



(12) **United States Patent**
Gole et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,203,852 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 20, 2001**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LITHIATING ALLOYS**

- (75) Inventors: **James L. Gole**, Atlanta, GA (US);
Frank P. Dudel, Huntsville, AL (US)
- (73) Assignee: **Georgia Tech Research Corporation**,
Atlanta, GA (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/385,994**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 30, 1999**

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. 09/074,875, filed on May 8, 1998, now Pat. No. 6,010,750.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/045,864, filed on May 8, 1997.
- (51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **C23C 14/16**
- (52) **U.S. Cl.** **427/250; 148/512**
- (58) **Field of Search** **427/250; 148/512**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,222,814	*	9/1980	Reitz .	
4,325,611	*	4/1982	Huggins et al. .	
4,374,163		2/1983	Isenberg	427/253
4,507,189		3/1985	Doi et al.	204/192
4,514,275		4/1985	Shimada et al.	204/298
5,354,443		10/1994	Moslehi	204/192.12
5,698,273		12/1997	Azad et al.	427/566
5,705,293		1/1998	Hobson	429/162
5,725,739		3/1998	Hu	204/192.3

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Chemical Abstract 103:108802, abstract of Shinku (1985) 28(5), pp 308–310. (no available month).*
- Thaddeus B. Massalski, Joanne L. Murray, Lawrence H. Bennett, and Hugh Baker, *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams*, American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, 1986. (No Month).
- M.H. Bakshi, G.A. Denton, C.P. Flynn, J.C. Boisvert and A.B. Kunz, *Physical Review B*, 31 (1985) 4972–4983. (No Month).
- B.A. Movchan and A.V. Demchishin, *Fizka Metall.* 28, 653 (1969). (No Month).
- John A. Thorton, “Coating Deposition by Sputtering”, in *Deposition Technologies for Films and Coatings—Developments and Applications*, by R.F. Bunshah, John M. Blocher, Jr., Thomas D. Bonifield, John G. Fish, P.B. Ghate, Birgit E. Jacobson, Donald M. Mattox, Gary E. McGuire, Morton Schwartz, John A. Thornton, Robert C. Tucker, Jr., Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ (1982), pp. 170–243. (No Month).
- G.S. Bales and A. Zangwill, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* 8, 145 (1991). (No Month).
- D.B. Knorr in *Material Science Forum*, vol. 157–162 (1994) pp. 1327–1336. (No Month).

- T.H. Sanders, B.P. Gu, G.L. Liedl, and K. Mahalingam, *Acta Metallurgica* 35, 482–98 (1987) (No Month).
- T.H. Sanders, S. Jha, and M.A. Dayanada, *Acta Metallurgica* 35, 473–82 (1987) (No Month).
- E.A. Starke, Jr. and T.H. Sanders, *Acta Metallurgica* 30, 927–39 (1982). (No Month).
- Donald Webster, “Aluminum–Lithium Alloys”, in *Metal Progress*, Apr., 1984—pp. 33–37.
- Roger Grimes, “Aluminum Alloy Developments for Aerospace”, in *Sheet Metal Industries*, Dec., 1982, pp. 885–898.
- R. Yazami and G. Guerard, *J. Power Sources* 43, (1993). (No Month).
- D. Guyomard and J.M. Tarascon, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 139, 937 (1992). (No Month).
- J. Wang, I.D. Raistrick and R.A. Huggins, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 133, 457 (1986). (No Month).
- Y. Iwadate, M. Lassouani, F. Lantelme, and M. Chemia, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 17, 385–397 (1987). (No Month).
- E.A. Starke, T.H. Sanders, and I.G. Palmer, “*New Approaches to Alloy in the Al–Li System*”, *Jour. Of Metals* 33, 24–31 (1981). (No Month).
- Ralph Hultgren, R. Orr, J. Anderson, and K.K. Kelley, *Selected Values of the Thermodynamic Properties of Binary Alloys*, American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, 1973, (No Month).
- Bulletin of Alloy Phase Diagrams*, American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, 1980–1990, also known as the *Journal of Phase Equilibria*, vol. 2 & 3. (No Month).
- A.A. Nayeb–Hasemi and J.B. Clark, *Phase Diagrams of Binary Magnesium Alloys*, ASM International, Metals Park, Ohio, 1988. (No Month).

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Timothy Meeks

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present invention is generally directed to a system for lithiating alloys. In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a method is provided for performing vapor deposition of a lithium alloy on a substrate comprising the steps of vaporizing a mass of lithium and controllably heating a lithium-soluble element, such as magnesium. The method further includes the step of disposing the lithium-soluble element in the lithium vapor, wherein the lithium vapor promotes the vaporization of the lithium-soluble element to create a combined vapor having intimately mixed constituencies from both the lithium and lithium-soluble element. Finally, the method includes the step of disposing a temperature controlled substrate in the combined vapor, whereby the combined vapor is deposited on the substrate. In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a method is provided for depositing lithium onto an aluminum element surface. This method includes the steps of vaporizing a mass of lithium and exposing the aluminum surface, at very stringent temperature, to the lithium vapor. This technique requires controllably heating an aluminum surface, so that the lithium vapor is deposited on this surface over a very precise temperature range (aluminum 570–590° C., lithium 525 to 585° C.) producing alloys whose lithium content does not exceed 5 wt % lithium.

1 Claim, 11 Drawing Sheets




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7C




FIG. 7D




FIG. 7E




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B




FIG. 8C




FIG. 8D

FIG. 9





FIG. 10



METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LITHIATING ALLOYS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a divisional of a application Ser. No. 09/074,875, filed May 8, 1998, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,010,750, issued Jan. 4, 2000, which claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application 60/045,864, filed May 8, 1997.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to methods for forming lithium alloys through kinetically controlled lithiation, and more particularly to a technique which simplifies lithium alloy fabrication and the control of alloy morphology through deposition on a temperature regulated substrate.

2. Discussion of the Related Art

A diversity of methods are known for combining materials in the fabrication of alloys or other devices. One of these, molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) is a process that has been applied to the fabrication of magnesium-lithium alloys. However, as is known, molecular beam epitaxy has certain shortcomings, including difficulty in implementation, expense, and time. Molecular beam epitaxy offers a means to control relatively complex fabrication processes but it is subject to rather rigid constraints (when performed properly). As a result, it is a rather expensive process to implement. In addition, growing epitaxial layers through this process is an extremely slow process. When used to combine elements such as magnesium and lithium, the MBE technique maintains relatively low concentration levels so that the magnesium and lithium constituents do not interfere with each other during the depositions process and can be combined on an appropriate substrate.

To date, there is some use of magnesium-lithium alloys in the automotive industry, and there have been suggestions of its possible use in battery technology. The rapid and controlled creation of this alloy and, especially the control of its morphology has offered a challenge to current technology. In some part, this results from the relatively high vaporization temperature of magnesium. Due to its magnesium-oxide (MgO) outer coating, it has been found that a magnesium ingot may be heated to 700 degrees C. and still remain intact.

A shortcoming of the prior art relates to the cycle life of lithium electrode batteries. It has been found that, during lithium battery recharge, the return of lithium ions to the negative battery electrode can lead to dendrite formation. This dendrite formation is believed to be the result of the inability of lithium to diffuse readily into the surface of a lithium electrode. Because of this slow diffusion into the electrode surface and bulk, the deposited lithium can grow out from the electrode as dendrites form. As the battery cycles (discharges/recharges) over time, the dendrite formation continues until one or more dendrites creates a short within an interior cell of the battery. This situation has been addressed with the creation of lithium ion batteries, however, at lessor rate capabilities and energy densities.

In prior art applications, aluminum-lithium alloys are well known. For example, the fuel tanks of the space shuttle use, or intend to use, an aluminum-lithium alloy. This alloy provides a lighter weight alternative, therefore requiring less fuel consumption. It is desirable to reuse these tanks. However, this may be problematic due to the surface heat

generated upon re-entry into the earth's atmosphere. As the tanks heat up, lithium can leach out of the aluminum. Accordingly, a technique which can potentially be used to replace the lithium lost to the surface of this and other aluminum-lithium alloys is needed.

With its light weight and optimal diffusivity, lithium incorporation can offer the potential for a wide range of improvements which include the development of new compact battery electrodes, the fabrication of new lower density alloys for the aerospace and automotive industries, the development of new selective catalysts for the oxidative coupling of methane to form C₂₊ hydrocarbons, and the creation of pinning sites in superconductors.

Accordingly, it is desirable to develop new alloy forming techniques and means to incorporate lithium using approaches which address these and other shortcomings in the prior art.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Certain advantages, and novel features of the invention will be set forth in part in the description that follows and in part will become apparent to those skilled in the art upon examination of the following or may be learned with the practice of the invention. The objects and advantages of the invention may be realized and obtained by means of the configurations and combinations particularly pointed out in the appended claims.

To achieve its advantages and novel features, the present invention is generally directed to a system for lithiating alloys. In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a method is provided for performing vapor deposition of a lithium alloy onto a substrate comprising the steps of vaporizing a mass of lithium at a controlled temperature while at the same time controllably heating a lithium-soluble element, such as magnesium. The method further includes the step of disposing the lithium-soluble element in the lithium vapor, wherein the lithium vapor promotes the vaporization of the lithium-soluble element to create a combined, intimately mixed vapor having both atoms and a small concentration of molecules from both the lithium and lithium-soluble element. Finally, the method includes the step of interacting the intimately mixed vapor with a temperature controlled substrate, whereby the combined vapor is deposited onto the substrate.

In accordance with the preferred embodiment, the lithium soluble element is magnesium. However, as will be appreciated, other elements may be used in accordance with the invention. For example, silicon, tin, copper, silver and zinc may each be used. In this regard, the lithium soluble element is one that, when disposed in lithium vapor, increases the escaping tendency at its surface (lowers the energy required to escape the surface) allowing atoms or molecules of the soluble element to vaporize and mix with the lithium vapor. By controlling the temperature of the lithium and the lithium soluble element, independently, the ratio of lithium to lithium soluble element in the combined vapor may be closely controlled. In this regard, it is generally preferred to control the lithium temperature within the range of approximately 350 degrees C. to 535 degrees C., and to control the magnesium (when magnesium is used as the lithium soluble element) temperature within the range of approximately 440 degrees C. to 490 degrees C. These temperature ranges can also shift slightly dependent upon the positioning of the lithium, magnesium, and substrate configurations. By way of example, when magnesium is heated to 450 degrees C. and exposed to lithium vapor (at

controlled temperatures), the lithium vapor first interacts with the magnesium oxide coating and converting the surface MgO to lithium oxide plus magnesium in the initial phases. Exposure of this surface to lithium and further interaction causes the vaporization of the magnesium at temperatures far below its vaporization temperature. This allows intimate mixing of the magnesium and lithium to form the magnesium-lithium alloy. In addition, the temperature of the substrate onto which the alloy mixing is deposited, may be controlled in order to control the nature of the morphology of the magnesium-lithium alloy deposited thereon.

In accordance with another aspect of the present invention, a method is provided for depositing lithium onto an aluminum element surface. This method includes the steps of vaporizing a mass of lithium and exposing the aluminum surface, at very stringent temperature, to the lithium vapor. This technique requires controllably heating an aluminum surface, so that the lithium vapor is deposited on this surface over a very precise temperature range (aluminum 570–590° C., lithium 525 to 585° C.) producing alloys whose lithium content does not exceed 5 wt % lithium.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, an apparatus is described for performing the vapor deposition of a lithium alloy onto a substrate. In this respect, the apparatus includes several variants of lithiation containers for vaporizing and interacting a mass of lithium. These containers further include a mechanism for disposing magnesium and other lithium-soluble elements to a mass of lithium vapor. To form MgLi alloys, a heating element is placed adjacent to the magnesium source, in order to controllably heat the magnesium. Finally, a construction is provided for supporting a substrate above the container in which the lithium and the soluble element interact.

In the preferred embodiment, the apparatus further includes a temperature control mechanism configured to control the temperature of the substrate. By closely controlling the substrate temperature, the morphology of the deposited material may be closely controlled. In one embodiment, the temperature controlling device may be a cooling coil placed adjacent to the substrate surface.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings incorporated in and forming a part of the specification, illustrate several aspects of the present invention, and together with the description serve to explain the principles of the invention. In the drawings:

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of an experimental configuration for formation of lithium alloys through either solid state diffusion or lithium mediated co-deposition;

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram of the configuration type for forming Mg—Li alloys via co-deposition of intimately mixed magnesium and lithium flows;

FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram of the configuration for forming Al—Li alloys via solid state diffusion;

FIG. 4A is a schematic diagram of a configuration for forming Cu—Li alloy crystallites (Cu_xLi) from the lithiation of copper foil in a closed containment vessel;

FIG. 4B is a schematic diagram of a configuration for lithiating copper foils to various Cu/Li ratios;

FIG. 5 represents a diagram of an experimental configuration for monitoring enhancement (or suppression) of magnesium evaporation due to an impinging lithium vapor flux.

FIGS. 6A and 6B are diagrams illustrating the substrate Structure Zone Model which predicts the influence of substrate temperature on the surface structure of a deposit alloy;

FIGS. 7A–7E are Scanning Election micrographs illustrating varying surface structures at varying substrate temperatures for Mg-28 wt % lithium alloys;

FIGS. 8A–8D are Scanning Election micrographs of magnesium-lithium alloy films of varying lithium weight % deposited at varying temperatures;

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating the magnesium flux signal as a function of the magnesium surface temperature as a lithium flux is allowed to impinge on the magnesium surface; and

FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating the magnesium flux signal as a function of variable magnesium surface temperature and impinging lithium beam flux temperature.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Having summarized various aspects of the present invention, reference will now be made in detail to the description of the invention as illustrated in the drawings. While the invention will be described in connection with these drawings, there is no intent to limit it to the embodiment or embodiments disclosed therein. On the contrary, the intent is to cover all alternatives, modifications and equivalents included within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

By way of further introduction, it is known that a variety of fabrication techniques coupled with controls on composition and heat treatment play central roles in the development of a wide variety of alloys. In parallel, state-of-the-art technology emphasizes the fabrication of light-weight and compact energy sources and desires to utilize lighter weight components in order to increase the efficiency with which available energy reserves are consumed. These requirements precipitated the development of methods to incorporate the unique properties of elemental lithium into a variety of fabrication processes. With its light weight and significant diffusivity in select alloys, lithium incorporation offers the potential for a wide range of improvements ranging from the development of new compact battery electrodes and the fabrication of new lower density alloys for the aerospace and automotive industries to the development of new selective catalysts.

The illustrated embodiments of the present invention outline simple approaches to the fabrication of magnesium-lithium, aluminum-lithium and copper-lithium alloys which are based primarily on kinetic control of the lithiation process and associated with the nature of surface and bulk diffusion. The combination of these factors facilitates intimate gas phase mixing of surface liberated constituents (lithium soluble elements and lithium) or surface lithiation through solid state diffusion. The considered processes: (1) are simpler than normal alloy forming approaches; (2) show the potential to circumvent many of the difficulties inherent to normal lithium alloy processing, avoiding, to significant extent, the complications of oxidation; and (3) may be coupled with polymer based electrolytes to investigate the electrochemical characteristics of a series of lithium alloys as a means of generating the negative electrodes for rechargeable lithium based batteries.

There are two distinct variants to the approaches illustrated herein for lithium alloy formation. In a first example, under certain experimental conditions, lithium vapor can be made to interact with a lithium-soluble element such as a magnesium surface in a process that significantly increases the magnesium vaporization rate, as well as allowing its intimate mixing with the lithium vapor to provide the basis

for forming composition controlled magnesium-lithium (Mg—Li) alloys upon deposition to an appropriate substrate. The microstructure, in addition to the composition, of these alloys can be modified during film deposition on the temperature controlled substrate. In a slightly different approach, the surface of metallic aluminum or its alloys may be modified with an impinging lithium vapor, creating an excess lithium content at the surface, which can then be passed into the alloy employing a solid state diffusion controlled process. The procedure is distinct in that it can permit the introduction of the reactive element lithium into the alloy near the final stages in the production of a wrought product.

Discussion of Figures

Turning now to the drawings, FIG. 1 is a diagram depicting a system to produce lithium alloy films employing variations of the physical vapor deposition process. The apparatus 10 typically operates in the 8×10^{-7} to 10^{-5} Torr pressure range and relies on simple containment vessels 12 configured, with independent temperature control, so as to promote lithium vapor interaction and alloy formation with select metals which have solubility in or can react with lithium (e.g., Magnesium, Aluminum, Copper, Silver, Zinc, silicon, and tin). This vessel 12 is also configured to facilitate the deposition of metal-lithium vapor mixtures on a substrate 14 operating under additional temperature control. Finally, it is possible to independently monitor the alloy formation process using the mass spectrometer system 16. Although the illustrated embodiment focuses on the creation of lithium alloys, it will be appreciated that the concepts and teachings of the present invention may be applied to other elements as well, especially to the alkali metals, sodium and potassium.

The ion pump 18 is a device used to maintain a very low pressure, typically 10^{-8} Torr or lower in the region of the mass spectrometer. This device, however, which operates by gettering gases can be used during the monitoring of the lithiation process at pressures approaching 10^{-6} Torr.

The mass spectrometer 16 is a device which uses electron-impact ionization to monitor the elements such as magnesium, lithium (lithium oxide), aluminum, zinc, copper, or silver by detecting their masses. The gate valve 20 allows the diffusion pump and roughing pump to be isolated from the main chamber so that this chamber can be opened for sample loading, alloy removal, and chamber cleaning and preparation.

The cold cap 22 is used to aid system pumping and to trap stray diffusion pump oil. The Diffusion Pump 24 is used to pump the main chamber to pressures approaching 8×10^{-7} Torr (background) and runs during an experiment to maintain pressures below 10^{-5} Torr. The Roughing Pump 26 pumps the system down to $\sim 10^{-3}$ Torr initially, allowing the diffusion pump to be turned on. During the experiment, it backs the diffusion pump 24.

As will be described in further detail below, heating elements are associated with the containment vessels. Heating or cooling elements are connected to the substrate. Accordingly, feed-throughs 28 or other means are provided for electrically connecting the heating elements to a current supply and controller (not shown) outside the apparatus 10.

Reference is now made to FIG. 2, which shows the containment vessel 15 and substrate 14 of FIG. 1 in more detail. Specifically, FIG. 2 depicts a configuration in which lithium vapor is made to interact with an independently heated magnesium sheet promoting the controlled liberation

of magnesium at temperatures far below its melting point, 651 degrees C. This configuration, in particular, facilitates the intimate mixing of magnesium and lithium vapors which can lead to the simultaneous vapor deposition of magnesium and lithium onto a substrate to form a desired magnesium-lithium alloy. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, this method is distinct from magnesium-lithium vapor deposition systems in which separate sources are used for each metal in the alloy. The unique process illustrated in FIG. 2 results in the creation of a magnesium vapor at temperatures nearly 200 degrees C. (See Table I) below the magnesium melting temperature and is facilitated by the interaction of lithium vapor with the solid magnesium.

In one embodiment, the containment vessel 15 may be constructed from 304 stainless steel, and may be 5 cm in height and 8 cm in diameter. The outside of the vessel 15 may be wrapped in thermal coax 30 heating element, which can be controlled to readily heat the lithium 32 to temperatures which could be made to exceed 700 degrees C. In fact, the maximum lithium temperature is typically 535–546° C. In this regard, lithium placed in the bottom of the container 15 is melted as its temperature is monitored by a thermocouple (not shown) placed directly into the melt.

Independently, a magnesium metal disc 34 (greater than 0.005" thick) and an associated tantalum sheet/heating coil/thermocouple 35 configuration is suspended inside the containment vessel 15, above a melted lithium sample 32. As the lithium mass 32 is heated to temperatures beyond the melting point of lithium, it vaporizes 36. As shown, the magnesium disc 34 is disposed within the lithium vapor path (as the vapor 34 rises). The lithium vapor then interacts with the magnesium metal 34 in such a manner as to lower the escape energy of the magnesium (increase the magnesium escaping tendency). In this way, the magnesium metal 34 begins to vaporize 38 at a much lower temperature than it otherwise would. This results in an intimately mixed magnesium/lithium vapor that emanates from the mouth of the container 15.

In the figure, solid circles represent lithium vapor molecules, and hollow circles represent magnesium vapor molecules. The combined vapor mixture can be made to condense onto the temperature controlled substrate 14 to deposit a lithium-magnesium alloy 40 thereon. It will be appreciated that the ratio of lithium to magnesium in the deposited alloy may be controlled by independently controlling the temperature of the liquid lithium 32 and the magnesium disc 34. As the temperature of the lithium is increased, the concentration of lithium in the lithium-magnesium alloy is increased. Likewise, as the temperature of the magnesium disc 34 is increased, the concentration of the magnesium in the lithium-magnesium alloy can be increased. The usual mode of operation involves primarily the adjustment of the lithium temperature.

In the preferred embodiment, the magnesium disc 34 and lithium mass 32 are maintained at two different temperatures (See Table I) using the two control loops of an Omega CN3000 temperature controller. The lithium vapor pressure at the temperature of these experiments ranges from approximately 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} Torr. The composition of the deposited alloy can be controlled by independently adjusting the magnesium and lithium temperatures. The alloy produced upon deposition to the substrate can be removed and analyzed using a combination of Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) on fracture surfaces (discussed below) and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (ICP-AAS) to determine weight % lithium.

Experiments on the magnesium-lithium system demonstrate that the presence of lithium (also other alkalis

(sodium, potassium)) vapor enhances the escaping tendency of the surface magnesium metal and, as a result facilitates the intimate mixing of the magnesium and lithium vapors. The effect typically results in the complete vaporization of a 0.025" thick magnesium sheet in less than two hours (entire heating cycle) at temperatures in the range of 450 degrees C. (See Tables I and II). In contrast, in the absence of alkali vapors, the magnesium sheet **34** remains virtually intact over an identical temperature range. By virtue of the manner in which the magnesium vapor is formed and mixed with lithium vapor, an alloy subsequently deposited as the temperature controlled substrate **14** can be shown (SEM, TEM) to have a nearly uniform composition.

The control of deposition and uniformity of composition clearly distinguishes the process from a co-deposition using two beams from independently heated metal sources. Deposition rates are sufficiently rapid (0.02 inches/hour) so as to create semi-thick alloy films. Finally, and as will be discussed below, the temperature of the substrate may be varied from 375 degrees to approximately 20 degrees C. to control the morphology of the grain structure of the vapor deposited film. The lower temperatures are obtained with a cooling device **42** connected directly to the substrate using typically water (approximately 60 to 70 degrees C.) or dry ice cooled methanol (approximately 20 degrees C.) from an appropriate slush bath.

Reference is now made to FIG. **3**, which shows a containment vessel **15** for carrying out a similar, but different, process. In the similar process, lithium **32** is deposited and absorbed onto an aluminum **134** surface and can be made to diffuse into the sample to form a desired alloy. This technique is particularly useful when it is desirable to create a lithium enriched surface coating. In this regard, it is preferred that the temperature of the aluminum target, typically a one inch diameter disk of thickness 0.07" produced as a wrought sample from an aluminum casting, be independently controlled over a narrow temperature range notably higher than that of the impinging lithium vapor **36**. The aluminum sample **134** is bathed in lithium vapor **36** as both the aluminum **134** and lithium **32** are independently heated.

When the temperature of the aluminum disk is controlled over the very narrow temperature range from 570 to 590° C. and the lithium vapor is maintained at temperatures between preferably 525 and 585° C., it is possible to produce samples which demonstrate a desirable range of lithiation (0.2-5 weight % lithium) and hardness, as the cast aluminum sheet **134** is modified while maintaining its structural integrity. In addition, excess lithium content may be diffused from the surface into the bulk sample using a solid state diffusion process. The best diffusion results are achieved when the aluminum plate **134** is reheated to temperatures between 500 and 550° C., while the lithium vapor **36**, which has already begun to alloy, is present at its surface.

Using variants of the two approaches described in FIGS. **2** and **3**, other lithium based alloys may be created using the configurations depicted in FIGS. **4A** and **4B**. In this regard, the lithiation of copper foils to generate a series of copper-lithium alloys over a range of composition is depicted. These variable diffusion controlled lithiations generally require that the system be operated over a temperature range from 500 to 600° C. for time frames generally under 20 minutes at these operating temperatures.

The closed configuration of FIG. **4A** positions a copper sheet **50** held on a knife edge seal **52** above a lithium charge. As the system (using a heating element **130** e.g., thermal coax) is heated to temperatures between 525 and 550

degrees C., the copper sheet **50** reacts with an impinging lithium vapor and losses its structural integrity. The process, if continued, leads to the conversion of the copper sheet **50** to copper lithium crystallites Cu_xLi , which can be found deposited on the bottom of the container **115** after a given run is completed, and, within 20 minutes, to the destruction of the knife edge seal **52**.

A triple tiered open configuration is illustrated in FIG. **4B**, and provides a means to lithiate the surfaces of copper foils to levels which correspond to a lower lithium incorporation than the limiting Cu_xLi crystallites. This configuration has been operated at temperatures up to 550 degrees C., which corresponds to a lithium vapor pressure near 10^{-2} Torr.

Reference is now made to FIG. **5**, which depicts an experimental configuration which may be used to initiate the study of the effect of lithium atoms (and molecules) colliding with the surface of a magnesium sheet as a function of both variable lithium and magnesium temperature. Here, a lithium vapor flux **60** generated from a lithium source **61** can be made to impinge on the magnesium sheet **62** as the shutter **64** is opened. The magnesium sheet may be heated by, for example, an adjacently disposed thermal coax heater **68**. A magnesium flux **66** vaporizing from the surface is then detected with a quadrupole mass spectrometer **16** system (shown in FIG. **1**).

Film Morphology

The microstructure and morphology of films have been extensively studied for a wide variety of metals, alloys, and refractory compounds. As is known, thin films deposited from the vapor phase often develop a columnar microstructure. As is further known, models have been developed to explain surface morphologies of deposited films as a function of temperature and pressure. In this regard, FIG. **6A** illustrates one of the first models used to describe the evolving microstructure of e-beam deposited thick films. This model, also known as the Structure Zone Model, depends universally on the normalized temperature T/T_m , where T is the substrate temperature and T_m is the melting temperature. Similarly, FIG. **6B** illustrates an adaptation of the model of FIG. **6A** to consider sputtered films. The primary difference in the two models arises when considering complex alloys and refractory compounds. Here, a transition zone, T, between Zones I and II can occur. However, for pure metals and most single-phase alloys, the Zone T is generally not observed.

For the purposes of the preferred embodiment of the present invention, it is important to note that, at lower temperatures, where the surface mobility of atoms is reduced, the Structure Zone Model predicts that growth occurs as tapered crystallites. The structure is not physically dense but contains significant longitudinal porosity. As the substrate temperature is increased, surface mobility increases and eventually the isolated independent tapered columns transform to tightly packed fibrous grains with weak grain boundaries and then to fully dense columnar morphologies, which may take on a wide range as the size of the columnar grains increases with increasing substrate temperature. Finally, as the homologous temperature, $T=T_m$ is approached, the structure tends to become equiaxed. The crystal structure at thermodynamic equilibrium can eventually be obtained especially following heat treatment.

Magnesium-Lithium Film Generation

For the system configuration depicted in FIG. **2**, the range of operating temperatures used to produce desired Mg—Li

alloys are catalogued in Tables I and II (below). Typical operating temperatures are confined to a range between approximately 450 and 475 degrees C., for the magnesium and approximately 475–535 degrees C. for lithium. At these temperatures, the magnesium metal **34** vaporizes **38** in a lithium environment and can be deposited onto a cooled substrate **14** while intimately mixing with lithium vapor **36** to form a magnesium-lithium alloy **40** film (FIG. 2). Controlled individual temperature settings during the deposition process determine the composition of the resulting alloy as the data in Table I (below) demonstrate a consistent pattern.

The lithium mediated vaporization of magnesium at temperatures some 200 degrees below the melting point of magnesium does not appear to be readily understood on the basis of the well established magnesium-lithium equilibrium phase diagram, which diagram demonstrates a eutectic point near 23 wt % Li but at 588 degrees C. and a liquidus curve which does not lie below 450 degrees C. for samples of less than 60 wt % Li. Experimental results have produced alloys with lithium contents in the range from 0.08 to 30 weight percent lithium. In addition, it appears possible to generate even higher lithium concentrations by appropriate adjustment of the relative lithium and magnesium temperatures.

TABLE I

Summary of Representative Experimental Conditions for Magnesium-Lithium Alloy Formation					
Sample Number	Mg Temperature (Deg. C.)	Li Temperature (Deg. C.)	Substrate Temperature (Deg. C.)	SEM Appearance ^a	Alloy's Li Content ^b (wt. %)
1	455–465	405–415	~330	Y, C	~0.2%
2	447–453	475–480	325–335	Y, C	~3%
3	450–455	495–500	310–335	Y, C	6%
4	450–460	510–515	290–315	Y, C	12%
5	460–464	513–517	310–340	Y, I	22%
6	470–485	525–530	365–385	Y, CRYST	26%
7	465–480	528–534	370–385	Y, CRYST	28%
8	450–465	470–500	65–90	Y, C ^c	ND ^d
9	450–490	450–458	60–70	Y, C ^c	ND ^d
10	480–485	528–535	60–70	Y, C	30%
11	445–460	523–525	~20	Y, CTP	28%
12	456–462	522–525	30–40	— ^e	— ^e

^aSEM taken with appearance = C for columnar, CRYST for cubic crystalline, CTP for tapered open-porous columnar, I = intermediate.

^bDetermined from Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy.

^cColumnar structures are more coarse than sample 10.

^dND, not determined.

^eSample ignited.

The experiments on the magnesium-lithium system illustrated in FIG. 2 readily demonstrate the influence of substrate temperature on the morphology of the deposited grain structure. The strong correlation with the Structure Zone Model is illustrated in FIG. 7. In FIGS. 7A and 7B, Scanning Electron Micrographs of a Mg-28wt % Li sample (ICP-AAS) demonstrate the dramatic influence of substrate temperature as it influences the change from an equiaxed microstructure (a cubic crystalline structure), which one might expect from the magnesium-lithium phase diagram, generated at a substrate temperature of approximately 375 degrees C., to a columnar structure when deposition is to a substrate at 60 to 70 degrees C. This striking change continues at lower temperatures, close to 20 degrees C., where tapered columns with clear voids are formed, as predicted by the Structure Zone Model.

FIGS. 7C and 7D illustrate the evolution in morphology (structure) at a higher level of magnification, and FIG. 7E

demonstrates, at even higher magnification, the longitudinal porosity which accompanies the formation of tapered columns on a substrate at 20 degrees C. The apparent validity of those models applicable for thin film deposition to the relatively thick films generated in this study is striking. It is the tapered columnar formation with voids which enhances the potential use of these systems for lithium battery development. In this regard, the tapered columnar structure allows greater surface diffusion. In a lithium battery consisting of a lithium-magnesium negative electrode, an enhanced surface diffusion can help deter the growth of dendrite structures during charging, thereby improving the cycle life of the battery.

The data in Table I (above) also indicate that very small amounts of lithium are necessary to enhance the magnesium vaporization rate. Further, at the lower lithium concentrations in alloy, the structure of the thick film appears columnar.

FIGS. 8A through 8D depict SEM scans, which suggest a transition from a columnar to an equiaxed form at substrate temperatures exceeding approximately 300 degrees C. for alloys of greater than 15 wt % lithium. The uniformity of structure for the films depicted in FIGS. 7B through 7D) and FIGS. 8A through 8C suggest a nearly uniform deposition. Finally, the deposition times necessary to produce the lithium alloys are relatively short especially when compared to molecular beam epitaxy. The data summarized in Table II (below) indicate typical run times for several compositions. Here, it is to be noted that the samples are brought slowly to temperature so as to allow the outgassing of impurities such as hydrogen (lithium melt) and absorbed oxygen (magnesium sheet or ingot). This initial preparation— heating cycle occurs over a time span close to 5–6 hours, leaving a run time at the temperature for alloy formation between 1½ and 2 hours. It is believed that with improved heating cycle control, the preparative period can be shortened considerably.

TABLE II

Summary of Magnesium Redeposition Results					
Sample Number	Mg Temperature (Deg. C.)	Li Temperature (Deg. C.)	Substrate Temperature (Deg. C.)	Experiment Run Time ^a (hours)	Alloy's Li Content (wt. %)
1	455–460	350–375		5	0.08%
2	455–465	410		6	0.23%
3	463	360–365		5½	0.03–0.3%
4	450–455	495–500	310–335	5½	6
5	450–460	510–515	290–315	7	12
6	460–464	513–517	310–340	6½	24
7	470–485	525–530	360–390	6½	26

^aDeposition period corresponds to 1½–2 hours.

Using the configuration described in connection with FIG. 5, initial studies of the enhancement of the magnesium vaporization process due to the presence of lithium vapor have been made. The results of these studies are depicted in FIGS. 9 and 10. The studies suggest a definite temperature zone for the enhancement of the magnesium vaporization. As indicated in FIG. 5, the thermocouple **69** which measures the temperatures given for the magnesium in FIGS. 9 and 10 is located in a position behind the magnesium sheet **62**. This thermocouple **69** measures a temperature between 25 and 40 degrees higher than that of the exposed front of the magnesium surface. From FIGS. 9 and 10, it is clear that there is a transition region at magnesium temperatures in the range between 450 and 500 degrees C. At lower magnesium

temperatures, an impinging lithium flux suppresses the magnesium vaporization. As the transition region between suppressed and enhanced vaporization between 450 and 500 degrees C. is accessed, FIGS. 9 and 10 illustrate a fast interaction and response to the impinging lithium vapor.

FIG. 10 demonstrates that, as the lithium temperature and flux are raised over the range from approximately 278 to 510 degrees C., encompassing also the effective magnesium temperature range, an enhancement of the magnesium flux is observed during exposure for all but the highest lithium temperatures. Here, a progressive dropoff in intensity is observed for the period of exposure. At higher lithium temperatures the effects are even more dramatic. Similarly, as the magnesium temperature is raised for a given effective lithium temperature (FIG. 9) the enhancement of the magnesium signal is eventually lost. Thus, at higher lithium concentrations and magnesium temperatures, the vaporization of magnesium is suppressed or, at best, unaffected. A desired temperature range is indicated.

A similar behavior is observed for sodium. Because sodium and magnesium are virtually immiscible, the observed effect does not appear to be the product of a bulk diffusion. Rather, the results suggest that the evaporation-enhancement process is localized to the magnesium sheet surface and the first few monolayers below the surface. This appears to generate a mobile film of magnesium atoms which can mix intimately with lithium. These results might also correlate with previous observations of aluminum surface reconstruction resulting from alkali atom translation to the first few monolayers below the surface. The current results also suggest some transformation of the atomic lithium or sodium on or within the first few monolayers. At higher lithium concentrations, these effects may be suppressed by the formation of Mg_xLi_y ($y > x$) agglomerates formed on the magnesium surface. At higher magnesium temperatures, the diffusion of lithium or sodium into the magnesium lattice might be inhibited as an increased energy is transferred to these alkali atoms as they diffuse along the surface. However, it is clear that, within a selected temperature zone, the evaporation of magnesium and the ready mixing of magnesium and lithium metal can be controlled to form magnesium-lithium alloys over a wide composition range.

Aluminum Surface Modification and the Aluminum-Lithium Alloy System

When applied to aluminum, the diffusion controlled lithiation process can produce three phases depending upon the extent of lithiation. One phase can be a saturated aluminum-lithium solid solution. The content of lithium in solution may be controlled by the time at temperature and the temperature. With prolonged exposures, the lithium content can exceed the solubility limit at the temperature of lithiation, and a second phase results—the equilibrium $AlLi$ (δ) phase. Once the alloy is quenched, a third phase can also appear—the metastable Al_3Li (δ') phase. The δ' phase is the phase responsible for hardening.

At low lithiation levels, under conditions where the lithiation is carried out on a partially shielded aluminum substrate, the process produces a notable increase in the malleability of the original aluminum substrate as is apparent to the touch. Under similar conditions, in the absence of lithium, such an apparent increase in malleability does not occur. If the lithium vapor pressure is increased and the lithiation is carried further, under conditions where the aluminum lattice structure is closely preserved throughout

the entire lithiation process, a partial lithiation of the aluminum occurs producing a sample of higher strength than the original aluminum substrate. Using the teachings of the present invention, alloys have been formed whose lithium content ranges from 0.2 to 5 weight percent lithium (ICP-AAS). The best results have been achieved using the configuration of FIG. 3, when the aluminum plate 134 is heated to notably higher temperatures than the impinging lithium vapor 36.

The aluminum lithiation occurs over a narrow temperature range when the lithium vapor 36 is present at its surface. The optimum conditions for lithiation result when the aluminum disk is heated to 570–590° C. and the lithium vapor is maintained at temperatures between 525 and 585° C. for variable time frames. Thus, in order to produce a potentially useful product, the experiments require a very narrow range of temperature for the aluminum plate and a reasonably limited range of temperature for the lithium vapor. These conditions appear to promote a diffusion controlled surface lithiation when the system operates for time periods ranging between two and five hours depending on the precise experimental conditions. If these temperature ranges are exceeded, the lithiation process typically produces a sample in which too much lithium is dissolved. As a result, the overexposed sample is significantly embrittled.

The conversion to alloy especially at the aluminum disk 134 surface can be accomplished during the lithiation process. After annealing the lithiated samples in the temperature range 500–550 degrees C., appear to be characterized by a series of interspersed, variably sized Al_3Li (δ') and $AlLi$ (δ) phases. The presence of the δ' phase appears to be responsible for an increase in strength after lithiation. The lithiated samples are found to expand slightly as the introduction of lithium into the aluminum appears to increase the effective lattice constant over that of the bulk metal. If the lithium content of the alloy becomes too large (e.g., 5%), significant swelling of the aluminum substrate often occurs along with the formation of a significant volume fraction of the unwanted $AlLi$ phase.

As previously mentioned, this process requires reasonably stringent control, especially of the aluminum temperature range. If the lithiation process is carried considerably further under conditions which correspond to a much higher level of lithium loading and the structural character of the aluminum lattice is not preserved, a series of partially water soluble lithium-aluminum (oxide) powders can be produced.

Formation of Copper-Lithium Alloys


As previously mentioned, when the invented procedure is applied to copper, a lithiation process can be carried out using the configuration depicted in FIG. 4A, to produce highly stable copper-lithium crystallites for which ICP studies indicate a Cu/Li ratio of 4 to 1 (Cu_4Li), confirmed by x-ray crystallography. Further, using a triple tandem copper substrate configuration mounted directly above a molten lithium sample as depicted in FIG. 4B, alloyed sheets may be prepared with Cu/Li ratios (ICP) ranging from 5 to 1 to 24 to 1, thereby suggesting that the composition of these alloys can also be widely and readily altered. In the saturation limit, copper lithium crystallites are again formed. Debye-Scherrer powder x-ray patterns obtained for these Cu/Li crystallites indicate a fcc lattice of lattice parameter 3.648 Å versus a corresponding 3.514 Å measured for the fcc lattice of the copper sample from which the lithiated sample was prepared. These copper-lithium alloy crystallites, which can be readily generated, are malleable yet not readily ground.

Suggested Mechanism for Enhancement of Magnesium Evaporation Rate

It is believed that the kinetics of diffusion, interaction, and reaction play a dominant role in the increase of the magnesium evaporation rate in the magnesium-lithium system as well as the observations in the copper-lithium system. Further, it should be understood that under the conditions of these experiments, an oxide coating is readily formed on the magnesium or copper substrates. It is likely that lithium must first interact with the oxide exposing the native magnesium or copper to further interaction. This could occur via the formation of Li_2O . Lithium might also inhibit the self passivation of the magnesium, thereby making more surface area available for evaporation.

While it might be argued that lithium in contact with magnesium would create a much lower melting point alloy on the surface of the magnesium thereby increasing the vapor pressure of the magnesium constituent, this seems inconsistent with the magnesium-lithium phase diagram and the temperature range over which the experiment is operative. At 700 K (427° C.), the Langmuir free evaporation flux is estimated to be approximately 2×10^{22} Mg atoms/m²-sec, assuming the magnesium vaporization coefficient to be unity. This value compares well with the flux expected upon vaporizing an approximately 1 mm magnesium sheet in 30 minutes under the conditions of the illustrated experimental embodiment. This suggests that lithium indeed reacts to remove an inhibiting magnesium oxide coating and thus enhance vaporization from the magnesium surface.

Consider the diffusion of lithium into a bulk magnesium sample after removal of a significant component of the native oxide. Magnesium atoms binding in the bulk are sp hybridized (promoted). However, if lithium is allowed to diffuse into interstitial sites in close proximity to the promoted magnesium atoms, the exchange process



promoting the lithium and relaxing the magnesium to its ground state "s²" configuration might occur. This, of course, creates a weakly bound magnesium atom which can readily escape from the bulk constituency. This model suggests a similar behavior for zinc, whose ground state atomic configuration is "d¹⁰s²" and whose bonding in the bulk is sp hybridized. In fact, preliminary experiments indicate a very similar lithium mediated vaporization behavior for zinc. If lithium induces a change in hybridization through diffusion and interaction, the procedure may have more general validity to systems in which metals or metalloids are bound through promotion-hybridization in the bulk.

Applications to Lithium Battery Technology—the Magnesium-Lithium System

Among the various battery systems currently under research and development, lithium batteries (batteries using lithium or lithium-containing materials as the negative electrode) offer high energy and power densities. These batteries have already been widely used in microelectronic devices and improvements in their performance can significantly influence solid state device operation. The alloy generating techniques developed for the magnesium-lithium system and the ability to modify the nature of film deposition through substrate temperature control may impact on this development. Lithium alloy systems generated at room or lower temperature provide a means to obviate the problem of lithium dendrite formation, which inhibits the perfor-

mance of a lithium electrode during charge/discharge cycling. Further, the capacity of a system developed using magnesium-lithium alloys might considerably exceed that of currently accessible lithiated carbon electrodes.

As previously described, the cyclability of lithium electrodes (and hence their rechargability) represents a critical problem as lithium atoms electrodeposited at the interface between a lithium electrode and an electrolyte during recharge tend to form lithium dendrites. Dendrite growth progresses during cycling and often leads to an open circuit and electrical isolation of active lithium, decreasing power density, or to electrical shorting between the electrodes and subsequent battery failure. In order to prevent dendrite formation, lithium-containing materials have been studied to replace pure lithium in an effort to reduce its activity to a value less than unity. Prior to the present invention, lithium ion batteries, which employ lithiated carbon as a host lattice for lithium, appeared to be the most successful at preventing dendrite formation. However, the obtainable capacity for these lithiated carbon electrodes is only about 339 mAh/g, if we consider the current reversible insertion and extraction of 1 lithium atom per 6 carbon atoms. Reversible insertion of lithium into carbon up to the equivalent of 2 lithium atoms per 6 carbon atoms may achieve a capacity of 623 mAh/g. This potential capacity might be considerably exceeded by lithium alloy electrodes generated using the techniques we have developed for the magnesium-lithium system.

Lithium alloy electrodes not only can provide a high rate capability but also show an excellent potential to offer a higher energy density than lithiated carbon electrodes. The capacity of a beta-phase Li—Mg alloy electrode can be as high as 1.83 Ah/g if the electrode is cycled with no phase change in the composition range from Li_3Mg_7 to Li_8Mg_2 or 642 mAh/g if it is cycled from Li_4Mg_6 to Li_6Mg_4 . In the latter case, the atomic fraction of lithium is changed from 40% to 60% and, the electrode reaction can be topotactic. In this case, the maintenance of the structure and microstructure of the alloy, as fabricated, have a significant effect on electrochemical performance. Further, the transport of a lithium atom in the extensive beta-phase of a Li—Mg alloy appears to be quite rapid. The diffusivity at 420° C. has been reported as a substantial $1.8\text{--}5.0 \times 10^{-6}$ cm²/s, suggesting a significant diffusivity at room temperature. In contrast, lithiated carbon electrodes possess a limited rate capability as the reported diffusivity of lithium ions in Li_xC_6 at 25 degrees C. is approximately 10^{-8} to 10^{-9} cm²/second, depending upon the degree of lithiation.

In order to reduce the formation of lithium dendrites during recharging, the alloy or microstructure used should efficiently transport lithium away from the electrode surface and back into the alloy. This process may be controlled by the diffusion of lithium in alloy. Here, it is important to note that controlling bulk diffusion by composition modifications is not the only way to alter the diffusion process. FIG. 7 illustrates the dramatic effect substrate temperature has on the morphology of a deposited lithium-magnesium alloy film. The decrease in temperature from 375 degrees C. to near room temperature transforms coarse grains to columnar and a further reduction in substrate temperature results in independent columns with open channels between them. These channels can be used to enhance the diffusion at the electrode surface preventing lithium accumulation and subsequent dendrite growth. In addition to the shape of the columnar structure being affected by temperature, the number of columns per unit area increases. Thus surface diffusion can become a dominant mechanism for lithium diffusion.

Applications to Aluminum-Lithium Alloy
Fabrication

The goal of aluminum-lithium alloy development programs within the aerospace industry has been to provide alternative alloys with lower densities than those alloys currently used but without sacrificing strength, fracture toughness, ductility, and corrosion resistance. While much insight has been gained in the last two decades regarding the relationships between microstructure and properties, the commercial production of an aluminum-lithium alloy with consistent, acceptable engineering properties is only now slowly developing. The difficulties in maintaining properties during commercial production of an aluminum-lithium alloy are exacerbated by the reactive nature of the molten alloy. When lithium is added to molten aluminum the melt becomes more reactive than a conventional aluminum alloy and special crucibles and furnace linings are required. Furthermore, the reactive nature of lithium with the gaseous environment requires special precautions with respect to nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon containing gases.

The procedure introduced here is distinct, in that it can permit the introduction of the reactive element, lithium, into aluminum or an aluminum alloy at or near the final stage in the production of a wrought product. The significance of the approach is that one might completely prepare and process the metal or alloy of interest and then, in the final stages of preparation, carry out the lithiation process followed by an annealing cycle. If a solid state homogenization process is utilized, the major application of the technique is to thin sheet processing, however, the technique can also be particularly useful when it is desirable to deposit excess lithium onto the surface of a wrought Al—Li alloy product. During the long thermal cycles used for homogenizing and hot working of commercial aluminum-lithium alloys, a lithium depleted region is created at the surface. Further, it has been shown that aluminum alloys containing lithium are far more susceptible to surface oxidation during processing than other non-lithium aluminum alloys. Consequently, the development of a post thermal mechanical lithiation process to replenish the depleted lithium level, while minimizing the degree of oxidation, can have important implications for the use of aluminum-lithium alloys.

Improved Alkali Promoted Metal Oxide Catalysts

Kinetically controlled lithiation as applied to produce the intimate mixing of magnesium and lithium at variable concentrations and form alloys of Mg_xLi_y composition can be modified to introduce lithium into magnesium/magnesium oxide (MgO) mixtures in the presence of oxygen or into commercially available MgO_x , aided by solid state diffusion, to form lithiated magnesia constituencies of large surface area across a broad composition range. This tech-

nique thus shows promise for improving selective alkali promoted magnesia catalysts as well as a variety of additional metal oxide based catalytic constituencies.

Basic metal oxides containing a variety of dopants have shown promise for the oxidative coupling (partial oxidation) of methane to C_{2+} , hydrocarbons, primarily ethylene and ethane. Among these, the alkali doped alkaline earth oxides have been used, with the Li/MgO and Na/CaO systems found to be active and highly selective. However, it is desirable to improve the conversion efficiency of these systems. Improved variants of these catalysts might be synthesized employing the process, described herein, of kinetically controlled lithiation (also extended to sodiation), to modify the nature of the alkali/metal oxide base and enhance surface area. Further, the selectivity of these alkali/metal oxide catalysts can be increased by adding small amounts of zinc to the base constituency. This can be accomplished with a post treatment with zinc employing very similar configurations to those required to accomplish the kinetically controlled lithiation process.

As is known, lithium doped magnesium oxide (Li/MgO) in the presence of O_2 shows a high activity for abstracting H from CH_4 to form CH_3 radicals. C_2H_6 and C_2H_4 are produced in high yield in what appears to be a coupling of two gaseous CH_3 radicals formed on the catalyst. The CH_3 radicals are believed to be formed at (Li^+O^-) centres on MgO. Gas phase and surface studies indicate that O^- ions efficiently abstract H from CH_4 . Because the Li/MgO catalyst does not contain ions having variable oxidation states or consist of transition metals it facilitates radical generation without subsequent catalyst-radical reaction.

Powder catalysts of Li/MgO containing up to 7 wt % Li have been prepared from Li_2CO_3 and MgO and then activated in an O_2 flow at 465 degrees C. Catalytic evaluations, generally performed at 1 atmosphere pressure in a conventional flow reactor, with O_2/CH_4 reactant ratios close to 0.5 passed over 7% Li/MgO at 720 degrees C., show high C_{2+} compound yields (See Table III below). By comparison, under similar conditions, a pure MgO catalyst prepared in a similar fashion is much less selective. It should also be noted that similar experiments have been performed on Na/MgO, K/MgO, and Na/CaO catalysts, at much higher weight percentage alkali metal, with variable results. The Na/CaO system, for example, displays a clear optimum at approximately 15 weight percentage Na, dropping to minimal activity at approximately 43 weight percent. Although, the origin of this dropoff is not well understood, it may result from the incomplete diffusion of the sodium constituency to the CaO interface. This, of course, can be considerably improved with the ready diffusion of lithium. In fact, it is surprising that higher weight percentage lithium loadings have not been attempted.

TABLE III

Results for the Partial Oxidation of Methane Li(7%)/MgO ^a									
Run	Reactants			Products			Conversion %	C ₂ Selectivity %	C ₂ Yield %
	CH ₄	O ₂	CO ₂	CO	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₆			
1	59 ^b	29 ^b	11.2	0.0	3.5	2.2	37.8	50.3	19
2	58	36	13.7	0.2	3.7	2.1	42.8	45.4	19.4

TABLE III-continued

Results for the Partial Oxidation of Methane Li(7%)/MgO ^a									
Run	Reactants			Products			Conversion %	C ₂ Selectivity %	C ₂ Yield %
	CH ₄	O ₂	CO ₂	CO	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₆			
3	89	29	11.1	0.0	4.6	3.1	29.1	58.1	16.9
4	218	117	51.2	1.5	15.4	7.4	37.5	46.5	17.4

^aAt 720 degrees C. over Li/MgO^bPartial pressures given in Torr

Using the techniques which have been developed for kinetically controlled lithiation, it should be possible to considerably increase the level of lithium on MgO. This can result from both the enhanced intimate gas phase mixing of lithium and Mg/MgO constituencies as well as an enhanced solid state diffusion of lithium/O₂ combinations into magnesium/magnesium oxide mixtures. In effect, the seeding level and range of the alkali promoter can be increased, as well as the catalyst surface area. Given the uncertainty as to whether the methyl radical combination occurs strictly in the gas phase or, in part, on the catalyst surface, the kinetically controlled preparation of a large surface area catalyst might considerably improve both methyl group formation and CH₃—CH₃ combination. Further, a catalyst may be produced which is effective at temperatures considerably lower than 720 degrees C.

The techniques which we outline are not limited to the Li/MgO system. For example, CeO₂ reacts extensively with methyl radicals and is a good catalyst for the complete oxidation of methane. The addition of 0.9 weight percentage Li⁺ drastically reduces the reaction efficiency (93 to 16% at 470 degrees C.) while the catalyst becomes selective for ethane and ethylene. Our preparation techniques might well demonstrate means to improve the efficiency. In addition, there are at least three commercially important chemical process areas which can potentially benefit from an improved catalyst technology where alkali metals supported on metal oxides have played prominent roles.

(1) Isobutyl benzene which is a key component in the manufacture of Ibuprofen is produced commercially through toluene propylation over a supported alkali metal (K typically).

(2) Di-methylnaphthalene is manufactured using a basic metal oxide catalyst to produce pentylenyl toluene from butadiene and o-xylene.

(3) Basic metal oxide catalysts are used commercially to produce 4 methyl-1-pentene. This is an important co-monomer for the production of polyethylene co-polymers.

It may also, in some instances, be desirable to introduce small quantities of zinc onto the surface of these catalysts. This is also possible with lithiation process described herein.

The foregoing description has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise forms disclosed. Obvious modifications or variations are possible in light of the above teachings. The embodiment or embodiments discussed were chosen and described to provide the best illustration of the principles of the invention and its practical application to thereby enable one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the invention in various embodiments and with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated. All such modifications and variations are within the scope of the invention as determined by the appended claims when interpreted in accordance with the breadth to which they are fairly and legally entitled.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for depositing lithium on an aluminum element comprising the steps of:

- (a) vaporizing a mass of lithium;
- (b) disposing the aluminum element in the lithium vapor, wherein the lithium vapor is deposited on the surface of the aluminum element into which it partially diffuses, wherein the aluminum element is controllably heated within a temperature range of substantially 570° C. and 590° C. during this partial diffusion; and
- (c) controllably heating the aluminum element after the surface deposition of lithium, wherein the surface deposited lithium diffuses further into the heated aluminum element, wherein the aluminum element is controllably heated within a temperature range of substantially 500° C. and 550° C.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 6,203,852 B1
DATED : March 20, 2001
INVENTOR(S) : Gole et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 1,

Line 2, please insert the following section title and paragraph:

-- STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
DEVELOPMENT

The U.S. government may have a paid-up license in this invention and may have the right in limited circumstances to require the patent owner to license others on reasonable terms as provided for by the terms of contract number DMR-9008612 awarded by the National Science Foundation of the U.S. --

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-fourth Day of February, 2004

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jon W. Dudas". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "J".

JON W. DUDAS
Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office