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| Presiding Officer: | Barney Erickson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Recording Secretary: | Sue Tirotta |

Meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.
ROLL CALL
Senators: All Senators or their Alternates were present except Bagamery, Brangwin,
Visitors: Medlar, Nethery, Pratz, Relan and Thelen. Carolyn Wells, Patricia Davis, Barbara Radke, Don Cummings, Phil Backlund and Greg Trujillo.

CHANGES TO AGENDA
-Add reports by Don Cummings (Dean of the College of Letters, Arts and Sciences) and Erlice Killorn (Council of Faculty Representatives)
-Change effective date and text of proposed Graduation with Distinction Policy (see Academic Affairs Conmittee report below).

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes of the February 24, 1993, not yet available.

## COAPUNICATIONS

-2/2/93 memo from Jim Haskett, Director of Information Resources, regarding strategic planning
for information technology. Referred to Executive Committee.
-2/22/93 letter from Don Schliesman, Provost, in reply to Faculty Senate resolution regarding professional leave. Referred to Executive Committee.

## REPORTS

1. CHAIR
-Chair Erickson presented the nominations for the 1993-94 Faculty Senate Executive Committee and asked for additional nominations from the floor; no additional nominations were forthcoming, and the Senate expressed no objection to voting on the entire slate of officers in a single motion.
*MOTION NO. 2893 Jim Ponzetti moved and Erlice Killorn seconded a motion to approve the memberhsip of the 1993-94 Faculty Senate Executive Committee as follows:
CIMIR: Sidney Nesselroad, Mus ic
VICE CHAIR: Dan Ramsdell, History
SECRETARY: Stephanie Stein, Psychology
AT-LARGE: Dieter Romboy, Foreign Languages
AT-LARGE: Dave Carns, IET
Motion passed.
-Chair Erickson reported that on March 9, 1993, Provost Don Schliesman sent a memo to all faculty informing them that recommendations for 1993-94 professional leaves will be forwarded by the President to the Board of Trustees at its May 14 meeting rather than the Board meeting of March 19, 1993. Department chairs of those faculty whose leave applications were among the top thirteen reconmended by the Faculty Deve lopment and Research Cormittee to the President have been asked to work with the dean in preparing a plan showing what arrangements have been made to continue the instructional program in the absence of the faculty on leave. The objective is to provide development activities to as many leave applicants as possible without undue disruption of the educational program for students.
-Enabling legislation for four-year-university collective bargaining died in the House Appropriations Committee this week when committee chair Gary Locke refused to call for a vote on it.
2. PRESIDENT

President Ivory Nelson distributed information on 1993-95 state higher education budgets proposed by former Governor Gardner, including an illustration of how projected 3\%, 5\% and 7\% reductions would impact the Essential Requirements Level (ERL) of the state's universities and community colleges. He reported that Central's administration has been in regular contact with Senate Ways and Means Committee chair Nita Rinehart and is working with her regarding how budget cuts would be implemented. The state Democratic Caucus has expressed an interest in attaching "proviso" language (similar to that it is writing for other state agencies) to higher education budget
2. PRESIDENT, continued
reductions that would ensure certain services and programs are maintained. To reassure legisfators and forestall strict "proviso" language that would limit flexibility, Central's administration has enunciated a set of principles it would be willing to work under in case of a budget cut: protect enrollment and instruction (to the extent possible, dependent on severity of the budget reduction); maintain assessment and diversity programs; and implement no across-the-board cuts.

The President explained that the legislature may choose to cut the university's ERL while dedicating new tuition monies to serving even more students. He added that the state's biennial deficit (with no new revenues) is now projected at about $\$ 1.8$ billion; inclusion of $3 \%$ state employee raises, Department of Social and Health Services vendor payment increases and increases in financial aid could push this deficit figure as high as $\$ 2.8$ billion. A 7\% reduction in higher education ERL assumes a $\$ 1.8$ billion deficit with generation of $\$ 1$ billion in new state revenues. The state's next revenue forecast is due on March 17.

Senators asked if temporarily cutting faculty salaries would be considered as an option in order to maintain programs and employment levels. The President replied that he proposed faculty salary cuts and an early retirement program to the legislature as possible options, but cutting salaries was not seen as viable because salary reductions cannot be maintained as a long-term cut. A faculty early retirement program was also considered unrealistic because of the large capital outlay to fund such a program and its lack of success in producing expected savings when attempted in 1982-83.

The President reported on the progress of several legislative bills.
3. COUNCIL OF FACULTY REPRESENTATIVES (CFR)

Erlice Killorn reported that CFR members recently met with Governor Mike Lowry and requested that he not approve cuts of more than $5 \%$ from higher education ERLs, as larger cuts would damage instructional quality. She also stated that the Governor strongly supports a state income tax. CFR was disappointed that enabling legislation for four-year higher education collective bargaining was sent to the House Appropriations Committee and allowed to die there.
4. ACNDEMIC MFFAIRS COHMITTEE

Andrea Bowman reported that a change in the Graduation with Distinction Policy was proposed by the Undergraduate Council [since disbanded] on April 8, 1992. The proposed changes were reviewed by the 1991-92 ^cademic Affairs Committee and brought to the Senate on June 3, 1992. Faculty Senate MOTIONS 2861A and 2861B were returned to the Academic Affairs Committee by the Faculty Senate on June 3, 1992.
*MOTION NO. 2894 Andrea Bowman moved to remove MOTION NO. 2861A from the table: *MOTION NO. $2861 \Lambda$ [June 3, 1992] Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as reconmended by the Undergraduate Counc i):
anty-credits-oarnod-at-Contral Hash ington-Uniworsity-will-bo-considered in dotormining aligibility for graduation-with-distinction Honors shall be based on the GPA of all credits earned at Central or transferred from accredited institutions.
[*MOTION NO. 2862 [June 3, 1992] Barry Donahue moved and Erlice Killorn seconded a motion to return MOTION NO. 2861A to the Academic Affairs Committee for further considerationof all options to and implications of the motion, with special consideration given to the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors. Motion passed.]
MOTION NO. 2894 passed.
*MOTION NO. 2895 Andrea Bowman moved to withdraw MOTION NO. 2861A from consideration. MOTION NO. 2895 passed.
*MOTION NO. 2896 Andrea Bowman moved to remove MOTION NO. 2861B from the table: ${ }^{*}$ KOTION NO. 28618 [June 3, 1992] Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as recommended by the Undergraduate Council: At least one half foot seventy-five (75) of the credits required for the degree must be taken at Central Washington University with a minimum of 60 credits earned in courses taken on the A-F graded basis.Credits earned by
2. PRESIDENT, continued
reductions that would ensure certain services and programs are maintained. To reassure legislators and forestall strict "proviso" language that would limit flexibility, Central's administration has enunciated a set of principles it would be willing to work under in case of a budget cut: protect enrollment and instruction (to the extent possible, dependent on severity of the budget reduction); maintain assessment and diversity programs; and implement no across-the-board cuts.

The President explained that the legislature may choose to cut the university's ERL while dedicating new tuition monies to serving even more students. He added that the state's biennial deficit (with no new revenues) is now projected at about $\$ 1.8$ billion; inclusion of $3 \%$ state employee raises, Department of Social and Health Services vendor payment Increases and increases in financial aid could push this deficit figure as high as $\$ 2.8$ billion. A $7 \%$ reduction in higher education ERL assumes a $\$ 1.8$ billion deficit with generation of $\$ 1$ billion in new state revenues. The state's next revenue forecast is due on March 17.

Senators asked if temporarily cutting faculty salaries would be considered as an option in order to maintain programs and employment levels. The President replied that he proposed faculty salary cuts and an early retirement program to the legislature as possible options, but cutting salaries was not seen as viable because salary reductions cannot be maintained as a long-term cut. A faculty early retirement program was also considered unrealistic because of the large capital outlay to fund such a program and its lack of success in producing expected savings when attempted in 1982-83.

The President reported on the progress of several legislative bills.
3. COUNCIL OF FACULTY REPRESENTATIVES (CFR)

Erlice Killorn reported that CFR members recently met with Governor Mike Lowry and requested that he not approve cuts of more than $5 \%$ from higher education ERLS, as larger cuts would damage instructional quality. She also stated that the Governor strongly supports a state income tax. CFR was disappointed that enabling legislation for four-year higher education collective bargaining was sent to the House Appropriations Committee and allowed to die there.

## 4. ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COHAITTEE

Andrea Bowman reported that a change in the Graduat ion with Distinction Policy was proposed by the Undergraduate Council [since disbanded] on April 8, 1992. The proposed changes were reviewed by the 1991-92 Academic Affairs Committee and brought to the Senate on June 3, 1992. Faculty Senate MOTIONS 2861A and 2861 B were returned to the Academic Affairs Committee by the Faculty Senate on June 3, 1992.
*MOTION NO. 2894 Andrea Bowman moved to remove MOTION NO. 2861A from the table: *MOTION NO. 2861A [June 3, 1992] Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as recommended by the Undergraduate Council:
Only-cradits-oarned-at-Contral Mashington-Uniwors ity-mill-bo-considerad-in dotormining-oligibility for-graduation with distinction Honors shall be based on the GPA of all credits earned at Central or transferred from accredited institutions.
[*MOTION NO. 2862 IJune 3, 1992] Barry Donahue moved and Erlice Killorn seconded a motion to return MOTION NO. 2861A to the Academic Affairs Committee for further consideration of all options to and implications of the motion, with special consideration given to the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors. Motion passed.]
MOTION NO. 2894 passed.
*MOTION NO. 2895 Andrea Bowman moved to withdraw MOTION NO. 2861A from consideration. MOTION NO. 2895 passed.
*MOTION NO. 2896 Andrea Bowman moved to remove MOTION NO. 2861B from the table: ${ }^{* M O T I O N}$ NO. 28618 [June 3, 1992$]$ Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as recommended by the Undergraduate Council:
At least one_half_(90) seventy-five (75) of the credits required for the degree must be taken at Central Washington University with a minimum of 60 credits earned in courses taken on the A-F graded basis.Credits earned by
4. ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COHIITIEE, continued
course challenge, military experiences or courses, non-college courses and industrial experience will not be allowed toward the se seventy-five (75) credits required for eligibility.
[*MOTION NO. 2863 [June 3, 1992] Owen Pratz moved and Jim Ponzetti seconded a motion to return MOTION NO. 2861 B to the Academic Affairs Committee for further-consideration of all options to and implications of the motion, with special consideration given to the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors. Motion passed.]
MOTION NO. 2896 passed.
*MOTION NO. 2897 Andrea Bowman moved to remove MOTION NO. 2861B from consideration. Motion passed.
*SUBSTITUTE MOTION NO. 2898 Andrea Bowman moved changes to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (pages $35-36$ of 1991-93 catalog as follows):

GRADUATION WITH DISTINCTION POLICY
Baccalaureate honors are awarded to recipients of a first bachelor's degree according to the following standards:
3.4 to 3.59 - cum laude
3.6 to 3.79 - magna cum laude
3.8 to 4.00 - summa cum laude

Other distinctions:
3.95 to 4.00 - President's Scholars
3.60 to 3.94 - Dean's Scholars

The following conditions must be met by all students to be considered for graduation with distinction:

- At least half fol seventy-five (75) of the credits required for the degree must be taken at Central Washington University with a minimum of 60 credits earned in courses taken on the A-F graded basis.
- Credits earned by course challenge, military experiences or courses, non-college courses and industrial experience will not be allowed toward the of seventy-five (75) credits required for eligibility.
- Only_cradits-earnod-at-Contral-Washington-Uniworsity-will bo considered-in dotermining-eligibility for graduation-with distinction. Honors shall be based on the GPA of all credits earned at Central as well as credits accepted by CWU from accredited institutions.


## [POLICY CHANGE EFFECTIVE 1994-95 ACADEMIC YEAR]

RATIONALE: [2/8/93 memo from Academic Affairs Cormittee] The Academic Affairs Comnittee has reconsidered the topic of Graduation with Distinction from Central Washington University, as directed by Faculty Senate Motions 2862 and 2B63, of June 3, 1992. The committee reexamined the proposed policy change which was recommended by the Undergraduate Council on January 28, 1992, and was described, with a detailed and extensive rationale, in a letter of April 8, 1992, to Charles McGehee, then Faculty Senate Chair, from Connie Roberts, then Vice Provost and Dean of Undergraduate Studies. After lengthy discussion, including review of Senate Minutes and related correspondence, the committee decided to endorse fully the proposed policy change described in Dr . Roberts' letter. Therefore, we accept the concept of including credits transferred from accredited institutions, along with credits earned at Central, as a basis for Graduation with Distinction. This would put all Central students on a part in this regard, and would eliminate inequity. The committee also accepts the concept of requiring that 75 credits (rather than the current 90) be earned at Central. This change would go far toward eliminating an inconvenience which some transfer students may currently have. Yet it would preserve some (though by no means all) of the force of the
4. ACNDERIC AFFAIRS COHMITTEE, continued
principles that Graduation with Distinction from Central does imply completion of a substantial body of work at Central in order to ensure that Central's faculty have adequate opportunity to become familiar with the student's work. The committee believes that requiring 75 credits of work at Central, rather than 90, is a fair compromise between these two competing cons iderations. The Academic Affairs Committee was also asked to consider "the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors." This topic was discussed by the comnittee, and our conclusion was that, within the scope of current sparse information about grading practices and grade distribution at Central -- e.g., to what extent competency-based grading is replacing competitive grading, we could find no advantage, at least for now, in switching to percentages rather than retaining GPA standards in determination of honors.

Senators asked if the Academic Affairs Committee had considered grade distribution data in formulating its recommendation, whether the committee had considered limiting honors to a set percentage of students within schools/college or departments, and whether other schools' policies had been considered. Andrea Bowman and Peter Burkholder replied that a grade distribution study is pending but will probably not be completed this year. and the impact of grade distribution on honors may be considered in the future. Since grading criteria differ from department to department, the committee concluded that setting percentages of students eligible to receive honors would result in Inequities. Registrar Carolyn Wells reported that last year her office compiled comparative information on other state and private schools' honors policles for the Dean of Undergraduate Studies [presented to Faculty Senate June 3, 1992]. Patricia Davis, Credentials Evaluator/Admissions and Records, pointed out that changing the current honors criteria will result in more manual work for her office and may negatively affect accuracy; she reminded the Senate that a new policy would not become effective until the 1994-95 university catalog is published, and there would be a long transition process while students studying under prior catalogs finished their studies and graduated. A Senator suggested that a program requiring students to apply for honors might shift the burden of responsibility to advisers and students themselves. Another Senator pointed out that the strongest argument for the proposed change is that it alleviates the advantage that transfer students currently have over long-term resident students in receiving honors.
SUBSTITUTE MOTION NO. 2898 passed.
5. BUDGET COFMITTEE

Budget Committee Chair Barry Donahue reported that he sent a letter to all faculty menbers on March 1, 1993, requesting that budget related information be sent to the committee by the end of Winter quarter. The letter asked faculty to identify those areas that they see as least essential to the operation of the university as well as potential sources to free up funds for more essential activities in the event of upcoming budget cutbacks. President Nelson asked that information gathered by the Budget Cormittee be shared with his office, and Senator Donahue agreed.
6. CODE COPMITTEE

Sharon Rosell reported that the Code Cormittee has completed work on all its charges and has scheduled a Code Hearing for 3:00 p.m., Wednesday, April 14, 1993, in SUB 204-205. Code Hearing notices will be mailed to all faculty and administrators early Spring quarter.
7. CURRICULUM COMNITTEE

Steve OIson reported that the Curriculum Committee is continuing its work on streamlining and standardizing the curriculum approval process. Additional guidelines regarding curriculum flow will be sent to all department chairs in the near future.
8. PERSONNEL COHAITTEE

No report
9. PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMOITEE

Public Affairs Conmittee Chair Alan Taylor reported that the committee has been unsuccessful this year in recruiting a Faculty Legislative Representative (FLR), but it hopes that the Faculty Senate Chair and others may be available to travel to Olympia during this legislative session.

OLD BUSINESS None

## NEH BUSINESS

RESTRUCTURING THE COLI.EGE OF LETTERS, ARTS AND SCIENCES
Don Cunmings, Dean of the College of Letters. Arts and Sciences (CLAS), reported that he received an invitation from the Faculty Senate Chair to address the Senate regarding 1) the rationale for reorganizing CLAS, 2) how autonomy of departments would be affected by reorganization, and 3) the role of the Faculty Senate in reorganization.

Dean Cummings stated that President Nelson has mandated restructuring of CLAS by July 1994 because the number of small departments/programs now reporting to the Dean makes management of the College increasingly difficult. Within the last year, management of the General Education Program and Summer School have been added to the Dean of CLAS's responsibilities. It is hoped that combining some departments and programs will ease the administrative problems. The Dean stated that the Higher Education Coordinating (HEC) Board and legislature will probably examine duplication of programs among the state's universities/colleges and discourage equivalent programs, and budget constraints may force removal of some programs. Sensible combining of departments/programs could potentially foster synergistic relationships between certain disciplines that would emphasize their unique strengths and make them highly competitive with programs at Central's sister schools.

Dean Curmings stressed that he would prefer that all options for restructuring be considered and talked about at this stage, but actual changes should be approached carefully and implemented conservatively. The Dean of CLAS's proposal to the Strategic Planning Committee will recommend a modest amount of program combining, movement of some faculty to the School of Professional Studies (SPS), and reallocation of some administrative resources. Fewer combinations of programs will be recommended than suggested in an earlier draft proposal, but more people and programs would be moved to SPS. One office administrator from another school would be moved to CLAS in order to provide a second Associate Dean of CLAS, but the Dean emphasized that he "want[s] to avoid increasing administrative salary amounts at the expense of faculty salary amounts." The Dean suggested taking a wait and see approach after inylementing these moderate changes in order to judge whether they have sufficiently addressed the original managerial problem. If they have not, he suggested that the college could then be divided. But he cautioned that "the more immediate issue is whether or not this will be enough change to satisfy the President."

Dean Cummings addressed the issue of autonomy of departments by outlining a sample proposal to combine portions of the current Communication Department (i.e.. Speech Communication and Speech Education) with the English Department. Options have been proposed that would split the resultant department into two separate curriculum areas focusing respectively on Communication and Literature. He explained that, ideally, faculty would construct department "constitutions" regarding how personnel and other matters would be handled, faculty would retain their sense of individual and professional identity, and majors and minors would remain the same; but the increased awareness of each other's presence would slowly and gradually have a positive influence on both curricula dver the decades. He pointed out that the current Psychology Department is already what he would consider to be a "composite" department, evolving from its earlier close relationship with the Education and Philosophy Departments into the existing structure which incorporates counseling and experimental disciplines.

Regarding the Faculty Senate's role in restructuring, Dean Cummings encouraged continuing conversations in the form of more faculty forums. He called for a formalized structure for civilized discussion and rational discourse and advised against any additional polarization of faculty and administrative views. Senator Charles McGehee. Sociology, explained that the proposal for restructuring CLAS began poorly because it was initiated at the administrative rather than departmental level and was based on certain assumptions and conceptions of the Dean of CLAS. He added that this may have led to a perception on the part of faculty that certain alternatives and options, such as dividing CLAS into two separately administered colleges or linking areas of campus by physical location, are not open for discussion and "are not on the table." Dean Cummings acknowledged that, in retrospect, the proposal could have gotten off to a better beginning. He explained that he had spent much time in the past two years talking with department chairs about restructuring, and they had shown no apparent interest in change, so the Dean designed his original restructuring proposal to be provocative. Dean Cummings added that splitting the college in two is still a workable option, and 3-4 different recommendations on how to split might be considered, including dividing CLAS into a School of Math \& Sciences and a School of Arts \& Humanities.

Senator McGehee stated that the idea of forcibly combining programs alienates people, and they would prefer to work out their own methods for increasing efficiency and productivity. Dean Cummings

NEW BUSINESS, continued
RESTRUCTURING TIIE COLLEGE OF LETTERS, NRTS AND SCIENCES, continued
conceded that there are not as many viable department combinations as he first thought, partly due to irreconcilable personal or professional differences between some faculty members. He admitted that some combinations at this time could create more difficulties than they would solve.

Senator Jim Ponzetti. Home Economics, remarked that the Faculty Senate Executive Committee had not been included in the CLAS restructuring issue until recently, and he asked how the Senate could become more involved in the future. Dean Cumnings stated that he is open to continuing the dialogue with the Senate, and he sees it as the logical organizing body for discussion of restructuring. Senator Alan Taylor. Communication, asked for further clarification of how Dean Cummings proposes combining the Communication Department with others, and the Dean outlined his Ideas.

Senator Russell Hansen, Law and Justice, stated that splitting CLAS in two should result in a need for fewer administrators. He added that President Neison has put the Dean of CLAS under unrelenting pressure since last April to decrease the number of departments. Senator Hansen reported that Dean Cummings has produced three reorganization plans, each resulting in increasing divisiveness between departments: departments are now divided into those that are unaffected, those that would be enhanced, and those that may be negatively affected by restructuring. He contended that no convincing rationale for restructuring has yet been set forth, and the current process is a generally unfair and destructive method for solving the problem of the size of CL.AS.

President Ivory Nelson stated that he envisions the restructuring process as beginning with discussion on a preliminary proposal this year, continuing dialogue with the new Provost and new Dean of CLAS next year, and actual restructuring the following year. The President einphasized that he has purposely not involved himself with the details of restructuring. Senator Mark Zetterberg, Theatre Arts, stated that the Dean's proposal has initiated much open discussion, and the President added that the Dean's first proposal for reorganization was widely disseminated and has always been available for Senators to bring forward for deliberation.

Senator McGehee related that profound mistrust has been generated as a result of the apparently opposing views of the Dean and the President. He stated as an example that, at a recent meeting, the Dean stated that restructuring is open for discussion, but the President countered that "restructuring is a done deal." The President explained that he meant that the concept of restructuring is not open for debate; only the manner in which restructuring will be done is open for discussion.

Senator Erlice Killorn. Physical Education, pointed out that all of the discussion and generation of information regarding restructuring has taken place within CLAS, and members of SPS and the School of Business and Economics have not been included. She requested that the entire campus be included in future discussions and dissemination of information. The President stated that all information affecting the institution is presented to the President's Cabinet, of which the Provost is a member: the Provost in turn disseminates information to the Deans' Council, which includes the Faculty Senate Chair; the Deans give information to the Department Chairs; and the Department Chairs are supposed to pass information along to the ir faculty. The President stated that the break in this communication linkage is most often between Department Chairs and their faculty.

Senator Bobby Cumnings. English, maintained that faculty are
most involved with and committed to teaching students, and she expressed frustration that proposals often seem to be made without regard to their affect on curriculum. She called for a more proactive, less reactive, role for faculty in university decision making.

Senator Hansen acknowledged Dean Cummings" contention that CLAS is difficult to administer under its current structure, and he asked if a Senate (or other body) recommendation to divide CLAS into two schools would be accepted as a reasonable alternative. President Nelson replied that there would still be too many small departments if CLAS were divided, and he "would disagree totally" at this time with a proposal for either splitting CLAS or for not combining departments. Senator Dan Ramsdell. History, recommended that all faculty and administrators review the history of restructuring at Central, and he maintained that every new President or Provost feels the need to "put their stamp on the institution" by initiating a restructuring process. President Nelson stated that Dean Cummings had provided the faculty with a history of restructuring at Central, and he remarked that "the new Provost that we have hired will be marching in the same direction I will be, in lockstep."

Senator Barry Donahue, Computer Science, asked where the funding for the proposed second Associate Dean of CLAS would come from, and Dean Cumnings replied that the School of B\&E has four administrative slots for its 47 faculty and would be asked to release one to CLAS. Senator McGehee cautioned that net dollar outlay rather than FTE should be taken into account in creating any new administrative position. Dean Cummings commented that decentralization of some administrative responsibility has taken place, but that too much power is still concentrated in the Provost's and Deans' offices; he theorized that fewer chairs of larger departments would be stronger and allow for more decision making at the department level.

## ADJOURNMENT

*MOTION NO. 2899 Ken Cory moved and Erlice Killorn seconded a motion to adjourn. Motion passed. Meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

## FACULTY SENATE REGULAR MEETING

 3:10 p.m., Wednesday, March 10, 1993 SUB 204-205I. ROLL CALLII.III.
CHANGES TO AGENDA
APPROVAL OF MINUTES - February 24, 1993 [not available yet]
IV. COMMUNICATIONS$-2 / 2 / 93$ memo from Jim Haskett, Director of Information Resources, re. strategic planning forinformation technology. Referred to Executive Committee.$-2 / 17 / 93$ letter from Walter Arlt, President of CWU Federation of Teachers, supportingSenate's motions concerning reorganization and professional leave. Referred to ExecutiveCommittee.-2/17/93 letter from Walter Arlt, President of CWU Federation of Teachers, requesting Senatesupport for faculty's right to collective bargaining. Referred to Executive Committee.-2/22/93 letter from Don Schliesman, Provost, in reply to Faculty Senate resolution regardingprofessional leave. Referred to Executive Committee.
V. REPORTS

1. CHAIR-ELECTION: 1993-94 Senate Executive Committee (attached)
2. PRESIDENT
3. ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE-Graduation with Distinction Policy (see attached motion)
4. BUDGET COMMITTEE
-Faculty Survey regarding the Budget Process
5. CODE COMMITTEE
NOTE: Faculty Code Hearing: 3:00 p.m., Wednesday, April 14, SUB 204-205
6. CURRICULUM COMMITTEE
7. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
8. PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
VI. OLD BUSINESS
VII. NEW BUSINESS
VIII. ADJOURNMENT
*** NEXT REGULAR FACULTY SENATE MEETING: April 7, 1993

## CHAIR

## ELECTION: 1993-94 FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

CHAIR: Sidney Nesselroad, Music
VICE CHAIR: Dan Ramsdell, History
SECRETARY: Stephanie Stein, Psychology
AT-LARGE: Dieter Romboy, Foreign Languages
AT-LARGE: Dave Carns, IET

## ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

## GRADUATION WITH DISTINCTION POLICY

HISTORY: A change in the Graduation with Distinction Policy was proposed by the Undergraduate Council on April 8, 1992. The proposed changes were reviewed by the 1991-92 Academic Affairs Committee and brought to the Senate on June 3, 1992. Faculty Senate MOTIONS 2861A and 2861B were returned to the Academic Affairs Committee by the Faculty Senate on June 3, 1992.
*MOTION NO. 2861A Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as recommended by the Undergraduate Council:
Quly.credits earned at-Contrat Washington Univergity will be centidered in determining ollyibility for qraduation with-distinction. Honors shall be based on the GPA of all credits earned at Central or transferred from accredited institutions.
*MOTION NO. 2862 Barry Donahue moved and Erlice Killorn seconded a motion to return MOTION NO. 2861A to the Academic Affairs Committee for further consideration of all options to and implications of the motion, with special consideration given to the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors. Motion passed.
*MOTION NO. 2861B Andrea Bowman moved a change to the Graduation with Distinction Policy (1991/93 University Catalog; pg. 35-36), as recommended by the Undergraduate Council:
At least Washington University with a minimum of 60 credits earned in courses taken on the A-F graded basis.
Credits earned by course challenge, military experiences or courses, non-college courses and industrial experience will not be allowed toward the seventy-five (75) credits required for eligibility.
*MOTION NO. 2863 Owen Pratz moved and Jim Ponzetti seconded a motion to return MOTION NO. 2861B to the Academic Affairs Committee for further consideration of all options to and implications of the motion, with special consideration given to the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors. Motion passed.

## ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, continued

GRADUATION WITH DISTINCTION POLICY (1991-93 catalog, including proposed changes in MOTIONS 2861A and 2861B)

Baccalaureate honors are awarded to recipients of a first bachelor's degree according to the following standards:
3.4 to 3.59 - cum laude
3.6 to 3.79 - magna cum laude
3.8 to 4.00 - summa cum laude

Other distinctions:
3.95 to 4.00 - President's Scholars
3.60 to 3.94 - Dean's Scholars

The following conditions must be met by all students to be considered for graduation wilh distinction:

- At least one-half-(90) seventy-five (75) of the credits required for the degree must be taken at Central Washington University with a minimum of 60 credits earned in courses taken on the A-F graded basis.
- Credits earned by course challenge, military experiences or courses, non-college courses and industrial experience will not be allowed toward the 90 seventy-five (75) credits required for eligibility.
 giadtation-wiek-distinctionr Honors shall be based on the GPA of all credits earned at Central or transferred from accredited institutions.


## [POLICY CHANGE EFFECTIVE 1993-94 ACADEMIC YEAR]

## RATIONALE: [2/8/93 memo from Academic Affairs Committee]

The Academic Affairs Committee has reconsidered the topic of Graduation with Distinction from Central Washington University, as directed by Faculty Senate Motions 2862 and 2863, of June 3, 1992. The committee reexamined the proposed policy change which was recommended by the Undergraduate Council on January 28, 1992, and was described, with a detailed and extensive rationale, in a letter of April 8, 1992, to Charles McGehee, then Faculty Senate Chair, from Connie Roberts, then Vice Provost and Dean of Undergraduate Studies.

After lengthy discussion, including review of Senate Minutes and related correspondence, the committee decided to endorse fully the proposed policy change described in Dr. Roberts' letter. Therefore, we accept the concept of including credits transferred from accredited institutions, along with credits earned at Central, as a basis for Graduation with Distinction. This would put all Central students on a part in this regard, and would eliminate inequity.

The committee also accepts the concept of requiring that 75 credits (rather than the current 90 ) be earned at Central. This change would go far toward eliminating an inconvenience which some transfer students may currently have. Yet it would preserve some (though by no means all) of the force of the principles that Graduation with Distinction from Central does imply completion of a substantial body of work at Central in order to ensure that Central's faculty have adequate opportunity to become familiar with the student's work. The committee believes that requiring 75 credits of work at Central, rather than 90, is a fair compromise between these two competing considerations.
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## ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, continued

The Academic Affairs Committee was also asked to consider "the use of percentages within a graduating class rather than GPA's in determination of honors." This topic was discussed by the committee, and our conclusion was that, within the scope of current sparse information about grading practices and grade distribution at Central -- e.g., to what extent competency-based grading is replacing competitive grading, we could find no advantage, at least for now, in switching to percentages rather than retaining GPA standards in determination of honors.

The Academic Affairs Committee therefore makes the following motion:
MOTION: Remove MOTION NO. 2861A (6/3/92) and MOTION NO. 2861B from the table and combine them into a single motion for consideration by the Senate.

Bruce BAGAMERY Linda BEATH

Andrea BOWMAN
John BRANGWIN
Peter BURKHOLDER
$\qquad$ Robert CARBAUGH
David CARNS
Ken CORY
Bobby CUMMINGS
Barry DONAHUE
Barney ERICKSON
Ed GOLDEN
Ken HAMMOND
Russ HANSEN
Kris HENRY
Erllce KILLORN
Charles MCGEHEE
Deborah MEDLAR
$\checkmark$ Ivory NELSON
< Sidney NESSELROAD
Vince NETHERY

- Steve OLSON

Patrick OWENS
-
Rob PERKINS
Jim PONZETTI
Owen PRATZ
/ Dan RAMSDELL
_Anju RELAN
$\vee$ Don RINGE
/'Dieter ROMBOY
Sharon ROSELL
Eric ROTH
Stephanie STEIN
$\qquad$ Alan TAYLOR
Thomas THELEN
Rex WIRTH
Thomas YEH
Mark ZETTERBERG

Hugh SPALL
___Dan FENNERTY Madalon LALLEY
$\qquad$
_
John UTZINGER
David HEDRICK
$\qquad$ Walt KAMINSKI
___Margaret SAHLSTRAND
$\qquad$
___George TOWN
Ken GAMON
___Connie NOTT
に Morris UEBELACKER Michael OLIVERO
___Patricia MAGUIRE
___David KAUFMAN
___Gary HEESACKER
___Don SCHLIESMAN
Andrew SPENCER
___Stephen JEFFERIES
$\qquad$
___Cathy BERTELSON
___Ethan BERGMAN
___Jim GREEN
Beverly HECKART
Sylvia SEVERN
Robert BENTLEY
Stella MORENO
$\square$ Roger YU
__Geoffrey BOERS
___Stephen SCHEPMAN
___Robert GARRETT
John CARR

- Brown
___Jerry HOGAN
___ Wesley VAN TASSEL
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## VISITOR SIGN-IN SHEET



PatriciaAtaine

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Please sign your name and return sheet to Faculty Senate secretary directly after the meeting. Thank you.

# MEMORANDUM 

## INFORMATION RESOURCES CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

TO: Barney L. Erickson Faculty Senate Chair<br>FROM:<br>DATE: February 2, 1993<br>RE: $\quad$ General Education and Computer Literacy and Proficiency<br>CC: Dean Cummings<br>Brandon Seibel

President Nelson has asked me to coordinate the creation of a strategic plan for information technology for the entire University. While working on the plan recently I impulsively proposed several projects that I called Literacy, Proficiency, and Productivity.

The first of these projects would require that all faculty and staff have VAX accounts assigned to them in the coming fiscal year. The second project would assign VAX accounts to all graduate students in the following year. The third project called for assigning VAX accounts to all seniors in the next year. Etc. I impulsively proposed that the computer lab fee be increased to $\$ 25$ per quarter for all students. I did not define these projects any further but left them open to later definition.

It is my belief that when a student graduates he or she should know basic computing (such as word processing), something about computing in their discipline (for example teachers should know something about multimedia), and something about data communications (such as sending electronic mail).
Following my discussion of this ill-defined and open ended proposal with the University Computing Committee, Brandon Seibel (the student representative on the committee) discussed this idea with the Associated Students Board of Directors. The Board proposed, if that is the right word, an alternative, namely that computing be considered part of the basic and breadth requirements. They proposed, if I understand correctly, that in coming years computing be considered a breadth course, and in later years it be considered a basic course.

I understand that a Faculty Senate committee is now looking at General Education Requirements. The above proposals are probably so unspecific that they are better described as ideas. As the committee works on the General Education Requirements, I hope consideration will be given to the need that I have described above to insure that our students graduate with these three abilities. If I can be of any help in addressing these issues, please call me.

Dr. Barney L. Erickson<br>Chair, Faculty Senate<br>Campus<br>RECEIVED<br>FEB 261933<br>Cin miclity cisate

## Dear Barney:

Your letter including the February 3, 1993, Faculty Senate resolution has been received. The resolution, if I understand it correctly, calls for restoration of a professional leave policy which offers opportunity for a greater number of awards and is consistent with the Faculty Code of Personnel Policy and Procedure, June 12, 1992.

First of all, it is not within the Provost's authority or responsibility to determine the number of professional leaves awarded; that rests with the Board of Trustees. Second, what happened this year did not violate the Code, though the result may not be consistent with those of the past. Let me outline the process I followed:

- The professional leave applications were sent to the Faculty Research and Development Committee for consideration and recommendation.
- As prescribed by the Code, the committee sent its recommendation to the Provost and I transmitted the recommendation to the President.
- I sent a recommendation to the President.
- The President approved four applications, subject to ratification by the Board of Trustees.

The elements of this process which may be different than in previous years are (1) the Provost sent a recommendation to the President different than the committee's and (2) the President approved only four applications. Regarding the Provost's recommendation, it is my understanding the Code does not restrict me from sending one, nor does it limit the basis on which the recommendation is formed. It seems to me the Code was not violated by sending this recommendation.

Regarding the number of leaves approved by the President, I can find no mention in the Code of the number of leaves which can be awarded, other than the limitation of no more than $4 \%$ of the FTE faculty. There does not seem to be any language establishing a minimum number which must be approved.

If I can try answering any other questions or provide additional information, please let me know.

Sincerely,


Donald M. Schliesman
Interim Provost and
Vice President for Academic Affairs
/kb
c: Ivory V. Nelson, President
Gerald J. Stacy, Dean of Graduate Studies
Faculty Research and Development Committee
Raymond Riznyk, Graduate Studies \& Research
Phil Garrison, English
Glen Madsen, Education
Connie Nott, Business Administration
Deloris Osborn, BEAM
Peter Saunders, Economics
Warren Street, Psychology
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Collective bargaining enabling legislation for faculty of state universities - an issue that had pitted many of the Central Washington University faculty against the administration - died during the weekend.
A bitter State Rep. Michael Heavey, a prime sponsor of the proposal, said this morning that four-year-university collective bargaining "is kaput" for now.
The bill died in the House Appropriations Committee when the chairman refused to call for a vote.
"I'm irritated at the system that allows the chairman of the committee to sit on the bill and prevent a vote," Heavey said.
Although Heavey expressed great disappointment, he said the issue "will come up again."
Heavey and other backers of the bill had sought enabling legislation to allow collective bargaining for four-year faculty as is now allowed for community college faculty and public school educators: 5 ,
The Central Washington University Faculty Senate, which in theory represents all of the faculty, earlier in the legislative session adopted a resolution supporting the bill. And the CWU American Federation of Teachers organization, which represents a small number of faculty, actively backed the bill, sending spokesmen to speak in its behalf before legislative committees.
Representatives of the CWU administration also testified before committees, first urging exclusion of Central from the bill and then asking and receiving a year's delay for Central in any implimentation if the bill
were to be passed.
Administration representatives had suggested to lawmakers that collective bargaining was not needed at CWU because shared governance was working well.
Walter Arlt, physical education faculty member and president of the AFT group, had debated that stance and told legislators "we are disappointed that there is such strong opposition from the administration to even enabling legislation."
Arlt sald collective bargain rights are "conspicuously absent" "or four-year faculty.

## Thugs hit embassy <br> in Costa Rica

SAN JOSE, Costa Rica (AP) 2- Three armed men who took over the Nicaraguan Embassy held as many as 19 hostages and demanded today that the Managua government fire some top officials, but the government refused. The hostages, including Ambassador Alfonso Robelo, apparently were unharmed.
The hostage-takers, siding with Nicaraguan conservatives, accused Nicaraguan President Violeta Chamorro of heading a "pseudo-Sandinista" government and demanded she fire her defense minister, a Sandinista.
After aquiet night, authorities said they were awaiting the arrival from Managua of Nicaraguan Cardinal Miguel Obando y Bravo, who agreed to act as a mediator after consulting with the Vatican.


HIGHER EDUCATION 1993-95 GARDNER PROPOSED BUDGETS
(Dollars in Thousands)

|  | UW | WSU | EWU | CWU | TESC | WWU | FOUR YR TOTAL | CTCs | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991-93 APPROPRIATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GFS | 528,842 | 304,512 | 76,461 | 67,227 | 41,044 | 86,069 | 1,104,155 | 693,563 | 1,797,718 |
| TUITION | 143,352 | 68,564 | 24,339 | 18,607 | 13,278 | 26,483 | 294,623 | 117.843 | 412,466 |
| TOTAL GFS \& TUITION | 672,194 | 373,076 | 100,800 | 85,834 | 54,322 | 112,552 | 1,398,778 | 811,406 | 2,2 $\overline{1} \overline{0}, 1 \overline{8} \overline{4}$ |


| 1993-95GFS Current Authorized Level (CAL) | 539,638 | 312,352 | 77,906 | 68,884 | 41,792 | 87,786 | $1,128,358$ | 706,890 | $1,835,248$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 1993-95 Essential Requirements (ERL) Changes:


| Operate New Facilities | 6,549 | 1,336 |  | 84 | 14 | 1,180 | 9,163 | 1.445 | 10,608 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation | 4,152 | 2,363 | 596 | 544 | 362 | 537 | 8,554 | 8,166 | 16,720 |
| Interagency Billings | 1,195 | 685 | 270 | 684 | 366 | 541 | 3,741 | 2,044 | 5,785 |
| Lease Purchase Program | 3,346 | 2,082 | 142 | 272 | 194 | 448 | 6,484 | 5,280 | 11,764 |
| OASI \& Benefits adjustments | 765 | 146 | 65 | 8 | 36 | 61 | 1,081 | 1,175 | 2,256 |
| Transfer from PSWQA | 232 | 320 |  |  |  |  | 552 |  | 552 |
| Capital Budget Analyst |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 114 | 114 |
| Offset Fund 149 Shortfall | 1,986 |  | 124 | 628 | 88 |  | 2,826 | (604) | 2,222 |
| Offset Curr Law Tuition Increase |  | $(1,286)$ | (262) |  | $(1,130)$ | (972) | $(3,650)$ | $(4,816)$ | $(8,466)$ |
| Debt Service - Capital Projects | 2,738 |  |  | 604 |  |  | 3,342 |  | 3,342 |
| ERL GFS: | 560,601 | 317,998 | 78,841 | 71,708 | 41,722 | 89,581 | 1,160,451 | 719,694 | 1,880,145 |
| ERL TUITION: | 144,864 | 73,238 | 25,842 | 18,848 | 14,864 | 28,560 | 306,216 | 129,062 | 435,278 |
| TOTAL ERL GFS \& TUITION: | 705,465 | 391,236 | 104,683 | 90,556 | 56,586 | 118,141 | 1,466,667 | 848,756 | 2,315,423 |


| REDUCTION PROPOSALS GF-S | UW | WSU | EWU | CWU | TESC | WWU | TOTAL | CTCs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3\% Reduction from Gardner ERL GF-S | $(16,818)$ | $(9,540)$ | $(2,365)$ | $(2,151)$ | $(1,252)$ | $(2,687)$ | $(34,814)$ | $(21,591)$ |
| $5 \%$ Reduction from Gardner ERL GF-S | $(28,030)$ | $(15,900)$ | $(3,942)$ | $(3,585)$ | $(2,086)$ | $(4,479)$ | $(58,023)$ | $(35,985)$ |
| $7 \%$ Reduction from Gardner ERL GF-S | $(39,242)$ | $(22,260)$ | $(5,519)$ | $(5,020)$ | $(2,921)$ | $(6,271)$ | $(81,232)$ | $(50,379)$ |

$$
01 \text {-Mar-93 }
$$

