



Citation: Costarelli S, Cozzi-Lepri A, Lapadula G, Bonora S, Madeddu G, Maggiolo F, et al. (2016) Long-Term Durability of Tenofovir-Based Antiretroviral Therapy in Relation to the Co-Administration of Other Drug Classes in Routine Clinical Practice. PLoS ONE 11(10): e0160761. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761

Editor: Dimitrios Paraskevis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, GREECE

Received: February 10, 2016

Accepted: July 25, 2016

Published: October 7, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Costarelli et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: These authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: SC received speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie and ViivHealthcare, travel grants from Gilead, ViivHealthcare and Bristol-Meyers and has been an employee of Gilead Sciences between 2012 and 2013; ACL received no **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Long-Term Durability of Tenofovir-Based Antiretroviral Therapy in Relation to the Co-Administration of Other Drug Classes in Routine Clinical Practice

Silvia Costarelli^{1®}, Alessandro Cozzi-Lepri^{2®}, Giuseppe Lapadula^{1®}*, Stefano Bonora³, Giordano Madeddu⁴, Franco Maggiolo⁵, Andrea Antinori⁶, Massimo Galli⁷, Giovanni Di Perri³, Pierluigi Viale⁸, Antonella d'Arminio Monforte⁹, Andrea Gori¹, ICONA Foundation Study Group¹

Department of Infectious Diseases, San Gerardo Hospital, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza, Italy,
Department of Virology, Royal Free and University College Medical School, London, United Kingdom,
Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy,
Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Unit of Infectious Diseases, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy,
Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy,
Department of Infectious Diseases, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy,
National Institute for Infectious Diseases-IRCCS, Rome, Italy,
Department of Clinical and Biomedical Sciences "Luigi Sacco", Section of Infectious Diseases, University of Milan, Milan, Italy,
Clinic of Infectious Diseases, S.Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy,
Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

¶ The complete membership of the ICONA Foundation Study group is provided in the Acknowledgments. * g.lapadula@hsgerardo.org

Abstract

Background

In clinical trials, toxicity leading to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) discontinuation is rare (3% by 2 years); however in clinical practice it seems to be higher, particularly when TDF is co-administered with ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors (PI/r). Aims of this study were to assess the rate of TDF discontinuations in clinical practice and to identify factors associated with the risk of stopping TDF.

Methods

All antiretroviral treatment (ART)-naive patients initiating a TDF-based regimen were selected from the ICONA Foundation Study cohort. The primary outcome was TDF discontinuation regardless of the reason; secondary outcome measures were TDF discontinuation due to toxicity and selective TDF discontinuation (that is, TDF discontinuation or substitution, maintaining unchanged the remaining antiretroviral treatment).

Results

3,618 ART-naïve patients were included: 54% started a PI/r-based and 46% a NNRTIbased based regimen. Two-hundred-seven ty-seven patients discontinued TDF and reintroduced ART within 30 days without TDF. The probability of TDF discontinuation regardless of the reason was of 7.4% (95%CI:6.4–8.5) by 2 years and 14.1% (95%CI:12.2–16.1) by 5



grants: GL received speaker grants from Janssen. Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie and Novartis and travel grants from Gilead, Merck, Abbvie, Boerhinger and Bristol-Meyers, SB received board membership grants and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare; GM received board membership grants and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare and travel meeting expenses from Janssen, Gliead Sciences and ViivHealthcare; FM received board membership grants from Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Merck and ViivHealthcare and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb and ViivHealthcare; AA received consultancy and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare and travel meeting expenses from Abbvie and ViivHealthcare; MG received board membership grants consultancy and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare, travel meeting expenses from Abbvie and ViivHealthcare; GD received board membership grants and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare and speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare; PV received no grants; ADM received speaker grants from Janssen, Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie and Merck; AG received board membership grants and speaker grants from Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare and speaker grants from Gliead Sciences, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Abbvie, Merck and ViivHealthcare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

years. The 5-year KM estimates in the PI/r vs. NNRTI group were 20.4% vs. 7.6%, respectively (log-rank p = 0.0001), for the outcome of stopping regardless of the reason, and 10.7% vs. 4.7% (p = 0.0001) for discontinuation due to toxicity. PI/r use and lower eGFR were associated with an increased risk of discontinuing TDF.

Conclusion

In our cohort, the frequency of TDF discontinuations was higher than that observed in clinical trials. Co-administration of TDF with PI/r was associated with an increased rate of TDF discontinuations. Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanisms that might have led to this outcome.

Background

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimens could be associated with a range of toxicities. Although the incidence of discontinuation because of intolerance/toxicity has declined over time, it remains the major cause of drug discontinuation.[1] Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is a widely prescribed nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) for HIV-1 infection. Possible TDF adverse events include renal tubule damage, Fanconi's syndrome, nephrogenic diabetes insipidus and osteopenia/osteoporosis. Although the incidence of renal disease can be reduced by ART,[2] current use and cumulative exposure to TDF have been associated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) reduction and/or increased incidence of chronic kidney disease (CKD).[3–6] Moreover, cumulative TDF exposure has been associated with reduced bone mineral density and increased osteoporotic fracture risk.[7]

TDF-related renal toxicity seems to be enhanced by concurrent administration of ritonavirboosted protease inhibitors (PI/r), particularly atazanavir/ritonavir (ATZ/r) and lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r).[4, 8, 9] Similarly, a steeper increase in bone resorption markers and more marked reduction in bone mineral density were observed in three randomized clinical trials, when TDF was associated with a PI/r.[10–12]

Toxicity leading to discontinuation of TDF is a rare occurrence, in clinical trials, ranging from 0 to 3% by 2 years from starting the drug.[13–18] Nonetheless, in clinical practice, the proportion of TDF discontinuations due to toxicity or side effects seems to be higher, but it remains largely unexplored.

The aim of our study was to describe the use of TDF as part of first-line ART initiated from ART-naïve in clinical practice, to assess the rate of its discontinuation over time and to explore factors associated with the risk of TDF discontinuation (with the focus on the drug class of the third drug initiated with TDF).

Methods

Data from the Icona Foundation Study database were used. A detailed description of the cohort has been provided elsewhere.[19, 20] In brief, the ICONA Foundation Study is an Italian multicentre prospective observational cohort study of HIV-1-positive persons enrolled since 1997. This cohort consists of more than 12,000 patients, recruited in 71 infectious disease units in Italy, 41 of which still provide new enrolments and updated follow-up of the persons enrolled. Eligible patients are those who, for whatever reason, were naive to antiretroviral drugs at the time of enrolment. Demographic, pre-enrolment, clinical and laboratory data and information on the specific therapies are collected for all participants and recorded online. Reasons (up to three) for discontinuing drugs according to the treating physician are also reported on a standardized case report form. Only the main reason for discontinuation per antiretroviral drug was used in the analysis. All data are updated at the occurrence of any clinical event and, in the absence of such an event, at least every 6 months.

Patients from the ICONA Foundation Study were included in the present analysis if they had initiated a TDF-containing regimen together with a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) or a PI/r while naïve to antiretrovirals, between January 1st 2003 and June 30^{th} 2014, and they had been treated with TDF for >30 days. Patients who ever tested positive for hepatitis B surface antigen over follow-up were excluded. This was done because persistence on TDF treatment, despite toxicities, was assumed to be higher among those with hepatitis B co-infection than among HIV monoinfected patients. Follow-up accrued from the date of TDF initiation up to its discontinuation or to the last recorded clinical visit. The reasons for TDF discontinuation, as reported by the treating physicians, was used to classify the interruptions.

The primary outcome was TDF discontinuation regardless of the cause. Secondary outcome measure was TDF discontinuation due to toxicity, as reported by the treating physician. In the secondary outcome analysis, follow up was truncated at the date of last clinical visit if a person had discontinued for a reason different from toxicity. This was done because we were interested in predicting how many people stopped TDF due to toxicity. We used the marginal risk which reflects both the causal effect of covariates on the risk of stopping TDF because of toxicity but also other possible mechanisms related to the competing events (e.g., interruptions for other causes which were not relevant here). The analysis of the risk of interruption due to toxicity was further restricted to only discontinuations due to renal toxicity, again using a marginal model approach and classifying the reason for discontinuation according to the reason reported by the treating physician. Eventually, all analyses were repeated considering only selective TDF discontinuations (TDF interruption or substitution, while maintaining unchanged the remaining antiretroviral treatment) as the outcome of interest, in order to minimize the possible effect of the companion drugs' side effects on the decision to interrupt TDF. So, this analysis is designed to evaluate the rate of treatment discontinuation truly attributable to TDF and not to other drugs. In all analyses, changes in formulation and interruptions followed by re-initiation of TDF-based regimens within 1 month and/or TDF discontinuations in the context of a complete ART discontinuation lasting >1 month did not count as events.

Survival analysis using Kaplan Meyer (KM) and Cox proportional hazards model were used. An intention-to-treat (ITT) approach, ignoring switches of PI/r, NNRTI or other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI), was performed. Besides the drug class co-administrated with TDF (PI/r vs. NNRT), the following covariates were included in the multivariable Cox model: demographics, mode of HIV transmission, hepatitis C coinfection (defined as serum reactivity for hepatitis C virus antibody), baseline eGFR (calculated using the CKD-Epi formula) [21] and CD4+ T-cell count, diagnosis of diabetes, lipid assessment (total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol), use of blood pressure lowering drugs and statins, calendar year. These were chosen a priori as potentially associated with both the choice of the initial class of the third drug and the risk of stopping TDF. Cox regression models were stratified by clinical site.

Finally we estimated the variation of eGFR over time since TDF initiation and whether it was different according to third-drug class used (PI/r or NNRTI), using a mixed linear model with random intercept and slope. This was done to supplement the main analysis based on the reason reported by the clinician which is likely to be a less objective endpoint influenced by beliefs engrained in clinical practice.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2014). All P-values presented are two sided and a P-value <0.05 indicated conventional statistical significance.

Ethics Statement

Patients included in the ICONA Foundation Study provide, at enrolment, written informed consent to include their clinical data in the ICONA dataabse for scientific purposes. The data are anonymized and the database is hosted by the ICONA foundation, in compliance with current Italian regulations. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital "San Paolo", Università degli Studi, Milan (Coordinating Centre) and those of the following Institutions: Università "G. D'Annunzio", Ospedale SS. Annunziata, Chieti; Ospedale Civile Santo Spirito, Pescara; Azienda Ospedaliera "D. Cotugno", Napoli; Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria "Federico II", Napoli; Policlinico "S. Orsola Malpighi", Bologna; Università degli Studi, Arcispedale S. Maria Nuova, Reggio Emilia; Università degli Studi, Policlinico di Modena; Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria "Arcispedale S. Anna", Ferrara; A.O.U. "Santa Maria della Misericordia", Udine; INMI IRCCS "Lazzaro Spallanzani", Roma; Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico Tor Vergata, Roma; Centro Coordinamento AIDS, Latina (Roma); Policlinico Gemelli, Università Cattolica, Roma; Policlinico Umberto I, Università La Sapienza, Roma; Ospedale Bel Colle Viterbo, Viterbo; Università degli Studi, Ospedale San Martino, Genova; Ospedali Galliera, Genova; Ospedali Riuniti, Bergamo; Università degli Studi, Spedali Civili, Brescia;

Ospedale di Circolo, Busto Arsizio; Ospedale "A. Manzoni", Lecco; Ospedale "Luigi Sacco", Milano; Università degli Studi, IRCCS "San Raffaele", Milano; Ospedale Niguarda, Milano; Ospedale "San Gerardo", Monza; Ospedale Torrette, Ancona; Università Politecnica Marche, Ancona; Ospedale Generale Provinciale, Macerata; Università di Torino, Ospedale Amedeo di Savoia, Torino; Università degli studi, Bari; Policlinico Universitario di Monserrato, Cagliari; Università degli Studi, Sassari; Ospedale Garibaldi, Presidio Ospedaliero Nesima, Catania; Università di Messina;

Azienda Ospedaliera "Umberto I", Siracusa; Ospedale "S.M. Annunziata", Firenze; Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Siena; Università degli Studi, Policlinico Monteluce, Perugia; Azienda Ospedaliera "Santa Maria", Terni.

Results

Patients' characteristics

Three thousands six hundred and eighteen HIV-positive patients were enrolled and followed for a total of 8,043 patient-years of follow-up. One thousand six-hundred sixty-nine patients (46%) started TDF as part of a NNRTI- based regimen and the remaining 1,949 (54%) a PI/r based regimen. Their median age was 38 years-old and their median baseline eGFR was 106 ml/min. Patients on PI/r based regimen were more likely to be female (p<0.001), older (p = 0.02) and previously diagnosed with AIDS (p<0.001) and to have a lower CD4-T cell count (p<0.001) and a higher HIV viral load (p<0.001, Table 1). Among patients on PI/r based regimen, 783 (40%) were on ATZ/r, 676 (35%) were on darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) and 490 (25%) were on LPV/r. Patients who started TDF as a part of a integrase- inhibitor based regimen were only 8, and we decided not to include them in the analysis. A detailed description of the characteristics of the patients is shown in Table 1.

Risk of tenofovir discontinuation

A total of 277 cases of TDF discontinuation were observed, of whom 202 in PI/r group and 75 in NNRTI group, respectively. The probability of discontinuation of TDF regardless of the reason was of 7.4% (95% CI: 6.4–8.5) by 2 years and 14.1% (95%CI 12.2–16.1) by 5 years. When patients were grouped according to the third-drug class started with TDF, the 5- year KM estimates of TDF discontinuation were 7.6% (95%CI: 5.5–9.7)) and 20.4% (95%CI 17.2–23.6) in

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients initiating a tenofovir-containing regimen, grouped by "third drug" class.

Characteristics	Third-di	rug class	p-value	Total	
				N = 3618	
	NNRTI	Pl/r			
	N = 1669	N = 1949			
Gender, n (%)					
Female	296 (17.7%)	440 (22.6%)	<0.001	736 (20.3%)	
Mode of HIV transmission, n (%)					
Intravenous drug use	148 (8.9%)	209 (10.8%)		357 (9.9%)	
Homosexual contacts	723 (43.5%)	721 (37.1%)		1444 (40.1%)	
Heterosexual contacts	667 (40.0%)	858 (44%)		1525 (42.2%)	
Other/unknown	124 (7.5%)	154 (7.9%)	0.001	278 (7.7%)	
Ethnicity, n (%)					
Black	85 (5.1%)	151 (7.7%)	0.001	236 (6.5%)	
AIDS diagnosis, n (%)					
Yes	69 (4.1%)	146 (7.5%)	<0.001	215 (5.9%)	
NRTIs, n (%)	. ,				
FTC	1536 (92%)	1869 (95.9%)		3405 (94.1%)	
3TC	115 (6.9%)	54 (2.8%)		169 (4.7%)	
Other	18 (1.1%)	26 (1.3%)	<0.001	5 (0.1%)	
HCVAb, n (%)					
Negative	798 (47.8%)	725 (37.2%)		1523 (42.1%)	
Positive	130 (7.8%)	127 (6.5%)		257 (7.1%)	
Not tested	741 (44.4%)	1097 (56.3%)	0.817	1838 (50.8%)	
Age, years					
Median (IQR)	37 (31,43)	38 (32,45)	0.020	38 (32,44)	
CD4, count, cells/mmc					
Median (IQR)	330 (235,419)	253 (110,372)	<0.001	296 (165,397)	
CD4 count nadir, cells/mmc					
Median (IQR)	311 (218,396)	243 (105,353)	<0.001	280 (154,378)	
CD8 count, cells/mmc					
Median (IQR)	907 (656,1256)	824 (550,1210)	<0.001	866 (592,1233)	
Viral load, log10 copies/mL					
Median (IQR)	4.60 (4,5.09)	4.90 (4.18,5.43)	<0.001	4.74 (4.07,5.25)	
Follow-up, months					
Median (IQR)	19 (7,41)	19 (6,34)	0.012	19 (6,36)	
Time from enrollment to date of starting antiretroviral treatment, months					
Median (IQR)	2 (0,18)	1 (0,4)	<0.001	1 (0,11)	
Calendar year of baseline					
Median (IQR)	2011 (2009–2013)	2011 (2010–2012)	0.817	2011 (2009–2012)	
eGFR (CKD-epi formula), ml/min					
Median (IQR)	105.9 (93.77,115.4)	106.5 (93.50, 116.5)	0.369	106.2 (93.62-116.2)	

List of abbreviations: 3TC, lamivudine; AIDS, acquired immune deficiency syndrome; CKD-epi, chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration [21]; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FTC, emtricitabine; HCVAb, hepatitis C virus antibodies; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI/r, ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.t001

the NNRTI and PI/r group, respectively (log-rank p < 0.001) (Fig 1). Among the 277 patients who stopped TDF, 123 (44.4%) switched to an abacavir/lamivudine-containing regimen, 50 (18%) to a regimen containing the sole lamivudine or emtricitabine, 29 (10.5%) to a





Fig 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of risk of tenofovir discontinuation regardless of the reason in boosted protease inhibitors versus non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.g001

zidovudine/lamivudine-containing regimen, 2 to other NRTI-combinations and 64 (23.1%) to NRTI-sparing combinations. A detailed description of the composition of the regimen started after the discontinuation according to the initial third drug is depicted in Table 2.

A multivariable Cox regression analysis assessing time fixed factors at baseline associated with the risk of TDF discontinuation was run (full results in Table 3A). In this model, initiation of a PI/r-based treatment was associated with a significantly higher risk of TDF discontinuation

Table 2. Composition of the NRTI back-bone of the regimen started after the discontinuation of tenofovir (rows), according to the initial third drug (columns)–All tenofovir discontinuations.

	Regimen started TDF/FTC or TDF/3TC plus					
New regimen after stop of TDF						
	NRTI-sparing regimen	12	0	25	17	10
Abacavir/lamivudine	31	5	37	27	23	123 (44.4%)
Zidovudine/lamvudine	15	1	6	5	2	29 (10.5%)
Lamivudine or emtricitabine only	4	0	5	28	13	50 (18.1%)
Didanosine ± lamivudine or emtricitabine	6	0	3	0	0	9 (3.2%)
Other NRTI combinations	1	0	0	1	0	2 (0.7%)
Total	69 (24.9%)	6 (2.2%)	76(27.4%)	78 (28.2%)	48 (17.3%)	277 (100%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.t002

(HR 1.70; 95%CI 1.16–2.48). Moreover, a lower eGFR at ART initiation was an additional independent risk factor (per 10 ml/min decrease, HR 1.19; 95%CI 1.08–1.32). Among patients starting a PI/r-based regimen, the hazard of TDF discontinuation did not differ to a significant extent comparing the different PI/r (HR 0.99; 95%CI 0.66–1.48 and HR 1.57; 95%CI 0.9–2.73, for use of ATV/r and DRV/r *versus* LPV/r, respectively).

Reasons for tenofovir discontinuation and risk of toxicity-driven discontinuation

A half (n = 139, 50.2%) of the discontinuations were driven by toxicity. Among these, 78/139 (56.1%) were motivated by renal toxicity (64 patients) or by osteopenia/osteoporosis (14 patients). Interruptions due to toxicity accounted for 31% (43/139) and 69% (96/139) of the discontinuations among patients who started PI/r and NNRTI, respectively. The other reasons for TDF discontinuation reported by the treating physician were non-adherence (7.6%%), simplification (15.5%), failure (11.2%), and other/unknown causes (11.2%). The 5- year KM estimates of TDF discontinuation due to toxicity were 10.7% (95%CI: 8.1–13.4) vs. 4.7% (95%CI: 2.9–6.5) for discontinuation due to toxicity in the PI/r and NNRTI group, respectively (Log-rank p = 0.0001) (Fig 2).

Table 3. (a,b,c) Multivariable Cox regression analysis assessing factors associated with tenofovir discontinuation regardless of the reas	on,
because of toxicity and with selective tenofovir discontinuation.	

	Crude and adjusted relative hazards					
Outcomes	Crude RH (95%CI)	p-value	Adjusted * RH (95%CI)	p-value		
(A) Discontinuation of tenofovi	r regardless of the reason					
Drug Class						
NNRTI	1.00		1.00			
PI	2.50 (1.91–3.26)	<0.001	1.70 (1.16–2.48)	0.006		
Baseline weight, Kg						
per 10 heavier	0.94 (0.84–1.05)	0.263	0.95 (0.81–1.11)	0.534		
Baseline eGFR, ml/min						
per 10 lower	1.18 (1.10–1.27)	<0.001	1.19 (1.08–1.32)	< .001		
(B) Discontinuation of tenofovi	r due to toxicity					
Drug Class						
PI/r	1.00		1.00			
NNRTI	2.04 (1.42–2.93)	<0.001	1.58 (0.93–2.70)	0.093		
Age, years						
per 10 older	1.60 (1.34–1.90)	<0.001	1.43 (1.11–1.85)	0.005		
Calendar year						
per more recent year	1.12 (1.03–1.22)	0.010	1.14 (1.00–1.31)	0.059		
Baseline eGFR, ml/min						
per 10 lower	1.32 (1.21, 1.45)	<0.001	1.24 (1.08, 1.42)	0.002		
(C) Selective discontinuation o	f tenofovir					
Drug Class						
PI/r	1.00		1.00			
NNRTI	3.93 (2.56, 6.05)	<0.001	2.77 (1.49, 5.12)	0.001		

* adjusted for age, gender, black ethnicity, mode of HIV transmission, weight, hepatitis C co-infection status, AIDS diagnosis, baseline CD4+ count and nadir, viral load at cART initiation, year of starting cART, diabetes, use of blood pressure lowering drugs at baseline, baseline eGFR and stratified by clinical center.

eGFR was calculated using the chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration formula. [21]

List of abbreviations: cART, combination antiretroviral treatment; CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PI/r, ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor; RH, relative hazard.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.t003





Fig 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of risk of tenofovir discontinuation due to toxicity in boosted protease inhibitors versus nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.g002

In a multivariable Cox regression analysis, initiating treatment with PI/r (compared with starting a NNRTI, HR 1.58; 95%CI 0.93–2.70), older age (per 10 years increase, HR 1.43; 95% CI 1.11–1.85) and lower baseline eGFR (per 10 ml/min decrease, HR 1.24; 95%CI 1.08–1.42) were independent predictors of TDF discontinuation due to toxicity (Table 3B). When discontinuation due to kidney toxicity was used as outcome measure of a separate Cox regression analysis, drug companion was not associated with the risk of TDF discontinuation (HR 1.31 of PI/r vs. NNRTI; 95%CI 0.58–2.98) and a lower baseline eGFR was the only independent predictor of TDF discontinuation (per 10 ml/min decrease, HR 1.46; 95%CI 1.21–1.76).

When a mixed linear model was used to estimate average eGFR trajectories, people who had started TDF co-administered with a PI/r, rather than a NNRTI, had a steeper eGFR reduction over time, but the difference was not statistically significant (mean change in eGFR per year in the PI/r group: -1.5; 95%CI [-1.9;-1.2] vs.-mean change in the NNRTI group: -1.2; 95%CI [-1.7;-0.70], p = 0.32).

Selective tenofovir discontinuations

One hundred and thirty-six selective TDF discontinuations were observed during the study follow-up. After selective TDF discontinuation, 75 (55.2%) patients switched to an abacavir/lamivudine-containing regimen, 34 (25%) to a regimen containing the sole lamivudine or emtricitabine, 8 (5.9%) to a zidovudine/lamivudine-containing regimen, 1 (0.7%) to other NRTI-



	Regimen started					
New regimen after stop of TDF						
	Efavirenz	Nevirapine	Lopinavir	Atazanavir	Darunavir	Any drug
NRTI-sparing regimen	0	0	5	2	6	13 (9.6%)
Abacavir/lamivudine	17	3	17	19	19	75 (55.1%)
Zidovudine/lamivudine	2	0	5	1	0	8 (5.9%)
Lamivudine or emtricitabine only	0	0	2	21	11	34 (25%)
Didanosine ± lamivudine or emtricitabine	4	0	1	0	0	5 (3.7%)
Other NRTI combinations	0	0	0	1	0	1 (0.7%)
Total	23 (16.9%)	3 (2.2%)	30 (22.1%)	44 (32.3%)	36 (26.5%)	136 (100%)

Table 4. Composition of the NRTI back-bone of the regimen started after the discontinuation of tenofovir (rows), according to the initial third drug (columns)–Selective tenofovir discontinuations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.t004

combinations and 13 (9.6%) to NRTI-sparing combinations. A detailed description of the composition of the regimen started after the discontinuation according to the initial third drug is depicted in Table 4. The 5 year KM estimates in the PI/r vs. NNRTI group are illustrated in Fig 3. Using multivariable Cox regression analysis, initiation of PI/r (HR 2.77 vs. starting a NNRTI; 95% CI 1.49–5.12) was the only independent predictor of selective TDF discontinuation (Table 3C).

Discussion

In our study a non-negligible proportion of naïve patients, initiating TDF as part of their first antiretroviral regimen started when they were ART-naive, underwent discontinuation of the drug. We found that the probability of TDF discontinuation by 2 years of treatment was 7.4% and, in 50% of our participants, the main reason leading to discontinuation was toxicity. By 5 years, 14.1% of patients had discontinued TDF. These rates were significantly higher than those reported in previous randomized controlled studies in patients using TDF, in which the proportion of toxicity events leading to discontinuation ranged from 0 to 3% by 2 years from starting the drug. [13–18, 22] There may be multiple reasons for this apparent discrepancy. Patients with pre-existing kidney disease or with risk factors for TDF-associated renal impairment, such as cardiovascular or metabolic diseases, concomitant nephrotoxic medications, low body weight, advanced age and lower CD4 cell count are typically under-represented in clinical trials.[22] There is an issue with this as patients in trials are different from those seen in clinical practice, who are aging populations, with advanced HIV infections and multiple comorbidities. Moreover, treating physicians could be more prone to modify treatment in the clinical setting than during trials. In clinical practice, there are less restriction to switching than in some of the trials and if there are prior beliefs among clinicians that a drug is likely to drive a specific toxicity the appearance of one of these may lead to early treatment interruptions or drug switches, even in presence of only mild, not clinically significant side effects, such as initial GFR reduction or bone mineral density loss. Similarly, unconventional less-drug regimens, such as NRTI-sparing or dual therapies with lamivudine, used in 23% and 18% of patients discontinuing TDF in our cohort, respectively, might have been pursued by clinicians in the attempt of both reducing costs and preventing long-term drug toxicities.

Nevertheless, our results prove that TDF was maintained as part of ART regimens in the majority of patients, for a period of observation of >5 years. Discontinuation rates of TDF appear to be still lower than those reported for other drugs. Previous observational studies reported rates of discontinuation after 2 year of more than 30%, for third-drugs [23] and for abacavir/lamivudine.[24] Consistently with these results, a study on determinants of





Fig 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of risk of selective tenofovir discontinuation in boosted protease inhibitors versus nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors groups.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160761.g003

modification of the first ART in a large cohort of European and North American patients suggested that TDF/emtricitabine had the lowest rate of switch/change and interruption, compared to zidovudine/lamivudine, abacavir/lamivudine and other backbone combinations. [25] All together, these findings support current guidelines indicating TDF as component of most suggested first-line ARV regimens, based on its high potency and safety profile.[26] In our study, coadministration with a PI/r was consistently associated with higher rates of TDF discontinuation, regardless of the chosen endpoint (although the difference was not statistically significant when the discontinuation due to renal toxicity was taken into account, mainly because of the lack of power of this secondary analysis). This finding seems to be supported by biological evidence. Previous studies have suggested that PI/r could slow TDF renal clearance and increase its plasma and renal tubular intracellular concentrations, via different mechanisms, including a blockage of the tubular renal transporter of TDF (the multidrug resistance associated proteins), P-glycoprotein activity inhibition and an increased intestinal absorption. [27, 28] As a possible consequence, higher rates of renal toxicity due to TDF, when associated with PI/r, have been shown in several studies. [4, 8, 9, 11] Of note, no significant differences were found when different PI/r were compared to each other. All together, these finding suggest that durability of TDF-containing treatment may depend on its companions and that use of PI/r should be evaluated with caution in patient with other risk factors for TDF premature discontinuation and/or toxicity.

On the other hand, when we specifically investigated creatinine, no significant differences in term of eGFR decline was found comparing patients treated with TDF in combination with

PI/r or NNRTI. Of interest, the effect was in the expected direction with people who initiated a PI/r showing a higher initial level of eGFR and a steeper slope of decline over follow-up when compared to those starting a NNRTI. Moreover, when discontinuation due to renal toxicity alone was considered as the outcome, no difference in rate of discontinuation was found between PI/r and NNRTI recipients. These findings suggest that, in clinical practice, TDF discontinuations is driven by parameters other than eGFR alone. Indeed, renal function assessment is likely to include also other parameters (such as proteinuria or phosphate level), which were not available in our database. Moreover, other causes, related or not related with direct drug toxicity, can be more important in the decision of TDF discontinuation. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude that the observed increased risk in the PI/r group could be due to treating clinicians' beliefs regarding the interaction between TDF and PI/r or other unmeasured confounding.

Not surprisingly, the risk of TDF discontinuation due to toxicity and renal toxicity was higher among patients with a lower eGFR at baseline, in accordance with the results of a previous study.[5] When other options are available, use of TDF should be avoided in those with compromised renal function before treatment initiation.

Our study has some limitations that merit to be acknowledged. First, as mentioned above, this is a comparison in the observational setting so that confounding is likely to be an issue. Second, the reasons for TDF discontinuation are those reported by the physician and therefore subjective by definition. Clinicians' strategies may also vary by clinical sites (although Cox models were stratified by site). Moreover, only the main reason of discontinuation was taken into account, although only in seven cases a secondary reason for TDF discontinuation was reported, thus it is unlikely to have influenced our results. Third, there was a high percentage of unknown/other causes of discontinuation which is difficult to handle in the statistical analysis without making some strong assumptions. Fourth, as already mentioned, renal function was evaluated basing solely on eGFR and other markers of renal damage, such as urine dip stick analysis, phosphatemia or glycosuria, were not available. Fifth, due to the study time-frame, in this analysis we were not able to explore the rate of TDF discontinuation when it is prescribed in association with integrase inhibitors or coformualted with rilpivirine, because these regimens were introduced in more recent years.

In conclusion, our study had showed that frequency of TDF discontinuation in clinical practice is relatively low but much higher than that estimated in clinical trials. The co-administration of TDF with PI/r versus NNRTI and lower eGFR at initiation of the TDF-based regimen were independently associated with a higher risk of TDF discontinuation but discontinuation of TDF due to toxicity might be driven by parameters other than eGFR alone. Further studies are needed to clarify the possible interaction between TDF and the PI/r class which may lead to renal toxicities in patients with HIV treated with these drugs.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Plos_submission.xls. Data set (XLS)

Acknowledgments

Icona Foundation Study Group

Board of Directors

M Moroni (Chair), M Andreoni, G Angarano, A Antinori, A d'Arminio Monforte, F Castelli, R Cauda, G Di Perri, M Galli, R Iardino, G Ippolito, A Lazzarin, CF Perno, F von Schloesser, P Viale

Scientific Secretary

A d'Arminio Monforte, A Antinori, A Castagna, F Ceccherini-Silberstein, A Cozzi-Lepri, E Girardi, S Lo Caputo, C Mussini, M Puoti

Steering Committee

M Andreoni, A Ammassari, A Antinori, A d'Arminio Monforte, C Balotta, P Bonfanti, S Bonora, M Borderi, MR Capobianchi, A Castagna, F Ceccherini-Silberstein, A Cingolani, P Cinque, A Cozzi-Lepri, A d'Arminio Monforte, A De Luca, A Di Biagio, E Girardi, N Gianotti, A Gori, G Guaraldi, G Lapadula, M Lichtner, S Lo Caputo, G Madeddu, F Maggiolo, G Marchetti, S Marcotullio, L Monno, C Mussini, M Puoti, E Quiros Roldan, S Rusconi, A Saracino

Statistical and Monitoring Team

A.Cozzi-Lepri, P. Cicconi, I. Fanti, L. Galli, P. Lorenzini, A Tavelli

Participating Physicians and Centers

Italy A Giacometti, A Costantini, S Mazzoccato (Ancona); G Angarano, L Monno, C Santoro (Bari); F Maggiolo, C Suardi (Bergamo); P Viale, E Vanino, G Verucchi (Bologna); F Castelli, E Quiros Roldan, C Minardi (Brescia); T Quirino, C Abeli (Busto Arsizio); PE Manconi, P Piano (Cagliari); J Vecchiet, K Falasca (Chieti); L Sighinolfi, D Segala (Ferrara); F Mazzotta, S Lo Caputo (Firenze); G Cassola, C Viscoli, A Alessandrini, R Piscopo, G Mazzarello (Genova); C Mastroianni, V Belvisi (Latina); P Bonfanti, I Caramma (Lecco); A Chiodera, AP Castelli (Macerata); M Galli, A Lazzarin, G Rizzardini, M Puoti, A d'Arminio Monforte, AL Ridolfo, R Piolini, A Castagna, S Salpietro, L Carenzi, MC Moioli, C Tincati, G. Marchetti (Milano); C Mussini, C Puzzolante (Modena); A Gori, G. Lapadula (Monza); N Abrescia, A Chirianni, MG Guida, M Gargiulo (Napoli); F Baldelli, D Francisci (Perugia); G Parruti, T Ursini (Pescara); G Magnani, MA Ursitti (Reggio Emilia); R Cauda, M. Andreoni, A Antinori, V Vullo, A. Cingolani, A d'Avino, L Gallo, E Nicastri, R Acinapura, M Capozzi, R Libertone, G Tebano (Roma); A Cattelan, L Sasset (Rovigo); MS Mura, G Madeddu (Sassari); A De Luca, B Rossetti (Siena); P Caramello, G Di Perri, GC Orofino, S Bonora, M Sciandra (Torino); M Bassetti, A Londero (Udine); G Pellizzer, V Manfrin (Vicenza).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SC GL ACL

Performed the experiments: ACL GL

Analyzed the data: ACL GL

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SB GM FM AA MG GDP PV ADM AG

Wrote the paper: GL SC

Revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content: GL

Final approval of the version to be published: GL.

References

1. Cicconi P, Cozzi-Lepri A, Castagna A, Trecarichi EM, Antinori A, Gatti F, et al. Insights into reasons for discontinuation according to year of starting first regimen of highly active antiretroviral therapy in a

cohort of antiretroviral-naive patients. HIV Med 2010; 11(2):104–113. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1293.2009. 00750.x PMID: 19732176

- Weiner NJ, Goodman JW, Kimmel PL. The HIV-associated renal diseases: current insight into pathogenesis and treatment. Kidney Int 2003; 63(5):1618–1631. PMID: 12675837
- Horberg M, Tang B, Towner W, Silverberg M, Bersoff-Matcha S, Hurley L, et al. Impact of tenofovir on renal function in HIV-infected, antiretroviral-naive patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010; 53 (1):62–69. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181be6be2 PMID: 19838127
- Mocroft A, Kirk O, Reiss P, De WS, Sedlacek D, Beniowski M, et al. Estimated glomerular filtration rate, chronic kidney disease and antiretroviral drug use in HIV-positive patients. AIDS 2010; 24(11):1667– 1678. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e328339fe53 PMID: 20523203
- Ryom L, Mocroft A, Kirk O, Worm SW, Kamara DA, Reiss P, et al. Association between antiretroviral exposure and renal impairment among HIV-positive persons with normal baseline renal function: the D: A:D study. J Infect Dis 2013; 207(9):1359–1369. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jit043 PMID: 23382571
- Scherzer R, Estrella M, Li Y, Choi AI, Deeks SG, Grunfeld C, et al. Association of tenofovir exposure with kidney disease risk in HIV infection. AIDS 2012; 26(7):867–875. doi: 10.1097/QAD. 0b013e328351f68f PMID: 22313955
- Bedimo R, Maalouf NM, Zhang S, Drechsler H, Tebas P. Osteoporotic fracture risk associated with cumulative exposure to tenofovir and other antiretroviral agents. AIDS 2012; 26(7):825–831. doi: 10. 1097/QAD.0b013e32835192ae PMID: 22301411
- Goicoechea M, Liu S, Best B, Sun S, Jain S, Kemper C, et al. Greater tenofovir-associated renal function decline with protease inhibitor-based versus nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor-based therapy. J Infect Dis 2008; 197(1):102–108. doi: 10.1086/524061 PMID: 18171292
- Young J, Schafer J, Fux CA, Furrer H, Bernasconi E, Vernazza P, et al. Renal function in patients with HIV starting therapy with tenofovir and either efavirenz, lopinavir or atazanavir. AIDS 2012; 26(5):567– 575. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32834f337c PMID: 22398568
- Brown T, Moser C, Currier J, Ribaudo H, Rothenberg J, Dube M, et al. Bone Density Changes After Antiretroviral Initiation With Protease Inhibitors or Raltegravir. In: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2014—March 3–6, 2014—Boston (MA)—USA—Abs 779LB; 2014.
- 11. Foca E, Motta D, Borderi M, Gotti D, Albini L, Calabresi A, et al. Prospective evaluation of bone markers, parathormone and 1,25-(OH)(2) vitamin D in HIV-positive patients after the initiation of tenofovir/ emtricitabine with atazanavir/ritonavir or efavirenz. BMC Infect Dis 2012; 12:38. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-38 PMID: 22333484
- McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, Tierney C, Jahed NC, Tebas P, et al. Bone mineral density and fractures in antiretroviral-naive persons randomized to receive abacavir-lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine along with efavirenz or atazanavir-ritonavir: Aids Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a substudy of ACTG A5202. J Infect Dis 2011; 203(12):1791–1801. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jir188 PMID: 21606537
- 13. Daar ES, Tierney C, Fischl MA, Sax PE, Mollan K, Budhathoki C, et al. Atazanavir plus ritonavir or efavirenz as part of a 3-drug regimen for initial treatment of HIV-1. Ann Intern Med 2011; 154(7):445–456. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-154-7-201104050-00316 PMID: 21320923
- Johnson MA, Gathe JC Jr., Podzamczer D, Molina JM, Naylor CT, Chiu YL, et al. A once-daily lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimen provides noninferior antiviral activity compared with a twice-daily regimen. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2006; 43(2):153–160. PMID: 16951643
- Mills AM, Nelson M, Jayaweera D, Ruxrungtham K, Cassetti I, Girard PM, et al. Once-daily darunavir/ ritonavir vs. lopinavir/ritonavir in treatment-naive, HIV-1-infected patients: 96-week analysis. AIDS 2009; 23(13):1679–1688. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832d7350 PMID: 19487905
- 16. Molina JM, Andrade-Villanueva J, Echevarria J, Chetchotisakd P, Corral J, David N, et al. Once-daily atazanavir/ritonavir compared with twice-daily lopinavir/ritonavir, each in combination with tenofovir and emtricitabine, for management of antiretroviral-naive HIV-1-infected patients: 96-week efficacy and safety results of the CASTLE study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010; 53(3):323–332. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181c990bf PMID: 20032785
- Smith KY, Patel P, Fine D, Bellos N, Sloan L, Lackey P, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebomatched, multicenter trial of abacavir/lamivudine or tenofovir/emtricitabine with lopinavir/ritonavir for initial HIV treatment. AIDS 2009; 23(12):1547–1556. doi: <u>10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832cbcc2</u> PMID: <u>19542866</u>
- Walmsley S, Avihingsanon A, Slim J, Ward DJ, Ruxrungtham K, Brunetta J, et al. Gemini: a noninferiority study of saquinavir/ritonavir versus lopinavir/ritonavir as initial HIV-1 therapy in adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2009; 50(4):367–374. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e318198a815 PMID: 19214123
- 19. d'Arminio Monforte A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Rezza G, Pezzotti P, Antinori A, Phillips AN, et al. Insights into the reasons for discontinuation of the first highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) regimen in a cohort

of antiretroviral naive patients. I.CO.N.A. Study Group. Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients. AIDS 2000; 14(5):499–507. PMID: 10780712

- 20. d'Arminio Monforte A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Castagna A, Antinori A, De Luca A, Mussini C, et al. Risk of developing specific AIDS-defining illnesses in patients coinfected with HIV and hepatitis C virus with or without liver cirrhosis. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49(4):612–622. doi: 10.1086/603557 PMID: 19591597
- Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF III, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009; 150(9):604–612. PMID: 19414839
- Nelson MR, Katlama C, Montaner JS, Cooper DA, Gazzard B, Clotet B, et al. The safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of HIV infection in adults: the first 4 years. AIDS 2007; 21(10):1273– 1281. PMID: 17545703
- Reekie J, Reiss P, Ledergerber B, Sedlacek D, Parczewski M, Gatell J, et al. A comparison of the longterm durability of nevirapine, efavirenz and lopinavir in routine clinical practice in Europe: a EuroSIDA study. HIV Med 2011; 12(5):259–268. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1293.2010.00877.x PMID: 20812948
- 24. Cuzin L, Allavena C, Finkielsztejn L, Melliez H, Pugliese P, Poizot-Martin L, et al. Tolerance and Durability of Abacavir/Lamivudine (Abc/3tc) Containing Regimens: Results from a large French Prospective Cohort. J AIDS Clin Res 2012; S1:019.
- Abgrall S, Ingle SM, May MT, Costagliola D, Mercie P, Cavassini M, et al. Durability of first ART regimen and risk factors for modification, interruption or death in HIV-positive patients starting ART in Europe and North America 2002–2009. AIDS 2013; 27(5):803–813. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32835cb997 PMID: 23719350
- 26. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Department of Health and Human Services. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Section accessed May 26th 2016.
- Kearney BP, Mathias A, Mittan A, Sayre J, Ebrahimi R, Cheng AK. Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate on coadministration with lopinavir/ritonavir. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2006; 43(3):278–283. PMID: 17079992
- Kiser JJ, Carten ML, Aquilante CL, Anderson PL, Wolfe P, King TM, et al. The effect of lopinavir/ritonavir on the renal clearance of tenofovir in HIV-infected patients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008; 83(2):265– 272. PMID: 17597712