DOI 10.1186/s12918-016-0305-0

The Author(s) BMC Systems Biology 2016, 10(Suppl 3):61

brought to you by a CORE

BMC Systems Biology

INTRODUCTION

Open Access



Advancing Systems Biology in the International Conference on Intelligent Biology and Medicine (ICIBM) 2015

Zhongming Zhao¹⁺, Yunlong Liu^{2,3+}, Yufei Huang⁴, Kun Huang^{5*} and Jianhua Ruan^{6*}

From The International Conference on Intelligent Biology and Medicine (ICIBM) 2015 Indianapolis, IN, USA. 13-15 November 2015

Abstract

The 2015 International Conference on Intelligent Biology and Medicine (ICIBM 2015) was held on November 13–15, 2015 in Indianapolis, Indiana, USA. ICIBM 2015 included eight scientific sessions, three tutorial sessions, one poster session, and four keynote presentations that covered the frontier research in broad areas related to bioinformatics, systems biology, big data science, biomedical informatics, pharmacogenomics, and intelligent computing. Here, we present a summary of the 10 research articles that were selected from ICIBM 2015 and included in the supplement to *BMC Systems Biology*.

Introduction

Since its inception in 2012, the International Conference on Intelligent Biology and Medicine (ICIBM) has hosted a variety of interdisciplinary educational events, including keynote lectures from world-renowned experts in bioinformatics, genomics, computational biology, bioengineering, and intelligent computing fields, educational and engaging tutorial and workshop sessions, poster presentations and discussions, and innovative research presentations in emerging areas like data science. ICIBM aims to provide a venue to promote interactions of trainees and junior scientists with established scientists and engineers so that their career can be developed at the right direction. ICIBM 2015, which was held on November 13-15, 2015 in Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, gained success again for promoting both education and research exchange. Specific conference program is described in our editorial to ICIBM supplemental issue to BMC Genomics [1]. Here, we briefly introduce the 10

* Correspondence: Kun.Huang@osumc.edu; Jianhua.Ruan@utsa.edu

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

research articles collected in this supplement to *BMC Systems Biology.* These articles covered research areas in machine learning approaches, models for big data, complex disease and drug prediction, drug-drug interactions and cellular networks, Boolean networks and computational tool for network analysis, among others. Each manuscript was reviewed by three or more reviewers and went through two rounds of reviews.

The first paper is to apply machine-learning approach to classify breast cancer patients. Breast cancer is a highly heterogeneous disease that is difficult to devise effective therapeutic strategies. In this study, Vural et al. uniquely used only somatic mutation profile data to identify and predict breast cancer subgroups [2]. The authors demonstrated that gene mutation profiles could be effectively used in unsupervised machine-learning methods to identify clinically distinguishable breast cancer subgroups. By using non-negative matrix factorization method, the authors identified three clinically distinct breast cancer groups. Furthermore, they developed a supervised classification model to predict the stage of the patients.

In the second paper, Xu and Wang developed a computational approach to characterize which and how human gut microbial metabolites may contribute to



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

[†]Equal contributors

 $^{^5\}text{Department}$ of Biomedical Informatics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

⁶Department of Computer Science, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

various aspects of Alzheimer's disease (AD), a disease that is currently under extensive investigation [3]. They found metabolites were significantly associated with various aspects of AD, such as AD susceptibility, cognitive decline, biomarkers, age of onset, and the onset of AD, which provided evidence to support that human gut microbial metabolites might serve as an important mechanistic link between environmental exposure and AD symptoms. Furthermore, their pathway analysis revealed metabolite trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), a gut microbial metabolite of dietary meat and fat, providing insights into the mechanisms of dietary meat and fat on AD.

In the next paper, Li et al. digitalized a novel animal model for acute myeloid leukemia, which accounted for both leukemic and normal blood cells in the disease [4]. Specifically, the authors modeled multi-tissue hematopoietic dynamics in the leukemic body. The authors demonstrated that their computational model was valid and accurate, and that the functional depression of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells under leukemia could be inferred by their model using the cell kinetics data as input only. Accordingly, their modeling is potentially capable of saving experimental costs, while effectively facilitating knowledge acquisition.

Cheng et al. developed a network-based framework to quantitatively examine cellular network heterogeneity and modularity in cancer [5]. Specifically, they constructed gene co-expressed protein interaction networks derived from large-scale RNA-Seq data across eight cancer types generated from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project. They performed gene network entropy and balanced versus unbalanced motif analyses to investigate cellular network heterogeneity and modularity in tumor versus normal tissues, different stages of progression, and drug resistant versus sensitive cancer cell lines. The authors found that tumorigenesis could be characterized by a significant increase of gene network entropy in all of the eight cancer types examined. Furthermore, they showed that network entropy could be used to characterize tumor progression and anticancer drug responses. In addition, the authors provided potential network-level evidence that smoking might increase cancer cellular network heterogeneity and further contribute to tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance. In summary, the authors demonstrated that network properties such as network entropy and unbalanced motifs associated with tumor initiation, progression, and anticancer drug responses, suggesting new potential network-based prognostic and predictive measure in cancer.

Predicting drug synergy using high-throughput expression profiles is an emerging topic in molecular cancer therapeutics development. Through integrative analyses of functional (modeled by gene sets) and gene-level data, Hsu et al. demonstrated that the similarity in functions or genes perturbed by two drugs was predictive of their synergistic effects based on the novel prediction scores measuring the synergy [6]. Assessed against a gold standard dataset derived by the DREAM consortium, the scores were shown to achieve better performance than previous computational methods. The results in this study are expected to facilitate the screening for putative synergistic drug combinations and accelerate the realization of precision cancer treatment.

Information about drug-drug interactions (DDIs) supported by scientific evidence is crucial for establishing computational knowledge bases for applications like pharmacovigilance. New reports of DDIs have been rapidly accumulating in the scientific literature; thus, text-mining techniques for automatic DDI extraction are critical. Zhang et al. proposed a novel approach for automated pharmacokinetic (PK) DDI detection that incorporates syntactic and semantic information into graph kernels, to address the problem of sparseness associated with syntactic-structural approaches [7]. The authors first developed a novel all-path graph kernel using shallow semantic representation of sentences. Then, they statistically integrated fine-granular semantic classes into the dependency and shallow semantic graphs. In the evaluation on the PK DDI corpus, their approach significantly outperformed the original all-path graph kernel, which is based on dependency structure.

In the next paper, Du et al. introduced a novel sparse canonical correlation analysis (SCCA) model using the Graph OSCAR constraint [8]. The model was developed for identifying bi-multivariate imaging genetic associations while encouraging highly correlated features to be selected together. Because the model does not require the pre-definition of the sign of the sample correlation, it could reduce the estimation bias. Furthermore, it could combine those highly correlated features together regardless of whether they are positively or negatively correlated. The authors showed that the performance of the model would be promising based on both simulated and real data, and they identified a strong relationship between the *APOE* SNP rs429358 and the amyloid burden measure in the frontal region.

We have witnessed numerous high-throughput "omics" data generated during the past decade. While the data is large, it is often complicated. The biomedical scientists have been hindered from fully studying and uncovering disease associated networks by the lack of efficient computational tools. Wan et al. introduced an open source R package to help learn the network structure from data acquired from various high-throughput genomics technologies [9]. Their tool allows the data to be modeled using the native distribution instead of normalizing the

data to follow Gaussian distribution, as most other statistical models require. In addition, the parallelization of their algorithms provides an efficient tool for computing large-scale networks.

Liu et al. explored controllability of a Boolean network based on the transition matrix and time transition diagram [10]. In their study, the authors determined the necessary and sufficient condition for a controllable Boolean network and mapped this requirement in transition matrix to real Boolean functions and structure property of a network. They found six simplest forms of controllable 2-node Boolean networks and explored the consistency of transition matrices while extending these six forms to controllable networks with more nodes. Next, the authors proposed the first state feedback control strategy to drive the network based on the status of all nodes in the network. In a case study, the authors applied the reachability condition to the major switch of P53 pathway to predict the progression of the pathway and validate the prediction with published experimental results. The control strategy reported in their paper allows investigators to apply real time control to drive Boolean networks, a feature having missed in the current control strategy for Boolean networks.

In the last paper, Wu et al. applied an integrative gene co-expression analysis approach to identify a novel, three-transcription factor based prognostic signature [11]. The signature could cluster the glioma into three molecular subtypes with significantly different transcriptomic patterns and clinical outcomes. The authors explored the glioma molecular mechanisms at the transcriptional regulation level. They suggested potential drug targets for different glioma molecular subtypes. This integrative approach is extendable to other cancer studies for detecting biomarkers in both diagnosis and pathogenesis.

Acknowledgement

We thank numerous reviewers for judging the scientific merits of the manuscripts submitted to ICIBM 2015 and the related supplements. We would like to acknowledge Drs. Yan Guo, Lee Cooper, Xiaowen Liu for organizing the tutorials and Dr. Lang Li for local support of ICIBM 2015. We thank the National Science Foundation (NSF grant IIS-1451135) for financial support of previous ICIBM conferences.

Declarations

This article has been published as part of *BMC Systems Biology* Volume 10 Supplement 3, 2016: Selected articles from the International Conference on Intelligent Biology and Medicine (ICIBM) 2015: systems biology. The full contents of the supplement are available online at http://bmcsystbiol. biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-10-supplement-3.

Authors' contributions

ZZ, YL, KH and JR managed and participated in the peer-review of ICIBM'15 manuscripts, excluding those on which they were authors, and handled the editorial process of this supplement. YH supported the post-acceptance manuscript processing. ZZ, YL, YH and JR wrote the article, which was read and approved by all authors. All authors have made substantial contribution to the collection of the manuscripts and abstracts and, thus, the success of

the ICIBM 2015 conference. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Center for Precision Health, School of Biomedical Informatics, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA. ²Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. ³Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. ⁴Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Texas at San Antonio, TX 78249, USA. ⁵Department of Biomedical Informatics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. ⁶Department of Computer Science, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA.

Published: 26 August 2016

References

- Huang K, Liu Y, Huang Y, Li L, Cooper L, Ruan J, Zhao Z. Intelligent Biology and Medicine in 2015: advancing interdisciplinary education, collaboration, and data science. BMC Genomics. 2016;17(Suppl 7): doi:10.1186/s12864-016-2893-x.
- Vural S, Wang X, Guda C. Classification of breast cancer patients using somatic mutation profiles and machine learning approaches. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0306-z.
- Xu R, Wang Q. Towards understanding brain-gut-microbiome connections in Alzheimer's disease. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/ s12918-016-0307-y.
- Li R, Cheng H, Cheng T, Liu L. Digitalization of a non-irradiated acute myeloid leukemia model. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0308-x.
- Cheng F, Liu C, Shen B, Zhao Z. Investigating cellular network heterogeneity and modularity in cancer: a network entropy and unbalanced motif approach. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0309-9.
- Hsu YC, Chiu YC, Chen Y, Hsiao TH, Chuang E. A simple gene set-based method accurately predicts the synergy of drug pairs. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10 (Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0310-3.
- Zhang Y, Wu HY, Xu J, Wang J, Soysal E, Li L, Xu H. Leveraging syntactic and semantic graph kernels to extract pharmacokinetic drug drug interactions from biomedical literature. BMC Systems Biology. 2016; 10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0311-2.
- Du L, Huang H, Yan J, Kim S, Risacher S, Inlow M, Moore J, Saykin A, Shen L. Structured sparse CCA for brain imaging genetics via graph OSCAR. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0312-1.
- Wan Y-W, Allen GI, Baker Y, Young E, Ravikumar P, Liu Z. XMRF: an R package to fit Markov networks to high-throughput genetics data. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0313-0.
- Liu R, Qian C, Liu S, Jin Y-F. State feedback control design for Boolean networks. BMC Systems Biology. 2016;10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0314-z.
- Wu S, Li J, Cao M, Yang J, Li YX, Li YY. A novel integrated gene coexpression analysis approach reveals a prognostic three-transcriptionfactor signature for glioma molecular subtypes. BMC Systems Biology. 2016; 10(Suppl 3): doi:10.1186/s12918-016-0315-y.