

3

4 *Goodbye iSlave: A Manifesto for Digital Abolition*

5 JACK LINCHUAN QIU

6 Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2016

7 vii + 230 pp. \$24.95

8 ISBN 978-0-252-08212-2 doi:10.1017/S0305741017000728

9

10 Readers from media and information studies, sociology, history and many other
11 social sciences disciplines will find *Goodbye iSlave* illuminating. Organized into
12 six chapters, the introduction of the book sets the scene of the geopolitics of infor-
13 mation and the contested terrains of labour, capital and the state in global cap-
14 italism. Traveling through time and space, chapter two traces the development of
15 the transatlantic slave trade since the 17th century and its evolution into modern
16 slavery in the digital economy. Chapter three links the past to the present by
17 focusing on the lives of the “iSlaves” – a derogatory label for the million-strong,
18 slave-like Chinese workers at Taiwanese-owned Foxconn, the world’s largest
19 electronics contract manufacturer. Turning from production to consumption,
20 chapter four portrays the current enslavement and addiction of consumers to
21 digital devices: “global iSlavery” is propelled by *our* desire for faster and newer
22 iPhones. Taking aim at the tech multinationals Foxconn and its largest buyer
23 Apple (the “Appconn” model), chapter five documents how workers and their
24 grassroots allies protest against extreme labour exploitation. In conclusion, chapter
25 six charts an alternative path to a new brave world where the relationship between
26 people and new technology is fundamentally redefined.

27 Jack Qiu draws on archival research in order to examine the interdependence
28 of Apple and Foxconn in transnational manufacturing, and he portrays a global
29 supply-chain of labour that extends “backward” to children working in Congo
30 mines in raw material extraction. Until 2010, Foxconn was the exclusive
31 final-assembler of iPhones in the world. In the 30-plus Foxconn megafactories
32 across China, “unfreedom of labor” (p. 34) is the product of capitalist domina-
33 tion *and* state intervention in the realms of law and the labour market. The col-
34 lusion between local education bureaus, schools and enterprises – at times
35 mediated by private labour agencies in the form of labour dispatch – has pre-
36 vented the exit of teenage student workers.

37 Amid a slowing economy (as evident from the Guangdong provincial govern-
38 ment’s freeze of minimum wages for three consecutive years since 2015), employ-
39 ers will likely reach out to *more* students in the name of internships,
40 apprenticeships or in-service learning. Qiu reveals that interning students were
41 paid below the minimum wage, as legally institutionalized by the Chinese govern-
42 ment. Co-supervised by teachers-in-charge and corporate management, such
43 interning students worked for eight to 12 hours a day, six to seven days a
44

45 week, during the peak production months at Foxconn. Worse yet, 150,000
46 Foxconn’s “interns” did not acquire any useful work skills relevant to their stud-
47 dies during their internships, which lasted for three months to a year.

48 In addition to the transfer of labouring bodies to the point of production, Qiu
49 finds that the historic disposal of African slaves is mirrored in the contemporary
50 dismissal of injured factory workers. In 2010, 18 young Chinese migrant workers
51 of Foxconn attempted suicide, resulting in 14 deaths and four survivors with crip-
52 pling injuries. The plight of workers in the Appconn-ized digital age is no excep-
53 tion: “The ‘normalcy’ of exploitation in many global sectors,” in the words of
54 Alessandra Mezzadri, “should also concern us deeply as it is incompatible with
55 progressive struggles in supportive of decent work” (26 July 2016 post on
56 OpenDemocracy’s Beyond Trafficking and Slavery). Four years after the collapse
57 of the Rana Plaza in Bangladesh in April 2013, and 165 years after the publica-
58 tion of Harriet Beecher Stowe’s *Uncle Tom’s Cabin* (1852), the call for an end to
59 “digital abolition” is more urgent than ever.

60 Research and practice, from Qiu’s perspective, are closely integrated. He envi-
61 sions a better society “where human dignity and sustainable development are
62 prioritized over corporate profit” (p. 183). Corporate control will inevitably con-
63 front labour resistance, big and small, as evidenced by Qiu’s interviews and field
64 research. In Spring 2012, for example, protestors at Foxconn Wuhan in Hubei
65 bypassed the company-dominated trade union to negotiate collectively with man-
66 agers for higher wages and better benefits. The posting of photos, open letters,
67 poems and other “worker-generated content” (WGC) on major social media plat-
68 forms had garnered media attention at home and abroad. “Different strands of
69 WGC converge,” in Qiu’s observation, “into working-class public spheres,”
70 where multiple nodes of workers’ networks are emerging (p. 186). Digital acti-
71 vism and labour mobilization, therefore, turns high-tech production on its head.

72 iPhone, emblematic of the American empire, is making the highest double-digit
73 gross profits in the smartphone sector. Can Fairphone, a Dutch social enterprise
74 company dedicated to making smartphones in a worker-friendly and
75 environmentally-friendly way, become a strong competitor? The personal is poli-
76 tical. Qiu supports the building of an alliance between workers and consumers to
77 enhance workers’ social and economic rights in a digitally connected environ-
78 ment. Amid a shrinking world of compressed time and space, the struggles of
79 Apple labour in China and the world remain highly contested. What is certain
80 is that activists and academics alike will be inspired by Qiu’s “manifesto” to
81 rethink our relationship with digital technologies.

82
83
84
85
86
87
88

JENNY CHAN QI
jenny.wl.chan@polyu.edu.hk