Accuracy of food photographs for quantifying food servings in a lunch meal setting among Danish children and adults - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

Accuracy of food photographs for quantifying food servings in a lunch meal setting among Danish children and adults Visual aids, such as food photographs, are widely used in estimating food quantities in dietary surveys. The present study aimed to assess how accurately Danish adults and children can estimate food portion sizes using 37 series of photographs illustrating four to six different portion sizes under real-life conditions; determine whether adults were more accurate than children; and estimate the error caused by using portion size photographs to estimate weights of foods consumed in macronutrient calculation. Six hundred and twenty-two adults and 109 children were recruited in three workplace canteens and in two schools, respectively, to estimate their lunchtime portions based on photographs. Participants were instructed to keep the foods separated on their plate when taking lunch. Participants thereafter estimated their own portions by looking at the relevant series of photographs. The actual food portions were then weighed. The proportion of correct estimations was 42% overall (range 19-77%). The mean difference (%) between estimated and actual weight was 17% (range 1-111%). Small portion size photographs were more often used correctly compared to larger portion photographs. Children had as many correct estimations as adults, although they overestimated portions more. Participants using fractions of (or more than) one photograph to estimate the portion of a food had significantly larger errors. When calculating the macronutrient content of a weekly menu using the estimated portion sizes, protein had the largest error (29%). When used in a real-life situation, the portion size photographs validated in the present study showed a certain inaccuracy compared to the actual weights.

General information

State: Accepted/In press Organisations: National Food Institute, Division of Risk Assessment and Nutrition Authors: Biltoft-Jensen, A. P. (Intern), Nielsen, T. H. (Intern), Ygil, K. H. (Intern), Christensen, T. (Intern), Fagt, S. (Intern) Number of pages: 10 Publication date: 2017 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics ISSN (Print): 0952-3871 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 1 Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 2.51 SJR 1.051 SNIP 1.021 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.033 SNIP 0.93 CiteScore 2.17 BFI (2014): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 0.628 SNIP 1.038 CiteScore 1.9 Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.912 SNIP 1.146 CiteScore 2.11 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.836 SNIP 1 CiteScore 1.94 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.693 SNIP 0.789 CiteScore 1.63 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.729 SNIP 1.053 BFI (2009): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.676 SNIP 0.88 BFI (2008): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.709 SNIP 0.827 Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.913 SNIP 0.91

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.561 SNIP 0.874 Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.516 SNIP 0.951 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.437 SNIP 0.803 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.287 SNIP 0.502 Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.253 SNIP 0.4 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.265 SNIP 0.586 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.248 SNIP 0.437 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.29 SNIP 0.417 Original language: English dietary assessment, dietary surveys, food portion size, portion size estimations, portion size photographs DOIs: 10.1111/jhn.12490 Source: FindIt Source-ID: 2371778878 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article – Annual report year: 2017