IRIS INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH INFORMATION SYSTEM ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DEI PRODOTTI DELLA RICERCA

intestazione repositorydell'ateneo

Protein corona and nanoparticles: How can we investigate on?

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

Protein corona and nanoparticles: How can we investigate on? / Pederzoli, Francesca; Tosi, Giovanni; Vandelli, Maria Angela; Belletti, Daniela; Forni, Flavio; Ruozi, Barbara. - In: WILEY INTERDISCIPLINARY REVIEWS. NANOMEDICINE AND NANOBIOTECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 1939-5116. - (2017), pp. e1467-e1467.

Availability: This version is available at: 11380/1131695 since: 2017-04-21T14:48:31Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1002/wnan.1467

Terms of use: openAccess

Testo definito dall'ateneo relativo alle clausole di concessione d'uso

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Protein corona and nanoparticles: how can we investigate on?



Francesca Pederzoli, Giovanni Tosi,^{*} Maria Angela Vandelli, Daniela Belletti, Flavio Forni and Barbara Ruozi

> Nanoparticles (NPs) represent one of the most promising tools for drug-targeting and drug-delivery. However, a deeper understanding of the complex dynamics that happen after their *in vivo* administration is required. Particularly, plasma proteins tend to associate to NPs, forming a new surface named the 'protein corona' (PC). This surface is the most exposed as the 'visible side' of NPs and therefore, can have a strong impact on NP biodistribution, targeting efficacy and also toxicity. The PC consists of two poorly delimited layers, known as 'hard corona' (HC) and 'soft corona' (SC), that are affected by the complexity of the environment and the formed protein-surface equilibrium during in vivo blood circulation. The HC corona is formed by proteins strongly associated to the NPs, while the SC is an outer layer consisting of loosely bound proteins. Several studies attempted to investigate the HC, which is easier to be isolated, but yielded poor reproducibility, due to varying experimental conditions. As a consequence, full mapping of the HC for different NPs is still lacking. Moreover, the current knowledge on the SC, which may play a major role in the 'first' interaction of NPs once *in vivo*, is very limited, mainly due to the difficulties in preserving it after purification. Therefore, multi-disciplinary approaches leading to the obtainment of a major number of information about the PC and its properties is strongly needed to fully understand its impact and to better support a more safety and conscious application of nanotechnology in medicine. © 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

How to cite this article: WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol 2017, e1467. doi: 10.1002/wnan.1467

INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades, pharmaceutical research
programs have developed a progressively growing
interest in nanomedicines for diagnostics, therapeutics and specific drug-delivery¹ as confirmed by an
increasing number of nanomedicines fully on market.
In order to speed up the translatability of nanomedicines, understanding their fate *in vivo* is pivotal.

In vivo, nanomedicines are immediately covered
by proteins from the bloodstream leading to the formation of what is called the 'protein corona' (PC).^{2,3} When
the PC forms on NPs, it could govern the fate and

54 est for this article.

successes/failures of nanomedicines in terms of efficacy, targeting, toxicity, cellular interaction, cellular uptake, and biodistribution.⁴⁻⁸ Protein composition, architec-ture and structure are normally characterized by well-known protocols that have been applied to the PC. The evidence is that to-date PC (or better 'protein corona + nanomedicine') is poorly characterized in terms of chemico-physical and structural features. Therefore, in this review, we aim to comment on the most relevant possibilities in terms of experimental methodologies to more completely characterize these new entities, and to furnish useful data to better predict the fate and effi-ciency of these drug delivery systems in vivo.

The PC is frequently described as being composed by 109 a 'hard' (HC) and a 'soft' (SC) portions, with the 110

HARD AND SOFT CORONA

AQ1

^{50 *}Correspondence to: gtosi@unimore.it

⁵¹ Department of Life Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio52 Emilia, Modena, Italy

⁵³ Conflict of interest: The authors have declared no conflicts of inter-

binding force of the proteins to nanomaterial often 2 considered as the discriminating criterion.^{9–12} Thus, 3 the HC is generally defined as 'the corona composed 4 by tightly bound proteins that do not readily desorb 5 from the nanomaterial', whereas the SC is indicated 6 as 'the corona featured by loosely bound proteins'.¹³ 7 addition to these definitions, Sakulkhu In 8 et al. (2013) separated the total PC of their SPION 9 into three parts: soft, hard and tightly bound, sug-10 gesting the possibility to further discriminate another 11 level of binding force for protein surrounding the nanomaterial.14 12

13 However, the definition of HC and SC can also 14 take into account more complex issues relating to 15 thermodynamic and kinetic matters, the interaction 16 with nanomaterial and the functional/ biological 17 responses.

As reported,¹⁵ from a thermodynamic point of 18 19 view, the HC adsorbs onto the surface of NPs in a ther-20 modynamically favorable manner with a large net 21 binding energy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}). This binding 22 energy determines the stability of the protein-23 nanomaterial complex, as a consequence, proteins that 24 adsorb with a large ΔG_{ads} have a low probability of desorption and tend to stay associated with the nano-25 material.¹⁶ On the other hand, proteins that adsorb 26 27 with a small ΔG_{ads} , easily desorb and return to solu-28 tion, as in the case of SC. Thus, it is possible to divide 29 protein adsorption and desorption into 'fast' and 'slow' 30 components. According to this idea, Cedervall 31 et al. modeled total plasma protein adsorption using a bi-exponential function.¹⁷ The Cedervall's model 32 33 implicitly divides protein adsorption and desorption 34 into 'fast' and 'slow' components, with its own 'effec-35 tive' $k_{\rm on}$ and $k_{\rm off}$. Since the fast and slow components of adsorption and desorption presumably represent the 36 hard and soft coronas, in some recent papers,^{10,13,18} 37 the SC and HC, respectively, are alternatively indicated 38 39 with the terms 'fast component' and 'slow component', 40 referring to desorption processes. On the other hand, 41 considering the adsorption process, the fast and slow terms must be inverted. Adsorption/ desorption times 42 43 and kinetic curves are unique to each nanomaterial 44 and depend on many parameters. In this experiment, 45 protein desorption to N-isopropylacrylamide/N-tertbutylacrylamide (NIPAM/BAM) copolymer nanoparti-46 47 cles, showed a mean lifetime of 10 min for the fast 48 component (SC), and 8 h for the slow component 49 (HC). However, it remains almost impossible to clearly 50 establish global standard parameters belonging to fast 51 and slow components or, in other words, to SC 52 and HC.

53 In order to better define the HC and SC, 54 another debatable aspect consists in the interaction

with nanomaterial. The HC is frequently considered 57 as the portion of the PC directly interacting with the 58 nanomaterial and the SC as the external portion of 59 the PC, which is interacting with the inner HC via 60 protein-protein interactions. In support of this 61 vision, Simberg and colleagues identified specific pro-62 tein domains as responsible for HC adsorption on 63 their iron oxide NPs. In particular, the authors attrib-64 uted 'domain 5' (D5) for the adsorption of high 65 molecular weight kininogen onto iron oxide nano-66 particles.¹⁹ The precise mechanisms involved during 67 adsorption and their relative contributions strongly 68 depend on the proteins which interact and on the 69 70 physicochemical properties of the nanomaterial; thus, 71 it is very difficult, and not always possible, to determinate the protein domain that interacts with the 72 nanomaterial, especially if the NPs are incubated in a 73 74 complex fluid such as plasma.

It is also necessary to consider that, the HC 75 results from both protein/protein and protein/nano-76 material interactions and that the stability of the PC 77 78 is strongly dependent on both the type and the binding force of proteins forming the HC, and that this 79 should be known to predict the in vivo behaviour. 80 Recently, Lynch et al. demonstrated the importance 81 of the HC on the physiological response to a nano-82 material.²⁰ In their experiments, the HC remained 83 adsorbed onto the nanomaterial during biophysical 84 events such as endocytosis, and even after transloca-85 tion to a new physiological environment. On the con-86 trary, the SC rapidly dissociated during translocation 87 and was quickly lost. Moreover, the HC reflects the 88 89 journey of the nanomaterial in the body compartments. For example, a nanomaterial that enters the 90 blood through the lung may display dramatic differ-91 ences in HC compositions, and in the resulting physi-92 ological responses with respect to the same 93 nanomaterial directly injected in the bloodstream.²¹ 94 However, this biological/functional distinction 95 between HC and SC is not supported by solid data 96 97 concerning the SC, but is only limited on speculations based on HC results. 98

Similarly, the dynamics involving the SC equi-99 librium after in vivo administration represent a 100 critical point to define the circulation stability of 101 nanomaterials. 102

Overall, a precise and specific distinction 103 between the HC and SC is hard to be defined due to 104 poor experimental evidences aiming to univocally 105 individuate and unambiguously discriminate the cri-106 teria. Therefore, multiple characterizations must be 107 utilized to discriminate between the HC and SC and 108 109 more completely understand the role of the PC on 110 the fate of nanomedicines (Table 1).

AQ4

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

TABLE 1	Schematic Illustration	of Hard	Corona and	Soft	Corona	Characteristics
---------	------------------------	---------	------------	------	--------	-----------------

Hard Corona	Soft Corona
Tightly bound proteins	Loosely bound proteins
$\left \Delta G_{abs}\right $	$\downarrow \Delta G_{abs} $
$\downarrow k_{\rm off}$	$\uparrow k_{\rm off}$
Directly interacting with nanomaterials	Protein–protein interaction (and with nanomaterial too?)
Stable on NP surface and able to influence the functional response	Fleeting on NP surface and irrelevant for the functional response

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR CORONA EVALUATION

The study of the PC can be separated into different points of view: analysis of PC structure (i.e., thickness), protein quantification (quantitative or semi-quantitative approach), study of protein affinity and stoichiometry, evaluation of protein conformation, analysis of NP-protein interaction and identification of the PC composition (qualitative approach). Overall, on the basis of the analytical methods applied in a study, two different approaches of investigation could be identified: in situ or ex situ.¹⁵ In situ techniques measure the NPs-PC complex directly into the protein solution where NPs are dispersed. Following this approach, the excessive sample manipulation is avoided and the incubation context is preserved allowing a reliable measurement of how the PC evolves in real time. On the contrary, ex situ techniques measure the PC after isolation of the NPs-PC complex from the physiological enviroment.¹⁵ In this contest, different isolation methods could be applied, depending on the experimental requirements. The most common used methods are:

- Centrifugation. Based on the different densities of nanomaterials relative to free proteins, centrifugation is, to-date, the most widely used method for isolation of the PC around nanomedicines.²²⁻²⁵ Centrifugation is a simple and quick isolation method and an efficient way to retrieve enough proteins for their safe identification using mass spectrometry analysis, as the quality of identification is strictly dependent on the available amount of material.
- Size exclusion chromatography (SEC). In order 48 to isolate the corona in a less perturbing man-49 50 ner, SEC was recently proposed as an alterna-51 tive to centrifugation. This technique separates 52 NP-PC complexes from unbound proteins 53 through a column containing a porous station-54 ary phase. Separation takes place since NP-PC

complexes are larger than the stationary phase pores, do not penetrate into the pores, and elute before the unbound proteins, which on the contrary can enter the pores and require a longer time to pass through the column.

This isolation method is less disruptive than centrifugation and weakly bound proteins may still be retrieved after the separation.^{17,20,26}

79 • Magnetic separation/magnetic flow field frac-80 tionation (MgFFF). This particular technique is 81 based on the elution of magnetic NPs by means 82 of a chromatography-like method in which the 83 separation is carried out in a single liquid phase. 84 MgFFF is characterized by the use of an exter-85 nal magnetic field applied perpendicularly to 86 the direction of sample flow through an empty 87 and thin ribbon like channel.²⁷ As demon-88 strated by Ashby et al., this method allows the 89 screening of proteins with distinct exchange 90 kinetics in the corona around NPs. In fact, 91 MgFFF provides for a separation in non-92 equilibrium conditions able to cause continuous 93 dissociation of the protein–NP complexes inside 94 the column; that way, the dissociated proteins 95 are constantly washed away from the com-96 plexes by the protein-free mobile phase.¹⁸ 97

98

- 99
- 100
- Dynamic light scattering (DLS). DLS allows the 101 determination of the hydrodynamic diameter of 102 colloidal particles and conjugates. Therefore, 103 DLS measurements are useful to determine 104 changes in the diameter of NPs before and after 105 incubation in a biological environment.²² Sev-106 eral studies employed the DLS technique aiming 107 to evaluate the extent of PC formation, and to 108 109 correlate an increase in NP diameter after exposure to serum or plasma to the formation of a 110

Analysis of the PC Structure

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

PC around the particle.^{24,25,28} The main advan-1 2 tage of DLS is the possibility to be used both in 3 situ and after isolation of the NP-PC complex. 4 However, in order to give reliable results, DLS 5 measurements require a monodisperse popula-6 tion of NP-PC complexes with homogeneous 7 shapes as it could strongly affect the hydrody-8 namic diameters. Recently, a very elegant 9 approach on NP-PC complex size determination was given by Schmidt and co-workers²⁹; in 10 11 this paper, the aggregation dynamics as well as the impact of different chemico-physical proper-12 13 ties of NPs on the PC-NP complex size were 14 analyzed.

- 15 • Differential centrifugal sedimentation (DCS). 16 DCS is able to separate the components of a 17 mixture on the basis of their density and size, as 18 larger and denser objects require lower centrifu-19 gal forces to sediment. DCS allows the size dis-20 tribution measurements of NP-PC complexes 21 also in situ, but limits may also be present. In 22 fact, this technique forces the samples to be 23 repeatedly centrifuged followed by removal of 24 the pellet and repeated with increased centrifu-25 gal force. Moreover, this technology may risk 26 exposing the samples contaminations and poor 27 recovery. This method was applied to determine 28 differences in size between bare and corona-29 coated NP systems.^{24,25} 30
- Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM 31 is used to obtain images of the NPs before and 32 after incubation in a biological fluid with the 33 scope of determining the thickness of PC 34 around the NPs. However, this technique 35 requires a sample preparation, which may affect 36 the morphology of NP-PC complexes.²⁵ In 37 addition, counterstaining is required, since the 38 small size of the NPs and the thin protein layer 39 may provide insufficient contrast.³⁰ 40

4243 Protein Quantification

• Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay. This test com-44 bines the reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu¹⁺ by peptide 45 46 bonds of the protein in alkaline solution with 47 the selective colorimetric reaction of BCA-Cu¹⁺ able to form a purple complex featured by 48 absorption at λ 562 nm.³¹ In the case of PC–NP 49 50 complexes, the BCA assay is performed to 51 determine the total amount of proteins 52 adsorbed onto NPs after incubation in plasma.32-34 Advantages of this technique are 53 54 represented by its compatibility with several reagents or buffers present in the samples and 57 the limited amount of sample required for the 58 analysis. However, the reaction is time and cost 59 expensive as the unit cost is higher than for 60 other colorimetric methods, such as the Bradford assay.³¹ 62

- Bradford assay. This test detects proteins on the basis of their binding to Coomassie brilliant blue, forming a protein–dye complex with a change in the solution color from red to blue, due to a shift in the peak absorbance of the dye from λ 465 nm to λ 595 nm.³¹ As well as BCA assay, Bradford assay is employed in the determination of the amount of adsorbed proteins onto NPs.^{35,36} This colorimetric method is highly sensitive, quick and requires minimal amounts of sample for the analysis. In addition, it represents one of the less expensive colorimetric methods for protein quantification.
- *Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).* This technique is commonly used to measure the amount of weight variation occurring after a thermodecomposition reaction in organic or semiorganic materials. Thus, the overall mass of the proteins adsorbed onto inorganic NP-surface can be determined by the loss of weight after the decomposition reaction.³⁷

Binding Affinity/Stoichiometry and Protein Interaction

- 88 • Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS). 89 This technique provides information on both 90 kinetic and thermodynamic properties of fluo-91 rescent molecules in solution, exploiting the 92 temporal relaxation of the measured fluores-93 cence fluctuations and the amplitudes of the 94 fluctuations, respectively.³⁸ Thus, FCS experi-95 ments allow us to measure binding curves by 96 exposing NPs in nanomolar dilutions to a wide 97 range of protein concentrations and, thereby, 98 yield information on the tendency of the protein 99 to adsorb.39 100
- Size exclusion chromatography (SEC). This 101 technique allows determination of the affinity 102 and lifetime of the NP-protein interaction. Ide-103 ally, the separation of proteins and other com-104 pounds by SEC is based on the size of the 105analytes in solution. Generally, the pore size 106 and/or geometry restrict access of molecules 107 based on their Stokes radius. The largest mole-108 cules/structures, which are excluded from the 109 pores, elute first. Subsequent molecules elute in 110

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

57 58

59

60 61 62

63

64

65

67

70

Protein Conformation

• Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. CD measures the spectra of different protein secondary structures, as they possess their own CD spectra in the UV region.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ This technique 66 can provide information on protein structural changes resulting from the interaction with 68 NPs, but requires relatively high concentration 69 of the sample and cannot be applied to complex protein mixtures.⁴¹

NPs as function of surface ligand structure, sur-

face curvature and protein identity.¹⁵

- 71 • Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectros-72 copy. Similar to CD spectroscopy, FTIR allows 73 the determination of conformational changes of 74 proteins. The protein secondary structures are 75 estimated on the basis of the absorption of 76 amide bonds. Among the amide I, II, and III 77 the amide I vibrational bands, band 78 $(1700-1600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ is the most sensitive and fre-79 quently used to determine protein conforma-80 tion.⁴¹ The FTIR method allows the detection 81 of NP-PC complexes already at a very early 82 stage as well as highlight conformational 83 changes during the ongoing aggregation 84 process.
- 85 • Raman spectroscopy (RS). As with FTIR, RS 86 investigates the vibrational modes of molecules, 87 giving complementary information. Raman 88 spectra of proteins consist of bands associated 89 with the peptide main chain, aromatic side 90 chains, or sulfur containing side chains. Gener-91 ally, RS is preferred to measure the protein-NP 92 complexes in aqueous solution; moreover, 93 Raman spectra are more simple than IR spectra 94 since the localized vibrations of double or triple 9.5 bonds or electron-rich groups produce more 96 intense bands than the vibrations of a single 97 bond or electron-poor groups.⁴¹ 98
- Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectros-99 copy. As is well known, the phenomenon of 100 magnetic resonance can provide nuclear 101 detailed information about the structure, 102 dynamics, reaction state, and chemical environ-103 ment of molecules. The application of NMR to 104 PC characterization allowed residue-specific 105 structural information regarding the adsorbed 106 protein to be obtained. In particular, localized 107 conformational information was obtained 108 109 regarding some adsorbed peptides, especially by means of solid-state NMR.44 110

order of decreasing size.⁴⁰ In the case of the PC, if proteins exchange slowly from the particle, they will elute rapidly with the particles, while if the exchange is fast, the protein will elute at the same time as without particles.²⁰

- Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). This method can be applied to measure the stoichiometry, affinity and enthalpy of NP-protein interaction. In this technique, protein is added to a NP suspension in the sample cell, and the difference in heat needed to keep both the sample and reference cells at the same temperature is measured. If the concentrations of both NPs and added protein are known, this technique provides information on the number of bound protein molecules per particle, the apparent affinity and the enthalpy change.¹⁷
- Surface plasmon resonance (SPR). SPR provides information on the adsorption kinetics. In this technique, NPs are anchored on the gold surface of the sensor chip, and proteins are injected to flow over the NP-modified surface. SPR measures the change of oscillation of surface plasmon waves that are caused by the adsorption of molecules onto the metal surface.^{17,41,42}
- 26 • Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). This tech-27 nology, based on the piezoelectric effect, mea-28 sures the resonant frequency shift correlated to 29 mass changes at the oscillating quartz surface. 30 Either proteins or NPs are immobilized onto a 31 gold surface located on a quartz crystal; the 32 binding partner is injected into the flow-cham-33 ber, passed over the quartz surface and the fre-34 quency monitored in real-time. Real-time and 35 quantitative NP-protein binding profiles are 36 obtained, and the association and dissociation 37 constants can be determined by fitting to the 38 Langmuir adsorption isotherm.⁴³ 39
- 40 • Z-potential measurement. Zeta potential is 41 another approach for the screening of NP-42 protein interactions. Adsorbed proteins change 43 the zeta potentials and the isoelectric points 44 (IEP) of the particles, and the amount of the 45 adsorbed protein on particle surfaces could be 46 correlated with the zeta potential.²²
- 47 • Computer simulation. Beside the experimental 48 techniques, computer or in silico simulation of 49 NP-protein interactions is another possible 50 strategy to predict PC characterization and 51 composition. In fact, simulation provides infor-52 mation on protein orientation and conforma-53 tion with high spatial and temporal resolution 54 and it is applied to study protein adsorption to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

• Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) spectroscopy. DSC measures the heat change associated with the thermal denaturation of a molecule when heated at a constant rate. In this way, DSC measures the enthalpy change (ΔH) of unfolding that results from heat-induced denaturation. Thus, information on protein stability after the NP-adsorption process can be highlighted.⁴⁷

• *Fluorescence correlation spectrometry (FCS)*. This technology can be used to get information about the protein conformation since the maximum level in fluorescence emission spectrum intensity changes correspondingly to the protein conformation.⁴⁸

Composition

The identities of the proteins composing the corona around NPs can be investigated using techniques such as gel electrophoresis [sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE)] and mass spectrometry (MS).

It must be underlined that these techniques can be performed only *ex situ*, after isolation of the NP– PC complexes from excess plasma or serum.

29 • One-dimensional gel electrophoresis (1-DE or 30 SDS-PAGE). In SDS-PAGE, the protein mix-31 ture is separated depending on molecular 32 weights after exposure to an electric field. The 33 proteins migrate through a polyacrilamide gel 34 and are separated according to their size due to 35 their different electrophoretic mobilities. Pro-36 teins must be previously denatured and nega-37 tively charged by an anionic detergent (SDS). 38 After the migration, the proteins can be stained 39 using different methods, such as Coomassie 40 brilliant blue or silver nitrate staining. Densi-41 tometry analysis can be performed in order to 42 quantify protein abundance. Molecular weights 43 of separated proteins can be extrapolated by 44 comparing the position of the protein bands 45 with SDS-PAGE profile of a protein molecular 46 weight marker. This technique is often followed 47 by mass spectrometry analysis to determine the 48 identities of the separated proteins.41 49

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE).
 This technique separates protein samples in two
 steps or dimensions. In the first dimension of 2 DE, named isoelectric focusing (IEF), proteins
 are separated accordingly only to their IEP. In

the second dimension, SDS-PAGE, proteins are 57 fractionated on the basis of their molecular 58 weights. The bands are then visualized through 59 a staining method and analyzed for protein 60 quantification.⁴⁹ This technique also allows 61 protein identification, since a 2-DE gel can be 62 compared to the 2-DE map of proteins. 63 32,33,49,50 64

• *Mass spectrometry (MS)*. MS has been widely applied to identify the proteins of the corona.

In protocols present in the literature,^{51–53} proteins need to be first digested into smaller peptides with a proteolytic enzyme such as trypsin, in order to reduce the size of the analytes and to produce more suitable data in agreement to the mass range of the instrument. These peptides are ionized in the ion source and then introduced into a region of high vacuum. Ions are separated in function of their mass to charge ratio (m/z) under either a strong electromagnetic field or in a long drift tube. The resulting mass spectra allow the primary sequence of each given peptide in the mixture to be determined. These data are then compared against the database of the species used in the experiment to recover the protein identities.⁴¹ With this procedure, MS was applied to identify NP PCs using gel- and non-gel-based methodologies.

Gel-based techniques require, as first step, a protein separation on SDS–PAGE: in more details, the bands of interest are cut from the gel and digested by trypsin, and then the peptides are analyzed by mass spectrometry. This technique was widely employed in order to determine the protein pattern of the whole PC around NPs.^{23,24,54}

91 On the other hand, the non-gel-based method 92 can be applied either on proteins still adsorbed onto 93 the NPs or after protein desorption. The proteins are 94 digested by trypsin and the resulting peptides are 95 directly analyzed by means of MS. Before trypsin 96 digestion, protein denaturation is always performed 97 in order to make the domain for trypsin more 98 accessible.

99 Overall, both non-gel and gel-based methods 100 require separation of the peptides before the MS injection, exploiting, for example, liquid chromatogra-101 102 phy.⁴¹ Several approaches were therefore proposed to 103 this aim: nanoscale liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight MS/MS (nLC Q-TOF MS/MS), nanoelec-104trospray liquid chromatography-tandem mass spec-105trometry (nLC-MS/MS), nano-liquid chromatography 106 MALDI-TOF/TOF, ion trap-mass spectroscopy (IT-107 MS) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization 108 time-of-flightsecondary ion mass spectrometry 109 (MALDI-TOF-SIMS).^{23,24,26,36,55–57} 110

83

84

TABLE 2 Schematic Illustration of the Ma	ain Techniques Used for the Characterization of Different PC-Related Parameters
--	---

Parameter	Technique(s)
Structure/thickness	Dynamic light scattering (DLS)
	Differential centrifugal sedimentation (DCS)
	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
Protein quantification	Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay
	Bradford assay
	Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)
Binding affinities/stoichiometry and NP-protein interaction	Fluorescence quenching titration
	Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS)
	Size exclusion chromatography (SEC)
	Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)
	Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)
	Quartz crystal microbalance (QCM)
	Zeta potential (Z-pot)
	Computer simulation
Protein conformation	Circular dichroism (CD)
	Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy
	Raman spectroscopy (RS)
	Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)
	Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
	Fluorescence correlation spectrometry (FCS)
PC composition	Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)
	2D-PAGE
	Mass spectrometry (in-gel method)
	Mass spectrometry (non-gel method)
Description DC section sector	

In summary, the discussed techniques for the investigation of the PC are listed in Table 2.

TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS IN HARD CORONA STUDY

35 Table 3 summarizes the identification of the HC fea-36 tures for different NPs using the methods described 37 in the previous section. From a technological point of 38 view, remarkably, it must be highlighted that HC 39 analysis necessary requires an ex situ approach. As a first step, the most used method for HC isolation is 40 41 the centrifugation, as illustrate in Figure 1.² Gener-42 ally, it allows the isolation of NP-HC complex, while 43 weakly bound proteins are lost. However, it is 44 important to note that the duration of washing as 45 well as the solution volumes used during the washing 46 steps could impact the final results, and that the most 47 abundant proteins, or protein aggregates, may be recovered after sedimentation at the bottom of the 48 centrifugation tube due to in-correct washing.^{2,15} 49 Only a limited number of papers described the appli-50 51 cation of different isolation methods such as gel filtration,⁷⁰ size exclusion chromatography,¹⁷ and magnetic separation^{14,18,70} in order to characterize 52 53 54 the HC.

HC Structure and Quantification

85 As reported in the literature, after the removal of the 86 SC, it is possible to analyze the HC in terms of thickness or increase in mass percentage.^{23,58,71} The evalu-87 88 ation methods are almost the same independently of 89 the kind of NPs (i.e., inorganic or organic polymeric 90 NPs). For example, Casals et al. noted that the diam-91 eter of gold NPs (initially 10 nm) changed when 92 incubated in fetal bovine serum. In particular they 93 highlighted that a long incubation time (48 h) lead to 94 a stable protein coating on the NP surface, which 9.5 produced an increase in diameter of more than 50% 96 in respect to the initial diameter.²² On the other 97 hand, Monopoli et al. demonstrated that the thick-98 ness of the HC in polystyrene NPs could change on 99 the basis of plasma concentrations used in the experi-100 ment: a higher plasma concentration leads to a 101 thicker HC (38% hydrodynamic diameter 102 increase).²⁴ This observation was confirmed by Car-103 acciolo et al. in the case of 1,2-dioleoyl-3-104 trimethylammonium propane/DNA NPs incubated in 105 a concentrated solution of plasma, which led to the 106 detection of a thicker total PC (31% of hydrody-107 namic diameter increase).⁷² All of these measure-108 ments were obtained by DLS analysis, the most 109 applied technique in order to identify HC thickness. 110

					כומימי	ובוודמווחוו ואובווחח חו ווך של	ipileu lu.		
	Ci //	م ما با ما با ما ما ا				(iii) Binding Affinity/			
/pe/Material	Z-Pot (mV)	Medium	Isolation Method	(i) Thickness	(III) FLOLEIII Quantification	Protein Juornomeny Protein Interaction	Conformation	(v) Composition	References
old	10 -45	Cellular medium with 10% FBS	centrifuge	DLS	_		_	LC–MS	Casals et al. ²²
olystyrene (P), silica (S)	200 -25	HP (different conc)	centrifuge	DLS/DCS	1		1	SDS-PGS and LC-MS	Monopoli et al. ²⁴
old	22–26 –30	Cellular medium with 10% FBS	centrifuge	DLS	1		1	,	Wang et al. ⁵⁸
blo	30–50 –33/–38	НР	Centrifuge	DLS/ TEM/AFM	1		1	2D-PAGE, IT-MS	Dobrovolkaia et al. ⁵⁵
lica	70-80-250-500-90 -12/-37	0 Cellular medium with 10% FBS	centrifuge	TGA	TGA		-	SDS-PAGE, LC- MS	Clemments et al. ³⁷
olystyrene	50-100 +23/-32	Н	Centrifuge	1	NP–HC complex weight		1	SDS-PAGE, LC- MS	Lundqvist et al. ²³
olystyrene/ silica	50, 100, 200 /	НР	Centrifuge	DLS, DCS, TEM	1		1	,	Walczyk et al. ²⁵
GA	227 -20	Н	Centrifuge	-	BCA	1	1	LC–MS	Sempf et al. ⁵⁷
NOI	600/900 -10/-40	Culture medium	Centrifuge	TEM	Bradford (surnactants)	FTIR	-	MALDI-TOF- SIMS	Mbeh et al. ³⁶
blo	10 +25	Cell lysates	Centrifuge	DLS	Bradford		-	Western blot , LC–MS	Arvizo et al. ³⁵
blo	30, 90 /	α-Synuclein HEPES buffer	Centrifuge	DLS	UV-vis mesurement	FCS	-	LC–MS	Yang et al. ⁵⁹
lystyrene	50, 100 /	НР	1	1	1	FCS	1	1	Milani et al. ⁶⁰
/droxyethyl starch	, 200/270 –30	HP, HSA and Apo A-I protein solution	centrifuge	DLS	Quantification kit	ITC	-	SDSPAGE	Winzen et al. ⁶
lica	100, 200, 270 +2, –25, –35	BSA solution	Centrifuge	TEM	-	Z potential measurement (time evolution measurements)	_	1	Natte et al. ⁶²
olystyrene	60 +20/–30	BSA solution	1	-	ITC	ITC	8	1	Fleischer and Payne ¹¹
pld	40 /	BSA solution	1	1	1	FCS	C	1	Wangoo et al. ⁶³

	4
	5
	6
	0
	/
	8
	9
1	0
1	U
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	1
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	0
1	ð
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	Q
2	
3	0
3	1
3	2
2	$\frac{1}{2}$
0	5
3	4
3	5
3	6
2	7
3	/
3	8
3	9
4	0
1	1
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
1	÷
4	S
4	6
4	7
Δ	8
7	0
4	9
5	0
5	1
5	
5	2
5	3
5	4

TABLE 3 | Continued

n Me
c ation
neati
ge
ge
ge
ge
ge
ge gh a ïe cu

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

103

104

105

106

107



FIGURE 1 | Schematic illustration of hard corona (HC) studies. This kind of analysis requires an ex situ approach (generally by centrifugation). After this first step, the HC characterization is continued with different analytical pathways. Data collected from all these investigations could give a complete pool of information about HC features.

However, it is worth mentioning that centrifugation cycles, performed in order to isolate the HC-NP complex, could lead to aggregation phenomena. Inevitably, these aggregates could dramatically affect the results obtained by DLS and the final thickness should not be considered as comprehensive of the whole sample. As an example, Wang and colleagues observed a change in both size dimension and distribution of gold-NPs after serum incubation; particularly, the average diameter of NPs dramatically increased from 25 to 83 nm and distribution become more heterogeneous. They hypothesized that the increase in NP diameter was not only due to the formation of HC, but also to the presence of NPprotein agglomerates, caused to the presence of Ca++ and Mg⁺⁺ ions present in the medium.⁵⁸

To complement the information given by DLS, TEM analysis can be applied to furnish structural data, as reported by Walczyk et al.²⁵ The authors compared polystyrene NPs before and after plasma incubation, 40 evaluating the dimensions of about 500 NPs for each kind of sample (bare and protein-coated NPs) to reach 42 statistically consistent results. The DLS results indi-43 cated that that after plasma incubation, the shell thick-44 ness values increased roughly 5-10 nm, but TEM 45 images did not give the same output, as also reported by Dobrovolskaia et al. using gold NPs.55 This differ-46 47 ence was probably due to the different technology 48 applied; TEM measures NP size on a grid support 49 while DLS evaluate the hydrodynamic diameter of NPs 50 in suspension. However, in this case, TEM analysis 51 was considered useful to prove that plasma incubation 52 did not change the agglomeration state of the NPs and, 53 as a consequence, that DLS analysis was not affected by particle agglomeration phenomena.⁵⁵ This shows 54

the importance that attention must be given to the experimental conditions and especially to the analytical times.⁷³

79 Moreover, the extent of protein coating forming the HC can be expressed not only as 'thickness 80 values', but also quantitatively. Generally, colorimet-81 ric assays are employed (i.e., BCA and Bradford) to 82 measure the HC protein amount on NPs^{36,57} or, 83 inversely, the non-adsorbed proteins left in the 84 medium.35 85

Alternatively, Clemments et al. used TGA anal-86 vsis to characterize the mass percentage of the HC on 87 their spherical dense/mesoporus silica NPs. In this 88 case, the total amount of adsorbed protein was calcu-89 lated as a function of weight loss. The data results 90 and reliability of these results are still debatable. In 91 fact, as expected, the smallest particles were found to 92 adsorb the greatest amount of protein, due to the 93 greater surface area (when equal weights of NPs were 94 used). However, by normalizing the total amount of 95 adsorbed protein to the total surface area of each 96 sample, the results clearly stated that an increase in 97 particle diameter greatly increased the amount of 98 adsorbed protein. Thus, the authors hypothesized 99 that a decreased surface curvature of larger particles 100 could favor protein binding, as proteins are able to 101 pack together more closely.⁴ 102

Binding Affinities/Stoichiometry and NP-**Protein Interaction**

Data related to the layer thickness of the HC is frequently 108 reported in scientific researches.^{22,24,25,55,58,72,74,75} 109 On the contrary, the absolute number of bound 110

3

72 73

proteins and their exchange dynamics in body fluids 2 are difficult to be assessed with standardized protocols.

In particular, regarding the HC, we are limited 4 5 by a lack of information on the dynamics of protein exchange, mainly due to a shortage of techniques 6 that allow the assessment of the binding and unbind-7 ing of specific proteins to NPs.⁶⁰ To overcome this 8 drawback, Yang et al. elaborated on a two-step fluo-9 rescence quenching experiment aiming to quantify 11 the binding affinity of the HC for gold-NPs incubated with a single protein solution $[\alpha$ -synuclein 12 $(\alpha$ -syn)].⁵⁹ Briefly, in the first step, different concen-13 14 trations of gold-NPs were titrated against a known 15 concentration of α -syn obtaining the first fluores-16 cence quenching plot. Coated gold-NPs used in this 17 first titration were then collected, purified 18 (by centrifugation) and used in the second titration 19 set against the same amount of α -syn (second fluores-20 cence quenching plot). Authors assumed the fluores-21 cence quenching obtained in this second step was the 22 combination of the gold-NPs and SC light absorp-23 tion. The difference between the first and second flo-24 rescence plots was then due solely to the HC of α -syn 25 on gold-NPs and it was used to calculate HC binding 26 constant.

Alternatively, Milani et al. used FCS to measure 27 28 HC binding rate overtime in terms of the number of 29 transferrin molecules bound per particle to sulfonate 30 (PSOSO3H) and carboxyl- (PSCOOH) polystyrene 31 NPs. The authors found that the fraction of mole-32 cules (proteins) bound to the NPs could be described 33 with a universal adsorption curve if plotted as a func-34 tion of molar protein-to-NP ratio. In particular, this 35 adsorption curve was characterized by a two time-36 scale dynamic due to a first strongly bound mono-37 layer (namely HC) and to a second weakly bound 38 layer (namely SC). Thus, they demonstrated that the 39 HC was characterized by an off rate longer than the 40 experimental time scale of a few hours, while the SC 41 appears to exchange proteins within minutes under buffered conditions.⁶⁰ 42

43 The binding affinity or the exchange rate of the 44 proteins belonging to PC are generally investigated in 45 a comparative manner; this method does not imply a clear distinction between HC and SC, but it allows 46 bound proteins to be ordered on the basis of their 47 affinity to the NPs. As an example, a recent study by 48 49 Winzen and co-workers applied ITC to characterize 50 PC binding affinity around hydroxyethyl starch 51 nanocapsules. Results revealed large amounts of 52 human serum albumin (HSA) amount present with 53 low binding affinity, probably ascribable to the SC; 54 on the contrary, apolipoprotein A-I was present in

small amounts but with high binding affinity, typically considered as a HC component.⁶¹

In addition, there have also been a huge number of studies evaluating NP-protein dynamics, which provided information not necessarily related to the 61 binding affinity constants. One example assessed the 62 dynamics and evolution of the PC-NPs at different 63 incubation times by evaluating zeta potential 64 values,⁶² zeta potential and QCM,⁴³ or by zeta potential and SPR analysis.²² In the latter case, 65 66 depending on time of incubation, SPR measurements 67 shifted over time thus, revealing the formation of a 68 dense dielectric layer around gold NPs due to the 69 adsorption of proteins onto the NPs surface.²² 70 71

Protein Conformation in the HC

74 Curved NP surfaces in comparison with planar sur-75 faces are known to be able to provide extra flexibility 76 and enhanced surface area to the adsorbed protein 77 molecules.⁷⁶ However, only in the recent years has 78 79 the attention focused on the impact of different NPs surfaces 'architectures' on protein conformation. In 80 particular, curved NP surfaces were demonstrated to 81 affect the secondary structures of proteins, and, in 82 some cases, causing irreversible changes.⁷⁷ This phe-83 nomenon is particularly relevant when considering 84 the biological fate of NPs, due to obvious implica-85 tions for clearance and immunological responses. 86 87 Thus, a number of studies have attempted to investigate on the conformational changes of the proteins 88 89 adsorbed onto NPs. All the studies referred to the proteins composing the HC layer, the structure clo-90 91 sest to nanomaterial, which are affected by modifica-92 tions of secondary structures in function of surface 93 changes. Aiming to use CD spectroscopy to investi-94 gate the interaction of polystyrene NPs with cellular receptors after adsorption of BSA, Fleischer and 95 Payne demonstrated that the secondary structure of 96 adsorbed BSA is strongly responsible for the interac-97 tion of the complexes with the receptors.¹¹ Also, 98 Wangoo et al. performed CD experiments and found 99 that BSA undergoes to conformational changes in a 100 dose dependent manner when incubated with gold 101 NPs.⁶³ Mahmoudi et al. used the same technology to 102 study the interactions of iron saturated human trans-103 ferrin protein with both bare and polyvinyl alcohol-104 coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles 105 (SPIONs).⁴¹ In this case, the exposure of human 106 transferrin to SPIONs led to a protein conforma-107 tional change, from a closed to open conformation, 108 causing the release of iron by the protein. This new 109 conformational state was also maintained after the 110

74

75

removal of the magnetic nanoparticles indicating the 2 changes in transferrin structure were irreversible.⁴¹

3 As a general consideration, CD technology can 4 be considered a powerful analytical tools in deter-5 mining the protein conformation in solution or when 6 adsorbed onto other structures. This is confirmed by 7 the large number of studies reporting CD spectros-8 copy to study protein conformational changes. How-9 ever, data coming from CD analysis can be 10 supported by other analytic methods such as NMR.44 For example, Lundqvist et al. studied the 11 conformational change of the protein HCAI 12 13 adsorbed onto the surface of silica NPs. Through 14 NMR and near-UV CD, the authors were able to 15 demonstrate that longer incubation times correlated with a gradual shift of the native HCAI to a more 16 17 disturbed conformational form.⁴⁴

Another technique often employed in the deter-18 19 mination of protein conformation is represented by FTIR. In a recent work, Ma et al.⁷² used FTIR to 20 21 investigate the adsorption of human albumin (HSA), 22 globulin (HGG), and fibrinogen (HSF) onto different 23 kinds of mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNs). 24 The authors found that the conformation of 25 absorbed HSA and HSF is affected by the pore size 26 and morphology of their MSNs; on the contrary, 27 HGG conformation was not affected by adsorption. 28 Moreover, these conformational changes of the 29 adsorbed proteins were able to affect the saturated adsorption capacity of the NPs.⁶⁵ In another 30 31 research, the FTIR method was employed in associa-32 tion with highly sensitive DSC to determine 33 adsorption-induced structural changes of the same 34 model proteins [BSA, lysozyme (LZM) and fibrino-35 gen (FBG)] on different ceramic nanoparticles. In 36 almost all cases, protein adsorption resulted in desta-37 bilization and structural loss of the bound proteins. 38 In particular, a loss in α -helical structure seemed to 39 be the most sensitive structure on adsorption-induced 40 rearrangements. Moreover, the authors conclude that 41 the two techniques applied in the study (DSC and 42 FTIR spectroscopy) were able to provide complemen-43 tary information on adsorption-induced structural 44 changes. Specifically, DSC was identified as the most 45 suitable technique in order to provide information about the molecular level (thermal stability, overall 46 structure) while FTIR gave relevant information on 47 the sub-molecular level (secondary structure).⁴⁷ 48

49 Alternatively, Raman spectroscopy (RS) can be 50 used to evaluate the occurrence of conformational 51 changes. Recent experiments revealed that a signifi-52 cant shift of the amide-I band could be observed after 53 incubating silica NPs with a BSA protein solution, 54 whereas, other model proteins maintained their native conformations, after adsorption onto the sur-57 face of the NPs (RNase and HEL), under the experi-58 mental conditions employed.66 59

Apart from the structural information obtained 60 by CD, FTIR, NMR and RS analysis, some indica-61 tions about protein conformation changes can be 62 achieved by using FCS. Some authors exploited FCS 63 to investigate the effect of titanium dioxide (TiO_2) 64 NPs on microtubules polymerization since the tubu-65 lin is able to produce a fluorescence quenching and a 66 blue shift of the maximum emission wavelength after 67 the incubation with TiO₂ NPs. As evidence, the 68 authors concluded that TiO2 NPs were able to inhibit 69 tubulin polymerization, thus confirming that NPs 70 71 lead to protein function alteration by inducing changes in protein folding.67 72 73

HC Composition

In order to detect the composition of the HC, after 76 isolating the NP-HC complex from the excess of pro-77 78 tein in the media, a preliminary desorption process of 79 the proteins from the nanomaterial surface, generally named 'ex-particle' protocol, can be required. Protein 80 desorption from the nanomaterial can be performed 81 82 by treating the HC–NPs complex with high temperatures, high salt concentrations or detergents to detach 83 them from the complex and make them suitable for 84 the analysis (protein electrophoresis or enzymatic 85 digestion followed by MS). Alternatively, the 'on-par-86 ticle' protocol can be adopted to by-pass the desorp-87 tion procedure, but it requires an enzymatic digestion 88 performed on NP surface. This method is particularly 89 useful when the strength of the interaction between 90 the protein and the nanomaterial could cause a par-91 92 tial detachment during desorption leadingto unsatis-93 factory results.

94 Generally, the protein desorption method of choice must take great account into the final aim, the 95 technical procedures which are compatible with the 96 samples, the raw materials and the experimental 97 features. 98

Sempf et al.⁵⁷ chose to apply an 'on particle' 99 approach to the analysis of the HC formed on poly-100 lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA)-NPs after incubation 101 in human plasma. The proteins were directly digested 102 on the NP surface using trypsin and then analyzed by 103 nLC MALDI-TOF/TOF (without gel analysis).⁵⁷ The 104 105 authors identified 15 proteins in the HC, 7 of which were not typically abundant in plasma. Moreover the 106 authors compared their results with those obtained 107 by other authors⁴⁹ using other methodologies to 108 investigate the HC of PLGA-NPs. The results were 109 strikingly different within the two experimental sets, 110

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

1 particularly regarding the presence of proteins such 2 as albumin, Apo A-1, Apo A-4, Apo C-3, and trans-3 ferrin. Maybe, as explained above, these differences 4 could be ascribable not only to the features of the 5 NPs (size, surface curvature, etc.) but also to the 6 applied analytical method (on-particle vs ex-particle 7 digestion/in-gel vs non-gel approach).

8 Other papers described the combined method of SDS-PAGE followed by MS^{23,24,37}; one of the 9 most complete work dealt with the detection and 10 11 evaluation of the HC of NIPAM-BAM NPs with 12 varying sizes (70-700 nm) and polymers ratios, 13 finally identifying HSA, apolipoprotein A-IV, apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein A-II as the most 14 15 consistently present proteins composing the HC around these NPs.²⁶ Another research group 16 employed 2D-PAGE and Western blotting analysis to 17 18 compare the HC profile of pegylated- polyhexadecyl-19 cyanoacrylate (PHDCA) NPs to non-pegylated 20 PHDCA-NPs. The results revealed that, after incuba-21 tion with rat serum, apolipoprotein E (ApoE) 22 adsorbed more onto PEG-PHDCA than on PHDCA nanoparticles.68 23

24 As also remarked by Walkey and Chan, some 25 proteins adsorb abundantly to every nanomaterial, 26 while other proteins do not. The abundant proteins 27 in the HC are not always the same and it is strictly 28 dependent on the NP feature and experimental condi-29 tion adopted. It is also important to note that the 30 total number of unique proteins within the PC of any 31 nanomaterial is unknown. While LC-MS/MS is more 32 sensitive, and tends to detect more low abundance 33 proteins, neither PAGE nor LC-MS/MS is sensitive to the single molecule level.¹⁵ 34

35 Interestingly, a recent work proposed an up-36 graded method by combining SDS-PAGE/MS to 37 obtain time-resolved HC profiles formed on various NPs.⁵¹ Briefly, after NP incubation in protein contain-38 ing medium, NP-protein complexes were rapidly sepa-39 40 rated from unbound proteins by sedimentation 41 through a sucrose cushion, and washed to obtain the HC-NP complex. Subsequently, the protein desorption 42 43 and separation could be obtained via 1D SDS-PAGE 44 in association with an immunoblot analysis to identify 45 and (semi)-quantify the presence of specific corona 46 proteins. Alternatively, the authors proposed a protocols based on protein desorption and digestion with 47 48 trypsin followed by resolution of the obtained peptides 49 by high-resolution nanoscale ultra-performance liquid 50 chromatography on reversed-phase (C18) columns, 51 analyzed by ion mobility-enhanced data-independent 52 acquisition (DIA) MS. This complex protocol could 53 give interesting improvements since the sucrose cush-54 ion centrifugation method efficiently limits the contact time of NPs with the biological fluid of interest, render-57 ing analyses of short time periods feasible. Moreover, 58 59 the adapted label-free quantification by LC-MS (taken by the recently described ion mobility-enhanced, DIA-60 based label-free quantitative proteomics workflow of 61 Distler et al.)⁷⁸ allows reliable and highly reproducible 62 quantification of corona components. Moreover, the 63 authors specified that the protocol could be readily 64 extended to the investigation of PCs from various 65 nanomaterials, as confirmed by the application of this 66 protocol to different silica nanoparticles and polysty-67 rene nanoparticles.51,69 68

TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS IN SOFT CORONA STUDY

An overview of studies referring to SC characterization is reported in Table 4. In comparison with the HC, a limited number of methods for SC detection are available. As a consequence, poor knowledge concerning the SC is present.

The major drawback is the SC isolation. In fact, the common isolation methods, inevitably, stress the NP–PC complex resulting in a partial, or sometimes total, detachment of the SC. As a matter of fact, almost a totality of studies on the SC relied upon *in situ* techniques (previously described) and is mostly focused on the identification of the SC structure, with the exclusion of a few exceptionally complex experimental procedures (Figure 2). To-today SC characterization still represents an intriguing challenge.

SC Structure and Quantification

The major part of studies concerning the SC structure 91 provide the measurement of the total PC thickness 92 depleted of HC contribution. However, this indirect 93 94 measurement needs to be carefully evaluated in order to avoid unreliable results and therefore, presents 95 several criticisms such as the congruity of time, con-96 dition and methods of analysis for total PC and HC 97 thickness. In fact, it is obvious that the comparison 98 99 between in situ and ex situ measurements could provide only an approximation regarding the SC struc-100 ture since it is not possible to compare measurements 101 performed in a suspension medium with a different 102 diffraction index, a concept often neglected in some 103 PC studies.²² Considering this gap, a clear study of 104 the SC structure and thickness only by DLS analysis 105 is not an easy thing to manage. Schaffler et al. tried 106 to by-pass this gap by incubating gold NPs in a 107 diluted serum solution (1:100 in PBS buffer).⁷⁹ With 108 this protocol, the measurements performed in situ are 109 more comparable with the measurements performed 110

					Characteriz	ation Method of SC	Applied to:		
Aaterial	Size (nm) Z-Pot (mV)	Incubation Medium	Isolation Method	(i) Thickness	(ii) Protein Quantification	(iii) Binding Affinity/Protein Stoichiometry/ Protein Interaction	(iv) Protein Conformation (v)	Composition	References
	10 -45	Cellular medium with 10% FBS	_	DLS <i>in situ</i> (HC subtraction)	_	_	-		Casals et al. ²²
	5, 15, 80 —30/—40	Diluted mouse serun	/ u	DLS <i>in situ</i> TEM	1	1	1 1		Schaffler et al. ⁷
yrene (P), a (S)	100, 200 (P), 50 (S) -25/-50	НР	1	DCS	-		1		Walzyk et al. ²⁵
yrene (P), a (S)	200 -25	HP (different conc)	-	DCS	-		1		Monopoli et al.
M-BAM olymer	70	HDL suspension	-	,	_	Theoretic binding model confirmed by SPR	1		Dell'Orco et al. ^E
xyethyl ch	200/270 30	HP, HSA and Apo A- protein solution	1 /	טוג	1	ITC	1 1		Winzen et al. ⁶¹
M-BAM olymer	7/700 /	HP, HSA protein solution	SEC	-	-	ITC	/ SD	IS-PAGE, LC-MS	Cedervall et al.
-	15/30 30/45	HSA and IgG depleted	F4	_	-	F4	/ FC	-MS	Ashby et al. ¹⁸
-	18/38 26/+36	FBS	Magnetic separation	-	-		/ SD	IS-PAGE, LC-MS	Sakulkhu et al.

73

74

75 76



FIGURE 2 | Schematic illustration of soft corona (SC) studies. The major part of the studies are based on in situ approach (solid black arrow), with the exception of a few cases based on ex situ approach (dashed arrow). The information given by the SC analysis are more limited or completely missing in number if compared with HC analysis (if considering protein conformation analysis). Moreover, SC data are generally obtained by the measurement of the total PC subtracting the HC (as indicated by the double arrows in the upper part of the scheme).

after the centrifugation and re-suspension procedures. The data obtained from the incubation *in situ* experiment showed an increased hydrodynamic diameter of the gold NPs; this increase remained unchanged even after centrifugation and re-suspension. The lack of size reduction after centrifugation is interpreted as a technical limit of DLS in measuring the labile part of the PC (*alias* SC), as only the HC was detected before and after the purification processes.

30 Thus, in this case, DLS analysis is not strongly 31 affected by different incubation media diffraction 32 indices of, but the incubation in diluted plasma could 33 lead to an incomplete coverage of proteins around 34 the NPs. In fact, total plasma incubation better simu-35 lates the *in vivo* conditions that give a large excess of 36 proteins occurring to saturate the surface of NPs, 37 while a deficit of protein concentration in the incuba-38 tion medium can explain the behaviour of gold NPs.

39 DCS represents the other mainly elective 40 method to study the SC; with respect DLS, the DCS 41 technique is limited by the requirement of applying a mathematic model. For example, aiming to identify a 42 43 reliable size by DCS, one must know the shape and 44 internal density distribution of each aggregate. To 45 overcome this problem, researchers present their data 46 by correlating the equivalent diameters for spheres of 47 homogeneous density and relative 'apparent' molecular weight.²⁵ Thus, the 'true' size of the NP-protein 48 49 complex and the corona size were computed on mon-50 omeric NPs-protein complexes using a simple core-51 shell model of two densities (bare particle material 52 density and adsorbed protein-biomolecule density). 53 This core-shell model is generally the most used for these experiments.^{81,82} It is a simple model to analyze 54

data for shell-coated particles and to get an estima-77 78 tion of the shell thickness. In this case, the shell is 79 represented by the PC. In this study, the PC of polystyrene NPs was measured under several different 80 conditions including full plasma (diluted in PBS), 81 82 after washing, centrifugation, and re-suspension in PBS buffer, thus enabling washing-off of the excess 83 84 (unbound or loosely bound) proteins. In the presence of excess plasma, these different experimental condi-85 tions allowed the authors to draw connections 86 between the NP-corona complexes in isolation and 87 in situ, to finally refer to the presence of the SC. The 88 89 DCS method was applied in the experiment on both silica and polystyrene NPs after plasma incubation.²⁴ 90 91 Interestingly, after centrifugation no difference in NP size was reported, but only a reduction of the PC 92 93 thickness, inversely proportional to the plasma con-94 centration, was observed.

Overall, the experiments described above 95 clearly showed that, independent of the NP charac-96 teristics (material composition, size) and incubation 97 conditions (time and temperature), both techniques 98 99 (DLS and DCS) are able to describe the increase in NP diameter after plasma incubation. However, dis-100 criminating between HC and SC is not always so 101 clear. In opposition with Casal's work, Schaffler's 102 group declared the impossibility to measure the SC 103 by DLS.⁷⁹ Other researchers²⁵ tried to calculate the 104 SC contribution in PC thickness by an indirect 105 method in which the diameter of the HC, calculated 106 by core-shell model, was subtracted from the total 107 diameter is measured by DCS; in this experiment, 108 data seemed to support the efficacy of DCS method 109 for SC structure determination. 110

1 Generally, the experimental data related to SC 2 analyses appear discordant, and the differences in the 3 definition of the SC (as debated above in paragraph 4 2) strongly generate confusion and, as a consequence, 5 different manners of interpreting the data. Similarly, the discrepancy of results concerning the SC is cer-6 7 tainly due to the lack of a fine method of detection, 8 able to appreciate slight differences in terms of size as 9 well as to monitor the quickly evolving and mutable 10 binding states of the SC. Moreover, it is interesting 11 to note that the major part of this investigation on 12 SC structure belongs to experiments on inorganic 13 NPs such as SPION, silica and gold NPs. The major 14 dispersion in size distribution of organic polymeric 15 NPs is probably one of the critical point that limits 16 PC studies on these particles; data relating their 17 in vivo behaviour are poor or totally lacking. 18 Researching a reliable method to discriminate the SC 19 contribution to the total PC structure is actually an 20 urgent issue, especially for organic polymer NPs.

Binding Affinities and Stoichiometry of Proteins in the SC

25 The binding and dissociation rates of proteins to NPs 26 are surely critical parameters for their biological fate. 27 It is widely accepted that the tightly associated pro-28 teins of the HC (with slow exchange rate) may follow 29 the particle during the endocytosis process, while 30 proteins of SC (with fast exchange rate) are quickly 31 replaced by the intracellular proteins, during or immediately after endocytosis.⁸³ As a consequence, 32 33 the SC is generally considered less relevant in govern-34 ing the functional response of NPs. However, the 35 biological outcome may differ if, not only endocytosis process, but also the relative protein exchange 36 37 rates between NPs and cellular receptors, are consid-38 ered. Because the protein-ligand complexes typically display lifetimes from microseconds to days,¹⁷ it is 39 40 feasible that the fast exchanging proteins of the SC 41 could be strongly involved in determining the biologi-42 cal fate of a NP, even if the rates of association and 43 dissociation are likely to vary quite considerably 44 depending on the protein and particle type.

As previously reported, methods are generally oriented to compare the protein exchange rates of the total PC; in this paragraph, we principally discuss studies dealing with protein binding affinity in the total corona, as the discrimination for SC proteins could only be hypothesized from the total PC analysis.

52 In this way, a mathematical dynamic model 53 was developed aiming to predict the time evolution 54 and equilibrium composition of the total PC based

on protein affinities, stoichiometries, and rate con-57 stants. The authors applied both the theoretical 58 model and experiment procedures (by SPR technique) 59 to polymeric NPs (NIPAM/BAM) interacting with 60 three model proteins [HSA, high-density lipoprotein 61 (HDL) and fibrinogen]. Experiments indicated that 62 the PC evolves with time (as predicted by the model), 63 with evidence of HSA presence in the SC and HDL 64 presence in HC.⁸⁰ These findings nicely correlated 65 with the results previously described on the charac-66 terization of the PC binding affinity, where HSA 67 showed low binding affinity (ascribable to the SC) 68 around hydroxyethyl starch nanocapsules.⁶¹ 69

Moreover, the protein binding affinity study 70 71 can be helpful to describe how some NP features could affect the protein exchange rate of the PC. In 72 an elegant study, Cedervall et al., using SEC and ITC 73 74 techniques, investigated the impact of different copol-75 ymer ratios and different rates of hydrophilicity/ hydrophobicity of NIPAM-BAM NPs on the associa-76 tion and dissociation of HSA and fibrinogen. Results 77 suggested that protein dissociation is affected by the 78 79 surface properties of NPs (exposition of functional groups, hydrophilic/hydrophobic surface balance) 80 and in particular, dissociation was faster considering 81 the hydrophobic particles.¹⁷ 82

However, most of the kinetic modeling of corona 83 complex formation is operated through *in silico* stud-84 ies. Mathematical modeling helps to learn principles 85 and to develop quantitative approaches that cannot be 86 experimentally extracted. Moreover, mathematical 87 models provide quali/quantitative endpoints, useful for 88 89 the design and evaluation of experiments. In this view, different approaches in the literature are proposed. For 90 example, Darabi Sahneh et al. presented a model to 91 describe two-phases of corona complex dynamics, 92 based on two formulae that predict corona composi-93 tion of simulations through insertion of appropriate 94 95 parameters depending on features of the NPs.⁸⁴ The authors assert that one potential application of this 96 97 model would involve a single cell culture medium 98 related to a complex protein medium, such as blood or tissue fluid. On the contrary, Vilaseca et al. simulated 99 molecular dynamics to study the surface adsorption of 100 proteins. The authors reduced the complexity of a full 101 modeling by approximating protein molecules as sin-102 gle, rigid entities. Kinetic modeling of the corona com-103 plex formation process dramatically decreases 104 computational cost, though adopting several simplify-105 ing assumptions. Finally, in silico analysis can be 106 applied to predict the final in vivo response of NP-PC 107 complexes.85 108

In silico prediction analyses were also applied 109 to predict the evolution and subcellular distribution 110

of NPs in living cells^{84,86,87}; the interactions between
 NP–PC complexes and cellular membranes were
 investigated showing that the PC may enhance phag ocytosis of positively charged NPs, but also cause the
 loss of targeting activity of both hydrophobic and
 positively charged NPs towards cancer cells.

Protein Conformation and Composition of the SC

11 As previously reported, the studies on protein confor-12 mation are all referred to the HC. In the SC, the proteins, loosely bonded to the NPs surface or 13 14 displaying weak interaction with HC, are character-15 ized by a fast dissociation rate, making the detection 16 and full characterization of structural changes partic-17 ularly difficult. Similarly, only a few papers describe 18 the composition of the SC and generally, complex 19 experimental procedures are required to recognize 20 the proteins involved.

AQ5 21 Ashby et al. (2013) presented an alternative method to analyse the SC consisting of the flow field-22 flow fraction (F4) technique.¹⁸ Upon incubation with 23 24 depleted serum (human serum without albumin or 25 IgG), half of the NPs were centrifuged for co-26 precipitation of 'all' bound proteins. The other half 27 was injected on the F4-column to remove proteins 28 bound with fast exchange kinetics (SC), thus leaving 29 only the proteins bound with slow exchange kinetics 30 (HC) to be co-isolated with the SPIONs. The proteins 31 collected with the SPIONs were digested and ana-32 lyzed by two-dimensional PAGE and nano-LC-MS/ 33 MS for identification. Through mass identification of 34 the total protein after NP-PC complex centrifugation 35 and subtracting the protein identified on the surface 36 of the NPs after F4-column elution, the authors sup-37 ply a list of proteins characterizing the SC.

38 Surely, this method permits the discrimination 39 of those proteins binding with fast exchanging kinet-40 ics, belonging to the SC, but on the other hand it appears debatable that 'all' the proteins forming the 41 PC can be isolated by centrifugation. Confirming this 42 43 lack of clearness, other authors assessed that centrifu-44 gation of the NP-PC complex inevitably leads to a 45 perturbation of the system and, as a consequence, to the partial loss of the loosely binding proteins.^{17,88} 46

47 Alternatively, Sakulkhu et al. proposed a differ-48 ent approach regarding SPION-PC characterization. 49 After the incubation of NPs in serum, SPIONs sur-50 rounded by the PC were entrapped into a magnetic 51 column and the protein was eluted by means of vari-52 ous buffers with different ionic strengths, in order to separate the proteins from the NPs.¹⁴ In particular, 53 to investigate the SC, the researchers applied a first 54

wash with PBS to separate loosely bound proteins, 57 followed by washes with solutions of up to 2 M KCl. 58 59 Finally, those proteins which remained bound to the NPs were called 'tightly bound' proteins. In this way, 60 the final result is a triple partition of the total PC: 61 SC, HC and 'tightly bound'. Each elution fraction 62 was analyzed by SDS-PAGE coupled to LC-MS/MS 63 to protein identification. This technical strategy 64 allowed for a fine characterization of the whole PC 65 in general, but in particular, permitted the investiga-66 tion of the SC composition. Indeed, the magnetic sep-67 aration technique and the magnetic properties of 68 SPIONs are useful to overcome problems of SC isola-69 70 tion in order to characterize its composition, but, on 71 the other hand, this technique can inevitably only be appreciated for a few fields of application. The 72 73 obtained results, reported in the article, showed that 74 'tightly bound' proteins were observed only on negatively charged PVA-coated SPIONs after the strong 75 protein elution. The triple partition of total PC repre-76 sents a novelty in this research field and, one more 77 78 time, is proof of the great confusion about appropri-79 ately defining the PC. Nevertheless, the work of Sakulkhu et al. is well organized; no parameters exist 80 to establish what are the HC, SC and 'tightly bound' 81 proteins. Thus, the triple partition of the article 82 results are arbitrary and non-comparable with other 83 articles in which only a bi-partition of the total PC is 84 present.81 85

Apart from SPIONs, the only characterization 86 attempt for SC composition can be ascribed to Cedervall et al.¹⁷ Using SEC, researchers were able to 87 88 distinguish both fast and slow components of the PC 89 (as discussed above in relationship with binding 90 91 affinity of SC proteins). Furthermore, they also were able to collect the proteins with fast exchange rate 92 93 (ascribable to the SC) and characterize them through 94 SDS-PAGE. In this manner, they compared the NPassociated protein received after centrifugation and 95 after SEC isolation. In particular, through SEC pro-96 97 tein isolation, they found that HSA and fibrinogen 98 concentrations dominate on the particle surface. On 99 the contrary, apolipoprotein A-I (a lower plasma abundant protein with higher affinity and slower 100 kinetics ascribable to the HC) was the most abun-101 dant protein recovered after centrifugation.¹⁷ 102

INSIDE THE METHODS: LIMITATIONS AND ADVANTAGES

Aiming to analyse the HC composition, the choice 108 between ex-particle or on-particle approaches still 109 remain an open issue. As confirmation of this 110

103

104

105

106

72

73

88

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

1 uncertainty, some authors described some defects 2 and limitation of the ex-particle approach, especially 3 if the proteins are only identified by 2D-PAGE after the desorption step.⁵⁷ In their opinion, the ex-particle 4 approach can easily allow a sample contamination 5 6 by albumin (the most abundant protein in plasma). 7 Furthermore, 2D-PAGE bases protein identification 8 on the comparison of the respective spot positions 9 with a standard reference map. This methodology 10 may lead to misinterpretation of the data due to 11 spots overlapping, especially with complex pro-12 teomes, like human plasma. Besides this limitation, it 13 is true that the ex-particle method allows better sam-14 ple fractionation as well as multiple analyses 15 (i.e., electrophoresis tandem-mass spectroscopy) that 16 lead to a major number of recognized proteins.

17 So, the main drawback on HC analysis is not 18 regarding the kind of approach (on-particle or ex-19 particle), but how to obtain a solid result independ-20 ently from the chosen approach. In this way, a 21 multiple-technique protocol (i.e., electrophoresis + 22 mass spectroscopy, or chromatography + electropho-23 resis) can represent a good solution.

24 Beside this aspect, the overlap of different tech-25 niques of investigation and advances in instrument 26 technologies and software has allowed to reach an 27 earlier mapping of the HC of different NPs on the 28 basis of size and material composition. There are 29 review-tables in which it is possible to recognize dif-30 ferent type of NPs and the related identified proteins of the HC.⁸⁹ One of the most important aspects and 31 32 findings is the reproducibility of the data on compa-33 rable NPs exposed to similar incubation condition 34 showing comparable results in terms of the HC 35 composition.

36 Regarding the SC analysis method, a shortage 37 of investigative methods and, as a consequence, 38 shortage of available data concerning the SC, does 39 not allow speculation on the weakness and strengths 40 of the analysis and of the resulting data. In particular 41 poor specificity, low reproducible rate of results and 42 poor applicability-range of some techniques designed 43 'ad hoc' for specific typologies of NPs represent the 44 most important limitations.

45 Finally, it is worth to mention that almost all 46 the studies concerning HC and SC have been carried 47 out in vitro. This is mainly due to the difficulty of 48 capturing NPs after administration. Nevertheless, the 49 importance to understand structure-activity relations 50 linking NPs and proteins adsorbed on their surface 51 to physiological responses is needed for effective bio-52 medical application of NPs. Improving the ability to 53 predict the biological outcomes of NPs will speed up 54 their translation to the clinic. As a matter of facts,

the recognition of specific sequences of peptides 57 drives key biological processes, such as receptor-58 59 mediated cellular association, particle retention in tissues and organs, and ability (or inability) to cross 60 biological barriers. To date, we are still unable to 61 decipher the mechanisms regulating the interaction 62 between PC-covered NPs and biological systems and 63 more studies are needed. Deciphering the biological 64 recognition between PC proteins and cell receptors 65 could help us understand exactly how protein-66 decorated NPs interact with cells and biological bar-67 riers, potentially activating different biological 68 pathways.⁹⁰ 69

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTIVE

74 The last 20 years of research in nanomedicines have 75 taught us that the composition of the nanoparticle 76 itself was the most important keystone impacting the 77 destiny of NPs. Nowadays, we must be aware that 78 nanoparticles are not only formed by 'polymers and 79 drugs', but are associated with proteins, stably or 80 weakly adsorbed onto their surface. Nanoparticles 81 and their PC are new 'biological entities'.⁹¹ These 82 interactions strongly impacts (maybe more than the 83 composition of the nanoparticles, size, and shapes) 84 their safety and functionality performances. 85

Since this concept is relatively new, a number 86 of issues are now up for debate: 87

- 1. Is the physiological response of a nanomedicine89(meaning drug delivery system + associated90PC) influenced by the whole PC or only a91subset?92
- 2. Are the protein belonging to the SC implicated in physiological response or not?
- 3. Are the technologies suitable and sufficient to discriminate and describe the HC, SC, or both?
- 4. What competences and skills are needed to completely understand the impact of the PC on the destiny of nanomedicines?

To-date, many of these questions are almost completely unsolved, but some indications and future102103103direction could be hypothesized.104

Firstly, it is reliable that the whole PC influences the biodistribution of PC–NPs complexes, but it could be hypothesized that some specific NP tropisms or accumulation could be due to a selective interaction of a subset of the associated PC with specific cells or receptors. 105

72

73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

103 AQ7

104

105

57

1 Moreover, the most debated aspect of the PC 'area' is the role of the SC and HC in determining 3 biological effects. Some authors hypothesize that the SC is not pivotal in governing the biological destiny of nanomedicines, other authors are fully convinced of the contrary while some others researchers described the role of the SC of a minor importance with respect to the role of the HC.

A clear knowledge of these aspects is critical since it could strongly help in designing nanomaterials able to interact with proteins and cells in a controlled way.^{92,93} As a consequence of the lack of this knowledge, most nanomedicine are created specifically aiming to suppress protein adsorption. This would reduce off-target cell uptake, but also lowers targeting efficiency.^{94,95}

Another important lack in PC research is connected with technologies. PC-NPs complexes could be characterized by integrating information on morphology (imaging-spectroscopy-scattering based techniques)⁴¹ and on structure/composition of the PC 63 (cryo-electron microscopy and protein crystallogra-64 phy).¹⁵ Thus, multi-disciplinary approaches are 65 needed in order to obtain much more information 66 about the PC and its properties to fully understand 67 the real impact of the PC on nanomedicines, and 68 69 therefore to better support a more safety and conscious application of nanotechnology in medicine. 70 71

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully thanks to Dr. J. T. Duskey for English revision and also University of Modena and Reggio Emilia for grant FAR 2014 (Single Particle Tracking: nanomedicine and quantum dots) for supporting research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Elsabahy M, Wooley KL. Design of polymeric nanoparticles for biomedical delivery applications. Chem Soc Rev 2012, 41:2545-2561.
- 2. Aggarwal P, Hall McLeland CB, JB, Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Nanoparticle interaction with plasma proteins as it relates to particle biodistribution, biocompatibility and therapeutic efficacy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009, 61:428-437.
- 3. Caracciolo G. Liposome-protein corona in a physiological environment: challenges and opportunities for targeted delivery of nanomedicines. Nanomedicine 2015, 11:543-557.
- 4. Foroozandeh P, Aziz AA. Merging worlds of nanomaterials and biological environment: factors governing protein corona formation on nanoparticles and its biological consequences. Nanoscale Res Lett 2015, 10:221.
- 5. Gunawan C, Lim M, Marquis CP, Amal R. Nanoparticle-protein corona complexes govern the biological fates and functions of nanoparticles. J Mater Chem B 2014, 2:2060.
- 6. Karmali PP, Simberg D. Interactions of nanoparticles 48 with plasma proteins: implication on clearance and 49 toxicity of drug delivery systems. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2011, 8:343-357. 50
- 51 7. Kumar A, Bicer EM, Morgan AB, Pfeffer PE, 52 Monopoli M, Dawson KA, Eriksson J, Edwards K, 53 Lynham S, Arno M, et al. Enrichment of immunoregu-54 latory proteins in the biomolecular corona of

nanoparticles within human respiratory tract lining fluid. Nanomedicine 2016, 12:1033-1043.

- 8. Wolfram J, Suri K, Yang Y, Shen J, Celia C, Fresta M, Zhao Y, Shen H, Ferrari M. Shrinkage of pegylated and non-pegylated liposomes in serum. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2014, 114:294-300.
- 9. Corbo C, Molinaro R, Parodi A, Furman NET, Salvatore F, Tasciotti E. The impact of nanoparticle protein corona on cytotoxicity, immunotoxicity and target drug delivery. Nanomedicine 2016, 11:81-100.
- 10. Duran N, Silveira CP, Duran M, Martinez DS. Silver nanoparticle protein corona and toxicity: a minireview. J Nanobiotechnol 2015, 13:55.
- 11. Fleischer CC, Payne CK. Nanoparticle-cell interactions: molecular structure of the protein corona and cellular Acc Chem Res outcomes. 2014, 47:2651-2659.
- 99 12. Lee YK, Choi EJ, Webster TJ, Kim SH, Khang D. Effect of the protein corona on nanoparticles for mod-100 ulating cytotoxicity and immunotoxicity. Int J Nano-101 medicine 2015, 10:97-113. 102
- 13. Rahman M, Laurent S, Tawil N, Yahia LH, Mahmoudi M. Nanoparticle and Protein Corona, vol. 15. XXX: XXX; 2013, 21-44.
- 106 14. Sakulkhu U, Mahmoudi M, Maurizi L, Salaklang J, 107 Hofmann H. Protein corona composition of superpar-108 amagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with various 109 physico-chemical properties and coatings. Sci Rep 2014, 4:5020. 110

58

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

- 1 15. Walkey CD, Chan WC. Understanding and controlling 2 the interaction of nanomaterials with proteins in a 3 physiological environment. Chem Soc Rev 2012, 41:2780-2799. 4
- 16. Norde W. Protein adsorption at solid surfaces: a thermodynamic approach. Pure Appl Chem 1994, 6 66:491-496.
- 17. Cedervall T, Lynch I, Lindman S, Berggard T, 8 Thulin E, Nilsson H, Dawson KA, Linse S. Under-9 standing the nanoparticle-protein corona using meth-10 ods to quantify exchange rates and affinities of 11 proteins for nanoparticles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007, 104:2050-2055.
 - 18. Ashby J, Pan S, Zhong W. Size and surface functionalization of iron oxide nanoparticles influence the composition and dynamic nature of their protein corona. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2014, 6:15412–15419.
- 17 19. Simberg D, Park JH, Karmali PP, Zhang WM, 18 Merkulov S, McCrae K, Bhatia SN, Sailor M, 19 Ruoslahti E. Differential proteomics analysis of the 20 surface heterogeneity of dextran iron oxide nanoparti-21 cles and the implications for their in vivo clearance. 22 Biomaterials 2009, 30:3926-3933.
- 23 20. Lynch I, Cedervall T, Lundqvist M, Cabaleiro-Lago C, 24 Linse S, Dawson KA. The nanoparticle-protein com-25 plex as a biological entity; a complex fluids and surface science challenge for the 21st century. Adv 26 Colloid Interface Sci 2007, 134-135:167-174. 27
- 28 21. Sund J, Alenius H, Vippola M, Savolainen K, 29 Puustinen A. Proteomic characterization of engineered nanomaterial-protein interactions in relation to surface 30 reactivity. ACS Nano 2011, 5:4300-4309. 31
- 22. Casals E, Pfaller T, Duschl A, Oostingh GJ, Puntes V. 32 Time evolution of the nanoparticle protein corona. 33 ACS Nano 2010, 4:3623-3632. 34
- 23. Lundqvist M, Stigler J, Elia G, Lynch I, Cedervall T, 35 Dawson KA. Nanoparticle size and surface properties 36 determine the protein corona with possible implica-37 tions for biological impacts. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A 38 2008, 105:14265-14270. 39
- 24. Monopoli MP, Walczyk D, Campbell A, Elia G, 40 Lynch I, Bombelli FB, Dawson KA. Physical-chemical 41 aspects of protein corona: relevance to in vitro and 42 in vivo biological impacts of nanoparticles. J Am 43 Chem Soc 2011, 133:2525-2534. 44
- 25. Walczyk D, Bombelli FB, Monopoli MP, Lynch I, 45 Dawson KA. What the cell "sees" in bionanoscience. 46 J Am Chem Soc 2010, 132:5761–5768.
- 47 26. Cedervall T, Lynch I, Foy M, Berggard T, Donnelly SC, Cagney G, Linse S, Dawson KA. 48 49 Detailed identification of plasma proteins adsorbed on 50 copolymer nanoparticles. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 51 2007, 46:5754–5756.
- 52 27. Messaud FA, Sanderson RD, Runvon JR, Otte T, 53 Pasch H, Williams SKR. An overview on field-flow 54 fractionation techniques and their applications in the

separation and characterization of polymers. Prog Polym Sci 2009, 34:351-368.

- 59 28. Maiorano G, Sabella S, Sorce B, Brunetti V, 60 Malvindi MA, Cingolani R, Pompa PP. Effects of cell culture media on the dynamic formation of protein 61 -nanoparticle complexes and influence on the cellular 62 response. ACS Nano 2010, 4:7481-7491. 63
- 29. Fischer K, Schmidt M. Pitfalls and novel applications 64 of particle sizing by dynamic light scattering. Bioma-65 terials 2016, 98:79-91. 66
- 30. Mahmoudi M, Abdelmonem AM, Behzadi S, Clement JH, Dutz S, Ejtehadi MR, Hartmann R, Kantner K, Linne U, Maffre P. Temperature: the "ignored" factor at the nanobio interface. ACS Nano 2013, 7:6555-6562.
- 31. Chutipongtanate S, Watcharatanyatip K, Homvises T, Jaturongkakul K, Thongboonkerd V. Systematic comparisons of various spectrophotometric and colorimetric methods to measure concentrations of protein, peptide and amino acid: detectable limits, linear dynamic ranges, interferences, practicality and unit costs. Talanta 2012, 98:123-129.
- 32. Gessner A, Lieske A, Paulke BR, Müller RH. Functional groups on polystyrene model nanoparticles: influence on protein adsorption. J Biomed Mater Res A 2003, 65:319–326.
- 33. Goppert TM, Muller RH. Protein adsorption patterns on poloxamer- and poloxamine-stabilized solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN). Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2005, 60:361-372.
- 34. Sohaebuddin SK, Thevenot PT, Baker D, Eaton JW, Tang L. Nanomaterial cytotoxicity is composition, size, and cell type dependent. Part Fibre Toxicol 2010, 7:1.
- 35. Arvizo RR, Giri K, Moyano D, Miranda OR, Madden B, McCormick DJ, Bhattacharya R, Rotello VM, Kocher JP, Mukherjee P. Identifying new therapeutic targets via modulation of protein corona formation by engineered nanoparticles. PLoS One 2012, 7:e33650.
- 96 36. Mbeh DA, Javanbakht T, Tabet L, Merhi Y, 97 Maghni K, Sacher E, Yahia LH. Protein corona forma-98 tion on magnetite nanoparticles: effects of culture 99 medium composition, and its consequences on superparamagnetic nanoparticle cytotoxicity. J Biomed 100 Nanotechnol 2015, 11:828-840. 101
- 102 37. Clemments AM, Botella P, Landry CC. Protein adsorption from biofluids on silica nanoparticles: corona 103 analysis as a function of particle diameter and poros-104 ity. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2015, 7:21682-21689. 105
- 38. Elson EL. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy: past, 106 present, future. Biophys J 2011, 101:2855-2870. 107
- 108 39. Nienhaus GU, Maffre P, Nienhaus K. Studying the 109 protein corona on nanoparticles by FCS. Methods Enzymol 2013, 519:115-137. 110

5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 40. Hong P, Koza S, Bouvier ES. Size-exclusion chromatography for the analysis of protein biotherapeutics and their aggregates. J Lig Chromatogr Relat Technol 2012, 35:2923-2950.
- 41. Mahmoudi M, Lynch I, Ejtehadi MR, Monopoli MP, Bombelli FB, Laurent S. Protein-nanoparticle interactions: opportunities and challenges. Chem Rev 2011, 111:5610-5637.
- 42. Teichroeb JH, Forrest JA, Jones LW. Size-dependent denaturing kinetics of bovine serum albumin adsorbed onto gold nanospheres. Eur. Phys. J. E 2008, 26:411-415.
- 43. Kaufman ED, Belyea J, Johnson MC, Nicholson ZM, Ricks JL, Shah PK, Bayless M, Pettersson T, Feldotö Z, Blomberg E. Probing protein adsorption onto mercaptoundecanoic acid stabilized gold nanoparticles and surfaces by quartz crystal microbalance and ζ-potential measurements. Langmuir 2007, 23:6053-6062.
- 44. Lundqvist M, Sethson I, Jonsson B-H. Protein adsorption onto silica nanoparticles: conformational changes depend on the particles' curvature and the protein stability. Langmuir 2004, 20:10639-10647.
- 45. Norde W, Giacomelli CE. BSA structural changes during homomolecular exchange between the adsorbed and the dissolved states. J Biotechnol 2000, 79:259-268.
- 27 46. Shang L, Wang Y, Jiang J, Dong S. pH-dependent pro-28 tein conformational changes in albumin: gold nanopar-29 ticle bioconjugates: a spectroscopic study. Langmuir 2007, 23:2714-2721. 30
- 31 47. Brandes N, Welzel PB, Werner C, Kroh LW. Adsorp-32 tion-induced conformational changes of proteins onto ceramic particles: differential scanning calorimetry and 33 FTIR analysis. J Colloid Interface Sci 2006, 34 299:56-69. 35
- 48. Lacerda SHDP, Park JJ, Meuse C, Pristinski D, 36 Becker ML, Karim A, Douglas JF. Interaction of gold 37 nanoparticles with common human blood proteins. 38 ACS Nano 2010, 4:365-379. 39
- 49. Lück M, Pistel K-F, Li Y-X, Blunk T, Müller RH, 40 Kissel T. Plasma protein adsorption on biodegradable 41 microspheres consisting of poly(d, l-lactide-co-glyco-42 lide), poly(l-lactide) or ABA triblock copolymers con-43 taining poly (oxyethylene): influence of production 44 method and polymer composition. J Control Release 45 1998, 55:107-120.
- 46 50. Gessner A, Lieske A, Paulke BR, Müller RH. Influence 47 of surface charge density on protein adsorption on pol-48 ymeric nanoparticles: analysis by two-dimensional 49 electrophoresis. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 2002, 50 54:165-170.
- 51 51. Docter D, Distler U, Storck W, Kuharev J, Wunsch D, 52 Hahlbrock A, Knauer SK, Tenzer S, Stauber RH. 53 Quantitative profiling of the protein coronas that form 54 around nanoparticles. Nat Protoc 2014, 9:2030-2044.

- 52. Tenzer S, Docter D, Rosfa S, Wlodarski A, Jr K, 57 Rekik A, Knauer SK, Bantz C, Nawroth T, Bier C. 58 Nanoparticle size is a critical physicochemical determi-59 nant of the human blood plasma corona: a comprehen-60 sive quantitative proteomic analysis. ACS Nano 2011, 61 5:7155-7167. 62
- 53. Zhang H, Burnum KE, Luna ML, Petritis BO, Kim JS, Qian WJ, Moore RJ, Heredia-Langner A, Webb-Robertson BJ, Thrall BD, et al. Quantitative proteomics analysis of adsorbed plasma proteins classifies nanoparticles with different surface properties and size. Proteomics 2011, 11:4569-4577.
- 54. Lundqvist M, Stigler J, Cedervall T, Berggård T, Flanagan MB, Lynch I, Elia G, Dawson K. The evolution of the protein corona around nanoparticles: a test study. ACS Nano 2011, 5:7503-7509.
- 55. Dobrovolskaia MA, Patri AK, Zheng J, Clogston JD, Ayub N, Aggarwal P, Neun BW, Hall JB, McNeil SE. Interaction of colloidal gold nanoparticles with human blood: effects on particle size and analysis of plasma protein binding profiles. Nanomedicine 2009. 5:106-117.
- 56. Pozzi D, Caracciolo G, Capriotti AL, Cavaliere C, La Barbera G, Anchordoquy TJ, Laganà A. Surface chemistry and serum type both determine the nanoparticleprotein corona. J Proteomics 2015, 119:209-217.
- 57. Sempf K, Arrey T, Gelperina S, Schorge T, Meyer B, 82 Karas M, Kreuter J. Adsorption of plasma proteins on 83 uncoated PLGA nanoparticles. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 84 2013, 85:53-60. 85
- 58. Wang G, Papasani MR, Cheguru P, Hrdlicka PJ, Hill RA. Gold-peptide nanoconjugate cellular uptake is modulated by serum proteins. Nanomedicine 2012, 88 8:822-832.
- 59. Yang JA, Johnson BJ, Wu S, Woods WS, George JM, Murphy CJ. Study of wild-type alpha-synuclein binding and orientation on gold nanoparticles. Langmuir 2013, 29:4603-4615.
- 60. Milani S, Baldelli Bombelli F, Pitek AS, Dawson KA, Rädler J. Reversible versus irreversible binding of transferrin to polystyrene nanoparticles: soft and hard corona. ACS Nano 2012, 6:2532-2541.
- 61. Winzen S, Schoettler S, Baier G, Rosenauer C, Mailaender V, Landfester K, Mohr K. Complementary analysis of the hard and soft protein corona: sample preparation critically effects corona composition. Nanoscale 2015, 7:2992-3001.
- 62. Natte K, Friedrich JF, Wohlrab S, Lutzki J, von Klitzing R, Osterle W, Orts-Gil G. Impact of polymer shell on the formation and time evolution of nanoparticle-protein corona. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2013, 104:213-220.
- 107 63. Wangoo N, Suri CR, Shekhawat G. Interaction of gold 108 nanoparticles with protein: A spectroscopic study to 109 monitor protein conformational changes. Appl Phys Lett 2008, 92:133104. 110

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

86

87

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

105

- 64. Mahmoudi M, Shokrgozar MA, Sardari S, 1 2 Moghadam MK, Vali H, Laurent S, Stroeve P. Irre-3 versible changes in protein conformation due to interaction with superparamagnetic iron oxide 4 nanoparticles. Nanoscale 2011, 3:1127-1138. 5
- 6 65. Ma Z, Bai J, Wang Y, Jiang X. Impact of shape and pore size of mesoporous silica nanoparticles on serum protein adsorption and RBCs hemolysis. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2014, 6:2431–2438.
- 66. Turci F, Ghibaudi E, Colonna M, Boscolo B,
 Fenoglio I, Fubini B. An integrated approach to the
 study of the interaction between proteins and nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 2010, 26:8336–8346.
- 67. Gheshlaghi ZN, Riazi GH, Ahmadian S, Ghafari M,
 Mahinpour R. Toxicity and interaction of titanium
 dioxide nanoparticles with microtubule protein. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin* 2008, 40:777–782.
- 68. Kim HR, Andrieux K, Delomenie C, Chacun H,
 Appel M, Desmaele D, Taran F, Georgin D,
 Couvreur P, Taverna M. Analysis of plasma protein
 adsorption onto PEGylated nanoparticles by complementary methods: 2-DE, CE and protein lab-on-chip
 system. *Electrophoresis* 2007, 28:2252–2261.
- 69. Tenzer S, Docter D, Kuharev J, Musyanovych A, Fetz V, Hecht R, Schlenk F, Fischer D, Kiouptsi K, Reinhardt C, et al. Rapid formation of plasma protein corona critically affects nanoparticle pathophysiology. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2013, 8:772–781.
- 70. Thode K, Lück M, Semmler W, Müller RH, Kresse M. Determination of plasma protein adsorption on magnetic iron oxides: sample preparation. *Pharm Res* 1997, 14:905–910.
- 71. Brun E, Sicard-Roselli C. Could nanoparticle corona characterizationhelp for biological consequence prediction? *Cancer Nanotechnol* 2014, 5:13.
- Caracciolo G, Cardarelli F, Pozzi D, Salomone F, Maccari G, Bardi G, Capriotti AL, Cavaliere C, Papi M, Lagana A. Selective targeting capability acquired with a protein corona adsorbed on the surface of 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium propane/ DNA nanoparticles. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2013, 5:13171–13179.
- 73. Caracciolo G, Pozzi D, Capriotti AL, Cavaliere C, Piovesana S, La Barbera G, Amici A, Laganà A. The liposome-protein corona in mice and humans and its implications for in vivo delivery. *J Mater Chem B* 2014, 2:7419–7428.
- 74. O'Connell DJ, Bombelli FB, Pitek AS, Monopoli MP, Cahill DJ, Dawson KA. Characterization of the bionano interface and mapping extrinsic interactions of the corona of nanomaterials. *Nanoscale* 2015, 7:15268–15276.
- 52 75. Ritz S, Schottler S, Kotman N, Baier G,
 53 Musyanovych A, Kuharev J, Landfester K, Schild H,
 54 Jahn O, Tenzer S, et al. Protein corona of

nanoparticles: distinct proteins regulate the cellular 57 uptake. *Biomacromolecules* 2015, 16:1311–1321. 58

- 76. Verma A, Stellacci F. Effect of surface properties on 59 nanoparticle-cell interactions. *Small* 2010, 6:12–21. 60
- 77. Worrall JW, Verma A, Yan H, Rotello VM. "Cleaning" of nanoparticle inhibitors via proteolysis of adsorbed proteins. *Chem Commun* 2006:2338–2340.
- 78. Distler U, Kuharev J, Navarro P, Levin Y, Schild H, Tenzer S. Drift time-specific collision energies enable deep-coverage data-independent acquisition proteomics. *Nat Methods* 2014, 11:167–170.
- 79. Schaffler M, Semmler-Behnke M, Sarioglu H, 68 Takenaka S, Wenk A, Schleh C, Hauck SM, 69 Johnston BD, Kreyling WG. Serum protein identification and quantification of the corona of 5, 15 and 71 80 nm gold nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology* 2013, 72 24:265103.
- Dell'Orco D, Lundqvist M, Oslakovic C, Cedervall T, Linse S. Modeling the time evolution of the nanoparticle-protein corona in a body fluid. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e10949.
- Docter D, Westmeier D, Markiewicz M, Stolte S, Knauer SK, Stauber RH. The nanoparticle biomolecule corona: lessons learned – challenge accepted? *Chem Soc Rev* 2015, 44:6094–6121.
- 82. Pitek AS, O'Connell D, Mahon E, Monopoli MP, Baldelli Bombelli F, Dawson KA. Transferrin coated nanoparticles: study of the bionano interface in human plasma. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e40685.
- Barran-Berdon AL, Pozzi D, Caracciolo G, Capriotti AL, Caruso G, Cavaliere C, Riccioli A, Palchetti S, Lagana A. Time evolution of nanoparticleprotein corona in human plasma: relevance for targeted drug delivery. *Langmuir* 2013, 29:6485–6494.
- Darabi Sahneh F, Scoglio C, Riviere J. Dynamics of nanoparticle-protein corona complex formation: analytical results from population balance equations. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e64690.
- 85. Vilaseca P, Dawson KA, Franzese G. Understanding and modulating the competitive surface-adsorption of proteins through coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. *Soft Matter* 2013, 9:6978–6985.
- Ding HM, Ma YQ. Computer simulation of the role of protein corona in cellular delivery of nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 2014, 35:8703–8710.
- 87. Dobay MPD, Alberola AP, Mendoza ER, Rädler JO. Modeling nanoparticle uptake and intracellular distribution using stochastic process algebras. *J Nanopart Res* 2012, 14.
- 88. Klein J. Probing the interactions of proteins and nanoparticles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007, 104: 106 2029–2030.
- 89. Polyak B, Cordovez B. How can we predict behavior 108 of nanoparticles in vivo? *Nanomedicine* 2016, 109 11:189–192.

59

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

AQ9

AQ8

90. Caracciolo G, Farokhzad OC, Mahmoudi M. Biologi-

cal identity of nanoparticles in vivo: clinical implica-

tions of the protein corona. Trends Biotechnol 2016.

AQ10 1

5	7
5	8
5	9
6	0
6	1
6	2
6	2

91. Lynch I, Dawson KA. Protein-nanoparticle interac-Garcia tions. Nano Today 2008, 3:40-47. 92. Pearson RM, Juettner VV, Hong S. Biomolecular corona on nanoparticles: a survey of recent literature and its implications in targeted drug delivery. Front Chem 2014, 2:108. 93. Saptarshi SR, Duschl A, Lopata AL. Interaction of nanoparticles with proteins: relation to bio-

reactivity of the nanoparticle. J Nanobiotechnol 2013, 11:1.

- 94. Sanchez-Moreno P, Buzon P, Boulaiz H, Peula-JM, Ortega-Vinuesa JL, Luque I. Salvati A, Marchal JA. Balancing the effect of corona on therapeutic efficacy and macrophage uptake of lipid nanocapsules. Biomaterials 2015, 61:266-278.
- 95. Treuel L, Jiang X, Nienhaus GU. New views on cellu-lar uptake and trafficking of manufactured nanoparticles. J R Soc Interface 2013, 10:20120939.



QUERIES TO BE ANSWERED BY AUTHOR

IMPORTANT NOTE: Please mark your corrections and answers to these queries directly onto the proof at the relevant place. DO NOT mark your corrections on this query sheet.

Queries from the Copyeditor:

- AQ1. Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly.
- AQ2. A running head short title was not supplied; please check if this one is suitable and, if not, please supply a short title that can be used instead.
- AQ3. As per style, graphical abstract text is mandatory. Please provide the same.
- AQ4. The year of publication in reference Sakulkhu et al. (2013) [14] does not match with the reference list. Please check and amend if necessary.
- AQ5. The year of publication in reference Ashby et al. (2013) [18] does not match with the reference list. Please check and amend if necessary.
- AQ6. References have been renumbered. Please check and confirm.
- AQ7. Please provide publisher name and location in Ref. [13].
- AQ8. Please provide Volume number for Ref. [77].
- AQ9. Please provide Page range for ref. [87].
- AQ10. Please provide Volume number, Page range for ref. [90].

Required software to e-Annotate PDFs: Adobe Acrobat Professional or Adobe Reader (version 7.0 or above). (Note that this document uses screenshots from Adobe Reader X) The latest version of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded for free at: http://get.adobe.com/uk/reader/

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the Comment tab at the right of the toolbar:



stent also with the demand-

p

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION





For further information on how to annotate proofs, click on the Help menu to reveal a list of further options:

1 ecoj_2384_0	CrxRev2_EV_19-Jul	-10.pdf - Adobe Reader							X
File Edit Vi	iew Window H	elp							×
1 🗎 🔁 🖨		Adobe Reader X Help	F1	F =		Tools	Comm	ent	Share
1	characterised by m different degree, t prices (Bertrand c	About Adobe Reader X About Adobe Plug-Ins			ŕ	* Annot	ations		-
(1)	costs allow us to er sector. Therefore, i	Improvement Program Options			h	🦻 🖣	D 66	4	
	on the form of com number of firms,	Digital Editions			1	T _A 3	4	T	Ъ
<i>G</i>	of the economy: the productivity level, a the costs of entry. because of the tend Moreover, since a	<u>O</u> nline Support Repair Adobe Reader Installation Check for <u>U</u> pdates	•			 Drawin Comm 	ng Markuj ents List	ps (14)	
	novel form of dyn BGM model). In p high enough, the nur maximise steady state	Purchase Adobe Acrobat aber of firms is above the 'golden rule' number of utility: when consumers are extremely patient the	that would y save too	d o		🔍 Find 🥖 dthrest	ie *	ĝ-	 >