

# QUANTIFICATION OF FUROSINE AND HYDROXYMETHYLFURFURAL IN SPANISH POWDERED INFANT FORMULAS (IFs)



C. Sabater, A. Olano, M. Prodanov, N. Corzo\*, A. Montilla  
\*nieves.corzo@csic.es



<sup>1</sup>Department of Bioactivity and Food Analysis

<sup>2</sup>Department of Production and Characterization of Novel Foods

Institute of Food Science Research (CIAL, CSIC-UAM), C/ Nicolás Cabrera s/n, 28049 Madrid, Spain

## INTRODUCTION

The **manufacture** of infant formulas (IFs) includes different steps such as blending of components, homogenization, pasteurization and spray-drying, along with **storage** having a great influence in their final **quality** [1]. In processes involving heat, reactions and/or interactions between constituents can give rise to a loss of nutritive value being this very important because IFs sometimes are the only source of **infant nutrition** during the first months of life. **Maillard reaction** (MR) is one of the main reactions causing deterioration of proteins during processing or storage of foods. Because IFs may contain high level of **carbohydrates and proteins**, MR plays an important role in the evaluation of the initial steps of MR providing very valuable information for IFs manufacturing, since the reaction of **proteins** may prevent any important nutritional damage takes place. Different compounds have been selected as indicators of different stages of MR being the **furosine** (2-furoylmethyl lysine) one the most used, in processed foods, as an index of **early stages** of MR. Besides, **hydroxymethylfurfural** (HMF) has been used as indicator of **advanced stages** as a result of excessive heating or storage [2].

## OBJECTIVE

The aim of this study was to assess the thermal damage of protein, by the measurement of **furosine** and **HMF**, in commercial **prebiotic-enriched infant formulas (IFs)**, representatives of the most popular marketed in Spain. Also, **influence of storage time (8 and 15 months) at room temperature** on MR has been studied.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Starting and follow-up IFs**

**PREBIOTIC-ENRICHED IFs**

- Fructooligosaccharides, FOS (n=3)
- Galactooligosaccharides, GOS (n=7)
- GOS/FOS (n=6)

**IFs WITHOUT PREBIOTICS**

- With lactose (L) (n=4)
- Lactose-free (LF) (n=4)

**Protein from different origin:**

- Whey
- Whey protein hydrolysate
- Milk
- Soy

**CHARACTERIZATION**

- Dry matter (DM): 94.5 - 99.2%
- Water activity ( $a_w$ ): 0.07 - 0.28
- pH: 6.67 - 7.22
- Protein content (Kjeldhal method, 6.25 factor): 8.3 - 16.0%

**STORAGE**

Most representative IFs (n=10)

- L
- LF
- FOS
- GOS
- GOS/FOS

Room temperature, 8-15 months

**FUROSINE ANALYSIS [3]**

- Sample preparation:** Acid hydrolysis (HCl 8 N, 110 °C, 23 h) SPE extraction of furosine (activated Sep- Pack C<sub>18</sub>)
- Ion pair RP-HPLC-UV determination:** Furosine-dedicated C<sub>8</sub> column at 35°C (250 mm × 4.6 mm × 5 μm) Detection UV: λ 280 nm

**MOBILE PHASE (1.2 mL/min)**

A: 0.4% acetic acid  
B: 0.4% acetic acid + 0.34% KCl

**GRADIENT ELUTION**

**HMF ANALYSIS [4]**

- Sample preparation:** Precipitation of fat and protein with Carrez reagents → **supernatants**
- HPLC-UV determination:** ACE5 C<sub>18</sub> column at 25°C (250 mm × 4.6 mm × 5 μm) Detection UV: λ 283 nm

**MOBILE PHASE (1.0 mL/min)**

A: Methanol/water (5:95)  
B: Methanol/water (80:20)

**GRADIENT ELUTION**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**FUROSINE CONTENT**

- Wide range** found in IFs: 94 - 1226 mg/100 g protein, probably attributable to differences in protein and sugar content and/or heat treatment
- There was no significant difference between the groups of IFs studied
- No significant differences** were found between IFs containing **proteins from different origin**

**Figure 1.** Furosine levels found in IFs with lactose (L), lactose-free (LF) and prebiotic-enriched (FOS, GOS and GOS/FOS IFs).

**HMF CONTENT**

- Range:** 0.6 - 5.1 mg/100 g
- Significant differences** between GOS/FOS IFs and L and GOS IFs

**Figure 2.** HMF levels found in IFs with lactose (L); lactose-free (LF) and prebiotic-enriched (FOS, GOS and GOS/FOS IFs). a,b Statistically significant differences between IFs.

**IFs STORAGE**

- HMF:** Few changes were observed. HMF **increased significantly** in 1 sample and **decreased** in 1 sample
- Furosine:** Overall increase of furosine content was found during storage. In some IFs furosine decreased due to formation of **advanced MR compounds**.

**Figure 3.** RP-HPLC-UV profile of furosine found in commercial IF at 0 (blue), 8 (green) and 15 (red) months.

**Figure 4.** Evolution of furosine content (mg/100g protein) during storage (8 and 15 months) at room temperature of IFs with lactose (L), lactose-free (LF) and prebiotic-enriched IFs (FOS, GOS and GOS/FOS). a,b,c Statistically significant differences of furosine content during storage.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Great variability in furosine and HMF levels was found in commercial IFs.
- Scarce differences in furosine and HMF contents between IFs with and without prebiotics were observed.
- The high levels of furosine detected in some infant formulas may be attributable to excessive heat treatment during processing.
- Storage at room temperature of IFs did not produce important changes in the content of furosine and HMF.
- The use of these thermal indicators (furosine and HMF) allows establish quality of enriched-prebiotics IFs.

## References

- [1] V. Morales, A. Olano, N. Corzo *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry* 52(22) (2004) 6732-6736. [2] M. Corzo-Martínez, N. Corzo, M. Villamiel, M.D. del Castillo, *Food Biochemistry and Food Processing*. B.K. Simpson (Ed.). John Wiley & Sons (2012) 56-83. [3] P. Resmini, L. Pellegrino, G. Battelli, *Italian Journal of Food Science* 2(3) (1990) 173-183. [4] M. Rada-Mendoza, M.L. Sanz, A. Olano, M. Villamiel, *Food Chemistry* 85(4) (2004) 605-609.

## Acknowledgements

Funding from the Spanish MINECO (AGL2014-53445-R), ALIBIRD-CM S-2013/ABI-272 and Spanish Danone Institute. Carlos Sabater thanks his FPU Predoctoral contract from Spanish MECED (FPU14/03619).