

University of Windsor

Scholarship at UWindor

Odette School of Business Publications

Odette School of Business

2017

Professionalization and the Accounting Profession

Alan J. Richardson
University of Windsor

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/odettepub>



Part of the [Business Commons](#), [Critical and Cultural Studies Commons](#), and the [Work, Economy and Organizations Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Richardson, Alan J.. (2017). Professionalization and the Accounting Profession. *The Routledge Companion to Critical Accounting*, edited by Robin Roslender, London: Routledge..
<https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/odettepub/104>

This Contribution to Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Odette School of Business at Scholarship at UWindor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Odette School of Business Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

Professionalization and the Accounting Profession

Alan J. Richardson

University of Windsor

Final version November 8, 2016.

To appear as Chapter 23 in

The Routledge Companion to Critical Accounting

Editor: Robin Roslender, School of Business, University of Dundee

Scheduled publication 2017.

Abstract

This Chapter reviews the critical literature on the emergence, development and demise of the professional model in accounting and auditing. While the critical accounting literature is broad and amorphous, in this Chapter the focus is on those studies that present an “immanent critique” of professionalization (i.e. an analysis of the contradictions of this institutional form) or which place the accounting profession within a political economy framework that examines its position at the nexus of the economy, civil society and the state. The Chapter is structured as a stylized history of the accounting profession beginning with the emergence of professional associations, the closure of the profession through the use of ascriptive criteria for membership, the profession’s engagement with the power of the state and the embedding of accounting expertise in regulation, the globalization of the profession and the rise of a commercial model of accounting practice. The Chapter ends by identifying pressing research issues that arise from the emergence of accounting as a “post-professional” occupation. This perspective assumes that the commercial model of accounting does not simply replace the professional model but rather generates diverse hybrid institutions with emergent features that will require empirical and theoretical work to fully appreciate.

During the industrial revolution new products, technologies and modes of organization emerged that allowed markets to expand significantly and new institutions such as the Limited Liability Corporation and stock exchanges developed to facilitate the exploitation of those markets. As product and capital markets expanded, new occupational specialties also emerged (Stigler, 1951) including financial accounting, cost accounting and auditing (Chandler and Daems, 1979). These occupational specialties gave rise to “the world’s largest professional service firms and high profile professional associations that have existed for almost 200 years” (Richardson, 2008). But the way that these occupational specialties were organized was not preordained or immutable; rather the professional form adopted by auditors and later financial and management accountants (for convenience all these specialties will be referred to as accountants) reflected a culturally and historically specific institution, i.e. a distinctive feature of UK society in the mid to late 1800s, that had profound effects on the way that accounting and auditing was practiced. The critical accounting literature begins with the basic premise that the professionalization of accounting was a strategic choice embedded within a particular social and historical context and has explored the emergence of the profession model, the implications of this model for society and practitioners, and its effective¹ abandonment in the late 20th century.

This Chapter reviews the critical literature on the emergence, development and demise of the professional model in accounting and auditing. While the critical accounting literature is broad and amorphous (Laughlin, 1999), in this Chapter the focus is on those studies that present an “immanent critique” of professionalization (i.e. an analysis of the contradictions of this institutional form) or which place the accounting profession within a political economy framework that examines its position at the nexus of the economy, civil society and the state. The Chapter is structured as a stylized² history of the accounting profession beginning with the

¹ This is not to say that professional associations have ceased to exist or that accountants are no longer regarded as professionals. As will be argued in this Chapter, accounting practice has commercialized, society has reduced the self-regulatory power of the accounting profession through independent oversight mechanisms and the classic model of professional organization is no longer driving the strategy of professional associations. In this sense, accounting has moved into a new phase of development where the professional model is less relevant to our understanding of accounting as an occupation.

² A “stylized” set of facts is often used in economics to connect models to an empirical reality. It is equivalent to an “ideal type” in Weberian sociology and is intended to provide an analytic or organizing model rather than to describe any particular circumstance. The model used reflects the experience of the UK and settler colonies. Variations on this theme are observed in non-settler countries (Poullaos and Sian, 2010), in centrally planned economies (Gilles, 2014), and in code law countries. The development of transnational financial and product markets is leading to a convergence of national systems consistent with the mixed model of state regulation and market competition that underlies the model described here.

emergence of professional associations, the closure of the profession through the use of ascriptive criteria for membership, the profession's engagement with the power of the state and the embedding of accounting expertise in regulation, the globalization of the profession and the rise of a commercial model of accounting practice.

The Chapter ends by identifying pressing research issues that arise from the emergence of accounting as a "post-professional" (Suddaby *et al.*, 2007; Burns 2007) occupation. This perspective assumes that the commercial model of accounting does not simply replace the professional model but rather generates diverse hybrid institutions (Dacin *et al.*, 2002) with emergent features that will require empirical and theoretical work to fully appreciate.

"Diversity is introduced both through the variety of carriers and their connections and by the differing attributes of the host systems: societies, fields, and organizations (Djelic, 1998). Global change is not necessarily about uniformity or oppression or progress; nation-states and organizations and managers are not sponges or pawns, but actors responding to challenges under the guidance of existing institutions (Guillen, 2001). The resulting changes thus often appear as hybrids, forms combining new and old elements constructed through bricolage (Campbell, 1997)". (Dacin *et al.*, 2002: 50)

Accounting as a Profession

Traditional sociological accounts held that the professions were a distinct class of occupations recognizable by their traits (e.g. use of codes of ethics, self-regulation, systems of education and credentialing) and their reliance on specialized and arcane knowledge. This approach however runs into difficulty when occupational groups who are not commonly held to be professions (e.g. hairdressers) begin to adopt the same traits as the classic professions and when differences in the knowledge underlying practice is recognized such as when the scientific basis of medical knowledge is compared with the conventional basis of legal knowledge. A more productive approach to the professions is to regard this form of occupational structure as simply a means "to translate one order of scarce resources - knowledge and skills - into another - social and economic rewards" (Larson 1977: xvii). This approach allows the adoption of professional traits to be seen as a strategy to gain advantage in the marketplace (material rewards) and in society (legitimacy). As a strategy, its success is contingent on specific circumstances and there is no reason to assume that an occupation would restrict itself to this strategy should circumstances change.

This logic leads critical researchers to examine the individuals that formed the first accounting associations (Anderson and Walker, 2009; Carnegie *et al.*, 2003; Kedslie, 1990; Lee, 1996, 2000;

McMillan, 1999; Richardson, 1989, 2000; Romeo & Rigsby, 2008; Zelinschi, 2009) and the reasons they chose to use the professional model rather than to simply practice accounting as a commercial enterprise. In general, the founders of accounting associations were the highest status members of the occupation. They saw accounting as part of a moral order and sought to separate themselves from “less qualified” practitioners. The issue of qualifications, however, is not an objective aspect of professional practice (Anderson *et al.*, 2005; Edwards *et al.*, 2007). The elite practitioners also sought to institutionalize a definition of expertise and to establish a hegemonic regime in which others would accept this definition and hence accept the elite’s position within the status hierarchy (Goddard, 2002; Richardson, 1987b). They used the professional form to bring “closure” to the profession (i.e. to use ascriptive criteria to determine fitness for entry to the profession; see the next section for further details). These criteria established a homophily with other social elites (Richardson, 1989; see Rameriz, 2001, and Bailey, 1992, for examples of failure to establish this relationship and hence the failure to establish the profession). The professional model was an established institution for knowledge-based occupations at this time in the UK and notably was the model used by lawyers who initially competed with accountants for certain roles particularly in trustee (bankruptcy) work (Edwards, 2001; McClelland & Stanton, 2004).

The professional model was thus institutionalized as a means of organizing high status knowledge-based occupations at the time and place that accounting was gaining a critical mass of practitioners; it was the established model of the legal profession with whom accountants competed for work within a particular institutional field; and it combined a moral and ascriptive dimension that allowed the founders of early accounting associations to develop their connection to other social elites and to enact their social values in organizational form. These characteristics made it a reasonable strategic choice for the status enhancement project undertaken by the founders of the early accounting associations.

The Closure of the Accounting Profession

The professions are, in theory, meritocracies where entry to the profession is based on possession of a defined body of technical skills and advancement in the profession is based on the demonstrated ability to apply those skills to client problems. This normative model of professions opens itself to an immanent critique. An immanent critique is a mainstay of critical empirical work that identifies the contradictions between the assumptions and claims of any social institution and the actions that sustain those institutions. In the case of accounting, the claimed desire to limit entry to the profession to those qualified to practice and to establish professional standards to protect the public, i.e. to attain “closure”, have been the primary

targets of immanent critique. The primary critique was that professional associations used criteria unrelated to merit to exclude people from the practice of accounting.

In some cases the existence of exclusionary practices was explicit, for example, most early accounting associations had an explicit ban on women members that was unrelated to the potential competency of women as accountants (Emery *et al.*, 2004). This was claimed to reflected the definition of “person” as a man in some legal systems (McKeen and Richardson, 1998) making it impossible to grant professional status to a “non-person”. Linda Kirkham in this volume considers the role of gender in accounting at greater length; clearly the role of gender in accounting goes well beyond a narrow reading of legal precedents. In other cases, residency or citizenship requirements were used to exclude otherwise qualified persons. This form of exclusion, i.e. “nativism” (Miranti, 1988; Dyball *et al.*, 2007), reflected the competition between local accountants and expatriates from countries with more established professions during the formative years of the profession in some “colonies.” In some cases, nativism was supported by the state as a form of resistance to imperial influence (Dybal *et al.*, 2007). This relationship will be discussed again when dealing with the globalization of the accounting profession below.

Other forms of exclusionary practice might not be explicit (Walker, 1991). In most cases, the accounting profession required serving “articles” (an apprenticeship) with a member already in practice prior to being accepted into membership of the professional association. This meant that the hiring practices of public accounting firms could serve as an exclusionary process without the visibility of professional rules. In addition, if the profession requires formal education prior to candidates challenging professional examinations, then the entry criteria of colleges and universities may have the effect of closing the profession. These practices might exclude groups based on race, religion or other criteria (Hammond, 1997, 2002; Hammond *et al.*, 2009; Annisette and O’Regan, 2007). Similarly, the requirement that a professional accountant be of “sound moral character” (as attested to by existing members) and to pass examinations or attend education programs that might reflect biased cultural norms as much as technical knowledge (Hoskin & Macve, 1986) could have exclusionary effects.

It is important to note that not all exclusionary effects are intentional. In some cases, using one’s own unacknowledged cultural biases can inadvertently encourage exclusionary practices. Kanter (1977) for example, notes that the male dominated C-suite in private companies was continued by incumbents selecting replacements with similar traits; what she refers to as “homosexual reproduction.” It may not be a conscious act of exclusion as much acting based on feelings of familiarity with candidates and hence having confidence in their ability to do the job. In other cases however closure was explicitly about maintaining the material interests of incumbents. For example, the entry of women into the profession was opposed because of its

assumed effect on the value of male labour (Roberts and Coutts, 1992; McKeen and Richardson, 1998).

The claim that the profession serves the “public interest” in restricting entry and in its style of practice has been subject to extended debate starting with the definition of the concept of the “public interest” itself (Parker, 1994; Baker, 2005; Dellaportas & Davenport, 2008). A primary way that the “public interest” has been operationalized in the accounting profession has been through codes of ethics. This topic will be considered in greater length by Paul Williams in this volume. Several studies have deconstructed these codes examining the way that they serve to reduce competition among members, prevent non-members from participating in professional activities and reinforcing a “character” based image of professional practice (Neill *et al.*, 2005; Neu *et al.*, 2003). Codes of ethics thus become a means of enforcing the behaviour of members in keeping with the dimensions of closure applied to the profession. Again, however, it is important not to assume that codes of ethics are self-consciously designed to achieve exclusionary ends. Cohen *et al.* (1992) and Jakubowski *et al.* (2002), for example, illustrate how the cultural and social institutions of a country constrain the content of accounting associations’ codes of ethics. This is to say, patterns of exclusion (or patterns of the allocation of social roles and rewards) are embedded in broader social institutions and professional associations are limited in what they can do, for better or worse, by the values of the society in which they operate (Simmons and Neu, 1997).

The attempt to close the profession by a private voluntary organization has limitations. While the professional associations might be able to bring together high status accountants and further reinforce their dominant position in the profession by creating a designation to signal membership in an exclusive group, this approach could not prevent other groups of accountants from attempting to replicate these institutions (Shackleton, 1995). In some cases these alternative groups provided an immanent critique of the dominant group through their existence and struggle to be recognized as professionally equal (Richardson, 1987). This process may have encouraged the first associations to refine their processes and implement their claimed values more fully than they might otherwise.

In some cases the rise of alternative accounting associations was tied to the division of labour in accounting (i.e. the separation of auditing or public accounting and management accounting in particular) (Loft, 1986; Anderson, 1996). The first accounting associations focused on auditing and public practice. They were not interested in organizing accountants working in other areas of practice or as employees within organizations. However, as associations representing accountants outside of public practice developed, the public accounting associations recognized that these alternative associations could challenge their hegemony and needed to be managed.

The problem for these associations is that there is significant overlap in the knowledge on which different specialties might practice and their members would drift into the domains claimed by other associations (e.g. an auditor becoming a corporate accountant) without changing their professional affiliation. This tended to bring associations into competition even though the original intent was to stakeout complementary domains (Abbott, 1977). In most cases, analyses of competition between accounting associations suggests that this would have positive effects for clients (Dunmore and Falk, 2001; Richardson, 1987) but the existence of multiple associations reduces the ability of accountants to gain social rewards for their skills by making the profession less exclusive.

The rise of alternative accounting associations can be dealt with by merger of associations or “consolidation of the profession” as it has been described (Lee, 2010). This would create a monopoly association that retains its private sector character. There have been repeated attempts to consolidate the profession in several countries including Canada (Richardson and Kilfoyle, 2012), the UK (Wilmott, 1986), USA (Previts and Merino, 1979), and Australia (Carnegie *et al.*, 2003). But merging associations is a problematic process given the different demographics each association serves and the specific entry standards, educational programs and organizational forms that have been adopted to serve members (Richardson and Jones, 2007). The recent merger of the profession in Canada, for example, retains within it the former diversity of the profession with specialties and regional organizational structures and has established procedures that have disenfranchised some elements of the profession (Richardson and Kilfoyle, 2012; Richardson, 2016). It will be a challenge for the new organization to overcome these internal divisions and to prevent new associations from being created to represent excluded groups of practitioners.

The reconsideration of the professionalization of accounting as a process of status enhancement moved researchers in this area beyond immanent critique into a political economy analysis. In this mode of analysis the key issue is to understand the complex linkage between accounting, the economy, civil society and the state. In particular, concern with understanding the process of closure lead researchers to examine the relationship between the profession and the state in its various forms.

The Accounting Profession and the State

As noted above, the attempt to close the profession through the formation of private organizations has limitations. These limitations encouraged the early associations to reinforce

their position using the power of the state³. In part this might be done simply by incorporating the professional association and registering a “reserved title” (i.e. a designation limited to members of that association). A stronger signal of state support however was chosen by the first accounting associations in the UK who sought to be “chartered.” The term “chartered” signals that the association has been formally created by a Royal Charter rather than simply under acts of incorporation. This designation reflects the political connections and social status of the group (Chua and Poullaos, 1993: 700). These approaches attempt to close the profession by establishing the superior abilities of a particular group and developing a “trademark” or brand to signal these abilities. If, however, the tasks accountants are hired for in the market do not require this level of skills, or clients are price sensitive, then consumers are unlikely to develop “brand” loyalty and further steps are needed to ensure the rewards to the profession⁴.

The limitation may be overcome by having the accounting association specified in legislation as the group capable of providing certain legislated functions. For example, an act might require that a company produce audited financial statements; a professional association might then try to close the profession by having the act define an “auditor” as someone possessing the designation of that association. This was an approach followed in the Canadian audit market (Richardson, 2000). But the Institutes walked a fine line, they succeeded in having their designation cited in legislation but also added “or other expert accountant” or similar terminology because of fear that a monopoly in legislation would require taking all accountants into membership of the association thus undermining their elite status (Anderson *et al.*, 2007). This tension between closure and status is evident in numerous settings.

The power of the state can be further harnessed by restricting the creation of reserve title organizations or regulating the profession to create a single designation. Ultimately the profession can be “registered”, i.e. mandatory possession of a state sanctioned designation for entry to the profession. The experience of the profession with registration has been diverse. In the US the CPA designation has become a state designation that signals that a person has the minimum level of competence thought necessary to protect the public interest. In Canada, by contrast, the state has used existing designations as a basis for restricting access to certain tasks. This means that aspirational standards, rather than minimum standards, are used to

³ In some countries the state was instrumental from the initial formation of the profession. This will be discussed below. The relationship between the profession and the state is a key difference between the model used in this Chapter and the development of the profession in code law and centrally planned economies.

⁴ The alternative explanation is that clients are unable to distinguish between accountants of different skill/quality levels, that they are unable to determine the services they need, and that society is harmed by the failure of clients to make the right choice. This leads to a “public interest” rationale for closing the profession.

register auditors which could be argued to raise the average cost of audits above what might be seen in competitive markets. These kinds of differences create problems when nations agree to free trade in services or where cross-border competition among accountants exists (Arnold, 2005; Yapa, 2006). The argument is made that under free trade agreements standards must fall to the lowest common denominator (under the assumption that this level reflects the minimum needed for the protection of third parties).

The profession's engagement with the state had a reciprocal effect. Although the associations may have approached the state as a source of power to enhance its position in society and with respect to competing associations or practitioners, they found that the state saw in the accounting profession a means to deal with issues in the regulation of the economy. In particular, as the idea of transparency as a means of regulation grew in the US and other countries after the Great Depression (Fung *et al.*, 2007), the accounting profession was increasingly drawn into state functions; a relationship that can be described as "corporatism".

The Accounting Profession and Corporatism

Corporatism refers to the organization of social interests into groups that facilitate interaction with the state and that allows the state to delegate certain functions to these groups. Corporatism thus allows the state to avoid dealing with certain areas/topics that might create political unrest if raised to the level of the polity as a whole (e.g. labour relations in certain industries) or where the capacity to act lies in the hands of technical experts rather than political representatives (e.g. professional regulation).

As accounting associations turned to the state for the power to close the profession, the associations found that they were entering into a corporatist relationship with the state. This meant, in part, that certain private functions of the association were now subject to greater public scrutiny and transparency, and that the functioning of the profession (for example, in standard-setting, education and entry standards) was expected to isolate the state from sectorial conflicts (Richardson, 1989; Walker and Shackelton, 1995; Yee, 2012). The profession was expected to act in contested areas where the outcome affected the interests of various stakeholders. For example, the relative claims of debt holders, equity holders and labour on the firm are affected by definitions of assets, liabilities and profit. The state avoids taking on the political cost of defining these constructs by delegating them to the profession in return for allowing the profession to self-regulate (Suddaby and Viale, 2011).

The use of corporatist structures was most common among auditors and financial accountants given the importance of these functions in mediating the relationships between the firms' stakeholders. Cost accountants also had opportunities to use corporatist arrangements to

advance their status but were less successful in taking advantage of them. This appears to have been due to the temporary occasions, e.g. during total wars (Loft, 1986) or during prolonged economic depressions (Fleischman & Tyson, 1999), when cost became a public issue rather than the demand for cost information being derived from financial reporting requirements. But even in planned economies where cost might have been expected to be a focus of state intervention in the economy, there is little evidence of the rise of a distinct cost accounting profession. Further research is needed on this phenomenon. Even among audit associations, however, corporatist arrangements are related to economic and political changes such that in Portugal, for example, distinct periods of liberal professionalism and corporatism are evident (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2003).

In a number of settings the history of professional formation operated in the opposite direction to that assumed in the stylized history provided above with the state being the initiator of professional formation. This was a common pattern in continental Europe and in some colonies and communist countries (Ballas, 1998; De Beelde, 2002; Poullaos and Sian, 2010; Mihret *et al.*, 2012; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2011). The difference may be related to differences in legal systems (code law vs common law) and to the degree of state intervention in the economy. But, regardless of the context, the underlying motivation for the state appears to have been the same, i.e. to create a body distinct from the state to take on the problematic legitimacy of clients and to isolate the state from these conflicts (Dedoulis & Caramanis, 2007).

The development of a corporatist relationship with the state meant that some forms of closure that might have been allowed within a private body received much greater scrutiny and legal challenge. This leads to “protecting the public interest in a self-interested way” (Lee, 1995; Canning and O’Dwyer, 2003). The corporatist relationship with the state was thus both empowering and limiting as a form of closure (Thornton *et al.*, 2005).

The Globalization of the Accounting Profession

Accounting and auditing are primarily used in the service of capital and hence when capital moved from the center of imperial networks to the colonies during the industrial revolution, accountants, or at least accounting skills, moved with them (Johnson and Caygill, 1971; Chua and Poullaos, 2002; Poullaos, 2009). The global flow of capital during the 20th century has shifted from the UK to the US resulting in a shift of hegemonic influence. But while the UK developed a network of colonies and diffused its institutions around the world, the US focused on economic and cultural hegemony resulting in a more diffuse impact on the accounting profession (Mihret & Bobe, 2014; Richardson, 2010). This is a very broad topic and will be

considered in greater length and with a slightly different focus in this volume by Jane Broadbent/Richard Laughlin on colonization and by Dean Neu on globalization.

This first wave of globalization of the accounting profession in the late 1900s took three forms.

First, there was a mimicry of the professional names and structures that had been established in the UK (Parker, 2005). In some cases this reflected the migration of accountants from the UK into the colonies (Sian, 2011) but often simply reflected knowledge of a successful model of advancement for the occupation. It is notable, for example, that there are many “Institutes of Chartered Accountants” across the British Empire that formed without a Royal Charter. The term “Chartered” had become a valuable brand or trademark and was used regardless of the legitimacy of the term in a particular jurisdiction (Bakre, 2005, 2006). The mimicry of the British model was subject to variation in local settings based on political constraints or the absence of the educational infrastructure capable of fully implementing the UK model (Poullaos and Sian, 2010).

Second, some associations at the center of imperial networks used the colonies to further their aspirations at home and in some cases established colonial “branches” of their association or offered their examinations in remote locations (this was most notable among some of the later UK entrants to the profession such as the ACCA (Association of Certified Chartered Accountants) (Briston & Kedslie, 1997, Annisette, 1999, 2000). Chua and Poullaos (1998) document the complex relationship between the attempt by an association to achieve closure while also positioning itself with respect to competing colonial and imperial accounting associations. The global population of holders of a designation provides legitimacy to the originator of that designation in its home jurisdiction and in other jurisdictions into which it plans to expand (Verhoef, 2014). There has not yet been a sustained examination of the ACCA’s global strategy but this would be useful to fully appreciate the attempt to create a transnational accounting designation by a single association (cf. Annisette and Trivedi, 2013).

Finally, there have been aspirations to create a global profession with a credential that would transcend political boundaries either through the negotiation of mutual recognition agreements that would allow the free flow of accountants between countries or the creation of a global accounting designation (Shafer & Gendron, 2005). The use of mutual recognition agreements allowed the UK associations to come to terms with associations in the colonies using the same name (i.e. “Chartered Accountants”) since the primary objective was to ensure that UK accountants were not denied work opportunities in the colonies. The creation of a unique transnational designation has a more recent history and will be discussed below.

Poullaos and Sian (2010) identify the lingering effects of the British Empire on accounting institutions throughout the world. The volume illustrates the variation in patterns of professionalization depending, in part, on the nature of the colony (settler versus exploitation) and the nature of the economy (particularly the extent to which there were capital intensive export industries). Brock and Richardson (2013) expand this focus to “mandate” territories, such as the Holy Lands after the First World War, administered but not colonized by the UK. While the focus of Poullaos and Sian (2010) is on the contribution of the British Empire, the papers included in that volume also note the effect of other imperial influences on accounting (such as the French empire and the cultural and economic hegemony of the US after the Second World War). They also note that the British Empire created opportunities for accountants from other countries in the periphery to relocate in search of opportunities. In particular they call for further exploration of the diaspora of Indian and Chinese accountants across the empire; a topic developed by Anisette and Trivedi (2013) who examine the attempt by Indian CAs to enter the Canadian accounting profession.

In spite of the similarities in the structure of the profession and professional regulation across the globe created by imperial links, there remain variations related to the nature of the societies in which accounting associations appear (Puxty *et al.*, 1987; Richardson and MacDonald, 2002). Parker (1989) suggests that the most important export from the UK was in fact the idea of accounting as a profession regardless of the way that this idea was expressed in particular contexts. This idea has considerable longevity even though, as discussed below, it is not clear that it is still the dominant model of practice or the strategic frame within which professional associations plan activities.

The modern global aspirations of the profession reflect the expansion of the accounting firms into new markets and the cost efficiencies that would flow from a harmonization of professional models (in the same way that a harmonization of financial reporting standards reduces their costs) (Cooper *et al.*, 1998; Robson *et al.*, 2006). The expansion of the firms and their influence in matters of professional development led Cooper & Robson (2006) to urge researchers to consider the place of accounting firms as sites of professionalization. The work of Caramanis (1999, 2005) on the influence of the big accounting firms on the regulation and structure of the audit profession in Greece provides evidence of the importance of this relationship.

One interesting aspect of the modern globalization of the profession was the attempt to create a global credential (Shafer & Gendron, 2005) known initially as the XYZ project and ultimately suggesting that the global profession use the “cognitor” label to signal the move of accountants from traditional roles into a role of thought-leadership. Although the project came to an abrupt

end when US state societies rejected the concept, the recent adoption of the CPA designation in Canada (although a Chartered Professional Accountant rather than a Certified Public Accountant as in the US), and the merger of CIMA and the AICPA, suggests that some level of global harmonization remains part of the strategy of the profession's leaders (Richardson and Kilfoyle, 2012).

This pressure to harmonize the global profession is reflected in the increasing importance of transnational bodies, such as the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), in the organization of the profession (Humphreys *et al.*, 2006, 2009; Loft *et al.*, 2007). Individual states have used the models propagated by the transnational bodies as a guide to their own operations and have delegated important standard-setting roles to them. It will be suggested below that this trend reflects the combined impetus of globalization and commercialization of the profession.

The Commercialization of Accounting

Richardson (1997) suggests that the professionalization of an occupation requires the successful implementation of four strategies: market enhancement, market closure, professional closure and professional influence. The first two strategies reflect the profession's base in the market and the need to encourage the expansion of that market for their services (either in depth or scope) while limiting access to these market opportunities to members of the profession. The second two strategies reflect the profession's embeddedness in political institutions and the need to gain state sanction to close entry to the profession and to establish the profession's legitimacy in defining the relationship between society and professional technologies. He concludes that the market in which accounting attempted to professionalize has been too dynamic to allow these strategies to reach maturity resulting in the incomplete professionalization of accounting:

“the profession has failed to gain statutory recognition of a task domain in which accountants are uniquely qualified to practice; the profession has failed to develop a cognitive basis sufficient to standardize the training of practitioners and close the profession; and, the market for public accounting services has shifted away from those core activities that the institutional structure was designed to support and protect” (Richardson, 1997: 635).

The accounting firms, of course, have been quicker to respond to the dynamics of the markets than professional associations and have been shifting from a professional model to a commercial model for some time (Hanlon, 1994; Greenwood and Suddaby, 2006; Robson *et al.*,

2006). Hanlon (1994) links the commercialization of the profession to the changing nature of capitalist economies (see also critique and commentary on the commercialization thesis by Wilmott and Sika, 1997, Dezalay, 1997, and Hanlon, 1997). If the model of practice is shifting then one would expect that the structure of the profession and regulation would also shift (Citron, 2003). Evidence suggests that public perceptions of accountants have already shifted (Carnegie & Napier, 2010). The rise of oversight bodies for the accounting profession after the collapse of Enron clearly shows the shift in regulatory thinking from viewing the profession as a self-regulating profession to a regulated industry.

One major shift associated with the commercialization of the profession has been the rise of multi-disciplinary partnerships (Greenwood and Suddaby, 2006). These partnerships bring together whatever set of skills are needed to meet client needs. Of greatest concern has been the integration of accounting and legal firms where differences in the rules regarding client confidentiality versus the duty to report have highlighted potential paradoxes in these firms (specifically in the context of tax work). Both the legal profession and accounting profession historically barred the creation of partnerships outside of their own community partly as a means of establishing disciplinary boundaries and establishing clear lines of oversight between the professional bodies and practices (Abbott, 1988).

The shift in the preferred model for organizing the occupation of accounting from commercial to professional and back to commercial over the last 150 years suggests that our understanding of accounting needs to evolve beyond models of professionalization. Yet our understanding of accounting cannot simply return to a basic commercial model. The history of professionalism continues to exert its influence over accounting; it is necessary to consider the organization of accounting from a post-professional perspective.

Accounting and Professionalization Redux

The stylized history recounted above has one major complication that has not been adequately studied. While the large accounting firms have commercialized and globalized their practices, there remains a strong local component to accounting practice and local professional associations continue to serve local market needs (Ramirez, 2009). The divide between large firms and small firms has been a standard part of most studies of audit market behaviour (e.g. studies of audit pricing, quality and ethics) but the institutional consequences of this divide have not been theorized. Too much emphasis has been placed on the global accounting firms and the markets they serve resulting in a lack of understanding of the local relevance of

professional models. However, with this caveat, I accept that accounting is moving into a post-professional model (Suddaby *et al.*, 2007) which will have dramatic consequences.

The professions, in general, may be seen as occupational groups who, historically, took on and resolved the problematic legitimacy of their clients. This was done performatively, i.e. by using their social mandate to “define what is right and wrong within a specific sphere of activity” (Richardson, 1987b: 341). But if the accounting profession has lost its status as a liberal profession and practice has become commercialized, on what basis does accounting continue to claim the right to be agents of legitimation? The entry of the state into a direct oversight role for audit firms (Zeff, 2003a,b) and the delegation of national standard-setting processes to a transnational body (Porter, 2005; Carmona and Trombetta, 2008) suggest that a new social order for the practice of accounting is emerging, one which will be anchored in a different institutional order than previously. West (1996: 91) suggested that professionalization provides an enduring status and that examples of deprofessionalization are hard to find (cf. Velayutham & Perera, 1996; Fogarty 2014). This view may be correct concerning the institutional trappings of professionalism but the social status, privileges and expectations of professionalism are subject to greater challenge and are being eroded in many of the traditional professions. The questions of what accounting, as an occupation, will become and what roles society may allocate to the occupation are open.

If accounting is moving beyond its traditional professional models and aspirations, then we need to begin the development of a “post-professional” model for accounting. A post-professional model will have to account for the changing discourses by which accounting legitimates its status in society (the shift from professional to commercial discourses in particular), for the increasing diversity of organizational forms through which accounting services are delivered to clients (including forms that are not mediated by professional accountants), for the mechanisms by which accounting retains its normative claims to expertise (and perhaps most importantly the separation of knowledge production, particularly standard-setting, from the provision of services based on that knowledge) and the potential bifurcation between global and local mechanisms, and what strategies will be adopted by accounting at an occupational level to continue to pursue its status-enhancement project.

Conclusion

The critical literature on the professionalization of the accounting profession has developed from an immanent critique of the accounting profession – holding the profession’s actions up against the ideal professional model – to a full political economy analysis of the position of

accounting within the state, civil society and economy. The literature has documented the ways in which accounting sought to control market opportunities through various strategies related to the implementation of the professional model and to the failure of this model in the late 20th century. The challenge for critical researchers dealing with these issues is to better understand the way that the commercialization of accounting will affect the process of professionalization and regulation.

Our understanding of professionalization processes is reasonably well developed with regard to the major developed economies but there is still work to do to understand the lingering effects of empire on the diffusion and variation of professional models across the globe. With the fall of communism and the expansion of market economies to Eastern Europe, there is an opportunity to study the role of the profession in the transition between planned and market economies and the effect of that transition on the professionalization process and professional institutions in those countries. There is also a need to understand the local variations in professional processes as the economy separates into global and local markets.

Perhaps the most pressing issue for the literature on professionalization and the institutional structure of accounting is to identify a model that can guide research in a post-professional world.

References:

- Anderson, M., Edwards, R.J., & Chandler, R. A. (2005). Constructing the 'well qualified' chartered accountant in England and Wales. *The accounting historians Journal*, 5-54.
- Anderson, M., Edwards, R.J., & Chandler, R. A. (2007). 'A public expert in matters of account': Defining the chartered accountant in England and Wales. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 17(3), 381-423.
- Anderson, M. and Walker, S. P. (2009). 'All sorts and conditions of men': the social origins of the founders of the ICAEW. *The British Accounting Review* 41(1), 31-45.
- Anderson, R. H. (1996). The transfer of cost accounting institutions to New Zealand. *Accounting History*, 1(2), 79-93.
- Andrew, A. (1988). The system of professions. An Essay on the division of expert labor. *Chicago: University of Chicago Press*, 1(20), 33-34.
- Annisette, M. (1999). Importing accounting: the case of Trinidad and Tobago. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 9(1), 103-133.
- Annisette, M. (2000). Imperialism and the professions: the education and certification of accountants in Trinidad and Tobago. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 25(7), 631-659.
- Annisette, M. & O'Regan, P. (2007). Joined for the common purpose: The establishment of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland as an all-Ireland institution. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 4(1), 4-25.
- Annisette, M., & Trivedi, V. U. (2013). Globalization, paradox and the (un) making of identities: Immigrant Chartered Accountants of India in Canada. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 38(1), 1-29.
- Arnold, P. J. (2005). Disciplining domestic regulation: the World Trade Organization and the market for professional services. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30(4), 299-330.
- Bailey, D. (1992). The attempt to establish the Russian accounting profession 1875–1931. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 2(1), 1-24.
- Baker, C. R. (2005). What is the meaning of "the public interest"? Examining the ideology of the American public accounting profession. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 18(5), 690-703.

- Bakre, O. M. (2005). First attempt at localising imperial accountancy: the case of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Jamaica (ICAJ) (1950s–1970s). *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 16(8), 995-1018.
- Bakre, O. M. (2006). Second attempt at localising imperial accountancy: The case of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Jamaica (ICAJ)(1970s–1980s). *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 17(1), 1-28.
- Ballas, A. A. (1998). The creation of the auditing profession in Greece. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 23(8), 715-736.
- Briston, R. J., & Kedsle, M. J. M. (1997). The internationalization of British professional accounting: the role of the examination exporting bodies. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 7(2), 175-194.
- Brock, D. M., & Richardson, A. J. (2013). The development of the accounting profession in the Holy Land since 1920: cultural memory and accounting institutions. *Accounting History Review*, 23(3), 227-252.
- Burns, E. (2007) Positioning a Post-professional Approach to Studying Professions New Zealand *Sociology* 22(1), 69-98.
- Campbell, J. L. (1997). Mechanisms of evolutionary change in economic governance: Interaction, interpretation, and bricolage. In L. Magnusson & J. Ottosson (Eds.), *Evolutionary economics and path dependence*: 10-31. Cheltenham, England: Edward Elgar.
- Canning, M., & O'Dwyer, B. (2003). A critique of the descriptive power of the private interest model of professional accounting ethics: An examination over time in the Irish context. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 16(2), 159-185.
- Caramanis, C. V. (1999). International accounting firms versus indigenous auditors: intra-professional conflict in the Greek auditing profession, 1990-1993. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 10(2), 153-196.
- Caramanis, C. V. (2005). Rationalisation, charisma and accounting professionalisation: perspectives on the intra-professional conflict in Greece, 1993–2001. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30(3), 195-221.
- Carmona, S., & Trombetta, M. (2008). On the global acceptance of IAS/IFRS accounting standards: The logic and implications of the principles-based system. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 27(6), 455-461.

Carnegie, G. D., Edwards, J. R., & West, B. P. (2003). Understanding the dynamics of the Australian accounting profession: a prosopographical study of the founding members of the Incorporated Institute of Accountants, Victoria, 1886 to 1908. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 16(5), 790-820.

Carnegie, G. D., & Napier, C. J. (2010). Traditional accountants and business professionals: Portraying the accounting profession after Enron. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(3), 360-376.

Carnegie, G. D., & Parker, R. H. (1999). Accountants and Empire: the case of co-membership of Australian and British accountancy bodies, 1885 to 1914. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 9(1), 77-102.

Chandler, A. D., & Daems, H. (1979). Administrative coordination, allocation and monitoring: A comparative analysis of the emergence of accounting and organization in the USA and Europe. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 4(1), 3-20.

Chua, W. F., & Poullaos, C. (1993). Rethinking the profession-state dynamic: the case of the Victorian charter attempt, 1885–1906. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 18(7), 691-728.

Chua, W. F., & Poullaos, C. (2002). The Empire Strikes Back? An exploration of centre–periphery interaction between the ICAEW and accounting associations in the self-governing colonies of Australia, Canada and South Africa, 1880–1907. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 27(4), 409-445.

Citron, D. B. (2003). The UK's framework approach to auditor independence and the commercialization of the accounting profession. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 16(2), 244-274.

Cooper, D. J., Greenwood, R., Hinings, B., & Brown, J. L. (1998). Globalization and nationalism in a multinational accounting firm: The case of opening new markets in Eastern Europe. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 23(5), 531-548.

Cooper, D. J., & Robson, K. (2006). Accounting, professions and regulation: Locating the sites of professionalization. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 31(4), 415-444.

Dacin, M.T., Goodstein, J., & Scott, W.R. (2002). Institutional theory and institutional change: Introduction to the special research forum. *Academy of management journal*, 45(1), 45-56.

De Beelde, I. (2002). Creating a profession 'out of nothing'? The case of the Belgian auditing profession. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 27(4), 447-470.

- Dedoulis, E., & Caramanis, C. (2007). Imperialism of influence and the state-profession relationship: The formation of the Greek auditing profession in the post-WWII era. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 18(4), 393-412.
- Djelic, M-L. (1998). *Exporting the American model: The postwar transformation of European business*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dellaportas, S., & Davenport, L. (2008). Reflections on the public interest in accounting. *Critical perspectives on accounting*, 19(7), 1080-1098.
- Dezalay, Y. (1997). Accountants as “new guard dogs” of capitalism: Stereotype or research agenda?. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(8), 825-829.
- Dunmore, P. V., & Falk, H. (2001). Economic competition between professional bodies: The case of auditing. *American Law and Economics Review*, 3(2), 302-319.
- Dyball, M. C., Poullaos, C., & Chua, W. F. (2007). Accounting and empire: professionalization-as-resistance: the case of Philippines. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 18(4), 415-449.
- Edwards, J. R. (2001). Accounting regulation and the professionalization process: an historical essay concerning the significance of PH Abbott. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 12(6), 675-696.
- Edwards, J.R., Anderson, M. and Chandler, R.A. (2007). Claiming a jurisdiction for the 'Public Accountant' in England prior to organisational fusion. *Accounting Organizations and Society* 32 (1-2), 61-100.
- Emery, M., Hooks, J., & Stewart, R. (2002). Born at the wrong time? An oral history of women professional accountants in New Zealand. *Accounting History*, 7(2), 7-34.
- Fleischman, R. K., & Tyson, T. (1999). Opportunity lost? Chances for cost accountants' professionalization under the National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 9(1), 51-75.
- Fogarty, T. J. (2014). The bloom is off the rose: Deprofessionalization in public accounting. In *Accounting for the Public Interest* (pp. 51-72). Springer Netherlands.
- Fung, A., Graham, M., & Weil, D. (2007). *Full disclosure: The perils and promise of transparency*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gillis, P. (2014). *The Big Four and the development of the accounting profession in China* (Vol. 16). Emerald Group Publishing.

Goddard, A. (2002). Development of the accounting profession and practices in the public sector—a hegemonic analysis. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 15(5), 655-688.

Greenwood, R., & Suddaby, R. (2006). Institutional entrepreneurship in mature fields: The big five accounting firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(1), 27-48.

Guillen, M. F. 2001. *The limits of convergence: Globalization and organizational change in Argentina, South Korea, and Spain*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Hammond, T. (1997). From complete exclusion to minimal inclusion: African Americans and the public accounting industry, 1965–1988. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(1), 29-53.

Hammond, T. (2002). *A white collar profession: African American Certified Public Accountants since 1921*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Hammond, T., Clayton, B. M., & Arnold, P. J. (2009). South Africa's transition from apartheid: The role of professional closure in the experiences of black chartered accountants. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(6), 705-721.

Hanlon, G. (1994). *The commercialization of accountancy: flexible accumulation and the transformation of the service class*. New York: St Martin's Press.

Hanlon, G. (1997). Commercialising the service class and economic restructuring—a response to my critics. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(8), 843-855.

Hoskin, K. W., & Macve, R. H. (1986). Accounting and the examination: a genealogy of disciplinary power. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 11(2), 105-136.

Humphrey, C., Loft, A., Jeppesen, K. K., & Turley, S. (2006). The international federation of accountants: private global governance in the public interest?. *Global Governance and the Role of Non-state Actors*. Baden-Baden: Nomos Verlag, 245-273.

Humphrey, C., Loft, A., & Woods, M. (2009). The global audit profession and the international financial architecture: Understanding regulatory relationships at a time of financial crisis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(6), 810-825.

Jakubowski, S. T., Chao, P., Huh, S. K., & Maheshwari, S. (2002). A cross-country comparison of the codes of professional conduct of certified/chartered accountants. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 35(2), 111-129.

Johnson, T. J., & Caygill, M. (1971). The development of accountancy links in the Commonwealth. *Accounting and Business Research*, 1(2), 155-173.

- Kanter, R. M. (1977). *Men and Women of the Corporation* (Vol. 5049). New York: Basic books.
- Kedslie, M. J. (1990). Mutual Self Interest—A Unifying Force; The Dominance of Societal Closure Over Social Background in the Early Professional Accounting Bodies. *The Accounting Historians Journal* (Dec.), 1-19.
- Larson, M. S. (1979). *The rise of professionalism: A sociological analysis* (Vol. 233). Univ of California Press.
- Laughlin, R. (1999). Critical accounting: Nature, progress and prognosis. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 12(1), 73-78
- Lee, T. (1995). The professionalization of accountancy: a history of protecting the public interest in a self-interested way. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(4), 48-69.
- Lee, T. (1996). Identifying the founding fathers of public accountancy: the formation of The Society of Accountants in Edinburgh. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 6(3), 315-335.
- Lee, T. (2000). A social network analysis of the founders of institutionalized public accountancy. *The Accounting Historians Journal*, 5(1), 1-48.
- Lee, T. (2010). Consolidating the public accountancy profession: The case of the proposed Institute of Chartered Accountants of Great Britain, 1988—9. *Accounting History*, 15(1), 7-39.
- Loft, A. (1986). Towards a critical understanding of accounting: the case of cost accounting in the UK, 1914–1925. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 11(2), 137-169.
- Loft, A., Humphrey, C., & Turley, S. (2006). In pursuit of global regulation: changing governance and accountability structures at the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 19(3), 428-451.
- McClelland, P., & Stanton, P. (2004). "An ignorant set of men". An episode in the clash of the legal and accounting professions over jurisdiction. *Accounting History*, 9(2), 107-126.
- McKeen, C. A., & Richardson, A. J. (1998). Education, employment and certification: an oral history of the entry of women into the Canadian accounting profession. *Business and Economic History*, 27(2), 500-521.
- McMillan, K. P. (1999). The Institute of Accounts: a community of the competent. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 9(1), 7-28.
- Mihret, D. G., & Bobe, B. J. (2014). Multiple informal imperial connections and the transfer of accountancy to Ethiopia (1905 to 2011). *Accounting History*, 19(3), 309-331.

- Mihret, G. D., James, K., & Mula, J. M. (2012). Accounting professionalization amidst alternating state ideology in Ethiopia. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 25(7), 1206-1233.
- Miranti, P. J. (1988). Professionalism and nativism: The competition in securing public accountancy legislation in New York during the 1890s. *Social Science Quarterly*, 361-380.
- Mitchell, A., & Sikka, P. (2004). Accountability of the accountancy bodies: The peculiarities of a British accountancy body. *The British Accounting Review*, 36(4), 395-414.
- Neill, J. D., Stovall, O. S., & Jinkerson, D. L. (2005). A critical analysis of the accounting industry's voluntary code of conduct. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 59(1-2), 101-108.
- Neu, D., Friesen, C., & Everett, J. (2003). The changing internal market for ethical discourses in the Canadian CA profession. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 16(1), 70-103.
- Parker, L. D. (1994). Professional accounting body ethics: In search of the private interest. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 19(6), 507-525.
- Parker, R. H. (1989). *Importing and exporting accounting: the British experience. International pressures for accounting change*, London: Prentice Hall pp.7-29.
- Parker, R. H. (2005). Naming and branding: accountants and accountancy bodies in the British Empire and Commonwealth, 1853-2003. *Accounting History*, 10(1), 7-46.
- Porter, T. (2005). Private authority, technical authority, and the globalization of accounting standards. *Business and Politics*, 7(3): 1-32.
- Poullaos, C. (2009). Professionalisation. In Edwards, J. R., & Walker, S. P. (Eds.). *The Routledge companion to accounting history*. Routledge., pp. 247-73.
- Poullaos, C., & Sian, S. (Eds.). (2010). *Accountancy and empire: The British legacy of professional organization*. Routledge.
- Previts, G. J., & Merino, B. D. (1979). *A history of accounting in America: An historical interpretation of the cultural significance of accounting*. John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Puxty, A. G., Willmott, H. C., Cooper, D. J., & Lowe, T. (1987). Modes of regulation in advanced capitalism: locating accountancy in four countries. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 12(3), 273-291.
- Ramirez, C. (2001). Understanding social closure in its cultural context: accounting practitioners in France (1920–1939). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(4), 391-418.

- Ramirez, C. (2009). Constructing the governable small practitioner: The changing nature of professional bodies and the management of professional accountants' identities in the UK. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(3), 381-408.
- Richardson, A. J. (1987). Professionalization and intraprofessional competition in the Canadian accounting profession. *Work and Occupations*, 14(4), 591-615.
- Richardson, A. J. (1987b). Accounting as a legitimating institution. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 12(4), 341-355.
- Richardson, A. J. (1989). Canada's accounting elite: 1880-1930. *The Accounting Historians Journal*, 1-21.
- Richardson, A. J. (1989b). Corporatism and intraprofessional hegemony: a study of regulation and internal social order. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 14(5), 415-431.
- Richardson, A. J. (1997). Social closure in dynamic markets: the incomplete professional project in accountancy. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 8(6), 635-653.
- Richardson, A. J. (2000). Building the Canadian Chartered Accountancy Profession: A Biography of George Edwards, FCA, CBE, LLD, 1861-1947. *The Accounting Historians Journal*, 27(2), 87-116.
- Richardson, A. J. (2008). Strategies in the development of accounting history as an academic discipline. *Accounting History*, 13(3), 247-280.
- Richardson, A. J. (2016). Merging the Profession: A Social Network Analysis of the Consolidation of the Accounting Profession in Canada. *Accounting Perspectives*, 15(4), forthcoming.
- Richardson, A. J. (2010). Canada between empires. in Poullaos, C. and Sian, S. (Eds.) *Accountancy and Empire*, London: Routledge, 53-76.
- Richardson, A. J., & Kilfoyle, E. (2012). Merging the Profession: A Historical Perspective on Accounting Association Mergers in Canada. *Accounting Perspectives*, 11(2), 77-109.
- Richardson, A. J., & Jones, D. B. (2007). Professional "brand", personal identity and resistance to change in the Canadian accounting profession a comparative history of two accounting association merger negotiations. *Accounting History*, 12(2), 135-164.
- Richardson, A. J., & MacDonald, L. D. (2002). Linking international business theory to accounting history: implications of the international evolution of the state and the firm for accounting history research. *Accounting and Business Research*, 32(2), 67-77.

- Roberts, J., & Coutts, J. A. (1992). Feminization and professionalization: a review of an emerging literature on the development of accounting in the United Kingdom. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 17(3), 379-395.
- Robson, K., Willmott, H., Cooper, D., & Puxty, T. (1994). The ideology of professional regulation and the markets for accounting labour: Three episodes in the recent history of the UK accountancy profession. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 19(6), 527-553.
- Rodrigues, L. L., Gomes, D., & Craig, R. (2003). Corporatism, liberalism and the accounting profession in Portugal since 1755. *The Accounting Historians Journal*, 95-128.
- Rodrigues, L. L., Schmidt, P., dos Santos, J. L., & Fonseca, P. C. D. (2011). A research note on accounting in Brazil in the context of political, economic and social transformations, 1860-1964. *Accounting History*, 16(1), 111-123.
- Romeo, G., & Rigsby, J. T. (2008). Disseminating professionalism: the influence of Selden Hopkins on the USA accounting profession. *Accounting History*, 13(4), 415-450.
- Shafer, W. E., & Gendron, Y. (2005). Analysis of a failed jurisdictional claim: the rhetoric and politics surrounding the AICPA global credential project. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 18(4), 453-491.
- Shackleton, K. (1995). Scottish chartered accountants: internal and external political relationships, 1853-1916. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(2), 18-46.
- Shareel K. & Davie, S. (2000). Accounting for imperialism: a case of British-imposed indigenous collaboration. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 13(3), 330-359.
- Sian, S. (2011). Operationalising closure in a colonial context: The Association of Accountants in East Africa, 1949–1963. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 36(6), 363-381.
- Simmons, C., & Neu, D. (1997). Re-presenting the external: Editorials and the Canadian CAs (1936–1950). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(8), 799-824.
- Stigler, G. J. (1951). The Division of Labor is limited by the Extent of the Market. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 61(1), 185-193.
- Suddaby, R., Cooper, D. J., & Greenwood, R. (2007). Transnational regulation of professional services: Governance dynamics of field level organizational change. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(4), 333-362.

Suddaby, R., & Viale, T. (2011). Professionals and field-level change: Institutional work and the professional project. *Current Sociology*, 59(4), 423-442.

Thornton, P. H., Jones, C., & Kury, K. (2005). Institutional logics and institutional change in organizations: Transformation in accounting, architecture, and publishing. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 23, 125-170.

Velayutham, S., & Perera, H. (1996). Recent developments in the accounting profession in New Zealand: A case of deprofessionalization? *The International Journal of Accounting*, 31(4), 445-462.

Verhoef, G. (2014). Globalisation of knowledge but not opportunity: Closure strategies in the making of the South African accounting market, 1890s to 1958. *Accounting History*, 19(1-2), 193-226.

Verma, S., & Gray, S. J. (2006). The creation of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India: the first steps in the development of an indigenous accounting profession post-independence. *The Accounting Historians Journal*, 131-156.

Walker, S.P., (1991), "The Defence of Professional Monopoly: Scottish Chartered Accountants and 'Satellites in the Accountancy Firmament' 1854-1914", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 16(3), 257-83.

Walker, S. P., & Shackleton, K. (1995). Corporatism and structural change in the British accountancy profession, 1930–1957. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(6), 467-503.

West, B. P. (1996). The professionalisation of accounting: A review of recent historical research and its implications. *Accounting History*, 1(1), 77-102.

Willmott, H. (1986). Organising the profession: a theoretical and historical examination of the development of the major accountancy bodies in the UK. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 11(6), 555-580.

Willmott, H., & Sikka, P. (1997). On the commercialization of accountancy thesis: A review essay. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(8), 831-842.

Yapa, P. S. (2006). Cross-border competition and the professionalization of accounting: the case of Sri Lanka. *Accounting History*, 11(4), 447-473.

Yee, H. (2012). Analyzing the state-accounting profession dynamic: Some insights from the professionalization experience in China. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 37(6), 426-444.

Zeff, S. A. (2003). How the US accounting profession got where it is today: Part I. *Accounting Horizons*, 17(3), 189-205.

Zeff, S. A. (2003). How the US accounting profession got where it is today: Part II. *Accounting Horizons*, 17(4), 267-286.

Zelinschi, D. (2009). Legitimacy, expertise and closure in the Romanian accountant's professional project, 1900—16. *Accounting History*, 14(4), 381-403.