

### eCommons@AKU

Department of Surgery

Department of Surgery

November 2015

# Do delays in surgery affect outcomes in patients with inter-trochanteric fractures?

Adil Aijaz Shah

Santosh Kumar *Aga Khan University* 

Amarah Shakoor *Aga Khan University* 

Rizwan Haroon Rashid *Aga Khan University,* rizwan.haroonrashid@aku.edu

Shahryar Noordin *Aga Khan University,* shahryar.noordin@aku.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_surg\_surg

#### **Recommended** Citation

Shah, A., Kumar, S., Shakoor, A., Rashid, R., Noordin, S. (2015). Do delays in surgery affect outcomes in patients with intertrochanteric fractures?. *JPMA: Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 65(11), S21-s24. **Available at:** http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_surg\_surg/582

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

## Do delays in surgery affect outcomes in patients with inter-trochanteric fractures?

Adil Aijaz Shah,<sup>1</sup> Santosh Kumar,<sup>2</sup> Amarah Shakoor,<sup>3</sup> Rizwan Haroon,<sup>4</sup> Shahryar Noordin<sup>5</sup>

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To study the differences in outcomes of early versus delayed surgery in patients with intertrochanteric fractures.

**Methods:** The retrospective chart review was conducted at Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, and comprised data of patients who underwent surgery for intertrochanteric hip fractures from 2005 to 2010. A gap of >48hours between the event and the surgery was considered a delayed procedure and its impact on outcome was assessed. **Results:** Of the 190 patients, 138(72.6%) were in the early group and 52(27.3%) in the delayed group. The most common cause for delay of surgery was electrolyte imbalance in 14(27%) patients. Patients with delayed surgery had more comorbidites and higher ASA grades compared to those that underwent early surgery (p<0.05). Inpatient mortality was significantly higher in the delayed group (p=0.006). Multivariate analysis showed that higher mortality was associated with delay in surgery.

**Conclusions:** In patients with multiple comorbid conditions, expedited optimisation for surgery may be warranted to reduce mortality.

**Keywords:** Intertrochanteric fracture, Comorbid conditions, Early group, Delayed group, Mortality. (JPMA 65: S-21 (Suppl. 3); 2015)

#### Introduction

Hip fracture is defined as a fracture occurring in the area between the lower edge of the femoral head and 5cm below the lesser trochanter.<sup>1</sup> It is quite predictably a matter of global public health concern with 1.6 billion hip fractures being reported worldwide each year.<sup>2</sup> Most of these fractures occur in the elderly who are already suffering from multiple comorbid conditions. Statistically, in the United States, the incidence of hip fractures is 63 per 100,000 per year in females and 34 per 100,000 per year in males.<sup>3</sup>

The anatomical classification of hip fractures includes neck of femur fracture, intertrochanteric fracture and subtrochanteric fracture. The neck of femur fracture is termed intracapsular, while intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric fractures are termed extracapsular fractures.<sup>4</sup> When one delves deeper into the causes of hip fractures, one finds that almost 95% relate to fall, 2% are due to road traffic accidents (RTAs) and <2% are pathological fractures are associated with advancing age,

<sup>1</sup>Trauma outcomes Research fellow, Center for Surgery and Public Health, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, <sup>2,4</sup>Department of Surgery, <sup>3</sup>Medical Student, <sup>5</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Aga Khan Medical University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan. **Correspondence:** Rizwan Haroon. Email: orthopodriz@gmail.com increased number of comorbid conditions, such as hypertension (HTN), uncontrolled diabetes, urinary tract infection (UTI) and other causes, and increased dependency on others when performing activities of daily life. The usual patient classically presents with hip pain, inability to bear weight, shortening of the limb and an externally rotated foot.<sup>6</sup>

Given the predominant age group that hip fractures occur in, they are understandably associated with significant morbidity, mortality and disability. It has been found that hip fractures in the elderlyhaving dementia are associated with 5% inpatient mortality and 10% mortality within 30% days of hospital admission.<sup>7</sup> The time lag between the fracture and obtaining surgery is a crucial factor affecting the overall prognosis of the patient. According to the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NHS) guidelines for the management of hip fracture, it is recommended that surgery should be ideally performed on the day of admission or the day after admission. Comorbidities should be identified immediately so that surgery is not delayed beyond 48 hours.<sup>8</sup>

The current study was planned to toassess the differences in the outcome of early versus delayed surgery in patients with intertrochanteric fractures.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The retrospective chart review was conducted at Aga Khan University Hospital (AKUH), Karachi, and comprised

data of patients who presented with intertrochanteric hip fractures and underwent a dynamic hip screw fixation between January 2005 and December 2010. Those with pathological hip fractures, poly-trauma patients and patients with missing records were excluded. Early surgeries were defined to be those performed within 48 hours of presentation, while delayed surgeries were those performed after 48 hours.<sup>9</sup> The study population was divided into two groups: early surgical repair group versus delayed surgical repair group.

Descriptive analysis was done to study variables such as age, gender, comorbid conditions, American Society of Anaesthesiologists(ASA) grade and their relation to surgery outcomes based on how much time delay each patient faced before undergoing optimisation and subsequent surgical management.

#### Results

Of the 190 patients, 138(72.6%) were in the early group and 52(27.3%) in the delayed group. In the early group there were 66 (48%) females and 72 (52%) males. In the delayed group there were 28 (54%) females and 24 (46%) males.

In the early group, 54 (39%) patients had no comorbid conditions, while 46 (33%) showed multiple comorbidities. In the delayed surgery group, 36 (69%) patients had multiple comorbid conditions (p<0.05). Most patients in the early group were ASA level II and III, while in the delayed group the majority of patients were ASA level III and IV (p<0.05) (Table-1).

In the pre-injury functional class status, majority of patients in the early group were functional Class I and II, while delayed group patients were in functional Class III with 26 (50%) patients performing daily activities only with external help. In the early group 83 (60%) patients were given general anaesthesia, while in the delayed group regional anaesthesia was utilised in 29 (55%) patients (p<0.05). When observing time of surgery in both groups, 79 (57%) surgeries in the early group and 34 (65%) in the delayed group took place during the daytime working hours.

The most common cause for delay of surgery was

 Table-1: American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) level.

P= 0.004		Total			
	I	II	111	IV	
Early Group	15	59	59	5	138
Delayed Group	1	10	30	11	52
Total	16	69	89	16	190

Table-2: Postoperative Complications.

	Postoperative complications					
	UTI	DVT	Wound Infection	Mortality		
Early Group (n=138)	4	0	3	1		
Delayed Group (n=52)	4	1	3	5		
P value	0.144	0.27	0.20	0.006		
Total (n=190)	8	1	6	6		

UTI: Urinary tract infection

DVT: Deep vein thrombosis.

electrolyte imbalance, which was seen in 51 (27%) patients. A further 32 (17%) patients had delayed surgery because of the need for optimisation for other diseases, such as thyrotoxicosis, combined HTN and diabetes mellitus (DM), and UTI with DM.

Around 4 (3%) patients in the early groupdeveloped UTI post-operatively compared to 4 (8%) in the delayed group (p>0.05). Wound infection was seen in 3 (2%) patients in the early group compared to 3 (5%) in the delayed group (p>0.05) (Table 2). Around 2 (1%) patients in the early group did not survive compared to 5 (10%) in the delayed group (p<0.05). Multivariate analysis showed that delay in surgery was an independent predictor for mortality (p<0.05).

#### Discussion

Hip fractures represent an increasingly important healthcare concern as they are associated with significant morbidity and mortality and show a disturbingly escalating incidence in the elderly population.<sup>10</sup> Patient factors associated with a speedy recovery and regaining most of the pre-fracture walking ability after surgery include male gender, young age, absence of pre-existing dementia and use of a walking cane before theinjury.<sup>11</sup> Other factors relating to an uneventful recovery include factors such as delay in surgery, surgical technique, postop care and rehabilitation. Despite all efforts, the mortality rate within elderly patients within the first year of fracture repair is reported tobe as high as 14-36%.<sup>12</sup>

Generally, an increased mortality rate is seen in patients suffering from multiple risk factors such as advancing age, male gender, poorly-controlled medical conditions, psychiatric issues and nursing home institutionalisation.<sup>13</sup> However, out of all the risks posing threat to the patient, a few can be very well controlled by the efforts of the primary surgical team alone. These include avoiding operative management before stabilisation of coexisting medical conditions without causing undue delays and minimising postoperative complications with good postoperative care and rehabilitation. Although most of these factors are universally agreed upon, but surgeons often find it difficult to decide how much of a surgical delay is acceptable in favour of initial medical optimisation of existing comorbid conditions.

There is an inconsistent perception that delay in surgical treatment of hip fracture patients is associated with an increase in postoperative complications and mortality. Some surgeons perceive that the standard of care regarding hip fracture management involves operating within 24 hours of presentation, while others operate on a case to case basis.<sup>14</sup> Many, however, agree that surgery must be attempted at most within 48 hours of presentation for every patient without any medical comorbid conditions.<sup>15,16</sup> Our study also shows low mortality maybe among patients who underwent surgical fixation within 48 hours of admission. However, studies comparing time to surgery and mortality have generally had conflicting results.<sup>17-22</sup> These studies usually had small sample sizes and, hence, their results cannot be generalised.

Grimes et al.<sup>23</sup> in their retrospective study of approximately 8380 patients undergoing surgical hip fracture fixation concluded that delay in surgery seemed to have no adverse effect on patient outcomes if their medical illnesses were adjusted for. This study explored both the primary outcomes in the form of long-term mortality and secondary outcomes as 30-day patient mortality, decubitus ulcers, bacterial infections, myocardial infections and thrombo-embolism. Data was adjusted for medical illnesses which revealed that surgical delay had no effect on patient outcomes apart from developing decubitus ulcers. This is in sharp contrast to our study results with a much smaller sample size which showed that surgical delay is indeed associated with postoperative complications and a higher rate of mortality when compared to patients in the early surgical group.

However, unlike conventional assumptions, surgical delay is not a measure of comorbidity or a higher ASA grade. Our study has recognized surgical delay as an independent factor leading to poor outcomes for a hip fracture surgery patient independent of ASA grade and medical comorbid conditions. In patients with several comorbid conditions, expedited optimisation for surgery may be warranted to reduce mortality.

The limitations of our study include a retrospective design with small sample size and limited follow-up. Future prospective studies need to study outcomes of these patient cohorts from both early and delayed surgery categories. As high-risk patients tend to be optimised prior to surgery, the bias may explain the potential of higher mortality in the delayed surgery group.

#### Conclusion

Delay in surgery is associated with increased mortality and postoperative complications leading to a difficult rehabilitation period and significant morbidity. Patients with intertrochanteric fractures should be optimised on a priority basis in order to mitigate the risk of morbidity and high rate of mortality. Patients who are operated after 48 hours have a higher 30-day mortality compared to those who are operated within 48 hours of admission. This association is independent of comorbid conditions and ASA grade. Further large-scale prospective studies need to clarify these potential associations so that hip fracture surgery guidelines can be made evidence-based.

#### References

- 1. Gillespie WJ. Extracts from "clinical evidence": hip fracture. BMJ 2001;322:968-75.
- Johnell O, Kanis JA. An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 2006;17:1726-33.
- 3. LaVelle DG. Chapter 52 Fractures and Dislocations of the Hip. In: Canale ST, Beaty JH, eds. Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. 11th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Mosby; 2007.
- Haentjens P, Autier P, Barette M, Venken K, Vanderschueren D, Boonen S, et al. Survival and functional outcome according to hip fracture type: a one-year prospective cohort study in elderly women with an intertrochanteric or femoral neck fracture. Bone 2007;41:958-64.
- 5. Fuller GF. Falls in the elderly. Am Fam Physician 2000;61:2159-68.
- 6. Christmas C. Hip Fracture. Ann Intern Med 2011;155:ITC6-1. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-155-11-201112060-01006.
- Radcliff TA, Henderson WG, Stoner TJ, Khuri SF, Dohm M, Hutt E. Patient risk factors, operative care, and outcomes among older community-dwelling male veterans with hip fracture. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2008;90:34-42.
- Chesser TJ, Handley R, Swift C. New NICE guideline to improve outcomes for hip fracture patients. Injury 2011;42:727-9.
- Verbeek DOF, Ponsen KJ, Goslings JC, Heetveld MJ. Effect of surgical delay on outcome in hip fracture patients: a retrospective multivariate analysis of 192 patients. Int Orthop 2008;32:13-18.
- Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. Hip fractures are an increasingly important public health problem. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998;348:2.
- 11. Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. Functional recovery after fracture of the hip.J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994;76:751-8.
- Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. Hip fractures: I. Overview and evaluation and treatment of femoral neck fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1994;2:141-149.
- 13. White BL, Fisher WD, Laurin CA. Rate of mortality for elderly patients after fracture of the hip in the 1980's. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1987;69:1335-1340.
- March LM, Chamberlain AC, Cameron ID, Cumming RG, Brnabic AJ, Finnegan TP, et al. How best to fix a broken hip. Fractured Neck of Femur Health Outcomes Project Team. Med J Aust1999; 170: 489-494.
- Lyons AR. Clinical outcomes and treatment of hip fractures. Am J Med 1997;103(Suppl 1):S51-S63.

#### 29th Pak Orthocon 2015

- Morrison RS, Chassin MR, Siu AL. The medical consultant's role in caring for patients with hip fracture. Ann Intern Med 1998; 128(Pt1): 1010-1020.
- Hamlet WP, Lieberman JR, Freedman EL, Dorey FJ, Fletcher A, Johnson EE. Influence of health status and the timing of surgery on mortality in hip fracture patients. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ) 1997; 26: 621-7.
- Kenzora JE, McCarthy RE, Lowell JD, Sledge CB. Hip fracture mortality. Relation to age, treatment, preoperative illness, time of surgery, and complications. ClinOrthopRelat Res 1984; 186: 45-56.
- 19. Ho V, Hamilton BH, Roos LL. Multiple approaches to assessing the

effects of delays for hip fracture patients in the United States and Canada. Health Serv Res 2000; 34: 1499-1518.

- Hamilton BH, Hamilton VH, Mayo NE. What are the costs of queuing for hip fracture surgery in Canada? J Health Econ 1996; 15: 161-185.
- 21. Dolk T. Operation in hip fracture patients analysis of the time factor. Injury 1990; 21: 369-372.
- 22. Davis TR, Sher JL, Porter BB, Checketts RG. The timing of surgery for intertrochanteric femoral fractures. Injury 1988; 19: 244-246.
- 23. Grimes JP, Gregory PM, Noveck H, Butler MS, Carson JL. The effects of time-to-surgery on mortality and morbidity in patients following hip fracture. Am J Med 2002; 112: 702-9.

#### S-24