LCIA framework and cross-cutting issues guidance within the UNEP-SETAC Life Cycle Initiative - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

LCIA framework and cross-cutting issues guidance within the UNEP-SETAC Life Cycle Initiative

Increasing needs for decision support and advances in scientific knowledge within life cycle assessment (LCA) led to substantial efforts to provide global guidance on environmental life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) indicators under the auspices of the UNEP-SETAC Life Cycle Initiative. As part of these efforts, a dedicated task force focused on addressing several LCIA cross-cutting issues as aspects spanning several impact categories, including spatiotemporal aspects, reference states, normalization and weighting, and uncertainty assessment. Here, findings of the cross-cutting issues task force are presented along with an update of the existing UNEP-SETAC LCIA emission-to-damage framework. Specific recommendations are provided with respect to metrics for human health (Disability Adjusted Life Years, DALY) and ecosystem quality (Potentially Disappeared Fraction of species, PDF). Additionally, we stress the importance of transparent reporting of characterization models, reference states, and assumptions, in order to facilitate cross-comparison between chosen methods and indicators. We recommend developing spatially regionalized characterization models, whenever the nature of impacts shows spatial variability and related spatial data are available. Standard formats should be used for reporting spatially differentiated models, and choices regarding spatiotemporal scales should be clearly communicated. For normalization, we recommend using external normalization references. Over the next two years, the task force will continue its effort with a focus on providing guidance for LCA practitioners on how to use the UNEP-SETAC LCIA framework as well as for method developers on how to consistently extend and further improve this framework.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Management Engineering, Quantitative Sustainability Assessment, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Universite du Quebec a Montreal, treeze Ltd., ETH Zürich, Noblis, University of Michigan, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL), Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics, University of Alberta, Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Leiden University, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Irstea, European Commission - Joint Research Center, Federal University of Technology, PRé Consultants B.V.

Authors: Verones, F. (Ekstern), Bare, J. (Ekstern), Bulle, C. (Ekstern), Frischknecht, R. (Ekstern), Hauschild, M. Z. (Intern), Hellweg, S. (Ekstern), Henderson, A. (Ekstern), Jolliet, O. (Ekstern), Laurent, A. (Intern), Liao, X. (Ekstern), Lindner, J. P. (Ekstern), Maia de Souza, D. (Ekstern), Michelsen, O. (Ekstern), Patouillard, L. (Ekstern), Pfister, S. (Ekstern), Posthuma, L. (Ekstern), Prado-Lopez, V. (Ekstern), Ridoutt, B. (Ekstern), Rosenbaum, R. K. (Ekstern), Sala, S. (Ekstern), Ugaya, C. (Ekstern), Vieira, M. D. M. (Ekstern), Fantke, P. (Intern) Pages: 957-967

Pages: 957-967 Publication date: 2017 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Journal of Cleaner Production Volume: 161 ISSN (Print): 0959-6526 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 2 Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 5.83 SJR 1.615 SNIP 2.382 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.609 SNIP 2.383 CiteScore 5.57 Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes BFI (2014): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.661 SNIP 2.477 CiteScore 4.6 Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes BFI (2013): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.644 SNIP 2.581 CiteScore 4.47 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.706 SNIP 2.328 CiteScore 4.07 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.461 SNIP 1.825 CiteScore 3.19 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.419 SNIP 1.742 Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes BFI (2009): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.942 SNIP 1.544 Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes BFI (2008): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.813 SNIP 1.354 Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.942 SNIP 1.489 Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.842 SNIP 1.543 Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.544 SNIP 1.357 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.753 SNIP 1.818 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.501 SNIP 1.152 Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.481 SNIP 1.103 Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.419 SNIP 0.85 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.694 SNIP 0.888 Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.276 SNIP 0.775 Original language: English Life cycle impact assessment, Characterization framework, Uncertainty assessment, Human health, Ecosystem quality, Natural resources DOIs: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.206 Source: FindIt Source-ID: 2371044278 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2017