

**PHS PUBLIC ACCESS**

Author manuscript

Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2016 ; 137: 41–85. doi:10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.10.018.

Ethanol-Associated Changes in Glutamate Reward Neurocircuitry: A Minireview of Clinical and Preclinical Genetic Findings

Richard L. Bell^{*,1}, Sheketha R. Hauser^{*}, Jeanette McClintick[†], Shafiqur Rahman[‡], Howard J. Edenberg[†], Karen K. Szumlinski[§], and William J. McBride^{*}^{*}Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA[†]Departments of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA[‡]Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, South Dakota State University, Brookings, South Dakota, USA[§]Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California, USA

Abstract

Herein, we have reviewed the role of glutamate, the major excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain, in a number of neurochemical, -physiological, and -behavioral processes mediating the development of alcohol dependence. The findings discussed include results from both preclinical as well as neuroimaging and postmortem clinical studies. Expression levels for a number of glutamate-associated genes and/or proteins are modulated by alcohol abuse and dependence. These changes in expression include metabotropic receptors and ionotropic receptor subunits as well as different glutamate transporters. Moreover, these changes in gene expression parallel the pharmacologic manipulation of these same receptors and transporters. Some of these gene expression changes may have predated alcohol abuse and dependence because a number of glutamate-associated polymorphisms are related to a genetic predisposition to develop alcohol dependence. Other glutamate-associated polymorphisms are linked to age at the onset of alcohol-dependence and initial level of response/sensitivity to alcohol. Finally, findings of innate and/or ethanol-induced glutamate-associated gene expression differences/changes observed in a genetic animal model of alcoholism, the P rat, are summarized. Overall, the existing literature indicates that changes in glutamate receptors, transporters, enzymes, and scaffolding proteins are crucial for the development of alcohol dependence and there is a substantial genetic component to these effects. This indicates that continued research into the genetic underpinnings of these glutamate-associated effects will provide important novel molecular targets for treating alcohol abuse and dependence.

¹Corresponding author: ribell@iupui.edu.

1. ALCOHOLISM AND GENETICS

Over half of adult Americans have a family history of alcoholism or alcohol (ethanol) abuse and one in four Americans have had an alcohol use disorder (AUD) during their lifetime, costing the US economy an estimated \$225 billion per year (Research Society on Alcoholism).^{1,2} AUDs continue to be ranked as the third leading cause of preventable death by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.³ Moreover, research supports a causal relationship between AUDs and at least 50 different medical conditions.⁴⁻⁶

The well-documented familial incidence of alcoholism indicates that heredity contributes significantly to a predisposition toward, and the development of, AUDs.⁷⁻⁹ In fact, family history positive (FHP) individuals are at a three- to sevenfold increased risk to develop alcoholism, relative to those who are family history negative (FHN).¹⁰ This genetic proposal has been confirmed by multiple gene studies (e.g., the Collaborative Study On the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA), the Study of Addiction: Genes and Environment (SAGE), and the European research project on risk-taking behavior in teenagers (IMAGEN)) examining the association between diagnostic criteria for alcohol dependence/addiction and the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in alcohol-dependent individuals.¹¹⁻¹⁷

Similar to humans, different lines of heterogeneous stock rats display a wide-range of ethanol-consumption levels.¹⁸ The very early work by Williams and coworkers,¹⁹ as well as Mardones and coworkers,²⁰ resulted in the hypothesis that ethanol intake in rodents is also under substantial genetic control. From their early work and that of four other international sites, bidirectional selective breeding has resulted in at least five high alcohol-consuming versus their respective low alcohol-consuming rat lines.²¹ One of these selectively bred high alcohol-consuming rat lines is the alcohol-preferring P rat. Essentially, starting from a closed colony of Wistar rats, the highest alcohol drinkers were mated together and the lowest alcohol drinkers were mated together, which resulted in the P and NP lines, respectively.^{21,22} The selectively bred alcohol-preferring P rat meets all of the criteria put forth for a valid animal model^{23,24} of alcoholism.^{21,22} It also meets the more recently proposed criteria including relapse-like,²⁵ binge-like,^{21,22,26,27} and early/adolescent-onset of excessive drinking, which results in blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) greater than the threshold (80 mg%) of NIAAA's²⁸ definition for binge drinking.^{26,29} By the nature of selective breeding, P rats represent multigenerational FHP subjects and their counterparts, the selectively bred alcohol-nonpreferring NP rats, represent multigenerational-FHN individuals. Regarding the point about representing FHP individuals, the P rat has some neurochemical, neuro-physiological, and behavioral characteristics similar to those seen clinically in FHP individuals.^{21,26,30}

Some of these neurochemical characteristics of P rats involve the glutamatergic system and these findings parallel clinical findings in both FHP individuals and chronic alcoholics. The present minireview will focus on the central glutamatergic system and its role in alcohol dependence. The basic structure and function of the glutamatergic system will be outlined; as it pertains to its activity in the brain, its receptors, and transporters as well as the excitatory synapse. A summary of the existing literature on how ethanol affects the structure and function of the central glutamatergic system will be presented in the context of both

preclinical and clinical research. A synopsis of the genetic influence on the development and/or expression of alcohol dependence will be described. An overview of the current knowledge regarding how the ethanol–glutamate interaction affects gene and/or protein expression will be presented, again from both preclinical and clinical perspectives. This will be followed by a compilation of our findings with the P rat as it pertains to innate differences in gene and/or protein expression, relative to its NP counterpart, as well as ethanol’s modulation of gene and/or protein expression in subregions of the mesocorticolimbic reward circuitry. This minireview will then be concluded with some closing thoughts on some limitations observed in the existing literature.

2. CENTRAL GLUTAMATERGIC ACTIVITY

The amino acid glutamate is the primary excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (CNS). Therefore, it is not surprising that glutamate receptors are located throughout the brain (see Fig. 1 for glutamatergic projections). In addition, given the ubiquitous distribution of glutamate and its receptors, its function as the primary excitatory neurotransmitter is crucial for many processes, especially those mediating neuroplasticity, learning and memory.^{31–33} Glutamate interacts with both metabotropic mGlu1–mGlu8 (Grm1–Grm8 = mGluR1–mGluR8) and ionotropic receptors, which include those that can bind to *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) (subunits: GluN1 (Grin1 = NR1); GluN2a–GluN2d (Grin2a–Grin2d = Nr2a–Nr2d); GluN3a–GluN3b (Grin3a–Grin3b = NR3a–NR3b), those that can bind to α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) subunits: GluA1–GluA4 (Gria1–Gria4 = GluR1–GluR4) or kainite subunits GluK1–GluK4 (Grik1–Grik4 = GluR5–GluR7 + KA1–KA2); these nomenclatures reflect IUPHAR, HUGO, and “old” symbols respectively.^{34–36} Due to glutamate’s role in excitotoxicity, extracellular glutamate must be tightly controlled.^{34,37,38} This is accomplished, for the most part, by multiple glutamate transporters.³⁴ The human excitatory amino acid transporter 2 (EAAT2) and its rodent analog glutamate transporter 1 (GLT1) appear to be the main transporters performing this function centrally.^{34,37}

2.1 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors (Fig. 2)

The mGlu receptors are G-protein-coupled protein receptors (GPCRs) located at the neuronal synapse, extrasynaptically as well as on glial cells (Fig. 2). These receptors are divided into three groups. Group I mGluRs (mGlu1 and mGlu5) are predominately postsynaptic and engage in slow excitatory neurotransmission; Group II mGluRs (mGlu2 and mGlu3) are predominately presynaptic, with some postsynaptic and glial localization (Fig. 2) where they engage in slow inhibitory neurotransmission; and Group III mGluRs (mGlu4, mGlu6, mGlu7, and mGlu8) are generally restricted to the presynaptic terminal and, like Group II mGluRs, engage in slow inhibitory neurotransmission. The mesocorticolimbic and associated reward circuitry express high levels of mGlu1, mGlu2, mGlu3, and/or mGlu5, notably in the Acb, caudate nucleus, cortex, lateral septum, dorsal striatum, amygdala, and hippocampus.^{39–43}

2.2 Ionotropic Glutamate Receptors (Fig. 2)

Ionotropic glutamate receptors are ligand-gated ion channels involved in fast excitatory transmission in the CNS. There are several types of glutamate ionotropic receptors including AMPA, kainate, and NMDA-receptor subunits. Most ionotropic glutamate receptors are located postsynaptically, although some are located presynaptically and on glia cells as well (Fig. 2). Similar to the mGlu receptors, they are found throughout the brain including cortical regions, hippocampus, amygdala, basal ganglia, midbrain, hind-brain, and brainstem nuclei.^{44–49} A recent functional addition to the ionotropic glutamate receptors are the delta1 and delta2 subunits symbolized by *Glud1* and *Glud2*. In the past these subunits were considered orphans and not functional but more recent findings indicate that they are indeed functional, by modulating LTD and prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle response (sensorimotor gating), and their localization is not restricted to the cerebellum but includes cortical and limbic regions as well.^{50–54}

2.3 Glutamate Transporters and Carriers (Fig. 2)

As discussed earlier, excessive glutamate in the synapse (e.g., the addiction-related hyperglutamatergic state) can lead to excitotoxicity and neuronal death. Thus, glutamate uptake/transport from the synapse and perisynapse is required to prevent the plasticity associated with addiction to become excitotoxicity.^{34,38} There are several transporters that regulate extracellular glutamate levels including the EAAT1 (glutamate aspartate transporter: GLAST or *Slc1a3*), EAAT2 (GLT1), EAAT3 (excitatory amino acid carrier 1: EAAC1 or *Slc1a1*), EAAT4 (*Slc1a6*), and EAAT5; where EAAT indicates the human homolog.^{55–57} There are also intracellular vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLUT1–3 of the *Slc17* family of genes) that mediate the uptake of glutamate into synaptic vesicles. Intracellular glutamate carriers, other than the vGLUTs, include the *Slc25a* family of genes. Since the NMDAR NR1 subunit contains the glycine-binding site, it is important to recognize the role of bidirectional glycine transporters (GlyT1 which is primarily glial and GlyT2 which is primarily neuronal) at the excitatory synapse (GlyTs are represented by gene families *Slc6a* and *Slc7a*). Given the increased interest in *N*-acetyl-cysteine's role in mental health, it is equally important to recognize the cystine–glutamate exchanger's (xCT = *Slc7a11*) role in reversing damage induced by excessive extracellular glutamate. The xCT, generally located on glial cells, takes up cystine and releases glutamate molecules. Cystine is then converted to cysteine, which is used to synthesize glutathione and other proteins. Glutathione is a key antioxidant and important in reversing neuronal damage induced by excitotoxicity and oxidative stress.⁵⁸

2.4 Glutamate Synthesis and Metabolism (Fig. 2)

Another biologic method for preventing excessive glutamate in the synapse is glutamate's synthesis from glutamine intracellularly.³⁴ Glutamine can be transported into and out of the synapse without inducing neurotoxicity. The metabolism of glutamate to glutamine occurs primarily in glial cells via the enzyme glutamine synthetase. Glutamine is then transported (glutamine transporter (GlnT), which is common to both glia and neurons and encoded by the *Slc38a* gene family) out of the glial cell. In turn, glutamine is transported out of the perisynapse and into the excitatory presynaptic compartment. Glutamine is then converted to

glutamate by glutaminase and transported to the synaptic membrane, or shunted into GABA synthesis via glutamate decarboxylase (GAD). Glutamate is also metabolized by glutamate dehydrogenase—yielding alpha-ketoglutarate, which enters the TCA/Krebs cycle.

2.5 Glutamate and the Postsynaptic Density (Fig. 2)

The postsynaptic density (PSD) is a cytoskeletal specialization that is located beneath the postsynaptic membrane and directly contiguous with the presynaptic “active zone” of excitatory synapses. Glutamate receptors, synaptic proteins, scaffolding proteins, kinases, and other downstream-signaling proteins are located within this PSD. There are several scaffolding proteins within the PSD including membrane-associated guanylate kinases (MAGUKs), Shanks, and Homers.^{59–61} Scaffolding proteins can be defined as molecules binding at least two other signaling proteins together. These scaffolding proteins are crucial for synaptic plasticity (e.g., learning and memory) by (1) acting as platforms where signaling molecules can assemble; (2) localizing signaling molecules at specific intracellular sites; (3) coordinating positive and negative feedback signals to modify intra- and extracellular signaling pathways; as well as (4) protecting these signaling pathways from inactivation, generally by preventing and/or disrupting phosphorylation.^{62–64} In general, scaffolding proteins act as signaling proteins for neuromodulator receptors and anchor these receptors (e.g., glutamate receptors) to the synaptic membrane.⁶⁵ Given their role in receptor anchoring, dysregulation of these scaffolding proteins can lead to a number of neurological diseases.⁶⁶

MAGUKs are expressed widely throughout the central nervous system. They are the scaffolding proteins closest to the surface of the postsynaptic membrane and they contain multiple PDZ domains.⁶⁷ The PSD-95 protein is one of the most studied MAGUK scaffolding proteins and is involved in postsynaptic stability as well as excitatory receptor insertion.⁶⁸ PSD-95 binds to numerous proteins associated with AMPAR and NMDAR complexes. Schnell *et al.*⁶⁹ found that interaction between PSD-95 and the AMPA receptor-interacting protein, Stargazin, determines the density of AMPARs at the synapse and through this interaction can regulate synaptic maturation.⁷⁰ The PSD-95 anchors NMDARs to the postsynaptic membrane and it acts as a signaling scaffold mediating the activation of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) by calcium–calmodulin activity following, for example, entry of calcium through NMDAR channels.⁷¹ Differences between AMPA and NMDA receptors influence on synaptic events is due, in part, to their respective cytosolic C-terminal binding sites to the PSD-95/discs large/zona occludens-1 (PDZ) domain-containing scaffolding proteins.^{72–74} The PDZ domain’s function is to regulate protein–protein interactions by binding to the C-terminus of each respective target protein; thus, highlighting its crucial role in neuroplasticity, dendritic growth, and dendritic arborization.^{68,75}

The ionotropic NMDA and AMPA receptors are primarily concentrated in the PSD but there are distinct differences in synaptic regulation of these receptors.^{76,77} Additionally, NMDARs initiate synaptic plasticity by interacting with other components of the PSD.^{78–82} Similarly, AMPARs are involved in rapid synaptic transmission and these receptors cycle on and off in a manner tightly controlled by neuronal activity/plasticity in the PSD.^{78–82} The

recycling (i.e., insertion and removal) of these AMPARs at the synapse modulates synaptic efficiency and thus, like NMDARs, influence learning and memory.^{76,77}

Considerable evidence indicates the crucial role of Shank and Homer proteins in neuroplasticity, as well as alcohol and drug dependence.^{83–89} Shank and the Homer scaffolding proteins are located deeper within the PSD than the MAGUKs (i.e., toward the cytoplasm).⁷⁰ Three genes encode for Shank proteins (Shank1, Shank2, and Shank3) and Shank scaffolding proteins bind to neuroligins and neuroligins, as well as NMDAR complexes in the PSD.⁷⁰ Shanks are capable of binding other scaffolding molecules within the PSD (e.g., Homers) and thus are capable of linking mGlu receptors, as well as AMPA and kainate ionotropic receptors to NMDARs. Therefore, scaffolding proteins present in the PSD mediate a number of plasticity-associated events through reorganization of PSD-associated proteins, regulation of membrane protein trafficking and activity, as well as the maintenance of associated epithelial cell polarity and morphology.^{90–92} Moreover, the connection between Shank proteins and neuroligins/neurexins indicates that the PSD may bridge with the “active zone” of the presynaptic terminal. The Homer family of scaffolding proteins is encoded by 3 genes (*Homer1*, *Homer2*, and *Homer3*). Homer scaffolding proteins interact with the C-terminus of Group I mGluRs, bind to Shank/PSD-95/ NMDA-receptor complexes, and can also interact with a number of downstream effectors of mGlu1/5 including: IP3 receptors, diacylglycerol lipase-2, and PI3K enhancer (PIKE). Homer proteins are best characterized for their role in regulating mGlu1/5 trafficking, PSD localization, and signaling of mGlu1/5 and NMDA receptors, but are also critical in the regulation of actin and dendritic morphology.⁹³ Furthermore, through their ability to associate with Shank, Homers facilitate cross-talk between mGlu1/5 and NMDA receptors and the integration of their calcium-dependent intracellular events underpinning synaptic plasticity.⁹⁴

3. CENTRAL GLUTAMATE ACTIVITY AND ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE

3.1 Alcohol's Effects on Glutamate Activity and Extracellular Levels

Substantial preclinical evidence indicates that glutamatergic activity mediates natural as well as alcohol- and drug-associated reward through direct and indirect interactions with other neurotransmitter/neuromodulatory systems within the mesocorticolimbic, extended amygdala, and associated reward neurocircuitry (Fig. 1).^{95–102} A key hypothesis that has received considerable attention postulates that the mesocorticolimbic and extended amygdala reward circuits, in the presence of alcohol, lose homeostasis between excitatory and inhibitory transmission and revert to a hyperglutamatergic/hyper-excitatory state resulting in the development and expression of alcohol/drug dependence.^{37,98,102–107}

In general, ethanol consumption and/or exposure to low or moderate doses of ethanol elevate glutamatergic transmission and/or extracellular levels of glutamate in the nucleus accumbens (Acb)¹⁰⁸; Acb shell (AcbSh)^{88,89,109–111}; basolateral amygdala (BLA)¹¹²; cortex¹¹³; Hippocampus^{114,115}; ventral tegmental area (VTA)¹¹⁶; and posterior VTA (pVTA).^{110,117} It has also been shown that genetics influence ethanol-induced increases in extracellular glutamate within the Acb and/or PFC, such that rats with a predisposition for higher ethanol intake (P and Lewis rats) display greater elevations in glutamate relative to

rats with a predisposition for lower ethanol intake (NP and F344 rats).^{118,119} Interestingly, similar relations have been observed between alcohol-preferring versus nonpreferring inbred strains of mice.^{86,120} In addition, these elevations in glutamatergic activity can be conditioned to the environment in which the animal had access to ethanol, with glutamatergic increases seen in the Acb core (AcbCo) or basolateral Amyg (BLA).^{103,121,122}

A recent comprehensive preclinical study provides an excellent example of glutamate's role in the development and expression of alcohol dependence.¹²³ Griffin and coworkers¹²³ evaluated whether free-choice ethanol access would increase Acb extracellular glutamate levels and found that dependence-induced (chronic intermittent access via ethanol-vapor chambers) ethanol-drinking doubled Acb glutamate levels over those seen in nondependent mice. Moreover, these authors reported that this doubling of glutamate was observed a week later indicating that these increases in glutamate activity were not dependent upon ethanol withdrawal itself. Consistent with prior neuropharmacologic results in studies of low versus high alcohol-consuming inbred mice,¹²⁰ Griffin *et al.*¹²³ showed that pharmacologic elevation of glutamate in the Acb, with a pan-glutamate-reuptake inhibitor (Threo-beta-benzyloxyaspartate, TBOA), increased the ethanol intake of nondependent mice to the levels observed in dependent animals, with TBOA also increasing ethanol intake further in the dependent mice. Also consistent with the results of Kaposova and Szumlinski,¹²⁰ when the mGlu2/3 autoreceptor agonist LY379268 was microinjected into the Acb to lower glutamate levels, ethanol intake was decreased in both the dependent and nondependent mice.¹²³ These latter results parallel earlier work indicating that manipulations of extracellular glutamate, including mGlu2/3 activation, actively regulate ethanol intake in multiple animal models of alcoholism (discussed later in the chapter).

Finally, evidence for altered glutamate neurotransmission within the previously mentioned brain regions, as well as the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), has been reported in clinical studies of alcohol-dependent individuals as well.^{124–131} For example, a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) study examining the role of hippocampal glutamate in major depression and risky alcohol drinking revealed that elevated glutamate levels in the hippocampus were directly associated with both the presence of major depression and self-reported risky drinking.¹³² These authors noted that the major depression and risky drinking group did not differ from the control group in age-of-first alcohol use, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) survey scores or smoking behavior; but, this group did have significantly more FHP individuals (approximately six-fold) indicating a possible confound. Another recent MRS study provided support for differences in glutamate activity of FHP versus FHN individuals.¹³³ These authors reported that glutamate/glutamine ratios increased significantly between adolescence and emerging adulthood in FHN, but not FHP, individuals. This suggests that having a familial history of AUDs may genetically predispose an individual for abnormal developmental changes in glutamatergic neurotransmission across periadolescence.¹³³

3.2 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors and Alcohol

It has been shown that ethanol-binge drinking by mice upregulates mGlu1/ 5-Homer2 signaling in several mesocorticolimbic structures including the Acb^{83,84} and the central

amygdala (CeA)⁸⁵ and activation of either or both of these Group I mGluRs is required for mice to manifest binge-drinking behavior.^{83–85}

Several studies have examined the effects of mGlu5 antagonists on operant ethanol self-administration behaviors in P rats as well. Systemic administration of the mGlu5 antagonist 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine (MPEP) can reduce operant ethanol self-administration,¹³⁴ reduce ethanol breakpoint without affecting sucrose breakpoint or locomotor activity,¹³⁵ and block the repeated alcohol-deprivation effect (ADE).¹³⁴ The effects of MPEP in rats were extended to mice by demonstrating that this mGlu5 antagonist interfered with the acquisition and maintenance of ethanol drinking by C57BL/6J mice as well,^{136,137} which appears to depend upon a protein kinase C-epsilon (PKC-epsilon) pathway.¹³⁸ A subsequent study using P rats¹³⁹ examined the effects of systemic MPEP on the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2) pathway,¹⁴⁰ which is downstream of mGlu5 and implicated in addiction. MPEP attenuated cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior, which was associated with decreased phosphorylated (p)ERK1/2 immunoreactivity (IR) in the BLA, but not CeA, and AcbSh, but not AcbCo.¹³⁹ These findings support a role for ERK1/2 phosphorylation in the BLA and AcbSh in mediating cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior. A third study from this laboratory¹⁴¹ confirmed a role for mGlu5 within the AcbCo in ethanol self-administration; such that local application of MPEP into the AcbCo reduced ethanol operant responding without affecting locomotor activity, sucrose or water responding. In contrast, MPEP infused into the dorsomedial caudate nucleus or mPFC did not alter operant ethanol self-administration.¹⁴¹ In a study that examined the effects of mGlu5 antagonist 3-[(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)ethynyl]-pyridine (MTEP) on ethanol self-administration by two high alcohol-consuming rat lines (inbred P (iP) and Fawn-Hooded (FH)) reported that MTEP significantly decreased intake.¹⁴² However, these authors also reported that MTEP induced mild sedative effects in iP but not FH rats. Together, these findings indicate that mGlu5 receptors play an important role in regulating different aspects of alcoholism-related behavior in both rat and mouse models.

Other mGluR ligands have also been tested for their effects on excessive ethanol drinking or ethanol reinforcement. Earlier studies revealed that systemic pretreatment with the selective mGlu1 antagonist, (-)-ethyl (7E)-7-hydroxyimino-1,7a-dihydrocyclopropa[b]chromene-1a-carboxylate (CPCCOEt), produced inconsistent effects on operant ethanol self-administration in P rats¹³⁴ versus C57BL/6J mice,¹³⁷ such that intra-Acb infusions of CPCCOEt were unable to alter binge drinking in mice.⁸³ These inconsistent effects of CPCCOEt on measures of alcohol intake likely reflect its relative insolubility. For instance, the more soluble, highly selective, mGlu1 antagonist JNJ 16259685 lowers operant ethanol self-administration and ethanol breakpoint in P rats when administered systemically^{135,143} and reduces binge drinking when infused into the AcbSh of mice.¹⁴⁴ However, systemic JNJ 16259685 pretreatment has nonselective effects in that it also reduces locomotor activity,^{135,143} which may reflect the high abundance of mGlu1 receptors in the cerebellum and their effects on its control of motor movement.^{134,135,143}

Studies have also examined the effects of targeting mGlu2/3 receptors within the contexts of operant ethanol self-administration. When given systemically, the mGlu2/3 antagonist

LY341495 did not alter operant responding for ethanol by P rats,¹³⁴ although systemic pretreatment with the mGlu2/3 receptor agonist LY404039 reduced ethanol-seeking and -relapse-like behavior.¹⁴⁵ However, these latter authors reported that LY404039 did not alter the maintenance of operant ethanol self-administration in these animals.¹⁴⁵ Interestingly, the local application of the mGlu2/3 agonist LY379268 in the AcbCo was sufficient to reduce operant ethanol self-administration by P rats,¹⁴¹ in a manner akin to the aforementioned studies of mice drinking under free-access conditions in the home cage.^{120,123} While understudied, the effects of mGlu2/3 agonists appear to depend upon the route of administration or the experimental procedures employed. However, as observed with mGlu1 antagonists,^{134,135,143} the effect of intra-AcbCo mGlu2/3 agonism on operant ethanol drinking by P rats is also not specific due to effects on locomotor activity.¹⁴¹ Other lines of evidence support an important role for mGlu2 receptors in drug addiction as well.^{97,102,146}

3.3 Ionotropic Glutamate Receptors and Alcohol

As noted earlier, ionotropic glutamate receptors play an important part in the development of alcohol abuse and dependence, with many of ethanol's effects mediated by these receptors. For instance, Enoch and coworkers¹⁴⁷ as well as Jin and coworkers¹⁴⁸ have reported that numerous ionotropic glutamate receptor subunit expression levels are significantly altered in chronic alcoholics and cocaine addicts, relative to control samples. Nevertheless, there has been limited behavioral-pharmacology research on the involvement of ionotropic receptors in excessive ethanol intake by P rats. Pretreatment with aniracetam, a selective positive allosteric modulator of AMPA receptors, increased operant responding for ethanol and cue-induced reinstatement of operant responding for ethanol by P rats, while not altering locomotor activity or operant responding for sucrose.¹⁴⁹ These authors confirmed the involvement of AMPA receptors by demonstrating that the AMPA receptor antagonist 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione blocked aniracetam-induced increases in ethanol self-administration. Besides the P rat, the selectively bred ALKO alcohol-accepting (high ethanol-consuming rat line from Finland) has also been used to assess the role of AMPA/kainate receptors in excessive ethanol intake, with systemic administration of the antagonist CNQX significantly reducing operant ethanol-seeking behavior by these rats.¹⁵⁰ In addition, systemic administration of the mixed NMDA/glycine receptor antagonist L-701,324 also significantly reduces operant ethanol-seeking behavior in AA rats.¹⁵⁰ While MTEP is an mGlu5 receptor antagonist and decreases ethanol self-administration, it also decreases mRNA expression for both *Glua2* and *Glun1* in the cingulate cortex of iP and FH rats.¹⁴² Thus, the effects of mGlu-receptor activity on ethanol intake are paralleled by its regulation of ionotropic glutamate receptor subunit gene expression underscoring the interaction of these two classes of glutamate receptors. Although there has been limited research on ionotropic glutamate receptors regarding alcohol- and/or drug-intake, -seeking, etc.¹⁵¹; there is clear evidence that NMDA and AMPA receptors are affected by ethanol, which in turn affects neuroplasticity, learning and memory.^{31,32,95,151,152}

3.4 Glutamate Transporters/Carriers and Alcohol

Chronic ethanol-drinking or ethanol exposure significantly reduces glutamate uptake in the brain through downregulation of glutamate transporter, or antiporter, expression¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁶ and reductions in glutamate transporter expression have been confirmed in postmortem

evaluation of brains from alcoholics.¹²⁸ Recent studies have examined the effects of modulating GLT-1, glial EAAT2, and the cystine–glutamate antiporter (xCT) on ethanol intake. Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, increases glutamate reuptake by upregulating GLT1 expression.¹⁵⁷ Given the general hyperglutamatergic state in alcohol/drug dependence, it is not surprising that this compound also decreases ethanol consumption, ethanol dependence (ED)-associated withdrawal signs, and withdrawal-associated escalation of ethanol intake in P rats.^{158–162} These authors reported a consistent upregulation of GLT1 expression in the Acb and mPFC, which was negatively associated with the observed reductions in ethanol consumption. Moreover, these authors have shown that ceftriaxone, or its analogs, significantly reduces ethanol intake and reverses chronic ethanol-induced downregulation of GLT1 expression in the mPFC, Acb, Amyg, and hippocampus, as well as reversing downregulation of xCT in some brain regions.^{153,163–166}

Given that chronic ethanol induces excitotoxicity and oxidative stress, it is noteworthy that ethanol-induced increases in *Slc7a11* (the protein product being xCT, the cystine–glutamate antiporter) are not dependent upon exci-toxicity or oxidative stress.¹⁶⁷ These authors reported that ethanol itself can inhibit octamer-binding transcription factor 1's (OCT-1) repression of the *Slc7a11* promoter *in vitro*, which in turn elevates *Slc7a11* transcription. This increase in xCT would putatively increase the import of cystine into glial cells, where it would be converted to cysteine and subsequently into gluta-thione resulting in increased glutathione and decreased neurotoxicity.⁵⁸ Regarding intracellular glutamate transport, an early study¹⁶⁸ examined the effects of continuous ethanol exposure versus exposure interspersed with repeated deprivations on these vesicular glutamate transporters. These authors reported that repeated deprivations increased vGLUT2-immunos-tained terminals in the AcbSh compared to the water control group. However, ethanol exposure did not alter the level of vGLUT1-immunos-tained terminals in this brain region. These results suggest that the presence of multiple withdrawal episodes preferentially increases vGLUT2 expression in glutamate terminals in the AcbSh of P rats.¹⁶⁸

3.5 Glutamate-Associated Enzyme Activity and Alcohol

Early work revealed that chronic ethanol exposure decreased glutamine synthetase while increasing glutamate and GAD in the cortex of rats.¹⁶⁹ A more recent preclinical study found decreased GAD-67 expression levels, in the BLA, 2 months after 3 weeks of ethanol-diet initiated in adulthood, but not adolescence.¹⁷⁰ Another study reported that chronic ethanol consumption decreased glutamine synthetase in the striatum (dorsal vs. ventral was not delineated) but not cortex of rats.¹⁷¹ A contemporary study also reported reduced glutamine synthetase in the brain, although the area of the brain was not identified, after chronic ethanol consumption, which started at the beginning of adolescence.¹⁷² A postmortem study indicated that glutamine synthetase was downregulated in the hippocampus of alcoholics without hepatic pathology.¹⁷³ These consistent reductions in glutamine synthetase following ethanol exposure or consumption suggest the presence of astro-cytic pathology and, by extension, increased neurotoxicity. Regarding glutamate dehydrogenase, which metabolizes glutamate; adolescent binge-like drinking by rats resulted in a 40% decrease in hippocampal glutamate dehydrogenase 1, which was not seen in rats that received the same protocol during adulthood.¹⁷⁴ Given this finding, it is

noteworthy that ethanol inhibits NMDA excitation and LTP to a greater extent in hippocampal slices from adolescent versus adult rats.^{175,176} Therefore, significant differences in ethanol's effects on glutamatergic activity occur across periadolescence and adulthood (see later in the chapter).

3.6 The Postsynaptic Density and Alcohol

Recent research indicates that alcohol and/or drugs of abuse have a profound developmental effect on the PSD as well^{174,177,178}; such that, similar to earlier reports on the vulnerability of the adolescent hippocampus to alcohol and/or drug exposure,^{175,176} there is a differential effect of binge-like ethanol exposure between adolescent and adult rats. Risher *et al.*¹⁷⁷ reported that adolescent intermittent ethanol (AIE) exposure in rats reduced PSD-95 expression levels in the hippocampus, leading to the retention of immature-like dendritic spine phenotypes into adulthood. There was also a reduction in the number of VGlut1/PSD-95 and VGlut1/SAP102 (another MAGUK) colocalized synaptic puncta and these effects were driven by decreases in PSD-95 and SAP102 density with no effect on presynaptic VGlut1 expression levels.¹⁷⁷ In contrast, chronic intermittent ethanol (CIE) during adulthood¹⁷⁸ did not alter PSD-95 expression in the hippocampus as a whole. However, these authors indicated that adult CIE could alter dendritic complexity in a subregion-specific manner, with a partial return to basal levels after protracted abstinence.¹⁷⁸ Taken together these studies suggest that the PSD-95, and glutamate activity, may be more vulnerable to ethanol-induced changes during adolescence than during adulthood and that adolescent ethanol-induced changes in PSD-95 may interfere with the maturation of dendritic spines. Similarly, considerable evidence indicates a crucial role for Shank and Homer proteins in neuroplasticity as well as alcohol and drug dependence.^{86–89,179} For example, significant increases in both AcbCo and CeA Homer2a/b expression levels were seen 24 h after the removal of ethanol from chronically drinking P rats.¹⁸⁰

4. GLUTAMATE-ASSOCIATED GENETIC VARIATIONS AND ALCOHOLISM

An early Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) followed by a Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) found that when gene variations were analyzed for grouping, neuronal signaling genes dominated other associations with an individual's level of response to alcohol and glutamate was the primary neurotransmitter system implicated.¹⁸¹ These authors also noted that FHP individuals show an altered level of response to alcohol and ketamine (an NMDA antagonist), thus confirming a genetic risk for alcoholism and altered glutamatergic function.^{181,182} Similarly, a pathway analysis of variants in 130 addiction-related candidate genes confirmed a significant role for glutamate signaling in alcohol dependence, with the odds ratio of *mGlu1*-rs2300620 (>1.6) exceeding that of any other significant gene variant.¹⁸³ A contemporary study using pathway analysis revealed the NMDA-dependent AMPA-trafficking cascade centered on the gene encoding the multiple PDZ domain protein (*Mpdz*) was significantly associated with alcohol dependence in a subset of the SAGE study.¹⁸⁴

Regarding particular gene variants, polymorphisms of *Glun2a*, NR2a subunit of the NMDA receptor, are significantly associated with being an FHP individual, an early onset of risky

drinking during adolescence as well as the maximum number of drinks in adulthood, with this association having been replicated in a second large sample.¹⁸⁵ A subsequent study also found that a polymorphism in the promoter region of *Glun2a* is significantly associated with alcohol dependence, with this finding replicated in a second sample.¹⁸⁶ A variant of another ionotropic glutamate receptor subunit, *Gluk3*, was found to be associated with alcohol withdrawal-associated delirium tremens, but not seizures, in a German cohort.¹⁸⁷ Another study reported that a polymorphism in *Gluk1* is significantly associated with alcohol dependence in Caucasians, although many of the alcohol-dependent, but none of the control, subjects were polysubstance users, which may represent a confound in this study.¹⁸⁸

Following earlier work indicating theta event-related oscillations (EROs) are associated with the P300 event-related potential (ERP), whose amplitude is negatively associated with FHP,^{189,190} a Family Based Association Test (FBAT) was carried out on a subset of the COGA sample.¹⁹¹ These authors reported that multiple polymorphisms of the *mGlu8* gene are significantly associated with theta EROs and a genetic risk to develop alcoholism.¹⁹¹ A subsequent study by this group confirmed that polymorphisms of the *mGlu8* gene are associated with a genetic risk to develop alcohol dependence.¹⁹² In another recent study, the *mGlu3*-rs6465084 polymorphism was found to be significantly associated with alcohol dependence in a male Han Chinese cohort.¹⁹³

A recent study examining the association between polymorphisms in vesicular glutamate transporters and severe alcoholism in a female Swedish cohort indicated a nominally significant association with *Slc17a6*-rs2290045.¹⁹⁴ A study evaluating associations between alcohol dependence and GAD genes (*Gad1* and *Gad2*), the enzyme responsible for conversion of glutamate to GABA, in a subset of the Irish Affected Sib Pair Study of Alcohol Dependence sample reported that two polymorphisms in *Gad1* were associated with initial sensitivity to alcohol and a different polymorphism in *Gad1* was associated with age at alcohol dependence onset.¹⁹⁵ An analysis of the relationship between *Gad1* variants and alcohol dependence in an Italian cohort revealed that the *Gad1*-rs11542313 polymorphism was significant.¹⁹⁶ An earlier study evaluating polymorphisms of *Gad2* indicated that the functional promoter *Gad2*-243A>G variant was significantly associated with alcohol dependence in Russian but not European American males.¹⁹⁷ A contemporary study found that three polymorphisms of *Gad1*, but no polymorphisms of *Gad2*, were significantly associated with alcohol dependence in Han Taiwanese men.¹⁹⁸ In a recent genome-wide DNA methylation study, it was reported that methylation of *Gad1* is significantly associated with alcohol dependence in a cohort of Han Chinese men, suggesting epigenetic effects of chronic alcohol abuse.¹⁹⁹ These results indicate that polymorphisms in *Gad1* are significantly associated with alcohol dependence, or related phenotypes, in multiple populations around the world.

5. ALCOHOL AND GLUTAMATE GENE EXPRESSION

Extending the previously mentioned behavioral pharmacologic and neuropharmacologic studies are the results of a very comprehensive study by Meinhardt and coworkers,²⁰⁰ demonstrating that: (1) in ethanol-dependent rats, glutamate-related gene changes were primarily seen in the mPFC, rather than the Acb or amygdala; (2) within the mPFC of

ethanol-dependent rats, downregulation of the NMDA-receptor subunits *Glu2a* and *Glu2b*, as well as *mGlu2*, but not *mGlu3*, and *egr1* (early growth response protein 1: *Zif268*, a transcription factor involved in neuroplasticity and vesicular exo-cytosis at excitatory synapses) were significant in the infralimbic mPFC only, with *mGlu2* displaying the greatest reduction; (3) the AcbSh receives its glutamatergic projections primarily from the infralimbic mPFC, according to retrograde tracing, and these projection neurons displayed significant ethanol-dependence-associated downregulation of *mGlu2*, *Egr2*, and *Egr4*; (4) while basal glutamate in the AcbSh did not differ between ethanol-dependent and control rats, peripheral administration of the mGlu2/3 agonist LY379268 significantly reduced extracellular glutamate in the AcbSh of control but not ethanol-dependent rats, suggesting a downregulation of mGlu2/3 function; (5) ethanol-dependent rats displayed greater relapse (almost twice as many responses) and progressive ratio than controls; (6) lentiviral knockdown of *mGlu2* in the infralimbic mPFC significantly attenuated cue-induced reinstatement of responding in ethanol-dependent but not nondependent rats; (7) *mGlu2* knockdown rats did not differ from control rats in operant relapse responding, operant responding for sweetened condensed milk, or locomotor activity in an open-field test; and (8) a RT-qPCR analysis of postmortem ACC samples revealed that alcoholics had significantly less *mGlu2* mRNA than their respective controls. An early postmortem study revealed that individuals who had abused nicotine, but not alcohol, displayed greater expression of *Slc17a6* and *Slc17a7* vesicular glutamate transporters in the VTA.²⁰¹ However, in individuals who abused both nicotine and alcohol, these increases in *Slc17a6* and *Slc17a7* were significantly reduced.²⁰¹

In another study, Enoch and coworkers¹⁴⁷ reported that hippocampal glutamate receptor subunit gene expression levels differed between alcoholics, cocaine addicts, and healthy controls. Specifically, *Glu4*, *Gluk3*, and *mGlu4* expression was significantly higher in alcoholics, relative to both controls and cocaine addicts; *Glu2b* expression was higher in both alcoholics and cocaine addicts, relative to control levels; and *Glu2d* and *mGlu3* was upregulated in alcoholics while being downregulated in cocaine addicts, relative to control levels.¹⁴⁷ Substantial changes of glutamate receptor subunit gene expression in the hippocampal dentate gyrus and to a lesser extent orbital frontal cortex, but not the dorsal-lateral prefrontal cortex, of alcoholics versus healthy controls was confirmed in another recent postmortem study.¹⁴⁸ In particular, the data from the dentate gyrus revealed that alcoholics had higher expression levels of *Glu2*, *Glu3*, *Gluk2*, *Gluk3*, *Gluk5*, *Glu1*, *Glu2a*, *Glu2c*, *Glu2d*, and *Glu3a*; whereas only *Glu3a* expression was higher than controls in the orbital frontal cortex.¹⁴⁸

6. ALCOHOL-ASSOCIATED CHANGES IN GENE/PROTEIN EXPRESSION OF P RATS

An examination of protein expression changes in subregions of the Acb and Amyg of chronic ethanol-drinking P rats revealed at 24-h withdrawal that GluN2a expression levels were increased, whereas GluN2b expression levels were decreased in the AcbSh.¹⁸⁰ These authors also reported that Homer2a/b, mGlu1, mGlu5, GluN2a, and GluN2b expression levels were all consistently increased in the AcbCo and CeA.¹⁸⁰ To test the hypothesized

genetic role for the mGlu2 receptor in alcohol dependence, a recent RNA and exome sequencing study revealed that a SNP which creates a stop codon in the *mGlu2* gene is present in P, but not NP, rats.²⁰² This stop codon results in the absence of mGlu2 receptors, impaired gluta-matergic synaptic transmission, and altered levels of multiple genes associated with synaptic function. These authors also examined F2 rats from a PxNP–NPxP cross and found that *mGlu2* expression levels were significantly and inversely related to ethanol consumption, with decreases in *mGlu2* and mGlu2 receptor expression associated with significant increases in ethanol-drinking behavior.²⁰² Similarly, mGlu2 knockout mice display significantly greater ethanol consumption and preference than their wild-type counterparts.²⁰² As mGlu2 receptors function as autoreceptors, the results indicate an inverse relationship between mGlu2 gene/protein expression and ethanol intake, which is consistent with the aforementioned hypothesis that excessive drinking phenotypes are associated with a hyperglutamatergic state.

Our laboratories have published a number of studies that examined central gene and/or protein expression profiles of P rats.^{180,203–215} Here we highlight alcohol-associated glutamate-related genes whose expression levels have been identified as significantly changed by ethanol self-administration in P rats, or innately different between P and NP rats, in brain regions implicated in alcohol reward and reinforcement (Fig. 1).

6.1 Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Expression Differences (Table 1)

A recent study revealed that periadolescent binge-ethanol consumption, using our drinking-in-the-dark—multiple-scheduled-access model,^{27,29} resulted in a general elevation of *mGlu1* and *mGlu4* (twice or three times that of controls, respectively) in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) and *mGlu7* (~1.4-fold increase) in the CeA.²¹³ However, there was a significant decrease (~1.7-fold decrease) in *mGlu6* expression within the DRN as well. Given that *mGlu1*, for the most part, is excitatory and the others inhibitory, this suggests a balance between ethanol-induced up- and downregulation of gene expression for these metabotropic receptors.

mGlu2 and *mGlu3* expression levels were significantly lower in the AcbSh and CeA of adult ethanol-naive P versus NP rats.^{209,211} As discussed earlier, Zhou and coworkers²⁰² reported a stop-codon polymorphism of *mGlu2* in P, but not present in NP rats that appear to predispose them to high ethanol consumption. And, multiple studies^{134,135,141,143,145} have shown that mGlu2/3 agonists, presumably acting at the presynaptic autoreceptor, block ethanol-self-administration, -seeking, and -relapse behavior in P rats. Noteworthy is the fact that *mGlu4*, *mGlu5*, *mGlu7*, and *mGlu8* (all are involved with inhibitory activity, generally at the presynaptic terminal) are also significantly lower in the AcbSh of P versus NP rats. Gene expression for only one mGluR, *mGlu1*, was ~1.4-fold higher in the AcbSh of P versus NP rats, which is a finding consistent with prior results from inbred C57BL/6J versus DBA2/J mice.⁸⁶ Regarding the effects of ethanol, operant ethanol self-administration by adult P rats significantly reduced *mGlu1* (~20% decrease) expression levels in the AcbSh, relative to ethanol-naive P rats.²¹⁴ Given *mGlu1*'s basal elevation, relative to NP rats, it is possible that ethanol self-administration could reverse these levels; although, it is also possible for mGlu1 protein levels to be elevated by ethanol with mRNA levels responding in

the opposite direction. Regarding this hypothesis, our laboratory has shown that extended (6 months) ethanol drinking by P rats does indeed increase mGlu1, and mGlu5, receptor protein expression in the AcbCo and CeA.¹⁸⁰ Again, previous work with P rats found that an mGlu1 antagonist significantly reduced operant ethanol self-administration and breakpoint, although motor activity appeared to be affected as well.^{135,143} In general, these findings indicate that the effects of ethanol self-administration on Group I *mGluR* mRNA expression can be distinguished from effects upon protein expression and/or that different ethanol-drinking experiences/ procedures elicit distinct changes in receptor mRNA/protein expression within Acb subregions, with more protracted drinking regimens eliciting changes within the more dorsal AcbCo.

Overall, adolescent binge-like ethanol drinking upregulated two of the three mGlu receptors identified as significantly changed. In the AcbSh of adult animals, P rats had only one of the seven mGlu genes identified as significantly greater than that seen in NP rats. It is noteworthy that the one gene that had higher expression levels in the AcbSh of adult P rats was downregulated following ethanol self-administration. Both genes identified as significantly different in the CeA of adult rats were Group II (mGlu2 and mGlu3) metabotropic receptors and were lower in P versus NP rats.

6.2 Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor Expression Differences (Table 2)

Ionotropic glutamate receptor subunits *GluA3*, *GluA4*, and *GluK3* were all elevated in the DRN following periadolescent binge-ethanol drinking, but not to the same extent as *mGlu1* and *mGlu4*.²¹³ Gene expression changes were also observed for the “orphan” ionotropic glutamate delta receptor subunits *Glud1* and *Glud2*. Regarding *Glud2*, there was a 3.5-fold increase in *Glud2ip* (delphilin) after periadolescent binge drinking as well;²¹³ *Glud2ip* is a scaffolding protein for *Glud2*. To some extent, this parallels increases in expression levels of *mGlu7*, in the CeA, induced by periadolescent binge-ethanol drinking. In the periadolescent binge-drinking P rats, the *GluN* subunits were generally downregulated, with only the *GluN2c* subunit being upregulated.²¹³

In adult P rats, whereas metabotropic glutamate receptor gene expression levels were consistently lower than those of NP rats; this was not true for *GluA* and *GluK* gene expression,^{209,211} which was mixed. *GluA1*, *GluA2*, *GluA3*, and *GluK3* expression levels were all lower in the AcbSh of P versus NP rats; whereas *GluA4* and *GluK1* expression levels were higher in the AcbSh of P versus NP rats.²¹¹ Ethanol-binge drinking by adult P rats reversed the ~30% deficit of *GluA1* in the AcbSh through a 15% increase, relative to ethanol-naive controls.²⁰⁸ However, operant ethanol self-administration by adult P rats significantly reduced *GluA2* and *GluA3* expression levels, versus ethanol-naive controls, in the AcbSh.²¹⁴ Thus, in spite of AcbSh deficits in *GluA2* and *GluA3* of adult P versus NP rats,²¹¹ operant ethanol self-administration appears to exacerbate this condition with further decreases in expression levels.

Surprisingly, despite no adult CeA *GluA*, *GluK*, or *GluN* gene expression differences between P and NP rats; ethanol-binge drinking by adult P rats elevated *GluA1*, *GluA2*, *GluA3*, *GluK2*, *GluK5*, and *GluN3a* expression levels, with no significant downregulation of ionotropic glutamate receptor genes in this region.²⁰⁸

Overall, periadolescent binge-like drinking decreased gene expression for certain NMDA receptor subunits in the DRN, but increased particular AMPA, delta, and kainate receptor subunits within this region as well. Ethanol self-administration by adult P rats did not downregulate any NMDA receptor subunits in the AcbSh but did upregulate an AMPA, delta, and NMDA-receptor subunit.

6.3 Expression Differences for Glutamate Transporters, Enzymes, and Postsynaptic Density (Table 3)

One of the most striking findings is that periadolescent binge-like drinking significantly downregulated gene expression for only three ancillary proteins (*Mpp5*, a MAGUK subfamily member, by ~30%; as well as *Vglut2* and *Vglut3* by ~2-fold in the DRN); while upregulating expression levels for *Homer3* and *Slc1a1* in the CeA along with nine genes from the PSD (e.g., *Homer3* ~4-fold and *Tjp3* ~2.5-fold), as well as all three Shanks, two glutamate transporters (e.g., *Slc1a6* ~3-fold), and two glutamate carriers in the DRN.²¹³

In the adult AcbSh, P rats had lower gene expression of *Homer1* than NP rats.²¹¹ However, operant self-administration of ethanol by adult P rats upregulated *Homer1* by 3.5-fold in the AcbSh.²¹⁴ These data are interesting as studies of rodents with free-access to ethanol^{83–85,89,180} or rodents injected repeatedly with alcohol^{86,88} have consistently detected increases in Homer2 protein expression within Acb and amygdala structures, without detecting significant changes in Homer1 protein expression. This raises the possibility that ethanol-induced changes in Homer1 mRNA/protein expression may depend upon nonpharmacologic factors associated with the act of ethanol taking, which has recently been demonstrated to be the case with respect to intravenous cocaine taking.²¹⁶ Again in the AcbSh, P rats had higher expression levels of *Shank1*, whereas NP rats had higher expression levels of *Shank2* and *Shank3*.²¹¹ Our data suggest a dissociation between the effects of home-cage ethanol drinking which decreased expression of *Shank1* by ~15%²⁰⁴ as opposed to operant ethanol self-administration which increased expression levels of *Shank1* by ~20%²¹⁴ in the AcbSh. Some support for this dissociation can be deduced from the fact that operant ethanol self-administration also increased *Shank2* expression levels by ~20% in the AcbSh.²¹⁴

In the adult CeA, operant ethanol self-administration upregulated *Homer1* expression levels by over two-fold; although operant ethanol self-administration also downregulated *Homer2* expression by ~20%, relative to controls.²¹⁴ This latter result is peculiar given that chronic free-choice access to ethanol upregulates CeA Homer2 protein expression in P rats¹⁸⁰ and C57BL/6J mice;⁸⁵ which, again, may be due to nonpharmacologic factors related to the operant-conditioning procedures employed in the mRNA study.

Glycine transporter expression levels in the adult AcbSh were higher and glutamate transporter expression levels were lower in P rats compared to NP rats.²¹¹ Additionally, whenever ethanol intake modified membrane glutamate transporter expression levels, once each in the adult or adolescent CeA and multiple times in the adolescent DRN, it was always for an increase relative to control levels.^{208,213} However, when examining vesicular glutamate transporter expression levels, *Vglut1* was increased by five-fold, whereas *Vglut2* and *Vglut3* were reduced by ~two-fold in the DRN following peri-adolescent binge-like

drinking.²¹³ Also, in the adult *AcbShVglut2* expression levels were more than two-fold higher than that seen in NP rats.²¹¹

In general, adolescent binge-like drinking upregulated 14 of the 17 glutamate ancillary genes identified as significantly changed, suggesting that ethanol induces substantial increases in DRN glutamatergic activity during this stage of development. Of the genes identified as significantly different between P and NP rats, in the *AcbSh* of adult animals, half were higher and half were lower. In the *CeA* (9 of 17) and *VTA* (4 of 5), adult P rats generally had lower expression levels of glutamate ancillary genes than their NP counterparts. Regarding ethanol exposure, 6 of the 10 genes identified as significantly different relative to ethanol-naïve controls were upregulated in the *AcbSh* of adult P rats. In ethanol-drinking/self-administering adult P rats, two of the five genes identified as significant in the *CeA* were downregulated. Overall, these findings of glutamate transporter and cytoskeleton/scaffolding protein gene expression level changes induced by ethanol parallel the existing literature indicating that ethanol exposure alters glutamate clearance from the synapse and induces neuro-plastic changes in the PSD.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The findings discussed in this review include results from both pre-clinical as well as neuroimaging and postmortem clinical studies. Expression levels for a number of glutamate-associated genes and/or proteins are modulated by alcohol abuse and dependence. These changes in expression include metabotropic receptors and ionotropic receptor subunits as well as different glutamate transporters. Moreover, these changes in gene expression parallel pharmacologic manipulation of these same receptors and transporters. Some of these gene expression changes may have predated alcohol abuse and dependence, because a number of glutamate-associated polymorphisms are related to a genetic predisposition to develop alcohol dependence. Other glutamate-associated polymorphisms have been linked to age at the onset of alcohol-dependence and/or initial level of response/sensitivity to alcohol. Finally, findings of innate and/or ethanol-induced glutamate-associated gene expression differences/changes observed in a genetic animal model of alcoholism, the P rat, are highlighted. Overall, the existing literature indicates that changes in receptors, transporters, enzymes, and scaffolding proteins are crucial for the development of alcohol dependence and there is a substantial genetic component to these effects.

This review reveals that there are presently key limitations to our understanding of glutamate's role in the development of alcohol dependence and the impact that genetics has on this process. First, there are no studies examining glutamate-associated gene and/or protein expression changes across the juvenile, adolescent, emerging adult, and full adult stages of development. This information is crucial given the fact that the risky drinking age-of-onset is inversely associated with the probability of developing alcohol dependence (i.e., earlier onset leads to higher risk of developing alcoholism). And, while there is some evidence for an association between being a FHP individual and initiating risky drinking at a younger age, findings comparing the effects of ethanol on glutamate function between FHP individuals/models and FHN individuals/models are very limited. Second, studies thus far have been limited to gross examinations of regions and/or subregions of major structures in

the central reward neurocircuitry. Also related to this point, a third limitation is the lack of publications examining multiple regions (i.e., putative circuits) within a single study. Despite these limitations, substantial progress has been made with new targets for medications development/screening identified, such as the GLT1/EAAT2 glutamate transporter or PKC-epsilon's modulation of mGlu5 activity. Nevertheless, the research community still has much to do in unraveling the role of glutamate-associated genes in the development of alcohol dependence, especially as it relates to pharmacogenomics and personalized pharmacologic interventions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by AA13522 to RLB; AA020396 to RLB; AA020892 to HJE; AA016650 to KKS from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). The views expressed in this manuscript are completely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies at the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Research Society on Alcoholism. Impact of Alcoholism and Alcohol Induced Disease on America. Austin, TX: Research Society on Alcoholism; 2009.
2. Research Society on Alcoholism. Impact of Alcoholism and Alcohol Induced Disease on America. Austin, TX: Research Society on Alcoholism; 2015.
3. Mokdad A, Marks J, Stroup D, Gerberding J. Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. *JAmMedAssoc.* 2004; 291:1238–1245.
4. Rehm J. The risks associated with alcohol use and alcoholism. *Alcohol Res Health.* 2011; 34:135–143. [PubMed: 22330211]
5. Rehm J, Room R, Graham K, Monteiro M, Gmel G, Sempos CT. The relationship of average volume of alcohol consumption and patterns of drinking to burden of disease—an overview. *Addict.* 2003; 98:1209–1228.
6. Reed T, Page WF, Viken RJ, Christian JC. Genetic predisposition to organ-specific endpoints of alcoholism. *AlcoholClinExpRes.* 1996; 20:1528–1533.
7. Cloninger CR. Neurogenetic adaptive mechanisms in alcoholism. *Science.* 1987; 236:410–416. [PubMed: 2882604]
8. Cotton NS. The familial incidence of alcoholism. *JStudAlcohol.* 1979; 40:89–116.
9. Schuckit MA. Genetic aspects of alcoholism. *AnnEmergMed.* 1986; 15:991–996.
10. Reich T, Edenberg HJ, Goate A, Williams JT, Rice JP, VanEerdewegh P, Foroud T, Hesselbrock V, Schuckit MA, Bucholz K, Porjesz B, Li T-K, Conneally PM, Nurnberger JI Jr, Tischfield JA, Crowe R, Cloninger CR, Wu W, Shears S, Carr K, Crose C, Willig C, Begleiter H. Genome-wide search for genes affecting the risk for alcohol dependence. *AmJMedGenet.* 1998; 81:207–215.
11. Agrawal A, Hinrichs AL, Dunn G, Bertelsen S, Dick DM, Saccone SF, Saccone NL, Gruzca RA, Wang JC, Cloninger CR, Edenberg HJ, Foroud T, Hesselbrock V, Kramer J, Bucholz KK, Kuperman S, Nurnberger JI, Porjesz B, Schuckit MA, Goate AM, Bierut LJ. Linkage scan for quantitative traits identifies new regions of interest for substance dependence in the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA) sample. *DrugAlcoholDepend.* 2008; 93:12–20.
12. Edenberg HJ, Foroud T. Genetics and alcoholism. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2013; 10:487–494. [PubMed: 23712313]
13. Kapoor M, Wang J-C, Wetherill L, Le N, Bertelsen S, Hinrichs AL, Budde J, Agrawal A, Bucholz K, Dick D, Harari O, Hesselbrock V, Kramer J, Nurnberger JI, Rice J, Saccone N, Schuckit M, Tischfield J, Porjesz B, Edenberg HJ, Bierut L, Foroud T, Goate A. A meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies to identify novel loci for maximum number of alcoholic drinks. *HumGenet.* 2013; 132:1141–1151.
14. Levey DF, Le-Niculescu H, Frank J, Ayalew M, Jain N, Kirilin B, Learman R, Winiger E, Rodd Z, Shekhar A, Schork N, Kiefe F, Wodarz N, Muller-Myhsok B, Dahmen N, Nothen M, Sherva R,

- Farrer L, Smith AH, Kranzler HR, Rietschel M, Gelernter J, Niculescu AB. Consortium GESGASA. Genetic risk prediction and neurobiological understanding of alcoholism. *TranslPsychiatry*. 2014; 4:e391.
15. Rietschel M, Treutlein J. The genetics of alcohol dependence. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2013; 1282:39–70. [PubMed: 23170934]
 16. Wong CCY, Schumann G. Genetics of addictions: strategies for addressing heterogeneity and polygenicity of substance use disorders. *PhilosTrans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2008; 363:3213–3222.
 17. Yan J, Aliev F, Webb BT, Kendler KS, Williamson VS, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A, Kos MZ, Almasy L, Nunberger JI, Schuckit MA, Kramer JR, Rice JP, Kuperman S, Goate AM, Tischfield JA, Porjesz B, Dick DM. Using genetic information from candidate gene and genome-wide association studies in risk prediction for alcohol dependence. *AddictBiol*. 2014; 19:708–721.
 18. Richter CP, Campbell KH. Alcohol taste thresholds and concentrations of solutions preferred by rats. *Science*. 1940; 9:507–508. [PubMed: 17847448]
 19. Williams RJ, Berry LJ, Beerstecher E Jr. Individual metabolic patterns, alcoholism, genotrophic diseases. *ProcNatAcadSciUSA*. 1949; 35:265–271.
 20. Mardones J, Segovia-Riquelme N. Thirty-two years of selection of rats by ethanol preference: UChA and UChB strains. *NeurobehavToxicolTeratol*. 1983; 5:171–178.
 21. Bell RL, Sable HJK, Colombo G, Hyytia P, Rodd ZA, Lumeng L. Animal models for medications development targeting alcohol abuse using selectively bred rat lines: neu-robiological and pharmacological validity. *PharmacolBiochemBehav*. 2012; 103:119–155.
 22. McBride WJ, Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Lumeng L, Li T-K. The alcohol-preferring (P) and high-alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats—animal models of alcoholism. *Alcohol*. 2014; 48:209–215. [PubMed: 24268381]
 23. Cicero, TJ. A critique of animal analogues of alcoholism. In: Majchrowicz, E.; Noble, EP., editors. *Biochemistry and Pharmacology of Ethanol*. Vol. 2. New York: Plenum Press; 1979. p. 533-560.
 24. Lester D, Freed EX. Criteria for an animal model of alcoholism. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1973; 1:103–107. [PubMed: 4204511]
 25. McBride WJ, Li T-K. Animal models of alcoholism: neurobiology of high alcohol-drinking behavior in rodents. *CritRevNeurobiol*. 1998; 12:339–369.
 26. Bell, RL.; Franklin, KM.; Hauser, SR.; Engleman, EA. Next stop dependence. Binge drinking on the road to alcoholism: preclinical findings on its neurobiology from rat animal models. In: Harris, SB., editor. *Binge Eating and Binge Drinking: Psychological, Social and Medical Implications*. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2013. p. 1-60.
 27. Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Engleman EA, Toalston JE, McBride WJ. Scheduled access alcohol drinking by alcohol-preferring (P) and high alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats: modeling adolescent and adult binge-like drinking. *Alcohol*. 2014; 48:225–234. [PubMed: 24290311]
 28. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) National Advisory Council. NIAAA Council approves definition of binge drinking. *NIAAANewsl*. 2004; 3:5.
 29. Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Smith RJ, Toalston JE, Franklin KM, McBride WJ. Modeling binge-like ethanol drinking by peri-adolescent and adult P rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2011; 100:90–97. [PubMed: 21824488]
 30. Crabbe JC, Bell RL, Ehlers CL. Human and laboratory rodent low response to alcohol: is better consilience possible? *Addict Biol*. 2010; 15:125–144. [PubMed: 20148776]
 31. Henley JM, Wilkinson KA. AMPA receptor trafficking and the mechanisms underlying synaptic plasticity and cognitive aging. *DialoguesClinNeurosci*. 2013; 15:11–27.
 32. Morris RGM. NMDA receptors and memory encoding. *Neuropharmacology*. 2013; 74:32–40. [PubMed: 23628345]
 33. Warburton EC, Barker GRI, Brown MW. Investigations into the involvement of NMDA mechanisms in recognition memory. *Neuropharmacology*. 2013; 74:41–47. [PubMed: 23665343]
 34. Danbolt NC. Glutamate uptake. *ProgNeurobiol*. 2001; 65:1–105.
 35. Niciu MJ, Kelmendi B, Sanacora G. Overview of glutamatergic neurotransmission in the nervous system. *PharmacolBiochemBehav*. 2012; 100:656–664.

36. Traynelis SF, Wollmuth LP, McBain CJ, Menniti FS, Vance KM, Ogden KK, Hansen KB, Yuan H, Myers SJ, Dingledine R. Glutamate receptor ion channels: structure, regulation, and function. *PharmacolRev.* 2010; 62:405–496.
37. Sari Y. Role of glutamate transporter 1 in the attenuation of alcohol intake. *Front Neurosci.* 2014; 8:200. [PubMed: 25100932]
38. Wang Y, Qin ZH. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of excitotoxic neuronal death. *Apoptosis.* 2010; 15:1382–1402. [PubMed: 20213199]
39. Abe T, Sugihara H, Nawa H, Shigemoto R, Mizuno N, Nakanishi S. Molecular characterization of a novel metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 coupled to inositol phosphate/Ca²⁺-signal transduction. *J Biol Chem.* 1992; 267:13361–13368. [PubMed: 1320017]
40. Fotuhi M, Sharp AH, Glatt CE, Hwang PM, von Krosigk M, Snyder SH, Dawson TM. Differential localization of phosphoinositide-linked metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR1) and the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor in rat brain. *J Neurosci.* 1993; 13:2001–2012. [PubMed: 8386753]
41. Shigemoto R, Nomura S, Ohishi H, Sugihara H, Nakanishi S, Mizuno N. Immunohistochemical localization of a metabotropic glutamate receptor, mGluR5, in the rat brain. *Neurosci Lett.* 1993; 163:53–57. [PubMed: 8295733]
42. Romano C, Sesma MA, McDonald CT, O'Malley K, Van den Pol AN, Olney JW. Distribution of metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 immunoreactivity in rat brain. *JCompNeurol.* 1995; 355:455–469.
43. Tamaru Y, Nomura S, Mizuno N, Shigemoto R. Distribution of metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR3 in the mouse CNS: differential location relative to pre- and postsynaptic sites. *Neuroscience.* 2001; 106:481–503. [PubMed: 11591452]
44. Bischoff S, Barhanin J, Bettler B, Mülle C, Heinemann S. Spatial distribution of kainate receptor subunit mRNA in the mouse basal ganglia and ventral mesencephalon. *JComp Neurol.* 1997; 379:541–562. [PubMed: 9067842]
45. Isaac JTR, Nicoll RA, Malenka RC. Silent glutamatergic synapses in the mammalian brain. *CanJPhysiolPharmacol.* 1997; 77:735–737.
46. Monaghan, DT.; Buller, AL. Anatomical, pharmacological, and molecular diversity of native NMDA receptor subtypes. In: Collingridge, GL.; Watkins, JC., editors. *The NMDA Receptor.* 2. New York: Oxford University Press; 1994. p. 158-176.
47. Petralia RS, Wang Y-X, Wenthold RJ. Histological and ultrastructural localization of the kainite receptor subunits, KA2 and GluR6/7, in the rat nervous system using selective antipeptide antibodies. *JComp Neurol.* 1994; 349:85–110. [PubMed: 7852627]
48. Tarazi FI, Baldessarini RJ. Regional localization of dopamine and ionotropic glutamate receptor subtypes in striatolimbic brain regions. *JNeurosci Res.* 1999; 55:401–410. [PubMed: 10723051]
49. Van Bockstaele EJ, Colago EEO. Ultrastructural localization of the kainite selective glutamate receptor in noradrenergic perikarya and dendrites of the nucleus locus coeruleus in the rat brain. *BrainRes.* 1996; 732:223–231.
50. Ady V, Perroy J, Tricoire L, Piochon C, Dadak S, Chen X, Dusart I, Fagni L, Lambolez B, Levenes C. Type 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlu1) trigger the gating of GluD2 delta glutamate receptors. *EMBORep.* 2014; 15:103–109.
51. Kohda K, Kakegawa W, Matsuda S, Yamamoto T, Hirano H, Yuzaki M. The delta2 glutamate receptor gates long-term depression by coordinating interactions between two AMPA receptor phosphorylation sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2013; 110:E948–E957. [PubMed: 23431139]
52. Konno K, Matsuda K, Nakamoto C, Uchigashima M, Miyazaki T, Yamasaki M, Sakimura K, Yuzaki M, Watanabe M. Enriched expression of GluD1 in higher brain regions and its involvement in parallel fiber-interneuron synapse formation in the cerebellum. *JNeurosci.* 2014; 34:7412–7424. [PubMed: 24872547]
53. Orth A, Tapken D, Hollman M. The delta subfamily of glutamate receptors: characterization of receptor chimeras and mutants. *EurJNeurosci.* 2013; 37:1620–1630.
54. Swerdlow NR, Shilling PD, Breier M, Trim RS, Light GA, Marie RS. Fronto-temporal-mesolimbic gene expression and heritable differences in amphetamine-disrupted sensorimotor gating in rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2012; 224:349–362. [PubMed: 22700037]

55. Wadiche JI, Amara SG, Kavanaugh MP. Ion fluxes associated with excitatory amino acid transport. *Neuron*. 1995; 15:721–728. [PubMed: 7546750]
56. Arriza JL, Eliasof S, Kavanaugh MP, Amara SG. Excitatory amino acid transporter 5, a retinal glutamate transporter coupled to a chloride conductance. *ProcNatlAcadSciUSA*. 1997; 94:4155–4160.
57. Tanaka K. Functions of glutamate transporters in the brain. *Neurosci Res*. 2000; 37:15–19. [PubMed: 10802340]
58. Patten AR, Brocardo PS, Sakiyama C, Wortman RC, Noonan A, Gil-Mohapel J, Christie BR. Impairments in hippocampal synaptic plasticity following prenatal ethanol exposure are dependent on glutathione levels. *Hippocampus*. 2013; 23:1463–1475. [PubMed: 23996467]
59. Sala C, Roussignol G, Meldolesi J, Fagni L. Key role of the postsynaptic density scaffold proteins Shank and Homer in the functional architecture of Ca²⁺ homeostasis at dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons. *JNeurosci*. 2005; 25:4587–4592. [PubMed: 15872106]
60. Sheng M, Kim E. The Shank family of scaffold proteins. *J Cell Sci*. 2000; 113:1851–1856. [PubMed: 10806096]
61. O'Connor EC, Bariselli S, Bellone C. Synaptic basis of social dysfunction: a focus on postsynaptic proteins linking group-I mGluRs with AMPARs and NMDARs. *EurJ Neurosci*. 2014; 39:1114–1129. [PubMed: 24712991]
62. Funke L, Dakoji S, Bredt DS. Membrane-associated guanylate kinases regulate cell adhesion and plasticity at cell junctions. *Annu RevBiochem*. 2005; 74:219–245.
63. Garbett D, Bretscher A. The surprising dynamics of scaffolding proteins. *MolBiolCell*. 2014; 25:2315–2319.
64. Shaw AS, Filbert EL. Scaffold proteins and immune-cell signaling. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2009; 9:47–56. [PubMed: 19104498]
65. Bard L, Sainlos M, Bouchet D, Cousins S, Mikasova L, Breillat C, Stephenson FA, Imperiali B, Choquet D, Groc L. Dynamic and specific interaction between synaptic NR2-NMDA receptor and PDZ proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010; 107:19561–19566. [PubMed: 20974938]
66. Bockaert J, Perroy J, Bécamel C, Marin P, Fagni L. GPCR interacting proteins (GIPs) in the nervous system: roles in physiology and pathologies. *Annu Rev PharmacolToxicol*. 2010; 50:89–109.
67. Tao-Cheng JH, Yang Y, Reese TS, Dosemeci A. Differential distribution of Shank and GKAP at the postsynaptic density. *PLoSOne*. 2015; 10:e0118750.
68. Béïque JC, Lin DT, Kang MG, Aizawa H, Takamiya K, Haganir RL. Synapse-specific regulation of AMPA receptor function by PSD-95. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103:19535–19540. [PubMed: 17148601]
69. Schnell E, Sizemore M, Karimzadegan S, Chen L, Bredt DS, Nicoll RA. Direct interactions between PSD-95 and stargazin control synaptic AMPA receptor number. *ProcNatlAcad SciUSA*. 2002; 99:13902–13907.
70. Chen J, Yu S, Fu Y, Li X. Synaptic proteins and receptors defects in autism spectrum disorders. *FrontCellNeurosci*. 2014; 8:276.
71. Jaffrey SR, Snowman AM, Eliasson MJL, Cohen NA, Snyder SH. CAPON: a protein associated with neuronal nitric oxide synthase that regulates its interaction with PSD95. *Neuron*. 1998; 20:115–124. [PubMed: 9459447]
72. Garner CC, Nash J, Haganir RL. PDZ domains in synapse assembly and signaling. *TrendsCellBiol*. 2000; 10:274–280.
73. Kornau HC, Seeburg PH, Kennedy MB. Interaction of ion channels and receptors with PDZ domain proteins. *CurrOpin Neurobiol*. 1997; 7:368–373.
74. Ziff EB. Enlightening the postsynaptic density. *Neuron*. 1997; 19:1163–1174. [PubMed: 9427241]
75. El-Husseini AE, Schnell E, Chetkovich DM, Nicoll RA, Bredt DS. PSD-95 involvement in maturation of excitatory synapses. *Science*. 2000; 290:1364–1368. [PubMed: 11082065]
76. Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. Long-term potentiation—a decade of progress? *Science*. 1999; 285:1870–1874. [PubMed: 10489359]

77. Malinow R, Mainen ZF, Hayashi Y. LTP mechanisms: from silence to four-lane traffic. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2000; 10:352–357.
78. Beattie EC, Carroll RC, Yu X, Morishita W, Yasuda H, von Zastrow M, Malenka RC. Regulation of AMPA receptor endocytosis by a signaling mechanism shared with LTD. *NatNeurosci.* 2000; 3:1291–1300.
79. Ehlers MD. Reinsertion or degradation of AMPA receptors determined by activity-dependent endocytic sorting. *Neuron.* 2000; 28:511–525. [PubMed: 11144360]
80. Lin JW, Ju W, Foster K, Lee SH, Ahmadian G, Wyszynski M, Wang YT, Sheng M. Distinct molecular mechanisms and divergent endocytotic pathways of AMPA receptor internalization. *NatNeurosci.* 2000; 3:1282–1290.
81. Lüscher C, Xia H, Beattie EC, Carroll RC, von Zastrow M, Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. Role of AMPA receptor cycling in synaptic transmission and plasticity. *Neuron.* 1999; 24:649–658. [PubMed: 10595516]
82. Tomita S, Nicoll RA, Brecht DS. PDZ protein interactions regulating glutamate receptor function and plasticity. *JCellBiol.* 2001; 153:F19–F24.
83. Cozzoli DK, Goulding SP, Zhang P-W, Xiao B, Hu J-H, Ary AW, Obara I, Rahn A, Abou-Ziab H, Tyrrel B, Marini C, Yoneyama N, Metten P, Snelling C, Dehoff M, Crabbe JC, Finn DA, Klugmann M, Worley PF, Szumlinski KK. Binge drinking up-regulated accumbens mGluR5-Homer2-PI3K signaling: functional implications for alcoholism. *JNeurosci.* 2009; 29:8655–8668. [PubMed: 19587272]
84. Cozzoli DK, Courson J, Caruana AL, Miller BW, Thompson AB, Wroten M, Zhang PW, Xiao B, Hu J-H, Klugmann M, Metten P, Worley PW, Crabbe JC, Szumlinski KK. Accumbens shell metabotropic glutamate receptor 5-associated signaling regulates binge alcohol drinking: evidence from drinking-in-the-dark studies. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2012; 36:1623–1633. [PubMed: 22432643]
85. Cozzoli DK, Courson J, Wroten MG, Greentree DI, Lum EN, Campbell RR, Thompson AB, Worley PF, Jonquieres G, Klugmann M, Finn DA, Szumlinski KK. Binge alcohol drinking by mice requires intact Group1 metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling within the central nucleus of the amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2014; 39:435–444. [PubMed: 23966068]
86. Goulding SP, Obara I, Lominac KD, Gould AT, Miller BW, Klugmann M, Szumlinski KK. Accumbens Homer2-mediated signaling: a factor contributing to mouse strain differences in alcohol drinking? *GenesBrainBehav.* 2011; 10:111–126.
87. Szumlinski KK, Dehoff MH, Kang SH, Frys KA, Lominac KD, Rohrer J, Griffin W III, Klugmann M, Toda S, Champiaux NP, Berry T, Shealy S, During M, Middaugh LD, Worley PF, Kalivas PW. Homer proteins regulate vulnerability to cocaine. *Neuron.* 2004; 43:401–413. [PubMed: 15294147]
88. Szumlinski KK, Lominac KD, Oleson EB, Walker JK, Mason A, Dehoff MH, Klugmann M, Cagle S, Welt K, During MT, Worley PF, Middaugh LD, Kalivas PW. Homer2 is necessary for ethanol-induced neuroplasticity. *J Neurosci.* 2005; 25:7054–7061. [PubMed: 16049182]
89. Szumlinski KK, Ary AW, Lominac KD, Klugmann M, Kippin TE. Accumbens Homer2 over-expression facilitates alcohol-induced neuroplasticity in C57BL/6J mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2008; 33:1365–1378. [PubMed: 17568396]
90. Harris BZ, Lim WA. Mechanism and role of PDZ domains in signaling complex assembly. *JCellSci.* 2001; 114:3219–3231.
91. Jani K, Schöck F. Zasp is required for the assembly of functional integrin adhesion sites. *JCellBiol.* 2007; 179:1583–1597.
92. Maday S, Anderson E, Chang HC, Shorter J, Satoh A, Sfakianos J, Fölsch H, Anderson JM, Walther Z, Mellman I. A PDZ-binding motif controls basolateral targeting of syndecan-1 along the biosynthetic pathway in polarized epithelial cells. *Tra/c.* 2008; 9:1915–1924.
93. Shiraishi-Yamaguchi Y, Furuichi T. The Homer family of proteins. *Genome Biol.* 2007; 8:206–212. [PubMed: 17316461]
94. Worley PF, Zeng W, Huang G, Kim JY, Shin DM, Kim MS, Yuan JP, Kiselyov K, Muallem S. Homer proteins in Ca²⁺ signaling by excitable and non-excitable cells. *Cell Calcium.* 2007; 42:363–371. [PubMed: 17618683]

95. Chandler LJ. Ethanol and brain plasticity: receptors and molecular networks of the postsynaptic density as targets of ethanol. *PharmacolTher.* 2003; 99:311–326.
96. Grace AA, Floresco SB, Goto Y, Lodge DJ. Regulation of firing of dopaminergic neurons and control of goal-directed behaviors. *Trends Neurosci.* 2007; 30:220–227. [PubMed: 17400299]
97. Kalivas PW, Lalumiere RT, Knackstedt L, Shen H. Glutamate transmission in addiction. *Neuropharmacology.* 2009; 56(suppl 1):169–173. [PubMed: 18675832]
98. Koob GF. Alcoholism: allostasis and beyond. *AlcoholClinExpRes.* 2003; 27:232–243.
99. McBride WJ. Central nucleus of the amygdala and the effects of alcohol and alcohol-drinking behavior in rodents. *PharmacolBiochemBehav.* 2002; 71:509–515.
100. McCool BA. Ethanol modulation of synaptic plasticity. *Neuropharmacology.* 2011; 61:1097–1108. [PubMed: 21195719]
101. Steketee JD, Kalivas PW. Drug wanting: behavioral sensitization and relapse to drug-seeking behavior. *PharmacolRev.* 2011; 63:348–365.
102. Spanagel R. Alcoholism: a systems approach from molecular physiology to addictive behavior. *PhysiolRev.* 2009; 89:649–705.
103. Gass JT, Olive MF. Glutamatergic substrates of drug addiction and alcoholism. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2008; 75:218–265. [PubMed: 17706608]
104. Quintero GC. Role of nucleus accumbens glutamatergic plasticity in drug addiction. *Neuropsychiatric DisTreat.* 2013; 9:1499–1512.
105. Rao PSS, Bell RL, Engleman EA, Sari Y. Targeting glutamate uptake to treat alcohol use disorders. *FrontNeurosci.* 2015; 9:144.
106. Reissner KJ, Kalivas PW. Using glutamate homeostasis as a target for treating addictive disorders. *BehavPharmacol.* 2010; 21:514–522.
107. Vengeliene V, Bilbao A, Molander A, Spanagel R. Neuropharmacology of alcohol addiction. *BrJPharmacol.* 2008; 154:299–315.
108. Lallemand F, Ward RJ, de Witte P, Vernanck P. Binge-drinking +/- chronic nicotine administration alters extracellular glutamate and arginine levels in the nucleus accumbens of adult male and female Wistar rats. *AlcoholAlcohol.* 2011; 46:373–382.
109. Carlezon WA, Wise RA. Microinjections of phencyclidine (PCP) and related drugs into nucleus accumbens shell potentiate medial forebrain bundle brain stimulation reward. *Psychopharmacology.* 1996; 128:413–420. [PubMed: 8986012]
110. Ding ZM, Rodd ZA, Engleman EA, Bailey JA, Lahiri DK, McBride WJ. Alcohol drinking and deprivation alter basal extracellular glutamate concentrations and clearance in the mesolimbic system of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Addict Biol.* 2013; 18:297–306. [PubMed: 23240885]
111. Szumlinski KK, Diab ME, Friedman R, Henze LM, Lominac KD, Bowers MS. Accumbens neurochemical adaptations produced by binge-like alcohol consumption. *Psychopharmacology.* 2007; 190:415–431. [PubMed: 17225170]
112. Floyd DW, Jung KY, McCool BA. Chronic ethanol ingestion facilitates *N*-methyl-D aspartate receptor function and expression in rat lateral/basolateral amygdala neurons. *JPharmacolExpTher.* 2003; 307:1020–1029.
113. Chandler LJ, Newsom H, Sumners C, Crews F. Chronic ethanol exposure potentiates NMDA excitotoxicity in cerebral cortical neurons. *JNeurochem.* 1993; 60:1578–1581. [PubMed: 8455043]
114. Chefer V, Meis J, Wang G, Kuzmin A, Bakalkin G, Shippenberg T. Repeated exposure to moderate doses of ethanol augments hippocampal glutamate neurotransmission by increasing release. *Addict Biol.* 2010; 16:229–237. [PubMed: 21182572]
115. Ward RJ, Colivicchi MA, Allen R, Schol F, Lallemand F, de Witte P, Ballini C, Corte LD, Dexter D. Neuro-inflammation induced in the hippocampus of ‘binge drinking’ rats may be mediated by elevated extracellular glutamate content. *J Neurochem.* 2009; 111:1119–1128. [PubMed: 19765190]
116. Xiao C, Shao XM, Olive MF, Griffin WC III, Li K-Y, Krnjevic K, Zhou C, Ye J-H. Ethanol facilitates glutamatergic transmission to dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2009; 34:307–318. [PubMed: 18596684]

117. Ding ZM, Engleman EA, Rodd ZA, McBride WJ. Ethanol increases glutamate neurotransmission in the posterior ventral tegmental area of female Wistar rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2012; 36:633–640.
118. McBride WJ, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of ethanol on monoamine and amino acid release from cerebral cortex slices of the alcohol-preferring P line of rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 1986; 10:205–208.
119. Selim M, Bradburry CW. Effect of ethanol on extracellular 5-HT and glutamate in the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex: comparison between the Lewis and Fischer 344 rat strains. *Brain Res*. 1996; 716:157–164.
120. Kaposova Z, Szumlinski KK. Strain differences in alcohol induced neurochemical plasticity: a role for accumbens glutamate in alcohol intake. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2008; 32:617–631. [PubMed: 18341649]
121. Gass JT, Sinclair CM, Cleva RM, Widholm JJ, Olive MF. Alcohol-seeking behavior is associated with increased glutamate transmission in basolateral amygdala and nucleus accumbens as measured by glutamate-oxidase-coated biosensors. *Addict Biol*. 2010; 16:215–228. [PubMed: 21054692]
122. Nevo I, Hamon M. Neurotransmitter and neuromodulatory mechanisms involved in alcohol abuse and alcoholism. *Neurochem Int*. 1995; 26:305–342.
123. Griffin WC III, Haun HL, Hazelbaker CL, Ramachandra VS, Becker HC. Increased extracellular glutamate in the nucleus accumbens promotes excessive ethanol drinking in ethanol dependent mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2014; 39:707–717. [PubMed: 24067300]
124. Bauer J, Pedersen A, Scherbaum N, Bening J, Patschke J, Kugel H, Heindel W, Arolt V, Ohrmann P. Craving in alcohol-dependent patients after detoxification is related to glutamatergic dysfunction in the nucleus accumbens and the anterior cingulate cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013; 38:1401–1408. [PubMed: 23403696]
125. Ende G, Hermann D, Demirakca T, Hoerst M, Tunc-Skarka N, Weber-Fahr W, Wichert S, Rabinstein J, Frischknecht U, Mann K, Vollstädt-Klein S. Loss of control of alcohol use and severity of alcohol dependence in non-treatment-seeking heavy drinkers are related to lower glutamate in frontal white matter. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2013; 37:1643–1649. [PubMed: 23800328]
126. Hermann D, Weber-Fahr W, Sartorius A, Hoerst M, Frischknecht U, Tunc-Skarka N, Perreault-Lenz S, Hansson AC, Krumm B, Kiefer F, Spanagel R, Mann K, Ende G, Sommer WH. Translational magnetic resonance spectroscopy reveals excessive central glutamate levels during alcohol withdrawal in humans and rats. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012; 71:1015–1021. [PubMed: 21907974]
127. Karkkainen O, Kupila J, Hakkinen M, Laukkanen V, Tupala E, Kautiainen H, Tiihonen J, Storvik M. AMPA receptors in post-mortem brains of Cloninger type 1 and 2 alcoholics: a whole-hemisphere autoradiography study. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging*. 2013; 214:429–434. [PubMed: 24119876]
128. Kryger R, Wilce PA. The effects of alcoholism on the human basolateral amygdala. *Neuroscience*. 2010; 167:361–371. [PubMed: 20153402]
129. Kupila J, Karkkainen O, Laukkanen V, Tupala E, Tiihonen J, Storvik M. mGluR1/5 receptor densities in the brains of alcoholic subjects: a whole hemisphere autoradiography study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2013; 212:245–250.
130. Laukkanen V, Karkkainen O, Kupila J, Kautiainen H, Tiihonen J, Storvik M. Increased metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor binding in the perigenual anterior cingulate cortex of Cloninger type 2 alcoholics: a whole-hemisphere autoradiography study. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2015; 50:62–67.
131. Thoma R, Mullins P, Ruhl D, Monnig M, Yeo RA, Caprihan A, Bogenschutz M, Lysne P, Tonigan S, Kalyanam R, Gasparovic C. Perturbation of the glutamate–glutamine system in alcohol dependence and remission. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011; 36:1359–1365. [PubMed: 21389979]
132. Hermens DF, Chitty KM, Lee RSC, Tickell A, Haber PS, Naismith SL, Hickie IB, Lagopoulos J. Hippocampal glutamate is increased and associated with risky drinking in young adults with major depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2015; 186:95–98.

133. Cohen-Gilbert JE, Sneider JT, Crowley DJ, Rosso IM, Jensen JE, Silveri MM. Impact of family history of alcoholism on glutamine/glutamate ratio in anterior cingulate cortex in substance-naive adolescents. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 2015;10.1016/j.dcn.2015.04.005
134. Schroeder JP, Overstreet DH, Hodge CW. The mGluR5 antagonist MPEP decreases operant ethanol self-administration during maintenance and after repeated alcohol deprivations in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2005; 179:262–270. [PubMed: 15717208]
135. Besheer J, Faccidomo S, Grondin JJ, Hodge CW. Regulation of motivation to self-administer ethanol by mGluR5 in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2008; 32:209–221. [PubMed: 18162077]
136. Hodge CW, Miles MF, Sharko AC, Stevenson RA, Hillmann JR, Lepoutre V, Besheer J, Schroeder JP. The mGluR5 antagonist MPEP selectively inhibits the onset and maintenance of ethanol self-administration in C57BL/6J mice. *Psychopharmacology*. 2006; 183:429–438. [PubMed: 16292590]
137. Lominac KD, Kapesova Z, Hannun RA, Patterson C, Middaugh LD, Szumlinski KK. Behavioral and neurochemical interactions between Group I mGluR antagonists and ethanol: potential insight into their anti-addictive properties. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2006; 85:142–156. [PubMed: 16697125]
138. Olive MF, McGeehan AJ, Kinder JR, McMahon T, Hodge CW, Janak PH, Messing RO. The mGluR5 antagonist 6-methyl-2-(phenylethynyl)pyridine decreases ethanol consumption via a protein kinase C-epsilon dependent mechanism. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2005; 67:349–355.
139. Schroeder JP, Spanos M, Stevenson JR, Besheer J, Salling M, Hodge CW. Cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior is associated with increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation in specific limbic brain regions: blockade by the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP. *Neuropharmacology*. 2008; 55:546–554. [PubMed: 18619984]
140. Zamora-Martinez ER, Edwards S. Neuronal extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activity as marker and mediator of alcohol and opioid dependence. *Front Integr Neurosci*. 2014; 8:24. [PubMed: 24653683]
141. Besheer J, Grondin JJ, Cannady R, Sharko AC, Faccidomo S, Hodge CW. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 activity in the nucleus accumbens is required for the maintenance of ethanol self-administration in a rat genetic model of high alcohol intake. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:812–822.
142. Cowen MS, Djouma E, Lawrence AJ. The metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor antagonist 3-[(2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)ethynyl]-pyridine reduces ethanol self-administration in multiple strains of alcohol-preferring rats and regulates olfactory glutamatergic systems. *JPharmacolExpTher*. 2005; 315:590–600.
143. Besheer J, Faccidomo S, Grondin JJ, Hodge CW. Effects of mGlu1-receptor blockade on ethanol self-administration in inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol*. 2008; 42:13–20. [PubMed: 18164577]
144. Lum EN, Campbell RR, Rostock C, Szumlinski KK. mGluR1 within the nucleus accumbens regulates alcohol intake in mice under limited-access conditions. *Neuropharmacology*. 2014; 79:679–687. [PubMed: 24467847]
145. Rodd ZA, McKinzie DL, Bell RL, McQueen VK, Murphy JM, Schoepp DD, McBride WJ. The metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonist LY404039 reduces alcohol-seeking but not alcohol self-administration in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Behav Brain Res*. 2006; 171:207–215. [PubMed: 16678921]
146. Cannella N, Halbout B, Uhrig S, Evrard L, Corsi M, Corti C, Deroche-Gamonet V, Hansson AC, Spanagel R. The mGluR2/3 agonist LY379268 induced anti-reinstatement effects in rats exhibiting addition-like behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013; 38:2048–2056.
147. Enoch M-A, Rosser AA, Zhou Z, Mash DC, Yuan Q, Goldman D. Expression of glutamatergic genes in healthy humans across 16 brain regions; altered expression in the hippocampus after chronic exposure to alcohol or cocaine. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2014; 13:758–768. [PubMed: 25262781]
148. Jin Z, Bhandage AK, Bazov I, Kononenko O, Bakalkin G, Korpi ER, Birnir B. Selective increases of AMPA, NMDA, and kainite receptor subunit mRNAs in the hippocampus and orbitofrontal

- cortex but not in prefrontal cortex of human alcoholics. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014; 8:11. [PubMed: 24523671]
149. Cannady R, Fisher KR, Durant B, Besheer J, Hodge CW. Enhanced AMPA receptor activity increases operant alcohol self-administration and cue-induced reinstatement. *AddictBiol.* 2013; 18:54–65.
 150. Bäckström P, Hyytiä P. Iontropic glutamate receptor antagonists modulate cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior. *AlcoholClinExpRes.* 2004; 28:558–565.
 151. Watterson LR, Olive MF. Are AMPA receptor positive allosteric modulators potential pharmacotherapeutics for addiction? *Pharmaceuticals.* 2014; 7:29–45. [PubMed: 24380895]
 152. Krystal JH, Petrakis IL, Mason G, Trevisan L, D'Souza DC. *N*-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptors and alcoholism: reward, dependence, treatment, and vulnerability. *PharmacolTher.* 2003; 99:79–94.
 153. Alhaddad H, Kim NT, Aal-Aaboda M, Althobaiti YS, Leighton J, Boddu SH, Wei Y, Sari. Effects of MS-153 on chronic ethanol consumption and GLT1 modulation of glutamate levels in male alcohol-preferring rats. *FrontBehavNeurosci.* 2014; 8:366.
 154. Melendez RI, Hicks MP, Cagle SS, Kalivas PW. Ethanol exposure decreases glutamate uptake in the nucleus accumbens. *AlcoholClinExpRes.* 2005; 29:326–333.
 155. Sari Y, Sreemantula SN. Neuroimmunophilin GPI-1046 reduces ethanol consumption in part through activation of GLT1 in alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuroscience.* 2012; 227:327–335. [PubMed: 23059796]
 156. Sari Y, Franklin KM, Alazizi A, Rao PS, Bell RL. Effects of ceftriaxone on the acquisition and maintenance of ethanol drinking in peri-adolescent and adult female alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neuroscience.* 2013; 241:229–238. [PubMed: 23537837]
 157. Rothstein JD, Van Kammen M, Levey AI, Martin LJ, Kuncl RW. Selective loss of glial glutamate transporter GLT-1 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *AnnNeurol.* 1995; 38:73–84.
 158. Abulseoud OA, Camsari UM, Ruby CL, Kasasbeh A, Choi S, Choi DS. Attenuation of ethanol withdrawal by ceftriaxone-induced upregulation of glutamate transporter EAAT2. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2014; 39:1674–1684. [PubMed: 24452391]
 159. Das SC, Yamamoto BK, Hristov AM, Sari Y. Ceftriaxone attenuates ethanol drinking and restores extracellular glutamate concentration through normalization of GLT-1 in nucleus accumbens of male alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuropharmacology.* 2015; 97:67–74. [PubMed: 26002627]
 160. Qrunfleh AM, Alazizi A, Sari Y. Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, attenuates relapse-like ethanol-drinking behavior in alcohol-preferring rats. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2013; 27:541–549. [PubMed: 23518814]
 161. Sari Y, Sakai M, Weedman JM, Rebec GV, Bell RL. Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, reduces ethanol consumption in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2011; 46:239–246. [PubMed: 21422004]
 162. Sari Y, Sreemantula SN, Lee MR, Choi DS. Ceftriaxone treatment affects the levels of GLT1 and ENT1 as well as ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *J Mol Neurosci.* 2013; 51:779–787. [PubMed: 23893122]
 163. Aal-Aaboda M, Alhaddad H, Osowik F, Nauli SM, Sari Y. Effects of (R)-(-)-5-methyl-1 nicotinoyl-2-pyrazoline on glutamate transporter 1 and cysteine/glutamate exchanger as well as ethanol drinking behavior in male, alcohol-preferring rats. *J Neurosci Res.* 2015; 93:930–937. [PubMed: 25601490]
 164. Alasmari F, Abuhamdah S, Sari Y. Effects of ampicillin on cystine/glutamate antiporter and glutamate transporter 1 isoforms as well as ethanol drinking in male P rats. *Neurosci Lett.* 2015; 600:148–152. [PubMed: 26071905]
 165. Goodwani S, Rao PSS, Bell RL, Sari Y. Amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanate reduce ethanol intake and increase GLT-1 expression as well as AKT phosphorylation in mesocorticolimbic regions. *BrainRes.* 2015; 1622:397–408.
 166. Rao PSS, Goodwani S, Bell RL, Wei Y, Boddu SH, Sari Y. Effects of ampicillin, cefazolin and cefoperazone treatments on GLT1 expressions in the mesocorticolimbic system and ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuroscience.* 2015; 295:164–174. [PubMed: 25813713]

167. Lin X, Yang H, Zhang H, Zhou L, Guo Z. A novel transcription mechanism activated by ethanol: induction of Slc7a11 gene expression via inhibition of the DNA-binding activity of transcriptional repressor octamer-binding transcription factor 1 (OCT-1). *JBiolChem*. 2013; 288:14815–14823.
168. Zhou FC, Sahr RN, Sari Y, Behbahani K. Glutamate and dopamine synaptic terminals in extended amygdala after 14-week chronic alcohol drinking in inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol*. 2006; 39:39–49. [PubMed: 16938628]
169. Rani VA, Nadiger HA, Marcus SR, Chandrakala MV, Sadasivudu B. Acute and short term effects of ethanol on the metabolism of glutamaic acid and GABA in rat brain. *NeurochemRes*. 1985; 10:297–306.
170. Falco AM, Bergstrom HC, Bachus SE, Smith RF. Persisting changes in basolateral amygdala mRNAs after chronic ethanol consumption. *PhysiolBehav*. 2009; 96:169–173.
171. Bondy SC, Guo SX. Regional selectivity in ethanol-induced pro-oxidant events within the brain. *BiochemPharmacol*. 1995; 49:69–72.
172. Babu PP, Kumari R, Vemuri MC. Differential changes in cell morphology, macromo-lecular composition and membrane protein profiles of neurons and astrocytes in chronic ethanol treated rats. *MolCellBiochem*. 1994; 130:29–40.
173. Matsumoto I. Proteomics approach in the study of the pathophysiology of alcohol-related brain damage. *AlcoholAlcohol*. 2009; 44:171–176.
174. Hargreaves GA, Quinn H, Kashem MA, Matsumoto I, McGregor IS. Proteomic analysis demonstrates adolescent vulnerability to lasting hippocampal changes following chronic alcohol consumption. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 2009; 33:86–94.
175. Swartzwelder HS, Wilson WA, Tayyeb MI. Age-dependent inhibition of long-term potentiation by ethanol in immature versus mature hippocampus. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 1995; 19:1480–1485.
176. Swartzwelder HS, Wilson WA, Tayyeb MI. Differential sensitivity of NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic potentials to ethanol in immature versus mature hippocampus. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 1995; 19:320–323.
177. Risher ML, Fleming RL, Risher WC, Miller KM, Klein RC, Wills T, Acheson SK, Moore SD, Wilson WA, Eroglu C, Swartzwelder HS. Adolescent intermittent alcohol exposure: persistence of structural and functional hippocampal abnormalities into adulthood. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 2015; 39:989–997.
178. Staples MC, Kim A, Mandyam CD. Dendritic remodeling of hippocampal neurons is associated with altered NMDA receptor expression in alcohol dependent rats. *MolCell Neurosci*. 2015; 65:153–162.
179. Klugmann M, Szumlinski KK. Targeting Homer genes using AAV: lessons learned from behavioural and neurochemical studies. *BehavPharmacol*. 2008; 19:485–500.
180. Obara I, Bell RL, Goulding SP, Reyes CM, Larson LA, Ary AW, Truitt WA, Szumlinski KK. Differential effects of chronic ethanol consumption and withdrawal on homer/ glutamate receptor expression in subregions of the accumbens and amygdala of P rats. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 2009; 33:1924–1934.
181. Joslyn G, Ravindranathan A, Brush G, Schuckit M, White RL. Human variation in alcohol response is influenced by variation in neuronal signaling genes. *AlcoholClinExp Res*. 2010; 34:800–812.
182. Petrakis IL, Limoncelli D, Gueorguieva R, Jatlow P, Boutros NN, Trevisan L, Gelernter J, Krystal JH. Altered NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist response in individuals with a family vulnerability to alcoholism. *AmJPsychiatry*. 2004; 161:1776–1782.
183. Reimers MA, Riley BP, Kalsi G, Kertes DA, Kendler KS. Pathway based analysis of genotypes in relation to alcohol dependence. *PharmacogenomicsJ*. 2012; 12:342–348. [PubMed: 21468025]
184. Karpayak VM, Geske JR, Colby CL, Mrazek DA, Biernaka JM. Genetic variability in the NMDA-dependent AMPA trafficking cascade is associated with alcohol dependence. *AddictBiol*. 2011; 17:798–806.
185. Schumann G, Johann M, Frank J, Preuss U, Dahmen N, Laucht M, Rietschel M, Rujescu D, Lourdasamy A, Clarke T-K, Krause K, Dyer A, Depner M, Wellek S, Treutlein J, Szegedi A, Giegling I, Cichon S, Blomeyer D, Heinz A, Heath S, Lathrop M, Wodarz N, Soyka M, Spanagel

- R, Mann K. Systematic analysis of glutamate neurotransmission genes in alcohol dependence and adolescent risky drinking behavior. *ArchGenPsychiatry*. 2008; 65:826–838.
186. Domart M-C, Benyamina A, Lemoine A, Bourgain C, Blecha L, Debuire B, Reynaud M, Saffroy R. Association between a polymorphism in the promoter of a glutamate receptor subunit gene (GRIN2A) and alcoholism. *AddictBiol*. 2011; 17:783–785.
187. Preuss UW, Zill P, Koller G, Bondy B, Hesselbrock V, Soyka M. Ionotropic glutamate receptor gene GRIK3 ser310ala functional polymorphism is related to delirium tremens in alcoholics. *PharmacogenomicsJ*. 2006; 6:34–41. [PubMed: 16314883]
188. Kranzler HR, Gelernter J, Anton RF, Arias AJ, Herman A, Zhao H, Burian L, Covault J. Association of markers in the 3' region of the GluR5 kainate receptor subunit gene to alcohol dependence. *AlcoholClinExpRes*. 2009; 33:925–930.
189. Begleiter H, Porjesz B, Reich T, Edenberg HJ, Goate A, Blangero J, Almasy L, Foroud T, Van Eerdewegh P, Polich J, et al. Quantitative trait loci analysis of human event-related brain potentials: P3 voltage. *Electroenceph Clin Neurophysiol*. 1998; 108:244–250. [PubMed: 9607513]
190. O'Connor S, Morzorati S, Christian JC, Li T-K. Heritable features of the auditory oddball event-related potential: peaks, latencies, morphology and topography. *ElectroencephClinNeurophysiol*. 1994; 92:115–125.
191. Chen ACH, Tang Y, Ranganaswamy M, Wang JC, Almasy L, Foroud T, Edenberg HJ, Hesselbrock V, Nurnberger JI Jr, Kuperman S, O'Connor SJ, Schuckit MA, Bauer LO, Tischfield J, Rice JP, Bierut L, Goate A, Porjesz B. Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in a glutamate receptor gene [GRM8] with theta power of event-related oscillations and alcohol dependence. *AmJMedGenetPartB*. 2008; 150B:359–368.
192. Long EC, Aliev F, Wang J-C, Edenberg HJ, Nurnberger JI Jr, Hesselbrock V, Porjesz B, Dick DM. Further analyses of genetic association between GRM8 and alcohol dependence symptoms among young adults. *JStudAlcoholDrugs*. 2015; 76:414–418.
193. Xia X, Wu Z, Ma D, Tang C, Liu L, Xin F, Zhu D, Hu J. Association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in a metabotropic glutamate receptor GRM3 gene subunit to alcohol-dependent male subjects. *AlcoholAlcohol*. 2014; 49:256–260.
194. Comasco E, Hallman J, Wallen-Mackenzie A. Haplotype tag single nucleotide polymorphism analysis of the vesicular glutamate transporter (VGLUT) genes in severely alcoholic women. *PsychiatryRes*. 2014; 219:403–405.
195. Kuo P-H, Kalsi G, Prescott CA, Hodgkinson CA, Goldman D, Alexander J, van den Oord EJ, Chen X, Sullivan PF, Patterson DG, Walsh D, Kendler KS, Riley BP. Associations of glutamate decarboxylase genes with initial sensitivity and age-at-onset of alcohol dependence in the Irish Affected Sib Pair Study of Alcohol Dependence. *DrugAlcoholDepend*. 2009; 101:80–87.
196. Terranova C, Tucci M, Forza G, Barzon L, Palu G, Ferrara SD. Alcohol dependence and glutamate decarboxylase gene polymorphisms in an Italian male population. *Alcohol*. 2010; 44:407–413. [PubMed: 20598486]
197. Lappalainen J, Krupitsky E, Kranzler HR, Luo X, Remizov M, Pchelina S, Taraskina A, Zvartau E, Rasanen P, Makikyro T, Somberg LK, Krystal JH, Stein MB, Gelernter J. Mutation screen of the GAD2 gene and association study of alcoholism in three populations. *AmJMedGenetPartB*. 2007; 144B:183–192.
198. Loh E-W, Lane H-Y, Chen C-H, Chang P-S, Ku L-W, Wang KHT, Cheng ATA. Glutamate decarboxylase genes and alcoholism in Han Taiwanese men. *AlcoholClinExp Res*. 2006; 30:1817–1823.
199. Zhao R, Zhang R, Li W, Liao Y, Tang J, Miao Q, Hao W. Genome-wide DNA methylation patterns in discordant sib pairs with alcohol dependence. *Asia Pacific Psychiatry*. 2013; 5:39–50.
200. Meinhardt MW, Hansson AC, Perreau-Lenz S, Bauder-Wenz C, Stahlin O, Heilig M, Harper C, Drescher KU, Spanagel R, Sommer WH. Rescue of infralimbic mGluR2 deficit restores control over drug-seeking behavior in alcohol dependence. *J Neurosci*. 2013; 33:2794–2806. [PubMed: 23407939]

201. Flatscher-Bader T, Zuvela N, Landis N, Wilce PA. Smoking and alcoholism target genes associated with plasticity and glutamate transmission in the human ventral tegmental area. *HumMolGenet.* 2008; 17:38–51.
202. Zhou Z, Karlsson C, Liang T, Xiong W, Kimura M, Tapocik JD, Yuan Q, Barbier E, Feng A, Flanigan M, Augier E, Enoch MA, Hodgkinson CA, Shen PH, Lovinger DM, Edenberg HJ, Heilig M, Goldman D. Loss of metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 escalates alcohol consumption. *ProcNatlAcadSciUSA.* 2013; 110:16963–16968.
203. Bell RL, Kimpel MW, Rodd ZA, Strother WN, Bai F, Peper CL, Mayfield RD, Lumeng L, Crabb DW, McBride WJ, Witzmann FA. Protein expression changes in the nucleus accumbens and amygdala of inbred alcohol-preferring rats given either continuous or scheduled access to ethanol. *Alcohol.* 2006; 40:3–17. [PubMed: 17157716]
204. Bell RL, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Strother WN, Carr LG, Liang T, Rodd ZA, Mayfield RD, Edenberg HJ, McBride WJ. Gene expression changes in the nucleus accumbens of alcohol-preferring rats following chronic ethanol consumption. *PharmacolBiochem Behav.* 2009; 94:131–147.
205. Edenberg HJ, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Tian H, Stephens M, Jerome RE, Lumeng L, Li T-K, McBride WJ. Gene expression in the hippocampus of inbred alcohol-preferring (iP) and -nonpreferring (iNP) rats. *GenesBrainBehav.* 2005; 4:20–30.
206. Kimpel MW, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Carr LG, Liang T, Edenberg HJ, McBride WJ. Functional gene expression differences between inbred alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats in five brain regions. *Alcohol.* 2007; 41:95–132. [PubMed: 17517326]
207. McBride WJ, Schultz JA, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Wang M, You J, Rodd ZA. Differential effects of ethanol in the nucleus accumbens shell of alcohol-preferring (P), alcohol non-preferring (NP) and Wistar rats: a proteomic study. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2009; 92:304–313. [PubMed: 19166871]
208. McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, Schultz JA, McClintick JN, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL. Changes in gene expression in regions of the extended amygdala of alcohol-preferring rats after binge-like alcohol drinking. *Alcohol.* 2010; 44:171–183. [PubMed: 20116196]
209. McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding ZM, Hyytia P, Colombo G, Edenberg HJ, Lumeng L, Bell RL. Gene expression in the ventral tegmental area of 5 pairs of rat lines selectively bred for high or low ethanol consumption. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2012; 102:275–285. [PubMed: 22579914]
210. McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding ZM, Hauser SR, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL, Rodd ZA. Changes in gene expression within the ventral tegmental area following repeated excessive binge-like alcohol drinking by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2013; 47:367–380. [PubMed: 23714385]
211. McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Hyytia P, Colombo G, Liang T, Edenberg HJ, Lumeng L, Bell RL. Gene expression within the extended amygdala of 5 pairs of rat lines selectively bred for high or low ethanol consumption. *Alcohol.* 2013; 47:517–529. [PubMed: 24157127]
212. McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Edenberg HJ, Liang T, Rodd ZA, Bell RL. Changes in gene expression within the extended amygdala following binge-like alcohol drinking by adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *PharmacolBiochem Behav.* 2014; 117:52–60.
213. McClintick JN, McBride WJ, Bell RL, Ding Z-M, Liu Y, Xuei X, Edenberg HJ. Gene expression changes in serotonin, GABA-A receptors, neuropeptides and ion channels in the dorsal raphe nucleus of adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats following binge-like alcohol drinking. *PharmacolBiochemBehav.* 2015; 129:87–96.
214. Rodd ZA, Kimpel MW, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Carr LG, Liang T, McBride WJ. Differential gene expression in the nucleus accumbens with ethanol self-administration in inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2008; 89:481–498. [PubMed: 18405950]
215. Witzmann FA, Li J, Strother WN, McBride WJ, Hunter L, Crabb DW, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Innate differences in protein expression in the nucleus accumbens and hippocampus of inbred alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats. *Proteomics.* 2003; 3:1335–1344. [PubMed: 12872235]

216. Gould AT, Sacramento AD, Wroten MG, Miller BW, Klugmann M, Ben-Shahar O, Szumlinski KK. Extended access to intravenous cocaine imbalances ventromedial pre-frontal cortex Homer1 versus Homer2 expression: implications for relapse. *AddictBiol.* 2015; 20:148–157.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Figure 1.

A simplified diagram depicting glutamatergic projections of the mesocorticolimbic, extended amygdala, and brainstem reward neurocircuitry. The online version includes color coding of these projections; with green representing the PFC/mPFC, red representing the amygdala, purple representing the hippocampus, blue representing the VTA, and gray representing the DRN.




Figure 2.

A simplified diagram of a prototypic glutamatergic synapse in the brain. The figure depicts some of the intra-, inter-, and extracellular activities of glutamate-associated plasticity. Abbreviations: AC, adenylate cyclase; AP2, adaptor protein 2; Arc, activity-regulated cytoskeleton-association protein; Asp, aspartate; GKAP/DAP1, disks large associated protein 1 (part of PSD); Gln or GluN, glutamine; GlnT, glutamine transporter; GLT, glutamate transporter; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; GlyT, glycine transporter; LTD, long-term depression; nNOS, neuronal nitric oxide synthase; Src, a tyrosine kinase; VDCC, voltage dependent calcium channel. Other abbreviations are in the text and tables.

Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor (mGluR) Gene (*mGlu*) Expression Differences Between P and NP Rats (i.e., Fold-Change (F-C) P vs. NP) or Changes Induced by Ethanol Consumption in P Rats, Relative to Ethanol-Naive P Rats (i.e., F-C Ethanol (E) vs. Control (C))

Table 1

Gene ID	Gene Names	F-C P vs. NP	F-C E vs. C	Age of Rat	Brain Region
<i>mGlu7</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 7 (<i>Grm7</i> : mGluR7)	1.37		Adolescent	CeA
<i>mGlu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 1 (<i>Grm1</i> : mGluR1)	2.06		Adolescent	DRN
<i>mGlu4</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 4 (<i>Grm4</i> : mGluR4)	2.98		Adolescent	DRN
<i>mGlu6</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 6 (<i>Grm6</i> : mGluR6)	<i>-1.70</i>		Adolescent	DRN
<i>mGlu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 1 (<i>Grm1</i> : mGluR1)	1.39		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 1 (<i>Grm1</i> : mGluR1)	<i>-1.19</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 2 (<i>Grm2</i> : mGluR2)	<i>-1.46</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 3 (<i>Grm3</i> : mGluR3)	<i>-1.22</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu4</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 4 (<i>Grm4</i> : mGluR4)	<i>-1.39</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu5</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 5 (<i>Grm5</i> : mGluR5)	<i>-1.30</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu7</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 7 (<i>Grm7</i> : mGluR7)	<i>-1.38</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu8</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 8 (<i>Grm8</i> : mGluR8)	<i>-1.33</i>		Adult	AcbSh
<i>mGlu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 2 (<i>Grm2</i> : mGluR2)	<i>-1.84</i>		Adult	CeA
<i>mGlu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 3 (<i>Grm3</i> : mGluR3)	<i>-1.24</i>		Adult	CeA

Age of rat refers to whether the P rats had access to ethanol during (peri-)adolescence (postnatal days (PNDs) 30–50) or during adulthood>PND75. Brain regions published thus far: CeA, DRN, and AcbSh. To facilitate distinction between directions of expression (e.g., up- vs. downregulation), downregulation, or lower level F-Cs are in italics and are below the findings of upregulation or higher-level F-Cs for that age and brain region.

Table 2
 Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor Subunit Gene Expression Differences Between P and NP Rats (i.e., Fold-Change (F-C) P vs. NP) or Changes Induced by Ethanol Consumption in P Rats, Relative to Ethanol-Naive P Rats (i.e., F-C Ethanol (E) vs. Control (C))

Gene ID	Gene Names	F-C P vs. NP	F-C E vs. C	Age of Rat	Brain Region
<i>Glu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria3</i> : AMPA3)	1.29		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu4</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria4</i> : AMPA4)	1.31		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria1</i> : delta1)	1.20		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria2</i> : delta2)	2.31		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Grik3</i> : kainate3)	1.35		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu2c</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic NMDA2c (<i>Grin2c</i> : NR2c)	2.61		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu2b</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA2b (<i>Grin2b</i> : NR2b)	-1.56		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu2d</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA2d (<i>Grin2d</i> : NR2d)	-1.40		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu3a</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA3a (<i>Grin3a</i> : NR3a)	-1.39		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu1a</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA1a like (<i>Grin1a</i> : NR1a like)	-1.32		Adolescent	DRN
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria1</i> : AMPA1)	1.15		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu4</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria4</i> : AMPA4)	1.26		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu2ip</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria2ip</i> : delta2 interacting protein)	3.49		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Grik1</i> : kainate1)	1.73		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA1 (<i>Grin1</i> : NR1)	1.09		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria1</i> : AMPA1)	-1.32		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria2</i> : AMPA2)	-1.21		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria2</i> : AMPA2)	-1.16		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria3</i> : AMPA3)	-1.52		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria3</i> : AMPA3)	-1.19		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Grik2</i> : kainate2)	-1.33		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria1</i> : AMPA1)	1.36		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria2</i> : AMPA2)	1.34		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu3</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Gria3</i> : AMPA3)	1.57		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu2</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Grik2</i> : kainate2)	1.14		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu5</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic (<i>Grik5</i> : kainate5)	1.30		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu3a</i>	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, NMDA3a (<i>Grin3a</i> : NR3a)	1.23		Adult	CeA

Refer to Table 1 for details.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Gene Expression, for Ancillary Proteins of the Excitatory Synapse, Differences Between P and NP Rats (i.e., Fold-Change (F-C) P vs. NP) or Changes Induced by Ethanol Consumption in P Rats, Relative to Ethanol-Naive P Rats (i.e., F-C Ethanol (E) vs. Control (C))

Table 3

Gene ID	Gene Names	F-C P vs. NP	F-C E vs. C	Age of Rat	Brain Region
<i>Homer3</i>	Homer homolog 3	1.15	1.15	Adolescent	CeA
<i>Slc1a1</i>	Solute carrier family 1 (neuronal high affinity glutamate transporter), member 1	1.14	1.14	Adolescent	CeA
<i>Dlg1/Sap97</i>	Discs, large homolog 1 (Sap97, AMPAR trafficking)	1.28	1.28	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Homer3</i>	Homer homolog 3	3.75	3.75	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Mpp4</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 4 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 4)	1.68	1.68	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Mpp6</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 6 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 6)	1.23	1.23	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Palim5</i>	PDZ and LIM domain 5	1.28	1.28	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Shank1</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 1	1.41	1.41	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Shank2</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 2	1.79	1.79	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Shank3</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3	1.82	1.82	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc1a3</i>	Solute carrier family 1 (glial glutamate transporter), member 3	1.70	1.70	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc1a6</i>	Solute carrier family 1 (glial glutamate transporter), member 6	2.79	2.79	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc17a7/Vglut1</i>	Solute carrier family 17, member 7 (vesicular glutamate transporter)	5.06	5.06	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc25a18</i>	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial glutamate carrier), member 18	1.45	1.45	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc25a22</i>	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial glutamate carrier), member 22	1.30	1.30	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Tip3</i>	Tight junction protein 3 (zona occludens 3)	2.41	2.41	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Mpp5</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 5 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 5)	-1.27	-1.27	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc17a6/Vglut2</i>	Solute carrier family 17, member 6 (vesicular glutamate transporter)	-1.82	-1.82	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Slc17a8/Vglut3</i>	Solute carrier family 17, member 8 (vesicular glutamate transporter)	-1.97	-1.97	Adolescent	DRN
<i>Dlg1/Sap97</i>	Discs, large homolog 1 (Sap97, AMPAR trafficking)	1.28	1.28	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Dlg2/Psd93</i>	Discs, large homolog 2 (Chapsyn-110/PSD-93 a MAGUK)	1.24	1.24	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Gsk2</i>	Glutaminase 2	1.40	1.40	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Glul</i>	Glutamate-ammonia ligase (glutamine synthase)	1.14	1.14	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Homer1</i>	Homer homolog 1	3.49	3.49	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Mpdz</i>	Multiple PDZ domain protein	1.22	1.22	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Mpp6</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 6 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 6)	1.71	1.71	Adult	AcbSh
<i>Shank1</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 1	1.26	1.26	Adult	AcbSh

Gene ID	Gene Names	F-C P vs. NP	F-C E vs. C	Age of Rat	Brain Region
<i>Shank1</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 1	1.19		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Shank2</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 2	1.17		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Slc6a9</i>	Solute carrier family 6 (glycine transporter), member 9	1.40		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Slc7a10</i>	Solute carrier family 7 (glycine transporter), member 10 (glial)	1.42		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Slc17a6/Vglut2</i>	Solute carrier family 17, member 6 (vesicular glutamate transporter)	2.25		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Slc25a13/Citrin</i>	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial glutamate carrier), member 13	1.23		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Dlg1/Sap97</i>	Discs, large homolog 1 (Sap97, AMPAR trafficking)	-1.09		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Dlg2/Psd93</i>	Discs, large homolog 2 (Chapsyn-110/PSD-93 a MAGUK)	-1.17		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Dlg2/Psd93</i>	Discs, large homolog 2 (Chapsyn-110/PSD-93 a MAGUK)	-1.38		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Dlg4/Psd95</i>	Discs, large homolog 4 (PSD95 or Sap90)	-1.25		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Gls</i>	Glutaminase	-1.35		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Homer1</i>	Homer homolog 1	-1.68		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Mpp4</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 4 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 4)	-1.08		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Mpp7</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 7 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 7)	-1.58		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Pdlim7</i>	PDZ and LIM domain 7	-1.25		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Shank1</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 1	-1.14		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Shank2</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 2	-1.22		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Shank3</i>	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3	-1.30		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Slc1a3</i>	Solute carrier family 1 (glial glutamate transporter), member 3	-1.30		Adult	AcbSh
<i>Cask</i>	Calcium/calmodulin-dependent serine protein kinase (MAGUK family)	1.14		Adult	CeA
<i>Homer1</i>	Homer homolog 1	2.19		Adult	CeA
<i>Pdlim3</i>	PDZ and LIM domain 3	1.28		Adult	CeA
<i>Slc25a22</i>	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial glutamate carrier), member 22	1.12		Adult	CeA
<i>Gls2</i>	Glutaminase 2	-1.17		Adult	CeA
<i>Homer2</i>	Homer homolog 2	-1.16		Adult	CeA
<i>Mpp3</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 3 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 3)	-1.45		Adult	CeA
<i>Tgm2</i>	Transglutaminase 2, C polypeptide	-1.30		Adult	CeA
<i>Glu1</i>	Glutamate-ammonia ligase (glutamine synthetase)	1.08		Adult	VTA
<i>Dlg2/Psd93</i>	Discs, large homolog 2 (Chapsyn-110/PSD-93 a MAGUK)	-1.17		Adult	VTA
<i>Mpp6</i>	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 6 (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 6)	-1.10		Adult	VTA
<i>Pdlim7</i>	PDZ and LIM domain 7	-1.12		Adult	VTA

Gene ID	Gene Names	F-C P vs. NP	F-C E vs. C	Age of Rat	Brain Region
<i>Tgm2</i>	Transglutaminase 2, C polypeptide	-1.38		Adult	VTA

Age of rat refers to whether the P rats had access to ethanol during (peri-)adolescence (PNDs, 30–50) or during adulthood (>PND75). Brain regions published thus far: CeA, DRN, AcbSh, and VTA. To facilitate distinction between directions of expression (e.g., up- vs. downregulation), downregulation or lower level F-Cs are in italics and are below the findings of upregulation or higher-level F-Cs for that age and brain region.