

Swarthmore College

## Works

---

Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works

Mathematics & Statistics

---

6-1-2005

# Factoring Higher-Dimensional Shifts Of Finite Type Onto The Full Shift

Aimee S.A. Johnson  
Swarthmore College, [aimee@swarthmore.edu](mailto:aimee@swarthmore.edu)

K. Madden

Follow this and additional works at: <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to these works benefits you](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Aimee S.A. Johnson and K. Madden. (2005). "Factoring Higher-Dimensional Shifts Of Finite Type Onto The Full Shift". *Ergodic Theory And Dynamical Systems*. Volume 25, Issue 3. 811-822. DOI: 10.1017/S0143385704000823  
<https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat/3>

This work is brought to you for free and open access by . It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact [myworks@swarthmore.edu](mailto:myworks@swarthmore.edu).

# Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems

<http://journals.cambridge.org/ETS>


Additional services for *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



---

## Factoring higher-dimensional shifts of finite type onto the full shift

AIMEE JOHNSON and KATHLEEN MADDEN

Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems / Volume 25 / Issue 03 / June 2005, pp 811 - 822  
DOI: 10.1017/S0143385704000823, Published online: 13 May 2005

**Link to this article:** [http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0143385704000823](http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0143385704000823)

### How to cite this article:

AIMEE JOHNSON and KATHLEEN MADDEN (2005). Factoring higher-dimensional shifts of finite type onto the full shift. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 25, pp 811-822 doi:10.1017/S0143385704000823

**Request Permissions :** [Click here](#)

## Factoring higher-dimensional shifts of finite type onto the full shift

AIMEE JOHNSON<sup>†</sup> and KATHLEEN MADDEN<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA 19081, USA*

*(e-mail: aimee@swarthmore.edu)*

<sup>‡</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Drew University, Madison, NJ 07940, USA*

*(e-mail: kmadden@drew.edu)*

*(Received 7 September 2004 and accepted in final form 21 September 2004)*

*Abstract.* A one-dimensional shift of finite type  $(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with entropy at least  $\log n$  factors onto the full  $n$ -shift. The factor map is constructed by exploiting the fact that  $X$ , or a subshift of  $X$ , is conjugate to a shift of finite type in which every symbol can be followed by at least  $n$  symbols. We will investigate analogous statements for higher-dimensional shifts of finite type. We will also show that for a certain class of mixing higher-dimensional shifts of finite type, sufficient entropy implies that  $(X, \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is finitely equivalent to a shift of finite type that maps onto the full  $n$ -shift.

### 1. Introduction

An important question in the study of dynamical systems is the question of when one system can occur as the factor of another. For one-dimensional shifts of finite type there are a variety of results addressing this issue. For example, the full shift on  $n$  symbols is in some sense the simplest of the one-dimensional shifts of finite type with entropy at least  $\log n$  since it is a factor of each of them [LM, Ch. 5]. In this work, we will give a sufficient condition for a higher-dimensional shift of finite type to factor onto the full  $n$ -shift; however, it remains an open question whether entropy alone is sufficient in higher dimensions.

In the literature, extending one-dimensional results to higher dimensions has often required additional mixing assumptions. For example, Meester and Steif [MS] extend the well-known, one-dimensional characterization of entropy preserving maps [LM, Theorem 8.1.16] under the assumption of strong irreducibility. Lightwood [L] extends Krieger's [Kr] topological universal model results to higher-dimensional mixing, square

filling shifts of finite type. Robinson and Şahin [RS] extend Krieger's [Kr] measurable universal model results to higher-dimensional shifts of finite type satisfying the uniform filling property. Strong mixing conditions may be necessary in higher dimensions; in particular, it is known that Robinson and Şahin's measure-theoretic result does not hold under the assumption of topological mixing alone [QS].

We will introduce a mixing condition called corner gluing which is stronger than topological mixing but which is implied by each of the mixing properties mentioned in the previous paragraph. We will show in Theorem 4.1 that when a higher-dimensional shift of finite type with sufficient entropy is corner gluing, then it is the finite-to-one factor of a shift of finite type that factors onto the full  $n$ -shift.

## 2. Background

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a finite alphabet and consider the compact metric space  $X_{[n]}^d = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . For each  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $x_{\vec{v}}$  denote the symbol in position  $\vec{v}$  in array  $x \in X_{[n]}^d$ . Let

$$\sigma_d : X_{[n]}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow X_{[n]}^d$$

be the continuous  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action defined by

$$(\sigma_d(x, \vec{v}))_{\vec{w}} = x_{\vec{v}+\vec{w}}$$

for all  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and all  $x \in X_{[n]}^d$ . We call  $\sigma_d$  the  $d$ -dimensional shift map and  $(X_{[n]}^d, \sigma_d)$  the  $d$ -dimensional full  $n$ -shift. When it causes no confusion, we will denote the  $d$ -dimensional full  $n$ -shift by  $(X_{[n]}, \sigma_d)$ .

If  $X$  is a closed, shift invariant subspace of  $X_{[n]}^d$ , we call  $(X, \sigma_d)$  a  $d$ -dimensional subshift or shift space.

For  $x \in X$  and  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we will denote the configuration of symbols appearing in  $x$  in the locations determined by  $B$  as  $x_B$ . We define  $S(X, B) = \{x_B : x \in X\}$ . Thus  $S(X, B)$  is all configurations occurring in the locations determined by  $B$  in any  $x \in X$ . We also let

$$B_m = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |a_i| \leq m \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d\}$$

and for  $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ,

$$D_{\vec{k}} = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : 0 \leq a_i < k_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

We will let  $D_m = D_{\vec{k}}$  when  $k_i = m$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

Given a shift space  $(X, \sigma_d)$  and an integer  $M > 0$ , we define the  $M$ th higher power shift space  $X^M$  by 'chopping up' arrays in  $X$  into  $M^d$  blocks. That is, the alphabet of  $X^M$  is  $S(X, D_M)$  and we let  $\gamma : X \rightarrow X^M$  be defined by  $\gamma(x)_{\vec{v}} = x_{D_M+M\vec{v}}$  for all  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . The  $M$ th higher power shift map,  $\sigma_d^M$ , is the map defined by

$$(\sigma_d^M(\gamma(x), \vec{v}))_{\vec{w}} = \gamma(x)_{\vec{v}+\vec{w}} = x_{D_M+M(\vec{v}+\vec{w})}$$

for all  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and all  $x \in X$ .

A  $d$ -dimensional shift of finite type is a subshift  $(X, \sigma_d)$  defined by a list of allowable configurations on  $B_m$  for some  $m > 0$ . We will call a configuration of symbols on an arbitrary set  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  allowable if all configurations on subsets  $B_m + \vec{v} \subseteq B$  are allowable.

By moving to a higher block presentation if necessary, a  $d$ -dimensional shift of finite type can be described by adjacency rules given by  $d$  zero-one adjacency matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d$ ; symbol  $\alpha$  may appear next to symbol  $\beta$  in the  $e_i$  direction if and only if  $A_i(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ .

A block map  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  between shift spaces  $(X, \sigma_d)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_d)$  is defined by a mapping  $\Phi$  between  $S(X, B_m)$  for some  $m$  and the symbols occurring in  $Y$ . If  $\Phi : S(X, B_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the alphabet for  $Y$ , then  $\phi(x)_{\vec{v}} = \Phi(x_{\vec{v}+B_m})$  for all  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . It is easily verified that maps between shift spaces are continuous and commute with the shift map if and only if they are defined in this way. If a block map is onto, it is called a *factor map* and we say that  $X$  factors onto  $Y$ . If a factor map is one-to-one, it is called a *conjugacy* and conjugate shift spaces exhibit identical dynamical properties.

Difficulties arise in higher dimensions which do not occur in the traditional one-dimensional case. For example, given a single adjacency matrix, it is relatively easy to determine whether the corresponding one-dimensional shift of finite type is non-empty. In higher dimensions, the question of whether there are *any* arrays of symbols satisfying the adjacency rules given by the adjacency matrices is referred to as the *non-emptiness problem* and in general this problem is undecidable [B, R]. However, the hypotheses of the theorems in this paper will require that shift spaces have additional properties (either a corner condition or corner gluing as defined in the next section) and these properties imply that the shift spaces are non-empty, provided the one-dimensional horizontal and vertical shift spaces are non-empty.

Extensive background material on one-dimensional shifts of finite type can be found in [LM] or [K]. Lind and Marcus also provide a good overview of higher-dimensional shifts in [LM, Ch. 3]. A paper by Quas and Trow contains many interesting general results about higher-dimensional shifts of finite type and their subshifts [QT].

### 3. Factoring onto the full shift and the corner condition

We next give several definitions which will lead in Theorem 3.2 to a sufficient condition for a shift of finite type of any dimension to factor onto the full  $n$ -shift.

Let  $\vec{c} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . A  $d$ -dimensional corner  $C$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  given by

$$\{\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) : a_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \vec{a} \neq \vec{c}\}.$$

We will call  $\vec{c}$  the *corner position*. A *corner configuration*  $\mathcal{C}$  is an element of  $S(X, C)$ .

*Definition 3.1.* A shift of finite type  $(X, \sigma_d)$  has corner condition  $n$  if, for each corner configuration, there are at least  $n$  allowable choices for the corner position.

Note that, in the case of  $d = 1$ , the set of corner configurations is the set of symbols in the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the corner condition implies that each symbol has at least  $n$  allowable followers.

If a shift of finite type has corner condition  $n$ , then it factors onto the full  $n$ -shift. This fact was shown to us by Paul Trow.

**THEOREM 3.2.** [T] *For any  $d \geq 1$ , if a shift of finite type  $(X, \sigma_d)$  has corner condition  $n$ , then  $(X, \sigma_d)$  factors onto  $(X_{[n]}^d, \sigma_d)$ .*

*Proof.* Each corner configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  has at least  $n$  choices for the corner position  $\vec{c}$ ; partition these choices into  $n$  non-empty sets  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ . We can define a map

$$\Phi : S(X, B_1) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$

via  $\Phi(x_{B_1}) = i$  if and only if  $x_{\vec{0}} \in \mathcal{C}_i$  for corner configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  given by  $x_{\mathcal{C}-\vec{c}}$ . The map  $\Phi$  determines a block map  $\phi : X \rightarrow X_{[n]}^d$ . We need to show that  $\phi$  is an onto mapping.

Let  $y$  be any point in  $X_{[n]}^d$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that there is a point  $x \in X$  with  $\phi(x)_{B_k} = y_{B_k}$ . A standard compactness argument then implies that  $\phi$  is onto.

To construct  $x$ , start with an arbitrary  $\vec{x} \in X$  and consider  $\vec{x}_D$  where  $D$  is

$$D = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) : i_j = -k - 1 \text{ and for all other } n, -k - 1 \leq i_n \leq k + 1\}.$$

(For  $d = 1$ ,  $D$  is the position just to the left of  $B_k$ . For  $d = 2$ ,  $D$  is the left and bottom border of  $B_k$ , and so on.)

Now consider the corner configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  found at the translated corner  $\mathcal{C} - (k + 1)\vec{c}$ . There are at least  $n$  allowable choices for the symbol in the translated corner position  $-k\vec{c}$ ; if  $y_{-k\vec{c}} = i$ , choose a symbol from  $\mathcal{C}_i$  to put in position  $-k\vec{c}$ . Continue in this way for all translated corner positions in  $B_k$  giving an allowable configuration in  $X$ . Extend this to give the desired  $x \in X$ . □

In one dimension, the corner condition is one of several equivalent properties of an irreducible shift of finite type:

**THEOREM 3.3.** [LM, Theorem 5.5.6] *If  $(X, \sigma_1)$  is an irreducible one-dimensional shift of finite type, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *a subshift of  $(X, \sigma_1)$  is conjugate to a one-dimensional shift of finite type  $(Y, \sigma_1)$  which has corner condition  $n$ ;*
- (2)  *$(X, \sigma_1)$  maps onto the full shift;*
- (3)  *$h(X) \geq \log n$ .*

For higher-dimensional shifts of finite type, (1) implies (2) which implies (3), but it is unknown whether the converse of these statements holds. In our main theorem, Theorem 4.1, we will prove a partial converse. This theorem will require an additional mixing condition, called ‘corner gluing’, and we conclude this section with its definition.

*Definition 3.4.* A shift of finite type  $(X, \sigma_d)$  is corner gluing if there exists  $l > 0$  such that, given any two finite subsets  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  as defined below (and as illustrated in Figure 1 for  $d = 2$ ) and allowable configurations  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  on these subsets, there exists a point  $x \in X$  with  $x_{E_1} = \mathcal{R}_1$  and  $x_{E_2} = \mathcal{R}_2$ .

$$E_1 = D_{\vec{k}} + l\vec{c} \text{ for some } \vec{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d \text{ and } E_2 = (D_{\vec{k}'} - (\vec{k}' - \vec{k} - l\vec{c})) \setminus D_{(\vec{k} + l\vec{c})} \text{ for some } \vec{k}' \in \mathbb{N}^d \text{ with } k'_i > k_i + l \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

In one dimension, the corner gluing property is equivalent to topological mixing. In higher dimensions, the corner gluing property is stronger than topological mixing but is implied by strong irreducibility, the uniform filling property or the square filling, mixing property.




FIGURE 1. Subsets \$E\_1\$ and \$E\_2\$ from the definition of corner gluing.

In the next section, we will see that, with the assumption of corner gluing, a shift of finite type with sufficient entropy is finitely equivalent to a shift of finite type that maps onto the full shift.

4. Higher powers and factoring onto the full shift

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose that \$(X, \sigma\_d)\$ is corner gluing and \$h(X) > \log(n)\$. Then \$(X, \sigma\_d)\$ is the finite-to-one factor of a shift of finite type that maps onto the full shift.*

Theorem 4.1 is an immediate corollary of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3. Theorem 4.2 shows that a corner gluing shift space with sufficient entropy has a higher power with a corner condition. In Theorem 4.3 this higher power is used to construct the finite extension of the original shift space which maps onto the full shift.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose that \$(X, \sigma\_d)\$ is corner gluing with constant \$l > 0\$. Then \$h(X) > \log n\$ if and only if given \$c > 0\$, for sufficiently large \$M\$, the higher power \$(X^M, \sigma\_d^M)\$ of \$(X, \sigma\_d)\$ has corner condition \$n^{M^d+c}\$.*

*Proof.* If \$(X, \sigma\_d)\$ has a higher power \$(X^M, \sigma\_d^M)\$ with corner condition \$n^{M^d+c}\$, it is an easy exercise to check that \$h(X) > \log n\$.

We next suppose that \$h(X) > \log n\$. Choose any \$c > 0\$. Since

$$h(X) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^d} \log |S(X, D_m)| > \log n$$

it is clear that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m+l)^d + c} \log |S(X, D_m)| > \log n.$$

Thus we can choose \$m\$ large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{(m+l)^d + c} \log |S(X, D_m)| > \log n,$$

and \$|S(X, D\_m)|\$, the number of configurations occurring in \$X\$ on \$D\_m\$, is strictly greater than \$n^{(m+l)^d+c}\$.

Let  $M = m + l$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any corner configuration in  $X^M$ . So  $\mathcal{C} = x_F$  for some  $x \in X$  where  $F = \bigcup_{\vec{v} \in \mathcal{C}} D_M + M\vec{v}$ . We must show that there are at least  $n^{M^d+c}$  choices for the configuration occurring on  $D_M + M\vec{c}$ . We can put any of the more than  $n^{(m+l)^d+c}$  configurations from  $S(X, D_m)$  in positions  $D_m + (M+l)\vec{c}$  and extend via the corner gluing property to obtain an allowable configuration on  $F \cup (D_M + M\vec{c})$ . Thus there are at least  $n^{M^d+c}$  choices for the corner position of  $\mathcal{C}$ , as desired.  $\square$

We point out that entropy greater than  $\log n$  is necessary. If  $h(X) = \log n$ , it may be the case that higher powers  $(X^M, \sigma_d^M)$  do not have corner condition  $n^{M^d}$  for any  $M$ . For example, consider  $(X, \sigma_1)$  given by the adjacency matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily verified that  $A^5$  consists of positive entries, and thus  $(X, \sigma_1)$  is corner gluing. Also the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue for  $A$  is  $\lambda = 2$ , and thus  $h(X) = \log 2$ . However,  $(X^M, \sigma_1^M)$  does not have corner condition  $2^M$  for any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  since any  $M$  block ending in 5 will have fewer than  $2^M$  followers. To see this, it is easily verified by induction that the value for  $A^M(5, j)$  is less than  $3 \cdot 2^{M-4}$  for all  $M \geq 4$  and  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^5 A^M(5, j) < 5(3 \cdot 2^{M-4}) < 2^M.$$

When a higher power  $(X^M, \sigma_d^M)$  satisfies corner condition  $n^{M^d}$ , then  $(X, \sigma_d)$  is finitely equivalent to a shift of finite type that maps onto the full shift as we will show in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $(X, \sigma_d)$  has a higher power  $(X^M, \sigma_d^M)$  with corner condition  $n^{M^d}$  then:*

- (1)  $(X^M, \sigma_d^M)$  factors onto  $((X_{[n]}^d)^M, \sigma_d^M)$ ;
- (2)  $(X, \sigma_d)$  is the finite-to-one factor of a shift of finite type  $(\bar{X}, \sigma_d)$  which maps onto  $(X_{[n]}^d, \sigma_d)$ .

*Proof.* Claim (1) is an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.2 since  $((X_{[n]}^d)^M, \sigma_d^M)$  is conjugate to  $(X_{[n^{M^d}]}^d, \sigma_d)$ .

We turn our attention to Claim (2). Notice that the corner condition on  $(X^M, \sigma_d^M)$  implies that  $h(X) \geq \log n$  and thus, for  $d = 1$ , Claim (2) holds via Theorem 3.3. We will restrict our attention to the case of  $d = 2$ ; the argument for higher dimensions is similar. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the symbols occurring in elements of  $X$  and let the horizontal and vertical adjacency rules be given by matrices  $A_h$  and  $A_v$  respectively. We define a new symbol set by adding sub- and superscripted symbols from  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{s_i, s^i, s_c : s \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1\}.$$

We will think of arrays in  $\bar{X}$  as arrays in  $X$  with an overlaid  $M^2$  grid given by the scripted symbols. The subscripted symbols give horizontal grid lines, the superscripted symbols



$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{array}{c} s_c \\ s^{M-1} \\ \vdots \\ s^2 \\ s^1 \\ s_c \ s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_{M-1} \ s_c \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. A configuration on subset  $D$ .

give vertical grid lines and the symbols  $s_c$  occur at the intersection of horizontal and vertical grid lines. We define adjacency matrices  $\bar{A}_h$  and  $\bar{A}_v$  accordingly:

- (1)  $\bar{A}_h(s, t^i), \bar{A}_h(s^i, t)$  and  $\bar{A}_h(s, t)$  equal one if and only if  $A_h(s, t) = 1$ ;
- (2) for  $1 \leq i \leq M - 2$ ,  $\bar{A}_h(s_i, t_{i+1})$  equals one if and only if  $A_h(s, t) = 1$ ;
- (3)  $\bar{A}_h(s_c, t_1)$  and  $\bar{A}_h(s_{M-1}, t_c)$  equal one if and only if  $A_h(s, t) = 1$ ;
- (4)  $\bar{A}_v(s, t_i), \bar{A}_v(s_i, t)$  and  $\bar{A}_v(s, t)$  equal one if and only if  $A_v(s, t) = 1$ ;
- (5) for  $1 \leq i \leq M - 2$ ,  $\bar{A}_v(s^i, t^{i+1})$  equals one if and only if  $A_v(s, t) = 1$ ;
- (6)  $\bar{A}_v(s_c, t^1)$  and  $\bar{A}_v(s^{M-1}, t_c)$  equal one if and only if  $A_v(s, t) = 1$ .

Any adjacencies not mentioned above are not allowed. Let  $(\bar{X}, \sigma_2)$  denote the shift of finite type defined with adjacency rules  $\bar{A}_h$  and  $\bar{A}_v$  on symbols  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Let  $\phi : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  be the factor map defined by the block map  $\Phi : \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which drops the subscripts. Clearly  $\phi$  is  $M^2$ -to-one since, for each array  $x \in X$ , there are exactly  $M^2$  ways to overlay the  $M^2$  grid given by the sub- and superscripted symbols.

We next need to show that  $\bar{X}$  factors onto  $X_{[n]}$ . We will need to construct a map  $\psi : \bar{X} \rightarrow X_{[n]}$ . To do this, first order the  $n^{M^2}$  configurations occurring in  $S(X_{[n]}, D_M)$  lexicographically and denote them  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{n^{M^2}}$ . Intuitively, because the sub- and superscripted symbols divide arrays in  $\bar{X}$  into  $M^2$  blocks, we will be able to define  $\psi$  by mapping the  $i$ th  $M^2$  blocks in  $x \in \bar{X}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . In what follows, we formalize this idea.

Let  $D = \{(0, a_2)\} \cup \{(a_1, 0)\}$  where  $0 \leq a_1, a_2 \leq M$ , and consider the subset of  $S(\bar{X}, D)$  consisting of configurations  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $D$  of the form illustrated in Figure 2. (Note that each  $s$  can be any of the symbols in  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfy the adjacency rules. For simplicity, we do not indicate that in our notation.)

We may view  $D$  as a subset of

$$(D_M \cup (D_M + (M, 0)) \cup (D_M + (0, M))) - \vec{v},$$

where  $\vec{v} = (M - 1)\vec{c}$ , as illustrated in Figure 3.

Thus, because  $(X^M, \sigma_2^M)$  has corner condition  $n^{M^2}$ , given a configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  as in Figure 2, there are at least  $n^{M^2}$  choices satisfying the defining adjacency rules for extending  $\mathcal{C}$  to an allowable  $(M + 1)^2$  configuration in  $S(\bar{X}, D_{(M+1)})$ . Any configuration used to extend  $\mathcal{C}$  in this way will have the form illustrated in Figure 4; we will refer to such a set as a follower of  $\mathcal{C}$ . (Again note that our notation does not indicate that each  $s$  can be any of the symbols in  $\mathcal{A}$ .)

For each configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  of the form shown in Figure 2, partition the choices for the followers of  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $n^{M^2}$  sets denoted  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{n^{M^2}}$ .




FIGURE 3.  $D$  as a subset of  $(D_M \cup (D_M + (M, 0)) \cup (D_M + (0, M))) - \vec{v}$ .




FIGURE 4. Extending configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  on subset  $D$ .

We are now ready to define  $\psi : \bar{X} \rightarrow X_{[n]}$ . Let  $x \in \bar{X}$ . For each  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  there exists unique  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  so that  $x_{\vec{v}} = s_c$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $1 \leq w_1, w_2 \leq M$ , and  $\vec{a} = \vec{v} + \vec{w}$ . That is,  $x_{D+\vec{v}}$  is a configuration of the type shown in Figure 2 and  $x_{D_M+(v_1+1, v_2+1)}$  is a configuration of the type shown in Figure 4. For  $x_{D+\vec{v}} = \mathcal{C}$ ,  $x_{D_M+(v_1+1, v_2+1)} \in \mathcal{C}_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n^{M^2}$ . Define  $\psi(x)_{\vec{a}} = j$  where  $j$  is the symbol in the  $w$ th position of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

Clearly  $\psi(x) \in X_{[n]}$  for each  $x \in \bar{X}$ . We need only show that  $\psi$  is onto. Our argument is similar to the one used in Theorem 3.2. Let  $y$  be any point in  $X_{[n]}$ . We will show that there is a point  $x \in \bar{X}$  with  $\psi(x)_{D_{kM}} = y_{D_{kM}}$ . A standard compactness argument then implies that  $\phi$  is onto.

To construct  $x$ , let  $E = \{(-1, a_2)\} \cup \{(a_1, -1)\}$  where  $-1 \leq a_1, a_2 \leq kM - 1$ . Choose  $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}$  so that  $\bar{x}_E$  has the form shown in Figure 5. (As usual, our notation does not indicate that each  $s$  can be any of the symbols from  $\mathcal{A}$ .) We will extend the configuration on  $\bar{x}_E$  to obtain the desired  $x \in \bar{X}$  with  $\psi(x)_{D_{kM}} = y_{D_{kM}}$ .

Now consider the corner configuration  $\mathcal{C}$  of the type shown in Figure 2 in the lower left corner of Figure 5. There are at least  $n^{M^2}$  allowable choices of the type shown in Figure 4 for the configuration appearing in the locations determined by  $D_M$ . If  $y_{D_M} = \mathcal{F}_i$ , the  $i$ th configuration from  $S(X_{[n]}, D_M)$  in lexicographic ordering, choose a configuration from  $\mathcal{C}_i$  for the locations determined by  $D_M$ . Continue in this way for all translates  $D_M + Mv \subset D_{kM}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , giving an admissible configuration in  $\bar{X}$ . Extend this to give the desired  $x \in \bar{X}$ . □

5. Entropy and open questions

We conclude with examples which illustrate two important open questions. First note that, when determining if a system  $(X, \sigma_d)$  can factor onto  $(X_{[n]}, \sigma_d)$ , it is necessary to have  $h(X) \geq \log n$ . Calculating entropy for higher-dimensional shifts of finite type is itself a

$$\bar{x}_E = \begin{matrix} & & & s_c & & & & & & & \\ & & & s^{M-1} & & & & & & & \\ & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ & & & s^1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ & & & s_c & & & & & & & \\ & & & s^{M-1} & & & & & & & \\ & & & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ & & & s^1 & & & & & & & \\ s_c & s_1 s_2 \dots s_{M-1} & s_c & \dots & s_1 s_2 \dots s_{M-1} & s_c & \dots & s_1 s_2 \dots s_{M-1} & s_c & \dots & \end{matrix}$$

FIGURE 5. The configuration appearing in  $\bar{x}$  in locations determined by subset  $E$ .

difficult problem, so before we begin our examples we will indicate the methods we used to obtain our entropy estimates.

In [MP] the authors provide a method for obtaining entropy estimates for the class of shifts of finite type for which  $A_h A_v^T \stackrel{\pm}{=} A_v^T A_h$  and  $A_h A_v \stackrel{\pm}{=} A_v A_h$  where  $\stackrel{\pm}{=}$  indicates the two matrices have non-zero entries in the same places. This requirement is not overly restrictive; it ensures that admissible rectangular blocks and admissible L-shaped blocks can be extended to arrays in the shift of finite type, thus avoiding some of the undecidability issues mentioned earlier. We will refer to a shift of finite type with these properties as a Markley–Paul shift of finite type.

THEOREM 5.1. [MP] *Let  $(X, \sigma_2)$  be a Markley–Paul shift of finite type. Then for any  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$h(X) \leq \frac{h(X_k)}{k}$$

where  $(X_k, \sigma_1)$  is the one-dimensional shift of finite type consisting of horizontal sequences of height  $k$  occurring in  $(X, \sigma_2)$ .

If  $A_h$  is symmetric then also

$$\frac{h(X_k) - h(X_1)}{k - 1} \leq h(X).$$

In the case that  $(X, \sigma_2)$  is corner gluing, there is an alternate lower bound on entropy which does not require symmetry.

THEOREM 5.2. *If  $(X, \sigma_2)$  is a corner gluing (with constant  $l > 0$ ), Markley–Paul shift of finite type, then for all  $k \geq 1$*

$$\frac{h(X_k)}{k + l} \leq h(X) \leq \frac{h(X_k)}{k}.$$

*Proof.* The inequality  $h(X) \leq h(X_k)/k$  follows from the previous theorem. We need only verify that  $h(X_k)/(k + l) \leq h(X)$ .

$(X_k, \sigma_1)$  is the one-dimensional shift of finite type consisting of horizontal sequences of height  $k$  occurring in  $(X, \sigma_2)$ . Thus  $|S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})|$  is the number of configurations that can appear on a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of length  $m(k+l)$  and height  $k$ . Given two such configurations,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , from  $S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})$ , one can use the corner gluing property to find an allowable configuration on a  $m(k+l) \times 2(k+l)$  subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Continuing in this way we can find an allowable configuration on a  $m(k+l) \times m(k+l)$  subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ; this larger configuration has  $m$  configurations from  $S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})$  stacked on top of each other, with the gap of width  $l$  between each of them filled in an allowable way. Thus we get

$$|S(X, D_{m(k+l)})| \geq |S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})|^m$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(X) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m(k+l))^2} \log |S(X, D_{m(k+l)})| \\ &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m(k+l))^2} \log |S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})|^m \\ &= \left( \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{m(k+l)} \right) \left( \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m(k+l)} \log |S(X_k, D_{m(k+l)})| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+l} h(X_k). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Example 5.3.* Consider the two-dimensional shift of finite type given by the following adjacency matrices:

$$A_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example illustrates that the corner condition is not necessary for factoring onto the full shift. This example does not have corner condition two and yet a subshift of this example is conjugate to a shift of finite type with corner condition two.

*Question.* Does such a subshift exist (as it does in one dimension) for all shifts of finite type with sufficient entropy?

It is easily verified that this example is a Markley–Paul shift of finite type. This example has a ‘safe symbol’, the symbol 1, so it is corner gluing with  $l = 1$ . Using Theorem 5.2, a computer, and  $k = 3$ , we obtain  $0.6968 \leq h(X) \leq 0.8711$ . Thus  $h(X) > \log 2$ .

To check whether this example satisfies the corner condition for  $n = 2$  we calculate

$$A_h A_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$A_h A_v^T(a, b)$  gives the number of allowable symbols for  $c$  in configuration  $\begin{smallmatrix} a & c \\ & b \end{smallmatrix}$ . Although some corner configurations have as many as four corner choices, not all have at least two choices so the corner condition is not satisfied.

Nevertheless, this example does factor onto the full two-dimensional two shift  $(X_{[2]}, \sigma)$ . Create a map  $\Phi$  on the  $1 \times 2$  blocks occurring in  $X$  via by

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1_1, \quad \Phi \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1_2 \text{ for } s \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \end{pmatrix} = s \text{ for } s \neq 1.$$

This will extend to a factor map  $\phi$  from  $(X, \sigma)$  to the shift of finite type  $(\bar{X}, \sigma)$  given by the matrices  $\bar{A}_h$  and  $\bar{A}_v$  shown below:

$$\bar{A}_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{A}_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The map  $\phi$  is a conjugacy with the ‘drop the subscripts’ map as its inverse. The shift of finite type  $(\bar{X}, \sigma)$  also does not satisfy corner condition two, but the subspace obtained by removing symbol 4 does have corner condition two.

*Example 5.4.* Consider the two-dimensional shift of finite type given by the following adjacency matrices:

$$A_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example is the finite-to-one factor of a shift of finite type that factors onto the full shift. It is unknown whether the example itself factors onto the full shift.

*Question.* Is the finite extension necessary or does  $(X, \sigma)$  itself map onto the full shift?

This example is a Markley–Paul shift of finite type. Using Theorem 5.1, a computer and  $k = 3$ , we obtain  $0.7788 \leq h(X) \leq 0.8178$  and  $h(X) > \log 2$ . This example does not satisfy the corner condition for  $n = 2$ . We do not know whether a factor map exists onto  $(X_{[2]}, \sigma_2)$ . However, because it has a safe symbol, it is corner gluing, and so by Theorem 4.2, there exists  $M > 1$  such that  $(X^M, \sigma_2^M)$  satisfies the corner condition for  $n = 2^{M^2}$ . Then, by Theorem 4.3, this example is the  $M^2$ -to-one factor of a shift of finite type that does map onto  $(X_{[2]}, \sigma_2)$ .

REFERENCES

[B] R. Berger. *The Undecidability of the Domino Problem (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 66)*. American Mathematical Society, 1966.  
 [K] B. Kitchens. *Symbolic Dynamics*. Springer, New York, 1998.  
 [Kr] W. Krieger. On the subsystems of topological Markov chains. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **2** (1982), 195–202.

- [L] S. Lightwood. Morphisms from non-periodic  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  subshifts II: constructing homomorphisms to square filling mixing shifts of finite type. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **24**(4) (2004), 1227–1260.
- [LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [MP] N. G. Markley and M. Paul. Maximal measures and entropy for  $\mathbb{Z}^v$  subshifts of finite type. *Classical Mechanics and Dynamical Systems (Dekkar Notes, 70)*. Eds. R. Devaney and Z. Nitecki. Dekkar, 1981, pp. 135–157.
- [MS] R. Meester and J. Steif. Higher-dimensional subshifts of finite type, factor maps and measures of maximal entropy. *Pacific J. Math.* **200** (2001), 497–510.
- [QS] A. Quas and A. Sahin. Entropy gaps and locally maximal entropy in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  subshifts. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **23**(4) (2003), 1227–1245.
- [QT] A. Quas and P. Trow. Subshifts of multidimensional shifts of finite type. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **20** (2000), 859–874.
- [RS] E. A. Robinson and A. Sahin. Modeling ergodic measure preserving actions on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  shifts of finite type. *Monatsh. Math.* **132**(3) (2001), 237–253.
- [R] R. Robinson. Undecidability and nonperiodicity of tilings of the plane. *Invent. Math.* **12** (1971), 177–209.
- [T] P. Trow. Personal communication.