MAGNETIC STUDIES ON NICKEL IONS IN CRYSTALS

BY A. MOOKHERJI

ABSTRACT. Measurements have been made of the anisotropies and the principal magnetic susceptibilities of a number of crystalline nickel salts. With the help of these measurements and the available X-ray data for these crystals, the contributions of the constituent paramagnetic units have been worked out. The constants of the crystalline electric field have been calculated on the basis of the theory of Van Vleck, Penney and Schlapp and the relative contributions of the different terms in the theoretical expressions derived according to their theory, to the effective magnetic moments in different directions of the paramagnetic units have been discussed. Finally the magnetic anisotropy has been discussed in relation to the Stark splitting due to the crystalline electric field.

INTRODUCTION

Penney and Schlapp (1932) have explained the observed deviations from the free-ion behaviour of the effective magnetic moments of the Ni⁺⁺ ions in crystals attributing these deviations to the influence of strong and asymmetric crystalline fields in the neighbourhood of the paramagnetic ion. Their calculations are based on the assumption that the axes of the crystalline fields acting on the Ni⁺⁺ ions in the crystals are coincident. Speaking in relations to the paramagnetic groups in the unit cell of the crystals, this is equivalent to assuming that all such paramagnetic groups in the unit cell of the crystal are oriented parallel to each other. Consequently the observed crystal anisotropy represents the anisotropy of the individual paramagnetic units in the unit cell. But X-ray studies on the fine structure of some of the nickel salts show that it is not true. The different paramagnetic groups in the unit cell of the crystal are oriented relative to one another in such a manner as to build up the symmetry of the unit cell from those of the individual units. Hence the crystal anisotropy is only the average effect of the different groups in the unit cell although the mean of the principal susceptibilities remains unaffected by it.

In this communication, the results of magnetic measurements on single crystal of some of the nickel salts, for which fine structure study is available, are correlated with the paramagnetic groups in the unit cell of the crystal and then discussed in the light of the theory of Penney and Schlapp.

EXPERIMENTAL

Cobalt-free nickel salts of reagent quality were used and crystals were grown out of aqueous solutions.

The magnetic anisotropy was measured by the rotational method of Krishnan and Banerjee (1934) and the absolute susceptibility along some convenient direction in the crystal was measured by the balancing method of the

2-1629P-1

2

.

$Temperature = 30^{\circ}C$	2
-----------------------------	---

Crystal	Crystallographic data	Made of Suspension	Orientation in the field	$\Delta \chi$	Magnetic Anisotropy
NiSO4.6H2O	Telragonal D;; Z=4 a=b=9.6 Å c=18.3 Å	Tetrag. ax horiz.	Tetrag. ax. normal to the field	83	$\chi_1 - \chi_{11} = 83$
NiSO4.7H2O	Rhombic V_4 ; Z = 4 a = 11.8 Å b = 12.0Å c = 6.8 Å	'c'ax. vert. 'a',, ,, 'b',, ,,	'a' ax along field 'c' ,, ', ', ', 'a' ,, ,, ,, ,,	163 124 33·5	$\chi_{*} - \chi_{b} = 163$ $\chi_{*} - \chi_{b} = 124$ Cal. $\Delta \chi = 39$
Ni (CH ₃ COO) ₂ .4H ₂ O	Moncl. prism $C_{14}^{5}; Z = 2$ a = 8.49 Å b = 11 77, c = 4.87, $\beta = 93^{\circ} 25'$	'b' ax. vert. 'c' ,, ', 'b' and 'c' ax e s horiz.	ψ=136 7 'b' ax. normal field 'b' ,, ,, ,,	234) 24) 78	$x_1 - x_2 = 234$ $x_1 - x_3 = 167$ Cal. $\psi = 38^{\circ}.5$
Co(CH₃COO) ₂ .4H ₂ O	Moncl. prism a: b: c=.718: 1: 4028 $\beta = 94^{\circ} 23'$	'b' ax. vert. 'c' ,, ,, 'b' and 'c' axes horiz.	$\psi = -8.2$ 'b' ax. along field 'b' ,, ,, ,,	5,420) 2,806) 2,374	$x_1 - x_2 = 5,420$ $x_1 - x_3 = 2,494$ Cal. $\psi = -8^{\circ}.5$

Crystal	Direction along which sus. was measured	Temperature °C	Density of the crystal	Vol. susceptibility	Corresponding gm. mol. susceptibility	Mean susceptibility at 30°C	Square of the effective moments #at 30°C	
NiSO4.6H2O	Along χ_1 axis	30 .0	2.080	32.17	4,068	4,040	$\mu_1^* = 10.19$ $\mu_1^* = 10.02$ $\mu_2^* = 10.13$	
NiSO4.7H2O	Aiong 'a' axis	30.0	1.972	28.91	4,117	4,050	$\mu_{a}^{2} = 11.53$ $\mu_{b}^{2} = 9.997$ $\mu_{c}^{2} = 10.30$ $\mu^{2} = 10.61$	
Ni(CH3COO)2.4H2O	Along χ_1 axis	28.8	I.749	31.7	4,510	4 . 350	$\mu_1^2 = 11.04 \mu_2^2 = 10.74 \mu_3^2 = 12.88 \mu^2 = 10.89 $	
C ə(CH ₂ C OO) ₃ 4H ₂ O	Along 'b' axis	29.2	1.720	74 5	\$0,8∞0	10,630	$\mu_1^2 = 28.37$ $\mu_2^2 = 15.11$ $\mu_3^2 = 22.26$ $\mu^2 = 21.91$	

TABLE II

2

same workers. These two measurements when combined give the three principal susceptibilities in the crystal.

RESULTS

The results of measurements are collected in Tables I and II and expresscd in the usual units (10⁶ c.g.s. unit). χ_{π} represents the gram molecular susceptibility along the axis of symmetry for tetragonal crystals and χ_{1} that along directions normal to it. χ_{a} , χ_{b} and χ_{c} represents the gram molecular susceptibilities along the three crystallographic axes of a rhombic crystal; for monoclinic crystals χ_{a} represents the gram molecular susceptibility along 'b' axis while greater of the two in the (010) plane is represented by χ_{1} and the smaller by χ_{2} ; ψ is the angle which the 'c' crystallographic axis makes with χ_{1} -axis.

Effective magnetic moments of the crystals, μ_i , are calculated by the expression $\mu_i = 2.84 \sqrt{\chi'_i T}$, where χ'_i are the susceptibilities corrected for diamagnetism (both for cation and anion) and i=1, 2 and 3 or \parallel and \perp . The diamagnetism for Ni⁺⁺ and Co⁺⁺ ions were calculated to be -17.3×10^{-6} and -18.3×10^{-6} respectively by the method of Slater as modified by Angus (1932). The following diamagnetic corrections were adopted for different groups as given by Stoner (1935):

SO ₄ CH ₃ (Cl	H ₂ O	
- 33.6	- 28.6	- 13.0

MAGNETIC ANISOTROPIES OF CRYSTALS IN RELATION TO THE ANISOTROPIES OF THE CONSTITUENT PARAMAGNETIC UNITS

The results obtained in previous sections are discussed in this section in relation to X-ray data of the fine structure of the crystals.

 $NiSO_4.6H_2O.$ —This crystal has been analysed by X-ray methods by Beevers and Lipson (1932). It is assigned a space group D_4^4 with four molecules in the unit cell. They find that the six water molecules group round the metal ion and lie at the corners of an octahedron. Four of them form a square about Ni⁺⁺ ion and the remaining two lie centrally above and below the square. There are four such groups in the unit cell. The distance (NiO₁) of oxygen atoms lying centrally above and below the square from the Ni⁺⁺ ion is 2.02 Å. While the distance (NiO₁₁) of oxygen atoms in the plane from the Ni⁺⁺ ion is 2.04 Å.

Let the gram molecular susceptibility along (NiO₁) be represented by K₁ and along (NiO₂) be represented by K₂. Evidently K₁ is greater than K₂. The inclination (γ) of K₂-axis to 'c' crystallographic axis from X-ray data is 45.8.

Hence
$$K_1 - K_n = \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_1}{1 - \frac{2}{5} \sin^2 45.8}$$

using the values of $(\chi_1 - \chi_n)$ and $\overline{\chi}$ from Tables I and II, we obtain

$$K_{L} - K_{H} = 311$$

 $K = 4,040$ × 10⁻⁶ at 30°C.

Therefore the anisotropy of the paramagnetic unit $(\Delta K/K)$ is .077. The corresponding squares of the effective magnetic moments are

$$n_{\pi}^2 = 9.68, \quad n^2 = 10.58, \quad \overline{n^2} = 10.23.$$

 $Ni(CH_3COO)_{2.4}H_2O$.—This crystal has been studied by X-ray methods by Hull (1934). Its space group is C_{2h}^{5} with two melecules in the unit cell. If the distribution of oxygen atoms about the metal ion is taken to be the same as in NiSO₄. 6H₂O, *i.e.*, four oxygens contributed by four water molecules forming a square about Ni⁺⁺ ion and the other two contributed by two acetate groups lie centrally above and below the square, but at a smaller distance from the Ni⁺⁺ ion than the other four oxygen atoms, one can calculate the anisotropy of the individual paramagnetic unit in the unit cell of the crystal in the following manner—

Let Z_1 and Z_2 represent the axes of the symmetry of the two units, (010) plane is the symmetry plane of the crystal, so the principal magnetic axes of one of the units is obtained from those of the other by reflection on the (010) plane. Since the paramagnetic unit possesses uniaxial magnetic symmetry, χ_1 will be the direction normal to the plane containing Z_1 and Z_2 axes and χ_2 will be the internal bisector of the angle (2ψ) between Z_1 and Z_2 . Therefore

$$\chi_{1} = K_{*}, \quad \chi_{2} = K_{*} \cos^{2}\psi + K_{1}\sin^{2}\psi, \quad \chi_{3} = K_{*}\sin^{2}\psi + K_{1}\cos^{2}\psi, \\ \cos^{2}\psi = \frac{\chi_{3} - \chi_{2}}{K_{1} - K_{*}} \qquad (1)$$

and $\frac{1}{3} (\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3) = \frac{1}{3} (K_* + 2K_1)$

Using the experimental values from Tables ! and II we get

$$K_{1}-K_{*} = 401 \\ K = 4,350 \\ 2\psi = 80.4$$
 × 10⁻⁶ at 30°C.

Thus the anisotropy of the paramagnetic unit is .092. The corresponding squares of the effective moments are

$$n_1^2 = 10.28, \quad n_1^2 = 11.27, \quad n_2^2 = 10.94.$$

 $NiSO_4$ 7H₂O.--The fine structure study of this crystal by Beevers and Schwartz (1935) assigns it the space group V₄ with four molecules in the unit cell. The disposition of water molecules about Ni⁺⁺ ion is the same as NiSO₄.6H₂O, *i.e.* six oxygens at the corners of an octahedron with Ni⁺⁺ ion at the centre. The seventh water molecule does not come in contact with the metal ion. Four out of the six oxygen atoms form a square with Ni⁺⁺ ion at the

centre, the other two are situated centrally above and below the square. The average distance (NiO_1) of oxygen atoms in the plane from the Ni⁺⁺ ion namely 2.01Å is smaller than the average distance (NiO_{11}) namely 2.08Å of the other two oxygens from Ni⁺⁺ ion. Hence K₀, gram molecular susceptibility along the axis of symmetry of the group should be greater than K₁, gram molecular susceptibility for directions in the plane of the square.

If α , β and γ are the inclinations of K₁ axis to the *a*, *b* and *c* crystallographic axes then we have

$$K_{\mu} - K_{I} = \frac{\chi_{b} - \chi_{a}}{\cos^{2}\alpha - \cos^{2}\beta}$$

and also

$$K_{\mu} - K_{I} = \frac{\chi_{a} - \chi_{c}}{\cos^{2}\alpha - \cos^{2}\gamma}$$

The values of α , β and γ from X-ray data are 73.5°, 32.8° and 62.0° degrees respectively. These together with the data from Tables I and II give

$$\frac{K_{1}-K_{\mu}}{K} = \frac{258}{4} \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 30^{\circ}\text{C}.$$

Hence the anisotropy of the paramagnetic unit in NiSO₄.7H₂O is .064.

The above value of ΔK shows that K₁ is greater than K₁. Though it agrees well with that of NiSO₄.6H₂O but disagrees with the suggestions from X-ray data of interatomic distances in NiSO₄,7H₂O. Since slight variations, of the coordinates of Ni⁺⁺ ion and oxygens cause a great alteration in the nickel-oxygen distances, and since such variations are not improbable this disagreement of magnetic data with the proposed structure of the crystal by X-ray method is not a serious one.

The squares of the effective moments of the unit are

$$n_{\parallel}^2 = 0.67, \quad n_1^2 = 10.57, \quad \overline{n^2} = 10.24.$$

Nickel Tutton Salts.—Krishnan and the present author (1937) made measurements on a large number of nickel tutton salts at room temperature. If the distribution of the oxygen atoms about the Ni⁺⁺ ion is the same as in NiSO₄.6H₂O and NiSO₄.7H₂O which will be presumably so, then the anisotropy of the paramagnetic units of the crystal, and their relative orientations (2ψ) of the groups can be calculated by using equations (1) since the crystals are all monoclinic and contains two molecules in the unit cell (Hofmann, 1931). The following table gives the calculated values of $(K_1 - K_n)$ and 2ψ .

It is seen from Table (III) that Ni(CH₃COO)_{2.4}H₂O has the highest percentage anisotropy ($\Delta K/K \times 100$), *i.e.*, 9.2% while the percentage anisotropy for single sulplates and the tutton salts vary from 7.3% to 5.1%.

Crystal.	K • - K	K	2ψ	$\frac{\Delta K}{K} = \text{Anisotropy.}$
$\begin{array}{l} NiRb_2(SO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiCs_2(SO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiTl_2(SO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiTl_4(SO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiNH_4)_2(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiRb_2(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiCs_2(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiCs_2(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiTl_2(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiTl_4(SeO_4)_{2:}6H_2O\\ NiSO_4,6H_2O\\ NiSO_4,7H_2O\\ NiSO_4,7H_2O\\ Ni(CH_3COO)_{2:}4HO\\ \end{array}$	281 261 222 212 292 307 290 236 213 311 258 401	4,080 4,080 4,120 4,160 4,150 4,160 4,150 4,10 4,050 4,050 4,350	88.5 88.5 88.4 84.8 50 88.1 84.4 87.6 87.6 87.6 87.6	.069 .064 .055 .051 .07 .073 .072 .057 .053 .077 .053 .077 .064 .092

TABLE III

Temperature 30°C

CONSTANT OF THE CRYSTAL FIELD

The ground state of the Ni⁺⁺ ion is ${}^{3}F_{4}$, the overall multiplet separation according to Laporte (1928) is 2347 cm⁻¹. Under the influence of a cubic field ${}^{3}F_{4}$ level splits up into a single and two triplet levels. In case of Ni⁺⁺ ion with positive D in equation (2) the single level lies lowest as is shown in figure (1). If now the spin and its coupling to the orbits is taken into account further splitting takes place. Penney and Schlapp (1932) have worked out the theoretical expressions for the principal magnetic moments of Ni⁺⁺ ions in crystals assuming for simplicity that all the paramagnetic groups in the unit cell of the crystal are oriented parallel to one another. Consequently their expressions refer to magnetic moments in the three directions of the paramagnetic unit.

The Ni⁺⁺ ion is considered to be subjected to an electric field which is predominantly cubic on which is superimposed a weak rhombic field. The axes of the cubic and rhombic fields are taken coincident. The potential of the field in the neighbourhood of the paramagnetic ion is given by

$$\phi = D(x^4 + y^4 + z^4) + Ax^2 + By^2 - (A + B)z^2 \qquad \dots \qquad (2)$$

Since all the paramagnetic groups are taken as oriented parallel to one another, the squares of the effective magnetic moments, n_1^2 , n_2^2 and n_3^2 of the paramagnetic unit along the z, y, and x-axes of the crystal field have the expressions—

$$n_{1}^{2} = 8 \left[\left\{ \mathbf{I} + 8\lambda\alpha_{1} + \frac{\theta_{1}}{k'\Gamma} + \dots \right\} - 3k'\Gamma\alpha_{1} \right]$$

$$n_{2}^{2} = 8 \left[\left\{ \mathbf{I} + 8\lambda\alpha_{2} + \frac{\theta_{2}}{k\Gamma} + \dots \right\} - 3k'\Gamma\alpha_{2} \right]$$

$$n_{3}^{2} = 8 \left[\left\{ \mathbf{I} + 8\lambda\alpha_{3} + \frac{\theta_{3}}{k\Gamma} + \dots \right\} - 3k'\Gamma\alpha_{3} \right]$$

$$\dots (3)$$

where λ is the coefficient of spin-orbit coupling and is equal to 335 cm⁻¹ for Ni⁺⁺ ion.

$$\theta_1 = 2/3\lambda^2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_1)$$

$$\theta_2 = 2/3\lambda^2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_2)$$

$$\theta_3 = 2/3\lambda^2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3)$$

and α_1 , α_2 and α_3 are constants of the crystal field. They are connected with D, coefficient of the rhombic field in the following manner—

$$(\mathbf{18D}q_0 - \mathbf{1}_2\sigma) \left(\mathbf{10D}q_0 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\alpha_1}\right) = 60\delta^2$$

$$(\mathbf{18D}q_0 - 6\sigma - 6\delta) \left(\mathbf{10D}q_0 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\alpha_2}\right) = \mathbf{15}(3\sigma + \delta)^2$$

$$(\mathbf{18D}q_0 - 6\sigma - 6\delta) \left(\mathbf{10D}q_0 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\alpha_3}\right) = \mathbf{15}(3\sigma - \delta)^2$$

$$(\mathbf{18D}q_0 - 6\sigma - 6\delta) \left(\mathbf{10D}q_0 + \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\alpha_3}\right) = \mathbf{15}(3\sigma - \delta)^2$$

where $\sigma = a(A+B)/2$ and $\delta = a(A-B)/2$, q_0 and a are the ratio's of the matrix elements of actual system with eight electrons to those for one electron system for cubic and rhombic field respectively.

Hence it is possible to calculate Dq_0 , aA and aB from a knowledge of α 's, which are calculated from the magnetic measurements by the help of the following equations. These equations are obtained directly from (3)

$$\frac{\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}}{n_{1}^{2} - n_{2}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{3}}{n_{1}^{2} - n_{3}^{2}} = \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{1}{8\lambda - \frac{2\lambda^{2}}{k'T} - 3k'T} \right]$$
$$\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} = \frac{3}{8} \left[\frac{n^{2} - 8}{8\lambda - 3kT} \right].$$

and

Table IV gives the values of Dq_0 , aA and aB for the three crystals NiSO₄.6H₂O, NiSO₄.7H₂O and Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O for which fine structure data are available. n_1^2 , n_2^2 and n_3^2 are taken to correspond n_{\parallel} , n_1 and n_1 for the paramagnetic unit, which possesses an approximate axis of symmetry.

TABLE IV

Crystal	n 4	n1 3	<u>11</u> 2	α ₁ × 10 ⁻⁵ cm ⁻¹	$a_2 = a_3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-1}$	Dg ₀	aA	аB	$\frac{\Delta K}{K} \times 100$
NiSO ₄ 6HO	9.68	10.51	10.23	-6.9	-9.2	1449	922	922	7.7
NiSO ₄ .7H2O	9.67	10.52	10.24	-6.83	-9 25	1464	926	926	64
Ni(CH3COO)2.4H2O	10.28	11.27	10.94	-9.24	-12.03	1087	670	670	92

 n_n and n_1 represents the effective magnetic moments of the paramagnetic unit when the magnetic field is applied along and perpendicular to the symmetry axis of the unit and n is the corresponding mean moment. It is very satisfactory that Dq_0 , aA and aB are very nearly equal in the two salts NiSO₄.6H₂O and NiSO₄.7H₂O. Dq_0 which determines the size of the octahedron of water molecules about the Ni⁺⁺ ion is almost of the same size in the above two salts but considerably greater in Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O.

RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE DIFFERENT TERMS TO THE EFFECTIVE MAGNETIC MOMENTS IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS OF THE PARAMAGNETIC UNIT

Equations (3) shows that in any direction the square of the effective magnetic moment consists of terms which are independent of temperature and terms which vary with temperature. For the three salts namely $NiSO_4.6H_2O$ and $NiSO_4$. $7H_2O$ and $Ni(CH_3OO)_{2.4}H_2O$ the contributions by the various terms are shown in Table V.

	Contributio	on to n_{\parallel}^{2}	by	Contribution to n_1^2 by			
Crystal	Temperature in- dependent term.	Temperature dependent term.		Temperature in- dependent term.	Temparature dependent term.		
	8+64xa1	24k'l`a	8 0 1/kT	8+642a3	24kTa2	8 02 /kT	
NiSO ₄ . 6H ₂ O	8+1.479	.3488	1296	8+1.972	.4688	+.0647	
NiSO ₄ . 7H ₂ O	8+1.464	.3458	1373	8+1.983	.4688	+.0686	
Ni (CH ₃ COO) ₂ 4H ₃ O	8+1.972	.4 6 88	1592	2+8.577	.6112	+.0796	

· · · · ·	-	- 17
	. ม เ เ	2 V/
		. v

It will be seen from the above table that the temperature-dependent term consists of two parts; one part varying directly with temperature while the other inversely as the temperature. At room temperature $(i.e., 30^{\circ}C)$ the term varying inversely as temperature is alomost negligible. It does not contribute anything to the mean effective moment. The magnetic moment along the axis of symmetry of the paramagnetic unit is opposed by it, while it helps moments for directions normal to the axis of symmetry. The temperatureindependent term greatly predominates over the contributions by other terms. The contribution of the term varying directly as the temperature is only 3 to 4% of the contribution of the term independent of temperature. The contribution of the spin-orbit interaction between the lowest and the upper components of the ground-term is only 16 to 20% of the spin-only value 8.

STARK SPLITTING AND MAGNETIC ANISOTROPY

If the crystalline field acting on the Ni⁺⁺ ion is purely cubic, then Dq_0 which is proportional to the Stark-splitting by the cubic field is given by

$$Dq_0 = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{-\frac{3kT - 8\lambda}{n^2 - 8}}{\frac{-8\lambda}{n^2 - 8}} \right)$$

3-1629P-1

For the three salts $NiSO_4.6H_2O$, $NiSO_4.7H_2O$ and $Ni(CH_3COO)_2.4H_2O$ these values are 1189, 1184 and 1044 cm⁻¹ respectively. The presence of rhombic terms raises these values as shown in Table IV.

The cubic part of the field determines to a first approximation the mean square of the effective magnetic moment n^* , *i.e.* its deviations from the free ion value and that the rhombic part have very little effect on n^* though the whole of the anisotropy is due to it. For Ni⁺⁺ ions the cubic splitting also influences the percentage anisotropy to a certain degree. For according to Van Vleck (1932), the magnetic anisotropy of Stark level for Ni⁺⁺ ion with positive D as shown in figure (1) exists only by virtue of the difference between the frequencies ν (ab), $\nu(ac)$ and $\nu(ad)$ or between $\nu(ac)$, $\nu(af)$ and $\nu(ag)$.

Since the rhombic separation is small in comparison with cubic separation the percentage anisotropy should be small. The following table gives the splitting by the two fields.

Crystal	`Overall Cubic splitting in cm ⁻¹	Overall Rhombic splitting of Level 3 (approx) in cm ⁻¹	$\frac{\Delta K}{K} \times 100$					
NiSO,.6H,O NiSO,.7H,O Ni (CH,COOO),.4H.O	26,100 26,350 23,160	7,250 7,320 6.400	7·4 6.4					
	43,100	0,400	9.4					







18



Stark pattern of Co⁺⁺ ion with positive D. FIG, 2

As will be seen from Table VI the paramagnetic unit in the salt Ni(CH₃COO)₂₋₄H₂O has the least cubic and rhombic splitting but its anisotropy is the highest of the three, indeed it is the highest of all the nickel salts studied so far (Krishnan and Mookherji, 1937). If the rhombic splitting in the three crystals be the same, the less cubic splitting in Ni(CH₈COO)_{2.4}H₂O makes the percentage difference between the frequencies v(ab), v(ac) and v(ad) or between v(ac), v(af) and v(ag) more and hence % anisotropy is also more. As a result of this the general impression is that the more the rhombic splitting the more will be the anisotropy of the paramagnetic unit will not be true for Ni⁺⁺ ions, but will be true for Co⁺⁺ ions. The Stark patterns of Co⁺⁺ ion with positive D as shown in figure 2 is nothing but upside down of the Stark patterns for Ni⁺⁺ ion with positive D. The anisotropy of 'g' in Fig. 2 exists by virtue of the difference between the frequencies v(gf) and v(ge), 'g' being the ground state. The overall rhombic splitting in case of Co(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂.6H₂O as calculated by Penny and Schlapp (1932) with a field 20 (x^2-z^2) is 480 cm⁻¹, hence the percentage anisotropy in Co⁺⁺ ion will be very high, which will be solely influenced by the rhombic splitting alone. In case of Co⁺⁺ ions in crystals rhombic splitting will produce not only all the anisotropy but also will bring down n^2 .

For comparison with Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O we have studied a cobalt salt namely $C_0(CH_3COO)_{2.4}H_2O$. In the paramagnetic unit of this salt whether

 K_{*} is greater or smaller than K_{1} , the percentage anisotropy will not vary much from 76% which is the highest of all the cobalt salts studied so far (Krishnan and Mookherji *loc. cit.*). Rhombic splitting seem to be very high in this salt. Taking the same distributions of oxygen atoms about Co⁺⁺ ion as in Ni(CH₃COO)_{2.4}H₂O and taking K_{1} greater than K_{*} one can calculate ($K_{1}-K_{*}$) since the crystal is monoclinic and contains two molecules in the unit cell (Hull, 1934). The magnetic constants of the paramagnetic unit are

$$\frac{K_{1}-K_{1}=7890}{K_{1}=10,630} \right\} \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 30^{\circ}\text{C}.$$

and the corresponding squares of the magnetic moments are

 n^2

$$= 13.4, n_1^2 = 32.8, n = 26.3,$$

which approximately fit with a rhombic field $200 (x^2 - z^2)$ giving a splitting of 2,400 cm⁻¹. Hence it would be very interesting to study this crystal at low temperatures and see whether the experimental results agree with those calculated by Penny and Schlapp with $Dq_0 = 12.00 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and a rhombic field $200(x^2 - z^2)$.

In conclusion the author wishes to express his thanks to the Committee of Management of the Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science for the facilities of the Laboratory, where the experimental works were carried out and also to Professor K. Banerjee, D.Sc., F.N.I. for his interest in the work. Thanks are also due to Mr. R. K. Sen, M.Sc., for his valuable discussions.

BIRLA COLLEGE, PILANI, JAIPUR STATE.

REFERENCES

Angus, W. R. (1932), Proc. Roy. Soc., A., 136, 569.
Beevers, C. A. and Lipson, H. (1932), Z. Kristallo., 83, 123.
Beevers, C. A. and Schwartz (1935), ,, ,, 91, 157.
Ho^fmann (1931), Z. Kristallo, 78A, 279.
Hull (1934), Phys. Rev., 46, 329.
Krishnan, K. S. and Banerji, S. (1934), Philos. Trans., 234A, 265.
Krishnan, K. S. and Mookherji, A. (1937), Philos. Trans., 237A, 135.
Laporte, O. (1928), Zeit fur Physik., 47, 761,
Penney, W. G. and Schlapp, R. (1934), Phys. Rev., 42, 666.
Stoner, E. C. (1935), Magnetism and Matter, 470 (1937).
Van Vleck, J. H. (1932), Phys. Rev., 41, 208.