Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal-AHEAD OF PRINT - ARTICLE IN PRESS

Odontogenic tumors in a Brazilian population

Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.21285 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.21285

The relative frequency of odontogenic tumors: A study of 376 cases in a Brazilian population

Rafael Lima-Verde-Osterne¹, Eveline Turatti², Renata Cordeiro-Teixeira³, Roberta Barroso-Cavalcante⁴

¹ DDS, MSc, Auxiliar Professor. Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of Fortaleza, CE, Brazil
² DDS, PhD, Titular Professor. Department of Oral Pathology, School of Dentistry, University of Fortaleza, CE, Brazil
³ DDS, PhD, Assistant Professor. Division of Oral Radiology, School of Dentistry, University of Fortaleza, CE, Brazil
⁴ DDS, PhD, Assistant Professor. Division of Oral Pathology, School of Dentistry, University of Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

Correspondence: Rua da Paz, 555/201, Fortaleza, CE- Brazil, 60165-180, roberta@unifor.br

Received: 12/02/2016 Accepted: 02/12/2016 Please cite this article in press as: Lima-Verde-Osterne R, Turatti E, Cordeiro-Teixeira R, Barroso-Cavalcante R. The relative frequency of odontogenic tumors: a study of 376 cases in a Brazilian population. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. (2017), doi:10.4317/medoral.21285

Abstract

Background: Odontogenic tumors (OTs) are rare lesions, exclusive of the jaws, that are derived from epithelial and/or ectomesenchymal elements of the tooth-forming apparatus. Their biological behavior is heterogeneous, including hamartomatous tissue proliferation, benign nonaggressive and aggressive neoplasms, and malignant tumors with metastatic capacity. The aim of this study was to describe the relative frequency of odontogenic tumors in a Brazilian population. In addition, a review of the literature identified studies on odontogenic tumors that follow the 2005 World Health Organization.

Material and Methods: A total of 376 cases of odontogenic tumors from an oral pathology service were reviewed about age, gender, anatomic site and histologic diagnosis.

Results: Keratocystic odontogenic tumors (31.6%) were the most common, followed by ameloblastoma (28.5%), and odontoma (22.6%). The mean age was 32.2 years, and more than half the patients (52.1%) were in the second and third decades of life. The male to female ratio was 1:1.37, with a maxilla to mandible ratio of 1:2.08.

Conclusions: The variation in relative frequency of tumors observed among the several series, including the present study, is probably due in part to cultural differences between geographic areas but also to the study design.

Key words: Pathology, epidemiology, odontogenic tumors.

Introduction

Odontogenic tumors (OTs) are rare lesions, exclusive of the jaws, that are derived from epithelial and/or ectomesenchymal elements of the tooth-forming apparatus. Their biological behavior is heterogeneous, including hamartomatous tissue proliferation, benign nonaggressive and aggressive neoplasms, and malignant tumors with metastatic capacity (1).

Since 2005, epidemiological OT studies have followed two major classificatory systems. The majority of these studies are based on the 2005 WHO classification of tumors (1-13). On the other hand, other studies still followed the 1992 WHO classification (14-19). The changes in the 2005 classification included terminology, classification as benign or malignant or assignment to relevant subgroups, in particular the benign tumors (20). However, the main difference for relative frequencies studies was the addition of the odontogenic keratocyst to the benign OTs, termed as keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KCOT). This redefinition produced a huge increase in the frequency and prevalence of OTs (12), without, however, impacts on the treatment conventions for the KCOTs (20).

Knowledge of prevalence of the OTs can be extremely valuable both for pathologists and clinicians when developing differential diagnosis (4). Reports on the relative frequency of OTs from different countries show a distinct geographic variation (6,8,12,13). Although some reports have been published concerning the relative frequency of OTs in Brazil (3,8,10,12,21,22), few studies are based on large samples from a single institution (12,22). Thus, the objective of this study is to describe the relative frequency of OTs at the Oral Pathology Laboratory at the University of Fortaleza (Ceará State, Brazil) over a period of 12 years, based on the 2005 WHO classification (1). We then compare these results with previous studies published from other parts of the world, including one previous study from the same state of Ceará in Brazil.

Material and Methods

This cross-sectional study was approved by the institutional Ethics Commitee (ethics approval number 1104619). A total of 9100 biopsy records were reviewed and 376 (4.1%) met the inclusion criteria for 2005 WHO classification for OTs (1). Recurrent tumors as well as cases with repeated biopsies of the same lesion were excluded.

Within this laboratory, all diagnosis requires the consensus of two pathologists. The data collected from the clinical records and histopathological report included a unique biopsy number, patient age at the date of the biopsy, gender, tumor site and histopathological diagnosis. Personal or identifiable information was not recorded to maintain anonymity.

Age at the time of the diagnosis was treated as a continuous variable, but also categorized into eight age groups, with a 10-year interval. All tumor sites were classified into anterior maxilla, posterior maxilla, anterior mandible, or posterior mandible. The histopathological diagnoses were adapted to the 2005 WHO classification of OTs (1). The resulting data set was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science, version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Comparison studies were identified from the existing literature (PubMed Database) of series of odontogenic tumors published between 2005 and 2015. These studies all used the 2005 WHO classification (1), and those that did not mention the malignant tumors or failed to include any of the most prevalent benign tumors were excluded.

Results

In the data set, 99.2% of the tumors were benign and 0.8% were malignant. Primary intraosseous squamous cell carcinoma (PIOSCC) (0.5%) and ameloblastic carcinoma (AC) (0.3%) were the only two malignant entities. Keratocyst odontogenic tumor (KCOT) was the most frequent benign tumor (31.6%) followed by ameloblastoma (28.5%), odontoma (22.6%), and odontogenic myxoma (4.5%). Other benign OTs comprised between 0.3% and 4.3%. Regarding tumor histogenesis, 65.9% of the tumors were classified as OTs of odontogenic epithelium with mature fibrous stroma without odontogenic ectomesenchyme; 27.9% were OTs of odontogenic epithelium with odontogenic ectomesenchyme, with or without hard tissue formation; and 6.1% were OTs of mesenchyme and/or odontogenic ectomesenchyme with or without odontogenic epithelium.

Table 1 shows the relative frequency and gender distribution of OTs. In three biopsies (0.8%), the gender of the patient was not provided and thus not included in the results. There were 157 (41.8%) male specimens and 216 (57.4%) female specimens, for a gender ratio of 1:1.37. For the three most frequently observed tumors (KCOT, ameloblastoma, and odontomas), the male:female ratios are 1:1.05, 1:1.3, and 1:1.89) respectively.

As shown in table 2, the age of patients at diagnosis ranged from 3 to 99 years with a mean of $32.2(\pm 18.7)$ years. The peak age categories of occurrence are in the second and third decades of life, which comprised more than half the diagnosed OTs biopsies (52.1%). Overall, the KCOT and ameloblastoma show the higher relative frequencies in all decades except the first and second, where odontoma occurred with greater frequency. The third decade is the only one where the ameloblastoma is more common than the KCOT.

Table 3 shows the site distribution of each type of OT, where 248 (65.9%) tumors were located in the mandible and 119 (31.70%) tumors in maxilla, for an overall max-

		Total	Gender						
Abbreviat	tion	Total	Male	Female	NA	Ratio			
		n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	M:F			
AME	Ameloblastoma	107(28.5)	46(12.2)	60(16.0)	1(0.2)	1:1.3			
СЕОТ	Calcifying epithelial odonto- genic tumor	5(1.3)	3(0.8)	2(0.5)	-	1.5:1			
АОТ	genic tumor Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor	14(3.7)	3(0.8)	11(2.9)	-	1:3.6			
КСОТ	Keratocyst odontogenic tumor	119(31.6)	58(15.5)	61(16.3)	-	1:1.05			
AF	Ameloblastic fibroma/fibro- dentinoma	3(0.8)	1(0.2)	2(0.5)	-	1:2			
AFO	Ameloblastic fibroodontoma	1(0.3)	1(0.2)	-	-	-			
OC	Odontoma	85(22.6)	29(7.7)	55(14.7)	1(0.2)	1:1.89			
ССОТ	Calcifying cystic odontogenic tumor	16(4.3)	7(1.8)	8(2.1)	1(0.2)	1:1.1			
OF	Odontogenic fibroma	1(0.3)	-	1(0.2)	-	-			
ОМ	Odontogenic myxoma/fi- bromyxoma	17(4.5)	8(2.1)	9(2.4)	-	1:1.1			
СВ	Cementoblastoma	5(1.3)	1(0.2)	4(1.0)	-	1:4			
AC	Ameloblastic carcinoma	1(0.3)	-	1(0.2)	-	-			
PIOSCC	Primary intraosseous squa- mous cell carcinoma	2(0.5)	-	2(0.5)	-	-			
Total		376(100)	157(41.8)	216(57.4)	3(0.8)	1:1.37			

Table 1. Gender distribution of odontogenic tumors.

NA, not available.

Note: the percentages here are for the total number of tumors.

		Age								
	0-9	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	>70	Mean±SD	Range
	n(%)	n(%)	Mcall±5D	range						
AME	-	21(20.6)	31(30.4)	12(11.4)	13(12.7)	7(6.9)	9(8.8)	9(8.8)	36.3±19.6	10-83
CEOT	-	-	1(25.0)	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	-	-	-	36.0±10.0	25-45
AOT	-	5(38.5)	5(38.5)	1(7.7)	2(15.4)	-	-	-	24.3±10.5	25-45
КСОТ	-	22(19.8)	28(25.2)	14(12.6)	20(18.0)	12(10.8)	10(9.0)	5(4.5)	36.6±18.2	11-96
AF	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.3±2.5	9-14
AFO	1(100)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	3
OC	12(14.5)	35(43.8)	17(21.2)	10(12.5)	4(5.0)	1(1.2)	1(1.2)	-	20.1±11.8	4-62
ССОТ	-	4(28.6)	4(28.6)	1(7.1)	2(14.3)	1(7.1)	-	2(14.2)	37.5±25.8	14-99
OF	-	-	-	-	-	1(100.0)	-	-	54.0	54
ОМ	-	2(13.3)	5(33.3)	3(20.0)	5(33.3)	-	-	-	32.8±11.7	18-49
СВ	-	-	1(25.0)	-	1(25.0)	2(50.0)	-	-	42.5±16.3	20-56
AC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1(100.0)	-	63.0	63
PIOSCC	-	-	-	-	1(50.0)	-	-	1(50.0)	-	-
Total	14(4.0)	91(25.9)	92(26.2)	42(12.0)	50(14.2)	24(6.8)	21(6.0)	17(4.9)	32.2±18.7	3-99

Table 2. Age distribution of odontogenic tumors.

NA, not available.

Note: the percentages here are for the total number of tumors.

		Maxilla			Mandible		NIA	Tetal	Maxilla-
	Anterior Posterior Total		Anterior	Anterior Posterior		NA	Total	mandible	
	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	ratio
AME	4(3.7)	3(2.8)	7(6.5)	17(15.8)	79(73.8)	96(89.7)	4(3.7)	107(100.0)	1:12.2
CEOT	1(20.0)	1(20.0)	2(40.0)	1(20.0)	1(20.0)	2(40.0)	1(20.0)	5(100.0)	1:1
AOT	6(42.8)	5(35.7)	11(78.5)	1(7.1)	2(14.2)	3(21.4)	-	14(100.0)	3.6:1
KCOT	12(10.1)	20(16.8)	32(26.9)	17(14.3)	69(58.0)	86(72.3)	1(0.8)	119(100.0)	1:2.63
AF	-	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	-	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	-	3(100.0)	1:2
AFO	-	-	-	-	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	-	1(100.0)	-
OC	33(38.8)	15(17.6)	48(56.4)	16(18.8)	20(23.5)	36(42.3)	1	85(100.0)	1.2:1
ССОТ	5(31.2)	4(25.0)	9(56.2)	3(18.7)	3(18.7)	6(37.5)	1(6.25)	16(100.0)	1.5:1
OF	-	-	-	-	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	-	1(100.0)	-
OM	1(5.8)	7(41.1)	8(47.0)	2(11.7)	6(35.2)	8(47.0)	1(5.8)	17(100.0)	1:1
СВ	1(20)	-	1(20)	-	4(80)	4(80)	-	5(10.00)	1:4
AC	-	-	-	-	1	1(100.0)	-	1(100.0)	-
PIOSCC	-	-	-	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	-	2(100.0)	-
Total	63(16.8)	56(14.9)	119(31.7)	58(15.4)	190(50.5)	248(65.9)	9(2.3)	376(100)	1:2.08

Table 3. Site distribution of odontogenic tumors.

NA, not available.

Note: the percentages here are for the total number of tumors.

illa-mandible ratio of 1:2.08. In maxilla, the anterior region was the predominant site of involvement, mostly contributed by odontoma. The posterior region of the mandible was the frequent site of involvement in 190 (50.5%) tumors. Ameloblastoma showed a high mandibular predilection, whereas AOT was more common in the anterior region of the maxilla.

Discussion

This study describes a series of OTs from the Oral Pathology Laboratory of the University of Fortaleza, which is the major referral center for biopsied lesions in Ceará, Northeast of Brazil, a state with more than 8.8 million inhabitants. Our sample is the largest yet analyzed in Brazil. There is, however, a previous study from the population of Ceará conducted by Osterne *et al.* (8) albeit using a smaller sample.

Despite the fact that some studies published after 2005 did not observe the latest WHO classification for OTs, (14-18) the majority of studies have employed the 2005 classification (2-13). Servato *et al.* (12) showed that the average proportion of OTs among oral and maxillofacial lesions evaluated by histopathology increased from $3\% (\pm 2.9\%)$ in studies that used the 1992 WHO (19) classification of tumors to $4.0\% (\pm 1.3\%)$ in those with the later classification. This is in concordance with the present study, where the relative frequency of OTs is

4.1% of the total biopsied specimens between January 2001 and December 2013. However, there seems to be significant geographical variation in the frequency of OTs, with lower rates in Europe (15) (0.84%) and North America (14) (1.2%) and higher rates in Asia (18) (4.1%) and Africa (23) (9.3%). In Brazil, the frequency rates range between 1.3% and 4.76%, most likely due to inherent regional disparities between the Northeast and the Center-South (3,10,12).

Across all the studies reviewed for comparison, the most frequent OT is ameloblastoma (39.1%), followed by KCOT (32.1%), and odontoma (10.2%) (Table 4). These rates differ from the order of magnitude in our study where KCOT is more frequent (31.6%), followed by ameloblastoma (28.5%) and odontoma (22.6%). These differences in the rankings of frequencies, especially for KCOT and ameloblastoma, appear to follow a distinct geographical pattern. In the studies conducted in the Western Hemisphere (including Brazil) KCOT is reported with the greatest frequency, while in the Asian and African studies, ameloblastoma is the most frequent OT (2,3,5-7,9-12).

Odontoma is the third most common OT, although the frequencies differ meaningfully between studies. Fregnani *et al.* (24) argue that differences in results are due to data sources, rather than geography. Medical hospitals underestimate odontoma frequencies and overesti-

Jing et al. (2)	Avelar et al. (3) 2008	Luo and Li (4) 2009	Gaitán- Cepeda (5) 2010	Tawfik and Zyada (6) 2010	Gill et al. (7) 2011	Osterne et al. (8) 2011	Varkhee et al. (9) 2011	Costa et al. (10) 2012	Siriwardena <i>et al.</i> (11) 2012	Servato et al. (12) 2013	Sekerci et al. (13) 2015	Total	Present	Study
n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%	6)
AME	661(40.3)	57(23.7)	478(36.5)	25(18.3)	34(41.5)	99(47.4)	54(29.1)	49(40.8)	60(29.8)	816(48. 6)	48(20.0)	66(29.8)	2447(39.1)	107(28.5
SOT	(0.2)	1(0.4)	0	0	0	0	0	1(0.8)	0	7(0.4)	0	0	12(0.1)	0
CEOT	10(0.6)	5(2.0)	6(0.4)	2(1.4)	3(3.7)	3(1.4)	1(0.5)	1(0.8)	4(2.0)	25(1,4)	2(0.8)	24(11.0)	86(1.3)	5(1.3)
AOT	68(4.1)	13(5.4)	27(2.0)	2(1.4)	3(3.7)	16(2.8)	1(0.5)	7(5.8)	2(1.0)	78(4.6)	3(1.3)	4(1.8)	224(3.5)	14(3.7)
KCOT	588(35.8)	69(30.0)	507(38.7)	53(38.9)	16(19.5)	49(23.4)	52(28.1)	(37.5)	65(32.3)	431(25)	76(31.7)	57(26.1)	2008(32.1)	119(31.6
AF	19(1.2)	4(1.7)	13(0.9)	0	2(2.4)	2(1.0)	4(2.1)	1(0.8)	4(2.0)	15(0.8)	0	2(0.9)	66(1.0)	3(0.8)
AFO	4(0.2)	1(0.4)	12(0.9)	0	0	0	0	0	2(1.0)	9(0.5)	0	1(0.4)	29(0.4)	1(0.3)
OC	78(4.7)	54(22.1)	80(6.1)	42(30.8)	11(13.4)	11(5.3)	36(19.4)	14(11.6)	37(18.4)	169(10. 0)	76(31.6)	35(16.0)	643(10.2)	85(22.6)
OA	2(0.1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2(0.03)	0
CCOT	36(2.2)	15(6.3)	26(1.9)	0	0	16(7.5)	7(3.7)	1(0.8)	4(2.0)	24(1.4)	9(3.8)	3(1.3)	141(2.2)	16(4.3)
DGCT	9(0.5)	0	5(0.3)	0	0	0	0	0	1(0.5)	5(0.2)	1(0.4)	2(0.9)	23(0.3)	0
OF	5(0.3)	0	21(1.6)	2(1.4)	0	0	7(3.7)	0	0	7(0.4)	5(2.1)	4(1.8)	51(0.8)	1(0.3)
OM	76(4.6)	15(6.3)	34(2.6)	8(5.8)	7(8.5)	7(3.3)	13(7.0)	0	9(4.5)	67(3.9)	11(4.6)	5(2.2)	252(4.0)	17(4.5)
CB	33(2.0)	4(1.7)	22(1.6)	0	3(3.7)	6(2.9)	7(3.7)	1(0.8)	2(1.0)	1(0.05)	4(1.7)	2(0.9)	85(1.3)	5(1.3)
AC	27(1.6)	0	17(1.3)	0	0	0	0	0	7(3.5)	3(0.1)	3(1.3)	1(0.4)	58(0.9)	1(0.3)
MAME	0	0	0	0	1(1.2)	0	0	0	0	1(0.05)	0	0	2(0.03)	0
PIOSCC	14(0.9)	0	49(3.7)	0	2(2.4)	0	0	0	1(0.5)	13(0.7)	1(0.4)	10(4.5)	87(1.3)	2(0.5)
CCOC	2(0.1)	0	8(0.6)	0	0	0	0	0	1(0.5)	5(0.2)	1(0.4)	2(0.9	19(0.3)	0
GCOC	5(0.3)	0	3(0.2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8(0.1)	0
AFS	2(0.1)	0	1(0.08)	0	0	0	0	0	2(1.0)	1(0.05)	0	0	6(0.1)	0
NSOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	3(1.6)	0	0	0	0	0	6(0.1)	0
Total	1642(100)	238(100)	1309(100)	136(100)	82(100)	209(100)	185(100)	120(100)	201(100)	1677(100)	240(100)	218(100)	6255(100)	376(100

Table 4. Distribution of odontogenic tumors by diagnosis.

NA, not available.

Note: the percentages here are for the total number of tumors.

mate the rates of tumors that require extensive surgical procedures. Moreover, in several developing countries, odontomas are occasionally not registered or sent for histological confirmation. In the current study, the relative frequency for odontomas was 22.6% and this is in concordance with Brazilian series conducted by Avelar *et al.* (3) (22.1%), Costa *et al.* (10) (18.4%), and Osterne *et al.* (8) (19.4%).

In general, the comparison of studies found wide variations in the occurrence of the less frequent tumors, such as odontogenic myxoma (2.2% - 6.3%), AOT (0.5% -5.8%), and cementoblastoma (0% - 3.7%). Despite some divergence, our results are consistent with the averages of the other studies reviewed here (4.5% vs 4.0% respectively for odontogenic myxoma; 3.7% vs 3.5% for AOT; and 1.3% vs 1.3% for cementoblastoma). It should be mentioned that our relative frequency for calcifying cystic odontogenic tumor (4.3%) were slightly higher compared to other studies (2.2%), however, these differences are confirmed in other Brazilian studies-Osterne *et al.* (8), Servato *et al.* (12), and Avelar *et al.* (3), with rates of 3.7%, 3.8% and 6.3%, respectively. Also, the low occurrence of calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor (1.3%), ameloblastic fibroma (0.8%), ameloblastic fibro-odontoma (0,3%), and odontogenic fibroma (0.3%) was comparable to findings reported elsewhere (7,11). Malignant OTs in the present study represented 0.8% of the total OTs. This relative frequency is similar to other reports from the Western Hemisphere countries that ranged from 0% to 1.1% (25-27), but contrast with the significantly higher rates from Africa (23) and China

(4), 5.8% to 5.9% respectively. Regarding gender, the literature states that male patients are more affected by OTs than females (Table 5). However, it seems that the gender distribution of OTs also shows a geographic variation, as reported in studies from South America (3,5,8,12,27), including the present study, where higher female rates were identified.

Comparison rates across studies suggest that frequency rates do not vary in terms of average age, but there are strong geographic differences with regard to the age decade in which the different OTs occur. The Brazilian studies show that the second decade of life is the most affected (3,8,12), followed by the third decade. In Egypt, India and Sri-Lanka, on the other hand, the order of age decades is reversed and the third decade

	Gender				Mean	Site					
	Total	Male	Female	Ratio	Age	Total	Maxilla	Mandible	Ratio		
	n	n	n	M:F	years	n	n	n	Max:Mand		
Jing et al. (2)	1639	959	680	1.41:1.00	32.1	1615	322	1293	1:4.01		
Avelar et al. (3)	238	102	136	1.00:1.33	NA	238	78	160	1:2.05		
Luo and <i>Li</i> (4)	1309	751	558	1.35:1.00	34.4	1264	281	983	1:3.49		
Gaitán-Cepeda (5)	136	83	53	1.56:1:00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Tawfik and Zyda (6)	82	44	38	1.20:1.00	29.5	82	14	68	1:4.85		
Osterne et al. (8)	185	71	114	1.00:1.60	30.5	165	52	113	1:2.10		
Deepthi et al. (7)	305	166	139	1.19:1.00	33.7	302	72	230	1:3.19		
Varkhede et al. (9)	120	70	50	1.40:1.00	NA	120	32	88	1:2.75		
Costa et al. (10)	201	115	86	1.33:1.00	35.0	192	52	140	1:2.69		
Siriwardena et al. (11)	1677	858	819	1.00:1.04	30.8	1424	371	1053	1:2.83		
Servato et al. (12)	NA	NA	NA	1.00:1.10	29.0	227	64	163	1:2.54		
Sekerci et al. (13)	218	110	108	1.01:1.00	34.5	218	48	170	1:3.54		
Present Study	373	157	216	1.00:1.37	32.2	367	119	248	1:2.08		

Table 5. Gender, mean age, and site distribution of odontogenic tumors.

NA, not available.

shows the highest rates (6,9,11). In the present study, the second and the third decades of life were the most common and equally affected. In China and Turkey (2,4,13), the third and fourth decades were most afflicted. These variations clearly mirror the percentages of KCOT, ameloblastoma and odontoma in each study. Because odontoma occurs in younger patients, in studies where the relative frequency of this tumor is high, the second and third decade of life are the most affected (3,8,9,12). Where high frequencies of ameloblastoma are present, the age occurrence shifts to the third and fourth decades (2,4,13). In contrast, KCOT is distributed more uniformly across age.

Specifically with regard to ameloblastoma, the mean age of occurrence does show significant variation across countries. Reichart et al. (28) report a mean age in developing countries to be 27.7 years and in developed countries to be 39.1 years. In the current study, the mean age of occurrence for ameloblastoma (36.6 years) was closer to that reported by Reichart et al. (28) for developed countries, showing that there might be others factors involved. It has been previously show by Ledesma-Montes et al. (29), in a study of 163 amelobastoma cases from Latin-America, that solid ameloblastoma has a higher mean age of occurrence (41.4 years) than unicystic ameloblastoma (26.3 years), in fact, not a single case of solid ameloblastoma was found in patient younger than 20-years old in their series. In the present study, information about the subtypes of ameloblastomas included is not available, and this may by a limitation factor for explaining the possible differences between the mean age of this and other series. Other

studies of ameloblastoma from different regions, with homogeneous and actually accepted criteria to differentiate unicystic ameloblastoma and solid ameloblastoma could help to clarify if there are geographics or ethnics differences in occurrence of ameloblastomas.

Odontoma is frequently found in the first and second decade of life with the mean age of 18.4 years (14). The slightly higher mean age (20.1 years) seen in the present study for odontomas probably reveals the fact that Brazilian patients do not frequently undergo routine panoramic X-ray and so odontomas are not diagnosed as early. A systematic review of KCOT revealed a mean age of 36.5 years with a peak of incidence in the second and third decades of life (30). Our mean age were similar (36.6 years), but with a smoother distribution over the decades. The other OT that shows a marked pattern by an age group is AOT (31), where 65% of these tumors occur in the second decade of life. In our data, 77% of AOTs were equally distributed between the second and the third decades of life. That delay in the age of diagnosis was probably due to the fact that, likewise odontomas, AOT exhibits self-limiting growth and does not require urgent treatment.

Most OTs were found in the posterior region of the mandible. The current study presented a maxilla:mandible ratio of 1:2.08. A higher maxilla:mandible ratio (1:3.6) were reported in literature (8) which reflects the relatively higher rates of ameloblastomas in large samples (2,4,11). Ameloblastomas, KCOT and cementoblastomas are widely known to affect more the posterior region of mandible (1,8,14). In accordance with literature, our study shows that 73.8% of the ameloblastomas and 57.9% KCOTs occur in that region. Cementoblastomas, also showed a strong mandible occurrence (80%), despite their relative scarcity in the sample as a whole. In contrast, AOT and odontomas occur more frequently in the maxilla, accounting for 78.5% and 56.4% of the sites, respectively. These observations are confirmed by Gupta & Ponniah *et al.* (18), Avelar *et al.* (3), Deepthi *et al.* (7), but not by Buchner *et al.* (14) and Jing *et al.* (2). While most studies show the predominant occurrence of odontogenic myxomas in the mandible (8), our results reveal an equal distribution of odontogenic myxoma between maxilla (47.0%) and mandible (47.0%). No conclusions could be drawn with regard to gender, age and anatomic site predilection for the rarest OTs (CEOT, AF, OF, CB, AC, and PIOSCC) owing to paucity of cases.

It was interesting to see that our results are in accordance with a previous study conducted by Osterne *et al.* (8) in the same region – state of Ceará/Brazil - with data from 2001 to 2005. The relative frequencies of the most common tumors were similar, and the maxila:mandible ratio was almost identical (1:2.08 vs 1:2.1). In both studies, the second and the third decades of life were the most affected, which taken together accounted for 52.1% and 51.27% of the total number of tumors between our study and that of Osterne *et al.* (8). The gender distribution showed the larger difference with a male:female ratio of 1:1.37 for our study compared to 1:1.6 for Osterne *et al.* (8).

Lastly, it is important to highlight that although we serve the majority of the State of Ceará, there are some biopsies analyzed in other laboratories. Also, because the size and age of patient population are unknown, we cannot calculate prevalence and incidence rates. Nevertheless, this is the largest study of its kind in the country, so it provides valuable information on the types of tumors and percentages of each type of tumor by age, gender, and anatomic site.

In conclusion, overall our results are shared by the others Brazilian studies but show marked geographic variation to those reported from Asia and Africa. The variations in relative frequency of tumors observed among the several series, including the present study, are probably due in part to underlying cultural differences between geographic areas but also to differing elements of the study design, which would require further research to determine.

References

 Philipsen HP, Reichart PA. Classification of odontogenic tumors. A historical review. J Oral Pathol Med 2006;36:525-9.

2. Jing W, Xuan M, Lin Y, Wu L, Lui L, Zheng X, Tang W, Qiao J, Tian W. Odontogenic tumors: a retrospective study of 1642 cases in a Chinese population. Int Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;36:20-5.

3. Avelar RL, Antunes AA, Santos T, Andrade ES, Dourado E. Odontogenic tumors: clinical pathology study of 238 cases. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol 2008;74:668-73.

4. Luo HY, Li TJ. Odontogenic tumors: a study of 1309 cases in a Chinese population. Oral Oncol 2009;45:706-11.

 Gaitán-Cepeda LA, Quezada-Rivera D, Tenorio-Rocha F, Leyva-Huerta ER. Reclassification of odontogenic keratocyst as tumor. Impact on the odontogenic tumors prevalence. Oral Dis 2010;16:185-7.
Tawfik MA, Zvada MM. Odontogenic tumors in Dakahlia, Egypt:

analysis of 82 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2010;109:67-73.

7. Deepthi PV, Beena VT, Padmakumar DK, Rajeev R, Sivakumar R. A study of 11777 odontogenic lesions in a South Kerala population. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2016;20:202-7.

8. Osterne RLV, Brito RGM, Alves APNN, Cavalcante RB, Sousa FB. Odontogenic tumors: a 5-year retrospective study in a Brazilian population and analysis of 3406 cases reported in the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2011;111:474-81.

9. Varkhede A, Tupkari J V, Sardar M. Odontogenic tumors: a study of 120 cases in a Indian teaching hospital. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2011;16:895-9.

10. Costa DOP, Mauricio AS, Faria PAS, Silva LE, Mosqueda-Taylor A, Lourenço SQC. Odontogenic tumors: a retrospective study of four Brazilian diagnostic pathology centers. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2012;17:389-94.

11. Siriwardena BSMS, Tennakoon TMPB, Tilakaratne WM. Relative frequency of odontogenic tumors in Sri Lanka: analysis of 1677 cases. Pathol Res Pract 2012;15:225-30.

12. Servato JP, Prieto-Oliveira P, Faria PR, Loyola AM, Cardoso SV. Odontogenic tumors: 240 cases diagnosed over 31 years at a Brazilian university and review of international literature. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013;42:288-93.

13. Sekerci AE, Nazlim S, Etoz M, Deniz K, Yasa Y. Odontogenic tumors: a collaborative study of 218 cases diagnosed over a 12 years and comprehensive review of the literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2015;20:34-44.

14. Buchner A, Merrel PW, Carpenter WM. Relative frequency of central odontogenic tumors: a study of 1,088 cases from northern California and comparisons to studies from others parts of the world. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006;64:1343-52.

15. Olgac V, Koseoglu BG, Aksakalli N. Odontogenic tumors in Istanbul: 527 cases. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006;44:386-8.

16. Okada H, Yamamoto H, Tilakararatne WM. Odontogenic tumors in Sri Lanka: analysis of 226 cases. J Oral Maxillofacial Surg 2007;65:875-82.

17. Sriram G, Shetty RP. Odontogenic tumors: a study of 250 cases in an Indian teaching hospital. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2008;105:14-21.

18. Gupta B, Ponniah I. The pattern of odontogenic tumors in a government teaching hospital in southern Indian state of Tamil Nadu. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2010;110:32-39.

19. Kramer IR, Pindborg JJ, Shear M. The World Organization histological typing of odontogenic tumors. Introducing the second edition. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol 1993;29B:169-71.

20. Reichart PA, Philipsen HP, Sciubba JJ. The new classification of head and neck (WHO) – any changes? Oral Oncol 2006;42:757-8.

21. Santos JN, Pinto LP, de Figuredo CR, de Souza LB. Odontogenic tumors analysis of 127 cases. Pesqui Odontol Bras 2001;15:308-13.

22. Fernandes AM, Duarte EC, Pimenta FJ, Souza LN, Santos VR, Mesquita RA, Aguiar MCF. Odontogenic tumors: a study of 340 cases in a Brazilian population. J Oral Pathol Med 2005;34:583-7.

23. Ladeinde AL, Ajayi OF, Ogunlewe MO, Adeyemo WL, Arotiba GT, Bamgbose BO, Akinwande JA. Odontogenic tumors: a review of 319 cases in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2005;99:191-5.

24. Fregnani ER, Fillipi RZ, Oliveira CR, Vargas PA, Almeida OP. Odontomas and ameloblastomas: variable prevalences around the world? Oral Oncol 2002;38:807-8.

25. Daley TD, Wysocki GP, Pringle GA. Relative incidence of odontogenic tumors and oral and jaw cysts in a Canadian population. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1994;77:276-80. 26. Mosqueda-Taylor A, Ledesma-Montes C, Caballero-Sandoval S, Portilla-Robertson J, Ruíz-Godoy Rivera LM, Meneses-García A. Odontogenic tumors in Mexico: A collaborative retrospective study of 349 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 1997;84:672-5.

27. Ochsenius G, Ortega A, Godoy L, Peñafiel C, Escobar E. Odontogenic tumors in Chile: a study of 362 cases. J Oral Pathol Med 2002;31:415-20.

28. Reichart PA, Philipsen HP, Sonner S. Ameloblastoma: biological profile of 3677 cases. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol 1995;31B:86-99.

29. Ledesma-Montes C1, Mosqueda-Taylor A, Carlos-Bregni R, de León ER, Palma-Guzmán JM, Páez-Valencia C, et al. Ameloblastomas: a regional Latin-American multicentric study. Oral Dis. 2007 May;13(3):303-7.

30. Sansare K, Raghav M, Mupparapu M, Mundada N, Karjodkar FR, Bansal S, Desai R. Keratocystic odontogenic tumor: systematic review with analysis of 72 additional cases from Mumbai India. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod 2013;115:128-39.

31. Philipsen HP, Reichart PA, Siar CH, Ng KH, Lau SH, Zhang X, Dhanuthai K, Swasdison S, Jainkittivong A, Meer S, Jivan V, Altini M, Hazarey V, Ogawa I, Takata T, Mosqueda-Taylor AA, Godoy H, Delgado WA, Carlos-Bregni R, Macias JFR, Matsuzaka K, Sato D, Vargas PA, Adebayo ET. An updated clinical and epidemiological profile of the adenomatoid odontogenic tumor: a collaborative retrospective study. J Oral Pathol Med 2007;36:383-93.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exist.