84

民事诉讼鉴定意见证据运用问题研究

张

¥ 桂 芳

指导教师

朱泉鹰

副教授

厦门大学

分类号	密级

学 号: x2012120072

UDC	
UDU	

# 唇の大う

### 硕士学位论文

# 民事诉讼鉴定意见证据运用问题研究 Study on Evidence Application of Expert Opinion in Civil

Litigation

# 张桂芳

指导教师姓名:	朱泉鹰副教	授
专业名称:	法律硕	Ŧ
论文提交日期:	2015年 10	月
论文答辩时间:	2015 年	月
学位授予日期:	2015 年	月

答辩	委员会	主席 <b>:</b>	
评	阅	人:	

2015年10月

### 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下,独立完成的 研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表 的研究成果,均在文中以适当方式明确标明,并符合法律规 范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外,该学位论文为(
课题(组)的研究成果,获得(
)课题(组)
经费或实验室的资助,在(
)实验室完成。
(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称,

未有此项声明内容的,可以不作特别声明。)

声明人 (签名):

200 年 月 日

### 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等 规定保留和使用此学位论文,并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文(包 括纸质版和电子版),允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查 阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共 建单位数据库进行检索,将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版,采用影印、 缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于:

( )1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文,于年 月 日解密,解密后适用上述授权。

( )2.不保密,适用上述授权。

(请在以上相应括号内打"√"或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是 已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文,未经厦门大学保密委员会审 定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的,默认为公开学位论 文,均适用上述授权。)

> 声明人(签名): 200 年 月 日

#### 内容摘要

随着科学技术的讲步及案件难度的提升,司法鉴定在民事诉讼中起着越来 越重要的作用,其正确运用有助于查清案件事实,使法官正确适用法律,根据 尽可能接近的损失作出公正合理的判决,从而定纷止争,回应人民群众对司法 的新要求、新期待。在法律意识逐步提升的同时,鉴定结果的称谓也在不断地 更新和完善。2012年民事诉讼法出台后,鉴定意见的说法被广泛运用。法律还 以明文规定的形式确立了鉴定意见的证据属性,强调其作为一种证据必须经过 法庭的质证、认证方可发挥其证据效用。此外,法律还规定了鉴定人出庭制度 及专家辅助人制度。通过鉴定人或专家辅助人出庭作证,保障诉讼当事人平等 的诉讼权利和地位,也为法官采信鉴定意见提供依据和参考。尽管我国民事诉 讼法对鉴定的立法规定作了大幅度的修改,但相关的制度设计仍然不够完备, 缺乏相应的可操作性。纵观我国审判实务,鉴定意见在民事诉讼运用中仍然存 在着一些问题,影响了司法公正与效率。本文通过梳理鉴定意见在审判实践中 各个环节出现的问题,分六个章节进行研究。从鉴定意见的基本概念入手,以 审判实践中遇到的典型案例为出发点进而引出鉴定意见在司法实践运用中面临 的尴尬及存在的问题。通过分析比较两大法系对鉴定的相关规定,对鉴定意见 的启动,质证,认证等各个流程作细致规划和设想,同时对重新鉴定及鉴定费 问题进行解析,以期提高鉴定意见在审判实践中的采纳率,使鉴定意见在中国 特色的社会主义司法制度中发挥积极的作用。

关键词: 民事诉讼; 鉴定意见; 证据

HANNEL HANNEL

#### Abstract

As science and technology advances and case difficulty augments, judicial identification plays an increasingly important role in civil litigation. Correct identification application help check the case facts, enable the Judge to utilize law properly so as to make a reasonable decision in line with losses, terminate conflicts and respond to the public's new requirements and expectations for judicature. Since Civil Procedure Law was released in 2012, the term of expert opinion has been widely used. The law defines the evidential characteristics of expert opinion in clear articles, emphasizing that it must be cross-examined before it enters into force as an evidence. Besides, the law states the appearing in court regulation and expert subsidiary person regulation. Identifier or expert subsidiary person's witnessing in court guarantees litigants' equal litigation rights and status and provides evidence and reference for the judge to adopt expert opinion. Although Chinese Civil Procedure Law has greatly amended law-making stipulation, related system design is still not perfect and lacks of maneuverability. Viewed from our trial practice, there are still some problems of expert opinion application in civil litigation, which influences judicial justice and efficiency. This paper, which is divided into six chapters, reviews problems of expert opinion application occurred in each trial practice link. Starting from basic concepts and typical trial cases, it elaborates on dilemma and problems of expert opinion application in judicial practice. Analyzing and Comparing related regulations of two legal systems, and activation, cross-examination and identification of expert opinion, the paper explains detailed plans for each procedure and analyzes reidentification and identification cost issues to increase admissibility rate of expert opinion in actual trials and render expert opinion a much more positive role in judicial system with Chinese characteristics.

Key Words: Civil Litigation; Expert Opinion; Evidence.

HANNEL HANNEL

## 目 录

引言・	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••1
第一章	民事诉讼鉴定意见概述	2
	从"鉴定结论"到"鉴定意见"	
第二节	"鉴定结论"转变成"鉴定意见"的重大意义	
	适应时代的发展及观念的更新	4
	皮除了对鉴定结论的盲从	
	本现了程序公平	
	本现了司法鉴定制度的逐步完善	
第三节	鉴定意见的界定 ······	5
	鉴定与鉴定意见概述	
	鉴定意见的特征 ····································	
第四节	鉴定意见的证据属性	8
一、竖	鉴定意见的证据能力	
	鉴定意见的证据效力	9
第二章	法理追溯:鉴定意见作为证据的理论基石	10
第一节	认识论基础——人类认知能力的有限性	10
第二节	价值论基础——多元价值的冲突与衡平	11
第三节	社会学基础——社会分工的细化	12
第四节	自然科学基础——科学技术的发展	12
第三章	现实需求:我国民事审判中鉴定意见之运用现状…	14
第一节	鉴定程序的启动和鉴定机构的确定	15
第二节	鉴定意见的种类	16
第三节	鉴定意见的认可与采信情况	17
第四章	民事诉讼鉴定意见的困境及问题	18
第一节	鉴定意见在我国审判实践运用中面临的尴尬困境	

<i>—</i> ,	价值选择之冲突: 重新鉴定与否使法官面临维护弱者权益与自身办案	
	业绩考评难以统一 1	8
`,	权利主体之利益冲突: 各权利主体同时行使相关鉴定权利时面临着矛	
	盾	9
三、	鉴定成本与效益之冲突:鉴定费用导致诉讼成本与诉讼可期待利益之	
	间存在矛盾	9
第二十	ち 鉴定意见证据在实践运用中存在的问题	20
<i>—</i> ,	鉴定意见启动的前置程序不够审慎	20
<u> </u>		22
三,	鉴定意见的审查认证缺乏监督	4
	西大法系鉴定制度对我们的启示与借鉴	6
第一十	5 两大法系对鉴定制度的不同规定 ······2	6
	鉴定启动权不同	
`,	英美法系的专家证人与大陆法系的鉴定人选任不同	27
三、	诉讼地位和权利不同 2	7
四、	专家证人与鉴定人的出庭作证制度规定不同	7
五、	专家证言与鉴定人的鉴定结论可采信规定不同	8
六、	专家证人与鉴定人的法律责任规定不同	8
第二节	5 两大法系鉴定制度的规定对我国的启示 ····································	9
—,	专家证言或鉴定人的鉴定结论的可采信规定给我国的启示 2	9
Ξ,	专家证人或鉴定人出庭制度规定给我国的启示	9
三,	对专家证人或鉴定人责任的规定给我国的启示	0
第六章	: 细化规则:鉴定意见之诉讼证据运用的解围方法 ·······3	1
第一十	5 规范设置鉴定启动程序 ·······3	1
<i>—</i> ,	鉴定材料的确定与审查	1
<u> </u>	鉴定机构的选择权 3	2
三,	赋予当事人参与鉴定的相关权利,正确运用鉴定人回避制度 3	2
四、	严格鉴定申请的期限 3	3
五、	鉴定意见应满足基本的形式要件	3

第二节	5 完善鉴定意见的法庭质证程序 ····································	• 33
, - <u>-</u> , - <u>-</u>	完善鉴定意见庭审质证程序	• 33
二、九	加强管理,提高鉴定意见的司法公信力	• 36
第三节	ī 完善鉴定意见的认证程序 ·······	• 37
<u> </u>	完善鉴定意见证据能力认证规则	• 37
二、九	加强法官的证据审查责任及裁判结论的说理性	• 38
	鉴定意见的采信规则	• 39
第四节	5 完善重新鉴定程序	• 39
第五节	ī 鉴定费的负担 ·······	• 40
结·语·		41
		72

HANNEL HANNEL

#### Contents

Chapter 1	Review on Expert Opinion of Civil Litigation 2
Subchapter 1	From "Expert Conlcusion" to "Expert Opinion"
Subchapter 1 Subchapter 2	
Subenupter -	Opinion"
Section 1	Adapt to Development of Times and Innovation of Thinking4
Section 2	Eradicate Blind Obedience to Expert Conclusions4
Section 3	Embody Procedural Justice4
Section 4	Manifest Progressive Improvent in Judicial Identification System 5
Subchapter 3	Delimitation of Expert Conclusions5
Section 1	Introduction to Identification and Expert Opinion5
Section 2	Characteristics of Expert Opinion
Subchapter 4	Evidential Characteristics of Expert Opinion8
Section 1	Evidence Competency of Expert Opinion
Section 2	Evidence Potency of Expert Opinion9
Chapter 2	Legal Origin: Theorectical Basis for Expert Opinion as
	Evidence
Subchapter 1	Epistemological Foundation-Limitation of Human Cognitive
17	Competence 10
Subchapter 2	Axiological Foundation-Conflicts and balance of Diversified
	Values ····· 11
Subchapter 3	Sociological Foundation-Refined Social Labor Division 12
Subchapter 4	Natural Scientific Foundation-Development of Science and
	Technology······ 12
Chapter 3	<b>Practical Need: Application Situation of Expert Opinion</b>

	in Chinese Civil Jurisdiction14
Subchapter 1	Activation of Identification Procedures and Confirmation of
	Identification Institute 15
Subchapter 2	Categories of Expert Opinion16
Subchapter 3	Parties Involved and the Court's Recognition and Admissibility
	of Expert Opinion ······ 17
Chapter 4	Difficulties and Problems in Application of Expert
	Oinion in Civil Trials18
Subchapter 1	Dilemma of Expert Opinion Application in Civil Trials 18
Section 1	Conflicts of Value Selection: Reidentifying or not Leading to Judge's
	dilemma between Safeguarding the Weak's Legal Rights and
	maintaining Case Solving Performance 18
Section 2	Interest Conflicts among Subjects of Rights: Contradictions
	generated while Subjects of Rights Excerting Related
	Identification Rights Simultaneously 19
Section 3	Conflict between Identification Cost and Benefit: Indentification
	Cost Leading to Conflict between Litigation Cost and Expected
	Interest 19
Subchapter 2	Problems in Expert Opinion Application
Section 1	Lack of Deliberation in Prepositive Procedures for Expert Opinion
	Activation
Section 2	Partiality and Superficiality of Cross Examination of Expert Opinion
Section 3	Lack of Supervision in Evaluation of Expert Opinion ••••••••••24
Chapter 5	Inspirations and Highlights of Two Legal Identification
	Systems ·····26
Subchapter 1	Differnent Regulations for Identification Systems in Two
	Genealogies of Law ····· 26

Section 1	Different Identification Activation Rights
Section 2	Different Methods for Selecting Expert Witnesses in Anglo-american
	Law System and Identifiers in Continental Law System 27
Section 3	Different Litigation Status and Rights
Section 4	Different Regulations for Expert Witness and Identifier Witnessing
	in Court
Section 5	Different Regulations for Admissibility of Expert Testimony and
	Expert Conclusion
Section 6	Different Legal Liabilities of Expert Witness and Identifier
Subchapter 2	Inspirations of Two Identification Systems ······ 29
Section 1	Inspirations of Regulations for Ammissibility of Expert Testimony or
	Expert Conclusion of Identifiers 29
Section 2	Inspirations of Regulations for Expert Witness or Identifier
	Appearing in Court
Section 3	Inspirations of Regulations for Expert Witness or Identifier
	Liabilities
Chapter 6	Liabilities       30         Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of
Chapter 6	
	<b>Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of</b>
	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence
Subchapter 1	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application ofExpert Opinion as Litigation Evidence
Subchapter 1 Section 1	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of         Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of         Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence         Standarize Identification Activation Procedures         31         Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials         31         Selection of Identification Institutes
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application ofExpert Opinion as Litigation Evidence
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2 Section 3	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of         Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence         Standarize Identification Activation Procedures         31         Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials         32         Bestow Parties Involved with Related Identification Rights and         Utilize Identifier Challenge System Accurately         32
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2 Section 3 Section 4	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of         Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence         31         Standarize Identification Activation Procedures         31         Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials         31         Selection of Identification Institutes         32         Bestow Parties Involved with Related Identification Rights and         Utilize Identifier Challenge System Accurately         32         Strictly Check Application Time Limit
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2 Section 3 Section 4 Section 5	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application of         Expert Opinion as Litigation Evidence         31         Standarize Identification Activation Procedures         31         Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials         31         Selection of Identification Institutes         32         Bestow Parties Involved with Related Identification Rights and         Utilize Identifier Challenge System Accurately         32         Strictly Check Application Time Limit         33         Expert Opinion Shall Meet Basic Formal Requirements
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2 Section 3 Section 4 Section 5	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application ofExpert Opinion as Litigation EvidenceStandarize Identification Activation Procedures31Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials31Selection of Identification Institutes32Bestow Parties Involved with Related Identification Rights andUtilize Identifier Challenge System Accurately32Strictly Check Application Time Limit33Expert Opinion Shall Meet Basic Formal Requirements33Improve Court Cross-examination Procedures for Expert
Subchapter 1 Section 1 Section 2 Section 3 Section 4 Section 5 Subchapter 2	Regulation Refinement: Solutions for Application ofExpert Opinion as Litigation EvidenceStandarize Identification Activation Procedures31Confirmation and Evaluation of Identification Materials31Selection of Identification Institutes32Bestow Parties Involved with Related Identification Rights andUtilize Identifier Challenge System Accurately32Strictly Check Application Time Limit33Expert Opinion Shall Meet Basic Formal Requirements33Improve Court Cross-examination Procedures for ExpertOpinion33

	Opinion······ 36
Subchapter 3	Improve Verification Procedures of Expert Opinion 37
Section 1	Perfect Evidential Competence Verfication Rules for Expert Opinion37
Section 2	Strengthen the Judge's Evidence Evaluation Liabilities and
	Rationality of Arbitration Conclusion
Section 3	Rules for Ammissibility of Expert Opinion
Subchapter 4	Improve Reidentification Procedures 39
Subchapter 5	Identification Cost Responsibility 40
<b>Conclusion</b> ·	41
Piblicgraph	y42

#### 引 言

随着我国民主法治建设的发展以及诉讼结构的调整和诉讼理念的转变,司 法鉴定在人们诉讼活动中发挥着越来越重要的作用,成为诉讼中不可或缺的科 技辅助手段。以笔者所在地法院审管办数据统计,2013年,云霄县人民法院受 理司法鉴定案件59起,2014年达77起,今年1-9月份,该院已受理82起,呈 现逐年上升的趋势。司法鉴定的发展也促进了其自身制度的逐步完善,鉴定意 见的提出适应了时代的发展要求,反映了一定时代下人们对科学认识的能力和 水平,这无疑是社会发展及人们意识提高的重要体现。但一项制度的提出需要 有强有力的制度措施来保障,只有这样,该制度才能顺利实施,从而彰显其价 值和作用。而我国目前鉴定意见立法的不完善严重制约了这一制度的发展,实 践中存在着鉴定启动缺乏制约,鉴定材料的确认和移送不受重视;鉴定人管理 有待提高;鉴定意见质证流于形式,鉴定人及专家辅助人出庭率不高;法官自 由心证裁量权大、鉴定费用高等问题,影响了鉴定意见的有效运用,更影响了 司法权威、效率与公正。如何解决这些问题,进而确保鉴定意见有效运用,切 实推动民事鉴定制度的完善是本文欲解决的问题。 Degree papers are in the "Xiamen University Electronic Theses and

Dissertations Database".

Fulltexts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on

http://etd.calis.edu.cn/ and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary

loan department in your library.

2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn

for delivery details.