



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Department of Medicine

Department of Medicine

March 2016

Sepsis management: An evidence-based approach.

Muhammad Akbar Baig
Aga Khan University

Hira Shahzad
Aga Khan University

Bushra Jamil
Aga Khan University, bushra.jamil@aku.edu

Erfan Hussain
Aga Khan University, erfan.hussain@aku.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_med

 Part of the [Infectious Disease Commons](#), and the [Pulmonology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Baig, M. A., Shahzad, H., Jamil, B., Hussain, E. (2016). Sepsis management: An evidence-based approach.. *JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 66(3), 337-338.

Available at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_med_med/445

Sepsis management: An evidence-based approach

Muhammad Akbar Baig,¹ Hira Shahzad,² Bushra Jamil,³ Erfan Hussain⁴

Abstract

The Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guidelines have outlined an early goal directed therapy (EGDT) which demonstrates a standardized approach to ensure prompt and effective management of sepsis. Having said that, there are barriers associated with the application of evidence-based practice, which often lead to an overall poorer adherence to guidelines. Considering the global burden of disease, data from low- to middle-income countries is scarce. Asia is the largest continent but most Asian countries do not have a well-developed healthcare system and compliance rates to resuscitation and management bundles are as low as 7.6% and 3.5%, respectively. Intensive care units are not adequately equipped and financial concerns limit implementation of expensive treatment strategies. Healthcare policy-makers should be notified in order to alleviate financial restrictions and ensure delivery of standard care to septic patients.

Keywords: Sepsis in Asia, Early Goal Directed therapy (EGDT), Sepsis resuscitation bundle, Sepsis management bundle.

For a busy emergency physician/intensivist who deals with the unstable haemodynamics of a septic patient, the early hours of treatment are crucial. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guidelines have outlined an early goal directed therapy (EGDT) which demonstrates a standardized approach to ensure prompt and effective management of sepsis.¹ In the wake of recent evidence provided by the Protocol-based Care for Early Septic Shock (ProCESS), the Australasian Resuscitation in Sepsis Evaluation (ARISE), and the Protocolised Management in Sepsis (ProMise) trials challenging the usefulness of EGDT, the SSC guidelines committee is currently revising its policies.²⁻⁴ However, the importance of efficient

management during the first six hours of presentation has been reinstated. Compliance with SSC performance bundles has been previously associated with a 25% relative risk reduction in mortality rate, as well as shortened ICU and hospital stays.⁵ Having said that, there are barriers associated with the application of evidence-based practice, which often lead to an overall poorer adherence to guidelines.

Considering the global burden of disease, data from low- to middle-income countries is scarce. Sepsis from these regions is likely to have an etiological spectrum which is different from that of industrialized nations. Given that 60-80% of deaths in these countries may be attributed to sepsis, there is a vital need to address this burden.⁶ Asia is the largest continent but most Asian countries do not have a well-developed healthcare system. The MOSAICS study was a multi-national survey comprising intensive care units (ICUs) from Asian countries, and represented the first effort made in order to extricate regional compliance with SSC bundles.⁷ The compliance rates to resuscitation and management bundles were found to be 7.6% and 3.5%, respectively. It was also observed that higher income countries, institutions with academic affiliations, and surgical ICUs were more likely to be compliant with these bundles.⁷

In their efforts to examine the impact of implementing these sepsis bundles in Asian countries, the 'Asia network to regulate sepsis care' (ATLAS) investigators demonstrated that education and quality improvement efforts significantly improved bundle compliance in certain regions of Asia.⁸ As expected, socioeconomic status and availability of resources played a significant role in shaping the quality of patient care.

National efforts to promote the Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines are lacking in most Asian countries. Moreover, ICUs are not adequately equipped and financial concerns limit implementation of expensive treatment strategies.⁹ Keeping this in mind, the Medical Microbiology and Infectious Disease Society of Pakistan (MMIDSP) recently carved out 'The 3-hour bundle' treatment algorithm in order to outline the initial management of sepsis in accordance with previously defined guidelines.¹⁰ This is the first of many measures which need to be

.....
¹Postgraduate Year IV, Department of Emergency Medicine, ²Section of Pulmonology & Critical Care Services, ³Section of Adult Infectious Diseases, ⁴Adult Critical Care Services, Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Muhammad Akbar Baig. Email: muhammad.baig@aku.edu

undertaken in order to improve the outcome of sepsis in the region. Physicians ought to emphasize the need for implementation of existing therapeutic algorithms and healthcare policy-makers should be notified in order to alleviate financial restrictions and ensure delivery of standard care to septic patients.

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding: The authors report no source of funding.

References

1. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. *Crit Care Med* 2013; 41: 580-637.
2. Yealy DM, Kellum JA, Huang DT, Barnato AE, Weissfeld LA, Pike F, et al. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1683-93.
3. Peake SL, Delaney A, Bailey M, Bellomo R, Cameron PA, Cooper DJ, et al. Goal-directed resuscitation for patients with early septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371: 1496-506.
4. Mouncey PR, Osborn TM, Power GS, Harrison DA, Sadique MZ, Grieve RD, et al. Trial of Early, Goal-Directed Resuscitation for Septic Shock. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 372: 1301-11
5. Levy MM, Rhodes A, Phillips GS, Townsend SR, Schorr CA, Beale R, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: association between performance metrics and outcomes in a 7.5-year study. *Crit Care Med* 2015; 43: 3-12.
6. Kisson N, Carcillo JA, Espinosa V, Argent A, Devictor D, Madden M, et al. World Federation of Pediatric Intensive Care and Critical Care Societies: Global Sepsis Initiative. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2011; 12: 494-503.
7. Phua J, Koh Y, Du B, Tang YQ, Divatia JV, Tan CC, et al. Management of severe sepsis in patients admitted to Asian intensive care units: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2011; 342: d3245.
8. Na S, Kuan WS, Mahadevan M, Li CH, Shrikhande P, Ray S, et al. Implementation of early goal-directed therapy and the surviving sepsis campaign resuscitation bundle in Asia. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2012; 24: 452-62.
9. Fowler RA, Adhikari NK, Bhagwanjee S. Clinical review: critical care in the global context--disparities in burden of illness, access, and economics. *Crit Care* 2008; 12: 225.
10. Hussain E, Jamil B, Salahuddin N. Guidelines for the Adult Patient for Initial Management of Sepsis/Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock: 2015. *Infect Dis J Pak* 2016; 24: 784-90.