

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: **Environ Sci Technol. 2015 Mar 3;49(5):3101-9**, which has been published in final form at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es505458g</u>

Detection of benz[j]aceanthrylene in urban air and evaluation of its genotoxic potential.

Lim, H; Mattsson, Å; Jarvis, Ian WH; Bergvall, Christoffer; Bottai, Matteo; Morales, DA; Kummrow, F; Umbuzeiro, GA; Stenius, Ulla; Westerholm, Roger; Dreij, Kristian

Access to the published version may require subscription. Published with permission from: **American Chemical Society**

1	Detection of benz[j]aceanthrylene in urban air and evaluation of its genotoxic potential
2	Hwanmi Lim ^{1#} , Åse Mattsson ^{2#} , Ian WH Jarvis ² , Christoffer Bergvall ¹ , Matteo Bottai ³ ,
3	Daniel A Morales ⁴ , Fábio Kummrow ⁴ , Gisela A Umbuzeiro ⁴ , Ulla Stenius ² , Roger
4	Westerholm ¹ and Kristian Dreij ^{2*}
5	
6	
7	¹ Department of Analytical Chemistry, Stockholm University, Svante Arrhenius väg 16 SE-
8	106 91, Stockholm, Sweden.
9	² Unit of Biochemical Toxicology, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet,
10	Box 210, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden.
11	³ Unit of Biostatistics, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Box 210,
12	SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden.
13	⁴ Faculty of Technology, State University of Campinas (Unicamp), Limeira, SP, Brazil.
14	
15	[#] These authors contributed equally
16	* Corresponding author. E-mail: Kristian.Dreij@ki.se; Phone: +46 8 524 875 66
17	
18	
19	

21

22 Benz[i] aceanthrylene (B[i]A) is a cyclopenta-fused polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon with 23 strong mutagenic and carcinogenic effects. We have identified B[*j*]A in air particulate matter 24 in samples collected in Stockholm, Sweden and in Limeira, Brazil using LC-GC/MS analysis. Determined concentrations ranged between 1.57-12.7 and 19.6-30.2 pg/m³ in Stockholm and 25 26 Limeira, respectively, which was 11-30 times less than benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) 27 concentrations. Activation of the DNA damage response was evaluated after exposure to B[j]A in HepG2 cells in comparison to B[a]P. We found that significantly lower 28 29 concentrations of B[i]A was needed for an effect on cell viability compared to B[a]P and 30 equimolar exposure resulted in significant more DNA damage with B[j]A. Additionally, 31 levels of yH2AX, pChk1, p53, pp53 and p21 proteins were higher in response to B[*j*]A than 32 B[a]P. Based on dose response induction of pChk1 and γ H2AX, B[i]A potency was 12.5 and 33 33.3 higher than B[a]P, respectively. Although B[i]A levels in air were low, including B[i]A 34 in the estimation of excess lifetime cancer risk increased the risk up to 2-fold depending on 35 which potency factor for B[i]A was applied. Together our results show that B[i]A could be an 36 important contributor to the cancer risk of air PM.

38 INTRODUCTION

39

40 Particulate matter (PM) from urban air contains a mixture of different chemicals that can 41 interact and cause adverse effects to human health. Outdoor air pollution and its PM component have been classified as carcinogenic to humans by IARC.¹ One group of 42 43 chemicals that are found in air PM is the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), ubiquitous environmental contaminants that are formed during incomplete combustion of 44 organic matter. PAHs in the environment are of concern because of their carcinogenic 45 activity and several individual and mixtures of PAHs have been classified as possible or 46 probable carcinogens to humans 2 To date, benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) is the only individual 47 PAH classified as carcinogenic to humans by IARC.² 48

49

50 Health risk assessment of PAH mixtures is often carried out using B[a]P for comparison as 51 its toxicological profile has been extensively characterized. One approach to perform risk assessment is based on additivity of toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) or relative potency 52 factors (RPFs) where the carcinogenic potential is expressed relative to $B[a]P^{3}$. A second 53 method is to use B[a]P as a surrogate marker for all PAHs. This has been applied to air⁴ and 54 55 the European Commission Air Quality Standards has put a target value of PAHs in air expressed as 1 ng B[a]P/m³ over an exposure period of one year (Directive 2004/107/EC). 56 57 However, as has recently been discussed, these risk assessment approaches have limited 58 application to studying mixture effects and are likely to misestimate the actual risk to human health.⁵ Most PAHs have not been assigned TEF/RPF values or their contribution to mixtures 59 60 has been overlooked due to a lack of sensitivity in detection methods. This is particularly 61 applicable to PAHs which are found at low levels in the environment but have demonstrated 62 high carcinogenic potentials. An example of this is dibenzo[def,p]chrysene (DBC, also

known as dibenzo[*a*,*l*]pyrene), the most potent PAH known to date⁶⁻⁷ yet not included among the US EPA priority PAHs nor routinely used as an indicator of carcinogenic PAHs.^{3,8-9} Recent studies have suggested however that the quantification of DBC in urban air has become more common as a result of improved detection methods.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ For the reasons stated above it is important to analyze and determine the levels of PAHs with high carcinogenic potential in environmental samples to include them in the evaluation of mixture exposure risks.

70

One poorly studied PAH that has shown high carcinogenic potential is benz[*j*]aceanthrylene 71 (B[i]A).¹⁵⁻¹⁶ B[i]A is a cyclopenta-fused and bay-region containing PAH. As with other 72 73 PAHs B[*j*]A requires metabolic activation in order to exert is biological activity and studies have identified two major routes of activation: epoxidation of the cyclopenta-ring, resulting 74 in the B[i]A-1,2-epoxide, and diol-epoxidation of the bay-region, resulting in the B[i]A-9,10-75 diol-7,8-epoxide.^{15,17-18} The former is the major metabolite found as a 1,2-diol in human and 76 rat liver tissues and cells.¹⁹⁻²⁰ B[i]A is a potent bacterial and mammalian cell mutagen and is 77 more tumorigenic than B[a]P in SENCAR mice and in A/J mice lung.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Trace amounts of 78 79 B[*i*]A have been found in the emissions from coal-fired residential furnaces and hard-coal combustion²¹⁻²² but not in wood smoke PM.²³ Substituted B[*j*]As, such as 3-methyl- and 1,2-80 dihydro-3-methyl-B[*i*]A, have been determined in coal tar pitch, cigarette smoke and air 81 PM.²⁴⁻²⁷ To date, very few studies have analyzed the levels of B[i]A in air PM²⁸ and to the 82 83 authors' knowledge no epidemiological studies have investigated the carcinogenicity of 84 B[i]A.

In the present study we have quantified the concentration of B[*j*]A in urban air PM collected in Stockholm, Sweden and Limeira, Brazil. Our analytical setup allowed for detection and

quantification of very low levels of B[j]A in air PM samples from the two city locations. We have also investigated the effects of B[j]A on cell viability, DNA damage and activation of DNA damage signaling in human-derived hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells to evaluate its potency relative to B[a]P. Taken together our results show that although levels of B[j]Aare very low in the air PM samples, it is significantly more potent than B[a]P and thus is likely to be an important contributor to the cancer risk of air PM.

94

- 95 MATERIAL AND METHODS
- 96

97 Chemicals and reagents

98

99 Hexane, acetone and toluene (HPLC grade) were obtained from Rathburn Ltd. (Walkerburn 100 Scotland) and dodecane (anhydrous, \geq 99%) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). 101 Synthesized B[*i*]A (purity determined to be at least 95% by NMR) was kindly provided by Dr. Avram Gold and Dr. Zhenfa Zhang, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 102 103 USA. $B[a]P-D_{12}$ (98.7%) was supplied by Chiron AS (Trondheim, Norway) and 104 benzo[b]fluoranthene (100%) and benzo[k]fluoranthene (98.3%) were obtained from Chem 105 Service (West Chester, PA, USA). B[a]P (97.6%), benzo[e]pyrene (99.7%) and perylene 106 (99.5%) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Benzo[a]fluoranthene was 107 obtained from National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA), and 108 benzo[*j*]fluoranthene (100%) was from Larodan Fine Chemicals AB (Limhamn, Sweden). All 109 cell culture reagents were supplied by Gibco (Life Technologies, Stockholm, Sweden). 110 Antibodies used for Western blotting were phospho-Chk1 (Ser317), phospho-H2AX (Ser139) 111 and phospho-p53 (Ser15)from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA), and p53 (DO-1), Cdk2 (M2) and secondary anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies from Santa Cruz 112

(Santa Cruz, CA, USA). For immunocytochemistry, anti-phospho H2AX (Ser139, clone
JBW301) was from EMD Millipore Corp. (Billerica, MA, USA) and secondary Alexa Fluor
594 goat anti-mouse from Molecular Probes (Life Technologies, Stockholm, Sweden).

116

117 Air sampling

118

119 Two collection sites for air PM were used: Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden and 120 the Faculty of Technology, UNICAMP, Limeira, Brazil. The Stockholm sampling has been described previously.¹⁰ Briefly, three air PM samples (STO1, STO2 and STO3) were 121 122 collected on fluorocarbon coated glass fiber filters ($\emptyset = 235$ mm, Fiberfilm Filters, Pallflex, 123 Pall Corporation, Putnam, CT, USA) at roof top level using an in-house pump device for three or seven days at an average flow rate of 70.6 m³/hr. The filters were desiccated for at 124 least 24 h before and after the sampling. In Limeira, two air PM samples (LMR1 and LMR2) 125 126 were collected on glass fiber filters (254×233 mm, 0.33 mm pore size, Energética Ind. Com. LTDA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) at the street level. The sampling was performed with a 127 128 high-volume sampler (Energética Ind. Com. LTDA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) for 24 h at an average flow rate of 67.8 m³/hr. Until extraction filters from both Stockholm and Limeira 129 130 were wrapped in aluminum and stored at -20 °C.

131

132 Sample preparation and LC-GC/MS analysis

133

A pressurized liquid extraction system (ASE 200 Accelerated Solvent Extraction System, Dionex Co., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used for the filter extraction. Samples were extracted with toluene for 5 consecutive cycles of 30 min. Then, 0.6 mL of the extract (corresponding to 56.1 m³, 214.6 m³, 144.5 m³, 34.5 m³ and 26.3 m³ for STO1, STO2, STO3, LMR1 and LMR2, respectively) was spiked with 60 μ L of 442 pg/ μ L B[*a*]P-D₁₂ and applied to an SPE column (silica, 100 mg, IST Isolute, Biotage, Cardiff, UK). A PAH enriched fraction was obtained by elution with 2 mL of hexane. The final hexane eluate was evaporated until 100 μ L under a gentle nitrogen stream and transferred to a 300 μ L micro vial for LC-GC/MS analysis. All sample preparations were performed in triplicate. The extraction and SPE cleanup procedures²⁹ and the LC-GC/MS method³⁰ are described in detail elsewhere. Blank samples were prepared in the same manner using blank filters.

145

146 Cell culture and exposure to PAHs

147

148 Human-derived hepatocellular carcinoma cells (HepG2) were obtained from American Type 149 Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) at passage 78 and used within 20 passages for all 150 experiments. The rationale for using this cell line in these studies is the capacity to metabolize PAHs³¹ and previously demonstrated response to low levels of PAHs.³²⁻³⁴ Cells 151 152 were cultured in Minimal Essential Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 153 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 100 units/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Cells were exposed to solvent 154 155 control (0.1% DMSO), B[i]A or B[a]P for up to 48 h.

156

157 MTT assay

158

159 Cell viability was assessed using MTT assay as described previously.³⁵ Briefly, HepG2 cells 160 (0.3×10^5) were plated in 24-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and allowed to 161 grow for 24 h. Cells were exposed to solvent control, B[*j*]A or B[*a*]P for 48 h in 1.5 ml 162 medium and thereafter incubated with 0.5 mg/ml MTT reagent in 250 µl HBSS for 4 h. Subsequently, formazan crystals were dissolved in 500 μ l DMSO (15 min, shaking) and plates were spectrophotometrically analyzed at 570 nm with a reference wavelength at 690 nm (Wallac Victor³ V 1420 multilabel counter, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Results are presented as percent of solvent control and EC₅₀ was established using non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

168

169 **Comet assay**

170

The alkaline comet assay was performed as described previously.³⁶ In brief, HepG2 cells (0.3 171 x 10⁵) were plated in 24-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and grown for 24 h 172 173 prior to exposure to solvent control, B[*j*]A or B[*a*]P in 1.5 ml for 48 h. Cells were trypsinized 174 and mixed with 0.75% w/v low melting point agarose and smeared on slides pre-coated with 0.3% agarose. Slides were incubated in cold lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 2.5 M NaCl, 10 175 176 mM Tris, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 10) for 1 h on ice followed by incubation in cold alkaline solution (0.3 M NaOH, 1 mM EDTA, pH >13) for 40 min on ice. Electrophoresis was run in 177 178 the alkaline solution at 29 V (1.15 V/cm) for 30 min and thereafter slides were neutralized in 179 0.4 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, dried overnight and fixed in methanol for 5 min. After ethidium 180 bromide staining, at least 100 cells were scored per treatment using a Leica DMLB 181 fluorescent microscope and Comet Assay IV (Perceptive Instruments Ltd., Haverhill, UK).

182

183 Immunocytochemistry

184

HepG2 cells (0.2×10^4) were plated in 12-well plates containing 13 mm glass cover slips and grown for 24 h before exposure to solvent control, B[*j*]A or B[*a*]P in 2.0 ml for 48 h. Cells were washed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature and then 188 permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min followed by blocking in 2% bovine serum 189 albumin in TBS-Tween 0.1% with 5% normal goat serum. Incubation with primary antibody 190 was overnight at 4°C followed by washing and incubation with secondary antibody for 1 h in 191 the dark. Cell nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) prior to 192 mounting with Vectashield H-1000 mounting medium (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA). 193 Images were captured using a 63x oil immersion objective on an LSM 510 Meta confocal 194 laser scanning microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). Cell foci were counted using CellProfiler cell image analysis software version 2.1.0 (MIT and Broad Institute, Cambridge, 195 MA, USA).³⁷ 196

197

For determining statistical effects in the immunocytochemistry experiments, we estimated the expected mean count in each exposure group with a linear regression model for each experiment separately. The experimental groups were introduced by means of indicator variables and count data analyzed marginally with respect to the experiment's day. We used the sandwich robust estimator for the standard errors³⁸ which is robust to misspecification of the modeling assumptions (e.g. homoscedasticity). The analyses were performed in Stata version 13 (StatCorp, Collage Station, TX, USA).

205

206 Western Blotting

207

Western blotting was performed as described previously³² with minor modifications. HepG2 cells (4.0×10^5) were plated in 6-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and cultured for 72 h. Cells were exposed to solvent control, B[*j*]A or B[*a*]P for 48 h, washed with icecold PBS and scraped into IPB-7 buffer containing protease inhibitors. Protein concentration was measured and samples subjected to standard SDS-PAGE. Subsequently, proteins were

213	transferred to PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and protein levels were detected					
214	using specific antibodies and visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham GE					
215	Healthcare, Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Densitometric analysis was performed					
216	using ImageJ software version 1.48f (National Institute of Health, USA).					
217						
218	Cancer risk assessment					
219						
220	In order to estimate the excess lifetime cancer risk for inhalation exposure to PAHs in air PM,					
221	$B[a]P$ equivalency concentrations ($B[a]P_{eq}$) were determined using current RPF scales (Table					
222	S1). ³⁹ The contribution to the cancer risk from $B[j]A$ was estimated using published RPFs ¹⁵⁻					
223	^{16,40-41} and potency factors based on H2AX and Chk1 activation. The cancer risk was					
224	determined by multiplying PAH concentration with a unit risk (per 100 000 people) for $B[a]P$					
225	set by WHO ⁴ to 8.7×10^{-5} ng/m ³ (based on epidemiological study of coke oven workers) using					
226	the equation below.					
227						
228	Cancer risk = $\sum([PAH] \times RPF_{PAH}) \times unit risk$					
229						
230	Statistics					
231						
232	All data presented are means \pm standard error (SE). With the exception of the					
233	immunocytochemistry analysis (described above), One-Way ANOVA with Bonferroni's t-					
234	test correction was used to determine statistical significance (p<0.05).					
235						
236	RESULTS					
237						

Detection limit and linearity

239

A series of six B[*j*]A standard solutions were injected on the LC-GC/MS system in triplicate and a calibration curve of the area ratio (B[*j*]A/B[*a*]P-D₁₂) against the amount of B[*j*]A was plotted in the range of 2–493 pg B[*j*]A spiked with 10 μ L of 442 pg/ μ L B[*a*]P-D₁₂. A GC/MS chromatogram of a calibration standard is shown in Fig. S1. The coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.9975 and the limit of detection (at a signal to noise ratio (S/N) of 4.2) and limit of quantification (at a S/N of 14.9) were determined to be 2.5 and 12 pg, respectively.

246

247 **Determination of B**[*j*]**A in air PM**

248

249 Representative GC/MS chromatograms of air PM samples from Stockholm and Limeira are 250 shown in Fig. 1A and 1B, respectively. The B[*j*]A peak was well-separated from the other 251 seven molecular weight 252 Da isomers detected, whilst partially co-eluting with an 252 unknown peak displaying a characteristic PAH mass spectrum (mother ion m/z 268). 253 Together with mass spectra, the peak identification was confirmed by comparing the 254 retention time of B[*j*]A from the original and spiked samples in the GC/MS chromatograms 255 as shown in Fig. 1C and 1D. No detectable amount of B[i]A was found in blank samples 256 generated by extracting the different filter types used for sampling air PM in Stockholm and 257 Limeira (Fig. S2). Sample identities and collection details including levels of B[a]P and 258 B[*i*]A in the air PM from Stockholm and Limeira are summarized in Table 1. Determined 259 B[j]A concentrations were between 11 to 30 times less than those of B[a]P. The 260 concentrations of all PAHs determined in the air PM samples are shown in Table S2. The levels of PAHs in STO1 and LMR2 have previously been published.⁴² 261

B[*j*]A exerts stronger effects on cell viability than B[*a*]P consistent with increased DNA damage

265

In vitro studies have shown that B[*j*]A is a genotoxic agent and a more potent inducer of apoptosis than B[*a*]P.^{17,43} In agreement, we found that B[*j*]A was more toxic to the cells than B[*a*]P in the MTT assay (Fig. 2A). The estimated EC₅₀ value for cell viability was 0.39±0.20 and 1.45±0.09 μ M for B[*j*]A and B[*a*]P, respectively. A statistically significant decrease in cell viability compared to control levels was observed after exposure to 2.0 μ M B[*a*]P or 0.3 μ M B[*j*]A (p<0.001). There was also a significant difference between cells exposed to equimolar concentrations of B[*j*]A and B[*a*]P at 0.3 and 1.0 μ M (p<0.001).

273

274 Increased potency between different PAHs has previously been shown to be intrinsically 275 linked to their ability to form DNA damage and the persistence of this damage/resistance to repair.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ We therefore hypothesized that in a comparable manner, the increased toxicity of 276 277 B[*i*]A over B[*a*]P correlates with differences in DNA damage levels. A single exposure time 278 of 48 h was chosen for these analyses as we have previously used this time point to study the effects of individual and mixtures of PAHs on DNA damage.³²⁻³³ Significantly increased 279 280 levels of DNA damage were observed in the Comet assay after exposure to 1.0 μ M B[a]P 281 (p<0.001) or 0.3 µM B[i]A (p<0.001) when compared to control levels (Fig. 2B). No 282 significant changes in DNA damage levels were observed after exposure to 0.3 μ M B[a]P 283 (Fig. 2B) or 0.1 µM B[*i*]A (data not shown). Comparing equimolar concentrations showed 284 that B[*j*]A induced significantly more DNA damage than B[*a*]P (p < 0.001). These results were 285 further confirmed by immunostaining for formation of phosphorylated H2AX (Ser139) foci 286 (yH2AX). Visual comparison between treatments showed more foci formation in response to 287 B[j]A>B[a]P>DMSO control (Fig. 2C). Both the B[a]P group and the B[j]A group had higher foci rate compared to control group, 5.7 and 17.2 times more, respectively (p<0.001) (Fig. 2D). The number of foci in B[*j*]A exposed cells were also significantly higher from that in the equimolar B[*a*]P group (p<0.001). Taken together these data show that B[*j*]A is significantly more potent than B[*a*]P in reducing cell viability and that this most likely results from increased damage to DNA.

293

B[*j*]**A** induces a stronger activation of DNA damage signaling than **B**[*a*]**P**

295

296 In agreement with the Comet assay and γ H2AX foci results, our data revealed B[*j*]A to be a 297 more potent inducer of DNA damage signaling than B[a]P. At 1 μM , B[i]A induced 298 phosphorylation of Chk1 Ser317 (pChk1), yH2AX and p53 Ser15 (pp53) to a higher extent 299 than B[a]P (Fig. 3A). Total p53 level was also more elevated in response to B[i]A compared 300 to B[a]P as well as the protein level of the cell cycle regulator p21. To further study the 301 difference in potencies between B[*j*]A and B[*a*]P we applied a dose response analysis for the 302 induction of pChk1, pp53 and yH2AX. As can be seen, B[*i*]A induced phosphorylation of all 303 proteins at lower concentration compared to B[a]P (Fig. 3B and 3C). To allow for a 304 quantitative comparison of potency, densitometric analysis of pChk1 and yH2AX levels (Fig. 305 3C) was used to estimate the concentrations of B[i]A and B[a]P required to induce a 306 particular fold induction of the proteins (Table S3). Due to no visible bands of pp53 in 307 control and at lower concentrations of B[j]A and B[a]P proper densitometry analysis and 308 comparison of potencies could not be accurately performed. For comparison, we included previous data from DBC exposures.³² DBC induces pChk1 at lower concentrations compared 309 310 to B[i]A whereas γ H2AX was induced similarly by DBC and B[i]A (Fig. 3C). The results 311 showed that levels of pChk1 increased at lower levels for B[j]A compared to γ H2AX, in agreement with our previous data.³² On average B[*j*]A was 12.5- and 33.3-fold more potent inducer of pChk1 and γ H2AX levels, respectively, compared to B[*a*]P.

314

315 Contribution of B[*j*]A to air PM cancer risk

316

317 If only the B[a]P concentration in air PM was considered the estimated excess lifetime cancer cases per 100 000 people was 0.15-2.5 (ex. STO1: 0.289 ng $B[a]P/m^3 \times 8.7 \times 10^{-5} = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$) 318 319 and 4.9-7.8 for Stockholm and Limeira, respectively (Table 2). Next we calculated the excess 320 lifetime cancer cases based on all the determined PAHs in the air PM samples (except B[j|A)) 321 with an assigned RPF value. Compared to the excess lifetime cancer cases based on levels of 322 B[a]P alone, the cases increased to 0.77-11.3 and 16.2-22.7 per 100 000 people for 323 Stockholm and Limeira, respectively (Table 2). The additional contribution to the cancer risk by including B[j]A in the $B[a]P_{eq}$ levels was estimated using two different published RPFs 324 for B[j]A of 10 and $60^{15-16,40-41}$ and our potency factor of 30 based on H2AX activation 325 326 (Table 2). The published RPF of 10 was similar to our potency factor based on activation of 327 Chk1. The results showed that inclusion of B[*i*]A increased the estimated cancer risk of the air PM. Depending on which potency factor that was applied, inclusion of B[*j*]A resulted in 328 329 an up to doubling of expected excess lifetime cancer cases (Table 2). This provides 330 convincing evidence that B[*i*]A contributes to the carcinogenic potency of urban air PM and 331 warrants further investigation.

332

333 **DISCUSSION**

334

335 Concentrations of B[*j*]A in urban air PM

337 The present study determined the atmospheric B[j]A concentration in air PM collected at two different locations, Stockholm, Sweden and Limeira, Brazil. The air PM from Limeira 338 339 contained higher levels of PAHs compared to the samples from Stockholm. Besides the 340 probable difference in PAH levels due to emission profiles in the respective areas, the samples from Limeira were collected at street level while in Stockholm sampling was 341 performed on a roof top. PAHs at the Limeira site can be mostly attributed to heavy traffic 342 and biomass burning whereas traffic is a main source at the Stockholm site.⁴⁷ The PAH levels 343 in Stockholm air PM displayed large seasonal changes during the different sampling periods: 344 the B[a]P concentration was 17.3 pg/m³ in September and increased to 49.5 and 289 pg/m³ in 345 346 December and January, respectively. The B[i]A concentration followed the same trend: 1.57, 3.14 and 12.7 pg/m^3 , as well as the other measured PAHs and this is in line with recently 347 reported PAH levels from the Stockholm atmosphere showing a high annual variability with 348 higher concentrations during the colder parts of the year.⁴⁸ Higher PAH levels during the 349 350 winter season in cities around the world has been attributed to meteorological conditions such as inversion and lower mixing layer, less efficient atmospheric reactions and increase in 351 emissions from domestic heating.⁴⁹ The two air PM samples from Limeira were both 352 353 collected in July and had higher concentration of B[a]P, B[i]A and of most of the other 354 investigated PAHs with a few exceptions compared to the Stockholm samples. A previous study of air PM PAHs in Saitama City, Japan, reported measurements of 37 PAHs including 355 B[a]P, B[j]A and DBC and to our knowledge it is the only other study reporting measurement 356 of B[*j*]A in urban air.²⁸ The concentrations of these PAHs in total air PM was 270, 130 and 357 70 pg/m³ for B[a]P, B[i]A and DBC, respectively. The levels of B[a]P in Saitama (sampling 358 359 period November-December 2013) was similar to STO1, exceeded STO2 and STO3 and was 360 less than LMR1 and LMR2. For B[*j*]A and DBC the levels were much higher in Saitama 361 compared to all our investigated samples. Further studies to investigate the generation and deposition of PAHs in the different locales might explain the observed differences in B[*j*]Aand DBC levels.

364

365 Stronger activation of DNA damage and DNA damage signaling after exposure to B[j]A 366

367 In HepG2 cells, B[i]A was significantly more toxic than B[a]P. This is in agreement with a 368 previous study performed in mouse Hepa1c1c7 hepatoma cells showing that B[j]A is a stronger inducer of apoptosis compared to B[a]P⁴³ As mentioned before, previous studies 369 have correlated increased potency of PAHs with the ability to form DNA adducts and 370 371 avoidance of repair. The Comet assay revealed that B[*i*]A was significantly more genotoxic 372 than B[a]P at equimolar concentrations and this is in line with earlier studies showing that B[i]A induces more DNA adducts in rat and human liver microsomes compared to B[a]P.¹⁹⁻²⁰ 373 374 Comparing the cytotoxic and genotoxic potencies of the two compounds showed that the 375 cytotoxic doses of B[i]A were also genotoxic, this was not true for 1 μ M B[a]P which was 376 genotoxic but not cytotoxic. The increase in genotoxicity was further confirmed by immunostaining for phosphorylated H2AX (yH2AX). H2AX is rapidly phosphorylated (at 377 Ser139) at sites of DNA damage, both in response to strand breaks and stable DNA adducts, 378 forming yH2AX foci that can be detected by immunocytochemistry.⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ Furthermore, 379 380 phosphorylation of H2AX in the early stages of damage detection is attributed to the 381 propagation of DNA damage signaling and hence we also analyzed levels of yH2AX by 382 Western blotting alongside other major DNA damage signaling proteins including Chk1, p21 383 and p53. We found B[*i*]A to be a stronger inducer of all investigated signaling proteins.

384

From the dose response curves of pChk1 and γ H2AX we calculated the PAH concentrations needed to induce a fold change in activated protein levels as described earlier.³² These 387 proteins have previously been shown to be sensitive markers for studying genotoxic potencies of both individual and mixtures of PAHs.^{32-33,52} We calculated the difference in potency of 388 B[i]A compared to B[a]P to be 12.5- and 33.3-fold for pChk1 and γ H2AX, respectively. 389 390 Previous studies have reported RPFs of 10 and 60 for B[i]A. The RPF of 10 is based on 391 Ames test where an approximate 10-fold lower concentration of B[j]A compared to B[a]Pinduce optimal activity in S9 dependent test.⁴⁰⁻⁴¹ Similarly in SENCAR mice treated topically 392 with B[i]A tumor initiation was 12 times higher compared to B[a]P.¹⁶ The RPF of 60 is based 393 on B[i]A inducing 16-60 times more lung tumors in A/J mice subjected to a single 394 intraperitoneal injection relative to B[a]P.¹⁵ These tumor data are in the same range as the 395 396 fold induction data generated in the present study from the phosphorylation of Chk1 and 397 H2AX. It has previously been shown for DBC that fold induction data generated from DNA 398 damage signaling *in vitro* is in agreement with animal experiments regarding carcinogenic 399 potency suggesting that activation of the DNA damage response could serve as a marker for in vitro testing of PAH potency.^{5,32} The higher sensitivity of pChk1 and yH2AX compared to 400 401 Comet assay data and the close agreement with published RPFs motivated us to include our 402 potency factors based on activation of DNA damage signaling in the cancer risk estimation as 403 further discussed below.

404

405 **Risk assessment of air PM and contribution of B**[*j*]**A to lifetime cancer risk**

406

407 PAHs have been suggested to be the group of airborne contaminants that contribute most to 408 human health risk. In urban sites 83-94% of the health risk was related to particle associated 409 compounds and of these PAHs was responsible for 99% of the risk.⁵³ An investigation of 410 excess lifetime cancer risk in different age categories in Cordoba, Argentina, showed that 411 already in the age category 1-6 years one child out of one million would get cancer as a result

of airborne PAH exposure.⁵⁴ In the present study the excess lifetime cancer cases was 412 estimated based on B[a]P_{eq} levels and the WHO unit risk value 8.7×10^{-5} ng B[a]P /m^{3.4} We 413 showed that the air samples from Limeira were more polluted by PAHs than the Stockholm 414 415 samples which was also reflected in a higher number of expected excess lifetime cancer 416 cases, 17.9-38.4 and 0.91-18.0 cases per 100 000 people, respectively. Furthermore, our 417 results showed that B[i]A greatly contributes to the cancer risk of air PM despite the low 418 concentrations that we detected. Although B[i]A levels in air were low, including B[i]A in the estimation of excess lifetime cancer risk increased the risk up to 2-fold depending on 419 420 which potency factor for B[i]A was applied. The importance of including highly potent 421 PAHs, even though found in low levels, in the cancer risk assessment of air PM is further 422 confirmed by recent studies. Layshock et al., showed that excluding the dibenzopyrenes when assessing air quality most likely results in a substantial underestimation of the health 423 risk.¹² A calculated increase in lifetime risk of developing lung cancer for residents in 424 Beijing, China, due to PAHs in air PM, may be 1 out of 10 000 to over 6 out of 100 and half 425 of that risk was estimated to be related to levels of the dibenzopyrenes.¹² The importance of 426 427 including high-molecular weight PAHs, such as the dibenzopyrenes, has also been 428 emphasized in other studies showing a significant contribution to the estimated excess lifetime cancer risk of air PM.^{11,13,55} It should be noted that B[j]A was not included in any of 429 430 the above mentioned studies which probably further resulted in an underestimation of the 431 cancer risk.

432

In this study we focused on excess lifetime cancer risk from exposure to inhaled air PM PAHs. We are aware of the limitations in the estimation such as the shortcomings of using RPFs which in the case of B[j]A are based on data from Ames test and *in vivo* dermal and intraperitoneal exposure when assessing the cancer risk for inhaled air PM. Further to give a more comprehensive view of excess lifetime cancer risk, the PAH levels should be monitored
over the whole year to include the seasonal variations in PAH constituents. It is very apparent
in the three Stockholm samples that the time of sampling greatly affects the PAH levels and
in turn the cancer risk estimate.

441

442 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

443

Supporting information available: Tables S1-S3 (air PM B[a]P_{eq} levels, chemical analysis of air PM samples and fold induction of DNA damage signaling) and Figures S1-S2 (GC/MS chromatograms). This material is available free of charge via the internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

448

449 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

450

The authors are grateful to Dr. Avram Gold and Dr. Zhenfa Zhang from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA for kindly providing the B[*j*]A standard for the present study. This work was supported by Stockholm University, Karolinska Institutet and the Swedish Research Council Formas (R&D project No. 2012-478).

455

456 **REFERENCES**

457

Loomis, D.; Grosse, Y.; Lauby-Secretan, B.; El Ghissassi, F.; Bouvard, V.;
 Benbrahim-Tallaa, L.; Guha, N.; Baan, R.; Mattock, H.; Straif, K., The carcinogenicity of
 outdoor air pollution. *Lancet Oncol* 2013, *14* (13), 1262-3.

461 2. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Some
462 Non-Heterocyclic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Some Related Exposures. IARC
463 Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. International Agency for
464 Research on Cancer, Ed. IARC Press: Lyon, France., 2010; Vol. 92.

Boström, C. E.; Gerde, P.; Hanberg, A.; Jernström, B.; Johansson, C.; Kyrklund, T.;
Rannug, A.; Törnqvist, M.; Victorin, K.; Westerholm, R., Cancer risk assessment, indicators,
and guidelines for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the ambient air. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002, *110* (Suppl 3), 451-88.

469 4. World Health Organisation (WHO), Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, Second
470 Edition. WHO Regional Publications, European Series, No. 91. World Health Organization
471 (WHO) Regional Office for Europe, Ed. WHO: Copenhagen, Denmark., 2000.

Jarvis, I. W. H.; Dreij, K.; Mattsson, A.; Jernström, B.; Stenius, U., Interactions
between polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in complex mixtures and implications for cancer
risk assessment. *Toxicology* 2014, *321*, 27-39.

475 6. Luch, A., On the impact of the molecule structure in chemical carcinogenesis. In
476 *Molecular, Clinical and Environmental Toxicology*, Luch, A., Ed. Birkhäuser Basel: 2009;
477 Vol. 99, pp 151-179.

Siddens, L. K.; Larkin, A.; Krueger, S. K.; Bradfield, C. A.; Waters, K. M.; Tilton, S.
C.; Pereira, C. B.; Lohr, C. V.; Arlt, V. M.; Phillips, D. H., et al., Polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbons as skin carcinogens: comparison of benzo[a]pyrene, dibenzo[def,p]chrysene
and three environmental mixtures in the FVB/N mouse. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2012, 264
(3), 377-86.

8. Brown, A. S.; Brown, R. J.; Coleman, P. J.; Conolly, C.; Sweetman, A. J.; Jones, K.
C.; Butterfield, D. M.; Sarantaridis, D.; Donovan, B. J.; Roberts, I., Twenty years of

485 measurement of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in UK ambient air by nationwide
486 air quality networks. *Environ Sci Process Impacts* 2013, *15* (6), 1199-215.

9. Okona-Mensah, K. B.; Battershill, J.; Boobis, A.; Fielder, R., An approach to
investigating the importance of high potency polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the
induction of lung cancer by air pollution. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2005, *43* (7), 1103-16.

Bergvall, C.; Westerholm, R., Identification and determination of highly carcinogenic
dibenzopyrene isomers in air particulate samples from a street canyon, a rooftop, and a
subway station in Stockholm. *Environ Sci Technol* 2007, *41* (3), 731-7.

493 11. Jia, Y.; Stone, D.; Wang, W.; Schrlau, J.; Tao, S.; Simonich, S. L., Estimated
494 reduction in cancer risk due to PAH exposures if source control measures during the 2008
495 Beijing Olympics were sustained. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011, *119* (6), 815-20.

Layshock, J.; Simonich, S. M.; Anderson, K. A., Effect of dibenzopyrene
measurement on assessing air quality in Beijing air and possible implications for human
health. *J Environ Monit* 2010, *12* (12), 2290-8.

Menichini, E.; Merli, F., Dibenzopyrenes, other PAHs with molecular weight 302,
and selected carcinogenic PAHs seldom determined: identification and one-year
quantification in urban air. *Intern J Environ Anal Chem* 2012, *92* (14), 1609-1625.

502 14. Slezakova, K.; Pires, J. C.; Castro, D.; Alvim-Ferraz, M. C.; Delerue-Matos, C.;

Morais, S.; Pereira, M. C., PAH air pollution at a Portuguese urban area: carcinogenic risks
and sources identification. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2013, 20 (6), 3932-45.

505 15. Mass, M. J.; Jeffers, A. J.; Ross, J. A.; Nelson, G.; Galati, A. J.; Stoner, G. D.;
506 Nesnow, S., Ki-ras oncogene mutations in tumors and DNA adducts formed by
507 benz[j]aceanthrylene and benzo[a]pyrene in the lungs of strain A/J mice. *Mol Carcinog* 1993,
508 8 (3), 186-92.

509 16. Nesnow, S.; Gold, A.; Sangaiah, R.; Slaga, T. J., Mouse skin tumor-initiating activity
510 of benz[j]aceanthrylene in SENCAR mice. *Cancer Lett* **1993**, *73* (2-3), 73-6.

511 17. Holme, J. A.; Bjorge, C.; Soderlund, E. J.; Brunborg, G.; Becher, R.; Lag, M.;
512 Schwarze, P. E.; Ross, J.; Nelson, G.; Nesnow, S., Genotoxic effects of cyclopenta-fused
513 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in isolated rat hepatocytes and rabbit lung cells.
514 *Carcinogenesis* 1993, *14* (6), 1125-31.

515 18. Nesnow, S.; Lasley, J.; Curti, S.; Ross, J.; Nelson, G.; Sangaiah, R.; Gold, A.,
516 Morphological transformation and DNA adduct formation by benz[j]aceanthrylene and its
517 metabolites in C3H10T1/2CL8 cells: evidence for both cyclopenta-ring and bay-region
518 metabolic activation pathways. *Cancer Res* 1991, *51* (22), 6163-9.

Johnsen, N. M.; Brunborg, G.; Haug, K.; Scholz, T.; Holme, J. A., Metabolism and
activation of cyclopenta polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in isolated human lymphocytes,
HL-60 cells and exposed rats. *Chem Biol Interact* 1998, *114* (1-2), 77-95.

522 20. Johnsen, N. M.; Nyholm, S. H.; Haug, K.; Scholz, T.; Holme, J. A., Metabolism and 523 activation of cyclopenta polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in liver tissue from rats and 524 humans. *Chem Biol Interact* **1998**, *113* (3), 217-37.

525 21. Grimmer, G.; Jacob, J.; Dettbarn, G.; Naujack, K. W., Determination of polycyclic
526 aromatic hydrocarbons, azaarenes, and thiaarenes emitted from coal-fired residential furnaces
527 by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Fresenius' Zeitschrift für analytische Chemie*528 1985, 322 (6), 595-602.

Schmidt, W.; Grimmer, G.; Jacob, J.; Dettbarn, G., Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
and thiaarenes in the emission from hard-coal combustion. *Toxicol Environ Chem* 1986, *13*(1-2), 1-16.

532 23. Kamens, R. M.; Fulcher, J. N.; Ball, L. M.; Gold, A., Analysis of cyclopentafused
533 isomers of benz(a)anthracene in wood smoke. *Biomed Environ Mass Spectrom* 1987, *14* (8),
534 369-74.

Gao, H.; Zhou, L.; Ma, M. Q.; Chen, X. G.; Hu, Z. D., Composition and source of
unknown organic pollutants in atmospheric particulates of the Xigu District, Lanzhou,
People's Republic of China. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 2004, 72 (5), 923-30.

Li, Z.; Wu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhu, H.; Qin, L.; Feng, F.; Wang, W., Analysis of
coal tar pitch and smoke extract components and their cytotoxicity on human bronchial
epithelial cells. *J Hazard Mater* 2011, *186* (2-3), 1277-82.

541 26. Rodgman, A.; Smith, C. J.; Perfetti, T. A., The composition of cigarette smoke: a
542 retrospective, with emphasis on polycyclic components. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2000, *19* (10),
543 573-95.

544 27. Suzuki, S.; Kaneko, T.; Tsuchiya, M., Characterization of C12 to C45 polycyclic 545 aromatic hydrocarbons and fused-ring heterocyclic compounds in coal tar pitch and airborne 546 total suspended particle. *J Environ Chem* **1996**, *6* (4), 511-520.

Wang, Q.; Kobayashi, K.; Zhou, M.; Lu, S.; Dong, S.; Nakajima, D.; Sekigushi, K.;
Terasaki, M., Study on the size-segregated distribution of 37 species of polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbons in urban atmospheric fine particles of Japan. In *Air Pollution XXII*, Longhurst,
J.; Brebbia, C., Eds. WIT Press: 2014; pp 131-143.

551 29. Bergvall, C.; Westerholm, R., Determination of 252-302 Da and tentative 552 identification of 316-376 Da polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Standard Reference 553 Materials 1649a Urban Dust and 1650b and 2975 Diesel Particulate Matter by accelerated 554 solvent extraction-HPLC-GC-MS. *Anal Bioanal Chem* **2008**, *391* (6), 2235-48.

555 30. Sadiktsis, I.; Koegler, J. H.; Benham, T.; Bergvall, C.; Westerholm, R., Particulate 556 associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exhaust emissions from a portable power

- generator fueled with three different fuels A comparison between petroleum diesel and two
 biodiesels. *Fuel* 2014, *115* (0), 573-580.
- 559 31. Knasmuller, S.; Parzefall, W.; Sanyal, R.; Ecker, S.; Schwab, C.; Uhl, M.; Mersch560 Sundermann, V.; Williamson, G.; Hietsch, G.; Langer, T., et al., Use of metabolically
 561 competent human hepatoma cells for the detection of mutagens and antimutagens. *Mutat Res*562 **1998**, *402* (1-2), 185-202.
- Jarvis, I. W. H.; Bergvall, C.; Bottai, M.; Westerholm, R.; Stenius, U.; Dreij, K.,
 Persistent activation of DNA damage signaling in response to complex mixtures of PAHs in
 air particulate matter. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2013, 266 (3), 408-418.
- 33. Niziolek-Kierecka, M.; Dreij, K.; Lundstedt, S.; Stenius, U., γH2AX, pChk1, and
 Wip1 as potential markers of persistent DNA damage derived from dibenzo[a,l]pyrene and
 PAH-containing extracts from contaminated Soils. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2012, 25 (4), 862-72.
- Tarantini, A.; Maitre, A.; Lefebvre, E.; Marques, M.; Marie, C.; Ravanat, J. L.;
 Douki, T., Relative contribution of DNA strand breaks and DNA adducts to the genotoxicity
 of benzo[a]pyrene as a pure compound and in complex mixtures. *Mutat Res* 2009, 671 (1-2),
 67-75.
- 573 35. Mosmann, T., Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application 574 to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J Immunol Methods* **1983**, *65* (1-2), 55-63.
- 575 36. Karlsson, H. L.; Nilsson, L.; Moller, L., Subway particles are more genotoxic than
 576 street particles and induce oxidative stress in cultured human lung cells. *Chem Res Toxicol*577 2005, *18* (1), 19-23.
- S78 37. Carpenter, A. E.; Jones, T. R.; Lamprecht, M. R.; Clarke, C.; Kang, I. H.; Friman, O.;
 S79 Guertin, D. A.; Chang, J. H.; Lindquist, R. A.; Moffat, J., et al., CellProfiler: image analysis
 software for identifying and quantifying cell phenotypes. *Genome Biol* 2006, 7 (10), R100.

38. Huber, P. J., The behavior of maximum likelihood estimates under nonstandard
conditions. *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Statistics, 221-233, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, USA*1967.

39. Minnesota Department of Health., Guidance for evaluating the cancer potency of
polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) mixtures in environmental samples. 2014 October
31.

40. Nesnow, S.; Leavitt, S.; Easterling, R.; Watts, R.; Toney, S. H.; Claxton, L.; Sangaiah, R.; Toney, G. E.; Wiley, J.; Fraher, P., et al., Mutagenicity of cyclopenta-fused isomers of benz(a)anthracene in bacterial and rodent cells and identification of the major rat liver microsomal metabolites. *Cancer Res* **1984**, *44* (11), 4993-5003.

- 592 41. Sangaiah, R.; Gold, A.; Toney, G. E.; Toney, S. H.; Claxton, L.; Easterling, R.;
 593 Nesnow, S., Benz[j]aceanthrylene: a novel polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon with bacterial
 594 mutagenic activity. *Mutat Res* 1983, *119* (3), 259-66.
- 595 42. Umbuzeiro, G. A.; Kummrow, F.; Morales, D. A.; Alves, D. K.; Lim, H.; Jarvis, I. W.

596 H.; Bergvall, C.; Westerholm, R.; Stenius, U.; Dreij, K., Sensitivity of salmonella YG5161

- for detecting PAH-associated mutagenicity in air particulate matter. *Environ Mol Mutagen*2014, 55 (6), 510-7.
- 599 43. Solhaug, A.; Refsnes, M.; Lag, M.; Schwarze, P. E.; Husoy, T.; Holme, J. A.,
 600 Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons induce both apoptotic and anti-apoptotic signals in
 601 Hepa1c1c7 cells. *Carcinogenesis* 2004, 25 (5), 809-19.
- 44. Buterin, T.; Hess, M. T.; Luneva, N.; Geacintov, N. E.; Amin, S.; Kroth, H.; Seidel,
- 603 A.; Naegeli, H., Unrepaired fjord region polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts in
- 604 ras codon 61 mutational hot spots. *Cancer Res* **2000**, *60* (7), 1849-56.

- 605 45. Dreij, K.; Seidel, A.; Jernström, B., Differential removal of DNA adducts derived
 606 from anti-diol epoxides of dibenzo[a,l]pyrene and benzo[a]pyrene in human cells. *Chem Res*607 *Toxicol* 2005, *18* (4), 655-64.
- Geacintov, N. E.; Broyde, S.; Buterin, T.; Naegeli, H.; Wu, M.; Yan, S.; Patel, D. J.,
 Thermodynamic and structural factors in the removal of bulky DNA adducts by the
 nucleotide excision repair machinery. *Biopolymers* 2002, 65 (3), 202-10.
- 47. Jarvis, I. W. H.; Bergvall, C.; Morales, D. A.; Kummrow, F.; Umbuzeiro, G. A.;
 Westerholm, R.; Stenius, U.; Dreij, K., Nanomolar levels of PAHs in extracts from urban air
 induce MAPK signaling in HepG2cells. *Toxicol Lett* 2014, 229 (1), 25-32.
- 48. Westerholm, R.; Bergvall, C.; Sadiktsis, I.; Johansson, C.; Stenius, U., Mätning av
- 615 starkt carcinogena dibensopyrener i jämförelse med humancarcinogenen bens(a)pyren
- 616 [B(a)P] i Stockholmsluft från vägtrafik. *Report (in Swedish) to the Stockholm county council.*
- 617 Stockholm (2012), p. 1 2012.
- 49. Ravindra, K.; Sokhi, R.; Van Grieken, R., Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbons: Source attribution, emission factors and regulation. *Atmospheric Environment*2008, 42 (13), 2895-2921.
- 50. Mattsson, A.; Jernström, B.; Cotgreave, I. A.; Bajak, E., H2AX phosphorylation in
 A549 cells induced by the bulky and stable DNA adducts of benzo[a]pyrene and
 dibenzo[a,l]pyrene diol epoxides. *Chem Biol Interact* 2009, *177* (1), 40-7.
- 624 51. Rogakou, E. P.; Boon, C.; Redon, C.; Bonner, W. M., Megabase chromatin domains
 625 involved in DNA double-strand breaks in vivo. *J Cell Biol* 1999, *146* (5), 905-16.
- 626 52. Audebert, M.; Zeman, F.; Beaudoin, R.; Pery, A.; Cravedi, J. P., Comparative potency
- 627 approach based on H2AX assay for estimating the genotoxicity of polycyclic aromatic
- 628 hydrocarbons. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **2012**, *260* (1), 58-64.

53. Bartos, T.; Cupr, P.; Klanova, J.; Holoubek, I., Which compounds contribute most to
elevated airborne exposure and corresponding health risks in the Western Balkans? *Environ Int* 2009, *35* (7), 1066-71.

632 54. Amarillo, A. C.; Tavera Busso, I.; Carreras, H., Exposure to polycyclic aromatic
633 hydrocarbons in urban environments: Health risk assessment by age groups. *Environ Pollut*634 2014, 195C, 157-162.

55. Wang, J.; Chen, S.; Tian, M.; Zheng, X.; Gonzales, L.; Ohura, T.; Mai, B.; Simonich,
S. L., Inhalation cancer risk associated with exposure to complex polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbon mixtures in an electronic waste and urban area in South China. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012, 46 (17), 9745-52.

639



Fig. 1. Detection of B[*j*]A in air PM samples. GC/MS chromatograms obtained in SIM mode
of air PM samples from Stockholm (A), Limeira (B), Stockholm spiked with B[*j*]A (C) and
Limeira spiked with B[*j*]A (D). 1: benzo[*b*]fluoranthene, 2: benzo[*k*]fluoranthene, 3:
benzo[*j*]fluoranthene, 4: benzo[*a*]fluoranthene, 5: unknown, 6: benz[*j*]aceanthrylene, 7:
benzo[*e*]pyrene, 8: benzo[*a*]pyrene-D₁₂, 9: benzo[*a*]pyrene, 10: perylene.



677

678 **Fig. 2.** B[*j*]A reduce cell viability and induce DNA damge stronger than B[*a*]P. HepG2 cells 679 were exposed to B[*i*]A, B[*a*]P or control solvent (DMSO) for 48 h. MTT assay (A) of cells 680 exposed to 0.001-1 μ M B[*j*]A or 0.01-4 μ M B[*a*]P where cell viability is presented as percent 681 of DMSO treated cells. Comet assay (B) was performed on cells exposed to 0.3 and 1 µM 682 B[i]A or B[a]P, or DMSO and 100 cells were scored (n=2). Sigmoidal dose response curve 683 fit (n=6) was applied in A. One-way ANOVAs with Bonferroni's post test was applied in A and B, * p<0.05 compared DMSO. Immunostaining (C) of yH2AX (red) and DAPI (blue) in 684 685 cells exposed to 0.3 μ M B[a]P or B[j]A, or DMSO. Scatter plot (D) of counted cells and foci 686 from immunostaining with statistical significance obtained using a linear regression model.



Fig. 3. Stronger activation of DNA damage signaling proteins in cells exposed to B[*j*]A compared to B[*a*]P. HepG2 cells were exposed to PAHs for 48 h and protein levels were measured with Western blot. In (A) the effect of equimolar concentration of B[*j*]A and B[*a*]P (1 μ M) on pChk1, γ H2AX, p53, pp53 and p21. Cdk2 was used as loading control. In (B) dose response of 0.001-1 μ M B[*j*]A and 0.1-5 μ M B[*a*]P on pChk1 and γ H2AX. In (C) densitometric analysis of pChk1 and γ H2AX. Densitometric analysis of DBC was previously published in Jarvis et al., 2013. Non-linear curve fit, sigmoidal dose response, n=4.

Name	Abbreviation	Sampling period	Duration	Sampled air	Total PAH	B[a]P	B[j]A	Total PM
			(hours)	(m ³)	(pg/m^3)	(pg/m ³ , n=3)	(pg/m ³ , n=3)	(mg)
Stockholm 1	STO1	Jan 18-21, 2013	71	5141	4440 ± 138	289 ± 4	12.7 ± 0.2	36.8
Stockholm 2	STO2	Sep 10-17, 2013	168	12265	351 ± 4.1	17.3 (SD<0.02)	1.57 ± 0.07	91.3
Stockholm 3	STO3	Dec 10-17, 2013	167	11034	793 ± 7.1	49.5 ± 0.5	3.14 ± 0.15	60.3
Limeira 1	LMR1	Jul 12, 2010	24	2352	7958 ± 158	560 ± 10	19.6 ± 0.8	263
Limeira 2	LMR2	Jul 19, 2010	24	2441	10693 ± 297	899 ± 17	30.2 ± 0.7	234
710								

Table 1. Information of the air PM samples collected in Stockholm and Limeira.

Table 2. Contribution of B[*j*]A levels to the excess lifetime cancer cases from exposure to air

712	PM. Based on B[a]P _{eq} levels and the WHO unit risk value of 8.7×10^{-5}	$ng/m^3 B[a]P.^4$
-----	---	-------------------

M Excess lifetime cancer cases / 100 000 people							
B[a]P	$B[a]P_{eq}$ excl. $B[j]A$		$B[a]P_{eq}$ incl. $B[j]A^{a}$				
		RPF of $B[j]A = 10^{b}$	RPF of $B[j]A = 30^{\circ}$	RPF of $B[j]A = 60^d$			
2.5	11.3	+ 1.1	+ 3.4	+ 6.7			
0.15	0.77	+ 0.14	+ 0.41	+ 0.82			
0.43	2.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.9	+ 1.7			
4.9	16.2	+ 1.7	+ 5.1	+ 10.2			
7.8	22.7	+ 2.6	+ 7.9	+ 15.7			
	B[<i>a</i>]P 2.5 0.15 0.43 4.9 7.8	Exc B[a]P B[a]P _{eq} excl. B[j]A 2.5 11.3 0.15 0.77 0.43 2.3 4.9 16.2 7.8 22.7	Excess lifetime cancer cases $B[a]P$ $B[a]P_{eq}$ excl. $B[j]A$ RPF of $B[j]A = 10^b$ 2.511.3+ 1.10.150.77+ 0.140.432.3+ 0.34.916.2+ 1.77.822.7+ 2.6	Excess lifetime cancer cases / 100 000 people $B[a]P$ $B[a]P_{eq}$ excl. $B[j]A$ $B[a]P_{eq}$ incl. $B[j]A^a$ RPF of $B[j]A = 10^b$ RPF of $B[j]A = 30^c$ 2.511.3 $+ 1.1$ $+ 3.4$ 0.150.77 $+ 0.14$ $+ 0.41$ 0.432.3 $+ 0.3$ $+ 0.9$ 4.916.2 $+ 1.7$ $+ 5.1$ 7.822.7 $+ 2.6$ $+ 7.9$			

713 ^a increase in cancer cases compared to B[a]P_{eq} excl. B[j]A; ^b from^{16,40-41}, ^c our fold induction data for γ H2AX

714 (Table S2), d from¹⁵

717 Abstract Art

