

Container shipping service selection and cargo routing with transshipment limits - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

Container shipping service selection and cargo routing with transshipment limits

We address the tactical planning problem faced by container liner shipping companies to select a set of sailing services from a given pool of candidate services and route available cargo over the chosen services so as to maximize profit. One of the distinctive features of our model is that it incorporates limits on the number of transshipments for each container, a common service requirement in practice. These limits can vary by shipment attributes such as origin and destination, and cargo priority. We propose a new stage-indexed multi-commodity flow model that is based on an augmented network containing links (representing sub-paths) between every pair of ports visited by a candidate service. This sub-path structure, together with our approach of indexing the flow variables by transportation stage, enables the model to accurately capture transshipment costs and enforce transshipment limits. To reduce the computational time to solve this problem, we develop preprocessing steps that exploit network structure to eliminate variables, describe valid inequalities to strengthen the model's linear programming relaxation, and propose an optimization-based heuristic algorithm to generate good initial solutions. We report successful computational results for realistic problem instances from a benchmark suite of liner shipping problems, solved using a standard solver applied to our reduced and strengthened model.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Management Engineering, University of Texas

Authors: Balakrishnan, A. (Ekstern), Karsten, C. V. (Intern)

Pages: 652-663

Publication date: 2017

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: European Journal of Operational Research

Volume: 263

ISSN (Print): 0377-2217

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 3.83 SJR 2.505 SNIP 2.339

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 2.334 SNIP 2.412 CiteScore 3.59

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 2.186 SNIP 2.485 CiteScore 3.21

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 2.346 SNIP 2.735 CiteScore 3.25

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 2.418 SNIP 2.588 CiteScore 3.01

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 2.401 SNIP 2.441 CiteScore 3.02

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 2.477 SNIP 2.435

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 2.326 SNIP 2.577

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes
BFI (2008): BFI-level 1
Scopus rating (2008): SJR 1.739 SNIP 1.984
Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.679 SNIP 2.041
Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.299 SNIP 2.023
Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.194 SNIP 1.913
Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.24 SNIP 1.882
Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.991 SNIP 1.507
Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.97 SNIP 1.279
Scopus rating (2001): SJR 1.078 SNIP 1.183
Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2000): SJR 1.046 SNIP 1.135
Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (1999): SJR 1.104 SNIP 1.059
Original language: English
DOIs:
10.1016/j.ejor.2017.05.031
Source: FindIt
Source-ID: 2358779010
Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2017