



Title	Mycoplasma excretion in reproductive male and female goats
Author(s)	Paterna, Paterna; Gómez-Martín, Ángel; Ham, Miranda Prats-van der; Tatay-Dualde, Juan; Amores, Joaquín; Corrales, Juan C.; Sánchez, Antonio; Contreras, Antonio; De la Fe, Christian
Citation	Japanese Journal of Veterinary Research, 65(2), 83-88
Issue Date	2017-05
DOI	10.14943/jjvr.65.2.83
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/66483
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	65-2 083-088.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

NOTE

Mycoplasma excretion in reproductive male and female goats

Ana Paterna¹⁾, Ángel Gómez-Martín^{1, 2)}, Miranda Prats-van der Ham¹⁾, Juan Tatay-Dualde¹⁾, Joaquín Amores¹⁾, Juan C. Corrales¹⁾, Antonio Sánchez¹⁾, Antonio Contreras¹⁾ and Christian De la Fe^{1,*)}

¹⁾Ruminant Health Research Group, Department of Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Regional Campus of International Excellence “Campus Mare Nostrum”, University of Murcia, Campus de Espinardo s/n., 30100 Murcia, Spain

²⁾Departamento de Producción, Sanidad Animal y Salud Pública. Universidad CEU-UCH de Valencia, C/ Tirant lo Blanc 7. 46115. C/ Tirant lo Blanc 7. 46115 Alfara del Patriarca-Valencia, Spain

Received for publication, April 25, 2016; accepted, March 10, 2017

Abstract

Three experiments were designed in order to study the excretion routes of mycoplasmas involved in caprine contagious agalactia in chronically infected goat populations. The results obtained for external auricular canal (EAC) swabs were compared to other samples: 1) nasal swabs in 95 bucks; 2) conjunctival swabs in 85 bucks; 3) milk and vaginal swabs in 23 goats. Serology to detect specific antibodies against *Mycoplasma agalactiae* (Ma) was also conducted. Out of 1061 samples, the presence of Ma, Mmc and Mcc was detected in 23, 18 and 8 samples, respectively, which belonged to 24 bucks and 7 goats. EAC analyses detected 21 bucks (87.5%) and 4 infected goats (57.1%). Additional sampling (conjunctival, nasal swabs and vaginal swabs in goats) allowed the detection of further infected animals, in comparison to the sole sampling of the EAC.

Key Words: contagious agalactia, control programs, goat, reproduction

Contagious agalactia (CA) is one of the most important diseases affecting dairy small ruminants. This syndrome, which can be caused by four mycoplasma species, *Mycoplasma agalactiae* (Ma), *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *capri* (Mmc), *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) and *Mycoplasma putrefaciens* (Mp), is characterized not only by the classical symptomatic triad of mastitis, arthritis and conjunctivitis, but may also be related to reproductive symptoms, such as abortions²⁾.

However, the efforts made to control this disease have usually been justified by the loss in milk production and, therefore, its possible repercussions on reproduction have not been previously considered.

Recent studies have addressed the lack of available data on the role of breeding goats in the epidemiology of CA. These works have confirmed the presence of asymptomatic mycoplasma carriers in artificial insemination (AI) centres. Most of these infected goat bucks

*Corresponding author: Christian de la Fe, Department of Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences. Campus de Espinardo s/n., 30100 Murcia, Spain
Phone: +34 868887259. Fax: +34 868884147. E-mail: cdelafe@um.es
doi: 10.14943/jjvr.65.2.83

and teaser does carried mycoplasmas latently in their external auricular canal (EAC)¹. Notwithstanding, the epidemiological role of asymptomatic carriers of mycoplasmas in CA remains unclear, although the movement of these inapparently infected animals between different herds has been associated to the occurrence of clinical disease outbreaks². Hence, as the scientific community accepts the presence of a high number of auricular carriers in chronically infected goat populations¹⁴, these recent data highlight the risk of transmitting pathogenic microorganisms to other animals, and thus, avoiding the presence of these infected individuals in AI centres becomes a need. Moreover, the available studies which describe the anatomic location of mycoplasmas in asymptomatic infected bucks, demonstrate the ability of these pathogens to colonize several anatomic locations such as the respiratory system, reproductive tract or the EAC, showing the sporadic presence of these mycoplasmas in the conjunctiva, the nasal cavity or even in semen. Therefore, infected carriers may be able to transmit pathogenic mycoplasmas via different excretion routes, including a potential risk of venereal transmission^{6,7}. In this sense, the presence of pathogenic mycoplasmas in semen and their viability in ready-to-use seminal doses^{4,9}, as well as the spread of the infection to the rest of the animals of an AI centre population in combination with the presence of Ma and Mcc in semen, highlight the need of further research and solutions.

All in all, these findings demonstrate the sanitary risk associated to asymptomatic animals, and show that the present World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) recommendations are unsuitable to control the presence of infected animals in these centres^{4,5}. Thus, some goat AI centres have adopted new measures to avoid the introduction of bucks infected by mycoplasmas, based on a surveillance protocol consisting of the analysis of EAC swabs and serum samples taken from all candidates before their admission, as a

way of controlling the introduction of unchecked reproductive animals⁷. Theoretically, the efficacy of these control measures is arguable, as they could provide false negative results. Consequently, this manuscript includes three experiments designed to achieve a better understanding of the excretion routes of *Mycoplasma* spp. in goat specimens involved in breeding programs.

All experiments were carried out with samples taken from 10 goat populations located in 4 different CA-endemic areas of Spain (Murcia, Andalusia, Castilla-León and the Canary Islands), between 2012 and 2015. Prior to sample collection, animals were clinically examined and any of them showed clinical symptoms of CA.

The efficacy of 2 EAC swabs or serum samples (only for Ma analyses) to detect the presence of CA-causing mycoplasmas carriers was compared to the effectiveness yielded by using other samples: 2 conjunctival swabs taken from 95 goat bucks (experiment 1), 2 nasal swabs collected from 85 goat bucks (experiment 2) or milk, and 2 conjunctival plus 1 vaginal swabs taken from 23 goats (experiment 3). Thus, a total of 406 EAC swabs, 236 conjunctival swabs, 170 nasal swabs, 23 vaginal swabs and 23 milk samples were analysed during this experiment. Serum samples (n = 203) were also collected.

As soon as they arrived at the laboratory, milk samples were inoculated in solid and liquid mycoplasma media¹², and incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humid atmosphere for 48 hours; the swabs were twirled and left in 1 ml of liquid mycoplasma medium for 30 minutes at room temperature. Subsequently, after discarding the swabs, aliquots of the remaining fluid were cultured in the same conditions as previously described. Isolates from cloned single colonies were used for preliminary identification based on biochemical tests, including fermentation of glucose and mannose, hydrolysis of arginine and urea, tetrazolium reduction and film and spots production¹⁶. Final identification was performed by PCR^{10,13}.

Blood samples were subjected to a specific

Table 1. Positive samples to *Mycoplasma agalactiae* (Ma), *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *capri* (Mmc) and *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) in each experiment

Exp	Sample	No	Ma	Mmc	Mcc	Ma+Mmc	Ma+Mcc	Mmc+Mcc
1	EAC swab	190	0	14	1	0	0	0
	Conjunctival swab	190	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Serum	95	0	-	-	-	-	-
2	EAC swab	170	8	0	2	1	1	0
	Nasal swab	170	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Serum	85	0	-	-	-	-	-
3	EAC swab	46	2	0	3	0	0	0
	Conjunctival swab	46	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Vaginal swab	23	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Milk	23	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Serum	23	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total		1061	21	17	7	1	1	0

^aEAC: external auricular canal.

ELISA kit (Pourquier ELISA *M. agalactiae*, Institut Pourquier) to detect antibodies against Ma.

Because of the lack of a gold standard, neither true positive nor true negative animals could be considered. So, to compare the efficacy of different diagnosis strategies concerning the detection of asymptomatic mycoplasma carriers, the percentage of positive animals detected was calculated.

A total of 1061 samples, including 203 serum samples, 23 milk samples and 835 swabs, were analysed in this study to detect the presence of mycoplasmas. Table 1 shows the results obtained for each experiment. In total, 47 of the samples (4.4%) tested positive for mycoplasmas, confirming the presence of Ma, Mmc and Mcc in 23, 18 and 8 samples respectively, as 2 samples presented mixed infections. Mp was not detected in this study.

In general, a positive result was obtained for 32 out of 406 EAC swab samples (7.9%). At this anatomic location, Mmc was the most frequently detected mycoplasma (15 positive samples), followed by Ma (11) and Mcc (7). The presence of *Mycoplasma* spp. was detected in 4 out of 236 (1.7%) and 3 out of 170 (1.8%) conjunctival and

nasal swabs, respectively. In the conjunctiva, Mmc was also the most frequently detected species, with 3 positive samples, followed by Ma (1). In the nasal cavity, Ma was detected in 2 samples, followed by Mcc (1). As for the studied goats, Ma was the most isolated species from the EAC, whereas vaginal swabs and milk samples allowed to detect 5 (21,7%) and 3 (14,3%) goats infected by Ma.

Concerning the aim of the present work, Table 2 shows the number of positive animals detected in each experiment. Overall, 31 animals tested positive for the presence of *Mycoplasma* spp. Independently of the mycoplasma species involved, Experiment 1 showed that the analysis of EAC swabs allowed the detection of 10 out of 12 carriers (83.3%), in comparison to the use of conjunctival swabs, though which 3 infected individuals were detected (25%). Similarly, from all the carriers detected in Experiment 2, 11 out of 12 (91.7%) individuals were diagnosed after analysing their EAC swabs, whilst only 25% (3/12) were detected through the sampling of their nasal cavity. Finally, Experiment 3 showed that the analysis of vaginal (5/7, 71.4%) and EAC swabs (4/7, 57.1%) allowed detecting the largest number of infected teaser goats, whereas the

Table 2. Positive animals to *Mycoplasma agalactiae* (Ma), *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *capri* (Mmc) and *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) depending on the type of sample used

Exp	No. animals (infected)	EAC swabs	Conjunctival swabs	Nasal swabs	Milk	Mixed
1	95 (12)	9(8Mmc ^a ,1Mcc)	2(Mmc)	-	-	1 ^b
2	85 (12)	9(6Ma ^c ,2Mcc,1Ma+Mmc)	-	1(Ma)	-	2 ^d
3	23 (7)	1(Ma)	1(Ma)	-	0 ^e	5 ^f

^aMmc in both EAC (5)

^bMmc in EAC and conjunctiva (1)

^cMa in both EAC (1)

^dMa+Mcc in EAC and Mcc in nasal (1), Ma in EAC and nasal (1)

^eNo single excretion by milk was detected (see next point).

^fMcc in EAC and Ma in vagina (1), Ma in milk and vagina (2) Mcc in both EAC+Ma in vagina (1), and Ma in EAC, vagina and milk (1).

analysis of their milk samples identified only 3 of them (42.9%). Conjunctival swabs only detected 1 infected goat (14.3%).

The results obtained in the studied goat bucks showed that the analysis of swabs taken from the conjunctiva or the nasal cavity were necessary to identify all of the asymptomatic carriers detected in Experiments 1 and 2. In this sense, although respiratory signs caused by Ma are mainly associated to the infection in young animals², its effect on the respiratory system of chronically infected adult animals has been proved⁸. Similarly, the presence of mycoplasmas in clinically affected animals is common^{6,17}, but less information about their presence in asymptomatic carriers is available.⁵ Globally, our results are coherent with the systemic infection observed in asymptomatic auricular carriers⁸ and the tissue tropism classically assigned to these bacteria². In this context, new control strategies have been effectively adopted by some AI centres of Spain to minimize the presence of individuals infected by CA-causing mycoplasmas. Hence, the analysis of EAC swabs and serum has avoided the admission of carriers of Ma and Mmc in some male goat populations⁷, and the results of the present work support the use of EAC swabs as the most appropriate sample to develop these type of control programs.

On the other hand, the use of vaginal swabs allowed the detection of a significant percentage

of infected goats. To our knowledge, these results are the first description of Ma and Mcc in the vagina of asymptomatic goats. The capacity of Ma to colonize this anatomic location has been previously demonstrated in experimentally inoculated sheep³ and Mmc has been isolated from the genital tract of apparently healthy sheep¹¹ and also associated to clinical outbreaks of abortions in goats¹⁷. However, this source of excretion has never been considered of concern for a control program of CA. The sole sampling of the EAC was able to detect only a part of the asymptotically infected goats, taking into account the outcome of Experiment 3. Therefore, these data suggest that checking the EAC is an adequate way to detect the presence of 8–9 out of 10 goat bucks and 5–6 out of 10 goats carrying CA causing mycoplasmas, and support the usefulness of analysing this type of sample as the main measure within caprine CA-control programs, as previously proposed⁷. Nevertheless, this study also highlighted the fact that other samples should be analysed in order to increase the detection of infected animals, especially when checking goats. Hence, the established control protocol could be complemented by collecting samples of vaginal swabs and milk (for goats) or from the nasal cavity and the conjunctiva of goat bucks, prior to the admission of these individuals to an AI centre.

Additionally, the results of this study

confirm that serology is not a valid tool to detect CA caused by Ma in chronically infected animals, which had already been reported, and thus its use should be discarded for this purpose in caprine CA surveillance programs¹⁵. Finally, we encourage the OIE to review the current recommendations in order to avoid the introduction of CA in caprine AI centres through animal movement, including the detection of mycoplasmas in the EAC, as previously suggested⁵.

Conflict of interest

None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of this paper.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this study was provided by the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Government of Spain (Project AGL2013-44771-R) co-financed by FEDER funds. Ana Paterna Morán holds a fellowship awarded by the University of Murcia.

References

- Amores J, Gomez-Martin A, Corrales J, Sanchez A, Contreras A, De la Fe C. Presence of contagious agalactia causing mycoplasmas in Spanish goat artificial insemination centres. *Theriogenology* 75, 1265–1270, 2011.
- Bergonier D, Berthelot X, Poumarat F. Contagious agalactia of small ruminants: current knowledge concerning epidemiology, diagnosis and control. *Revue Rev Sci Tech OIE* 16, 848–873, 1997.
- Buonavoglia D, Fasanella A, Greco G, Pratelli A. A study on an experimental infection of sheep with *Mycoplasma agalactiae*. *Microbiologica* 22, 27–30, 1999.
- De la Fe C, Amores J, Gomez Martin A, Sanchez A, Contreras A, Corrales JC. *Mycoplasma agalactiae* detected in the semen of goat bucks. *Theriogenology* 72, 1278–1281, 2009.
- De la Fe C, Gomez Martin A, Amores J, Corrales JC, Sanchez A, Poveda JB, Contreras A. Latent infection of male goats with *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma mycoides* subspecies *capri* at an artificial insemination centre. *Vet J* 186, 113–115, 2010.
- Gil MC, Pena FJ, De Mendoza JH, Gomez L. Genital lesions in an outbreak of caprine contagious agalactia caused by *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma putrefaciens*. *J Vet Med B* 50, 484–487, 2003.
- Gomez-Martin A, Corrales JC, Amores J, Sanchez A, Contreras A, Paterna A, De la Fe C. Controlling contagious agalactia in artificial insemination centers for goats and detection of *Mycoplasma mycoides* subspecies *capri* in semen. *Theriogenology* 77, 1252–1256, 2012a.
- Gomez-Martin A, De la Fe C, Amores J, Sanchez A, Contreras A, Paterna A, Buendia AJ, Corrales JC. Anatomic location of *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp *capri* and *Mycoplasma agalactiae* in naturally infected goat male auricular carriers. *Vet Microbiol* 157, 355–362, 2012b.
- Gomez-Martin A, Uc N, Vieira LA, Gadea J, Cadenas J, Sanchez A, De la Fe C. Survival capacity of *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp *capri* in the diluted semen of goat bucks and their effects on sperm quality. *Theriogenology* 83, 911–919, 2015.
- Hotzel H, Sachse K, Pfutzner H. A PCR scheme for differentiation of organisms belonging to the *Mycoplasma mycoides* cluster. *Vet Microbiol* 49, 31–43, 1996.
- Kidanemariam A, Gouws J, van Vuuren M, Gummow B. Ulcerative balanitis and vulvitis of Dorper sheep in South Africa: a study on its aetiology and clinical features. *J S Afr Vet Assoc* 76, 197–203, 2005.
- Kirchhoff H, Rosengarten R. Isolation of a motile mycoplasma from fish. *J Gen Microbiol* 130, 2439–2445, 1984.
- Marenda MS, Sagne E, Poumarat F, Citti C. Suppression subtractive hybridization as a basis to assess *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and *Mycoplasma bovis* genomic diversity and species-specific sequences. *Microbiol SGM* 151, 475–489, 2005.
- Mercier P, Pellet MP, Morignat E, Calavas D, Poumarat F. Prevalence of mycoplasmas in external ear canal of goats: Influence of the

- sanitary status of the herd. *Small Ruminant Res* 73, 296–299, 2007.
- 15) Poumarat F, Le Grand D, Gaurivaud P, Gay E, Chazel M, Game Y, Bergonier D. Comparative assessment of two commonly used commercial ELISA tests for the serological diagnosis of contagious agalactia of small ruminants caused by *Mycoplasma agalactiae*. *BMC Vet Res* 8, 2012.
 - 16) Poveda JB. Biochemical characteristics in *Mycoplasma* identification. *Mycoplasma Protocols* 104, 69–78, 1998.
 - 17) Szeredi L, Tenk M, Dan A. Infection of two goatherds with *Mycoplasma mycoides* Subsp *capri* in Hungary, evidence of a possible faecal excretion. *J Vet Med B* 50, 172–177, 2003.