 33(7):867-871.
- [41]Barker T, Ekins P, Foxon T. The macro-economic rebound effect and the UK economy[J]. Energy Policy, 2007, 35(10):4935 – 4946.
- [42]Barros C P, Managi S, Matousek R. The technical efficiency of the Japanese banks: Non-radial directional performance measurement with undesirable output[J]. Omega, 2012, 40(1):1-8.
- [43]Bentzen J, Economics E, Tol R S J, et al. Estimating the rebound effect in US manufacturing energy consumption[J]. Energy Economics, 2004, 26(3):123 – 134.
- [44]Berndt E R, Wood D O. Technology, prices, and the derived demand for energy[J]. The review of Economics and Statistics, 1975: 259-268.
- [45]Bohm P, Larsen B, 1994. Fairness in a Tradable-Permit Treaty for Carbon Emissions Reductions in Europe and the former Soviet Union[J]. Environmental and Resource Economics, 4: 219 – 239.
- [46]Chang Y, Ries R J, Wang Y. Life-cycle energy of residential buildings in China[J]. Energy Policy, 2013, 62(7):656-664.
- [47]Chang Y T, Zhang N, Danao D, et al. Environmental efficiency analysis of transportation system in China: A non-radial DEA approach[J]. Energy Policy, 2013, 58(9):277-283.
- [48]Chambers R G, Chung Y, F&auml;re R. Profit, Directional Distance Functions, and Nerlovian Efficiency[J]. Journal of Optimization Theory & Applications, 1998, 98(2):351-364.
- [49]Chen S. The evaluation indicator of ecological development transition in China's regional economy[J]. Ecological Indicators, 2015, 51:42-52.
- [50]Chen T Y, Burnett J, Chau C K. Analysis of embodied energy use in the residential building of Hong Kong[J]. Energy, 2001, 26(4): 323-340.
- [51]Cho W G, Nam K, Pagan J A. Economic growth and interfactor/interfuel substitution in Korea[J]. Energy Economics, 2004, 26(1): 31-50.
- [52]Christensen L R, Lau L J. Transcendental Logarithmic Production Frontiers[J]. Review of Economics & Statistics, 1973, 55(1):28-45.
- [53]Chung Y H, F&auml;re R, Grosskopf S. Productivity and Undesirable Outputs: A Directional Distance Function Approach[J]. Journal of Environmental Management, 1997, 51(3):229 – 240.
- [54]Coelli T J, Rao D S P, O ' Donnell C J, et al. An Introduction to Efficiency and Productivity Analysis[M]// Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [55]Cui L B, Fan Y, Zhu L, et al. How will the emissions trading scheme save cost for achieving China ' s 2020 carbon intensity reduction target?[J]. Applied Energy, 2014, 136: 1043-1052.
- [56]Dimoudi A, Tompa C. Energy and environmental indicators related to construction of office buildings[J]. Resources Conservation & Recycling, 2008, 53(1-2):86 – 95.
- [57]Ellerman, D.A., Decaux, A. Analysis of Post-Kyoto CO2 Emission Trading Using Marginal Abatement Curves[C]. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change,

Report 40, 1998.

- [58]F&auml;re R, Grosskopf S. Shadow Pricing of Good and Bad Commodities[J]. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 1998, 80(80):584-590.
- [59]F&auml;re R, Grosskopf S, Pasurka Jr C A. Environmental production functions and environmental directional distance functions[J]. *Energy*, 2007, 32(7): 1055-1066.
- [60]F&auml;re R, Grosskopf S, Weber W L. Shadow prices and pollution costs in U.S. agriculture[J]. *Ecological Economics*, 2006, 56(1):89-103.
- [61]F&auml;re R, Martins-Filho C, Vardanyan M. On functional form representation of multi-output production technologies[J]. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 2010, 33(2): 81-96.
- [62]Floros N, Vlachou A. Energy demand and energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Greek manufacturing: Assessing the impact of a carbon tax[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2005, 27(3): 387-413.
- [63]Gately D, Huntington H G. New York, New York 10003-6687The Asymmetric Effects of Changes in Price and Income on Energy and Oil Demand[J]. *General Information*, 2001, 23(1):19-55.
- [64]Gerilla G P, Teknomo K, Hokao K. An environmental assessment of wood and steel reinforced concrete housing construction[J]. *Building & Environment*, 2007, 42(7):2778 – 2784.
- [65]Gonz á lez M J, Navarro J G. Assessment of the decrease of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the construction field through the selection of materials: Practical case study of three houses of low environmental impact[J]. *Building & Environment*, 2006, 41(7):902 – 909.
- [66]Greening L A, Greene D L, Difiglio C. Energy efficiency and consumption — the rebound effect — a survey[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2000, 28:389 – 401.
- [67]Griffin J M, Gregory P R. An intercountry translog model of energy substitution responses[J]. *The American Economic Review*, 1976: 845-857.
- [68]Gruetter, J., CERT (Carbon Emission Reduction Trading) Model. <http://www.ghgmarket.info>,2000.
- [69]Guetat I, Serranito F. Income convergence within the MENA countries: A panel unit root approach[J]. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 2007, 46(5): 685-706.
- [70]Guggemos A A, Horvath A. Comparison of environmental effects of steel-and concrete-framed buildings[J]. *Journal of infrastructure systems*, 2005, 11(2): 93-101.
- [71]Hailu A, Veeman T S. Non-parametric Productivity Analysis with Undesirable Outputs: An Application to the Canadian Pulp and Paper Industry[J]. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 2001, 83(3):605-616.
- [72]Hu J L, Wang S C. Total-factor energy efficiency of regions in China[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2006, 34(17):3206-3217.
- [73]IEA, 2011. CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fuel Combustion: Highlights, International Energy Agency. IEA.
- [74]Im K S, Pesaran M H, Shin Y. Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels[J]. *Journal of econometrics*, 2003, 115(1): 53-74.
- [75]Jeong Y S, Lee S E, Huh J H. Estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of apartment buildings due to major construction materials in the Republic of Korea[J]. *Energy & Buildings*, 2012, 49(2):437 – 442.
- [76]Jin J, Zhou D, Zhou P. Measuring environmental performance with stochastic environmental DEA: The case of APEC economies[J]. *Economic Modelling*, 2014, 38(1):80-86.
- [77]Kaya Y. Impact of carbon dioxide emission control on GNP growth: interpretation of proposed scenarios[R]. Paris, France: Presentation to the Energy and Industry Subgroup, Response Strategies Working Group, IPCC, 1989:1-25.
- [78]Lin B, Du K. Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions performance in China's regional economies: Do market-oriented reforms matter?[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2015, 78: 113-124.
- [79]Lin B, Li J. The rebound effect for heavy industry: Empirical evidence from China[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2014, 74: 589-599.
- [80]Lin B, Li X. The effect of carbon tax on per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions[J]. *Energy policy*, 2011, 39(9): 5137-5146.
- [81]Lin B, Liu H. China's building energy efficiency and urbanization[J]. *Energy and Buildings*, 2015a, 86:356-365.

- [82]Lin B, Liu H. A study on the energy rebound effect of China's residential building energy efficiency[J]. *Energy and Buildings*, 2015b, 86: 608-618.
- [83]Lin B, Liu H. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of China's commercial and residential buildings: Evidence and reduction policy[J]. *Building and Environment*, 2015c, 92:418 – 431.
- [84]Lin B, Liu H. CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation potential in China's building construction industry: A comparison of energy performance[J]. *Building and Environment*, 2015d, 94:239-251.
- [85]Lin B, Liu X. Reform of refined oil product pricing mechanism and energy rebound effect for passenger transportation in China[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2013, 57(7):329-337.
- [86]Lin B, Moubarak M. Decomposition analysis: Change of carbon dioxide emissions in the Chinese textile industry[J]. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2013, 26(10):389-396.
- [87]Lin B, Tian P. The energy rebound effect in China ' s light industry: a translog cost function approach[J]. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2015.
- [88]Lin B, Xie C. Energy substitution effect on transport industry of China-based on trans-log production function[J]. *Energy*, 2014, 67(4):213 – 222.
- [89]Lin B, Xie X. Factor substitution and rebound effect in China ' s food industry. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2015, 105(15), 20-29.
- [90]Ma C, Stern D I. China's changing energy intensity trend: A decomposition analysis[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2008, 30(3): 1037-1053.
- [91]Ma H, Oxley L, Gibson J, et al. China's energy economy: Technical change, factor demand and interfactor/interfuel substitution[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2008, 30(5): 2167-2183.
- [92]Marklund P O, Samakovlis E. What is driving the EU Burden-sharing Agreement: efficiency or equity?[J]. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2007, 85(2):317 – 329.
- [93]Mckittrick R. A Derivation of the Marginal Abatement Cost Curve[J]. *Journal of Environmental Economics & Management*, 1999, 37(3):306-314.
- [94]Nordhaus W D. The cost of slowing climate change: a survey[J]. *Energy Journal*, 1991, 12(1): 37-66.
- [95]Oh D H. A metafrontier approach for measuring an environmentally sensitive productivity growth index[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2010, 32(1):146 – 157.
- [96]Pan X, Fei T, Wang G. Sharing emission space at an equitable basis: Allocation scheme based on the equal cumulative emission per capita principle[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2014, 113(1):1810-1818.
- [97]Park J W, Kim C U, Isard W. Permit Allocation in Emissions Trading using the Boltzmann Distribution[J]. *Physica A Statistical Mechanics & Its Applications*, 2011, 391(20):4883 – 4890.
- [98]P é rez-Lombard L, Ortiz J, Pout C. A review on buildings energy consumption information[J]. *Energy & Buildings*, 2008, 40(3):394 – 398.
- [99]Picazo-Tadeo A J, Reig-Mart í nez E, Hern á ndez-Sancho F. Directional distance functions and environmental regulation[J]. *Resource & Energy Economics*, 2005, 27(2):131-142.
- [100]Pindyck R S. Interfuel substitution and the industrial demand for energy: an international comparison[J]. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1979: 169-179.
- [101]Porter M E, Linde C V D. Towards a New Conception of the Environment-Competitiveness Relationship[J]. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1995, 9(4):97-118.
- [102]Reddy B V V, Jagadish K S. Embodied energy of common and alternative building materials and technologies[J]. *Energy & Buildings*, 2003, 35(2):129-137.
- [103]Rogge N. Undesirable specialization in the construction of composite policy indicators: The Environmental Performance Index[J]. *Ecological Indicators*, 2012, 23(4):143-154.
- [104]Scheel H. Undesirable outputs in efficiency valuations[J]. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2001, 132(2):400-410.
- [105]Seiford L M, Zhu J. Modeling undesirable factors in efficiency evaluation[J]. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2002, 142(1):16-20.
- [106]Smyth R, Narayan PK, Shi HL. Substitution between energy and classical factor inputs in the Chinese steel

- sector [J]. *Applied Energy*, January 2011;88(1):361e7.
- [107]Solow R M. Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function[J]. *Review of Economics & Statistics*, 1957, 39(3):312-320.
- [108]Song M, An Q, Zhang W, et al. Environmental efficiency evaluation based on data envelopment analysis: a review[J]. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2012, 16(7): 4465-4469.
- [109]Song M, Wang S, Cen L. Comprehensive efficiency evaluation of coal enterprises from production and pollution treatment process[J]. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2014, 104:374-379.
- [110]Song M, Zhang J, Wang S. Review of the network environmental efficiencies of listed petroleum enterprises in China[J]. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2015, 43:65-71.
- [111]Song M L, Zhang L L, Liu W, et al. Bootstrap-DEA analysis of BRICS ' energy efficiency based on small sample data[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2013, 112(C):1049-1055.
- [112]Sorrell S, Dimitropoulos J. The rebound effect: Microeconomic definitions, limitations and extensions[J]. *Ecological Economics*, 2008, 65(3):636-649.
- [113]Sorrell S, Dimitropoulos J, Sommerville M. Empirical estimates of the direct rebound effect: A review[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2009, 37(4):1356 – 1371.
- [114]Sueyoshi T, Goto M. DEA approach for unified efficiency measurement: Assessment of Japanese fossil fuel power generation[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2011, 33(2):292-303.
- [115]Sun J W. Changes in energy consumption and energy intensity: A complete decomposition model[J]. *Energy Economics*, 1998, 20(97):85-100.
- [116]Suzuki M, Oka T, Okada K. The estimation of energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission due to housing construction in Japan[J]. *Energy & Buildings*, 1995, 22(2):165-169.
- [117]Tone, K. A slacks-based measure of efficiency in data envelopment analysis [J]. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2001, 130:498-509.
- [118]Tone K. Dealing with Undesirable Outputs in DEA: A Slacks-based Measure (SBM) Approach[J]. *Grips Research Report*, 2003.
- [119]Tu Z, Liu L. Efficiency Evaluation of Industrial Sectors in China Accounting for the Energy and Environment Factors: Based on Provincial Data by a SBM Approach[J]. *Economic Review*, 2011.
- [120]Urano, T., Kaidou, S., Yokoo, N., & Oka, T.. Comparison of energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission during building construction stage between Canada and Japan. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 2014, 79.
- [121]Urga G. An application of dynamic specifications of factor demand equations to interfuel substitution in US industrial energy demand[J]. *Economic Modelling*, 1999, 16(4):503-513.
- [122]Urga G, Walters C. Dynamic translog and linear logit models: a factor demand analysis of interfuel substitution in US industrial energy demand[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2003, 25(1):1-21.
- [123]Wang H, Zhou P, Zhou D Q. An empirical study of direct rebound effect for passenger transport in urban China[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2012, 34(2):452-460.
- [124]Wang Z, Lu M. An empirical study of direct rebound effect for road freight transport in China[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2014, 133(6):274 – 281.
- [125]Wang Z, Lu M, Wang J C. Direct rebound effect on urban residential electricity use: An empirical study in China[J]. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2014, 30(2):124-132.
- [126]Wei D, Rose A. Interregional sharing of energy conservation targets in China: Efficiency and equity[J]. *Dissertations & Theses - Gradworks*, 2007, 30(4):81-112.
- [127]Wu H, Du S, Liang L, et al. A DEA-based approach for fair reduction and reallocation of emission permits[J]. *Mathematical & Computer Modelling*, 2013, 58(5-6):1095-1101.
- [128]Wu H J, Yuan Z W, Zhang L, et al. Erratum to: Life cycle energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission of an office building in China[J]. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 2012, 17(2):264-264.
- [129]Yang H, Pollitt M. The necessity of distinguishing weak and strong disposability among undesirable outputs in DEA: Environmental performance of Chinese coal-fired power plants[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2010, 38(8):4440 – 4444.

- [130]Yan H, Shen Q, Fan L C H, et al. Greenhouse gas emissions in building construction: A case study of One Peking in Hong Kong[J]. *Building & Environment*, 2010, 45(4):949-955.
- [131]Yang M, Fan Y, Yang F, et al. Regional disparities in carbon dioxide reduction from China's uniform carbon tax: A perspective on interfactor/interfuel substitution[J]. *Energy*, 2014, 74(C):131 – 139.
- [132]Yu D, Tan H, Ruan Y. A future bamboo-structure residential building prototype in China: Life cycle assessment of energy use and carbon emission[J]. *Energy & Buildings*, 2011, 43(10):2638 – 2646.
- [133]Zhang N, Choi Y. A note on the evolution of directional distance function and its development in energy and environmental studies 1997 – 2013[J]. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2014, 33(2):50-59.
- [134]Zhang N, Kong F, Choi Y, et al. The effect of size-control policy on unified energy and carbon efficiency for Chinese fossil fuel power plants[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2014a, 70(4):193 – 200.
- [135]Zhang N, Kong F, Yu Y. Measuring ecological total-factor energy efficiency incorporating regional heterogeneities in China[J]. *Ecological Indicators*, 2015, 51:165-172.
- [136]Zhang X, Shen L, Zhang L. Life cycle assessment of the air emissions during building construction process: A case study in Hong Kong[J]. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2013, 17(1):160-169.
- [137]Zhang Y J, Wang A D. Regional allocation of carbon emission quotas in China: Evidence from the Shapley value method[J]. *Energy Policy*, 2014, 74(C):454-464.
- [138]Zhou P, Ang B W, Han J Y. Total factor carbon emission performance: A Malmquist index analysis[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2010, 32 (1): 194-201.
- [139]Zhou P, Ang B W, Poh K L. A survey of data envelopment analysis in energy and environmental study[J]. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2008a, 189(1):1-18.
- [140]Zhou P, Ang B W, Poh K L. Measuring environmental performance under different environmental DEA technologies[J]. *Energy Economics*, 2008b, 30(1):1-14.
- [141]Zhou P, Ang B W, Wang H. Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emission performance in electricity generation: a non-radial directional distance function approach[J]. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2012, 221(3): 625-635.
- [142]Zhou P, Zhang L, Zhou D Q, et al. Modeling economic performance of interprovincial CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction quota trading in China[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2013, 112(16):1518-1528.

Degree papers are in the “[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)”.

Fulltexts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to [etd@xmu.edu.cn](mailto:etd@xmu.edu.cn) for delivery details.