

A total generalized variation approach for near-field acoustic holography - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

A total generalized variation approach for near-field acoustic holography

Near-field methods based on microphone array measurements are useful to understand how a source radiates sound. Due to discretization errors, these methods are typically restricted to low frequencies. Sparse approaches have gained considerable attention, as they can potentially recover a seemingly under-sampled signal with remarkable accuracy, extending the valid frequency range. However, near-field problems are generally not spatially sparse, and it is more appropriate to promote block-sparse solutions (i.e. spatially extended) rather than direct spatial sparsity. In this paper, a method is examined that promotes solutions with sparse spatial derivatives. The method seeks spatially extended solutions, valid over a wide frequency range, and suitable to near-fields and extended sources. The methodology is based on a Total Variation approach using higher order derivatives. The frequency range of validity is examined, as well as the robustness to noise. The performance of different finite difference stencils is investigated. Numerical and experimental results are presented, with particular focus on the estimated power radiated by the source. The method is benchmarked against conventional approaches.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Electrical Engineering, Acoustic Technology

Authors: Fernandez Grande, E. (Intern)

Number of pages: 1

Pages: 3842

Publication date: 2017

Conference: 173rd Meeting of the Acoustical Society of America and the 8th Forum Acusticum, Boston , United States, 25/06/2017 - 25/06/2017

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Journal of the Acoustical Society of America

Volume: 141

Issue number: 5

ISSN (Print): 0001-4966

Ratings:

BFI (2018): BFI-level 2

BFI (2017): BFI-level 2

Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 1.83 SJR 0.749 SNIP 1.27

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.802 SNIP 1.437 CiteScore 1.77

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 0.788 SNIP 1.423 CiteScore 1.8

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.705 SNIP 1.966 CiteScore 2

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.763 SNIP 1.622 CiteScore 1.75

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.695 SNIP 1.642 CiteScore 1.68

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.754 SNIP 1.528

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.783 SNIP 1.717
Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes
BFI (2008): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.848 SNIP 1.633
Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.865 SNIP 1.647
Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.752 SNIP 1.559
Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.954 SNIP 1.749
Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.77 SNIP 1.787
Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.882 SNIP 1.712
Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.87 SNIP 1.501
Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.719 SNIP 1.467
Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.621 SNIP 1.411
Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.591 SNIP 1.319
Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1121/1.4988558

Source: PublicationPreSubmission

Source-ID: 134009081

Publication: Research - peer-review › Conference abstract in journal – Annual report year: 2017