Willingness to pay for organic cotton: consumer responsiveness to a corporate social responsibility initiative.

1. Introduction

Organic cotton is cotton that has been produced using methods that are free from most synthetic chemical inputs, such as pesticides, herbicides and chemical fertilisers (Myers and Stolton, 1999), in contrast to conventional cotton, which is mainly characterised by massive utilisation of synthetic chemicals. Throughout recent years, there has been an upsurge in organic cotton products, which have experienced considerable growth in the apparel sector.

Although cotton is an economically important crop for many countries and is grown worldwide, organic cotton is still a small component of total production, presently produced in countries on all arable continents. In total, there are 19 countries growing organic cotton, with the top five growing countries (India, China, Turkey, Kyrgyzstan and USA) accounting for more than 92% of production. In 2015, 112,488 million tons of organic cotton were produced from 350,033 hectares of certified organic land. India alone accounts for 67%. The number of organic cotton initiatives is constantly growing, and with this the number of involved farmers. In 2015, about 190,000 organic farmers were registered in these countries (Textile Exchange, 2016).

In 2014, Textile Exchange commissioned a Life Cycle Assessment of organic cotton and found significant, measurable environmental benefits over conventional cotton (Textile Exchange, 2014). In 2015, by growing organic instead of conventional cotton, farmers potentially saved 218 billion litres of water, about 289 million kilowatts of energy and 92.5 million kg of carbon dioxide; they also avoided the use of genetically modified seeds and toxic chemicals.

Numerous studies have found that consumers seem to be increasingly concerned about the environmental and social consequences of their purchases. Moreover, international trading companies and clothing processing industries have established corporate social responsibility (CSR) strategies for their supply chain to manage the consumption of the environmental resources responsibly and to support sustainability (Illge and Preuss, 2012; Koszewska, 2010).

Despite recent media attention, increased levels of consumer concern and data evidencing this phenomenon, it is not clear if it is another fashion trend or if there is a segment of consumers genuinely interested in purchasing organic cotton apparel based on the benefits of social responsibility in agricultural product processing.

Several studies that have analysed socially responsible consumers (or ethical consumers) in relation to sustainable apparel suggest that the topic is complex and can be approached from a variety of perspectives (e.g. Goworek, 2011; Hwang et al., 2015; Kim et al., 1999; Shen et al., 2012; Suki, 2013; Zheng and Chi, 2015).

A number of studies have analysed socially responsible consumers' preferences regarding organic cotton apparel. A revealed preference experimental auction methodology was chosen to determine consumers' willingness to pay (WTP) for organic vs conventional cotton t-shirts, along with a follow-up survey. Participants were students who are regular consumers of apparel products (Ellis et al., 2012).

A conjoint analysis by Hustvedt and Dickson (2009) revealed that consumers who found used organic cotton content salient had positive attitudes towards organic and sustainable agriculture. preferred to 'buy locally' and had a strong self-identity as environmental, organic and socially responsible consumers. A discrete choice conjoint approach was chosen by Oh and Abraham (2016) to study whether consumer knowledge of organic cotton and relevant issues influenced attitudes towards and price acceptance of organic cotton clothing. Their results indicated that moderately and highly knowledgeable participants were more willing to buy organic cotton clothing at higher price points and that they had a more positive attitude towards organic cotton clothing than did participants with low knowledge levels. In addition, a stepwise regression and mean comparisons were used by Ha-Brookshire and Norum (2011) to investigate significant factors influencing consumers' WTP a premium for three different socially responsible products: organic cotton, sustainable cotton and locally grown cotton shirts. Their findings showed relationships among attitudes, product evaluative criteria, demographic characteristics and WTP a premium for socially responsible cotton apparel. Moreover, Gam et al. (2010) used a buying scenario experiment to identify the consumer characteristics related to willingness to purchase and selection of children's organic cotton clothing. It was found that a mother's environmental concerns, environmental purchasing and recycling behaviour significantly affected engagement with organic cotton clothing. However, mothers were not willing to pay a premium to purchase children's organic cotton clothing.

In another study, the researchers found that a potential credence attribute of interest in apparel products was fibre type, with the emphasis on sustainable fibres (Hustvedt and Bernard, 2008); the apparel products can thus be labelled as having been made with organic fibres. The certification of 'organic made' at the fibre level is attached to the product through every step of processing. This certification, which connects the product to a specific farm, can be used to identify the origin of the fibre.

Nevertheless, only a few published studies have dealt with the relationship between consumers' attitudes towards CSR and their WTP for organic cotton apparel products. Hustvedt and Bernard (2010) examined how consumer WTP changes for apparel products when labour-related and brand details were added. By using a Tobit analysis of auction bids, they showed that positive attitudes towards social responsibility and fair trade increased the amount of money that consumers were willing to pay for apparel products featuring labour-related information. Van Doorn and Verhoef (2011) proposed that buying organic products depends on both individual motives (i.e., higher quality, healthfulness) and other-oriented motives (i.e., prosocial benefits). Gupta and Hodges (2012) explored perceptions of Indian consumers regarding CSR in the apparel industry, and investigated its importance in the apparel decision-making process. Respondents indicated that CSR was important in terms of buying apparel, but they did not necessarily want to pay unreasonably high prices for the sake of social responsibility.

Carter and Jennings (2004) coined the term Purchasing Social Responsibility (PSR) to refer to the involvement of the purchasing function in CSR. They argued that PSR has the characteristics of CSR, but differs from it because of the purchasing manager's distinct interaction with a broad set of stakeholders, including buyers, suppliers, contractors, the community and internal employees in most of the other functional areas of the company. While some of these activities may overlap with the general CSR of the firm, the purchasing manager occupies a distinct role in garnering support

from and coordinating with other groups for socially responsible conduct in the company's relationship with suppliers. Studies of consumers' increasing awareness found that health and environmental concerns, together with trust of organic product claims and desirability of organic products attributes, lead to a greater willingness to adopt lifestyles that are more environmentally friendly (Voon, Ngui and Agrawal, 2011).

To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have supported the correlation between consumers' propensity to engage in organic cotton apparel–purchasing behaviour and attitudes and beliefs regarding CSR.

The aim of this paper is to contribute to a better understanding of the Italian organic apparel consumer by using structural equation modelling to investigate the importance of consumers' attitudes and beliefs regarding CSR in the agricultural product–processing industries and their WTP for organic cotton (OG) clothing.

The remainder of the article is structured thus: section 2 reviews the theoretical background of the research framework and illustrates the proposed model; section 3 describes the method used to analyse consumer attitudes towards CSR, defined and proposed as an enhancer of company performances, and WTP for organic cotton clothing; in section 4, the results are discussed. Finally, we draw some general conclusions and implications for practice and future research.

2. Research framework.

Consumers' attitudes towards CSR are determined by their beliefs that the product fulfils certain functions and that it satisfies some of their needs (Kalafatis et al., 1999). In terms of Perceived Value Theory, CSR can be viewed as an attribute that provides functional, emotional and social value to customers (Green and Peloza, 2011). This particular aspect of consumers' attitudes in this study was investigated and measured through items selected from the cited studies and then modified to fit the context of our research.

Consumer responsiveness to CSR as an enhancer of company performance has been proposed in various studies (Webb and Mohr, 1998). Results indicated that corporate credibility had a significant impact on consumer attitudes towards a brand and on purchase intentions. High CSR led to a higher evaluation of the company than id low CSR, and company evaluation significantly influenced evaluation of a company's product. For this reason, in our study, consumer responsiveness to CSR was investigated and measured according to the valid and reliable existing measurement scale proposed by Mohr and Webb (2005).

A large body of research exists on consumers' WTP for environmental friendliness and/or quality/safety in food production (Husted et al., 2014), as well as for non-food products (Laroche et al., 2001; Sexton and Sexton, 2014; Vlosky et al., 1999) or services (Johnston et al., 2013; Tse, 2001). Price premiums, the excess paid over and above the 'fair' price justified by the 'true' value of a product, may be indicators of consumers' demand for that product (Tse, 2001). WTP responses may reflect individuals' attitudes towards the CSR adopted by companies. Respondents who are unfavourably disposed towards CSR may be less willing to pay for a product made by a company regardless of the price offered and their level of income. A large proportion of the variability in WTP may simply be a function of whether respondents believe that CSR adopted in the apparel industry is an equitable means to achieving environmental improvements. WTP for organic cotton

apparel can be a good predictor of demand for these products. Consumers are highly fragmented in terms of their level of environmental awareness and willingness to choose higher-priced environmentally oriented products. Laroche et al. (2001) argue that consumer attitudes towards the environment are very good predictors of their WTP more for green products. However, there is limited information about how much consumers are willing to 'sacrifice' for such products (Uchida et al., 2014). It is true that the validity of WTP results often depends on the measurement method followed. In this study, a single-item measurement scale for WTP is proposed to evaluate the acceptability of a premium price for organic cotton apparel from a company committed to supporting sustainability.

3. Research methodology

Starting from these premises, this study proposes a theoretical model that analyses the relationships between latent constructs. The theoretical framework is summarised in Figure 1, showing the proposed causal relationships between attitude of consumer towards CSR, consumer responsiveness to considering CSR as an enhancer of company performance (CSRP), consumer responsiveness to considering CSR as an enhancer of company performance in the apparel industry (CSRAI) and WTP for organic cotton clothing.

Figure 1. The proposed model.

Referring to the measurement scale described for each latent construct, we propose in the model the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1 (H1): The attitude of the consumer towards CSR has a significant impact on CSRP.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): The attitude of the consumer towards CSR has a significant impact on CSRAI.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): The attitude of the consumer towards CSR positively affects WTP for organic cotton clothing.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): CSRP has a positive impact on WTP for organic cotton clothing.

Following these considerations, the following additional assumptions are proposed:

Hypothesis 5 (H5): CSRP has a positive impact on CSRAI.

Hypothesis 6 (H6): CSRAI has a positive impact on WTP for organic cotton clothing.

The empirical analysis is articulated in the following three steps: questionnaire design, pilot testing, and sampling and data collection.

The questionnaire was divided into three main sections. The first section investigated attitudes of respondents towards CSR. The second section was dedicated to respondents' WTP for organic cotton apparel (e.g. a t-shirt). The third section was dedicated to collecting socio-economic data about the respondents. Respondents were required to answer 19 questions, and were asked to rate the degree of importance of a number of indicators. A five-point Likert-scale was used, in which 1 represented 'strongly unimportant' and 5 represented 'strongly important'.

4. Results

After constructing the questionnaire, 30 participants were involved in a pilot test. The survey was conducted in the Friuli Venezia Giulia Region, in the northeast of Italy, between January and March 2013. About 400 questionnaires were distributed and 364 responses were collected (286 face-to-face interviews were also conducted by the same interviewer and 78 self-report questionnaires were completed on the spot, in light of the opportunity to collect them simultaneously). All questionnaires were successfully completed and deemed suitable for the data analysis. All respondents were shoppers at a sports department store, because our aim was to depict consumers' in-store experience, given that emotional state may affect a shopper's behaviour after the decision to shop has been made. Of the 364 respondents, 209 were women. All relevant age groups were represented. In terms of education, 52% of the respondents had successfully completed high school and 30% held a university degree. More than half of the respondents (51%) had an understanding of CSR and 98% of them were willing to purchase sustainable products. The great majority (76%) stated that CSR should be taken into consideration in order to increase an industry's profits.

Data analysis was carried out using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to examine the fit, reliability and validity of the measurement model. Thereafter, the hypotheses were tested via a structural equation model (SEM) because this method is more suitable for making explicit the latent structure of the causal relationships (Cohen et al., 1990). The CFA for each measurement model was estimated using maximum likelihood to identify the four latent constructs. The standardised factor loadings of each measurement item (λ), the reliability (Cronbach's α coefficient) and average variance extracted (AVE) of each latent factor are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Reliability and AVE of latent constructs

The Cronbach's alpha (α) of each construct is above the general guideline of 0.70, which indicates a high level of reliability or internal consistency in the measurement items (Nunnally, 1994). The AVE for each construct is above or very close to the cut-off point of 0.50, which suggests convergent validity (Hair et al., 2010). The CFA results indicate that the measurement model possesses adequate fit and its associated measurement items are valid and reliable. It is possible to proceed with the formal implementation of the model and testing of the hypotheses.

The SEM was implemented with Linear Structural Relationships (LISREL), using the LISREL 9.1 software (Joreskog and Sorbom, 2013).

The fit indexes of the proposed model are introduced in order to verify how well the hypothesised model reproduces the observed covariance matrix, using the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) and Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI), both proposed by Jöreskog and Sörbom (2001), and incremental fit indexes: the Normed Fit Index (NFI) proposed by Bentler and Bonnet (1980), the Non-normed Fit Index (NNFI), as proposed by Bollen (1990), the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), proposed by Bagozzi (1992) and the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), proposed by Browne and Cudek (1993). The proposed fit indexes are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2. Main indexes of model fitting

The results indicated a good fit between the model and the observed data and allowed analysis of the assumptions of the hypothesis. Table 3 provides the path coefficient standardised estimates and corresponding t-values of the main direct effects.

The existence of direct causal effects among the latent variables CSR, CSRP, CSRAI and WTP is confirmed by the fit indexes from the SEM analysis. The incremental fit indexes give an indication of the good adaptation of the conceptual model: 0.90 for NFI, 0.92 for NNFI and 0.94 for the CFI. An analysis of the indexes of the residues also provides useful insights regarding model fit. Shifting attention to the RMSEA the value of 0.07 is an acceptable indicator of adaptation.

Table 3. Direct effects among constructs

Of the six hypothesis, five are supported and one is rejected. The relationship between attitude of consumer towards CSR and consumer responsiveness to considering CSR as an enhancer of company performance is positively significant ($\gamma = 0.69$, t = 5.76), supporting H1. The consumer's positive attitude towards CSR enhances consumer responsiveness towards considering CSR as an enhancer of company performance ($\gamma = 0.89$, t = 3.05). The consumer's positive attitude towards CSR positively affects WTP for organic cotton clothing ($\gamma = 0.08$, t = 1.98), supporting H3. The relationship between consumer responsiveness to considering CSR as an enhancer of company performance and WTP for organic cotton clothes is statistically not significant ($\gamma = -0.02$, t = -1.19), failing to support H4. However, consumer responsiveness towards CSR as an enhancer of company performance positively affects WTP for organic cotton clothes ($\gamma = 0.16$, t = 2.27). In supporting the hypotheses, the model depicts the particular responsivity of consumers to product attributes related to environmental sustainability, as expressed by the CSR initiative in the apparel industry.

Figure 2 presents the path analysis with its standardised estimates of the indicators of causal relationships between variables.

Figure 2. Path analysis of the proposed model

5. Conclusions

According to the results of the data analysis obtained via CFA, the four constructs have good reliability. The analysis conducted with LISREL made it possible to test the hypothesis proposed in the model via several fit measures, which suggested a good model fit, according to the thresholds proposed in literature. One of the key advantages of using an SEM is that it offers the possibility of estimating causal effects among the latent constructs (Bollen and Liang, 1989). Analysing the estimates of the causal relationships, the findings strongly support hypotheses H1, H2, H3, H5 and H6 and may contribute to a better understanding of consumer behaviour in relation to organic cotton apparel. One of the direct implications of our study is that companies in the apparel industry should try to improve their social and environmental performance, and communicate their efforts to the public, if they want to enlarge their presence in the organic cotton apparel market and elicit the desired consumer response of boosting their WTP a premium price. Another crucial implication is that companies can adopt a CSR program and 'over-comply' with environmental regulation for several reasons, two of which seem particularly strong. One main reason is to move ahead of a foreseeable trend in which both legislation and consumers' preferences become stricter. This can be

interpreted as risk management. The other main reason is to use environmental reputation to gain market share from less environmentally friendly competitors (Heal, 2005). As a result, a company may generate interest in environmental sustainability; the pure market effects emerge from a company that has done just what it was supposed to do. Market forces, in fact, include win/win opportunities to increase revenues with environmentally responsible consumers, such as consumers willing to pay a higher price as a premium for environment friendly products, cost reductions from improving the efficiency of resource use, labour market advantages with employees who have green preferences and opportunities to reduce the cost of capital from green investors (Arora and Gangopadhyay, 1995; Lutz, Lyon and Maxwell, 2000). For a firm to understand how to promote behavioural change, it needs to understand how people respond, sometimes imperfectly, to nonprice factors. According with Cerin (2006), firms are usually reluctant to adopt a green technology because of its higher-than-average costs. However, small groups of environmentally minded consumers, who are willing to pay higher prices for green products, can provide a market niche for green firms with a small market share, eventually forcing overall adoption of the greener technology in an industry. Reinders and Bartels (2016) argue that organic brands have become increasingly important as an offering through which retailers can differentiate themselves. In this sense, organic farming and organic cotton apparel are relatively new phenomena and very few people around the world are aware of their beneficial impacts on the environment and human health. Besides, organic cotton is relatively expensive in comparison to conventionally produced cotton and hence WTP for the two types of cotton apparel differs among individuals. In order to encourage organic farming and the production of organic cotton clothes, a market for organic cotton depends on knowing what factors influence consumers' awareness and their WTP for organic products. Once such factors are ascertained, farmers will be better equipped to market their organic products. These results will provide key information for the organic cotton apparel industry that will help to promote organic cotton products. Even if sustainability is a prominent issue that is increasingly affecting product development in the clothing sector, ultimately it has a relevant impact upon consumer behaviour in terms of selection, purchase, maintenance and disposal of apparel. Increasing awareness of both environmental and social sustainability impacts in recent decades has led to escalating concern, in business and in society in general, about the effect of current levels of consumption. As demonstrated by Low and Davenport (2006), sustainable consumption, as a significant aspect in the behaviour of ethical consumers, has the potential to change the world. Key methods of sustainable consumption are the selection of sustainable products, boycotts of unsustainable products (particularly with regard to social sustainability) and anti-consumption (Harrison et al., 2005).

The purchasing of sustainable clothing may be an unattainable goal at present, and academics and practitioners need to recognise the complexity of the differences in purchasing behaviour for clothing and that for other product sectors. Finally, although consumer demand clearly contributes directly towards sustainable consumption, the clothing industry also has a key role to play in developing products that both meet and stimulate this demand, within and beyond the guidelines of governmental policies. These insights stress the need for a more interpretive approach to sustainable clothing. Relevant policies and legislation could be implemented to force the clothing industry to a form of apparel production that is environmentally sustainable. Defining sustainable clothing as apparel that incorporates either environmentally or socially sustainable aspects during the product

development or production stages of their lifecycle could overcome certain barriers that prevent producers and consumers from engaging more widely with products that incorporate sustainable features based on Life Cycle thinking. This research has the aim of proposing insights into the complexity of buyer decision making in the clothing sector and the impacts of consumers and producers on the environment and society. Consumers' environmental preferences play an important role in environmental protection. If consumers' perception of CSR practices drives their behavioural intentions, firms will be motivated to be involved and to invest in socially responsible practices.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991), "The theory of planned behaviour", *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Vol. 50, No.2, pp. 179-211.

Arora, S., and Gangopadhyay, S. (1995), "Toward a theoretical model of voluntary overcompliance", *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, Vol. 28, No.3, pp. 289–309.

Bagozzi, R. P. (1992), "The self-regulation of attitudes, intentions, and behaviour". *Social Psychology Quarterly*, Vol. 55, pp. 178–204.

Bentler, P. M., and Bonnet, D. G. (1980), "Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures", *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 88, No 3, pp. 588–606.

Bollen, K. A. (1990), "Overall fit in covariance structure models: Two types of sample size effects", *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 107, pp. 256–259.

Bollen, K. A., and Liang, J. (1989), "Some properties of Hoelter's CN", *Sociological Methods and Research*, Vol. 16, pp. 492–503.

Browne, M. W., and Cudeck, R. (1993), "Alternative ways of assessing model fit", in Bollen, K. A., & Long, J. S. (Eds.), *Testing Structural Equation Models*. Sage, Beverly Hills, CA, pp. 136–162.

Carter, C. R. and Jennings, M. M. (2004), "The role of purchasing in corporate social responsibility: A structural equation analysis", *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 25, pp. 145–186.

Cerin, P. (2006), "Bringing economic opportunity into line with environmental influence: A discussion on the Coase theorem and the Porter and van der Linde hypothesis", *Ecological Economics*, Vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 209–225.

Cohen, P., Cohen, J., Teresi, J., Marchi, M., and Velez, C. N. (1990), "Problems in the measurement of latent variables in structural equations causal models", *Applied Psychological Measurement*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 183–196.

Ellis J. L., McCracken V. A., and Skuza N. (2012), "Insights into willingness to pay for organic cotton apparel", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 290–305.

Gam, H. J., Cao, H., Farr, C., and Kang, M. (2010), "Quest for the eco-apparel market: A study of mothers' willingness to purchase organic cotton clothing for their children", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 34, No. 6, pp. 648–656.

Goworek, H. (2011), "Social and environmental sustainability in the clothing industry: A case study of a fair trade retailer", *Social Responsibility Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 74–86.

Green, T., and Peloza, J. (2011), "How does corporate social responsibility create value for consumers?", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 48–56.

Gupta, M., and Hodges, N. (2012), "Corporate social responsibility in the apparel industry: An exploration of Indian consumers' perceptions and expectations", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 216–233.

Ha-Brookshire, J. E., and Norum, P. S. (2011), "Willingness to pay for socially responsible products: Case of cotton apparel", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 344–353.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., and Tatham, R. L. (2010), *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th edition), Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Harrison, R., Newholm, T., and Shaw, D. (2005), The Ethical Consumer, Sage, London.

Heal, G. (2005), "Corporate social responsibility: An economic and financial framework", *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance – Issues and Practice*, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 387–409.

Hustvedt, G., and Bernard, J. C. (2008), "Consumer willingness to pay for sustainable apparel: The influence of labelling for fibre origin and production methods", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 32, pp. 491–498.

Hustvedt, G., and Bernard, J. C. (2010), "Effects of social responsibility labelling and brand on willingness to pay for apparel", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 34, No. 6, pp. 619–626.

Hustvedt, G., and Dickson, M. A. (2009), "Consumer likelihood of purchasing organic cotton apparel: Influence of attitudes and self-identity", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 49–65.

Hwang, C. G., Lee, Y. A., and Diddi, S. (2015), "Generation Y's moral obligation and purchase intentions for organic, fair-trade, and recycled apparel products", *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 97–107.

Illge, L., and Preuss, L. (2012), "Strategies for sustainable cotton: Comparing niche with mainstream markets", *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 102–113.

Joreskog, K. G., and Sorbom, D. (2001), *LISREL 8: User's Reference Guide*, Scientific Software International, Lincolnwood, IL.

Joreskog, K. G., and Sorbom, D. (2012), *LISREL 9.1 [Computer software]*, Scientific Software International, Lincolnwood, IL.

Kalafatis, S. P., Pollard, M., East, R., and Tsogas, M. H. (1999), "Green marketing and Ajzen's theory of planned behaviour: A cross-market examination", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 16, No. 5, pp. 441–460.

Kim, S., Littrell, M. A., and Paff Ogle, J. L. (1999), "Academic papers: The relative importance of social responsibility as a predictor of purchase intentions for clothing", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 207–218.

Koszewska, M. (2010), "CSR standards as a significant factor differentiating textile and clothing goods", *Fibres and Textiles in Eastern Europe*, Vol. 83, pp. 14–19.

Lombardi, A., Caracciolo, F., Cembalo, L., Lerro, and M., Lombardi, P. (2015), "How does corporate social responsibility in the food industry matter?", *New Medit*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 2–9.

Low, W., and Davenport, E. (2006), "Mainstreaming fair trade: Adoption, assimilation, appropriation", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 315–327.

Lutz, S., Lyon, T. P., and Maxwell, J. W. (2000), "Quality leadership when regulatory standards are forthcoming", *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, Vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 331–348.

Mohr, L. A., and Webb, D. J. (2005), "The effects of corporate social responsibility and price on consumer responses", *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 121–147.

Myers, D., and Stolton, S. (1999), *Organic Cotton: From Field to Final Product*, Intermediate Technology Publications Ltd., London.

Nunnally, J. C. (1994), Bernstein: Psychometric Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Oh, K., and Abraham, L. (2016), "Effect of knowledge on decision making in the context of organic cotton clothing", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 66–74.

Reinders, M. J., and Bartels, J. (2016), "The roles of identity and brand equity in organic consumption behavior: Private label brands versus national brands", *Journal of Brand Management*, pp. 1–18.

Shen, B., Wang, Y., Lo, C. K., and Shum, M. (2012), "The impact of ethical fashion on consumer purchase behaviour", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 234–245.

Suki, N. M. (2013), "Young consumer ecological behaviour: The effects of environmental knowledge, healthy food, and healthy way of life with the moderation of gender and age", *Management of Environmental Quality*, Vol. 24, No. 6, pp. 726–737.

Textile Exchange (2016), "Organic cotton market report", available at http://aboutorganiccotton.org/stats/ (accessed September 10, 2016).

Textile Exchange (2014), "Life cycle assessment (LCA) of organic cotton: A global average", available at: http://farmhub.textileexchange.org/upload/library/Farm%20reports/ LCA_of_Organic_Cotton%20Fiber-Full_Report.pdf (accessed September 10, 2016).

Van Doorn J., and Verhoef, P. (2011), "Willingness to pay for organic products: Differences between virtue and vice foods", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 67–180.

Voon, J. P., Ngui, K. S., and Agrawal, A. (2011), "Determinants of willingness to purchase organic food: An exploratory study using structural equation modelling", *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 103–120.

Webb, D. J., and Moh, L. A. (1998), "A typology of consumer responses to cause-related marketing: From skeptics to socially concerned", *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 226–238.

Zheng, Y., Chi, T. (2015), "Factors influencing purchase intention towards environmentally friendly apparel: An empirical study of US consumers", *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 68–77.