Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science

Volume 39

Article 20

1985

Analysis of Arkansas Fur Harvest Records -1942-1984: I. State and Regional Accounts

Gary A. Heidt University of Arkansas at Little Rock

James H. Peck University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Tiny Sheldon University of Arkansas at Little Rock

Joseph D. Clark Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas Part of the <u>Environmental Monitoring Commons</u>, and the <u>Zoology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Heidt, Gary A.; Peck, James H.; Sheldon, Tiny; and Clark, Joseph D. (1985) "Analysis of Arkansas Fur Harvest Records - 1942-1984: I. State and Regional Accounts," *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science*: Vol. 39, Article 20. Available at: http://scholarworks.uark.edu/jaas/vol39/iss1/20

This article is available for use under the Creative Commons license: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users are able to read, download, copy, print, distribute, search, link to the full texts of these articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UARK. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UARK. For more information, please contact scholar@uark.edu, ccmiddle@uark.edu.

ANALYSIS OF ARKANSAS FUR HARVEST RECORDS - 1942-1984: I. STATE AND REGIONAL ACCOUNTS

GARY A. HEIDT; JAMES H. PECK; TINY SHELDON; and JOSEPH D. CLARK² 'Department of Biology University of Arkansas at Little Rock Little Rock, AR 72204

²Arkansas Game and Fish Commission Little Rock, AR 72203

ABSTRACT

Fur harvest records maintained by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission from 1942-1984 summarized the number of pelts sold by region (Ozark Mountains, Ouachita Mountains, Gulf Coastal Plain, and Mississippi Delta) and the average price per pelt for 16 furbearers. Analysis of these records showed that in the 1979-80 trapping season (the record year for both harvest and value both in Arkansas and the nation), the value of the Arkansas fur harvest ranked 14th nationally (2.12% of total national value). Fur harvests in Arkansas were high in the 1940's, declined in the 1950's and 1960's and then experienced a rapid increase in the 1970's and into the 1980's. In all decades, the Mississippi Delta has ranked first in both numbers of pelts harvested and total value. With the exception of the 1970's, the Delta has been followed by the Ozark Mountains, the Gulf Coastal Plain, and the Ouachita Mountain Region.

INTRODUCTION

Furbearer management problems have increased in number, scope, and intensity during the past decade in response to 1) rapidly growing demands for furbearers and their products, 2) enactment of certain endangered species regulations and treaties, 3) a major decline in upland wildlife hunting opportunities, and 4) growing antihunting and antitrapping sentiment (Hubert, 1982). Thus, harvest management programs, now and in the future, require a greater understanding of the variables which ultimately determine the size of furbearer populations and of subsequent expected harvests (Erickson and Sampson, 1978; Erickson, 1981, 1982; Hubert, 1982).

Arkansas and other Midsouth states have traditionally used fur harvest data as a primary source of information for estimating the condition of furbearer populations and subsequent management schemes (McArdle, 1979; Tumlison et al., 1981; Erickson, 1982; Hubert, 1982; Heidt et al., 1984). However, in the case of Arkansas, as in many states, fur harvest data still exists in either raw, unsummarized form or is scattered in various unpublished reports and Game and Fish Commission internal memos. Wildlife biologists are thus required to sort out and extract that information needed for management decisions. It is the purpose of this series of papers to summarize and interpret the raw fur harvest data that has been compiled by personnel of the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission since 1942 and present it in a form that can easily be used for further analyses. The present paper summarizes the fur harvest data for Arkansas from a statewide and regional (the four major physiographic regions - Ozark Mountains, Ouachita Mountains, Gulf Coastal Plain, and Mississippi Delta) level. We also discuss the most important furbearing species in each region.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Fur harvest records used in this study were compiled annually since 1942 by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission (AGFC). Mean annual pelt values, total numbers of each species harvested, and the regional contributions of each species harvested were available for all but a few years. For purposes of analyses, years with unavailable data were generally omitted from consideration. For the mean annual pelt values during 1979-80, which were unavailable, a value was extrapolated for each Arkansas species based on relative pelt value in Missouri. No correction factors were applied to the data to correct for out-of-state Table 1. The value of the 1979-1980 Arkansas fur harvest relative to the 1979-1980 national harvest.

Species	Arkansas Harvest National Ranking/ # states reporting	% U. S. Harvest
Opossum	3/35	7.98
Mink	7/47	4.85
Spotted Skunk	8/31	4.19
Bobcat	13/42	3.48
Raccoon	12/48	3.04
Beaver	12/48	2.72
Gray Fox	18/42	2.26
River Otter	17/26	1.20
Striped Skunk	23/47	0.71
Muskrat	21/48	0.66
Coyote	21/41	0.65
Long-tailed Wea	asel 29/39	0.15
Badger	26/26	0,00
Arkansas	14/50	2.12

sales of Arkansas fur. In addition, there is no way to determine how many pelts were actually harvested but not sold (P. Dozhier, Chairman, American Fur Resources Institute, *pers. comm.*). Following the method of Erickson and Sampson (1978), dollar values were uncorrected for inflation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

State Analysis

In an effort to gain a perspective on the relative importance of Arkansas fur harvests to the national total, we ranked the value of the six-

Gary A. Heidt, James H. Peck, Tina Sheldon, and Joseph D. Clark

Table 2. Summary of the size (# of pelts sold) of Arkansas' fur harvests by decade per region. Data reflect six seasons in 1940s, nine seasons in 1960s, and four seasons in 1980s; 1950s and 1970s reflect 10 full seasons.

Ozark	Ouachita	G, C, P.	Delta	State	Mean #/yr
699,429 251,240 318,149 475,572	201,518 128,846 116,386 260,422	424,572 250,911 143,784 231,954	898,448 696,139 491,473 716,288	2,223,967 1,610,744 1,069,793 1,684,236	370,661 161,074 118,074 168,424
223,681	146,085	178,974	352,982	901,721	225,430 184,791
	699,429 251,240 318,149 475,572 223,681	699,429 201,518 251,240 128,846 318,149 116,386 475,572 260,422 223,681 146,085	699,429 201,518 424,572 251,240 128,846 250,911 318,149 116,386 143,784 475,572 260,422 231,954 223,681 146,085 178,974	699,429 201,518 424,572 898,448 251,240 128,846 250,911 696,139 318,149 116,386 143,784 491,473 475,572 260,422 231,954 716,288 223,681 146,085 178,974 352,982	699,429 201,518 424,572 898,448 2,223,967 251,240 128,846 250,911 696,139 1,610,744 318,149 116,386 143,784 491,473 1,069,793 475,572 260,422 231,954 716,288 1,684,236

Table 3. Summary of the value (\$) of Arkansas' fur harvests by decade per region. Data reflect six seasons in 1940s, nine seasons in 1960s, and four seasons in 1980s; 1950s and 1970s reflect ten full seasons.

Decade	Ozark	Ouachita	G. C. P.	Delta	Total	Nean \$/yr
1940s 1950s	726,043	323,650 306,190	1,136,101 723,513	2,158,236	4,344,030	724,005
1960s 1970s	604,393	196,181		767,505	1,859,228	206,581
1970s 1980s	2,221,687		2,113,820	3,028,737	8,854,600	2,213,650
Total	8,235,977	4,760,710	6,585,448	12,515,666	32,098,801	823,046

Table 4. Summary of the six furbearers with the largest total harvests (# pelts sold) from the Mississippi Delta Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970a	1980s	Totals
1. Raccoon 2. Opossum 3. Muskrat 4. Mink 7. Striped Skunk	273,595 428,833 19,104 110,975 50,108	314,685 147,647 96,787 113,585 19,427	178,204 63,729 31,688 40,694 73,859	219,013 157,564 145,031 50,990 115,974	127,567 62,663 103,470 41,269 191	1,113,064 860,436 496,080 357,513 259,359
6. Beaver	0	11	913	12,126	10,077	23,127
Totals	882,615	692,142	389,087	700,698	345,237	3,109,779

Table 5. Summary of the six furbearers with the greatest total harvest values (\$) from the Mississippi Delta Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970s	1980s	Total
1. Raccoon 2. Mink 3. Muskrat 4. Opossum 5. Striped Skunk	399,583 1,536,124 28,742 146,629 29,902	259,392 1,262,385 77,082 51,205 16,232	265,435 291,400 105,174 28,396 63,741	2,639,449 628,249 444,286 344,290 425,484	1,794,695 584,211 342,379 75,895 303	5,358,504 4,302,369 999,664 646,416 535,663
6. Bobcat	190	54	337	120,851	88,541	209,973
Totals	2,141,170	1,666,350	754,483	4,602,609	2,886,024	12,052,589

teen furbearers in Arkansas to those nationally for the 1979-1980 fur harvest season (Table 1). This year was chosen because it represented record harvests, both in terms of pelts sold and value received, for both Arkansas and the nation. National data were supplied through the American Fur Resources Institute. Nationally, Arkansas ranked fourteenth in terms of total value received from fur, or 2.12% of the total value of the national harvest. From a single species standpoint, opossum (Didelphis virginiana), mink (Mustela vison), and spotted skunk (Spilogale putorius) ranked in the top ten. In addition, bobcat (Felis rufus), raccoon (Procyon lotor), and beaver (Castor canadensis) also ranked quite high in national averages. Table 6. Summary of the six furbearers with the largest total harvests (# pelts sold) from the Ozark Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970s	1980s	Totals
1. Opossum 2. Raccoon 3. Striped Skunk	500,005 54,548 63,205	125,125 64,222 15,296	85,311 126,687 32,698	149,577 177,430 39,136	75,066 104,436 1,557	
4. Muskrat 5. Mink 6. Gray Fox	14,201 25,418 31,389	18,527 20,368 3,786	41,573 19,450 5,232	43,400 19,223 24,226	12,378 9,203 11,534	130,079 93,662 76,167
Totals	688,766	247,324	310,951	452,992	214,174	1,914,207

Table 7. Summary of the six furbearers with the greatest total harvest values (\$) from the Ozark Mountain Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950 <i>s</i>	1960s	1970s	1980s	Total
1. Raccoon 2. Hink 3. Gray Fox 4. Opossum 5. Bobcat 6. Muskrat	81,218 348,099 34,886 175,401 253 19,097	Contract Contractor	6,896	2,091,183 221,022 684,813 325,720 204,098 136,686	1,466,037 129,883 344,584 87,785 90,161 40,434	3,874,840 1,616,463 1,072,206 660,488 295,805 248,171
Total	658,954	725,769	560,842	3,663,522	2,158,884	7,767,973

Table 8. Summary of the six furbearers with the largest harvests (# pelts sold) from the Ouachita Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970s	1980s	Totals
1. Opossum 2. Raccoon 3. Mink 4. Juskrat 5. Striped Skunk	134,548 31,004 15,693 1,793 12,768	55,018 45,768 19,007 1,613 5,128	25,479 58,860 11,424 9,429 8,856	86,696 110,539 9,431 19,827 12,889	38,728 71,732 8,633 12,921 520	340,469 317,903 64,188 45,583 40,161
6. Gray Fox	3,433	1,230	538	7,843	4,257	17,301
Totals	199,239	127,764	114,586	247,225	136,791	325,605

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the total Arkansas fur harvest and monetary value by physiographic region and decade. When examining Table 2 for regional fur harvest data, it should be noted that over 283,000 pelts (3.8% of the total harvest) could not be assigned to a specific region. In addition, the omissions from Table 2 result in approximately \$500,000 (1.6% of the total value) being omitted from Table 3. However, we feel that these pelts and their values would probably fit into the general patterns demonstrated in the tables and that they represent a small enough percentage that they would not bias the data. From these tables it can be seen that, over the past 42 years, over 7.4 million pelts having a value over 32 million dollars have been harvested. These figures do not account for pelts sold out-of-state, monies spent on traps, gasoline, and other fur harvesting equipment. It can thus be safely stated that

Tables 2 and 3 further demonstrate that fur harvest was extremely important during the 1940's both in terms of numbers of pelts harvested and value of the harvest. This was probably due in part, to demands for fur during and after World War II through the Korean Conflict (these same trends have been seen for other furbearers in other parts of the country [Sargeant, 1982; Voight and Tinline, 1982]) and also the general depressed economic conditions in Arkansas during these years. As the demand for fur fell during the 1950's and 1960's, the number of pelts harvested as well as total value of the pelts, in spite of inflation, also fell. However, with the resurgence in demand as well Analysis of Arkansas Fur Harvest Records - 1942-1984: I. State and Regional Accounts

Table 9. Summary of the six furbearers with the greatest total harvest values (\$) from the Ouachita Mountain Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970a	1980s	Total
1. Raccoon 2. Mink 3. Gray Fox 4. Opossum 5. Bobcat 6. Muskrut	41,069 219,646 3,401 45,372 109 2,848	38,031 244,614 318 16,584 33 1,337	88,399 71,759 738 11,521 304 8,041	1,399,553 127,958 245,089 200,457 179,971 152,251	996,192 124,444 131,689 46,522 83,398 45,573	381,234
Total	312,445	301,117	180,762	2,305,279	1,427,818	4,527,417

Table 10. Summary of the six furbearers with the largest harvests (# pelts sold) from the Gulf Coastal Plain Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Species	1940s	1950s	1960 <i>s</i>	1970s	1980s	Totals
1. Raccoon 2. Opossum 3. Mink 4. Gray Fox 5. Striped	139,254 205,233 56,149 8,482 13,065	131,040 66,635 46,157 1,648 2,653	96,278 26,529 13,716 626 688	128,979 73,671 7,035 6,355 583	117,737 35,404 7,734 3,661 147	613,288 407,572 130,791 20,772 17,136
Skunk 6. Beaver	ŏ	185	3,968	5,200	4,221	13,574
Totals	422,183	48,318	141,905	221,823	168,904	1,203,133

as price for fur (particularly long-haired upland furbearers) during the 1970's and into the 1980's, a concommitant increase in the harvest of Arkansas furbearers has been seen. In spite of the world-wide demand for fur, however, the relatively strong American dollar has caused sales in the mid-1980's to decline (P. Dozhier, *pers. comm.*).

Regional Analysis

From Table 2, it can be seen that the Mississippi Delta region has been consistently the most productive and important region in terms of fur harvest. This may be somewhat surprising considering the steady decline in habitat due to more intensive agricultural practices over the past 20 years. However, if one looks at the six most commonly harvested furbearers from the Mississippi Delta (Table 4) which account for 98.6% of the pelts harvested, it can be seen that these species are generally highly adaptive to habitat disruptions, have a high reproductive potential, and are generally water-related (an important habitat characteristic of the Delta) (Sealander, 1979; Schwartz and Schwartz, 1981). Table 3 points out that the Mississippi Delta has also produced the greatest monetary value of all regions. Again this may be explained by the volume and value of fur from the top six species which account for 96.3% of the harvest in the Delta Region, and the relatively high prices obtained for raccoon and mink, two of the most abundant furbearers taken in the Delta (Tables 4 and 5).

Tables 2 and 3 show that the Ozark Mountain Region has ranked consistently second in terms of both numbers and value of fur harvested. This has been due primarily to the influence of six species which accounted for 97.3% of the harvested pelts and 94.3% of the value of the fur harvest in the Ozark Mountain Region (Tables 6 and 7).

During the 1940's and 1950's the Gulf Coastal Plain ranked third, but it was displaced by the Ouachita Mountain region in the 1970's. It regained its status over the Ouachita Mountains in the 1980's. Since trappers in both regions basically harvest the same major species (Tables 8 - 11) this shift during the 1970's was due to more individuals of each species being harvested in the Ouachita Mountains, perhaps a result of greater trapper effort. The top six species account for 96.8% of the total harvest and 95.1% of the harvest value in the Ouachita Mountains (Tables 8 and 9) and 97.8% of the total harvest and 97.3% of the harvest value in the Gulf Coastal Plain (Tables 10 and 11). Table 11. Summary of the six furbearers with the greatest total harvest values (\$) from the Gulf Coastal Plain Region by decade for the 1942-1984 seasons.

Sp	ecies	1940s	1950s	1960s	1970s	1980s	Tota]
1.23.45.6.	Gray Fox Opensum Bobcat	270,181 768,163 9,971 73,786 77 0	108,698 587,940 445 21,424 30 477	14,970 101,635 1,007 11,997 389 18,228	1,594,350 85,156 210,515 174,389 152,336 31,903	$1,666,878 \\124,576 \\109,008 \\41,409 \\79,056 \\21,626$	3,787,077 1,667,373 330,747 320,455 231,888 72,233
Tot	tat 1.	122,178	720,974	148,226	2,248,649	2,042,553	6,409,773

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Arkansas Game and Fish Commission personnel for having maintained fur harvest records over the past 42 years. Mr. Parker L. Dozhier, Chairman of the American Fur Resources Institute provided valuable advice. This project was sponsored, in part, by the UALR College of Science's Office of Research, Science, and Technology.

LITERATURE CITED

- ERICKSON, D. W. 1981. Furbearer harvest mechanisms: An examination of variables influencing fur harvest in Missouri. Pp. 1469-1491, *In* Worldwide furbearer conference proceedings (J. A. Chapman and D. Pursley, eds.). Frostburg, MD. Vol 2:653-1552.
- ERICKSON, D. W. 1982. Estimating and using furbearer harvest information. Pp. 53-66, *In* Midwest furbearer management symposium (G. C. Sanderson, ed.). North Central Section, Central Mountains and Plains Section, and Kansas Chapter, The Wildl. Soc., 196 pp.
- ERICKSON, D. W., and F. W. SAMPSON. 1978. Impact of market dynamics on Missouri's furbearer harvest system. Proc. Ann. Conf. S.E. Assoc. Fish and Wildl. Agencies 32:17-29.
- HEIDT, G. A., J. H. PECK, and L. JOHNSTON. 1984. An analysis of gray fox (Urocyon cinereoargenteus) fur harvests in Arkansas. Proc. Ark. Acad. Sci. 38:49-52.
- HUBERT, G. F. 1982. History of Midwestern furbearer management and a look to the future. Pp. 175-191. In Midwest furbearer management symposium (G. C. Sanderson, ed.), North Central Section, Central Mountains and Plains Section, and Kansas Chapter, The Wildl. Soc., 196 pp.
- MCARDLE, B. 1979. The status and distribution of the red fox (Vulpes fulva) and gray fox (Urocyon cinereoargenteus) in Arkansas as determined by a mail survey. Unpubl. Rept. to Ark. Game and
- Fish Comm., Little Rock, AR. 17 pp.
- SARGEANT, A. B. 1982. A case history of a dynamic resource the red fox. Pp. 121-138, *In* Midwest furbearer management symposium (G. C. Sanderson, ed.), North Central Section, Central Mountains and Plains Section, and Kansas Chapter, The Wildl. Soc., 196 pp.
- SCHWARTZ, C. W., and E. R. SCHWARTZ. 1981. The wild mammals of Missouri. Univ. of Missouri Press, Columbia, 356 pp.
- SEALANDER, J. A. 1979. A guide to Arkansas mammals. River Road Press, Conway, AR. 313 pp.

Gary A. Heidt, James H. Peck, Tina Sheldon, and Joseph D. Clark

TUMLISON, C. R., A. W. KING, and L. JOHNSTON. 1981. The river otter in Arkansas: I. Distribution and harvest trends. Proc. Ark. Acad. Sci. 35:74-77. VOIGHT, D. R., and R. L. TINLINE. 1982. Fox rabies and trapping: A study of disease and fur harvest interaction. Pp. 139-158, *In* Midwest furbearer management symposium (G. C. Sanderson, ed.), North Central Section, Central Mountains and Plains Section, and Kansas Chapter, The Wildl. Soc., 196 pp.

83